

Department of the Air Force

Military Construction and Family Housing Program

FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimateş

Justification Data Submitted to Congress February 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS FY 1999 AMENDED BUDGET ESTIMATES

PAGE NUMBER

General	

	Table of Contents	1
	Program Summary	3
	State List (List of Projects)	4
	New Mission/Current Mission Exhibit	12
Milita	ry Construction	
	Installation Index	21
	Special Program Considerations:	
	Statements	23
	Congressional Reporting Requirements	24
	Third Party Financing	25
	Research and Development	26
	Budget Data:	
	Appropriations Language	27
	Program and financing Schedule	28
	Object Classification Schedule	29
	Projects Inside the United States	48
	Projects Outside the United States	237
	Planning and Design	267
	Unspecified Minor Construction	269

Working Capital Funds Construction Projects	271
Family Housing	
Narrative Summary	274
Index	276
Summary	279
Budget Data:	
Legislative Language	280
Program and Financing Schedule	282
Object Classification	284
New Construction	285
Post Acquisition Construction	363
Advanced Planning & Design	396
O & M Summary	398
Operations	403
Utilities	412
Maintenance	414
Maintenance & Repair Over \$15K	418
G&FOQ Over \$25K	427
Reimbursable Program	431
Leasing	432
Debt Payments	438

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1999

	PROJECT <u>AUTH</u>	AUTH FOR APPROP	<u>APPROP</u>
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	(Sec 2301)	(Sec 2304)	
Inside the United States	340,915	340,915	340,915
Outside the United States	71,168	71,168	71,168
Planning and Design (10 USC 2807)	35,592	35,592	35,592
Unspecified Minor Construction (10 USC 2805)	7,135	7,135	7,135
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	454,810	454,810	454,810
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	(Sec 2302/2303)	(Sec 2304)	
New Construction	140,499	132,915	132,915
Improvements	81,778	81,778	81,778
Planning and Design	11,342	11,342	11,342
Subtotal	233,619	226,035	226,035
Operations, Utilities, and Maintenance	671,891	671,891	671,891
Leasing	118,072	118,072	118,072
Debt Payment	32	32	32
Subtotal	789,995	789,995	789,995
TOTAL MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	1,023,614	1,016,030	1,016,030
GRAND TOTAL AIR FORCE	1,478,424	1,470,840	1,470,840

Page Intentionally Left Blank

STATE/COUNTI		PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>PAGE</u>
ALABAMA MAXWEI	I AED				
MIMATUL	OTS STUDENT DORMITORIES	12,765	12,765	12,765	49
	OTS DINING FACILITY	4,796	4,796	4,796	52
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,837	1,837	1,837	55
				·	
	MAXWELL TOTAL:	19,398	<u>19,398</u>	<u>19,398</u>	
ALASKA	ALABAMA TOTAL:	<u>19,398</u>	<u>19,398</u>	<u>19,398</u>	
EIELSOI	N AFB				
	CONSOLIDATED MUNITION FAC	4,352	4,352	4,352	59
	EIELSON TOTAL:	<u>4,352</u>	<u>4,352</u>	4,352	
	ALASKA TOTAL:	<u>4,352</u>	<u>4,352</u>	4,352	
CALIFORNIA EDWAR	DS AFB				
	RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINT FAC		10,361	10,361	63
	EDWARDS TOTAL:	10,361	<u>10,361</u>	10,361	
VANDEN	NBERG AFB				
	SPACE IQT ACADEMIC FACILITY	9,209	9,209	9,209	67
	ADD/ALTER MISSILE MAINT FAC	9,500	9,500	9,500	70
	VANDENBERG TOTAL:	18,709	<u>18,709</u>	18,709	
	CALIFORNIA TOTAL:	<u>29,070</u>	29,070	<u>29,070</u>	
COLORADO FALCON	JAFR				
, ALOON	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	9,601	9,601	9,601	74
	OF ENATIONAL SUFFORT FACILITY	9,001	9,001	9,001	14
USAF A	FALCON TOTAL: CADEMY	<u>9,601</u>	<u>9,601</u>	<u>9,601</u>	
	ADD/ALTER PREP SCHOOL BUILDING	4,413	4,413	4,413	78
	USAF ACADEMY TOTAL:	<u>4,413</u>	<u>4,413</u>	<u>4,413</u>	
	COLORADO TOTAL:	14,014	14,014	<u>14,014</u>	

STATE/COUNT		PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	PAGE			
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BOLLING AFB							
	HONOR GUARD TECHNICAL SCHOOL	2,948	2,948	2,948	82			
	BOLLING TOTAL:	2,948	2,948	<u>2,948</u>				
EL OBIDA	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TOTAL:	2,948	2,948	2,948				
FLORIDA EGLIN A	AFB							
	DORMITORY	7,866	7,866	7,866	86			
	SANTA ROSA ISLAND TEST SITES	12,571	12,571	12,571	89			
	EGLIN TOTAL:	20,437	20,437	20,437				
EGLIN 9								
	CONTROL TOWER	2,014	2,014	2,014	93			
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,823	1,823	1,823	96			
MACDIL	<u>EGLIN 9 TOTAL:</u> L AFB	3,837	<u>3,837</u>	<u>3,837</u>				
	KC-135 SIMULATOR FACILITY		2,514	2,514	100			
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	2,494	2,494	2,494	103			
	MACDILL TOTAL:	<u>5,008</u>	5,008	<u>5,008</u>				
	FLORIDA TOTAL:	29,282	29,282	29,282				
GEORGIA ROBINS	AFB							
	DEPOT PLANT SERVICES FACILITY	11,894	11,894	11,894	107			
	ROBINS TOTAL:	11,894	11,894	<u>11,894</u>				
	GEORGIA TOTAL:	11,894	11,894	11,894				
HAWAII HICKAM	AFB							
	REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	5,890	5,890	5,890	111			
	HICKAM TOTAL:	<u>5,890</u>	<u>5,890</u>	<u>5,890</u>				
5	HAWAII TOTAL:	<u>5,890</u>	<u>5,890</u>	<u>5,890</u>				

STATE/COUNTE		PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>PAGE</u>
IDAHO MT HOM	F AFR				
	LAND ACQUISITION	1,000	1,000	1,000	115
	DORMITORY	8,897	8,897	8,897	117
	RANGE IMPROVEMENTS	2,400	2,400	2,400	120
	MT HOME TOTAL:	<u>12,297</u>	<u> 12,297</u>	<u> 12,297</u>	
	IDAHO TOTAL:	12,297	12,297	<u>12,297</u> 12,297	
MARYLAND	IDANO IOTAL.	12,291	12,291	12,297	
ANDREV	VS AFB				
	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,448	4,448	4,448	124
	ANDREWS TOTAL:	4,448	<u>4,448</u>	4,448	
	MARYLAND TOTAL:	4,448	4,448	<u>4,448</u>	
MISSISSIPPI	D AER				
KEESLE	KEESLER AFB			5 750	100
	TRAINING SUPPORT FACILITY		5,756	5,756	128
	STUDENT DORMITORIES	29,770	29,770	29,770	131
	KEESLER TOTAL:	<u>35,526</u>	<u>35,526</u>	<u>35,526</u>	
	MISSISSIPPI TOTAL:	<u>35,526</u>	<u>35,526</u>	<u>35,526</u>	
NEVADA INDIAN S	SPRINGS FIELD				
	UAV LOGISTICS AND TRAINING FAC	3,965	3,965	3,965	135
	UAV- SQ OPS/AMU FACILITY	7,059	7,059	7,059	138
	UAV-COMM MAINT FAC/UTILITIES	3,989	3,989	3,989	141
MELLIC.	INDIAN SPRINGS TOTAL:	<u>15,013</u>	<u>15,013</u>	<u>15,013</u>	
NELLIS /	NELLIS AFB				
	DORMITORY	6,378	6,378	6,378	145
	NELLIS TOTAL:	<u>6,378</u>	<u>6,378</u>	<u>6,378</u>	
	NEVADA TOTAL:	<u>21,391</u>	<u>21,391</u>	<u>21,391</u>	

STATE/COUNTR		PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>PAGE</u>
NEW JERSEY						
MCGUIR	E AFB					
	DINING FACILITY			6,044	6,044	149
		MCGUIRE TOTAL:	<u>6,044</u>	6,044	<u>6,044</u>	
		NEW JERSEY TOTAL:	<u>6,044</u>	<u>6,044</u>	<u>6,044</u>	
NEW MEXICO KIRTLAN	ID AFB					
	FIRE TRAINING	G FACILITY	1,774	1,774	1,774	153
		KIRTLAND TOTAL:	<u>1,774</u>	1,774	<u>1,774</u>	
		NEW MEXICO TOTAL:	1,774	<u>1,774</u>	1,774	
NORTH DAKOTA GRAND I	A FORKS AFB					
	FIRE TRAINING	G FACILITY	2,686	2,686	2,686	157
		GRAND FORKS TOTAL:	2,686	<u>2,686</u>	<u>2,686</u>	
		NORTH DAKOTA TOTAL:	<u>2,686</u>	<u>2,686</u>	<u>2,686</u>	
OHIO WRIGHT	-PATTERSON A	FB				
	ACQUISITION	MANAGEMENT COMPLEX	22,000	22,000	22,000	161
		WRIGHT-PATTERSON TOTAL:	22,000	22,000	22,000	
		OHIO TOTAL:	22,000	22,000	22,000	
OKLAHOMA TINKER	AFB					
	COMBAT COM	M SQ OPS FACILITY	5,085	5,085	5,085	165
	DORMITORY		9,100	9,100	9,100	168
		TINKER TOTAL:	<u>14,185</u>	<u>14,185</u>	<u>14,185</u>	
VANCE						
	FIRE TRAINING	G FACILITY	1,823	1,823	1,823	172
		VANCE TOTAL:	<u>1,823</u>	<u>1,823</u>	1,823	
7		OKLAHOMA TOTAL:	<u>16,008</u>	16,008	16,008	

STATE/COUNTE		PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	PAGE
SOUTH CAROLI	NA STON AFB					
5. W. W. U.S.	DINING FACIL	ITV	5,221	5,221	5,221	176
	DIMINOTAGE		3,221	5,221	3,221	170
	C-17 LIFE SUP	PORT FACILITY	4,701	4,701	4,701	179
	C-17 SQ OPS//	AMU FACILITY	6,769	6,769	6,769	182
	C-17 SQ OPS//	AMU FACILITY	7,639	7,639	7,639	185
		CHARLESTON TOTAL:	<u>24,330</u>	24,330	24,330	
		SOUTH CAROLINA TOTAL:	24,330	<u>24,330</u>	24,330	
TEXAS LACKLA	ND AFB					
	OPERATIONS	FACILITY	8,130	8,130	8,130	189
	DORMITORY		6,800	6,800	6,800	192
RANDOL	.PH AFB	LACKLAND TOTAL:	<u>14,930</u>	<u>14,930</u>	<u>14,930</u>	
	BASE OPERAT	TIONS FACILITY	3,166	3,166	3,166	196
		RANDOLPH TOTAL:	<u>3,166</u>	<u>3,166</u>	<u>3,166</u>	
		TEXAS TOTAL:	18,096	18,096	<u>18,096</u>	

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>PAGE</u>
WASHINGTON				
FAIRCHILD AFB				
KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU FACILITY	7,620	7,620	7,620	200
FAIRCHILD TOTAL:	<u>7,620</u>	<u>7,620</u>	<u>7,620</u>	
MCCHORD AFB				
C-17 ADAL AIRCRAFT MAINT SHOP	2,321	2,321	2,321	205
C-17 RAMP/HYDRANT FUEL SYS	18,025	18,025	18,025	208
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGARS	6,427	6,427	6,427	211
C-17 ADAL SIMULATOR FAC	1,823	1,823	1,823	214
C-17 REPAIR BASE ROADS	2,224	2,224	2,224	217
C-17 ADD/ALTER AGE MAINT FAC	2,110	2,110	2,110	220
C-17 FLIGHTLINE SUPPORT FAC	4,029	4,029	4,029	223
C-17 SHORTFIELD ASSAULT STRIP	2,321	2,321	2,321	226
C-17 ALTER COMPOSITE SHOP	1,630	1,630	1,630	229
C-17 SQ OPS/AMU FACILITY	6,524	6,524	6,524	231
C-17 LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FA	4,413	4,413	4,413	234
MCCHORD TOTAL:	<u>51,847</u>	<u>51,847</u>	<u>51,847</u>	
WASHINGTON TOTAL:	<u>59,467</u>	<u>59,467</u>	<u>59,467</u>	
INSIDE THE U.S. TOTAL:	<u>340,915</u>	<u>340,915</u>	<u>340,915</u>	

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>PAGE</u>
GERMANY					
SPANGDAHLEM AB					
CONSOL AII	R CONTROL SQ OPS FAC	4,466	4,466	4,466	238
DORMITORY	,	9,501	9,501	9,501	241
	SPANGDAHLEM TOTAL:	<u>13,967</u>	<u>13,967</u>	13,967	
	GERMANY TOTAL:	<u>13,967</u>	<u>13,967</u>	<u>13,967</u>	
KOREA KUNSAN AB					
DORMITORY	,	5,958	5,958	5,958	245
		.,	2,222	0,000	0
OSAN AB	<u>KUNSAN TOTAL:</u>	<u>5,958</u>	<u>5,958</u>	<u>5,958</u>	
DORMITORY	,	7,496	7,496	7,496	249
		,,,,,,,	,,	1,100	240
	OSAN TOTAL:	<u>7,496</u>	<u>7,496</u>	<u>7,496</u>	
TUDVEV	KOREA TOTAL:	<u>13,454</u>	<u>13,454</u>	13,454	
TURKEY INCIRLIK AB					
	ECURITY CONTROL FAC	2,949	2,949	2,949	253
02.77.11.12.01		2,545	2,343	2,545	255
	INCIRLIK TOTAL:	<u>2,949</u>	<u>2,949</u>	<u>2,949</u>	
	TURKEY TOTAL:	<u>2,949</u>	2,949	<u>2,949</u>	
UNITED KINGDOM LAKENHEATH RAF					
DORMITORII	ES	15,838	15,838	15,838	257
		10,000	13,030	13,030	251
MILDENHALL AFB	LAKENHEATH TOTAL:	<u>15,838</u>	<u>15,838</u>	<u>15,838</u>	
KC-135 SQ C	PS/AMU FACILITY	14,034	14,034	14,034	261
DORMITORY		10,926	10,926	10,926	264
	MII DENILALI TOTAL	24.000		·	
	MILDENHALL TOTAL:	24,960	<u>24,960</u>	<u>24,960</u>	
	UNITED KINGDOM TOTAL:	40,798	<u>40,798</u>	40,798	
	OUTSIDE THE U.S. TOTAL:	<u>71,168</u>	<u>71,168</u>	<u>71,168</u>	

STATE/COUNTR INSTALL	_	PROJECT	PROJECT AUTH	AUTH FOR APPROP	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>PAGE</u>
VARIOUS LOCATIONS						
PLANNING AND DESIGN		35,592	35,592	35,592	268	
	UNSPECIFIED	MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,135	7,135	7,135	270
		VARIOUS TOTAL:	42,727	<u>42,727</u>	42,727	
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS TOTAL:	<u>42,727</u>	<u>42,727</u>	42,727	
		WORLDWIDE TOTAL:	<u>42,727</u>	<u>42,727</u>	<u>42,727</u>	
		FY 1999 TOTAL:	<u>454,810</u>	<u>454,810</u>	<u>454,810</u>	

DEFINITIONS OF NEW AND CURRENT MISSION

NEW MISSION PROJECTS - These projects support the deployment and beddown of new weapons systems, new or additional aircraft, missile, and space projects and support of new equipment such as radar's, communications, computers satellite tracking and electronic security. New mission projects all support new programs and initiatives that do not revitalize the existing physical plant. The projects support new and additional requirements. Planning and design and minor construction are also included in this category.

<u>CURRENT MISSION PROJECTS</u> - These projects revitalize the existing facility plant by replacement or upgrading existing facilities and by alleviating long standing deficiencies not generated by new missions or equipment. Included are projects to improve the quality of life, upgrade the workplace and projects to increase productivity and achieve compliance with environmental, health and safety standards.

FY 99	APPROP (\$000)
NEW MISSION	\$134,306
CURRENT MISSION	\$277,777
PLANNING & DESIGN	\$ 35,592
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	ON \$ 7,135
TOTAL:	\$454,810

STATE/COUNTR INSTALLA		APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
ALABAMA			
MAXWEL	L AFB		
	OTS STUDENT DORMITORIES	12,765	NM
	OTS DINING FACILITY	4,796	NM
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,837	CM
	MAXWELL TOTAL:	19,398	
AI ACVA	ALABAMA TOTAL:	<u>19,398</u>	
ALASKA EIELSON	AFB		
	CONSOLIDATED MUNITION FAC	4,352	СМ
	EIELSON TOTAL:	<u>4,352</u>	
	ALASKA TOTAL:	<u>4,352</u>	
CALIFORNIA EDWARD	S AFB		
	RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINT FAC	10,361	СМ
VANDEN	EDWARDS TOTAL: BERG AFB	<u>10,361</u>	
	SPACE IQT ACADEMIC FACILITY	9,209	NM
	ADD/ALTER MISSILE MAINT FAC	9,500	СМ
	VANDENBERG TOTAL:	<u>18,709</u>	
	CALIFORNIA TOTAL:	29,070	
COLORADO FALCON	AFB		
	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	9,601	СМ
USAF AC	FALCON TOTAL:	<u>9,601</u>	
	ADD/ALTER PREP SCHOOL BUILDING	4,413	СМ
	USAF ACADEMY TOTAL:	4.413	
13	COLORADO TOTAL:	<u>14,014</u>	

STATE/COUNTR		APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
DISTRICT OF CO			
	HONOR GUARD TECHNICAL SCHOOL	2,948	NM
	BOLLING TOTAL:	<u>2,948</u>	
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TOTAL:	<u>2,948</u>	
FLORIDA EGLIN A	FB		
	DORMITORY	7,866	CM
	SANTA ROSA ISLAND TEST SITES	12,571	CM
EGLIN 9	EGLIN TOTAL:	<u>20,437</u>	
	CONTROL TOWER	2,014	СМ
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1,823	СМ
MACDILL	EGLIN 9 TOTAL:	<u>3,837</u>	
	KC-135 SIMULATOR FACILITY	2,514	NM
	FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	2,494	СМ
	MACDILL TOTAL:	<u>5,008</u>	
	FLORIDA TOTAL:	29,282	
GEORGIA ROBINS	AFB		
	DEPOT PLANT SERVICES FACILITY	11,894	СМ
	ROBINS TOTAL:	<u>11.894</u>	
	GEORGIA TOTAL:	11,894	
HAWAII HICKAM	AFB		
	REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	5,890	CM
	HICKAM TOTAL:	<u>5,890</u>	
	HAWAII TOTAL:	<u>5,890</u>	

INSIDE THE U.S.

STATE/COUNTRY		APPROP	
INSTALLATIO	DN PROJECT	AMOUNT	TYPE
IDAHO			
MT HOME A	FB .		
LA	ND ACQUISITION	1,000	NM
DO	DRMITORY	8,897	СМ
RA	ANGE IMPROVEMENTS	2,400	NM
	MT HOME TOTAL:	12,297	
	IDAHO TOTAL:	12,297	
MARYLAND			
ANDREWS A	AFB		
CH	HILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,448	CM
	ANDREWS TOTAL:	<u>4,448</u>	
	MARYLAND TOTAL:	<u>4,448</u>	
MISSISSIPPI			
KEESLER A	FB		
TF	RAINING SUPPORT FACILITY	5,756	CM
ST	UDENT DORMITORIES	29,770	CM
	KEESLER TOTAL:	<u>35,526</u>	
	MISSISSIPPI TOTAL:	<u>35,526</u>	
NEVADA			
INDIAN SPR	INGS FIELD		
U	AV LOGISTICS AND TRAINING FAC	3,965	NM
U	AV- SQ OPS/AMU FACILITY	7,059	NM
U	AV-COMM MAINT FAC/UTILITIES	3,989	NM
	INDIAN SPRINGS TOTAL:	<u>15,013</u>	
NELLIS AFB			
D	ORMITORY	6,378	CM
	NELLIS TOTAL:	6,378	
	NEVADA TOTAL:	<u>21,391</u>	
4 5			

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION PROJECT		APPROP AMOUNT	<u> TYPE</u>
NEW JERSEY			
MCGUIRE AFB			
DINING FACILITY		6,044	CM
MC	CGUIRE TOTAL:	<u>6,044</u>	
	JERSEY TOTAL:	<u>6,044</u>	
NEW MEXICO KIRTLAND AFB			
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		1,774	CM
KIR	RTLAND TOTAL:	1,774	
	MEXICO TOTAL:	<u>1,774</u>	
NORTH DAKOTA GRAND FORKS AFB			
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		2,686	СМ
GRAND	FORKS TOTAL:	<u>2,686</u>	
NORTH D	AKOTA TOTAL:	<u>2,686</u>	
ОНЮ			
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB			
ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT (COMPLEX	22,000	CM
WRIGHT-PATT	ERSON TOTAL:	22,000	
	OHIO TOTAL:	22,000	
OKLAHOMA			
TINKER AFB			
COMBAT COMM SQ OPS FACIL	.ITY	5,085	NM
DORMITORY		9,100	CM
	TINKER TOTAL:	<u>14,185</u>	
VANCE AFB			
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		1,823	CM
	VANCE TOTAL:	<u>1,823</u>	
<u>OKL/</u>	AHOMA TOTAL:	<u>16,008</u>	

STATE/COUNTRY		APPROP	
INSTALLATION	PROJECT	AMOUNT	TYPE
SOUTH CAROLINA			
CHARLESTON AFB			
DINING FACIL	ITY	5,221	СМ
C-17 LIFE SUP	PORT FACILITY	4,701	NM
C-17 SQ OPS//	AMU FACILITY	6,769	NM
C-17 SQ OPS/AMU FACILITY		7,639	NM
	CHARLESTON TOTAL:	<u>24,330</u>	
	SOUTH CAROLINA TOTAL:	<u>24,330</u>	
TEXAS LACKLAND AFB			
OPERATIONS	FACILITY	8,130	СМ
DORMITORY		6,800	CM
RANDOLPH AFB	LACKLAND TOTAL:	14,930	
BASE OPERAT	TIONS FACILITY	3,166	СМ
	RANDOLPH TOTAL:	<u>3,166</u>	
	TEXAS TOTAL:	<u>18,096</u>	

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION PROJECT	APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
WASHINGTON FAIRCHILD AFB		
KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU FACILITY	7,620	NM
FAIRCHILD TOTAL:	<u>7,620</u>	
MCCHORD AFB		
C-17 ADAL AIRCRAFT MAINT SHOP	2,321	NM
C-17 RAMP/HYDRANT FUEL SYS	18,025	NM
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGARS	6,427	NM
C-17 ADAL SIMULATOR FAC	1,823	NM
C-17 REPAIR BASE ROADS	2,224	NM
C-17 ADD/ALTER AGE MAINT FAC	2,110	NM
C-17 FLIGHTLINE SUPPORT FAC	4,029	NM
C-17 SHORTFIELD ASSAULT STRIP	2,321	NM
C-17 ALTER COMPOSITE SHOP	1,630	NM
C-17 SQ OPS/AMU FACILITY	6,524	NM
C-17 LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FA	4,413	NM
MCCHORD TOTAL:	<u>51,847</u>	
WASHINGTON TOTAL:	<u>59,467</u>	
INSIDE THE U.S. TOTAL:	<u>340,915</u>	

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROP AMOUNT	TYPE
GERMANY			
SPANGDAHLEM AB			
CONSOL	AIR CONTROL SQ OPS FAC	4,466	CM
DORMITO	RY	9,501	СМ
	SPANGDAHLEM TOTAL:	<u>13,967</u>	
	GERMANY TOTAL:	<u>13,967</u>	
KOREA			
KUNSAN AB			
DORMITO	RY	5,958	CM
00444.5	KUNSAN TOTAL:	<u>5,958</u>	
OSAN AB			
DORMITO	RY	7,496	CM
	OSAN TOTAL:	7,496	
	KOREA TOTAL:	<u>13,454</u>	
TURKEY			
INCIRLIK AB			
CENTRAL	SECURITY CONTROL FAC	2,949	CM
	INCIRLIK TOTAL:	<u>2,949</u>	
	TURKEY TOTAL:	<u>2,949</u>	
UNITED KINGDOM LAKENHEATH RAF			
DORMITO	RIES	15,838	СМ
MILDENHALL AFB	LAKENHEATH TOTAL:	<u>15,838</u>	
KC-135 S	Q OPS/AMU FACILITY	14,034	CM
DORMITO	RY	10,926	CM
	MILDENHALL TOTAL:	24,960	
	UNITED KINGDOM TOTAL:	40,798	
19	OUTSIDE THE U.S. TOTAL:	71,168	

STATE/COUNTRY INSTALLATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS VARIOUS	PROJECT	APPROP AMOUNT	<u>TYPE</u>
******	IG AND DESIGN	35,592	NM
	IFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,135	NM
UNGFEC	IFIED WINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,100	14141
	VARIOUS TOTAL:	<u>42,727</u>	
	VARIOUS LOCATIONS TOTAL:	<u>42,727</u>	
	WORLDWIDE TOTAL:	<u>42,727</u>	
	FY 1999 TOTAL:	<u>454,810</u>	

Page Intentionally Left Blank

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FY 1999 AMENDED PRESIDENT'S BUDGET INSTALLATION INDEX

INSTALLATION	COMMAND	STATE/COUNTRY	PAGE
ANDREWS AFB	AMC	MARYLAND	123
BOLLING AFB	11 WG	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	81
CHARLESTON AFB	AMC	SOUTH CAROLINA	175
EDWARDS AFB	AFMC	CALIFORNIA	62
EGLIN AFB	AFMC	FLORIDA	85
EGLIN AUX FIELD #9	AFSOC	FLORIDA	92
EIELSON AFB	PACAF	ALASKA	58
FAIRCHILD AFB	AMC	WASHINGTON	199
FALCON AFB	SPACECOM	COLORADO	73
GRAND FORKS AFB	AMC	NORTH DAKOTA	156
HICKAM AFB	PACAF	HAWAII	110
INCIRLIK AB	USAFE	TURKEY	252
INDIAN SPRINGS AUX FIELD	ACC	NEVADA	134
KEESLER AFB	AETC	MISSISSIPPI	127
KIRTLAND AFB	SPACECOM	NEW MEXICO	152
KUNSAN AB	PACAF	KOREA	244
LACKLAND AFB	AETC	TEXAS	188
LAKENHEATH RAF	USAFE	UNITED KINGDOM	256
MACDILL AFB	AMC	FLORIDA	99
MAXWELL AFB	AETC	ALABAMA	48
MCCHORD AFB	AMC	WASHINGTON	203
MCGUIRE AFB	AMC	NEW JERSEY	148
MILDENHALL RAF	USAFE	UNITED KINGDOM	260
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB	ACC	IDAHO	114
NELLIS AFB	ACC	NEVADA	144
OSAN AB	PACAF	KOREA	248

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FY 1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET INSTALLATION INDEX

<u>INSTALLATION</u>	COMMAND	STATE/COUNTRY	PAGE
RANDOLPH AFB	AETC	TEXAS	195
ROBINS AFB	AFMC	GEORGIA	106
SPANGDAHLEM AB	USAFE	GERMANY	237
TINKER AFB	AFMC	OKLAHOMA	164
USAF ACADEMY	USAFA	COLORADO	77
VANCE AFB	AETC	OKLAHOMA	171
VANDENBERG AFB	SPACECOM	CALIFORNIA	66
VARIOUS LOCATIONS	SUPPORT	WORLDWIDE	267/269
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB	AFMC	оню	160

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 1999

ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

An economic evaluation has been accomplished for all projects costing over \$2 million and the results are addressed in the individual DD Forms 1391.

DESIGN FOR ACCESSIBILITY OF PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED PERSONNEL

In accordance with Public Law, 90-480, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

In accordance with Section 102(2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process (EIAP) has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Air Force FY 1999 Military Construction Program.

EVALUATION OF FLOOD PLAINS AND WETLANDS

All projects in the program have been evaluated for compliance with Executive Orders 11988, Flood plain Management, and 11990, Protection of Wetlands, and the Flood plain Management Guidelines of U.S. Water Resources Council. Projects have been sited to avoid or reduce the risk of flood loss, minimize the impact of floods or human safety, health and welfare, preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands and minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

The FY 99 MILCON request includes \$12 million for requirements necessary to correct current environmental noncompliance situations and to prevent future noncompliance. The environmental compliance target areas for this program include live fire training facilities.

FY 1999

CONGRESSIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. STATEMENTS ON NATO ELIGIBILITY

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-200, page 13, and are included in the appropriate project justification.

2. STATEMENTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH CONSTRUCTION MANUAL 4210.1M

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Conference Report, 100-498, page 1003, and are included in each project justification.

3. NEW AND CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES

The FY 1989 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-380, pages 10 and 11, identified a requirement to include an exhibit in the budget justification books that displayed required projects in two separate categories: New Mission and Current Mission. The CM (current mission) or NM (new mission) designation which follows the project on the listing at page 13 identifies each project as new or current mission. Additionally, each justification in Block 11 of the DD Form 1391 indicates whether the project supports a new or current mission.

4. RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION ASSETS

The FY 1991 Senate Armed Services Committee Report 101-384, requested the Department to screen Resolution Trust Corporation assets to determine if proposed construction projects could be more economically met through the purchase of existing assets held by the Resolution Trust Corporation. The FY 99 Military Construction program was compared to the current real estate asset inventory published by the Resolution Trust Corporation. It was determined and the Department certified that no assets exist that can be economically used in lieu of the FY 99 projects requested.

5. REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The FY 1997 House Appropriations Committee Report 104-591, page 11, requested the Department to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project. Each DD Form 1390 reflects this information in block 12. In addition, all troop housing requests are to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past two years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation. Each DD Form 1391 for troop housing reflects this information in block 11.

FY 1999

THIRD PARTY FINANCING

Test of long-term facilities contracts

NONE

FY 1999

NON-MILCON FUNDING

Research and Development (RDT&E) NONE

APPROPRIATIONS LANGUAGE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property of the Air Force as currently authorized by law \$454,810,000 to remain available until September 30, 2003: Provided that, of this amount, not to exceed \$35,592,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of Defense determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of his determination and the reasons therefore.

Military Construction, Air Force Program and Financing (in Thousands of dollars)

		Budget Plan CONSTRUCTION	(amounts for MILITARY actions programed)	(ILITARY amed)		Obligations	
Identifi	Identification code 57-3300-0-1-051	1997 actual	1998 est.	1999 est.	1997 actual	1998 est.	1999 est.
00.0101 00.0201 00.0301	ram by ac rect prog Major con Minor con	692,249 10,128 50,687	573,080 8,545 44,880	412,083 7,135 35,592	779,057 9,167 58,762	166,509 10,197 36,395	457,408 5,161 29,976
10.0001	Total	753,064	626,505	454,810	846,986	213,101	492,545
17.0001 21.4002 21.4003 21.4009	Financing: Recovery of prior year obligations Unobligated balance available, start of year: For completion of prior year budget plans Available to finance new budget plans Reprograming from the prior year budget plans	-2,100			-894 -298,667 -2,100	-198,825	-612,229
22.1001 22.2001		4,404			4,404		
24.4002 25.0001	budget	6,813			198,825 6,813	612,229	574,494
39.0001	Budget authority	748,964	626,505	454,810	748,964	626,505	454,810
40.0001	Budget authority: Appropriation Line item veto cancell	748,964	694,255	454,810	748,964	694,255	454,810
43.0001	Appropriation (adjusted)	748,964	626,505	454,810	748,964	626,505	454,810
	Relation of obligations to outlays: Obligations incurred Orders on hand, SOY Obligated balance, start of year Orders on hand, EOY Obligated balance, end of year Adjustments in expired accounts Adjustments in unexpired accounts				846,986 846,986 807,058 807,058 -895,116 14,435	213,101 98 895,116 -414,624	492,545 98 414,624 -276,715
90.0001	Outlays (net)				772,293	693,593	630,454

Military Construction, Air Force Object Classification (in Thousands of dollars)

Identification code 57-3300-0-1-051	1997 actual	1998 est.	1999 est.
	846,986	213,101	492,545
199.001 Total Direct obligations		213,101	
999.901 Total obligations	846,986	213,101	492,545
Obligations are distributed as follows:	598,294	419,180	
Defense-Military:Navy Defense-Military:Air Force Department of Transportation	133,014 121,748 556	6,491 114,125 1,081	6,381 112,196 1,063
Total Obligations	853,612	540,877	464,193

Pages 30 - 47 Intentionally Left Blank

1 COMPONENTE		lo pame			
COMPONENT FY 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE			
:	generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST			
	AIR EDUCATION	COST INDEX			
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA	AND TRAINING COMMAND	0.84			
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS SUPPOR	TED			
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV	OFF ENL CIV OFF EN	L CIV TOTAL			
a. As of 30 SEP 97 1009 1671 1580	438 2 1092	46 112 5,950			
b. End FY 2003 989 1687 1551	438 2 1092	46 112 5,917			
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)					
a. Total Acreage: (3,497)					
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)		235,589			
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		0			
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 19,398 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 0					
•	_	0			
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 21,300 g. Remaining Deficiency: 65,800					
h. Grand Total:		342,087			
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999				
CATEGORY	COST	DESIGN STATUS			
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	START CMPL			
179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	1 EA 1,837	AUG 97 SEP 98			
722-351 OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL (OTS)	2,300 SM 4,796	FEB 97 SEP 98			
DINING FACILITY		ļ			
724-433 OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL (OTS)	345 PN 12,765	MAR 97 SEP 98			
STUDENT DORMITORIES	TOTAL 10 200	ļ			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the	TOTAL: 19,398	OOO) NONE			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned		OUU) NONE			
724-417 OTS CADET DORMITORY (COT)	180 PN 7,900	<u> </u>			
724-417 SOS DORMITORIES	162 PN 13,400	<u> </u>			
10. Mission or Major Functions: Head		Air War			
College; Air Command and Staff College					
Training School; College for Aerospace Doctrine, Research, and Education;					
AF Quality Institute; Ira C Eaker College for Professional Development; AF					
Doctrine Center; Air Force Historical Research Agency; Headquarters AF					
Reserve Officer Training Corps; Headquarters Civil Air Patrol; Community					
College of the Air Force; an Air base		and an Air			
Force Reserve airlift wing with one C-					
11. Outstanding pollution and safety	(USHA) deliciencies:				
a. Air pollution:		0			
b. Water pollution:		0 1			
c. Occupational safety and healt	1:	0			
d. Other Environmental:		0			
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog	This Installation	49,675			
		į			
		İ			

1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL (OTS)					
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA STUDENT DORMITORIES					
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					
	ļ		ļ		ļ
	PNQS95				12,765
9. COST ESTIMATES					
			ļ <u> </u>	UNIT	COST
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL (OTS) STU	DENT		! !		
DORMITORIES (345 PN)					9,488
CADET QUARTERS		SM	8,625	1,100	(9,488)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			ļ <u>i</u>		1,981
UTILITIES		LS			(765)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(856)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(290)
SPECIAL FOUNDATION		LS	[(
SUBTOTAL		l	[11,469
CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST			 		573
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVER	JEND /6%\	 	 		12,042 723
TOTAL REQUEST	TEAD (01)	l I	 		$\frac{723}{12,765}$
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)] 		:
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		 	! ! ! !		12,765
1] 		
1		ļ 	I 		i
		!	!		!!!

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, structural steel frame, and architecturally compatible roof. Includes room-bath modules, laundry, storage, study areas, luggage room, Charge of Quarters (QC) area, covered entry, assembly pad, and all necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 405 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 486 PN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 456 PN

PROJECT: Construct two Officer Training School (OTS) dormitories. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: OTS provides Basic Officer training (BOT) for candidate officers commissioned upon graduation and Commissioned Officer Training (COT) for candidates commissioned without basic training (usually in medical and legal fields). Adequate living quarters and support facilities are required to accomodate a 345 BOT average student load. These dormitories will be part of the OTS campus required to maintain the necessary environment for training future Air Force officers. CURRENT SITUATION: OTS relocated to Maxwell AFB in 1993 with an average student load of 368 candidates. Since then, two actions have occurred. First, ROTC officer production numbers have dropped-off. Second, the Air Force is replacing the large number of officers who entered service between 1978-1982 by relying on increased OTS production. BOT is programmed to grow from 661 students per year in FY98 to 1235 students per year in FY02. This student load will generate 1000 graduates per year. BOT cadets are currently housed in Squadron Officer School (SOS) dormitories, however quarters for BOT are not available to support the projected student load increase. The SOS dormitories currently being used

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MI	LITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	İ.
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATIO	ON	
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALA	ABAMA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	1!	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	İ	
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL (OT	S) STUDENT DORMITORIES	PNQS953117

are substandard, in poor condition, and are programmed for demolition as part of the SOS dormitory replacement program. In addition, SOS production has increased to over 700 students per class to allow all officers to attend SOS in residence. A separate campus sized for the projected OTS student load is currently not available, leading to a mixture of the two schools and impact to the unique OTS environment. The SOS dormitories will remain in use to provide interim, workaround housing until the OTS campus is completed. Upon completion of the new dormitories, currently occupied dormitories will be demolished.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: With this increased student load, OTS will lose the ability to maintain a proper training environment to indoctrinate officer candidates into the Air Force way of life. Other schools, like SOS, must continue to be scaled back or contract quarters use must be increased (currently estimated at \$1,000,000/year) for other students to reside off base.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Gregory W. Coker (334) 953-6944. FY 1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$1,526K. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$1,265K. Future Unaccompanied housing RPM Requirement (estimated): FY98=\$1.53M; FY99=\$1.37M; FY00=\$1.4M: FY01= \$1.4M; FY02=\$1,4M; FY03=\$1.4M.

L. COMPONE	<u>:</u>	2. DATE
IR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	
	ATION AND LOCATION	
	R FORCE BASE, ALABAMA	
. PROJECT	TITLE 5. PRO	DJECT NUMBER
FFICER TF	AINING SCHOOL (OTS) STUDENT DORMITORIES PNC	QS953117
2. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAR 28
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 22
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 SEP 11
(2)		
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	766
	(b) All Other Design Costs	383
	(c) Total	1149
	(d) Contract	862
	(e) In-house	287
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
	ent associated with this project will be provided from	n
ther appr	opriations: N/A	
	•	

1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE	
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTI	ON PR	OJECT DATA	\	J	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4	. PRO	JECT TITLE			
İ		10	FFICE:	R TRAINING	SCHOOL	(OTS)	
MAXWELL AIR FORCE				FACILITY	····		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJE	CT NU	MBER 8. P	PROJECT (COST(\$000)	
	<u> </u>			ļ			
8.47.22	722-351	PNQS9				4,796	
	9. COS	r estimat	ES	,			
				ļ <u>ļ</u>	UNIT	COST	
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
OFFICER TRAINING S	CHOOL (OTS) DINING	3	ļ	ļ !		!	
FACILITY			SM	2,300		3,615	
DINING FACILITY			SM	1,550			
STUDENT ACTIVITY			SM	750	1,100	!	
SUPPORTING FACILITY	IES			ļ .		694	
UTILITIES			LS	ļ !		(230)	
PAVEMENTS	_		LS	! !		(210)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	5		LS	ļ !		(254)	
SUBTOTAL			ļ	! !		4,309	
CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COS'	***		-			215	
•		. (6%)	ł	! !		4,524	
SUPERVISION, INSPE	ļ	 		<u>271</u> 4,795			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROU	ŀ	! !		4,795 4,796			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUI	ADED		l I] 4 ,/35 	
			l]		 	
			l I	1 1		! !	
			-	1 		 	

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slab, brick exterior, sloped roof system, and fire protection. | Facility includes dining area, serving line, kitchen, dishwashing area, | refrigerated and non-perishable storage, receiving area, office, latrines, | covered queuing area, student activity area, and necessary support. | Air Conditioning: 221 KW.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,300 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 270 SM | PROJECT: Construct an OTS dining facility with attached activity area. | (New mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured dining facility is required to support the increased student load of the Officer Training School (OTS). Facility will provide space for food preparation, dishwashing equipment, dining area, and food storage. An adjacent but distinctly separate area for student activities is required to provide trainees separate space from active duty personnel for breaks and informal meetings. Economies of scale will be gained by combining this requirement with the dining facility. OTS has programmed for 2500 Basic Officer Training (BOT) and Commissioned Officer Training (COT) students entering in FY98, and this number will grow to 3000 students in FY00. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing permanent party dining facility shared by OTS does not have the capacity to accommodate the personnel increase projected. An addition is not possible because of existing site constraints. This project will allow OTS personnel to have an efficient, appealing, dedicated and adequate dining operation close to their dormitory and academic facilities. A dedicated dining facility will improve training by eliminating distractions caused by joint use of the

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL (OTS) DINING FACILITY	PNOS953116

current facility with permanent party personnel. The school currently loses 1.5 hours per cadet per day marching cadets.5 miles from the dormitories and academic facilities to the existing dining facility. This reduces available training and study time which consequently reduces training quality.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: OTS training will be adversely impacted if "Shift Feeding" must be expanded. Serving times would have to be extended causing rescheduling of classes and extending the training day. The time available for training would be reduced impacting the quality of training. Serving times for permanent party personnel will have to be further climited to accommodate OTS cadets.

ADDITIONAL: All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. Expansion of the existing facility is impossible due to site constraints and the use of off-base facilities is not possible due to the need for a controlled training environment. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Gregory W. Coker, (334) 953-6944

1. COMPONE	ENT	777 1000 NTI TTIDU GOVGTDUGTON DO TOG	2. DATE
 ard Hodge	-	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	NTT C	(computer generated) ON AND LOCATION	
3. INSTADI	TAT I C	N AND LOCATION	
MAXWELL A	R FO	DRCE BASE, ALABAMA	
4. PROJECT			PROJECT NUMBER
ĺ			
OFFICER TE	CAINI	ING SCHOOL (OTS) DINING FACILITY	PNQS953116
 12. SUPPI 	EMEN	WTAL DATA:	
l a. Esti 	mate	ed Design Data:	
(1)	Sta	atus:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(a)		97 FEB 03
		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cost	
İ		Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
		Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 23
İ		Date Design Complete	98 SEP 11
	,		77 333 33
(2)	Bas	- 	
		Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(-,		Production of Plans and Specifications	288
		All Other Design Costs	144
		Total	432
	(d)		324
		In-house	108
			200
(4)	Con	struction Start	99 JAN
b. Equipm	nent copri	associated with this project will be provided fations: N/A	rom
] 			

1. COMPONENT							1	2. DATE
T. COMECHENT	ਜਾ	V 1999 MTI.TTADV C	חופיייםניכי	PTON I	D P (TECT DATE	•	2. DAIL
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLAT	CONT AND		er gene.			ECT TITLE	<u></u>	
J. INSTAUMATI	ON AN	DECATION		- 		ECI IIII	2	
		6. CATEGORY CODE						
			İ			i		(4)
8.57.56		179-511	PNQ	399313	31	Ì		1,837
		9. COS	T ESTIM	ATES		•		
				1			UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/	'M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
UPGRADE FIRE	TRAIN	ING FACILITY		LS	3			1,350
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES						300
UTILITIES				LS	3			(125)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT:	S		LS	3			(70)
PAVEMENTS				LS	;			(55)
DEMOLITION				LS	;			(50)
SUBTOTAL				- 1				1,650
CONTINGENCY	(5%)			1				83
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COS	T		İ	ĺ			1,733
SUPERVISION,	INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEA	D (6%)	1	ĺ			104
TOTAL REQUEST	•			1				1,837
TOTAL REQUEST	' (ROUI	NDED)		- 1				1,837
				- 1	1			1
1				ĺ	1			
				ĺ	- 1			İ
				į	İ	j		
İ				i	i	i		j
l				i	i			i

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a fire training | facility to include a lined and environmentally acceptable fire training | pit; standard aircraft mockup; tank for propane gas; pumps, piping, and | storage system for fuel and water; lighting; fencing; roads; and necessary | support. Demolish existing fire training facility.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This is a level I Environmental Compliance Requirement. A live fire training facility which meets Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act is required to simulate large scale aircraft fires to conduct training in accordance with Air Force established policy. Acceptable fire training facilities include a double lined impermeable fire pit with leak detection system under the burn area, and a water conservation system to prevent contamination of land and ground water. Live fire training is an Air Force and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) training requirement for fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility does not meet the current Air Force design requirements for an environmentally safe fire training facility meeting the Clean Water Act (40 CFR 122). The facility still luses liquid fuel for training exercises with only a single lining for containment with no leak detection. The facility also lacks a detention basin to collect the fire suppression solution and runoff resulting from training excercises. The existing aircraft mock-up does not have the necessary features for all proper simulation in all training scenarios. This facility is used by on-base personnel and Air National Guard personnel.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	i .
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, ALABAMA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	l
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	PNQS993131

and FAA training requirements for remaining proficient in aircraft crash fire fighting and rescue techniques if the existing facility is closed. The safety of both the firefighters and aircraft accident victims would be compromised by lack of proper training. Traveling to other installations to conduct the fire training exercises is not feasible for the fire fighters because of cost and the level of manning required to remain at the installation to support the flying/training mission.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Gregory W. Coker, (334) 953-6944.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fire fighters will not be able to meet Air Force

1. C	OMPONE	NT			2. DATE				
ļ		ļ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ΓA					
•	FORCE		(computer generated)						
[3. I	NSTALL	ATI	ON AND LOCATION						
 NAD STATE		D 17/	ODGE DAGE ALADAMA						
	ROJECT		ORCE BASE, ALABAMA	le pp	OJECT NUMBER				
-	ROOMET		100	5. PK(DOECT NUMBER				
FIRE	TRAIN	ING	FACILITY	i I pno	QS993131				
Ì									
12.	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
1									
a.	Esti	mate	ed Design Data:						
	(-)								
1	(1)		atus:		00				
 -			Date Design Started		97 AUG 12				
! 			Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	CSCS	N 35%				
! 			Date 35% Designed.		97 AUG 14				
! 			Date Design Complete		98 SEP 01				
ĺ		` '							
İ	(2)	Bas	sis:						
			Standard or Definitive Design -		YES				
		(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		TYNDALL				
 			tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)				
 			Production of Plans and Specifications		37 37				
i I	(b) All Other Design Costs(c) Total								
¦			Contract		74 56				
i i		• • •	In-house		18				
Ì		(-/			10				
İ	(4)	Cor	nstruction Start		99 JAN				
İ									
ļ :-					ļ				
			associated with this project will be provide	ed from	n j				
otne	r appr	opr:	iations: N/A						
} 1									
!]									
! 					!				
İ									
İ									
					Ì				
Į					ĺ				
					ļ				
ļ					!				
 					ļ				
] 					ļ				
! 					!				
! 									
Ì					1				
	5 7				! [
į .	JI								

1. COMPONENT			2. DAT	E	
FY 1999 MILITARY (SRAM			
AIR FORCE (computer 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	generated) 4. COMMAND		IS ADD	A CONCEL	
13. INSTABILATION AND DOCATION	14. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST		
 EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA	 PACIFIC AIR FO	DCEG	1.		
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS	SUPPOR		/3	
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV			NL CIV	ן דרייים	
a. As of 30 SEP 97 254 2617 66			L13 574		
b. End FY 2003 249 2587 65	1 1 1	• •	L13 574		
	Y DATA (\$000)	1 271 2	<u> </u>	7,233	
a. Total Acreage: (19,790)	I DAIR (QUOU)				
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)		593,84	o i	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory				o i	
d. Authorization Requested In This Pr			4,35	- 1	
e. Authorization Included In Followin	_	2000)	10,20		
f. Planned In Next Three Program Year	_		33,52		
g. Remaining Deficiency:			280,18		
h. Grand Total:			922,09		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	: FY 1999		,	 	
CATEGORY		COST	DESIGN	STATUS	
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CMPL	
					
214-425 CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS	1,000 SM	4,352	TURN KE	y j	
FACILITY				į	
	TOTAL:	4,352			
9a. Future Projects: Included in th	e Following Prog	ram (FY 2	2000)		
113-321 REPAIR KC-135 PARKING RAMP	LS	4,000		Ì	
215-552 WEAPONS & RELEASE SYSTEMS	2,700 SM	6,200	TURN KE	Y	
FACILITY				j	
	TOTAL:	10,200			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planne	d Next Three Yea	rs:			
111-111 REPAIR RUNWAY	LS	13,000			
214-426 MUNITIONS VEHICLE HEATED	3,400 SM	2,500			
PARKING FACILITY					
441-257 HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION	675 SM	2,100			
FACILITY					
721-312 DORMITORY		15,920			
10. Mission or Major Functions: The	-			•	
squadron, an A/OA-10 squadron, and a				,	
THUNDER exercises. The installation				air	
refueling squadron (KC-135) and a tra	inig group that	conducts	arctic		
survival training.		- :			
11. Outstanding pollution and safety	(OSHA) deficien	cies:			
			_		
a. Air pollution:			0	ļ	
b. Water pollution:	. •		0	ļ	
c. Occupational safety and heal	th:		0	Ì	
d. Other Environmental:	- mbi 33 :		2,100		
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlo	g This installat	ion	58,604	ļ	
 				ļ	
 				į	
 				ļ	
			- /	1	

1. COMPONENT								12	DATE
COMPONENT	.	Y 1999 MTI.TTAR	Y CONSTRUC	ייי	J PP(OTECT DATE	Δ.	12.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATI	ON AN		pubbl gene			JECT TITL		1	
				!		IDATED MUI		NS	
EIELSON AIR E	ORCE 1	BASE, ALASKA			CILI				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 		6. CATEGORY C	ODE 7. PRO				PROJE	CT (COST (\$000)
								'	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
2.75.96		214-425	FTQ	W973	30081	R1			4,352
		9.	COST ESTIM						
							UNI	Г	COST
<u> </u>		ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	cos	Г	(\$000)
CONSOLIDATED	MUNIT	IONS FACILITY			SM	1,000	2,	950	2,950
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES		j			ĺ		941
UTILITIES					LS				(320)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT	5			LS	1			(155)
PAVEMENTS					LS				(140)
COMMUNICATI	ON SU	PPORT			LS	1			(106)
ENVIRONMENT	AL SI	TE REMEDIATION	•		LS	1			(220)
SUBTOTAL									3,891
CONTINGENCY	5%)							Ì	195
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COS	Г							4,086
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)							1		266
TOTAL REQUEST							1		4,352
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)							1		4,352
1									
							1		
1				- 1		I	l		

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Cast-in-place concrete | foundation and slab over non frost-susceptible backfill, CMU walls, steel | structural framing and inverted roof membrane assembly (IRMA) roof. | Provide access roads, electric utility extension, potable water well, | septic system, paved shop apron, and parking with electrical outlets.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 6,388 SM ADEQUATE: 418 SM SUBSTANDARD: 6,112 SM PROJECT: Construction of a consolidated munitions facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A facility close to the flightline and other weapons maintenance operations with maintenance bays for eight trailers, a compressed air system with drop lines in all bays, an overhead rail-mounted hoist capable of lifting triple-stacked munitions trailers, and an air or hydraulic floor lift. A paint room and carpentry shop; mechanical, equipment, tool, and break rooms; latrines, and office space for the equipment maintenance and line delivery sections.

CURRENT SITUATION: The trailer maintenance function and line delivery dispatch office currently share space in two facilities which provide space for two of eight munitions trailers. One of these facilities is a nosedock where aircraft maintenance has priority. This often prevents necessary repairs and maintenance from taking place on weapons trailers. Both trailer maintenance and the dispatch office have been forced to relocate three times in the last two years. Separating these functions from the weapons maintenance operations functions creates inherent inefficiencies, wasting man-hours and fuel. In addition this small maintenance area presents an operational bottleneck.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Line delivery will continue to be a limiting | factor in sortie generation due to uncertain trailer maintenance

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	İ
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	1
CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS FACILITY	FTQW973008R1

these functions and the weapons maintenance operation.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this activity in Military
Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this
project does meet the criteria and scope specified in Air Force Handbook
32-1084 "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were
considered during the development of this project. No other option could
meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed
or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL
ENGINEER: Lt Col Rich Howell, 907-377-5213.

capabilities. Potential savings of over 19,000 gallons of fuel and over 23,000 man-hours annually will not be realized due to distances between

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY (computer generated)	ra							
····	ION AND LOCATION								
 EIELSON AIR	FORCE BASE, ALASKA								
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER							
 CONSOLIDATED	MUNITIONS FACILITY	FTQW973008R1							
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:									
 a. Estima 	a. Estimated Design Data:								
(1) P	roject to be accomplished by one step turn key	y procedures							
 (2) B (a (b	<u> </u>	NO N/A							
(3) D	esign Allowance	261							
(4) C	onstruction Start	99 JAN							
 b. Equipmen other approp 	t associated with this project will be provideriations: N/A	ed from 							
j i									
! !									
<u> </u>									
		1							
		ļ							
 		İ							
		ļ							
]									
ļ		j							

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	Z. DAIE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
AIR FORCE	COST INDEX
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA MATERIEL COMMAND	1.21
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPO	RTED
	NL CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 651 3438 3095 242	390 749 8,565
b. End FY 2003 612 3085 3051 242	390 749 8,129
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)	
a. Total Acreage: (300,723)	
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)	805,374
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:	0
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:	10,361
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000)	0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: g. Remaining Deficiency:	37,500
h. Grand Total:	102,300
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999	955,535
CATEGORY COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000)	START CMPL
1 200201 11122 20014 (40007	START CMFH
211-152 RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE LS 10,361	TURN KEY
FACILITY	10141 1451
TOTAL: 10,361	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY	2000) NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:	
111-111 ADD TO AND ALTER NORTH BASE LS 16,000	
RUNWAY	i
134-375 ADD TO AND ALTER TRACON 2,471 SM 3,200	İ
610-281 CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT FACILITY 5,800 SM 10,800	Ì
740-674 ADD TO AND ALTER PHYSICAL 4,100 SM 7,500	
FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Force Flight Test Cente	
Research and Development which is responsible for flight test	activities
for all USAF aircraft and related avionics, flight control, a	
systems; a test wing; an air base wing; Air Force Test Pilot	
Propulsion Directorate of Phillips Laboratory. Also, a landithe space shuttle.	ng site for
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:	1
substanting politicion and safety (obita) deliciencies:	ļ
a. Air pollution:	2,000
b. Water pollution:	2,000
c. Occupational safety and health:	0
d. Other Environmental:	1,800
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation	378,498
	, ,
	i
	į
	į
	į
	į
	į
	į
1	:

- 1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated) 4. PROJECT TITLE 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA FACILITY 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 211-152 FSPM903017 7.28.06 10,361 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST ITEM U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000) RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY 7,400 MECHANICAL SYSTEM UPGRADE LS (2,000)ELECTRICAL SYSTEM UPGRADE LS (1,800)FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM UPGRADE LS | (2,800)STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS LS (800) SUPPORTING FACILITIES 1,490 UTILITIES LS 640) SITE IMPROVEMENTS LS 210) DEMOLITION OF OBSOLETE SYSTEMS LS 640) 8,890 SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (10%) 889 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 9,779 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 587 10,366 TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 10,361
- Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate infrastructure systems including water, electrical, structural, HVAC, and fire systems. Demolish any obsolete or unused systems throughout the facility. Project includes work to correct existing safety hazards, fire detection systems and upgrade building systems to meet current code standards for the industrial workplace.
- REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Renovate aircraft maintenance facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: The Air Force Flight Test Center (AFFTC) requires an adequately configured aircraft maintenance facility to support maintenance and repair activities on test aircraft. The building infrastructure must be reliable and free of safety hazards.

CURRENT SITUATION: The aircraft maintenance facility houses all of the general maintenance and repair operations for aircraft assigned to the AFFTC. This facility was built in the 1950s and the electrical, mechanical, water/plumbing and fire deluge systems have deteriorated to a point where major upgrade is required. The building systems inadequately support advanced electronic systems used for aircraft maintenance. System failures and work stoppages are common due to unreliable electrical and mechanical systems.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide facility infrastructure renovation will continue to delay maintenance supporting AFFTC aircraft. Test programs will be delayed and test costs will increase. The substandard conditions within this building will seriously impact the overall flight test mission at AFFTC. Facility maintenance costs will rise at an excessive rate. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE	
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE	ECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	<u> </u>	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION		
EDWARDS AIR F	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TI	TLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER	2
RENOVATE AIRC	RAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	FSPM903017	

|Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An economic analysis has |been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, infrastructure renovation, and status quo operation. Based on the net |present values and benefits of respective alternatives, renovation was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Steven Kukuk, (805) 277-2910. the building number is 1600.

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATE (computer generated)	ΓA							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION									
 EDWARDS_AIR_FORCE_BASE,CALIFORNIA									
	4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER								
 RENOVATE AIR	RENOVATE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY FSPM903017								
 12. SUPPLEMI 	ENTAL DATA:								
 a. Estimat 	ted Design Data:								
 (1) Pi 	roject to be accomplished by one step turn key	y proce	dures						
(a)	asis: Standard or Definitive Design - Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		NO N/A						
 (3) De	esign Allowance		435						
 (4) Co	onstruction Start		98 DEC						
b. Equipment contact	t associated with this project will be provideriations: N/A	ed from							

1. COMPONENT					1	2. DAT	Έ	
· .	1999 MILITARY CO		PROGE	MAS	- 1			
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION	4. COMMAND			.	5. AREA CONST		
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE	BASE,	AIR FORCE			1	COST INDEX		
CALIFORNIA		SPACE COMM	AND		i	1.	25	
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENT	s	SUP	PORT	ED		
STRENGTH	OFF ENL CIV	OFF ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
a. As of 30 SEP 97	645 2472 1163	i i	i i	1			4,280	
b. End FY 2003	626 2171 941	i i	i i	i		ii	3,738	
	7. INVENTORY	DATA (\$000)					
a. Total Acreage: (<u> </u>					
b. Inventory Total As					1	146,52	4	
c. Authorization Not					-,	110,52	0	
d. Authorization Reque		ram.				18,70	_	
e. Authorization Incl			(EV 2	0000		10,70	0	
f. Planned In Next Th			(FI 2	.000)		c 25	_	
g. Remaining Deficience		•				6,25		
h. Grand Total:	~y:				•	65,47		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	TH THIC DROCES	EV 1000			Ι,	236,95	6	
CATEGORY	IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999		aca=	_			
1				COST	_		STATUS	
CODE PROJI	ECT TITLE	SCOPE		(\$000	<u>)</u>	START	CMPL	
171-627 SPACE INITIA		3,800	SM	9,20	9 A	PR 97	MAY 98	
:	ADEMIC FACILITY							
212-216 ADD TO AND A		7,550	SM	9,50	0 T	URN KE	Y	
MAINTENANCE	FACILITY		_		_			
		TOTAL	:	18,70	9			
9a. Future Projects:	Included in the	Following 1	Progr	am (F	Y 20	00) NO	NE	
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Planned	Next Three	Year	s:		1.1		
740-674 ADD TO AND AI	TER PHYSICAL	1,000	SM	4,45	0			
FITNESS CENT	rer			-				
831-155 SLC-WASTE WAT	TER RECLAMATION	2	EA	1,80	0			
10. Mission or Major						orce:	а	
space wing with UH-1 a								
operations; an Air For	ce Materiel Comma	and detachme	ent o	f the	Sna	ce and	- •	
Missile Systems Center								
and missile training		Judion and			Juna	spa		
	ation and safety	(OSHA) defi	rieno	iec.				
	und barecy	(ODIES) GELIC	TEHC	TCD:				
a. Air pollution	١٠					2 050		
b. Water pollution						3,052		
	safety and healtl					6,446		
d. Other Environ	sarety and nealth	1:				0		
		·				6,187		
12. Real Property Mai	intenance Backlog	Inis Insta.	⊥⊥ati	on	1	21,772		
1								
!								
!							ĺ	
ļ								
							i i	
1								
İ								
ĺ								

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE
SPACE INITIAL QUALIFICATION
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA TRAINING ACADEMIC FACILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000
8.47.35 171-627 XUMU983005 9,209
9. COST ESTIMATES
UNIT COST
ITEM U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000)
SPACE IQT ACADEMIC FACILITY SM 3,800 6,580
TECHNICAL TRAINING SUPPORT/CLASSROOMS SM 2,800 1,600 (4,480
TECHNICAL TRAINING LABORATORY SM 1,000 2,100 (2,100
SUPPORTING FACILITIES 1,694
UTILITIES, COMMUNICATION SUPPORT, EMCS LS (490
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & SPECIAL FOUNDATION LS (400
PAVEMENTS LS (393
DEMOLISH MODULAR FACILITY SM 2,350 175 (_411
SUBTOTAL 8,274
CONTINGENCY (5%)
TOTAL CONTRACT COST 8,688
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 521
TOTAL REQUEST 9,209
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 9,209
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) (720

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, split-face concrete masonry walls, steel structural frame, and tile roof. Includes classrooms, administrative & instructors' offices, computer room, logistical storage, parking, utilities, handicap access, communication network, and all necessary support. Demolish one interim facility.

11. REQUIREMENT: 10,800 SM ADEQUATE: 6,900 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0
PROJECT: Construct Space Initial Qualification Academic Facility (New

Mission)

Air Conditioning: 360 KW.

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured academic facility is required to support the beddown of Space Initial Qualification Training (IQT) at Vandenberg AFB. As part of the Air Force effort to improve, consolidate, and streamline training, the 533rd Training Squadron (TRS) has relocated from Peterson AFB and the 534th TRS has relocated from Falcon AFS to consolidate with missile training at Vandenberg AFB. CURRENT SITUATION: The 533rd and 534th TRSs began training at Vandenberg AFB in Jun 96 supported by a temporary beddown. Sufficient quantity of adequate permanent space is not available at Vandenberg AFB to support the entire beddown. As a result, workarounds were developed using existing relocatable modular facilities and other space available, until Sep 99. This project provides a facility to replace the modular facility and other short term space. The modular facility has a leaky roof, warped doorways, and foundation underpinnings which are uneven and require continued adjustment. It is Air Force and DoD policy to use relocatable, modular facilities to support short term requirements pending replacement with

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A j
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	i.
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION	
VANDENBERG AI	R FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT TI	TLE 5	5. PROJECT NUMBER
SPACE INITIAL	QUALIFICATION TRAINING ACADEMIC FACILITY	XUMU983005

permanent construction. A temporary extension for the use of this modular facility has been authorized until Sep 99 to support the initial beddown.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Space Initial Qualification Training production | could not continue at its current production figures. IQT production | figures would be significantly reduced to fit its current permanent space. | As a result, trained personnel would not be available for space | operations.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Standard Facility Requirements". No other option could meet the mission requirements. Therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. certificate of exception has been prepared. The manpower numbers and mission requirements related to this project are based on FY 2000 force structure information. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Samuel Garcia, (805) 866-6855

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE	I
COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITA	ARY CONSTRUCTION I	PROJECT DATA	, — · — — — —	l
AIR FORCE		omputer generated)		<u> </u>	i
3. INSTALLATION	N AND LOCATION				Ī
İ					1
· 	FORCE BASE, CAL	IFORNIA			!
4. PROJECT TITI	LE		[5. PROJECT NUMBE	ER
 SPACE INITIAL (QUALIFICATION TRA	AINING ACADEMIC FA	ACILITY	XUMU983005	!
 12. SUPPLEMENT	TAL DATA:				
a. Estimated	d Design Data:				
(1) (4)	.				
(1) Stat		rtad		97 MAY 2	ا ا م
1 ' '	_	Estimates used to	n develop co		N
	Percent Complete		c action co		1 V
	Date 35% Designe			97 DEC 0	
	Date Design Comp			98 AUG 1	- 1
Ì		-			į
(2) Basi					ļ
(a) (b)		initive Design - s Most Recently Us	- ben	NO N / A	
(a)	where Design was	s most kecently us	sea -	N/A	
(3) Tota	al Cost (c) = (a)) + (b) or (d) +	(e):	(\$00	00)
(a)	Production of P	lans and Specifica	ations	55	53
(b)	All Other Design	n Costs		27	76
(c)	Total			82	29
(d)	Contract			62	22
(e)	In-house			20	7
(4) Cons	struction Start			99 J <i>I</i>	7N
 b. Equipment a other appropria		this project will	be provided	d from	
	acions.				
İ			FISCAL Y	EAR	i
EQUII	PMENT	PROCURING	APPROPRIA:	TED COST	r į
NOMEN	CLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUES:	TED (\$000) j
 PREWIRED WORK 8	STATIONS	3400	2000	720	 -
!					į
1					
					l i
!					
69					
					ĺ

- 1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE ADD TO AND ALTER MISSILE VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA MAINTENANCE FACILITY 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 3.59.96 212-216 XUMU933000R 9,500 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST ITEM |U/M|QUANTITY| COST (\$000) ADAL MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY 7,318 ADD ADMIN AND CLASSROOM SM 1,850 1,600| (2,960)ADD WAREHOUSE SM | 1,250 920 (1,150)ADD PROOF LOAD FAC AND VAULT EXPANSION LS (518) ALTER MISSILE SERVICE SHOP SM 4,350 600 (2,610) ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING LAB/SHOP SM | 100 800 80) SUPPORTING FACILITIES 855 UTILITIES/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/PAVEMENT LS 330) DEMOLITION SM 3,750 140 525) SUBTOTAL 8,173 CONTINGENCY (10%) 817 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 8,990 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) 539 TOTAL REQUEST 9,529 TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) 9,500 EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) (902) 10. Description of Proposed Construction: ADD: Concrete slab, masonry walls, steel frame floor and roof. Include heating/ventilation, fire protection, communications pre-wiring, utilities, site-work, paving, and new proof load test facility. ALTER: Install fire protection/modify floor plan. Insulate and weatherize. Reconfigure ceiling. Install wall and floor coverings. Includes demolition of facilities totaling 3,750 SM. 11. REQUIREMENT: 7,550 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,200 SM PROJECT: Add to and alter missile maintenance facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project supports the Force Application mission of Air Force Space Command. Provide a properly configured, efficient, and consolidated facility for Minuteman ICBM Follow-On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E) activities to eliminate safety hazards, provide adequate storage space, prevent facility degradation and mission failure and provide minimum acceptable working conditions for all workers. The facility and the associated maintenance crews must remain in a continual state of readiness to respond to maintenance emergencies. CURRENT SITUATION: FOTLE operations are impaired by inadequate and
- CURRENT SITUATION: FOT&E operations are impaired by inadequate and decentralized facilities. The 34 year old proof load test facility, used to verify specialized vehicles' capability to safely handle missile boosters and components, floods during heavy rain causing submersion of critical support equipment. The flooding causes corrosion of the support equipment in addition to electrical safety hazards and waste disposal problems. Failure of this facility would cause major delays in the FOT&E programs and the only solution would be to transport the vehicles to Hill AFB in Utah for verification. This verification is accomplished whenever missile boosters are installed at or removed from a launch facility. The 35 year old maintenance facility is poorly configured and inefficient. It

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO AND ALTER MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	XUMU933000R

|has no fire sprinklers and has numerous code violations and life safety |hazards. Space for operations staff and maintenance personnel is crowded and inadequate. Storage space is inadequate. In the codes vault, classified spare parts are stacked on shelves and on the ceiling of the |TEMPEST enclosure--a safety hazard. The Equipment Configuration Section does not have space for all their equipment. Some are stored inconveniently in the halls and some in another facility. This creates an inventory and resource protection constraint. The Pad Refurbishment Supply Point is located in a dilapidated, termite infested WWII wood facility which provides only part of the needed space. The facility has no fire protection, does not meet electric or seismic codes and has dry rot. Loss of this facility would delay the FOT&E mission. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: FOT&E of ICBM fleet will be severely impaired and delayed. Shipping transport vehicles to an alternate test facility at Hill AFB for verification is costly (\$8,600 per occurrance) and time consuming, taking away the means of transporting boosters and components to test silos. If such a failure caused delay of a launch, then the extra cost could be as high as \$738,000. The codes vault will continue to store parts in an unsafe manner. Equipment storage space will continue to be inadequate and lack required physical security. Due to cracking in the walls and damaged floors, the high bay pressurized air system will eventually fail. Inefficient operations impact the Missile/Space mission. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Standard Facility Requirements." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, add to and alter, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, add to and alter was found to be the most cost efficient alternative over the life of the project. In addition, the Minuteman III will be undergoing two upgrades, the Guidance Replacement Program and the Propulsion Replacement Program. Failure to upgrade these facilities will delay these programs. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col William Quinn, (805) 734-8232. the building number is 6601.

1. COMPONENT					2. DATE			
AIR FORCE	!	RY CONSTRUCTION P mputer generated)		'A	 			
3. INSTALLAT:	ION AND LOCATION							
VANDENBERG A	IR FORCE BASE, CALII	FORNIA						
4. PROJECT T	ITLE		[5. PRO	JECT NUMBER			
ADD TO AND A	ADD TO AND ALTER MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY XUMU933000R							
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:							
a. Estima	ted Design Data:				į			
(1) P:	roject to be accompl	lished by one ste	p turn key	proce	edures 			
·	asis:) Standard or Defin) Where Design Was	-	ed -		NO N/A			
(3) De	esign Allowance				350			
(4) Co	onstruction Start				98 DEC			
 b. Equipment other appropr 	t associated with th riations:	nis project will	be provide	d from	 			
1			FISCAL Y	EAR	j			
•	UIPMENT ENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	APPROPRIA OR REQUES		COST (\$000)			
 SYSTEMS FURN: 	ITURE	3400	1999		902 			
i 					İ			
!								
					į Į			
					j			

					
1. COMPONENT				2. DAT	re
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION P	ROGRAM		
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND I	LOCATION	4. COMMAND		5. ARI	EA CONST
		AIR FORCE		cos	T INDEX
FALCON AIR FORCE BASE	E, COLORADO	SPACE COMMA	ND	1.	.06
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENTS	SUPP	ORTED	
STRENGTH	OFF ENL CIV	OFF ENL	CIV OFF	ENL CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97	754 1697 421				2,872
b. End FY 2003	717 1463 389	i i i	i i	i	2,569
1	7. INVENTORY				
a. Total Acreage: (
b. Inventory Total As				255,88	30
c. Authorization Not				255,00	0
d. Authorization Requ		aram.		9,60	
e. Authorization Incl		_	FY 2000)	3,60	:
· -	_	=	F1 2000)	22.20	0
f. Planned In Next Th	-	:		23,20	
g. Remaining Deficier	icy:			31,21	
h. Grand Total:				319,89	93
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999			. !
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE PROJ	JECT TITLE	SCOPE	<u>(\$000)</u>	START	CMPL
					1
610-243 OPERATIONAL	SUPPORT FACILITY	4,300	SM <u>9,601</u>	JUN 97	JUL 98
		TOTAL:	9,601		1
9a. Future Projects:	: Included in the	Following P	rogram (FY	2000) NO	ONE
9b. Future Projects:	: Typical Planned	Next Three	Years:		
610-243 LOGISTICS ST	JPPORT FACILITY	4,450	SM 8,900		j
740-674 PHYSICAL FIT	TNESS CENTER	2,000	SM 4,000		i
740-884 CHILD DEVELO	OPMENT CENTER	2,200	SM 5,200		i
831-168 SANITARY SEV		·	LS 5,100		i
10. Mission or Major	r Functions: A sp			rfare Cer	iter:
and the National Test			<u>-</u>		,
	lution and safety		iencies:		
		(00111) 40220	-0110200.		i
a. Air pollution	on .			(, !
b. Water pollut				-	
		L .		5,500	
	safety and healt	11:		(•
d. Other Enviro		m) ' = , 1:	3 . 1	325	
12. Real Property Ma	aintenance Backlog	This Instal	lation	16,416	, !
					1
					l
1					-
1					ĺ
İ					i
İ					i
					i
					i
İ					¦
					-
					!
					ļ
!					ļ
ļ					1
					ĺ
1					į
1					İ

1. COMPONENT								10	DATE
!						DATE			
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANT		er gener			JECT T	TOT	<u> </u>	
S. INSTABLATI	ON ANI	DECATION	1	4.	PRO	JECT T	1111	.	
I I dat contato do	ים בי	ASE, COLORADO	i	ΩDI	יש ע כוב	TONIAT (CIIDI	PORT FAC	TT TM12
		6. CATEGORY CODE							
J. PROGRAM EI	JEMEN I	O. CAIEGORI CODE	i. Prod I	EC.	I NOI	ו אם בשיי	o. 1	PRODECT	2051 (\$000)
 3.59.96		610-243	 GLEN	1983	3006	l			9,601
		9. COS	r estima	TES	3				
					1	1		UNIT	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	(\$000)
OPERATIONAL S	UPPOR'	FACILITY			SM	4,3	00	1,400	6,020
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES			1	1			2,610
UTILITIES					LS	İ			(1,200)
COMMUNICATI	ONS D	JCTS/SUPPORT			LS	!	1	1	(350)
SITE IMPROV	EMENTS	5			LS				(250)
PAVEMENTS					LS				(460)
DEMOLITION					LS	1			(350)
SUBTOTAL									8,630
CONTINGENCY ((5%)					1			432
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COST	ŗ				!			9,062
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)					ĺ			544	
TOTAL REQUEST					İ	Ì		9,606	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)						[Ì		9,601
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)						j		(1,135)	
						ĺ	j		
							j		
							i		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Precast concrete and steel framed structure with exterior finish to complement existing base facilities. Project shall include comprehensive interior design. Includes all necessary mechanical, electrical, fire suppression, utilities, site work, and removal of existing modular building. Air Conditioning: 185 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

| PROJECT: Construct an operational support facility. (Current Mission)
| REQUIREMENT: Permanent work space is required for military personnel,
| civilian employees, and contractors who directly support missions at
| Falcon. Falcon was originally built as an operations center to support
| growing DoD requirements in the Space Shuttle program. After the
| Challenger disaster, the mission changed to support DoD satellite
| programs. Falcon became a base vice an operations center and now the
| available facilities to support this mission are inadequate or
| nonexistent. This support includes technical engineering, repair, and
| maintenance for mission essential computer systems; control of network
| systems to ensure compatibility; communications maintenance to support
| voice and data networks; and engineering and management to operate,
| maintain, and update mission critical support systems facilities. Project
| includes demolition of temporary modular facility.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The support functions described above are located in a | "temporary" facility erected in 1987. The Air Force purchased this | facility in 1993 from the contractor for the purpose of relocating Space | Command personnel from downtown leased facilities. This "temporary" | facility is actually 118 trailers bolted together and placed on temporary

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	1.
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
FALCON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	GLEN983006

concrete block columns. It has already exceeded the five year design life by three years. Annual maintenance costs have increased significantly each year. During the first five years, the annual maintenance costs averaged \$75K. Over the last three years, annual maintenance costs exceeded \$240K. There are individual roof-mounted HVAC units for every two trailers. Roof leaks are a constant problem, hampering the mission and damaging equipment. In addition, a May 1995 architectural engineering study which addressed safety and maintenance repairs identified \$1M worth of repairs. Safety items include washed out columns, weak floor foundations, broken tie-down anchors, and buckled roof sheathing. study also identified requirements for replacing roof and wall siding and repairing sheathing. This is the workplace for 233 professionals. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The infrastructure requirements of the diversified DoD satellite missions including Global Positioning Satellites (GPS), Defense Satellite Program (DSP), Defense Satellite Communication System (DSCS), and other classified DoD satellite missions are forcing overcrowding in all facilities. With the present permanent floor space at |Falcon already being over utilized by various Air Force space-related missions, permanent space to support these missions does not exist. Costs to maintain these temporary facilities will continue to escalate until the point where it becomes more economical to replace them with new temporary facilities, estimated to cost \$5M.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Steve Lillemon, (719) 567-4200.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTI	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
AIR FORCE (computer genera 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	ted)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
FALCON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	İ
OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY	GLEN983006
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Estimated Design Data:	
(1) Status:	
(a) Date Design Started	97 JUN 06
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates use	ed to develop costs N
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 19	98 65%
(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 11
(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUL 24
(2) Basis:	
(a) Standard or Definitive Design	NO
(b) Where Design Was Most Recentl	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d)	(0000
(a) Production of Plans and Speci	• • •
(b) All Other Design Costs	200
(c) Total	738
(d) Contract	620
(e) In-house	118
(4) Construction Start	99 JAN
b. Equipment associated with this project w other appropriations:	ill be provided from
	ETCONI VEND
EQUIPMENT PROCURING	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED COST
NOMENCLATURE APPROPRIATIO	
	A OR REGESTED (5000)
SYSTEM FURNITURE 3400	2000 1135

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE
FY	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROG	RAM	İ
AIR FORCE	(computer			
3. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION	4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST
UNITED STATES AIR FOR	CE ACADEMY,	UNITED STATES		COST INDEX
COLORADO		AIR FORCE ACAL		1.02
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENTS	SUPPOR	
•	OFF ENL CIV	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		L CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97		• • •	•	00 190 8,171
b. End FY 2003			21 40	00 190 8,167
I Detail Description	7. INVENTORY	DATA (\$000)		
a. Total Acreage: (426 440
b. Inventory Total As c. Authorization Not				426,440
d. Authorization Requi	_	aram.		4,413
e. Authorization Include.		_	2000)	21,500
f. Planned In Next Th			2000,	34,717
g. Remaining Deficience	_	•		36,490
h. Grand Total:	~ <i>1</i> ·			523,560
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999		
CATEGORY			COST I	DESIGN STATUS
!	ECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL
	······································			i
171-853 ADD TO AND A	LTER PREP SCHOOL	3,300 SM	4,413	JUL 97 JUL 98
BUILDINGS				
<u> </u>		TOTAL:	4,413	
9a. Future Projects:	Included in the	Following Prog	ram (FY 20	000)
171-853 UPGRADE ACADI	EMIC FACILITY	13,000 SM		1
<u></u>		TOTAL:	21,500	
9b. Future Projects:				!
219-943 ZONE MAINTEN		2,787 SM		
!	LTER SECURITY	1,125 SM	1,900	
FORCES FACIL		T 0		ļ
740-673 ADD TO AND A	LTER ATHLETIC	LS	19,991	
!	LITIES HEATING	LS	7,518	
SYSTEM	JIIIES MEALING	ПО	7,510	
1	INFRASTRUCTURE	LS	2,808	i
	Functions: Resp			cation and
training for cadets to				
training squadrons sup				
base wing.				ĺ
11. Outstanding polls	ution and safety	(OSHA) deficien	cies:	1
1				
a. Air pollution	ı:			0
b. Water pollut:				0
	safety and healt	h:		0
d. Other Environ				0
12. Real Property Ma:	intenance Backlog	This Installat	10n 1	190,360
				1
 77				1

1. COMPONENT	Γ	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	DATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	TION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TI	ITLE
UNITED STATES	ES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, ADD TO AND AI	LTER PREP SCHOOL
COLORADO	BUILDINGS	
5. PROGRAM EI	ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	B. PROJECT COST(\$000)
		j

COST ESTIMATES

XOPZ950036

171-853

_	9. COST ESTIMATE.	<u> </u>				
				UNIT	COST	•
	ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
	ADD TO AND ALTER PREP SCHOOL BUILDINGS	SM	3,300		3,350	
ļ	ALTER PREP SCHOOL OFFICE BUILDING	SM	1,400	1,000	(1,400)	
	ALTER PREP SCHOOL CLASSROOM BUILDING	SM	1,400	1,000	(1,400)	
	ADD STAIR TOWERS TO EACH BUILDING	SM	500	1,100	(550)	
	SUPPORTING FACILITIES			ĺ	435	
	ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	LS		ĺ	(320)	
-	PAVEMENTS	LS		j	(15)	
	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)	
	SUBTOTAL				3,785	
	CONTINGENCY (10%)				379	
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,164	
	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				250	
	TOTAL REQUEST				4,414	
į	TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,413	
-						
-					1	
		!		ĺ	į	
		1 1	j	i	i	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter and renovate spaces within existing concrete frame, curtain walled buildings and construct elevators. Add stairs and an elevator to the end of each building. Replace all interior walls, interior finishes, mechanical and electrical systems, exterior window wall systems, and communication systems. Correct life safety code deficiencies and remove asbestos containing materials. Air Conditioning: 190 KW.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 3,300 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,800 SM

 PROJECT: Add to and alter Prep School buildings. (Current Mission)

 REQUIREMENT: The Prep School requires modern, safe, technology
 supportive, and environmentally conducive facilities to train and prepare
 Prep School cadets for integration into the Academy Cadet Wing. Academic
 spaces must accommodate program changes, greater reliance on computers,
 and a growing demand for technologically oriented curriculum. A
 consolidated facilities approach to training and education will allow the
 Prep School to fully accomplish its mission.

CURRENT SITUATION: The office and classroom buildings were designed as enlisted dormitory rooms in 1959 and no major upgrade or renovation work has occurred to date. Both buildings currently have offices, classrooms, and dormitory rooms. Interior stairs, walls, and doors do not meet current fire and life safety codes, a condition that would jeopardize people's lives in the event of a fire. This project moves the stairwells to the ends of the buildings meeting the Life Safety Code requirements. Inadequate ventilation and insulation as well as solar gain cause office and classroom daily temperatures to exceed 95 degrees. Computer equipment is being damaged due to these high temperatures. The configuration of

8.58.96

4,413

	1. COMPONENT		2. D	ATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ΔTA	İ	
	AIR FORCE (computer generated)		i	
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		<u> </u>	
	UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO			
	4. PROJECT TITLE	5.	PROJECT	NUMBER
		1		
Ì	ADD TO AND ALTER PREP SCHOOL BUILDINGS	1	XQPZ9500	36

| these classrooms cannot accommodate computer labs and/or audio visual | presentations, which severely limits an instructor's ability to teach. | The lack of office space impacts the instructor's productivity and makes | private counseling sessions impossible. There are no meeting rooms or | classrooms large enough to accommodate classes of 25 or more students. | The existing exterior wall system in one of the buildings is without | insulation, which compounds the existing mechanical systems' control | problems. Lack of insulation and malfunctioning valves causes water pipes | to freeze and burst several times a year, causing damage to carpeting, | furniture, and personal belongings. Elevators are required to meet | accessibility requirements for the disabled.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Personnel will continue to be exposed to a high risk of injury or death in the event of a fire. Offices and classrooms will continue to function in improperly configured, poorly insulated, poorly lighted spaces, degrading the mission to help students become academically prepared for integration into the Academy Cadet Wing.

Makeshift computer labs will not fulfill academic requirements. Water damage will continue to occur, and computer equipment will continue to be damaged from the excessive room temperatures. Energy will continue to be wasted by heating an energy inefficient building. The buildings will continue to be inaccessible to disabled personnel.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, revitalization and addition was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER Col Susanne Waylett, (719)333-2660. The buildings number are 5216 and 5220

1. COMPON	ENT		2. DATE
	j	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	į,
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)	
3. INSTAL	LATIC	ON AND LOCATION	
UNITED ST	ATES	AIR FORCE ACADEMY, COLORADO	
4. PROJEC			PROJECT NUMBER
ADD TO AN	D ALT	TER PREP SCHOOL BUILDINGS	XQPZ950036
12. SUPP	LEMEN	TAL DATA:	
a. Est	imate	ed Design Data:	
(1)	St:	atus:	
(+)		Date Design Started	97 JUL 12
		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cost	
		Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
		Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 01
	(e)	Date Design Complete	98 JUL 17
(2)	Bas	sis:	
(2)		Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b)		N/A
(5)			
(3)		cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
		Production of Plans and Specifications	264
		All Other Design Costs Total	191 455
		Contract	350
		In-house	105
(4)	Con	struction Start	99 JAN
		associated with this project will be provided f	from
other app	ropri	ations: N/A	

1 COMPONENT!	la pame l
1. COMPONENT FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. DATE
	l 1
AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND	IE ADEA CONCE
BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE, DISTRICT OF AIR FORCE DISTRICT	5. AREA CONST COST INDEX
COLUMBIA OF WASHINGTON	0.96
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPO	
	NL CIV TOTAL
	803 40 3,974
	803 40 3,921
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)	003[40] 3,921
a. Total Acreage: (607)	
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)	247,908
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:	0
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:	2,948
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000)	0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:	13,330
g. Remaining Deficiency:	18,500
h. Grand Total:	282,686
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999	_02,000
CATEGORY COST	DESIGN STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000)	START CMPL
1,4000	<u> </u>
171-833 HONOR GUARD TECHNICAL SCHOOL 1,300 SM 2,948	SEP 97 AUG 98
TOTAL: 2,948	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY	2000) NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:	
432-283 ICE STORAGE FACILITY 1,400 SM 750	i
721-315 TRANSIENT QUARTERS, PH 1 2,400 SM 3,936	i
721-315 TRANSIENT QUARTERS, PH 2 1,350 SM 2,282	i
730-773 CHAPEL CENTER ADDITION 232 SM 1,250	
740-884 CHILD CARE ANNEX 2,550 SM 1,950	
10. Mission or Major Functions: Supports Air Force personne	l in the
National Capitol Region. Headquarters USAF functions include	
Chaplains, Surgeon General, and Historian; Headquarters Air Fo	orce Office
of Special Investigation; Air Force Office of Scientific Resea	,
Force Legal Services Agency; Air Force Medical Operating Agency	cy; USAF
Band; and USAF Honor Guard; and a support wing.	
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:	
	ĺ
a. Air pollution:	0
b. Water pollution:	25
c. Occupational safety and health:	150
d. Other Environmental:	0
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation	75,315
	i
	İ
	j
	j
	į
	ì
	1
	1

1. COMPONENT							12	. DATE
	F	Y 1999 MILITARY (CONSTR	UCTIO	N PRO	OJECT DAT		. 5
AIR FORCE		(comput	er ge	nerate	ed)		i	
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI					JECT TITL	E	
				i				
BOLLING AIR	ORCE I	BASE, WASHINGTON	DC	но	NOR (GUARD TEC	HNICAL	SCHOOL
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	E 7. P	ROJEC'	r nui	MBER 8.	PROJECT	COST (\$000)
j			Ì			ĺ		
9.12.12		171-833	B	XUR98	0005	<u> </u>		2,948
L		9. CO	ST EST	IMATE	<u>s</u>			
						1	UNIT	COST
		ITEM	-		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
	HONOR GUARD TECHNICAL SCHOOL					1,300		1,723
TECHNICAL S					SM	550	1,26	7 (697)
ADMINISTRAT					SM	750	1,36	
SUPPORTING FA		_			ļ		ļ	925
UTILITIES/I					LS	!	ļ	(185)
PAVEMENTS/I					LS	!	ļ	(80)
SITE IMPROV	EMENT:	5			LS	!	ļ	(165)
DEMOLITION					SM	700	18	- ! . ==-,
PILE FOUND					LS	ļ	ļ	(200)
COMMUNICAT	CON SU	PPORT			LS	!		(<u>165</u>)
SUBTOTAL					ļ	!		2,648
CONTINGENCY		_				!		132
TOTAL CONTRAC		_			ļ	!	ļ	2,780
!		CTION AND OVERHEA	4D (6%)	!	!		167
TOTAL REQUEST					!	!		2,947
TOTAL REQUEST	r (ROU	NDED)			ļ	!		2,948
Į.						1		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slab with special foundations (piles) as needed, brick masonry , | roof system and necessary HVAC/utilities. Facility to include training | rooms, administrative areas and offices.

Air Conditioning: 130 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,300 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 700 SM PROJECT: Construct an honor guard facility (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Facilities are required to house a newly established school for honor guard units throughout the Air Force including a technical school, headquarters, and administration functions to accomplish the USAF Honor Guard Mission. The buildings will include classrooms, supply, storage, changing areas, offices and training areas for 600 honor guard students from around the Air Force (including Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard) per year plus the HQ USAF Honor Guard. Exterior drill pad to be part of design. Entire design must meet National Capital Planning Commission and The Commission of Fine Arts requirements for facilities in the District of Columbia.

CURRENT SITUATION: HQ USAF created a technical school for honor guard training at Bolling AFB to take advantage of the expertise possessed by the USAF honor guard personnel currently stationed on base. The technical school will train 600 students annually from Air Force bases worldwide as well as the 150 personnel assigned to the HQ USAF Honor Guard. The school recently experienced a 20% manpower increase with the new training mission, exacerbating already crowded and inefficient spaces and jeopardizing the training mission. The existing training, headquarters and administrative functions are being conducted in facilities that have

1. COMPONENT						2. DA	ATE
	FY 1999	MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT DA	TA		
AIR FORCE		(compi	uter generate	d)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCAT	rion					-
į							
BOLLING AIR F	FORCE BASE, W	VASHINGTON	N DC				
4. PROJECT TI	TLE				5. I	PROJECT	NUMBER
HONOR GUARD T	TECHNICAL SCH	IOOL			į F	3XUR9800	005

insufficient space. An old dormitory is temporarily being used for storage, classrooms and office space, however the space is inadequate and will be demolished following the completion of this project. Existing facilities are too small to accommodate the increased workload and number of students.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Honor guard personnel will continue to work and train in substandard, inefficient and overcrowded facilities which will adversely impact their capability to provide quality training for USAF honor guard students. Degradation in training will impact this very high profile and public activity for the Air Force.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and the benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The master plan and architectural character of this facility has been approved by the National Capital | Planning Commission and the Commission of Fine Arts. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Edward D Mayfield, 202-767-5565.

1. COMPONE	NT	1	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A	ļ.
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION		
 DOLLING AT	D FORCE BACE WASHINGTON DC		† 1
4. PROJECT	R FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON DC	5. PRC	JECT NUMBER
4. PRODECT		J. 1110	1
 HONOR GUAR	D TECHNICAL SCHOOL	вхт	TR980005
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:		Į.
(A param	etric cost estimate was developed to determ:	ine th	ne cost
_	project)		<u> </u>
[1
) a Roti	mated Degian Data.		
a. Esti	mated Design Data:		
(1)	Status:		
, (<u>-</u> ,	(a) Date Design Started		97 SEP 15
	(b) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		3%
į	(C) Date 35% Designed.		98 APR 03
İ	(d) Date Design Complete		98 AUG 05
			ļ
(2)	Basis:		
!	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
 	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(3) 	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		177
i I	(b) All Other Design Costs		88
<u> </u>	(c) Total		265
ì	(d) Contract		199
İ	(e) In-house		66
ļ			ļ
(4)	Construction Start		99 JAN
!			ļ
ļ			ļ
 b Emin	ont pagesiated with this project will be provide	ad from	, l
	ent associated with this project will be provide opriations: N/A	tu IIO	"
coner appr	opriacions. N/A		<u> </u>
]			i
			į
į			j
1			
			!
]			1
			ļ
			ł
1			ì
			i
			i
ì			ì
			j
			ĺ
			1

	. 1000 MII I						2	. DAI	Έ
AIR FORCE	1999 MILITA				PROGR	MAS			
3. INSTALLATION AND I		uter c	4. CO					ADE	A CONTR
3. INSTABILATION AND I	OCATION		AIR F				9		A CONST
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE,	PI OD IDA		MATER		``.	т.	ļ		T INDEX
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANE	NTT		UDENTS			DODER		86
STRENGTH	OFF ENL						PORTE		
	1414 6006			FMT	CIVI	OFF		CIV	
b. End FY 2003	1371 5776					55		370	•
5. Elia F1 2003	7. INVE			(6000)	I	55	276	370	11,06
a. Total Acreage: (MIOKI	DAIA	(3000)					
o. Inventory Total As		D 971					4	44,90	E
c. Authorization Not							-32		0
d. Authorization Requ		-	rram.					20,43	_
e. Authorization Incl				am.	(EV 2	000)		6,60	
f. Planned In Next Th				am.	(F1 2	.000)		27,39	
g. Remaining Deficien	_	rears.	•					27,39 7 1 ,80	
n. Grand Total:	.c ₁ .							71,13	
B. PROJECTS REQUESTED	TN THIS DDA	CDAM.	FY 1	000				/1,13	
CATEGORY	, IN IHIO FRO	GIGHI.	PI I	,,,		COST	שת	STON	STATUS
	ECT TITLE		c	COPE		(\$000		TART	CMPL
1100	DCT TITED		2	COPE		(3000	<u>, 5</u>	IARI	CMPL
317-316 SANTA ROSA I	SLAND TEST S	TTES			LS	12,57	וזיזי ו	RN KE	v
721-312 DORMITORY	<u></u>			140		7,86		ICIV ICE	11
				TOTAL :	_	20,43	_		
a. Future Projects:	Included i	n the						0)	
141-753 SQUADRON OPE					-	6,60		0,	
				TOTAL :	_	6,60	_		
b. Future Projects:	Typical Pl	anned							
212-213 PRECISION GU						4,19	n		
FACILITY				_,		-,	_		
721-312 DORMITORY				120	RM	6,60	0		
740-253 PEOPLE'S PLA	CE		3			8,90			
740-674 FITNESS CENT				-		7,70			
10. Mission or Major		Air F						ter:	an
air base wing; Air Co									
test wing with F-15 a									
Command MC-130P speci									
11. Outstanding poll					ienc	ies:		_	
		•	•						
a. Air pollutio	n:							0	
b. Water pollut	ion:							500	
_	safety and	health	1:					0	
c. Occupational								800	
c. Occupational d. Other Enviro	nmental:								

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	N PROJECT DATA	4 j
AIR FORCE (computer generate	:ed)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4.	PROJECT TITLE	<u>e</u>
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA DO	RMITORY	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJEC	CT NUMBER 8. E	PROJECT COST(\$000)
	1	l
7.28.06 721-312 FTFA96	3039	7,866
9. COST ESTIMATE	ES	
		UNIT COST
ITEM	U/M QUANTITY	COST (\$000)
DORMITORY (140 PN)	SM 4,600	1,200 5,520
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		1,550
UTILITIES	LS	(550)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	(150)
PAVEMENTS	LS	(200)
DEMOLITION/DISPOSAL	SM 4,550	120 (546)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS	(<u>104</u>)
SUBTOTAL		7,070
CONTINGENCY (5%)		354
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		7,424
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		445
TOTAL REQUEST		7,869
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		7,866
	1	

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete | foundation, frame and floor slabs with masonry walls, and sloped metal | roof system. Includes exterior entrance to room-bath/kitchen-room | modules, laundry rooms, storage, and lounge areas. Includes utilities, | site improvements, and all necessary support. Demolition of 2 buildings | totaling 4,550 SM.

Air Conditioning: 500 KW. Grade Mix: 140 E1-E4.

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,486 PN ADEQUATE: 394 PN SUBSTANDARD: 654 PN | PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: It is a major Air Force objective to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: Eglin Air Force Base has insufficient facilities to provide housing for all unaccompanied enlisted personnel. The existing modular dorms were constructed in 1972 and have central latrines instead of semi-private baths. These dorms have deteriorated to the point where a major renovation is required; however, it is not economical to upgrade these dorms to current standards. Enlisted personnel cannot afford to live off base because of expensive rentals market. Completion of this project will allow demolition of two buildings totaling 4,550 square meters.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be | unavailable, resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career

1. COMPONENT		2. DF	TE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	.TA	Ì	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. 1	PROJECT	NUMBER
	ĺ		
DORMITORY	ĺ t	FTFA9630	139

| satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Lowered morale will | contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force. | ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new | uniform barracks standard established by OSD. An economic analysis has | been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, | revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values | and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to | be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL | ENGINEER: Col Richard Fernandez, (904) 882-2876. FY96 Unaccompanied | Housing RPM Conducted: \$419K, FY97 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$704K, estimated Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirements for FY98=\$795K, | FY99=\$795K, FY00=\$820K, FY01=\$843K, FY02=\$868K, and FY03=\$895K

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
AIR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	A .
· -	ION AND LOCATION	
 PGLIN AIR PO	RCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER
PODMITTORY	!	TTTT 063030
DORMITORY		FTFA963039
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima 	ted Design Data:	
(1) P	roject to be accomplished by one step turn key	procedures
(2) B		
) Standard or Definitive Design -) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	NO N/A
ĺ		1,11
(3) D	esign Allowance	374
(4) C	onstruction Start	99 JAN
]]		
į		į
	t associated with this project will be provided	d from
other approp	riations: N/A	
1		ļ
] 		
į		į
į		j
		1
		į
		ļ
]		1

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
	FY	1999 MILITA	ARY CONSTR	UCT	NOI	PRO	JECT DATA	A	ĺ	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)										
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND	LOCATION		Ī	4. F	PRO	JECT TITLI	3		
				Ì						
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE	E, FLORIDA			SANT	I A	ROSA ISLAI	ND TE	ST	SITES
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT 6	. CATEGORY	CODE 7. P	ROJ	ECT	NUN	MBER 8. 1	PROJE	CT (COST (\$000)
	1		1				ĺ			
7.28.06		317-316	F	TFA	9630)51				12,571
		9.	COST EST	IMA	TES					
								UNI	Г	COST
		ITEM			ַן	J/M	QUANTITY	COS'	Г	(\$000)
SANTA ROSA IS	LAND TE	EST SITES			I	S				7,900
FOCUS TEST		• •			! -	LS				(3,750)
HARDWARE IN	·				I	LS				(4,150)
SUPPORTING FA	CILITIE	S			ļ					3,400
UTILITIES					! -	LS				(500)
PAVEMENTS/S						S				(280)
TOWERS, EMI	TTER/T	RACKER/HARDS	STAND PADS		,	LS				(1,240)
SEAWALLS					ĮI	LS				(1,380)
SUBTOTAL										11,300
CONTINGENCY (•				ļ					565
TOTAL CONTRAC										11,865
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)										712
TOTAL REQUEST										12,577
TOTAL REQUEST	' (ROUNI	DED)								12,571
					ļ					ļ
					ļ					ļ
										l

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Three reinforced concrete | bldgs on pilings to withstand a category 2 hurricane. Reinforced concrete | hardstand 150'x150'x12", emitter pad 50'x50'x12", and tracker pad | 50'x100'x24". Three 100 foot, and one 300 foot towers. Stone hardstand | 200'x100' for equipment vans. Access roads , parking, fences , seawalls, | communication, and necessary support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct Santa Rosa Island test sites. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Multipurpose test sites are required to support research,
| development and operational testing, training and special purpose testing.
| Test requirements include munitions-related tests such as Joint
| Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (JASSM) and Precision Guided Munitions
| (PGM), C4I tests like JOINT STARS and Joint Tactical Information Display
| Systems (JTIDS). Emitter, and special instrumentation support are also
| required for Air Warfare Center (AWC) and Air Force Special Forces Command
| (AFSOC) training for onboard aircraft systems and other tests as required.
| These test sites will provide a generic-site infrastructure capable of
| supporting mobile sensors and range instrumentation, including mobile
| cinetheodolites, video/laser trackers, and range communication and
| slaving. This concept will focus on using mobile equipment with the
| generic sites being able to cope with severe storms.
| CURRENT SITUATION: The twelve existing test sites on Santa Rosa Island

CURRENT SITUATION: The twelve existing test sites on Santa Rosa Island have been either severely damaged or destroyed by Hurricane Opal. This all occurred on the evening of 4 Oct 95 as Opal hit Eglin Air Force Base with high winds and 15 foot storm surges. Open-air Hardware-in-the-Loop (HITL) testing has been severely impacted, and testing of systems has been limited. A mobile seeker van is being used for open-loop seeker tests

1. COMPONENT	2. DA	TE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT	NUMBER
SANTA POSA ISLAND TEST SITES	ETEA9630	51

|against against airborne targets. The current condition of the damaged |sites will not permit tests against surface targets on the sea and land. |As a result, required open-air HITL testing cannot be conducted to provide |total performance data for weapon systems operating against real targets. |This project will replace the twelve damaged sites with three multipurpose |test sites.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Critical test support capability will not exist. Test requirements cannot be met and delivery of future weapon systems will be delayed or improperly tested. Programs such as the Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM) and Air Intercept Missile (AIM-9X) will require additional flight testing to compensate for the loss of ground test capability. An additional \$10M will be spent on flight costs along with \$2M in development efforts. Flight testing limits the quantity of test data that can be collected compared to the open-air HITL capability thus reducing the quality of the testing.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" or in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Richard Fernandez, (904) 882-2876.

11.	COMPONE	•	2. DATE						
AIR	FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY (computer generated)	ra						
		ATION AND LOCATION							
 EGL	EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA								
4.	PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER						
SAN	TA ROSA	ISLAND TEST SITES	 FTFA963051						
 12. 	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
 a 	. Estir	nated Design Data:							
 	(1)	Project to be accomplished by one step turn key	y procedures						
 		Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	NO N/A						
 	(3)	Design Allowance	445						
! 	(4)	Construction Start	98 DEC						
b. oth 		ent associated with this project will be provide opriations: N/A	ed from						
 	91	,							

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CO		
	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
	AIR FORCE SPECIAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
EGLIN AUXILIARY FIELD NO 9, FLORIDA	OPERATIONS COMMAN	
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT		SUPPORTED
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV	::	FF ENL CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 1147 6078 511	!!!!!!	17 549 73 8,975
b. End FY 2003 1144 6006 512		17 549 73 8,901
<u> </u>	DATA (\$000)	
a. Total Acreage: (6,634)		170 657
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)		179,657
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		0
d. Authorization Requested In This Pro		3,837
e. Authorization Included In Following		
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years	•	15,292 0
g. Remaining Deficiency:		-
h. Grand Total: 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:	EV 1999	217,586
o. Prodects requested in this Program:		OST DESIGN STATUS
<u></u>		000) START CMPL
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE 15	OUO) SIARI CHEL
 149-962 CONTROL TOWER	LS 2	 014 APR 97 JUN 98,
179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		,823 APR 97 JUN 98
1/9-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY		,837 APR 97 UUN 98
 9a. Future Projects: Included in the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
111-111 REPAIR RUNWAY		,700
721-312 DORMITORY	144 RM 9	·
/21-312 DORMITORI		,800
 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned		, 000
130-835 ADD TO SECURITY POLICE OPS		,492
214-425 RED HORSE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE	•	,000
(823 RHS)	1,500 5.1	, 555
832-266 RAPID RATE WASTEWATER DISP SY	S LS 1	,300
851-147 DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD		,100
851-147 ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	•	,400
10. Mission or Major Functions: HQ A		
a special operations wing with AC-130/		- ·
operations squadrons; Air Force Specia		_ ,
tactics group; Air Combat Command's co		-
a RED HORSE squadron; Air Force Combat		
Warfare Center.	·	į
11. Outstanding pollution and safety	(OSHA) deficiencies	S:
		i
a. Air pollution:		o i
b. Water pollution:		0
c. Occupational safety and healt	h:	0
d. Other Environmental:		О
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog	This Installation	54,615
		i i
		İ
1		į
		İ
		į

1. COMPONENT									1:	2. DA	\TE
į	FY	1999	MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TIO	N PRO	OJECT	DATA	A.		
AIR FORCE			(compute	er gene	rate	ed)			i		
3. INSTALLATIO	INA NO	LOCAT	ION		4.	PRO	JECT :	ritli	Ε		
					İ						
EGLIN AUX FIEI	D 9,	FLORID	A		CO	NTRO	L TOW	ER			
5. PROGRAM ELE	ement	6. CAT	EGORY CODE	7. PRC	JEC'	r NUI	MBER	8. 1	PROJEC	T COS	ST (\$00
3.51.14		14	9-962	FTE	V96:	3007				2,	014
			9. COS	r ESTIM	ATE	<u>s</u>					
							!		UNIT	!	COST
		ITEM					QUAN'	YTIT	COST		(\$000)
CONTROL TOWER						LS	!		!		1,31
SUPPORTING FAC	CILITI	ES				<u> </u>	Į.			ļ	49
UTILITIES						LS	<u> </u>]	ļ	(13
PAVEMENTS						LS	!		[ļ	(7
SITE IMPROVE	EMENTS	}				LS	!		ļ	ļ	(6
ELEVATOR						EA		1	100,0	00	(10
DEMOLITION/A						LS	ļ		<u> </u>	ļ	(7
EMERGENCY GE	ENERAT	OR 125	KW/UPS SYS	rem		EA	ļ	1	65,0	00	(6
SUBTOTAL	- 0 \								!	ļ	1,80
CONTINGENCY (5	•						 		1	ļ	9
TOTAL CONTRACT SUPERVISION, I			MD OURDIER'	1681		ļ 1	 		 	ļ	1,89
TOTAL REQUEST	NSPEC	IION A	ND OVERHEAD) (6 5)		l I	 		 	l i	11
TOTAL REQUEST	(POIN	וחבטו				 	l I		 		2,01
TOTAL REQUEST	'ACON	, usu				l I	 		 		2,01
						 	 		 	1	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation, steel frame, masonry walls, glass cab with metal roof. Includes stairs, elevator, utilities, emergency generator, removal of existing tower and all necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 35 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1 LS ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1 LS PROJECT: Construct control tower (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: An adequate control tower that will provide visibility of the entire airfield, is well insulated from aircraft noise and has state-of-the-art communications equipment.

CURRENT SITUATION: Current tower was constructed in 1956 and is now operationally unsafe for airfield operations encompassing over 750,000 SM of airfield ramp, apron and taxiways, and 74 Primary Assigned Aircraft Portions of the airfield are not visible from the current tower and the present space is not adequate to support the equipment required to provide positive control over the flying mission of the 16th Special Operations Wing. The PAA includes both fixed wing (AC-130H, AC-130U, MC-130E, MC-130H and C-130E) and rotary wing (MH-53 and MH-60). Additionally, C-5 and C-141 support aircraft are required to provide heavy airlift during exercises and deployments. The 24 hour operational control tower cab houses three controllers who handle 4500 to 5000 sorties monthly. Additionally, the existing control tower will be demolished. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will have to continue to operate fixed and rotary wing Special Operations aircraft with limited visibility from the current control tower. Potential for aircraft accidents will remain high.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A. İ
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	İ.
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
EGLIN AUX FIELD 9, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
ĺ	
CONTROL TOWER	FTEV963007
1	

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of |Military handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, upgrade/new construction) was done. It indicates that there is only one option that will satisfy requirements. Therefore, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Doug Nelson, 904-884-7701.

1. COMPONE	NT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	i
3. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION	
<u> </u>		
	FIELD 9, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT	TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
CONTROL TO	WER	FTEV963007
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 APR 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	
i	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
i	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JUL 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUN 01
i		
(2)		
!	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
!	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	EGLIN
(2)	mate 1 game (a) (b) (c) (c)	(4)
(3)		(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	121
1	(b) All Other Design Costs	60
	(c) Total	181
	(d) Contract	136
1	(e) In-house	45
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
j		
!		į
	ent associated with this project will be provided	from
other appr	opriations: N/A	
1		
1		
! 		
1		
1		
1		
1		
1	•	
į		
i		
i 95		
<u> </u>		

	1. COMPONENT				4			2.	DATE	Ī
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA									İ
	AIR FORCE (computer generated)									ĺ
	3. INSTALLATION AND L	LOCATION		4	. PRO	JECT TI	TLE			١
_	EGLIN AUX FIELD 9, FL					RAINING				
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6.	. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJE	CT NUI	MBER 8	. PRO	JECT (COST (\$000)	١
	ļ		ļ			ļ				ļ
-	2.74.56	179-511		FTEV9	63009				1,823	1
_		9.	COST ES	TAMIT	ES					ļ
		·						NIT	COST	ļ
-	<u> </u>	ITEM				QUANTI	TY C	OST	(\$000)	ļ
	FIRE TRAINING FACILIT				LS				1,433	ļ
	SUPPORTING FACILITIES	5			ļ		ļ		205	ļ
	UTILITIES				LS				(55)	ļ
	PAVEMENTS				LS				(60)	ļ
	SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS				(90)	Ì
	SUBTOTAL				Į				1,638	ļ
	CONTINGENCY (5%)				1				82	1
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST						ļ		1,720	I
	SUPERVISION, INSPECTI	ION AND OVE	RHEAD (6	5%)	ļ		ļ		103	I
	TOTAL REQUEST				Į		ļ		1,823	
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDE	ED)			1		ļ		1,823	ļ
					Į		ļ			1
					Į					ļ
					Į		ļ			ļ
					Į		ļ			ļ
					ļ		ļ			ļ
					ļ		ļ		!	

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Live fire training facility | with large frame aircraft mock-up, polyethylene liner system, liquid | propane gas (LPG) storage tank, piping, controls and ignition system, | electric service, closed loop water conservation system with above ground | storage tank, lighting, access road and vehicle operating area, fencing | and all necessary support.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct a Fire Training Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I Environmental Compliance Requirement. A live fire training facility which meets Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act is required to simulate large scale aircraft fires for the purpose of live fire training. Acceptable fire training facilities include a double lined impermeable fire pit with leak detection system under the burn area and water conservation system to prevent contamination of land and ground water. Live fire training is an Air Force requirement for fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency. It is Air Force policy to provide an adequate fire training facility which complies with applicable environmental requirements and meets fire training standards.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing live fire training facility was closed in 1990 due to environmental compliance problems. It does not have high-density polyethylene flexible membrane liners, a leak detection system, or secondary spill containment capability. Additionally, it is inadequate for training as defined by Air Force regulations. The current aircraft mock-up is smaller than the required size and is not accessible for multi-directional approaches creating an artificial environment which limits the quality of training. The nearest environmentally approved live

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
EGLIN AUX FIELD 9, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	1
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	FTEV963009

fire training facility in the local area is located at another Air Force base, 25 miles away. Current manning, equipment levels and required response times prevent Eglin Auxiliary Field 9 fire fighters from training at other Air Force sites. If a team of fire fighters were to leave the base with necessary equipment for the training session, it would curtail runway flight operations due to reduced fire response capability.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The safety of fire fighters and accident victims will remain compromised. Without this project, there is no way to provide quarterly live fire training which fire fighters require in accordance with Air Force regulations and in order to remain proficient at extinguishing large aircraft fires. The potential for loss of aircraft and lives is increased.

ADDITIONAL: There are no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Doug Nelson, 904-884-7701.

/BER
(BER
(BER
1BER
1BER
R 15
N
35%
15
1 30
ALL.
000
36
36
72
54
18
JAN

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE		
1	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PRO	GRAM			
AIR FORCE	(computer					
3. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION	4. COMMAND		5. AREA CONST		
		AIR MOBILITY		COST INDEX		
MACDILL AIR FORCE BAS	E. FLORIDA	COMMAND		0.84		
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENTS	SUPPOR	·		
STRENGTH	OFF ENL CIV	OFF ENL CIV		L CIV TOTAL		
a. As of 30 SEP 97	663 2746 986	!!				
<u> </u>	: : :	: : :	: :	37 109 6,409		
b. End FY 2003	630 2709 965		868 103	37 109 6,318		
	7. INVENTORY	DATA (\$000)				
a. Total Acreage: (5,767)					
b. Inventory Total As				218,152		
c. Authorization Not	<u>-</u>			0		
d. Authorization Reque	ested In This Pro	gram:		5,008		
e. Authorization Incl	uded In Following	Program: (FY	2000)	0		
f. Planned In Next Th	ree Program Years	:		23,350		
g. Remaining Deficience	ey:			o		
h. Grand Total:				246,510		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999		1		
CATEGORY			COST I	DESIGN STATUS		
<u> </u>	ECT TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START CMPL		
1 2022		55012	(4000)	<u> </u>		
 171-212	שר דו דייט	1,100 SM	2,514 N	MAY 97 AUG 98		
!		•	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
179-511 FIRE TRAINING	FACILITY	LS		MAY 97 AUG 98		
	7	TOTAL:	5,008	200) 270277		
9a. Future Projects:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			OOO) NONE		
9b. Future Projects:				ļ		
141-753 KC-135 SQAUDI		4,100 SM	6,900	!		
!	INTENANCE UNIT					
141-786 CENTRAL DEPLO	DYMENT CENTER	3,650 SM				
722-351 DINING FACIL		1,350 SM	4,800	1		
740-674 PHYSICAL FITM	NESS CENTER	4,700 SM	4,950			
10. Mission or Major	Functions: An ai	ir refueling wi	.ng with or	ne KC-135R		
squadron with KC-135R	and EC-135 aircra	aft. The wing	also provi	des support		
to Headquarters United	d States Special (Operations Comm	and, Head	nuarters		
United States Central	Command, and Joir	nt Communication	ns Support	Element.		
11. Outstanding pollu				Ì		
				i		
a. Air pollution	1:			0		
b. Water polluti				0		
	safety and health	٦.		0		
d. Other Enviror		4.		2,600		
	imental: Intenance Backlog	This Installat	ion 3			
12. Real Property Mai	incenance Backlog	Turs Inscallat	.ion 1	.16,224		
ļ				!		
ļ				ļ		
!				ļ		
I				1		
1						
				1		
1]		
				j		
İ				į		
i				i		
i				1		
				1		

1. COMPONENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	INSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er_generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
MACDILL AIR FORCE	BASE, FLORIDA	KC-135 SIMU	LATOR FACILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.12.18	171-212	NVZR993704	2,514
	9. COST	ESTIMATES	

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
KC-135 SIMULATOR FACILITY FACILITY	SM	1,100	1,700	1,870
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				389
UTILITIES	LS			(240)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(115)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(34)
SUBTOTAL				2,259
CONTINGENCY (5%)				113
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,372
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				142
TOTAL REQUEST				2,514
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1	1		2,514
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(25,000)
!				
	.			
		L		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation, floor | slab, precast concrete exterior walls and sloped metal roof. Electrical, | mechanical, fire detection/suppression system, and pre-wiring to | accommodate communications and data services. Utility support, site | improvements, vehicle parking, site improvements, and necessary support. | Air Conditioning: 40 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,100 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 595 SM

PROJECT: KC-135 simulator facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized KC-135 flight simulator facility is required to provide training for hazardous/emergency training procedures that otherwise could not be provided. This simulator will provide initial training, proficiency, and effective mission procedures training.

Required areas include a simulator bay, computer room, briefing room, and

associated hydraulic area. Facility is required to support equipment delivery of the full motion simulator device in FY00.

CURRENT SITUATION: One substandard flight simulator facility houses a static (no motion) simulator device which does not meet the full motion (six axes) simulator requirements necessary to meet the full training requirements for KC-135 aircrews.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: It would not be possible to provide realistic | KC-135 aircrew training without the six-axes flight simulator. Emergency | procedure training is not possible because these procedures are too | dangerous to attempt under actual flying conditions.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. I	PROJECT NUMBER
KC-135 SIMULATOR FACILITY	NVZR993704

32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC FLOYD, (813) 828-3581.

 				
1. COMPONEN	:		DDG TDGM DAMA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		ARY CONSTRUCTION		
	ATION AND LOCATION	omputer generated	.)	
J. INCIPLLE	IIION AND DOCATION			
MACDILL AIR	FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	\		
4. PROJECT	TITLE		5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
			ļ	
KC-135 SIMU	JLATOR FACILITY		NV2	ZR993704
12. SUPPLE	EMENTAL DATA:			
12. SOFFILE	MENTAL DATA.			
a. Estin	nated Design Data:			
(-)	~			
	Status: (a) Date Design Star	-+ ~ d		97 MAY 01
	(b) Parametric Cost		n develop costs	9/ MAI UI N
	(c) Percent Complete		o develop coses	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designe			97 NOV 20
	(e) Date Design Comp			98 AUG 28
, ,	Basis:			
	(a) Standard or Defi (b) Where Design Was	nitive Design - Most Recently U	· ·	NO N/A
,	D) where besign was	Most Recently O	seu -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a)	+ (b) or (d) +	(e):	(\$000)
((a) Production of Pl	ans and Specific	ations	151
	(b) All Other Design	Costs		75
	(c) Total			226
	(d) Contract			170
((e) In-house			56
(4)	Construction Start			99 JAN
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
b. Equipmeother appro	ent associated with t	this project will	be provided from	1
other appro	priacions:			
			FISCAL YEAR	
E	QUIPMENT	PROCURING		COST
NC	MENCLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUESTED	(\$000)
va 135 =====	(III)			_
KC-135 FLIG	HT SIMULATOR DEVICE	3010	FY1999	25000

1. COMPONENT			2	. DATE	Ī
F	7 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA		1
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE		Ī
1		1			1
MACDILL AIR FORCE E	BASE, FLORIDA	FIRE TRAININ	NG FACILITY		ĺ
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST(\$000)	Ī
					İ
4.18.56	179-511	NVZR993705		2.494	Í

9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	LS		1	1,255
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	Ì	ĺ	İ	986
UTILITIES	LS		İ	(210)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	ĺ	į	(100)
PAVEMENTS	LS	ĺ	j	(180)
STORAGE TANK (37,850 LITERS)	EA	1 1	15,000	(15)
DEMOLITION/SOIL REMEDIATION	LS	İ	j	(481)
SUBTOTAL	İ	İ	i	2,241
CONTINGENCY (5%)	ĺ	į į	İ	112
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		ĺ		2,353
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	ĺ	İ	j	141
TOTAL REQUEST	ĺ	į į	i	2,494
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	İ	i i	i	2,494
	İ	j j	j	•
	i	İ	i	
İ	i		i	
	i			
	i		i	

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct new fire training | facility with propane fuel and burner systems, impervious liner system, | and aircraft mockup. Demolish present fire training pit. Includes all | site improvements and necessary support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct fire training pit. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. An adequately sized and configured fire training facility is required to provide realistic conditions whereby fire fighters can practice extinguishing flames and rescuing personnel from burning aircraft. The facility must include the necessary systems and controls for the fuel, burners, and drainage for the pit. It is Air Force policy to have a fire training facility which complies with all environmental regulatory laws on every major Air Force installation to meet fire fighting training requirements. Traveling to other installations to conduct fire training exercises is not feasible for the fire fighters because of the high cost and the level of manning required to remain at the installation to support the mission.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing fire training area does not meet current environmental standards and technology. It is inadequate for training as defined by Air Force regulations. The current facility is too small and will not support an aircraft mock-up. It is also not accessible for multi-directional approaches creating an artificial environment which limits the quality of training. The existing fire training facility is sited too far from the flightline making it impossible to meet the time-distance requirements (approximately two minutes) in the event of an emergency. The facility does not have the proper liners, nets, and the

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
	•
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	NVZR993705

necessary fuel spill containment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fire fighters will not be able to meet Air Force and FAA quarterly training requirements for remaining proficient in aircraft crash fire fighting techniques. The safety of both the fire fighters and aircraft accident victims will continue to be comprimised by lack of proper training.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for the project in Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction) was done. It indicates that only new construction meets operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC FLOYD, (813) 828-3581.

1. COMPONENT	· ·	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
MACDILL AIR	FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE TRAININ	G FACILITY	NVZR993705
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
	tatus:	
) Date Design Started	97 MAY 01
) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	
) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
) Date 35% Designed.	97 NOV 07
(∈) Date Design Complete	98 AUG 28
(2) E	asis:	
• •) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
•) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	DOVER
(S)	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
		•
) Production of Plans and Specifications	150
) All Other Design Costs	75
•) Total	225
(c) Contract	168
(€) In-house	57
(4)	onstruction Start	99 JAN
•		
	t associated with this project will be provided riations: N/A	from
ocher approp	riacions: N/A	•
	•	

1. COMPONENT								2. DAT	E	
<u> </u>	1999 MILITAR				PROGI	RAM]		
AIR FORCE	(compu	iter c						L		
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION			MMAND				5. AREA CONST COST INDEX		
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE,	CEODCIA		AIR I	CRCE RIEL CO	\&# ### #	TT)		<u>'</u>	82 1 INDEA	
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANEN			UDENTS			POR'		02	
STRENGTH			OFF		CIV			L CIV	TOTAL	
a. As of 30 SEP 97					<u> </u>	5		14 431		
•	967 4154 1		,	i		5			17,793	
	7. INVEN			(\$000)				<u>+</u>		
a. Total Acreage: (8,722)									
b. Inventory Total As	Of: (30 SEP	97)						698,89	5	
c. Authorization Not Y		_							0	
d. Authorization Reque		_	•					11,89	4	
e. Authorization Inclu		_	_	am: (FY 2	2000)		1,94		
f. Planned In Next Thr	-	ears:	;					26,30		
g. Remaining Deficienc	у:							105,00		
h. Grand Total:	TN MUTA DROG	D 224	T37 1	000				844,02	9	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED CATEGORY	IN THIS PROG	RAM:	FY 1	999		COST		PCTON	CMARKIC	
	CT TITLE		c	COPE		(\$000	_		STATUS	
<u>CODE</u> <u>PROJE</u>	CI IIIDE		2	COPE		(\$000	<u>,</u>	START	CMPL	
211-154 DEPOT PLANT S	ERVICES FACI	LITY		8.600	SM	11.89	4 T	TURN KE	Y	
				TOTAL:	_		_		· -	
9a. Future Projects:	Included in	the	Follo	wing P				000)		
171-212 ALTER KC-135				450	_	1,94		•		
FACILITY					_		_			
				TOTAL:		1,94	0			
9b. Future Projects:		nned								
217-742 COMBAT COMMUN				2,700	SM	5,70	0			
SQUAD OPS (54 218-712 LARGE ITEM AII	•	חת		000	CM	2 00	^			
EQUIPMENT PA				800	SM	3,00	U			
722-351 JSTARS DINING				1,750	SM	5,40	n			
730-142 FIRE/CRASH STA				2,300		3,900				
871-183 ADD TO AND ALC				•	LS	8,300				
DRAINAGE SYST						•				
10. Mission or Major I	Functions: N	Warne	r Rob	ins Ai	r Lo	gistic	cs C	enter	which	
is responsible for log:	istics manage	ement	, sup	port,	and	depot.	-lev	el		
maintenance of F-15, C-										
remotely piloted vehicl										
group with twelve KC-13										
Air National Guard bomb										
base for the Joint Surv	reillance and	d Tar	get A	ttack :	Rada	r Syst	em	(JSTAR:	S)	
aircraft.		/	00117	3-6:-						
11. Outstanding pollut	.ion and safe	=cy (OSHA)	deric.	1enc	ies:				
a. Air pollution:								^		
b. Water pollution								0		
c. Occupational s		alth	•					0		
d. Other Environm	_		•					0		
12. Real Property Mair		clog	This	Instal '	lati	on.	1	08,893		
- •		-	- '				-	-,		

I			·					
1. COMPONENT							!	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION						OJECT DATA	A	
AIR FORCE		(comp	uter	generat	ed)			
						JECT TITLE	-	
(CAPITAL WORKING FUND)								
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA DEPOT PLANT SERVICES FACILITY								CILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7.	PROJEC	T NUI	MBER 8. I	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
ļ	ļ		- !					
7.28.96		211-154		UHHZ88				L1,894
		9. C	OST E	STIMATE	S		····	
							UNIT	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DEPOT PLANT SER	VICE	S FACILITY			SM	8,600		8,360
AIRCRAFT ORGA	NIZA	TIONAL MAINTEN	ANCE		SM	8,000	1,000	(8,000)
STORAGE					SM	600	600	(360)
SUPPORTING FACI	LITI	ES						2,335
UTILITIES					LS			(630)
PAVEMENTS					LS			(450)
SITE IMPROVEM					LS			(240)
DEMOLITION/AS	BEST	OS ABATEMENT			SM	8,500	110	(935)
COMMUNICATION	s su	PPORT			LS			(80)
SUBTOTAL								10,695
CONTINGENCY (5%	•]		535
TOTAL CONTRACT	COST	1]		11,230
SUPERVISION, IN	SPEC	TION AND OVERH	EAD ((6%)				674
TOTAL REQUEST			TOTAL REQUEST					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete floor slab and footings, steel frame, masonry walls, and roof system. Includes HVAC, utilities, required support, demolition and asbestos abatement of six buildings totaling 8,500 SM.

Air Conditioning: 400 KW.

REQUIREMENT: 8,600 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Construct a depot plant services facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide a facility that consolidates repair and maintenance of industrial equipment and plant distribution systems, equipment and facility engineering support, installation, vehicle control, and the control and distribution of tools and tool kits. All of these functions support depot maintenance of the F-15, C-130, C-141 aircraft, avionics, gyro and electronic warfare systems, as well as repair and manufacturing processes of the Technology and Industrial Support Directorate. Consolidation will streamline operations, eliminate facilities with safety and fire deficiency reports, and reduce maintenance and utility costs. CURRENT SITUATION: The depot plant services' functions are currently located in substandard facilities considered unsuited for efficient use in support of the base mission. Operations are dispersed throughout the base in ten facilities which have documented fire and safety hazards. these ten buildings require excessive maintenance. Walls and trusses in several buildings have failed and have been shored-up; bridge cranes in several buildings have been abandoned because columns and trusses cannot support required loads. Electrical demands exceed supply, electrical conduits crisscross wood trusses and columns, and any fire would quickly spread. These facilities are not well insulated and work areas cannot be

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)

11,894

(430)

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ION AND LOCATION	
!		į
ROBINS AIR FO	ORCE BASE, GEORGIA	j
4. PROJECT TI	ITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
	İ	j
DEPOT PLANT S	SERVICES FACILITY	UHHZ880013 İ

efficiently or economically modified for heating or cooling requirements. |Paint and welding booths are not fireproof and sheet metal has been attached to wooden walls to lessen (but not eliminate) the risk of fire. Half of the loading docks are unuseable because they were designed for the transfer of materials onto and off the trains; however, trains are no longer used to deliver materials to the base. Forklifts are restricted because of low ceilings and close column spacing. Dispersal of the workforce creates work flow problems and wastes manpower. Transporting supplies, parts and tools from one building to another is inefficient. This project will demolish six buildings totaling 8,500 SM. In addition, 140 SM will be mothballed and 830 SM will be transferred to another user. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Uneconomical repairs and modifications to existing buildings will continue. Documented fire safety hazards will continue. Dispersal of the workforce will continue to reduce worker productivity, and energy costs will continue to be excessive, resulting in deterioration of mission support to critical Air Force Weapon Systems. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, renovation, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The requirement for this project was validated by the Joint Service Depot Maintenance Industrial Military Construction Review Board in May 93. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col John W. Mogge, (912) 926-3093.

1. COMPONENT					2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	P :	RY CONSTRUCTION Proputer generated)		.A		
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION					
 ROBINS AIR FO	ORCE BASE, GEORGIA					
4. PROJECT TI				5. PR	DJECT NUMBER	
 DEPOT PLANT S	SERVICES FACILITY			UHI	HZ880013	
12. SUPPLEME	ENTAL DATA:					
a. Estimat	ted Design Data:					
(1) Pr	roject to be accomp	lished by one ste	ep turn key	proce	edures	
(2) Ba	asis:					
	Standard or Defi				NO	
(b)	Where Design Was	Most Recently Us	sed -		N/A	
(3) De	esign Allowance				358	
(4) Co	onstruction Start				99 JAN	
1						
	associated with t	his project will	be provide	d from	n	
other appropr	riations:					
			FISCAL Y	EAR		
•	JIPMENT	PROCURING	APPROPRIA		COST	
NOME	ENCLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUES	TED	(\$000)	
 INITIAL OUTFI	ITTING EQUIPMENT		FY99		430	
į						
1						
	•					
!						
İ						

1. COMPONENT	 			2. DATE
-	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION	PROGRAM	
AIR FORCE	(computer		110012-	
3. INSTALLATION AND LO		4. COMMAND)	5. AREA CONST
İ		İ		COST INDEX
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE,	HAWAII	PACIFIC AI	R FORCES	1.43
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENT	S SUPPO	RTED
STRENGTH	OFF ENL CIV	OFF ENL	CIV OFF E	NL CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97	679 2689 1909		166	260 17 6,720
b. End FY 2003	669 2615 1884	<u> </u>	166	260 17 6,611
	7. INVENTORY	DATA (\$000)	
a. Total Acreage: (2,851)			1
b. Inventory Total As (411,013
c. Authorization Not Ye	-			0
d. Authorization Reques			4	5,890
e. Authorization Includ			(FY 2000)	4,800
f. Planned In Next Three g. Remaining Deficiency				23,035
h. Grand Total:	' :			241,487
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED I	N THIS DOCCORM.	FY 1999		686,225
CATEGORY	.N INIS PROGRAM.	F1 1999	COST	DESIGN STATUS
	T TITLE	SCOPE		· START CMPL
1 202		<u>50012</u>	1,0007	DIAKI CHED
1113-321 REPAIR AIRFIEL	D PAVEMENT		LS 5,890	DEC 96 AUG 98
<u>i</u>		TOTAL		
9a. Future Projects:	Included in the	Following	Program (FY :	2000)
179-511 FIRE TRAINING	FACILITY		LS 4,800	j
		TOTAL		
9b. Future Projects:		Next Three	Years:	
113-321 REPAIR AIRFIEL			LS 7,735	
211-111 UPGRADE FIRE S	UPPRESSION		LS 6,235	ļ
SYSTEM				
610-249 CONFERENCE CEN		1,500		
721-315 ALTER TRANSIEN		2,350		
10. Mission or Major F aircraft and hosts Head				tallation
also hosts an Air Natio				
an air refueling squadr				
Other major activities				
group and an Air Mobili	ty Support Group		- 1.501.07	
11. Outstanding pollut			ciencies:	
	-			i
a. Air pollution:				o i
b. Water pollutio	n:			235
	afety and health	:		0
d. Other Environm				0
12. Real Property Main	tenance Backlog	This Instal	llation	86,171
!				
<u> </u>				
1				
! 				
İ				
İ				
·				

1. COMPONENT	2.	DATE	ī			
FY 1	4		ĺ			
AIR FORCE			1			
3. INSTALLATION AND I	4. PRO	JECT TITLI	3			
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE	•		AIRFIELD			1
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6.	. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO	JECT NU	MBER 8. 1	PROJECT	COST (\$000)	
ļ						l
2.75.96		D983002			5,890	1
	9. COST ESTIM	ATES				ļ
				UNIT	COST	ļ
<u> </u>	ITEM		QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	Ļ
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEN	MENT	LS			5,028	ļ
SUBTOTAL		!		l	5,028	ļ
CONTINGENCY (10%)				i	503	ļ
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	ITOM AND OVERHEAD /C CO	.			5,531	ļ
TOTAL REQUEST	ION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%	' ¦	1		360	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDE	· · · · · ·		1	1	5,891	1
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDS	<i>ED</i>)		 	 	5,890	
 		1	1	 		!
!		l I	! !	 	1	1
<u> </u>		l I	! !	 	1	ŀ
		i i	 	 		i
		ļ	! !	 		ŀ
		ļ	! !	ļ 		1
! 			f 1	l l	<u> </u>	1
1		ļ	1	! 	 	1
			! 	! 	i I	1
}			!		!	!

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Cold plane, disposal, surface | preparation, prime coat, tack coat, asphaltic concrete, jet seal, pavement | striping, and appurtenances.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Repair airfield aprons and taxiways. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Adequate airfield aprons and taxiways in good condition are required for the safe operation of assigned and transient aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: The original aircraft aprons were constructed in 1938 based on the prevailing wheel loads at that time. Maintenance, repair and reconstruction over the years have created a diverse pavement system which presents maintenance and operational problems. Recent Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report by the Air Force Civil Engineering Support Agency rated the apron parking areas fair to poor and reported that some areas have medium to high severity distresses. Near-term maintenance, repair and reconstruction are required in these areas. The Airfield Pavement |Evaluation revealed many apron features are structurally inadequate for assigned and transient aircraft traffic. Pavement failure has progressed to become a major source of foreign object damage (FOD) to aircraft. The areas to be repaired under this project are identified by greatest need of repair.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Will result in further deterioration of the | pavement and increased FOD damage to aircraft. The parking apron and | taxiway deterioration will continue to a point where they can no longer | safely support aircraft.

| ADDITIONAL: A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for | accomplishing this project (status quo, relocate and repair) was done. It | indicates there is only one option that will meet operational

1. COMPONENT FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	מדמת	2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	DAIA	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5.	PROJECT NUMBER
REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT		KNMD983002

requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Linden Torchia, 808-449-1660.

	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
IR FORCE	,	
	LATION AND LOCATION	
ICKAM AI	R FORCE BASE, HAWAII	
. PROJEC	T TITLE 5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
EPAIR AI	RFIELD PAVEMENT KNM	D983002
2. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
(-,	(a) Date Design Started	96 DEC 17
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	1
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	501
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JUN 10
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 AUG 15
(0)	Pania	
(2)		***
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	353
	(b) All Other Design Costs	17
	(c) Total	530
	(d) Contract	397
	(e) In-house	133
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
		99 UAI
		99 UAN
	ment associated with this project will be provided from ropriations: N/A	99 UAI
	ment associated with this project will be provided from ropriations: N/A	99 UAI
		99 UAI
		99 UAN
		99 UAN
		e de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela composición dela c
		99 UAN
		99 UAN
		99 UAL
		JJ UAN
		99 UAN
		99 UAN
		99 UAN
		JJ UAN
		JJ UAN
		JJ UAN

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CO		
AIR FORCE (computer		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
		COST INDEX
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO	AIR COMBAT COMMAND	1.23
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT		ORTED
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV		ENL CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 458 3847 422		51 40 4,822
b. End FY 2003 467 3859 428 7. INVENTORY		51 40 4,849
a. Total Acreage: (6,700)	DATA (\$000)	
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)		264,488
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		0
d. Authorization Requested In This Pro-	gram:	12,297
e. Authorization Included In Following	Program: (FY 2000)	
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years	:	18,950
g. Remaining Deficiency:		53,330
h. Grand Total:		375,265
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:		
CATEGORY	COST	
CODE PROJECT TITLE	<u>SCOPE</u> (\$000)	· START CMPL
	LS 2,400	TURN KEY
141-454 LAND ACQUISITION	LS 1,000	· ·
721-312 DORMITORY	4,600 SM 8,897	
1	TOTAL: 12,297	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the		
141-454 ENHANCED TRAINING RANGE, IDAHO	LS 17,000	İ
PH II		Ì
217-712 B-1B AVIONICS SHOP	4,110 SM <u>9,200</u>	!
10h Patrice Project Brigada	TOTAL: 26,200	
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned 141-454 ENHANCED TRAINING RANGE, IDAHO		į
PH III	LS 9,600	į.
141-753 F-15C SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,300 SM 3,750	1
FACILITY	2,200 5 3,130	! !
216-642 B-1B CONVENTIONAL	1,050 SM 4,100	i
MUNITIONS SHOP		į
422-264 B-1B MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	600 SM 1,500	
10. Mission or Major Functions: A con		
one F-15C/D squadron, one F-15E squadro	on, one KC-135R squadre	on, and a B-1B
squadron, and the AEF Battlelab.		<u></u>
11. Outstanding pollution and safety	OSHA) deficiencies:	ļ
a. Air pollution:		,
b. Water pollution:		4,000
c. Occupational safety and health	1:	0 1
d. Other Environmental:		0 1
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog	This Installation	61,550
		j
		j
		1
		

1. COMPONENT		 	2. DATE				
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		ATA					
AIR FORCE	(compute	r generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT TI	TLE				
		1	I				
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR	FORCE BASE, IDAHO	LAND ACQUISIT	ION				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	. PROJECT COST(\$000)				
		ŀ					
2.76.04	141-454	QYZH993003	1,000				
	9. COST ESTIMATES						

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
LAND ACQUISITION	LS			900
SUBTOTAL				900
CONTINGENCY (5%)		ĺ		45
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				945
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1	 		57
TOTAL REQUEST	1			1,002
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,000
	1			1
		 		1
		 		1
				1
				1
				1
		İ		ĺ
	1	1 1		

- |10. Description of Proposed Construction: Purchase 12,000 acres of |grazing rights.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Purchase grazing rights for Enhanced Training Range (ETI), Idaho.

REQUIREMENT: A training range to supplement the existing Saylor Creek Range, allowing F-16, F-15, KC-135 and B-1B aircraft to train together in real world battle scenarios. The Department of Defense (DoD) must have control of the range land to ensure training programs are not jeopardized by lease renewal actions.

CURRENT SITUATION: The Saylor Creek Range is too small to create the type of battle scenarios necessary to train for modern combat. The remote ranges located in other states require longer transit times that expend finite flying hours and operational funds, yet yield minimal training value.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Combat crews will not receive effective combat training nor maximize available flying hours. Training time on existing ranges will not provide the unique training required to prepare the rapid response Air Expeditionary Wing for combat missions.

ADDITIONAL: All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Kenneth P. Shelton, (208)828-6353

1. COMPONE	· ·	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY (computer generated)	TA		
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION			
MOUNTAIN H	OME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO			
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER		
LAND ACQUI	LAND ACQUISITION			
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:			
a. Esti	mated Design Data:			
(1)	Project to be accomplished by one step turn key	y procedures		
	Basis:			
:	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	NO N/A		
İ	•	N/A		
(3)	Design Allowance	60		
(4)	Construction Start	99 MAR		
		•		
 b. Equipme	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from		
	opriations: N/A			
ļ				
İ				
' 				
! 				
į				

1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE	1
	FY	1999 MILITA	ARY CONS	TRUCTION	PROJECT	TAC 7	A		
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)			1		1		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT			TITL	E		ī			
				İ					İ
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO DORMITORY					į				
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6	. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST (\$00	00)
			İ			Ì			i
2.75.96		721-312		QYZH993	002	İ		8,897	i
9. COST ESTIMATES									

J. COST ESTIMATE	<u> </u>				1
·	Ī		UNIT	COST	Ī
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	L
DORMITORY (140 PN)	SM	4,600	1,355	6,233	Ī
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			Í	1,760	ĺ
UTILITIES	LS		İ	(416)	ĺ
PAVEMENTS	LS			(420)	ĺ
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		l	(420)	ĺ
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL	SM	2,100	240	(504)	ĺ
SUBTOTAL	ĺ		İ	7,993	İ
CONTINGENCY (5%)	Ì		İ	400	ĺ
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		1	İ	8,393	İ
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1		ĺ	504	ĺ
TOTAL REQUEST	1		İ	8,897	İ
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		İ	İ	8,897	İ
	ĺ		j	,	ĺ
	1	İ	İ		ĺ
	ĺ	j j	İ	ļ	ĺ
	İ	i i	į	i	ĺ
	İ	į į	j	j	ĺ
1	İ	İ	i	ſ	ĺ

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slabs, concrete frame facility, insulated maintenance free | exterior masonry walls, sound attenuation, pitched standing seam metal | roof. Include room-bath/kitchen-room modules, laundry rooms, storage, and | lounge area. Includes all utilities, site improvements and necessary | support. Demolishes one old wooden dormitory (54 rooms). | Air Conditioning: 175 KW. Grade Mix: 140 E1-E4.

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 946 PN ADEQUATE: 746 PN SUBSTANDARD: 124 PN | PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)

| REQUIREMENT: It is a major Air Force objective to provide unaccompanied | enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation | and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters | providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the | successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important | jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient facilities to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing requirement. The housing deficit is exacerbated with the increase in manpower from the new B-1B squadron. Local rentals are limited and utilities are expensive causing financial hardship for junior enlisted personnel forced to reside off base. The closest rental market is the city of Boise over 50 miles from the installation. This project will demolish the last wood framed dormitory and replace the last two central latrine dormitories on Mountain Home AFB.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will be unavailable | resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	Ì
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION	
MOUNTAIN HOME	E AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO	
4. PROJECT T	TLE 5. PI	ROJECT NUMBER
	Ì	
DORMITORY	Q	YZH993002

for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Lowered morale will contribute to

retention difficulties for the Air Force. Personnel will continue to live in substandard 50 year old central latrine dormitories or be forced to move into expensive and distant off-base housing.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new uniform barracks standard established by OSD. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Kenneth P. Shelton, (208) 828-6353 FY1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$257K. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$3781K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirements (estimated): FY 1998: \$561K, FY 1999: \$0, FY 2000: \$0, FY 2001: \$0, FY 2002: \$0, FY 2003: \$0

3. II	NSTALI	LATION	AND LC	CATION							
MOLIN	י מדמי	HOME I	TR FORC	E BASE,	TDAHO						
		r TITI		DAUD,	101410				5.	PROJE	CT N
									į		
DORM:	TORY								L	QYZH9	93002
12.	SUPPI	LEMENT	AL DATA	۷:							
a.	Esti	Lmated	l Design	Data:							
	(1)	Proj	ect to	be accor	mplished	by one	step tu	ırn key	y pr	ocedu	res
	(2)	Dood	_								
	(2)	Basi (a)		d or De	finitive	Design	_				МО
					as Most F	_					N/A
	(3)	Deci	gn Allo	wance							
	(3)	nes1	gn Allo	walice							
	(4)	Cons	tructio	n Start							99
			ssociat tions:		this pro	oject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	rom	
					this pro	oject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	rom	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	rom	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	f	rom	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	f	from	
					this pro	ect wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	rom	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	from	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	rom	
					this pro	oject wi	.ll be p	rovide	ed f	rom	
					this pro	ject wi	.ll be p	rovide	f f	rom	
					this pro	oject wi	all be p	rovide	ed f	rom	

	1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	N PROJECT DATA
_	AIR FORCE (computer generate	ed)
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4.	PROJECT TITLE
	MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO RAI	INGE IMPROVEMENTS
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJEC	T NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
		1
_	2.76.04 141-454 QYZH98	3,400
_	9. COST ESTIMATE	S S
		UNIT COST
	ITEM	U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000)
	RANGE IMPROVEMENTS	LS 2,143
	CONSTRUCT ACCESS ROAD BRIDGE	LS (400)
	SECURITY FENCE	LM 28,000 36 (1,008)
	EMITTER SITES/ROADS	LS (225)
	NO DROP TARGET SITES	LS (300)
	DROP TARGET SITES	LS (_210)
	SUBTOTAL	2,143
	CONTINGENCY (5%)	107
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST	2,250

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct access road bridge, emitter sites, security fence around emitter sites, and ordnance drop zones. Includes interior roads to sites, utilities and site improvements. Funds provided for the access road bridge will be transferred to the FHWA of the DoT which is responsible under Title 23 USC 210 for assuring proper execution of Defense Access Road work.

REQUIREMENT: As required.

TOTAL REQUEST

|TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

PROJECT: Construct an enhanced training range in Idaho. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate training range is required to allow F-16, F-15, KC-135 and B-1B aircraft to train together in real world combat scenarios. The range requires widely separated threat emitter sites and simulated target sites constructed to resemble actual target complexes. roads must have year-round accessibility to allow for maintenance and repair of facilities and equipment. The target impact areas, simulated target areas and emitter sites must be secured with fencing. The maintenance site requires commercial power.

CURRENT SITUATION: Existing local ranges, airspace and emitter sites offer limited realism, flexibility and quality. Currently, aircrews train on distant remote ranges, expending finite flying hours and operations funds, yet yielding minimal training value. Aircrews lack the availabilty of an integrated set of training facilities that provide flexibility to vary tactics, present realistic battlefield situations, and allow daily access.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: In the absence of an enhanced training range, aircrews would continue training on unsophisticated ranges and training routes in remote areas. Not training on enhanced ranges that provide realistic wartime scenarios will negatively effect mission readiness.

135

2,385

2,400

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	İ
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION	
MOUNTAIN HOME	E AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO	
4. PROJECT T	TLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
RANGE IMPROVE	EMENTS 0	OYZH983000

Air Force will continue to expend scarce operations funds on flying hours used to transit to and from remote ranges instead of increasing the number of sorties on realistic training ranges.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Standard Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option |could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was |needed or performed. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Kenneth P. Shelton, (208) 828-6353

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT AIR FORCE (computer generated)	A
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO	
	5. PROJECT NUMBER
RANGE IMPROVEMENTS	QYZH983000
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Estimated Design Data:	
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key	procedures
(2) Basis:	
(a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	ON A/N
(3) Design Allowance	144
(4) Construction Start	99 MAR
	1
	!
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provide	d from
other appropriations: N/A	
	İ
	į
•	
1 	

1. COMPONENT				12	. DAT	<u> </u>
FY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION	PROGE	RAM	-		_
	generated)			i		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAN			5	. ARE	A CONST
	AIR MOBIL	ITY		j	cos	T INDEX
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND	COMMAND	_		j	0.	96
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDEN		SUPF	ORTE	D	
	OFF ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 1131 4344 208	• •		249	1082	499	9,393
o. End FY 2003 1115 4306 193			249	1082	499	9,189
7. INVENTOR	Y DATA (\$00	0)				
a. Total Acreage: (4,996)						
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97				4:	20,08	8
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory						0
d. Authorization Requested In This Pro		/			4,44	
e. Authorization Included In Following		(FY 2	2000)			0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years g. Remaining Deficiency:	;				26,02	
g. Remaining Deficiency: n. Grand Total:					30,20	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	EV 1000			5.	30,75	7
CATEGORY	. FI 1999		COST	ישת	TCM	STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE		(\$000)		TART	CMPL
	<u> </u>		(4000)	<u> </u>	THILL.	CMFD
740-884 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,25	0 SM	4,448	AUG	3 97	AUG 98
		<u> -</u>	4,448			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the	Following	Progr	am (FY	2000) NO	NE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned	Next Thre	e Year	s:	. "		
141-753 CONSOLIDATED SQUADRON	4,06	0 SM	8,400			j
OPERATIONS FACILITY						İ
214-425 REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE	46) SM	1,771			
FACILITY						
740-675 LIBRARY/EDUCATION CENTER	2,09) SM	4,250			!
SERVICES		- ~				!
312-223 ADD TO AND ALTER ELECTRICAL		LS	11,600			}
DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM 10. Mission or Major Functions: An a						
perform Presidential support and Speci	al Dir Mice	y with	iour s	squad	cons	tnat
C-21, C-32, C-37, C-137, VC-25, and UH	al All Miss	-1. 22	ATCII ((J, i_1	C-20,	
with a C-141 squadron; Air National Gu	ard (ANG)	sina w	ith a l	2-16	ficht	tring t
squadron and a C-21/C-22 airlift squad	ron: ANG Re	adine	ss Cent	er.	and a	i
major medical center.			00 0011	,	ana	*
11. Outstanding pollution and safety	(CSHA) defi	cienc	ies:			
•						
a. Air pollution:					0	İ
b. Water pollution:					0	į
 c. Occupational safety and healt 	h:				0	j
d. Other Environmental:					0	
.2. Real Property Maintenance Backlog	This Insta	llatio	on	126	,534	Ţ
						ļ
]
						į i
						! !
						1
n						!

1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE	Ī
	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJEC	T DATA	A		İ
AIR FORCE	ĺ		ĺ			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE	3		Ī
		İ				Ì
ANDREWS AIR F	FORCE BASE, MARYLAND	CHILD DEVE	LOPMEN	T CENTE	R	ĺ
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. F	ROJECT	COST (\$000)	Ī
						İ
4.18.96	740-884	AJXF963020			4,448	İ
	9. COS'	r estimates				Ī
			1	UNIT	COST	Ī
	ITEM	U/M QUA	NTITY	COST	(\$000)	ĺ
CHILD DEVELOR	PMENT CENTER	ISM 2	.250	1.400	3.150	ī

	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SM	2,250	1,400	3,150
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				846
UTILITIES	LS	ĺ		(345)
PAVEMENTS	LS	ĺ		(145)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	İ	İ	(188)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM	1,400	120	(168)
SUBTOTAL	1			3,996
CONTINGENCY (5%)		1	ĺ	200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		l i	Ì	4,196
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		İ	j	252
TOTAL REQUEST	İ		İ	4,448
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1		ĺ	4,448
	Ì	İ	i	i
	j	į	İ	į
	İ	į	i	i
	İ	i	İ	į
	i	i	i	i
		į		i

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings and floor slab, masonry walls, steel joists, mechanical equipment room, utilities, paving, fire protection, demolition, and asbestos removal and disposal. Includes child care rooms, kitchen, laundry room, playground area and necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 80 KW.

REQUIREMENT: 8,445 SM ADEQUATE: 4,480 SM SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Construct a child development center. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A child development center (CDC) for 305 children aged 6 weeks through 12 years is require to allow military and civilian working parents to leave their children in a safe environment. A CDC must provide a comfortable, clean, educational environment where parents can leave their children on an hourly, daily, or drop-in basis. Due to the high cost of living in the Andrews area, approximately 85 percent of the military spouses work outside the home resulting in an increased demand for child care. The waiting list exceeds 300 children, the largest in the Air Force. Parents must wait from 6 to 18 months for a slot in the weekly care program. A survey conducted by the University of Maryland Survey Research Center on 120 child care centers in the Prince George County revealed that the facilities can only serve an estimated 9% of all county children under 16 years of age. Also, none of the centers accept children under two years of age--50.6% of Andrew's AFB waiting list. CURRENT SITUATION: Over 1,200 children are eligible for child care services at Andrews which is the largest requirement for child care in the Air Force. To provide adequate facilities at Andrews, an FY91 Military Construction Program was approved by Congress which provided adequate

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	.'A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	<u> </u>
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	AJXF963020

space for 54 percent of the base's overall requirement. This request will provide an additional 25 percent of needed space, and an FY03 project will provide the remaining child care spaces to meet the full requirement. Directive 6060.2 limits the size of child development facilities to house a maximum of 305 children per facility. Otherwise, all remaining space deficiencies would be included in this request. Additional space is needed for kitchen/food preparation/storage area indoor and outdoor play area, and administrative functions. Four substandard wood frame facilities totaling 1,400 square meters will be demolished as part of this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Use of off-base facilities, at cost of up to \$110 per week verses \$45 per week on-base, will continue to be an extreme financial hardship on junior enlisted personnel who have the greatest need for child care services. In addition, CDC support will not be available to support military exercises and shift-worker schedules. The lack of quality and affordable child care results in employee absenteeism, low morale and sometimes separation from the Air Force.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, addition, and construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will satisfy statutory facility size requirements and meet the need. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC ELLIOTT, (301) 981-7281.

1		lo pamp						
1. COMPONE	!	2. DATE						
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	.						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION							
 ANDREWS A	R FORCE BASE, MARYLAND							
4. PROJECT	4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER							
CHILD DEVI	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER AJXF963020							
 12. SUPPI	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. Est	mated Design Data:	į						
(1)	Status:							
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 08						
j	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts N						
į	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35% أ						
i	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 NOV 21						
İ	(e) Date Design Complete	98 AUG 28						
		1						
(2)								
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES						
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	ANDREWS						
(3)		(\$000)						
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	260						
1	(b) All Other Design Costs	60						
	(c) Total	320						
i	(d) Contract	280						
ĺ	(e) In-house	40						
(4)	Construction Start	 99 JAN 						
	ent associated with this project will be provided opriations: N/A	from						
		!						
j								
		!						
		1						
		1						
		ļ						
		\ 1						
		į						
<u> </u>								

1. COMPONENT	<u> </u>							2. DAT	E
F	? 1999 MILIT	FEA CO	MSTRUC	TIOM:	ĎĎŰĞĬ	DAM			
AIR FORCE		puter o	genera	ted)			l		
3. INSTALLATION AND I	OCATION		4. CC	DINAMM			1		A CONST
			AIR E	DUCAT	ION		1	COS	T INDEX
KEESLER AIR FORCE BAS	E, MISSISSI	PPI	AND I	RAINI	NG C	DMMAND		0.	83
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANI	ENT	SI	UDENT	S	SUP	PORT	ED	_
STRENGTH	OFF ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97	938 3670	2098	345	2003	i l	78	168	0 84	10,896
b. End FY 2003	917 3651	2076	334	2633		78	168	0 84	11,453
	7. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)				
a. Total Acreage: (1,611)								
b. Inventory Total As	of: (30 SI	EP 97)						312,63	8
c. Authorization Not	Yet In Inver	ntory:							0
d. Authorization Requ	ested In Th	is Prod	gram:					35,52	6
e. Authorization Incl		_	-	am:	(FY 2	2000)		27,00	
f. Planned In Next Th		_	_		•	•			0
g. Remaining Deficien	-		-					13,40	-
h. Grand Total:								388,56	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	999				300,30	<u>•</u>
CATEGORY						COST		FSTCN	STATUS
	ECT TITLE		S	COPE		(\$000	_	START	CMPL
<u>rkoc</u>	Der Titte		=	COLL		14000	,	SIAKI	CMFI
171-627 TRAINING SUP	יסספי דארדו.די	rv		4,700	SM	5,75	6 т	URN KE	v
721-312 STUDENT DORM				•		29,77		IAR 97	
721-312 STODENT DORM	ITIORIES			TOTAL	-		_	IAR 31	00N 98
9a. Future Projects:	Tooluded					35,52		.00\	
<pre>9a. Future Projects: 721-312 STUDENT DORM</pre>		rii ciie	FOITO	200	_			(00)	
						19,90			
722-351 STUDENT DINI	NG FACILITY				_	7,10			
Oh Butum Brains	m			TOTAL		27,00	0		
9b. Future Projects:									<u> </u>
10. Mission or Major		-	_					; a	
training wing respons									
administrative course				_			_		
aircrew training; an					_	_			
group; an Air Force R			-					-	
and one WC-130 weathe	r reconnaiss	sance s	quadr	on; ar	nd a	major	Air	Force	
medical center.									
11. Outstanding poll	ution and sa	afety ((OSHA)	defic	cienc	ies:			•
a. Air pollutio								0	
b. Water pollut	ion:							2,400	
c. Óccupational	safety and	health	1 :					0	
d. Other Enviro	nmental:							690	
12. Real Property Ma	intenance Ba	cklog	This	Instal	lati	on.		58,517	
		-						•	
	•								
									!
									ļ
									i

	1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE		-
		Y 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTIO	N PR	OJECT I	ATA	ĺ			ĺ
	AIR FORCE	(compute	er generat	ed)						Ĺ
	3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT TI	TLE				Ī
			1							ĺ
	KEESLER AIR FORCE	BASE, MISSISSIPPI	TR	AINI	NG SUPI	PORT	FACILI	TY		ĺ
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	T NU	MBER 8	3. P	ROJECT	COST (\$000)	Ī
										ĺ
_	8.57.96	171-627	MAHG99	3004				5,75	6	Ĺ
_		9. COS	r estimate	S						Ī
					1	1	UNIT	COS	ST	Ī
_		ITEM		U/M	TIMAUQ	TY	COST	(\$00	00)	Ĺ
	TRAINING SUPPORT F.	ACILITY		SM	4,70	00	920	4	, 324	Ī
	SUPPORTING FACILIT	IES			1				847	l
	UTILITIES			LS	1	- 1		(412)	I
	SITE IMPROVEMENT	S		LS	1	1		(230)	1
	PAVEMENTS			LS				(_	205)	
	SUBTOTAL							5	,171	
	CONTINGENCY (5%)				1			_	259	
	TOTAL CONTRACT COS	T			1	1		5.	,430	
	SUPERVISION, INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	0 (6%)		1			l _	326	
	TOTAL REQUEST							5,	,756	
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROU	NDED)						5,	,756	
					1	- 1		1		1

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a two story, concrete | masonry building with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, and | metal roof. Project includes fire protection, sitework, pavements and all | necessary utilities and support. | Air Conditioning: 450 KW.

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 4,700 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 3,375 SM | PROJECT: Construct Training Support Facility (Current Mission) | REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized, consolidated facility is required to | support students on temporary assignment to Keesler AFB for initial | technical training. The building will house personnel handling unique | student requirements for in/out processing, medical sick call, travel and | financial services, postal operations, and security clearance processing. | The building will also house a Student Center, Family Support Annex and | Military Training Support Flight Operations. These functions should be | located in a central facility separate from main base operations near | student living areas to maximize the efficient use of time at Keesler AFB | for Air Force training.

CURRENT SITUATION: Current student support operations are located in existing student dormitory space within the airfield clear zone. The existing dormitories were built in the 1950's, have numerous deficiencies, and are scheduled for demolition. Twelve support functions are scattered throughout five existing dormitories. Constant in and out processing of students requires use of the support facilities on a daily basis. The separation of support functions does not allow for a smooth in processing and detracts from training time.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Support operations for students at Keesler AFB

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT DATA	1
AIR FORCE	(computer generate	d)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION		
KEESLER AIR F	FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TI	TLE	5. P	ROJECT NUMBER
1		ĺ	
TRAINING SUPP	PORT FACILITY	į "M	AHG993004

|will be forced to utilize existing deteriorated dormitory space within the airfield clear zone. High building maintenance and operational costs will continue to impact limited base resources and effect the accomplishment of the mission.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Standard Facility Requirements Handbook". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, new construction, relocation and leasing) was done. It indicates only one option will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Robert A. Upshur (228) 377-2615.

1. COMPONE	·	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	.]
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
3. INSTALL 	ATION AND LOCATION	
 KEESLER AI	R FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI	
4. PROJECT		. PROJECT NUMBER
	i de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	İ
TRAINING S	SUPPORT FACILITY	MAHG993004
 12. SUPPI 	EMENTAL DATA:	ĺ
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Project to be accomplished by one step turn key	procedures
(2)	Basis:	j
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Design Allowance	400
(4)	Construction Start	99 SEP
 	contract of the thin musical will be succeeded.	
	ent associated with this project will be provided opriations: N/A	irom
other appr	opilations: N/A	
		j
		j
		İ
		<u> </u>
		j

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
		1	
KEESLER AIR FORCE	BASE, MISSISSIPPI	STUDENT DOR	MITORIES
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	1		
8.57.96	721-312	MAHG993000	29,770
	9 COST	r estimates	

	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
STUDENT DORMITORIES (800 PN)	LS			22,800
STUDENT DORMITORIES	SM	18,500	1,200	(22,200)
TRAINING MANAGER AREA	SM	500	1,200	(600)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			3,948
UTILITIES	LS			(700)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(361)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(865)
LEAD AND ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	SM	23,501	31	(729)
DEMOLITION	SM	23,500	55	(_1,293)
SUBTOTAL				26,748
CONTINGENCY (5%)				1,337
TOTAL CONTRACT COST]		28,085
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				1,685
TOTAL REQUEST				29,770
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)			ĺ	29,770
	1		ĺ	
	1		ĺ	
		l i	j	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two, 400 person, 3 story, masonry buildings with reinforced concrete foundation, floor slabs, and metal roof. Project includes room-bath modules, training managers areas, laundries, fire protection, sitework, pavements, communication network, and all necessary utilities. Demolish two existing dormitories to include asbestos and lead based paint abatement. |Air Conditioning: 893 KW. Grade Mix: 800 E1-E4.

REQUIREMENT: 2,793 PN ADEQUATE: 1,596 PN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Construct two student dormitories (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Properly sized and configured dormitories are required to support the students in technical training. A major Air Force objective is to provide students with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being while providing a suitable study environment. Properly designed and furnished quarters are essential for successfully training Air Force personnel. Space is also required for the training squadron staff. This project provides the fifth and sixth dormitories of a seven dormitory requirement.

CURRENT SITUATION: Students live in substandard 45 year old buildings located within the airfield clear zone that have had no major renovations since being originally constructed. Existing dorms have central bathrooms, inadequate lighting, poor insulation, and poor sound attenuation. The electrical and mechanical systems are obsolete. |Inefficient mechanical systems and uninsulated windows increase heating and cooling costs by \$200,000 annually. Significant foundation settlement has resulted in many rooms being closed. Leaking roofs as well as inoperable doors and windows are major recurring maintenance problems.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE	١
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		١
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		ĺ
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION		Ī
			ĺ
KEESLER AIR I	FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		İ
4. PROJECT T	ITLE 5. I	PROJECT NUMBER	Ī
			I
STUDENT DORM	ITORIES ! N	1AHG993000	ĺ

These three story buildings have dead end corridors and ladder fire escapes. They are without fire suppression systems and do not meet Life Safety Codes. Deteriorating lead based paint and asbestos are found throughout these dorms. This causes constant maintenance problems and poses a potential health problem if not abated. These existing dorms also provide administrative space for the Military Training Managers responsible for overseeing military activities.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Students at Keesler AFB will continue living in | deplorable conditions in dorms with Life Safety Code violations. High | building maintenance and operation costs will continue to impact limited | base resources and affect the accomplishment of mission related tasks. | Inadequate living quarters will continue to degrade morale, productivity, | and career satisfaction for students. Inadequate facilities at the early | part of airmen's careers will contribute to retention difficulties for the | Air Force

ADDITIONAL: The new OSD dormitory standard does not apply to housing constructed for members receiving entry-level skill training. This dormitory is being designed to the Air Force approved technical training standard. An Economic Analysis has been prepared comparing alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost-efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LtCol Robert A. Upshur (228) 377-2615. FY 1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$5,380K. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$1,360K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM requirements (estimated): FY98=\$3.95M; FY99=\$.6M; FY00=\$1.6M; FY01= \$1.5M; FY02=\$1.5M; FY03=\$1.5M.

I1. COMPON	FNT	2. DATE
COMPON	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION	
	IR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI	
4. PROJEC	T TITLE 5. 1	PROJECT NUMBER
Curin Eyan D	ORMITORIES	
1 STODENT DO	JAMITORIES I	MAHG993000
12. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	!
j		İ
a. Est:	imated Design Data:	ĺ
(2)	Shahua	!
(1)	Status: (a) Date Design Started	02.143.0
1	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	97 MAR 01
ľ	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	5 N
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 MAR 28
İ	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUN 30
!		j
(2)	Basis:	İ
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
1	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	KEESLER
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(3)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	1191
	(b) All Other Design Costs	595
j	(c) Total	1786
Ì	(d) Contract	1340
!	(e) In-house	446
1 (4)	Genetureties Start	
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
i		1
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided fr	com
other appr	ropriations: N/A	
		İ
		!
1		ļ
1		
İ		
İ		
		į
		į
		!
1		
! 		
i		
İ		
ĺ		1
133		i
1 3 3		

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND 5. AREA CONST INDIAN SPRINGS AUXILIARY FIELD, COST INDEX NEVADA AIR COMBAT COMMAND 1.10 6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOTAL
AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND 5. AREA CONSTINUTION SPRINGS AUXILIARY FIELD, COST INDEX NEVADA AIR COMBAT COMMAND 1.10 6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND 5. AREA CONST INDIAN SPRINGS AUXILIARY FIELD, COST INDEX NEVADA AIR COMBAT COMMAND 1.10 6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED
NEVADA AIR COMBAT COMMAND 1.10 6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED
STRENGTH OFF END, CIV OFF END CIVIOFF END CIVI TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 42 306 41 389
b. End FY 2003 66 392 41 499
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (2,300)
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 25,872
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 15,013
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 0
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0
h. Grand Total: 40,885
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999
CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) · STIRT CMPL
144 753 1711 60113 PROVED PROPERTOUS /
141-753 UAV-SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ 2,975 SM 7,059 OCT 97 JUL 98 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT
217-742 UAV-COMMUNICATION MAINTENANCE LS 3,989 OCT 97 JUL 98 FACILITY/INFRASTRUCT/UTILITIES
442-758 UAV-LOGISTICS AND TRAINING 2,175 SM 3,965 OCT 97 JUL 98
FACILITY 2,175 bit 5,565 bcl 57 bbl 56
TOTAL: 15,013
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:
10. Mission or Major Functions: An auxiliary airfield that supports the
USAF Weapons Center at Nellis AFB, NV, during contingency and surge flying
activities (Red Flag exercises, Gunsmoke competitions, Thunderbirds
practices, etc.); reconnaissance squadron equipped with Predator UAVs. 11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:
a. Air pollution:
b. Water pollution:
c. Occupational safety and health:
d. Other Environmental:
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation 13,578
• •

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
, İ I	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
INDIAN SPRINGS AIR	FORCE AUXILIARY	AIR UAV-LOGISTI	CS AND TRAINING
FIELD, NEVADA		FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	ļ		
2.72.45	442-758	LKTC983103	3,965
	9. COS	r estimates	

9. COST ESTIMATE	ES			
	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST]	(\$000)
UAV-LOGISTICS AND TRAINING FACILITY	SM	2,175		3,015
LOGISTICS, STORAGE WAREHOUSE/PROCESS	SM	1,700	1,300	(2,210)
OPS SIMULATOR AND MAINTENANCE TRAINING	SM	475	1,695	(805)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			548
UTILITIES	LS			(169)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(159)
PAVEMENTS	LS	!!	1	(170)
DEMOLITION	SM	475	105	(<u>50</u>)
SUBTOTAL				3,563
CONTINGENCY (5%)		 		<u> 178</u>
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,741
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1		1	224
TOTAL REQUEST				3,965
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1			3,965
	1			İ
	}	1		1
	1			•
<u>L</u>		ll		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slab, masonry walls, structural steel frame, metal roof system, | fire protection, utilities, pavements, and site improvements. | Air Conditioning: 210 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,175 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

| PROJECT: Construct Logistics Warehouse and Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) | Simulator and Maintenance Training Facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Permanent facilities adequately sized and configured are required to support the FY98 beddown of 45 Medium Altitude Endurance (MAE) UAV Predators and 566 personnel at Indian Springs Air Force Auxiliary Air Field (ISAFAAF). The logistics facility is required to support Mission Readiness Spares Package (MRSP), mobility processing, and supplies. In addition, the UAV simulator and maintenance training facility is required to support training of new personnel.

CURRENT SITUATION: ISAFAAF has no permanent facilities that can be reconfigured to support the UAV's logistics and training requirements. As a result, these functions must be collocated with other missions in existing wood framed structures until required permanent facilities are provided. These antiquated facilities lack the necessary utilities and fire protection to effectively support the logistics and training requirements of these unique aircraft.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide facilities to support this new | mission beddown will significantly impact UAV operational and training | capabilities. Adequate facilities will not be available to perform | essential logistics and training functions forcing additional work-arounds | which will degrade mission performance.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
INDIAN SPRINGS AIR FORCE AUXILIARY AIR FIELD, NEVADA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
UAV-LOGISTICS AND TRAINING FACILITY	LKTC983103

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates that only new construction will meet operational requirements. Therefore, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Darrell Hutchinson, (702) 652-4833

ATE BODGE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
J. INSTALL	ATION AND BOCATION	
INDIAN SPE	INGS AIR FORCE AUXILIARY AIR FIELD, NEVADA	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
UAV-LOGIST	ICS AND TRAINING FACILITY	LKTC983103
12. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
(1)	(a) Date Design Started	97 OCT 15
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 22
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUL 15
	•	
(2)		
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
(3)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000
	(b) All Other Design Costs	237
	(c) Total	356
	(d) Contract	267
	(e) In-house	89
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appr	opriations: N/A	

1. COMPONENT								2.	DATE	٦
	F	Y 1999 MILITA	ARY CO	NSTRUC'	TION PR	OJECT 1	DATA	\		
AIR FORCE	_	(c	ompute	r gene	rated)					
3. INSTALLATI	INA NO	LOCATION			4. PRO	JECT T	ITLE	2		1
INDIAN SPRING	S AIR	FORCE AUXIL:	IARY A	IR	UAV-SQ	UADRON	OPE	ERATIONS	/	١
FIELD, NEVADA	4				AIRCRA	FT MAI	NTEN	IANCE UN	IT	
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	JECT NU	MBER	8. F	PROJECT (COST (\$000)	
			- 1							
2.72.45		141-753		LKT	C983102				7,059_	
		9	COST	ESTIM	ATES					
					1			UNIT	COST	
L		ITEM			U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST _	(\$000)	
UAV-SQUADRON	OPERA:	TIONS/ AIRCRA	AFT			1	1			٦
MAINTENANCE U	NIT				SM	2,9	75		5,060	
SQUADRON OPERATIONS/MAINTENANCE FAC			SM	2,2	25	1,600	(3,560)	ij		
ACFT MAINTE	ENANCE	DOCK (HIGH I	BAY)		SM	7.	50	2,000	(1,500)	
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES			1	1			1,282	Ì

LS

LS

LS

LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, structural steel frame, metal roof system and fire protection. Hangar includes overhead crane and required fire suppression system. Includes a ground station tech pad, utilities, pavements, site improvements and all necessary support.

[Air Conditioning: 210 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 5,205 SM ADEQUATE: 2,230 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,200 SM PROJECT: Construct Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Operations and Maintenance facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Permanent facilities adequately sized and configured are required to support the FY98 beddown of 45 Medium Altitude Endurance (MAE) UAV Predators and 566 personnel at Indian Springs Air Force Auxiliary Air Field (ISAFAAF). The squadron operations/aircraft maintenance unit facility is required to support mission planning, direct flight operations and maintenance functions, brief and critique UAV student pilots, and maintenance personnel. The UAV aircraft maintenance hangar is required to support direct maintenance of assigned UAV assets. A UAV ground station tech pad is required for deployable systems.

CURRENT SITUATION: ISAFAAF has no permanent facilities that can be reconfigured and dedicated to support the UAV's operational and maintenance requirements. As a result, these functions must be collocated with other missions in existing wood framed structures until the required permanent facilities are provided. These antiquated facilities lack the necessary utilities, fire protection, and equipment to effectively maintain these unique aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide facilities to support this new

UTILITIES

PAVEMENTS

TOTAL REQUEST

CONTINGENCY (5%)

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

SUBTOTAL

SITE IMPROVEMENTS

UAV GROUND STATION TECH PAD

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

330)

246)

286)

420)

317

400

6,342

6,659

7,059

7,059

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
INDIAN SPRINGS AIR FORCE AUXILIARY AIR FIELD, NEVADA	i
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PR	ROJECT NUMBER
UAV-SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	KTC983102

|mission beddown will significantly impact UAV operational capabilities. |Adequate facilities will not be available to perform essential squadron |operations and maintenance functions forcing additional work-arounds which |will degrade mission performance.

| ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of | Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, | this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook | 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable | options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates that only | new construction will meet operational requirements. Therefore, a full | economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been | prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Darrell Hutchinson, (702) 652-4833

L. COMPON	ENT		2. DATE
	ļ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	DATA
IR FORCE		(computer generated)	
INSTAL.	LATIC	ON AND LOCATION	
NDIAN SP	RINGS	S AIR FORCE AUXILIARY AIR FIELD, NEVADA	
. PROJEC			5. PROJECT NUMBER
			j
JAV-SQUAD	RON C	OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	LKTC983102
2. SUPP	LEMEN	VTAL DATA:	
		ed Design Data:	
(1)		Atus:	
	(a) (b)	Date Design Started Parametric Cost Estimates used to develor	97 OCT 15
	(D)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	costs N
		Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 22
		Date Design Complete	98 JUL 15
			·
(2)	Bas	·- ·	
	(a)	3	NO
	(a)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
		Production of Plans and Specifications	423
	(b)	All Other Design Costs	212
		Total	635
		Contract	476
	(e)	In-house	159
(4)	Con	struction Start	99 JAN
. Equipa ther appo	ment ropri	associated with this project will be proviations: N/A	ded from
	,		

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
FY	1999 MILITARY CONSTR	UCTION PROJECT DAT	'A
AIR FORCE	(computer ge	nerated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITI	Æ
INDIAN SPRINGS AIR E	FORCE AUXILIARY AIR	UAV-COMMUNICATI	ON MAINTENANCE
FIELD, NEVADA		FACILITY/INFRAS	TRUCT/UTILITIES
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6	. CATEGORY CODE 7. P	ROJECT NUMBER 8.	PROJECT COST(\$000)
	1	1	
2.72.45	217-742 L	KTC983104	3,989
	9. COST EST	IMATES	

9. COST ESTIMATE	<u> </u>			
	1	l	UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
UAV-COMMUNICATION MAINTENANCE	1			
FACILITY/INFRASTRUCT/UTILITIES	LS	1	ļ	3,204
UAV COMM MAINTENANCE FAC (HIGH BAY)	SM	650	1,500	(975)
BASE INFRASTRUCURE AND UTILITIES	LS	'	I	(2,229)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1	1 1	ĺ	380
UTILITIES	LS			(135)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	İ	ĺ	(105)
PAVEMENTS	LS		ĺ	(140)
SUBTOTAL	Ì		ĺ	3,584
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1	i l	İ	179
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1		ĺ	3,763
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)			ĺ	226
TOTAL REQUEST	ĺ		ĺ	3,989
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1]		3,989
	1	l İ	j	i
	1	ĺ	ĺ	j
		İ	İ	j
		Ĺ		i

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slab, masonry walls, structural steel frame, metal roof system, | fire protection, utilities, pavements, and site improvements. | Air Conditioning: 135 KW.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: 650 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 | PROJECT: Construct Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Communication | Maintenance Facility and Upgrade base infrastructure/utilities. (New | Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Permanent facilities adequately sized and configured are required to support the FY98 beddown of 45 Medium Altitude Endurance (MAE) UAV Predators and 566 personnel at Indian Springs Air Force Auxiliary Air Field (ISAFAAF). The UAV communication maintenance facility is required for the repair of deployable and in-garrison reconnaissance equipment. In addition, the base infrastructure and utilities need to be upgraded to support all planned construction, personnel, and assigned UAV assets.

CURRENT SITUATION: ISAFAAF has no permanent facilities that can be reconfigured to support this new mission's communications maintenance requirements. As a result, the communications functions will be collocated with other missions in existing wood framed structures until the required permanent facilities are provided. Additionally, these existing utilities and their infrastructure are in desperate need of repair.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide facilities to support this new | mission beddown will significantly impact UAV communications maintenance | capabilities. Adequate facilities will not be available to perform | essential squadron maintenance forcing additional work-arounds which will

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	!
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
INDIAN SPRINGS AIR FORCE AUXILIARY AIR FIELD, NEVADA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
UAV-COMMUNICATION MAINTENANCE	
FACILITY/INFRASTRUCT/UTILITIES	LKTC983104

degrade mission performance.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates that only new construction will meet operational requirements. Therefore, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Darrell Hutchinson, (702) 652-4833

 COMPONE 	NT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	4
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
INDIAN SPF	INGS AIR FORCE AUXILIARY AIR FIELD, NEVADA	
PROJECT	TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
	ICATION MAINTENANCE	
FACILITY/I	NFRASTRUCT/UTILITIES	LKTC983104
.2. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	••
	(a) Date Design Started	97 OCT 15
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	osts N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 22
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUL 15
(2)	Basis:	
\- /	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
	(a) more beligh was nobe recently obed	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	239
	(b) All Other Design Costs	120
	(c) Total	359
	(d) Contract	269
	(e) In-house	90
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
•		
	ent associated with this project will be provided opriations: N/A	l from

1. COMPONENT							2	. DAT	E
	1999 MILITA				PROGR	MAS	ļ		
AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLATION AND I		uter c					15	ADE	A CONST
3. INSTALLATION AND I	OCATION		4. CO	MMAND			13		
		ļ					- !		T INDEX
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE			AIR C					1.	06
5. PERSONNEL	PERMANE			UDENTS		SUPP			
STRENGTH	OFF ENL			ENL	CIV				
	810 5462	•	•			285		252	•
b. End FY 2003	791 5497					285	564	252	8,305
	7. INVE	NTORY	DATA	(\$000)	<u> </u>				
a. Total Acreage: (
b. Inventory Total As							4	90,04	6
c. Authorization Not		_							0
d. Authorization Requ		_	-					6,37	8
e. Authorization Incl		_	_	am:	(FY 2	(000)		16,55	0
f. Planned In Next Th	- .	Years:						16,80	0
g. Remaining Deficien	cy:							35,65	0
h. Grand Total:							5	65,42	4
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PRO	GRAM:	FY 1	999		-			
CATEGORY						COST	DE	SIGN	STATUS
CODE PROJ	ECT TITLE		S	COPE		(\$000)	· S	TART	CMPL
· · ·			_				_		
721-312 DORMITORY				84	PN	6,378	TU	RN KE	Y
				COTAL:					
9a. Future Projects:	Included i	n the	Follo	wing F	Progr		200	0)	
211-152 F-22 AIRCRAF				_	_	7,900		-	
HANGAR						•			
211-152 F-22 COMPOSI SHOP	TE AND FABRI	CATION	r :	1,500	SM	4,800			
442-758 F-22 PARTS W	AREHOUSE AND	1	;	L,200	SM	3,850			
OPERATIONS .	ADDITION				_				,
	<u> </u>			COTAL:		16,550			
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Pl	anned	Next '	Three	Year	s:			
141-753 HH-60 SQUADR	ON OPERATION	S &		1,100	SM	4,600			
MAINTENANCE	FACILITY								
171-211 WEAPONS SCHO	OL ADDITION		:	2,765	SM	7,500			
216-642 CONVENTIONAL FACILITY	MUNITIONS M	AINT		604	SM	1,950			
216-642 F-22 MUNITIO	NG MATARRIPATAN	CE		700	CM	2 750			·\
	NO MATNIENAN	CE		700	SPI	2,750			
FACILITY	Bunchies-	Bdar **				_ 61 -/			<u> </u>
10. Mission or Major						-	_	_	nat
includes the Weapons									
fighter squadron, and									on
(A-10, F-15 and F-16 a									
(Thunderbirds), and a									
School; a joint train	_		-		HOR	SE Squa	adro	n; and	d an
Air Force Materiel Con					 -				
11. Outstanding poll	ution and sa	fety (OSHA)	defic	cienc	ies:			
a. Air pollution	n:							0	
POLICEO								0	
b. Water nollut:									
b. Water pollut:		hesl+h						_	
c. Occupational	safety and	health	:					0	
-	safety and				1000			_	

	1. COMPONENT							12	DATE	
			999 MILITA	ARY CONS	STRUCTION	PROJECT	DAT		DAID	
	AIR FORCE		(cc	mputer	generate	ed)		i		
	3. INSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATION	•	4.	PROJECT	TITI	Œ		
	1				ŀ					
	NELLIS AIR FO	RCE BASE	, NEVADA		DOR	MITORY				
	5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE 7	PROJECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST (\$000)
		1								
	2.75.96		721-312		RKMF993	800	1		6,378	
1	1		٥	COST	CTTMATEC					

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DORMITORY (84 PN)	SM	2,756	1,800	4,961
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			769
UTILITIES	LS			(190)
PAVEMENTS	LS	İ		(204)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	1		(130)
DEMOLITION	SM	950	258	(245)
SUBTOTAL				5,730
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1		1	287
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			6,017
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				361
TOTAL REQUEST	1			6,378
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,378
				İ
	1			İ
	- 1		ĺ	İ
			İ	İ
	1		ĺ	i İ
		İ	j	i i

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slabs, masonry walls and roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room | modules, laundries, storage and lounge areas and all supporting facilities | and the demolition of an old central latrine dormitory replaced by this | project.

Air Conditioning: 400 KW. Grade Mix: 84 E1-E4.

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,390 PN ADEQUATE: 1,102 PN SUBSTANDARD: 34 PN | PROJECT: Construct a dormitory (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: It is a major Air Force objective to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: The dormitory to be replaced is central gang latrine design and no longer meets current Air Force design and Quality of Life standards. Mechanical, electrical, and fire protection systems are old, obsolete, inefficient and do not meet current Life Safety Codes. Exterior wall finishes, windows, doors, communications systems (telephone/data, television), and the water/sewer systems all are failing and require immediate replacement. Boiler insulation, pipe insulation, floor tiling, and ceilings all contain asbestos that is potentially dangerous to the building occupants. The facility has inadequate personal storage and laundry areas.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions will persist | degrading morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATE	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	į
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DORMITORY	RKMF993008

enlisted personnel. This problem is further compounded by the non-availability of affordable off-base housing.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Particle 1.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Darrell Hutchinson, (702) 652-4833. FY 1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted \$168K. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$1,132K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirements (estimated): FY 1998: \$2,200K, FY 1999: \$3,300K, FY 2000: \$0, FY 2001: \$0, FY 2002: \$0, FY 2003: \$0.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE						
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)	A						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							
NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA							
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER						
DORMITORY	RKMF993008						
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:						
a. Estimated Design Data:							
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key	procedures						
(2) Basis:	'						
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	no N/A						
(3) Design Allowance	255						
(4) Construction Start	99 JAN						
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided	d from						
other appropriations: N/A							
	ĺ						
147							

I I COMPONENTI				12 22	
1. COMPONENT	1999 MILITARY CO	NOTE TO THE	OCDAM	2. DAT	E
AIR FORCE	computer)		Pinney.	1	.
3. INSTALLATION AND LOC		4. COMMAND		IS ADE	A CONST
	AIION	AIR MOBILITY			T INDEX
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE,	NEW JERSEY	COMMAND		1.	:
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENTS	SUPPOI		<u></u>
<u> </u>	OFF ENL CIV	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NL CIV	TOTAL
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	582 4028 1460			370 123	6,672
1	624 4077 1388		! !	370 123	6,691
	7. INVENTORY		1 + 0 - 1 - 1	2.012231	0,032
a. Total Acreage: (3,661)				
b. Inventory Total As C				256,02	o i
c. Authorization Not Ye					o i
d. Authorization Reques	ted In This Prog	gram:		6,04	4 İ
e. Authorization Includ	led In Following	Program: (F	Y 2000)		o j
f. Planned In Next Thre		_		27,82	з
g. Remaining Deficiency	' :			57,22	0 1
h. Grand Total:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			347,10	<u>7</u> j
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED I	N THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999			1
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE PROJEC	T TITLE	SCOPE	(\$000)	START	CMPL
1					
722-351 DINING FACILIT	Y	1,950 Si	M 6,044	MAR 97	SEP 98
		TOTAL:			
9a. Future Projects:				000) NO	NE
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Planned	Next Three Ye	ears:		
111-111 EXTEND RUNWAY		•	M 17,223		ļ
721-315 VISITING QUART			N 10,600		
10. Mission or Major F					
air mobility wing with					
Air Mobility Operations	_		_		- ,
Warfare Center; an Air					-y
wing; and an Air Nation squadrons.	al Guard alf rei	ueling wing v	with two KC	-135	İ
11. Outstanding pollut	ion and cafety /	OCHA) dofici		·	
ouescanding point	ion and safety (OSHA) delicie	encies:		!
a. Air pollution:				0	
b. Water pollution	n:			0	1
	n. afety and health	. :		0)
d. Other Environme		· •		0	!
12. Real Property Main		This Installa	ation	117,484	
				,,	i
					ì
					i
					İ
					;
					Ì
					i
1					i
1					i
					i
					i

1. COMPONENT		<u> </u>					2.	DATE
	FY	1999 MILITA	ARY CONS	TRUCTION	N PROJECT	DA'	ra	
AIR FORCE		(cc	omputer o	generate	ed)		1	
3. INSTALLATI 	ION AND	LOCATION		4.	PROJECT	TIT	LE	
MCGUIRE AIR E	FORCE BA	ASE, NEW JER	RSEY	DIN	NING FAC	LIT	Y	
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST (\$000)
4.18.96		722-351		PTFL953	3009	ĺ		6,044
		9.	COST ES	STIMATES	3		·	

		1	UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DINING FACILITY	SM	1,950	2,400	4,680
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	ĺ	ĺ	i	750
UTILITIES	LS	İ	İ	(210)
PAVEMENTS	LS	1	ĺ	(100)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	į į	į	(44)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM	3,300	120	(396)
SUBTOTAL			ĺ	5,430
CONTINGENCY (5%)				272
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,702
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				342
TOTAL REQUEST				6,044
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1			6,044
		†		1
	-			1
				1
				1
		1		İ
				. İ

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: One-story facility with | concrete floor slabs, structural steel frame, masonry exterior walls, and | sloped roofing system. Includes space for food preparation and storage, | dining, fire protection, site improvements, demolition, and necessary | support.

Air Conditioning: 75 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,950 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,817 SM

PROJECT: Construct dining facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized dining facility is required to prepare and serve over 1,400 meals per day. The dining facility must serve both the single enlisted personnel living on-base and personnel living off-base during around-the-clock work shifts 24 hours each day. The facility must be configured such that serving lines can accommodate shift workers in a timely and efficient manner as to allow these personnel to eat and return to work on time. Space is required for food preparation, refrigerated food storage, storage of non-perishable foods, a properly designed food serving line and dining area. A modern dining facility is essential for maintaining an effective, all-volunteer Air Force.

CURRENT SITUATION: Dining hall operations are presently accommodated in two substandard facilities constructed in the mid-1950's which cannot be economically upgraded to provide an adequate dining environment. The facilities are out-dated, poorly configured food serving lines, food preparation areas, and crowded dining areas. Upon completion of requested construction, both dining facilities (2,817 SM) will be demolished. Additionally, a vacated fire station totaling 488 SM will be demolished to provide the construction site for the new dining facility.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
DINING FACILITY	PTFL953009

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unaccompanied enlisted personnel will continue to be served in a sub-standard dining facilities which will have an adverse impact on their morale and well being. Additionally, inefficient operations and costly facility maintenance will continue to prevail. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction. Based on net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC WILLIAMS, (609) 724-2642.

Page No

1. COMPONI	PMTP I	2. DATE				
COMPORT	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	, ,				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTAL	ATION AND LOCATION					
!		.				
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY						
4. PROJEC.	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER				
DINING FAC	LILITY I	PTFL953009				
İ						
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:					
 a. Esti	mated Design Data:					
 (1)	Status:					
İ	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAR 01				
j	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of					
į	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%				
İ	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 12				
İ	(e) Date Design Complete	98 SEP 25				
	- · ·					
(2)	Basis:	ļ				
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES				
 	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	PATRICK				
l (3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)				
İ	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	363				
	(b) All Other Design Costs	181				
•	(c) Total	544				
	(d) Contract	408				
	(e) In-house	136				
İ						
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN				
 		1				
		İ				
	ent associated with this project will be provide opriations: N/A	ed from				
	opilacions. N/A	 				
İ						
ĺ						
1						
[
[
		j				
ļ						
		İ				
1 1 1						
151						
<u> </u>						

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROGRAM	
AIR FORCE (computer ge	enerated)	<u>l</u>
	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
	AIR FORCE	COST INDEX
• •	MATERIEL COMMAND	0.96
		
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS SUPPORT	
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV		L CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 1393 2910 2637	190 3	96 821 9,347
b. End FY 2003 1342 2917 2667	190 39	96 821 9,333
7. INVENTORY I	DATA (\$000)	
a. Total Acreage: (44,025)		
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)		513,491
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		o
d. Authorization Requested In This Progr	cam:	1,774
e. Authorization Included In Following I		0
- :	.10g1am. (FI 2000)	- !
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:		60,900
g. Remaining Deficiency:		153,000
h. Grand Total:		729,165
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999	
CATEGORY	COST I	DESIGN STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	START CMPL
179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	LS 1,774 1	TURN KEY
	TOTAL: 1,774	10101 1011
9a. Future Projects: Included in the F		NOO! NONE
		JOU) NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned N		!
113-321 UPGRADE AIRFIELD RAMP, PH 1		ļ
171-212 FLIGHT SIMULATION TRAINING	7,500 SM 14,000	
FACILITY		
610-281 NUCLEAR WEAPONS INTEGRATION	LS 5,000	
FACILITY		i
730-832 GIBSON GUARD GATE HOUSE AND	60 SM 1,700	ì
VISITOR'S CENTER	•	i
871-183 UPGRADE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM	LS 2,600	!
10. Mission or Major Functions: Philli		Force
Operational Test and Evaluation Center;		
Command special operations wing with thr		
operating MH-53, TH-53, UH-1, HH-60, MC-		
base wing; Air Force Security Forces Cen	ter; and an Air Nationa	l Guard
fighter wing with F-16s.		
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (0	SHA) deficiencies:	
•		i
a. Air pollution:		0
b. Water pollution:		- 1
c. Occupational safety and health:		1,200
		8,000
d. Other Environmental:		1,000
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog T	nis Installation 1	06,639
		i
		i
İ		1
		ļ
I 		
<u> </u> 		

1. COMPONENT		,	2. DATE
F	7 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT	ritle
		İ	ļ
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE	BASE, NEW MEXICO	FIRE TRAINI	NG FACILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
			1
7.80.56	179-511	MHMV923010	1,774
1	9 (057	ESTIMATES	

9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	LS			1,350
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				250
UTILITIES	LS	ĺ		(120)
PAVEMENTS	LS	1		(80)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	ĺ		(50)
SUBTOTAL	İ	İ		1,600
CONTINGENCY (5%)	ĺ	j j		80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,680
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	İ	į į		101
TOTAL REQUEST	i	j i		1,781
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	į	İ		1,774
	j	i i		ĺ
	i	i i		1
	i	i i		İ
	i	i i		
	i	i i		İ
	i	i		
		i i		!

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a double lined fire | training facility with aircraft mock-up and associated environmental and | safety systems. Includes liquid propane gas storage tank, pumps, piping, | storage system for fuel and water, lighting, fencing, access road, and all | necessary utilities and site preparation.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

| PROJECT: Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission)
| REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I environmental compliance requirement. The | existing fire training facility did not meet Clean Water Act requirements | for ground water protection in 40 CFR 122. A live fire training facility | using the latest gas burning technology and meeting all environmental and | safety regulations is required. Live fire training exercises, an FAA | quarterly requirement, enable fire fighters to maintain a high level of | proficiency. It is Air Force policy to have a facility on every major Air | Force installation to meet fire training requirements which complies with | all applicable criteria and environmental requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility has been closed since 1992 because of subsurface contamination and failure to meet Clean Water Act requirements. This has left the base fire department without an environmentally safe live fire training capability. Limited live fire training is presently conducted at a site 75 miles from the base. Long-term off-base training is not acceptable since crews and fire vehicles are removed from the base and therefore are not available to respond to base emergencies.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Firefighting crews will continue to perform | limited live fire training 75 miles away from the base, adversely | impacting their degree of readiness. Lack of training could result in

Le goupourum!				
1. COMPONENT FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	2. DATE DATA			
AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO 4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER			
İ	İ			
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	MHMV923010			
injury, loss of life, or loss of an aircraft. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Lavon Alston, (405) 846-7916.				
	1			
1	į			
	1			
 	į			
	!			

I COMPONIES		2. DATE
1. COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
KIRTLAND AIR	FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE TRAINING	FACILITY	MHMV923010
 12. SUPPLEMI	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
(1) P:	roject to be accomplished by one step turn key	y procedures
(2) B	asis:	
•	Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	EGLIN
(3) Do	esign Allowance	80
(4) C	onstruction Start	99 JAN
 b. Equipment	associated with this project will be provide	ed from
	ciations: N/A	Ju 110111
	.,	
1		
		•
İ		
1		
İ		
İ		
!		
į		
1		

AIR FORCE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH AIR MOBILITY COST INDEX DAKOTA
DAKOTA
6. PERSONNEL
STRENGTH
a. As of 30 SEP 97 559 3445 360 1 2 93 4,460 b. End FY 2003 346 2457 305 1 2 93 3,204 c. Total Acreage: (5,422) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 351,570 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:
D. End FY 2003 346 2457 305 1 2 93 3,204
T. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (5,422) b. Inventory Total As of: (30 SEP 97)
a. Total Acreage: (5,422) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 351,570 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,686 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 0 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 25,700 g. Remaining Deficiency: 39,550 h. Grand Total: 419,506 g. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL 179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY LS 2,686 9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
b. Inventory Total As of: (30 SEP 97) 351,570 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,686 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 0 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 25,700 g. Remaining Deficiency: 39,550 h. Grand Total: 419,506 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY
C. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 2,686 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 0 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 25,700 g. Remaining Deficiency: 39,550 h. Grand Total: 419,506 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE CODE C
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: g. Remaining Deficiency: h. Grand Total: 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE TOTAL: 2,686 MAY 97 SEP 98 TOTAL: 2,686 MAY 97 SEP 98 TOTAL: 2,686 PROJECT TYPICAL PROGRAM: FY 1999 AND Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE Pb. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 0 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 25,700 g. Remaining Deficiency: 39,550 h. Grand Total: 419,506 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL 179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY LS 2,686 MAY 97 SEP 98 TOTAL: 2,686 MAY 97 SEP 98 Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: g. Remaining Deficiency: h. Grand Total: 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE TOTAL: 2,686 9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
g. Remaining Deficiency: 39,550 h. Grand Total: 419,506 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY
B. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999 CATEGORY CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL 179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY LS 2,686 MAY 97 SEP 98 TOTAL: 2,686 9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL 179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY LS 2,686 MAY 97 SEP 98 TOTAL: 2,686 MAY 97 SEP 98 9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CMPL 179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY LS 2,686 9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
179-511 FIRE TRAINING FACILITY 100
TOTAL: 2,686 9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
TOTAL: 2,686 9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000) NONE 9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
113-321 KC-135 APRON EXTENSION-PH1 60,000 SM 9,100 141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 3,800 SM 7,800 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
141-753 KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU 740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
740-673 PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER 4,650 SM 8,800 10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with four KC-135 squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
squadrons and an Air Force Space Command missile group with one Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
III intercontinental ballistic missile squadron with HH-1 helicopters which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
which will be inactivated as a result of the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission's recommendation.
Realignment Commission's recommendation.
a. Air pollution: 0
b. Water pollution:
c. Occupational safety and health: 0
d. Other Environmental: 2,800
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation 77,659

	1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
	AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
į	3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
			1	
į	GRAND FORKS AIR FO	RCE BASE, NORTH DA	AKOTA FIRE TRAINI	NG FACILITY
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	4.18.56	179-511	JFSD978001	2,686
١		9. COS	r estimates	

3			
		UNIT	COST
U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
LS			1,339
1		İ	1,074
EA	1	522,000	(522)
LS			(202)
LS		ĺ	(217)
LS	İ	ĺ	(121)
EA	1	12,000	(12)
1		İ	2,413
İ		İ	121
		į	2,534
			152
1		į	2,686
			2,686
		İ	ì
į į	İ	į	i
İ	İ		i
İ	İ	i	i
į į		j	i
	U/M LS EA LS LS		UNIT UNIT U/M QUANTITY COST LS

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct new fire training | facility with propane fuel and burner systems, impervious liner system, | and aircraft mockup. Construct new recreational vehicle parking lot. New | fire training pit will be constructed on current recreational vehicle (RV) | parking lot. Includes all necessary support.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Fire training facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This is a Level 1 environmental compliance project. The existing fire training pit does not meet the North Dakota Drinking Water Act Code, Title 61 chapter 28. An adequately sized and configured fire training facility is required to provide realistic conditions whereby fire fighters can practice extinguishing flames and rescuing personnel from burning aircraft. The facility must include necessary systems and controls for the fuel, burners, drainage for the pit, and an aircraft mockup. Traveling to other installations to conduct fire training is not feasible due to the high cost and the level of manning required to remain at the installation to support the mission.

CURRENT SITUATION: Current fire training pit is sited out of compliance with the North Dakota Drinking Water Act, Title 61, Chapter 28 and with the Base Comprehensive Plan. Environmental concerns have severely limited its use so that adequate training is not being performed as directed by Air Force Instructions. The base recreational vehicle parking lot must be relocated as this site is the only site large enough to accommodate the fire training pit and meet its functional requirements. The 77 acres of available land is scheduled to be landfill capped. Other land is extremely low and is designated as a wetland. These constraints eliminate other potential sites on which to construct a new fire training facility.

1. COMPONENT FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)]
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	. PROJECT NUMBER
į į	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	JFSD978001

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fire fighters will not be able to meet Air Force and FAA quarterly training requirements for remaining proficient in aircraft crash fire fighting and rescue techniques. The safety of both the fire fighter and aircraft accident victims will continue to be compromised by lack of proper training.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction) was done. It indicates that only new construction meets operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC HOWE, (701) 747-4769.

1. C	OMPONI	ENT			2. DATE
		ĺ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A	j
AIR I	FORCE		(computer generated)		
3. II	NSTALI	ATI	ON AND LOCATION		
					[
GRANI	O FORE	KS A	IR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA		
4. PI	ROJECT	r TI	TLE	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
					•
FIRE	TRAIN	ING	FACILITY	JF	SD978001
		•			ļ
12.	SUPPI	LEME	NTAL DATA:		ļ
					ļ
a.	Esti	lmat	ed Design Data:		ļ
	(-)				ļ
	(1)		atus:		
			Date Design Started		97 MAY 01
			Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	osts	N
			Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35%
			Date 35% Designed.		97 NOV 07
		(e)	Date Design Complete		98 SEP 30
	(0)	D -			
	(2)		sis:		
			Standard or Definitive Design -		YES
		(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		DOVER
	(3)	m.	tol Cost (a) (b) (l) (c)		(****)
	(3)		tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
			Production of Plans and Specifications		161
			All Other Design Costs Total		81
		(c)			242
					181
		(6)	In-house		61
	(4)	Co	nstruction Start		00 7337
	(4)	CO	instruction start		99 JAN
b. I	mainn	ent	associated with this project will be provide	á from	 -
			iations: N/A	I IIO	ա 1
001101	. appi	. Opi	Iditions. N/A	•	
					!
			•		
			t		}
					ł
					l
					İ
					ļ
15	59				

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CO		
AIR FORCE (computer		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
WRIGHT-PATTERSON	AIR FORCE	COST INDEX
AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO	MATERIEL COMMAND	0.96
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS SUPPOR	····
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV		L CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 3143 3041 12005		38 169 22,577
b. End FY 2003 2949 2912 10818		38 169 21,067
7. INVENTORY	DATA (\$000)	
a. Total Acreage: (8,145)		034 655
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)		934,655
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		0
d. Authorization Requested In This Prog e. Authorization Included In Following		22,000
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years	<u> </u>	0
g. Remaining Deficiency:	•	60,500
h. Grand Total:	7	150,500
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:		,167,655
CATEGORY		 ממשתיים ומסגריים
	-	DESIGN STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE	<u>SCOPE (\$000)</u>	START CMPL
	11,000 SM 22,000 S	TURN KEY
COMPLEX, PH-4A	11,000 SM 22,000	IORN REI
COMPLEX, FIT 4R	TOTAL: 22,000	!
9a. Future Projects: Included in the		100) NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned		JOOT NOME
141-454 ADD TO AND ALTER SPECIAL	1,235 SM 2,500	
OPERATIONS INTELLEGENCE FAC	_,	i
141-745 ADD/ALTER PHOTO RECONNAISSANCE	LS 2,100	i
FACILITY		į
149-962 CONTROL TOWER	LS 4,000	i
310-932 CONSOLIDATE AVIONICS RESEARCH		i
LABORATORY	·	
760-111 ADD TO AIR FORCE MUSEUM	25,450 SM 15,000	· i
10. Mission or Major Functions: AFMC	Headquarters responsible	for
management, command, control and direct	ion of worldwide logisti	.cs support
for aircraft weapons systems, missiles	and related components;	Air Force
Wright Aeronautical Laboratories include		
Dynamics and Aeropropulsion; Wright Lab	oratory; the Air Force I	institute of
Technology (AFIT); the Air Force Museum		
two C-141 airlift squadrons; and an AFM	IC base wing with one C-2	l logistics
group.		
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:	1
a. Air pollution:		4,700
b. Water pollution:		0
c. Occupational safety and health	:	0 !
d. Other Environmental:		15,500
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog	This Installation 1	92,428
		ļ
l i		ļ
		ļ
		!
<u></u>		

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	(1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	j
AIR FORCE	(compute:	r generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
		ACQUISITION MANAGE	MENT
WRIGHT-PATTERSON A	IR FORCE BASE, OHIO	O COMPLEX, PH-4A	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PRO	JECT COST(\$000)
7.28.06	311-173	ZHTV983205	22,000
	9. COST	ESTIMATES	
		l l 1	NITT COST

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PH-4A	SM	11,000	1,400	15,400
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				4,723
DEMOLISH BUILDING	SM	10,868	118	(1,282)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(615)
OTHER SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS		İ	(_2,826)
SUBTOTAL				20,123
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1		į	1,006
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				21,129
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1	l İ	İ	1,268
TOTAL REQUEST		l		22,397
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		i l	ĺ	22,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)		ĺ	ĺ	(6,725)
	1	l İ	ĺ	
			ĺ	
	1	l 1	ĺ	
		ĺ	j	
	j :	j	j	
	i	i i	i	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural frame, roof system, and secure space. Includes administration space, special purpose space, miscellaneous infrastructure connections, sewage lift station, adding central chiller and boiler to plant, road, and ceremonial plaza. Includes all necessary support. Air Conditioning: 1735 KW.
- REQUIREMENT: 121,318 SM ADEQUATE: 63,937 SM SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Acquisition management complex, Phase-4A. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide a secure, modern, flexible office space to be the Center of Choice for leading integrated planning and execution activities associated with acquisition programs within the Aerospace Control and Strike (AC/S) System Mission Area Group (MAG). The AC/S MAG must provide superior mission area expertise, acquisition management, technical support, personnel support, and system integration support for assigned programs within the AC/S MAG, and team with the warfighter and industry to develop, acquire, field and sustain superior Aerospace Control and Strike Systems--faster, cheaper, and better. ASC has led strategic planning efforts to align the Center along mission areas to conform with Air Force Doctrine Document (AFDD-1 draft). The AC/S Systems MAG must be consolidated in modern facilities equipped with the latest information systems technology. This phase consolidates the F-15, F-22, F-117 SPOs, Mission Area Support Office (MASO), Acquisition Mgt Spt Office (AMSO), LANTIRN, Joint Strike Fighter Spt Office, and Stealth Focus Area Office. CURRENT SITUATION: Most ASC facilities to be upgraded were constructed between 1928-1944 and later modified to accommodate the current mission. Some buildings are structurally sound but have many deficiencies including

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	l
		Į.
WRIGHT-PATTER	RSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
		ĺ
ACQUISITION N	MANAGEMENT COMPLEX, PH-4A	ZHTV983205

energy inefficient heating, cooling, and lighting systems, roof leaks, rest rooms in disrepair, and asbestos ceilings and insulation. buildings have not adapted well to modern engineering requirements. Numerous interior partitions contribute to inefficient layouts which waste floor space and hampers work force efficiency. Currently, the AC/S MAG is located in nine separate facilities. The present layout of the facilities inhibit individual and project team interaction which is vital. MAG is being consolidated within the AMC Complex in three increments: The first increment (AMC Phase-3) was activated in FY97 with the B-1 and B-2 System Program Offices; this increment (AMC Phase-4A) consolidates the F-15, F-22, F-117 SPOs Mission Area Support Office (MASO), Acquisition |Management Support Office (AMSO), LANTIRN, Joint Strike Fighter Support Office, and the Stealth Focus Area. The third increment (AMC Phase-4B) will consolidate the remainder of the AC/S MAG Program. This project includes the demolition of facilities totaling 10,868 SM. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The AC/S Systems MAG implementation will not occur in support of the Product Support Office (PSO) organizational concept at ASC supporting the Center's alignment with ACC, USAF/XO, SAF/AQ, and Air Force Doctrine focusing on the Global Power Mission Area (MA). The Air Force will not be able to lead in the development of stealth technologies for aircraft as charged by the Department of Defense. Failure to properly address the future now will threaten the existance of the Center. Without this project, complex weapon system integration will continue to operate in inadequate facilities resulting in decreased operating efficiency and unnecessary operating costs. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINNER: Col Louis F. Hauck, (937) 257-6214.

2. DATE
ATA
1
İ
i
5. PROJECT NUMBER
1
ZHTV983205
Ì
į
į
j
y procedures

- (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design -NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -N/A
- (3) Design Allowance

650

(4) Construction Start

99 JAN

|b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE 	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
PRE-WIRED WORKSTATIONS	3400	2000	3000
LOOSE FURNITURE	3400	2000	1500
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	3400	2000	2225

1. COMPO									•	2. DAT	Œ	-
NED HODG	!	1999					PROG	RAM		ļ		
AIR FORC				outer						1		
3. INSTA	LLATION AND LO	OCATIO.	N		!	INAMMO)			5. ARE		
					!	FORCE				!	T IN	DEX
	IR FORCE BASE					RIEL C					88	
6. PERSO	-		ERMANI			TUDENT			PPOR		_	
STREN	-			CIV		ENL	CIV	OFF		r CIA		
	30 SEP 97			•	•	1				51 620	•	944
b. End F	Y 2003	1261				<u> </u>		!!	8	51 620	20,9	958
				ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)					
	Acreage: (
	tory Total As									805,86	0	
	rization Not Y			-							0	
d. Author	rization Reque	ested :	In Thi	is Prog	gram:					14,18	5	
e. Author	rization Inclu	uded Ir	n Foll	lowing	Progr	ram:	(FY	2000)		23,30	0 -	
f. Planne	ed In Next Thi	ree Pro	ogram	Years	:					64,25	0	
g. Remain	ning Deficiend	zy:								124,10		
h. Grand		_							1	,031,69		
8. PROJEC	CTS REQUESTED	IN TH	IS PRO	GRAM:	FY:	1999						
CATEGORY	_							COST	. 1	DESIGN	STATU	JS
CODE	PROJE	ECT TIT	TLE		9	SCOPE		(\$000	-	START	CMI	
					_			17.55			<u> </u>	=
217-742	COMBAT COMMUN			UADROI	4	2,700	SM	5,08	5 1	MAR 97	AUG	98
	OPERATIONS F	FACILIT	ΓY									
721-312	DORMITORY						_			TURN KE	Y	
	·							14,18				
9a. Futi	re Projects:	Inclu	ıded i	n the	Follo	wing	Progi	ram (F	Y 20	000)		
211-251	AIR DRIVEN AC			.TTY		9,160	SM	17,50	0			
721-312	DORMITORY					96	ВM	5,80	0			
						TOTAL	-	<u>'</u>				
9b. Futu	re Projects:	Typic	ral Pl	anned	Next							
	REPAIR PRIMAR					4,000			n			
	AIRCRAFT DEIC					, , , , , ,		1,75				
	ADD TO AND AL			TT ON		6 000		11,60				
	SUPPORT FACI		*IEGRA	ITION		8,000	SM	11,60	U			
211-159	DEPOT CORROSI FACILITY	ON CON	TROL	STRIP		5,064	SM	12,60	0	*		
217-742	COMBAT COMMUN	ICATIC	ONS			4,000	SM	7,60	0			
	SQUAD OPS (3	1 CCS)										
10. Miss	ion or Major			Oklah	oma C	ity A	ir Lo	gisti	cs C	enter v	which	
	sible for log											-
	ice of B-1, B-										neg.	
	se wing; an A											
airborne	air control s	miadro	שמנ כ	nnort i	na 24	E-3 -	oirar	.119 W.L	22 Z	THEC P	- 3	
	KC-135 Squadr											
											ring	
nistaliat aircraft)	ion wing. A	major	cenan	CIST	iie OS	мачу	IACA	u¹i∪ W1)	ng (E-6		
		+io	nd	£05 /	OCITA'	2-2-		<u> </u>				
ii. Outs	tanding pollu	cion a	.nd sa	rety (OSHA)	aefic	cienc	les:				
	Air pollution									13,000		
										0		i
	Water polluti	on:								U		
b.			and :	health	.:					0		
b. c.	Water polluti Occupational Other Environ	safety		health	:					_		į

1. COMPONENT		,	2. DATE			
1	TY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA			
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)				
3. INSTALLATION A	ID LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE			
1	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON					
TINKER AIR FORCE I	BASE, OKLAHOMA	OPERATIONS I	FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)			
		1				
2.74.22	217-742	WWYK890035	5,085			
1	9 COST ESTIMATES					

J. COST ESTITATE				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON		1		
OPERATIONS FACILITY	SM	2,700	1,400	3,780
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			790
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(235)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS	1		(115)
UTILITIES	LS			(180)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(215)
PARKING LOT LIGHTING	LS			(25)
EMCS CONNECTIONS	LS			(20)
SUBTOTAL				4,570
CONTINGENCY (5%)				229
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,799
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1	1		288
TOTAL REQUEST	1			5,087
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)			1	5,085
		l İ		İ
	1	l İ		i i
		İ İ		i i

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete pier and grade beam foundation with floating slab, steel frame, CMU block with brick exterior, single-ply membrane roof on metal deck. Area includes drive-thru shops, operations admin, training, break room, latrines and mechanical rooms. Includes site preparation, necessary utilities and parking.

Air Conditioning: 100 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 21,626 SM ADEQUATE: 464 SM SUBSTANDARD: 10,806 SM PROJECT: Construct a combat communications squadron operations facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured facility is required to support a combat communications squadron. Includes command and administrative functions, operations, communications, and air traffic control and communications systems maintenance. Squadron operational capability requires unit to deploy elements of tactical communications/computer equipment, air navigation aids, air traffic control radars, and weather processing and sensing systems within 72 hours to any location in the world. In addition, training areas are needed to prepare new personnel to operate and maintain sophisticated computers, communications and radar equipment and to maintain a high state of readiness for squadron personnel.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The combat communications squadron operations | facilities are located in 24 year old metal structures that are not | adequately sized to support required operational readiness functions | including equipment maintenance, equipment storage, and pallet buildup. | This results in highly sensitive deployable equipment stored outside,

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE					
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	İ					
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	İ					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION						
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA						
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PR	OJECT NUMBER					
į į						
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY WW	YK890035					

causing decreased life expectancy, increased failures, and an unacceptable level of security for valuable deployable assets. Additionally, these facilities have no dedicated training areas. The administrative, maintenance, and operational management activities are housed in separate temporary portable buildings. These buildings are energy inefficient and do not contain sanitary facilities. Personnel are forced to use portable toilets in all weather conditions. The existing quality of life is unacceptable.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The inability to support required maintenance, operations, pallet buildup, and administration functions will degrade unit readiness. The lack of adequate storage will cause deterioration of equipment and will eventually result in mission stoppage. As the temporary portable buildings near the end of their useful life, the quality of life of combat communications personnel will continue to deteriorate.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col Michael Cuddihee, (405) 734-3451

1. COMPON	ENT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	•
AIR FORCE		<u> </u>
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION	
יין ארדא דא מאארד אוי	R FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA	
4. PROJEC		5. PROJECT NUMBER
COMBAT CO	MUNICATIONS SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	WWYK890035
12. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est:	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAR 26
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	osts N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JUL 17
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 AUG 07
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	305
	(b) All Other Design Costs	152
	(c) Total	457
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	342
	(e) In-nouse	115
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
,		
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided	1 from
	copriations: N/A	1 110111
		•

	1. COMPONENT			2.	DATE
	F	Y 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA	
	AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)		
	3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE	
			İ		
	TINKER AIR FORCE B	ASE, OKLAHOMA	DORMITORY		
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST (\$000)
		!			
	7.28.96	721-312	WWYK003002	<u> </u>	9,100
i		0 000	T DOMENIA MESO		

9. COST ESTIMA	IES .			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DORMITORY (144 RM)	SM	4,752	1,258	5,978
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,230
UTILITIES	LS	l İ	Ì	(1,600)
PAVEMENTS	LS	i i	ĺ	(190)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	j j	j	(150)
RELOCATE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES	LS	İ	İ	(290)
SUBTOTAL		İ	j	8,208
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1	ĺ		410
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1	l İ	İ	8,618
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		l i	İ	517
TOTAL REQUEST		l İ		9,135
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		.	İ	9,100
	Ì		į	
		ĺ	İ	
	i i	İ	į	
	i i	Ì	į	
	i i	İ	i	
	i i	i	i	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls and roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, laundry rooms, storage, lounge areas, site preparation, seismic requirements and all supporting utilities. Includes relocation of recreational facilities and construction of infrastructure required for additional dorm construction in the area.
- Air Conditioning: 300 KW. Grade Mix: 144 E1-E4.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,430 PN ADEQUATE: 384 PN SUBSTANDARD: 188 PN PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient facilities to accommodate unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Local rentals and utilities are so expensive that enlisted personnel cannot afford to live in off-base housing which is located several miles from the base.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable and result in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Lowered morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new uniform barracks construction standard, known as "one-plus-one," established by OSD. All known alternative options were considered during

1. COMPONENT		2. DA	ATE	- [
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A	1		Ì
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		İ		Ĺ
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				Ī
				İ
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA				1
4. PROJECT TITLE	5.	PROJECT	NUMBER	Ī
				İ
DORMITORY		WWYKOO30	002	Ì

the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael A. Cuddihee, (405) 734-3451. FY 1996 |Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$397K. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$782K. Estimated Unaccompanied Housing RPM for FY98=\$618K, FY99=\$636K, FY00=\$655K, FY01=\$675K, FY02=\$695K, and FY03=\$716K.

1. COMPON	ENT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	Z. DATE
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION	İ
ļ		j
	R FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA	
4. PROJEC	T TITLE 5. PRO	OJECT NUMBER
DORMITORY	, i tutur	YK003002
		11003002
 12. SUPP 	PLEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Project to be accomplished by one step turn key proce	edures
(2)	Basis:	
!	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
1	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
 (3)	Design Allowance	364
 (4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
 		!
 b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provided from	_
	ropriations: N/A	n į
		i
		i
		ĺ
		!
 		ļ
		İ
		1
		ļ
		i
		1
l		ĺ
		j
		j
		1
		!
		1

1. COMPONENT				2. DAT	E
FY	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROC	GRAM	Ì	
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION	4. COMMAND		5. ARE	A CONST
		AIR EDUCATION		COS	T INDEX
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE,	OKLAHOMA	AND TRAINING		0.	92
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENTS	SUPPOR		
STRENGTH	OFF ENL CIV	! 	- 	IL CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97	296 404 109 352 402 108	1 1	53	1 3	866
b. End FY 2003	7. INVENTORY		53	1 3	919
a. Total Acreage: (3,270)	DAIA (\$000)			
b. Inventory Total As	•			91,08	o !
c. Authorization Not					0 1
d. Authorization Reque	-	gram:		1,82	3
e. Authorization Incl		-	2000)	•	0
f. Planned In Next Th	ree Program Years	:		12,00	o ' i
g. Remaining Deficience	cy:			21,60	o j
h. Grand Total:				126,50	3
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999			
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN :	STATUS
CODE PROJI	ECT TITLE	SCOPE	<u>(\$000)</u>	START	CMPL
179-511 FIRE TRAINING	FACILITY	LS		FEB 93	SEP 98
los Buttons Bradents	T1-3-3 3- 4-1-	TOTAL:	1,823		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical Planned			000) NOI	NE
442-758 LOGISTICS CON		11,600 SM	8,000		ļ
740-674 PHYSICAL FITT		2,400 SM	4,000		
	Functions: A fly			conduct	
Undergraduate Pilot Tr	_		_		
	ition and safety				
İ	•				i
a. Air pollution	1:			35	1
b. Water polluti				0	
•	safety and health	ı:		0	
d. Other Environ			·	1,900	
12. Real Property Mai	intenance Backlog	This Installat	ion	39,659	ļ
					ļ
1					ļ
[ļ
1					
					1
					1
İ					
İ					
İ					
1					İ
					į
					İ
171					
171					1

·	
1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTI	ON PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer genera	.ted)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4	. PROJECT TITLE
	İ
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA F	IRE TRAINING FACILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJE	CT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	İ
8.57.56 179-511 XTLF9	93304 1,823
9. COST ESTIMAT	ES
	UNIT COST
ITEM	U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000)
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	LS 1,350
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	288
UTILITIES	LS (80)
PAVEMENTS	LS (68)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS (140)
SUBTOTAL	1,638
CONTINGENCY (5%)	82
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1,720
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	103
TOTAL REQUEST	1 1 1 1.823

Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a fire training |facility to include: a lined and environmentally acceptable fire training pit, aircraft mockup, tank for propane gas, pumps, piping, and storage system for fuel and water, lighting, fencing, roads, and necessary support.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

PROJECT: Construct a fire training facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This is a Level I Environmental Compliance Requirement. live fire training facility which meets Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act requirements is required to simulate large scale aircraft fires for fire training in accordance with Air Force policy and instructions. Acceptable fire training facilities include a double lined impermeable fire pit with leak detection system under the burn area, and a water conservation system to prevent contamination of land and ground water. Live fire training is an Air Force and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) training requirement for fire fighters to maintain a high level of proficiency. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing facility does not meet the Clean Water Act (40 CFR 122) requirements and has been closed since May 1993; thus, live fire training cannot currently be conducted. Minimal training is conducted using a mock-up structure with no fire or heat capability. training does not comply with Air Force requirements. There are no environmentally approved live fire training facilities in the local area. The existing site is currently designated as an Installation Restoration |Program site and is undergoing remedial investigation funded by the Defense Environmental Restoration Account. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Fire fighters will not be able to meet Air Force

1,823

1,823

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A.
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
VANCE AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
İ	
FIRE TRAINING FACILITY	XTLF993304

and FAA quarterly training requirements for remaining proficient in aircraft crash fire fighting and rescue techniques. The safety of both the fire fighters and aircraft accident victims will continue to be compromised by lack of proper training. Traveling to other installations to conduct the fire training exercises is not feasible for the fire fighters because of cost and the level of manning required to remain at the installation to support the flying/training mission. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Maj Richard Thomas (580) 213 - 7596

173

1. COMPON	IENT	EV 1999 MILITARY CONCERNICATOR PROTECT ST	2. DATE
AIR FORCE] 3	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT (computer generated)	'A
		ON AND LOCATION	
İ			
VANCE AIR	FOR	CE BASE, OKLAHOMA	
4. PROJEC	TIT TI	TLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
FIRE TRAI	NING	FACILITY	XTLF993304
12. SUPP	LEMEN	NTAL DATA:	
a. Est	:imate	ed Design Data:	
 (1)	Sta	atus:	
i (-/		Date Design Started	93 FEB 22
İ		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	
ĺ	(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
ļ	(d)	Date 35% Designed.	93 SEP 23
!	(e)	Date Design Complete	98 SEP 01
	_		
(2)		sis:	
 	(a) (b)	Standard or Definitive Design - Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	YES
! 	(1)	where besign was most Recently Used -	RANDOLPH
(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
		Production of Plans and Specifications	36
	(b)	All Other Design Costs	36
		Total	72
		Contract	54
	(e)	In-house	18
(4)	Con	struction Start	99 JAN
		associated with this project will be provided	d from
other app	ropri	ations: N/A	
I			

1. COMPONENT								2.	DAT	'E	
1	1999 MILITA				PROGI	RAM					
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	(COMP			MMAND				5	ADE	A CO	JCT
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE I				MBILI'			!	٥.		T IN	
CAROLINA	SASE, SOUTH		COMM		11		1			88	JEA
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANE	יייי		"JDENT!		CIID	PORT	- T	- ;	00	
STRENGTH	OFF ENL				CIV				1777	TOT	\ T
a. As of 30 SEP 97				ENT	CIV	38				4,	
	491 3139	' '			 	38		•		4,	
1	7. INVE			(\$000	L	30	_ 10	<u> </u>	101	* ,	/10
a. Total Acreage: (MICKI	DAIA	1,5000	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
b. Inventory Total As		EP 97)						171	.,12	7	
c. Authorization Not Y								± / ±		0	
d. Authorization Reque		_	ram:					24	, 33	-	
e. Authorization Inclu		_	-		(FV 2	2000)			.,		1
f. Planned In Next Thr		_	_		, 4				1,50		
g. Remaining Deficience	-		-						,40		1
h. Grand Total:	· 🔏 😁								, 35		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PRO	GRAM:	FY 1	999				<u> </u>	, 33		i
CATEGORY						COST	מ	EST	GN	STAT	ıs İ
	CT TITLE		g	COPE		(\$000)		STA		CMI	- :
			=			(4000	<u>-</u>				-
 141-753 C-17 SQUADRON AIRCRAFT MAI				3,800	SM	7,63	9 A	PR	97	JUN	98
141-753 C-17 SQUADRON				3,300	SM	6,769	9 M	ΔR	97	JUN	98
AIRCRAFT MAI				3,300	0	0,,0			٠,	0011	70
141-753 C-17 LIFE SUP				2.400	SM	4,70	L A	PR	97	JUL	98
722-351 DINING FACILI						5,22			97		
						24,330					
9a. Future Projects:	Included i	n the						00)	NO	NE	i
9b. Future Projects:											ī
211-159 C-17 CORROSIO	N CONTROL			4,366	SM	21,000)				j
FACILITY											1
730-142 ADD/ALTER BAS				2,790	SM						
851-147 UPGRADE HILL					LS						
10. Mission or Major				_							- 1
squadrons; an Air Forc								•			
National Guard air def	ense detach	ment w	ith F	-16 ai	rcra	ft; a	comi	oat	car	mera	ļ
<pre>squadron. 11. Outstanding pollu</pre>	tion and sa	fetv ((4H2O	defic	ienc	ies					
politicality politic	James de de	-ccy (JUIM)	WETT	=110						
a. Air pollution	:								0		j
b. Water polluti									0		j
c. Occupational	safety and	health	:						0		i
d. Other Environ	mental:						1	L6,	000		i
12. Real Property Mai	ntenance Ba	cklog	This	Instal	lati	on			887		Ī
											İ
											Í
											1
											j
											ł
											i
4 7 5											j
175											į
											Ĺ

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
CHARLESTON AIR FO	RCE BASE, SOUTH	İ	
CAROLINA		DINING FACI	LITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	F 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.18.96	722-351	DKFX963061	5,221
	9. COS	T ESTIMATES	•

J. COST ESTIMAT	بي	•		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DINING FACILITY	SM	1,400	1,860	2,604
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,086
UTILITIES	LS			(490)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(495)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	ĺ		(205)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	M2	1,381	348	(481)
COMMUNICATION/FIRE DETECTION	LS	ĺ	į	(415)
SUBTOTAL	1	İ	ĺ	4,690
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1		j	235
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,925
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		ĺ	Ì	296
TOTAL REQUEST		ĺ		5,221
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)		l İ	ĺ	5,221
		İ	ĺ	j
		İ	j	ĺ
	1 1	İ	İ	į
	Ì	į	į	i
	i i	i	i	i

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete footings and floor | slab, masonry walls with brick veneer exterior, steel framing with | standing seam metal roof. Includes fire detection/alarm and | communications systems, sidewalks, landscaping, facility demolition, | abestos and lead-based paint abatement, and necessary support. | Air Conditioning: 105 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,400 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,381 SM

PROJECT: Construct dining facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Air Force dining facilities are required to attract and retain competent, professional enlisted personnel. Space is required for food preparation, dishwashing equipment, dining area, properly designed serving lines, and storage of perishable and non-perishable food items. A modern dining facility is essential for maintaining an effective, all-volunteer Air Force.

CURRENT SITUATION: Dining hall operations are currently accomplished in a facility constructed in the mid-1950s. This facility has deteriorated to the point that it cannot be economically ugraded to provide an adequate dining facility to meet the current dining facility design standards. Existing serving lines are not configured to streamline patron flow through the serving areas. Dining area is too small to support the enlisted population. The dormitory area is no longer in close proximity to the dining facility. Over the past several years, a new dormitory area has developed through the revitalization and construction of new dorms. This area is over one mile distance from the existing dining facility and is a hardship for those personnel without vehicles. The existing dining facility (1,381 SM) will be demolished upon completion of this project.

	1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
	AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
	3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LOCATION	
	CHARLESTON AIR	FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
	4. PROJECT TIT	LE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
i			
I	DINING FACILIT	Y	DKFX963061

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued use of the substandard dining facility | will result in decreased mission effectiveness for services staff as well | as decreased quality of life for enlisted personnel.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of status quo, alteration, and new construction. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER:LT COL COX, (803) 963-4956.

1. COMPONEN		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A.
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
СНУВТ.ЕСТОИ	AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
DINING FAC	LITY	DKFX963061
12. SUPPLI	MENTAL DATA:	
a. Estir	nated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
• - •	a) Date Design Started	97 MAY 12
	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs N
	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35∜
	d) Date 35% Designed.	97 NOV 07
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUL 31
	Basis:	VEO
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES PATRICK
	b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	PATRICK
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	313
	(b) All Other Design Costs	157
	c) Total	470
	d) Contract	352
	(e) In-house	118
		00 711
(4)	Construction Start	99 J AN
	ent associated with this project will be provide opriations: N/A	ed from
, coz appr		
		,

1. COMPONENT						_		2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							j		
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gener	rated)					
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	DLOCATION		4. PRO	JECT T	TLE	3		
CHARLESTON A	R FOR	CE BASE, SOUTH							
CAROLINA				C-17 L	IFE SU	PPOF	T FAC	ILI	TY
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NU	MBER	8. F	ROJEC	T	COST (\$000)
					1				
4.11.30		141-753	DKF	(993007					4,701
<u></u>		9. COS'	r estim	ATES					
				1			UNIT	'	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	<u>'</u>	(\$000)
C-17 LIFE SUE	PPORT I	FACILITY		SM	2,4	00	1,3	00	3,120
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES		1				1	1,104
UTILITIES				LS	-	- 1		1	(435)
PAVEMENTS				LS				1	(285)
SITE IMPROV	EMENTS	5		LS					(103)
DEMOLITION/	'ASBEST	ros removal/dispo	SAL	SM	1,6	50	1	70	(281)
SUBTOTAL						ĺ		ĺ	4,224
CONTINGENCY (5%)									211
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								Ì	4,435
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)								ĺ	266
TOTAL REQUEST						ĺ		Ì	4,701
TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUI	NDED)		- 1	1	- 1		1	4,701
				1		İ		İ	j

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, brick veneer exterior, standing seam sloped metal roof, and fire protection/suppression system. Includes loading dock, pavements, sidewalks, site improvements, demolition, and necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 40 KW.

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,400 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,650 SM | PROJECT: C-17 life support facility. (New Mission).

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility is required to house life support equipment for C-17 flying squadrons. The first C-17 arrived on station in 1993. Space is required for life support

staging and storage, helmet/oxygen mask repair, mock-up

decontamination/survival training room, chemical gear issue and storage, explosive issue and storage, oxygen bottle maintenance area, flightline inspection, and administrative management.

CURRENT SITUATION: The life support function currently operates out of three substandard and undersized facilities located up to one mile apart. They are located in the dormitory campus area and not near the flightline. This separation creates fragmented lines of communications and authority. No other facilities exist on-base that can be altered to support life support operations. One substandard facility totaling 1,638 square meters will be demolished as part of this project, the other two will be reused for other functions.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Life support personnel will remain in undersized, poorly configured, scattered facilities, and will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to become an efficient and effective operational organization. Successful C-17 beddown will be impaired.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	•
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. P	PROJECT NUMBER
l i	
C-17 LIFE SUPPORT FACILITY	KFX993007

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of |Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, and new construction) was done. It indicates that new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC COX, (803) 963-4956.

3. INS	RCE TALLATION	(computer generated) AND LOCATION	
 CHARLE	STON AIR F	ORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
	JECT TITLE		5. PROJECT NUME
 C-17 T	TEE SUPPOR	T FACILITY	DKFX993007
			DRFR993007
12. S	UPPLEMENTA	L DATA:	
a.	Estimated :	Design Data:	•
	(1) Statu	s:	
	(a) D	ate Design Started	97 APR
		arametric Cost Estimates used to deve	lop costs
		ercent Complete as of Jan 1998	3
		ate 35% Designed.	97 NOV
	(e) D	ate Design Complete	98 JUL
	(2) Basis	:	
		tandard or Definitive Design -	NO
		here Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
	(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$0
		roduction of Plans and Specifications	(\$0
		11 Other Design Costs	1
	(c) T		4
		ontract	3
	(e) I	n-house	1
	(4) Const	ruction Start	99 J
	uipment as appropriat	sociated with this project will be proions: N/A	ovided from
I •			

1. COMPONENT				2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA	
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)		
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE	
CHARLESTON AIR F	ORCE BASE, SOUTH	C-17 SQUADR	ON OPERATIO	ONS/
CAROLINA		AIRCRAFT MA	INTENANCE 1	JNIT FAC
5. PROGRAM ELEME	NT 6 . CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	COST(\$000)
	İ	ļ		
4.11.30	141-753	DKFX983004	<u> </u>	6,769
	9. COS	T ESTIMATES		
			UNIT	COST

J. COST ESTIMA	163			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT				
MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	SM	3,300	1,300	4,290
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	ļ			1,792
UTILITIES	LS			(585)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(335)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS] .	(191)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM	3,400	170	(578)
ELEVATOR	EA	1	103,000	(<u>103</u>)
SUBTOTAL				6,082
CONTINGENCY (5%)				304
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,386
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	}			383
TOTAL REQUEST	1			6,769
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,769
	1	•		i
	1			
	<u> </u>	l		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two-story facility with | concrete foundation, masonry walls with exterior brick veneer, sloped roof | system, fire protection system, utilities, elevator, demolition, asbestos | removal/disposal, site improvements/parking, and necessary support. | Air Conditioning: 70 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct a C-17 Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit (Sq Ops/AMU) facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: It consolidates Air Mobility operational squadrons by combining aircraft operators with flightline maintainers. This is the fourth of four Sq Ops/AMU facilities required to house the C-17/C-141 squadrons. The first C-17s arrived in 1993. Squadrons will operate a combination of 48 C-17/C-141s until all 48 C-17s arrive by FY03. The consolidation relocates flyers and maintainers out of undersized, dispersed, and interim facilities into a functional and adequately sized structure. Space is required for Ops/AMU management support, briefing/debriefing, flight planning, training and testing, flying/ground safety, tool rooms, bench stock, standardization/evaluation, and the Air Force Reserve sortic generation squadron. Consolidation is consistent with the Air Mobility Command (AMC) initiative to bring the Sq Ops/AMU facilities up to minimum Air Force standards. These efficiencies are lessential to maintain mission tasking rates in AMC.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing squadron operations and aircraft maintenance facilities are undersized and not configured to support the larger unified squadrons. The squadron operations and maintenance personnel operate out of three small and physically separated buildings.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	1
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
1	
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 SOUADRON OPERATIONS / AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	DKEX663004

The physical separation creates fragmented lines of communications and authority. They are overcrowded and inadequately configured. Other inefficiencies include lack of space for planning, briefing, administration, storage and issue of parts, flying clothing and equipment. Upon completion of this project, one substandard facility totaling 3,400 square meters will be demolished. The remaining two existing facilities will be reused for more appropriate functions.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Operations, maintenance, and support personnel will remain in undersized, physically separated, and interim facilities and will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to become an efficient and effective operational organization. Full implementation of the more effective Objective Wing squadron and adequate beddown of the C-17s will be degraded. The physical separation will continue to hamper the lines of authority and communications throughout the squadron. Essential squadron operations and logistic functions will continue to require additional work-arounds that will degrade mission performance.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC COX, (803) 963-4956.

1. COMPONENT 2. D								
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION								
	CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA							
4. PROJ	1. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER							
 0 17 60	מרו גדו	RON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC DE	TFX983004					
C-17 30	UADA	RON OPERATIONS/ ATROCAST MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC DE	.FX363004					
12. SU	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. E	stim	mated Design Data:						
(1)	Status:	j					
!		(a) Date Design Started	97 MAR 01					
		(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	N					
		(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%					
		(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 19					
	((e) Date Design Complete	98 JUN 26					
	21	Panén.	!					
	•	Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES					
		(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	CHARLEST					
	,	(b) where besign was most kecentry used -	CHARDEST					
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)					
•		(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	204					
		(b) All Other Design Costs	101					
		(c) Total	305					
		(d) Contract	213					
		(e) In-house	92					
			i					
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN					
_	_	ent associated with this project will be provided froppriations: N/A	om [
			ļ					
			ļ					
		,						
			1					
			1					
			i					
			Į į					
			!					
			! 					
			1					
			,					
			ì					

Page No

	1. COMPONENT	2. DATE	Ī
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCT	ION PROJECT DATA	ĺ
_	AIR FORCE (computer general	ated)	ĺ
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4	4. PROJECT TITLE	Ī
	CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH	C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/	ĺ
	CAROLINA	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	ĺ
ĺ	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJE	ECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)	Î
			İ
	4.11.30 141-753 DKFXS	973007 7,639	İ
	9. COST ESTIMAT	TES	Ī
		UNIT COST	Ī
	ITEM	U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000)	ĺ
	C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT		Ī
	MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	SM 3,800 1,250 4,750	Ì
I	SUPPORTING FACILITIES	2,114	İ
	UTILITIES	LS (675)	ĺ
	PAVEMENTS	LS (485)	İ
	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS (290)	ĺ
	DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM 3,300 170 (561)	İ
	ELEVATOR	EA 1 103,000 (103)	İ
	SUBTOTAL	6,864	İ
I	CONTINGENCY (5%)	343	i

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two-story facility with concrete foundation, masonry walls with exterior brick veneer, sloped roof system, fire protection system, utilities, elevator, demolition, asbestos removal/disposal, site improvements/parking, and necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 80 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

TOTAL REQUEST

PROJECT: Construct a C-17 Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit
(Sq Ops/AMU) facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: It consolidates Air Mobility operational squadrons by combining aircraft operators with flightline maintainers. This is the third of four Sq Ops/AMU facilities required to house the C-17/C-141 squadrons. The first C-17s arrived in 1993. Squadrons will operate a combination of 48 C-17/C-141s until all 48 C-17s arrive by FY03. consolidation relocates flyers and maintainers out of undersized, dispersed, and interim facilities into a functional and adequately sized structure. Space is required for management support, briefing/debriefing, flight planning, training and testing, flying/ground safety, tool rooms, bench stock, standardization/evaluation, and the newly formed aircraft generation squadron, and Air Force Reserve sortie generation squadron. |Consolidation is consistent with the Air Mobility Command (AMC) initiative to bring the Sq Ops/AMU facilities up to minimum Air Force standards. These efficiencies are essential to maintain mission tasking rates in AMC. |CURRENT SITUATION: The existing squadron operations and aircraft maintenance facilities are undersized and not configured to support the larger unified squadrons. The squadron operations and maintenance personnel operate out of three small and physically separated buildings.

7,207

7,639

7,639

432

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	ATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
CHARLESTON AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 SOUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	DKFX973007

The physical separation creates fragmented lines of communications/authority. They are overcrowded and inadequately configured. Other inefficiencies include lack of space for planning, briefing, administration, storage and issue of parts, flying clothing, and equipment. Upon completion of this project, two substandard facilities totaling 3,300 square meters will be demolished. The third existing facility will be reused for more appropriate function.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Operations, maintenance, and support personnel will remain in undersized, physically separated, and interim facilities and will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to become an efficient and effective operational organization. Full implementation of the more

and effective operational organization. Full implementation of the more effective Objective Wing squadron and adequate beddown of the C-17s will be degraded. The physical separation will continue to hamper the lines of authority and communications throughout the squadron. Essential squadron operations and logistic functions will continue to require additional work-arounds that will degrade mission performance.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC COX, (803) 963-4956.

1. COMPONENT 2. DAT									
1	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
AIR FORCE (computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION									
	175 50505 5105								
	AIR FORCE BASE, S			TDOE 177070					
PROJEC.	4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER								
I C-17 SOUAI	ON OPERATIONS / AI	RCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	DKE	X973007					
				115 / 500 /					
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:								
				Ì					
a. Est:	nated Design Data:			ļ					
(4)									
(1)	Status:	an ann an		07 200 04					
 	(a) Date Design S	ost Estimates used to develop co	.ata	97 APR 01					
 		ete as of Jan 1998	scs	N 35%					
	(d) Date 35% Desi			97 DEC 19					
	(e) Date Design (-		98 JUN 26					
	, e, eact ecc e			30 00N 20					
(2)	Basis:								
	(a) Standard or I	Definitive Design -		YES					
	(b) Where Design	Was Most Recently Used -		CHARLEST					
				ļ					
(3)		(a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)					
		Plans and Specifications		230					
	(b) All Other Des	ign Costs		114					
	(c) Total			344					
	(d) Contract			241					
]	(e) In-house			103					
(4)	Construction Star	·+		 99 JAN					
] (= /	constituetion star			JJ UAN					
				i					
b. Equip	ent associated wit	h this project will be provided	l from	n					
other appr	priations: N/A			İ					
				ĺ					
	•			!					
				ļ					
j 1									
				!					
!				ļ					
				İ					
] 					
				1					
				İ					
	İ								
				ļ					
1.8	•			!					

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CO		
AIR FORCE (computer of	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
	AIR EDUCATION	COST INDEX
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	AND TRAINING COMMAND	0.87
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS SUPPOR	TED
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV	OFF ENL CIV OFF EN	L CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97 1811 4651 2566	<u> </u>	56 25 15,917
b. End FY 2003 1817 4678 2556	!!!!!!!!!!!	56 25 16,340
7. INVENTORY		
a. Total Acreage: (2,753)	21111 (\$000)	<u></u>
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)		564,253
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:		_
•		0
d. Authorization Requested In This Prog	=	14,930
e. Authorization Included In Following	_	19,300
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years	:	13,300
g. Remaining Deficiency:		37,600
h. Grand Total:		649,383
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:		
CATEGORY		DESIGN STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$000)	START CMPL
141-456 OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,650 SM 8,130	JUL 97 SEP 98
721-312 DORMITORY	96 PN <u>6,800</u>	JUN 97 SEP 99
	TOTAL: 14,930	i
9a. Future Projects: Included in the		000)
610-282 SECURITY FORCES CENTER	4,300 SM 14,000	
721-312 DORMITORY	96 PN 5,300	ì
	TOTAL: 19,300	I J
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned		
141-456 OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	2,500 SM 2,900	
721-312 REPLACE STUDENT DORMITORY	200 PN 7,000	!
740-884 CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,850 SM 3,400	!
10. Mission or Major Functions: A tra		
Military Training School, Air Force Sec		
forces, cryptographic maintenance, recr		
service courses; Denfense Language Inst		
Department of Defense Military Working		ter-American
Air Forces Academy, and a major Air For		
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:	
!		İ
a. Air pollution:		0
b. Water pollution:		0
c. Occupational safety and health	ı:	0
d. Other Environmental:		0
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog	This Installation :	116,817
		i
		i
		i
İ		i
į		
İ		
		ļ

ĺ	1. COMPONENT			2. DATE	
i	F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA	
İ	AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	<u> </u>	
	3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE	
ļ					
١	LACKLAND AIR FORCE	BASE, TEXAS	OPERATIONS	FACILITY	
١	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)	
		1			
l	3.10.11 NFIP	141-456	MPYJ983250	8,130	
1	O COCH ECHTMANIC				

9. COST ESTIMATES				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
OPERATIONS FACILITY	SM	4,650	1,355	6,301
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,003
UTILITIES	LS	l 1	1	(536)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(185)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(282)
SUBTOTAL				7,304
CONTINGENCY (5%)				365
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,669
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1			460
TOTAL REQUEST				8,129
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1] [8,130
	1			
	1			
	1			1
	1		ĺ	İ
	1	ĺ	j	į
	1	ĺ	į	į

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete slab with | masonry or masonry look wall construction, concrete foundations and | pilings as required for soil conditions, structural steel frame and | standing seam metal roof to comply with local architectural style. | Air Conditioning: 442 KW.

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 12,976 SM ADEQUATE: 8,326 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0 | PROJECT: Provides a 4,650 SM operations facility adjacent to existing | bldg 313 to form an operations complex for the Medina Regional Signal | Intelligence (SIGINT) Operations Center (MRSOC). (Current mission) | REQUIREMENT: Construct a 4,650 SM building to correct existing space and | layout deficiencies at the MRSOC. Project will colocate all functions | directly related to MRSOC operations into one facility, while freeing up | space at remote locations for the movement of support functions. MRSOC | operations require quick and efficient access to all functions to maintain | smooth mission accomplishment. The new building should also comply with | Director of Central Intelligence Directive (DCIC) 1/21 for Sensitive | Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIF).

| CURRENT SITUATION: The existing operational functions of the MRSOC are | located away from each other in four separate buildings within a secure | compound. Current and projected space shortages in all functional areas | including operations, maintenance, support, and administration for the Air | Force, Navy, Army, and Marine cryptological elements. Bldg 313 is the | main operations bldg and the only one with adequate secure power. Bldgs | 307, 321, and 326 consisting of 1,919 SM are currently used for | operational requirements, but will be used to satisfy support function | floorspace deficiencies. The multi-service MRSOC supports tactical

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJE	CT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5.	PROJECT NUMBER
	İ	
OPERATIONS FACILITY	j	MPYJ983250

intelligence as well as the National SIGINT System. The relocation of |many intelligence operations previously located in foreign countries has caused unforeseen growth at the MRSOC. Use of condemned buildings at Kelly and Lackland AFBs has provided temporary relief for space shortages. The MRSOC, currently authorized 1,609 personnel with 1,312 assigned is projected to increase to 1,968 assigned. With this personnel strength, functions normally conducted during the day shift will be put on three shift, 24 hours per day, operations to compensate for space deficiencies. Furthermore, training, logistics, storage, and support functions have inadequate work space for sustained operations. Space problems will be compounded as more personnel arrive, resulting in significant mission changes and relocation to another regional operations center. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The MRSOC will not be able to accomplish Air Force and nationally assigned taskings. Crowded conditions at the MRSOC will become untenable when remotely located support functions are moved to the compound from buildings scheduled for demolition. Crowded operations will have a negative impact on both mission effectiveness and morale. Several of the projected missions will have to be discontinued or relocated to another site, limiting the efficiency and effectiveness of operations.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Support functions have been assessed using Air Force Instruction 32-1024 "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for project accomplishment (status quo, renovation, removal/upgrade, new construction, leasing) was done. Only one option will meet operational requirements. Because of this, an economical analysis was not performed. Certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Larry W. Brittenham (210)671-2977.

1a composteste	I	2. DATE
1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA (computer generated)	: :
	ION AND LOCATION	
 LACKLAND AIR	FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER
OPERATIONS F.	ACILITY	MPYJ983250
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
 a. Estimat	ted Design Data:	
(1) Pi	roject to be accomplished by one step turn ke	y procedures
(2) Ba	asis:	
	Standard or Definitive Design - Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	NO N/A
1	esign Allowance	\$325K
(4) Co	onstruction Start	99 JAN
b. Equipment other appropr	associated with this project will be providinations: N/A	
: : : : : : :		

1. COMPONENT			2.	DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA	
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)		
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE	
		j		j
LACKLAND AIR FORC	E BASE, TEXAS	DORMITORY		j
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	T 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT	COST(\$000)
	İ	1		İ
8.57.96	721-312	MPLS003291		6,800

9. COST ESTIMATES				
	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DORMITORY	SM	3,200	1,227	3,926
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1	1		2,183
UTILITIES/CENTRAL PLANT	LS	İ İ	İ	(1,200)
PAVEMENTS	LS	İ İ	İ	(483)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	i i	j	(300)
EMCS/COMM	LS	İ	i	(200)
SUBTOTAL	j	i i	i	6,109
CONTINGENCY (5%)	j	j i	i	305
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	j	i i	j	6,414
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	ĺ	ĺ	į	385
TOTAL REQUEST	İ	ĺ	i	6,799
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	Í	İ . İ	į	6,800
	j	j i	i	·
	İ	i i	i	
	į	j i	į	
	i	İ		
	i	i	i	
· 	i	i	ì	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, steel framing, masonry walls, and standing seam metal roof. Project includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, day rooms, linen storage, mechanical equipment and communications room, fire protection, utilities, parking, and all supporting facilities. Project will also expand a central chiller plant.

Air Conditioning: 200 KW. Grade Mix: 150 E1-E4.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,593 PN ADEQUATE: 710 PN SUBSTANDARD: 83 PN PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (current mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: Facilities do not exist at Lackland AFB to support a current permanent party deficit of 800 personnel. These 800 personnel are forced to live off base with commuting times over 30 minutes as a result of substandard and unsuitable housing in the immediate vicinity of the base. Additionally, the cost of off-base housing and commuting make living off base too expensive for junior enlisted personnel. For many airmen, this is their first permanent duty station assignment. They have little or no experience managing a household and require support networks inherent with on-base dormitories.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Unaccompanied enlisted personnel will be forced | to live off base in relatively distant and expensive quarters further

1. COMPONENT	2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	TA	i
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	İ	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS		•
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJE	CT NUMBER
	1	
DORMITORY	MPT.SC	003291

| degrading their morale, productivity, and career satisfaction. Lowered | morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force. | ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new | uniform barracks standard, known as "one-plus-one" established by OSD. | All known alternative options were considered during the development of | this project. Build new is the only option that can provide the the | needed additional dormitory rooms; therefore, no economic analysis was | needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. | BASECIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Larry W. Brittenham, Commercial 210-671-2977, | Fax, 210-671-4074, FY96 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$26,739K, | FY97 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted:\$12,154K. Future Unaccompanied | Housing RPM Requirements (Estimated); FY98=\$2.59M; FY99=\$18.1M; | FY00=\$9.1M; FY01=\$1.5M; FY02=\$1.5M; FY03=\$1.5M.

DD FORM 1391C, DEC 76

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE								
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT (computer generated)	'A A'								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION									
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS									
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER								
DORMITORY	MPLS003291								
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:	; 								
a. Estimated Design Data:	į								
(1) Project to be accomplished by one step turn key	procedures								
(2) Basis:(a) Standard or Definitive Design -(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	YES LACKLAND								
(3) Design Allowance	\$272K								
(4) Construction Start	99 JAN								
	· .								

1. COMPONENT				2. DA	TE I
FY 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION 1	PROGR	AM		İ
AIR FORCE (computer	generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND				EA CONST
·	AIR EDUCAT				ST INDEX
RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	AND TRAINI				.82
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS			ORTED	<u> </u>
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV		CIV		ENL CIV	
a. As of 30 SEP 97 1431 2521 4331		i !	189	32 7	! !
b. End FY 2003 1436 2470 4273 7. INVENTORY	280 (\$000)	<u> </u>	189	32 7	8,687
a. Total Acreage: (3,129)	DATA (\$000)	'			
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)				218,8	[[]
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:				210,0	ا ود
d. Authorization Requested In This Pro-	gram:			3,1	!
e. Authorization Included In Following	_	(FY 2	000)	3,1	0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years	_	(1	000,	7,9	
g. Remaining Deficiency:				15,7	
h. Grand Total:				245,6	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999				1
CATEGORY			COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE	_	(\$000)	START	CMPL
	 				
141-453 BASE OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,050	SM _	3,166	JUN 97	JUN 98
	TOTAL:	:	3,166		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the				2000) N	ONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned	Next Three	Years	5:		!
113-321 AIRFIELD RAMP, PH 1		LS	4,750		
149-962 CONTROL TOWER (WEST)		EA	3,200		
10. Mission or Major Functions: Head	-				
Command; Headquarters Nineteenth Air Fo	_	_	_		ith
T-1, T-3, T-37, C-21, T-38, AT-38 instruction	_		_		770
Undergraduate Navigator Training (UNT) Air Force Recruiting Service; AF Center	_				nQ
Innovation, AF Personnel Center; AF Civ		-	-	•	l l
Headquarters Air Force Services Agency		mier	Center	.; and	1
11. Outstanding pollution and safety		ienci	ies:		
	(00111) 00110				
a. Air pollution:			•	(o :
b. Water pollution:				(
c. Occupational safety and health	1 :			(b i
d. Other Environmental:				800	
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog	This Instal	latio	on	71,56	L
					į
					ļ
					ļ
					i I
					!
					1

				_				
		2.	DATE	Ī				
ON PROJE	CT DATA							
AIR FORCE (computer generated)								
. PROJEC	T TITLE	}		1				
ASE OPER	ATIONS	FACILITY	7	L				
T NUMBE	R 8. P	ROJECT (COST (\$000)					
				١				
33000	i_		3,166	l				
ES				Ī				
1 1		UNIT	COST	Ī				
U/M QU	ANTITY	COST	(\$000)	Ĺ				
SM	1,050	1,300	1,365	Ī				
1 1	1		1,480	١				
UTILITIES LS								
LS	Ì		(145)	ŀ				
SM	1,100	465	(512)					
LS	ĺ		(370)					
	LED PROJECT NUMBERS SOOO ES	ted) PROJECT TITLE ASE OPERATIONS TNUMBER 8. F B3000 ES U/M QUANTITY SM 1,050 LS LS LS SM 1,100	ON PROJECT DATA Led) PROJECT TITLE ASE OPERATIONS FACILITY CT NUMBER 8. PROJECT OF STATE STA	DESTRICT TITLE				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, plastered masonry walls, special foundations due to poor soil conditions, and clay tile roof. Project includes base operations, air passenger terminal, temporary facilities to house functions during construction and necessary support including underground utilities. Demolish two facilities.

Air Conditioning: 140 KW.

TEMPORARY FACILITY

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

CONTINGENCY (5%)

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

SUBTOTAL

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,050 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,100 SM PROJECT: Construct Base Operations facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A base operations facility is required to house base flight operation functions, the base weather station, weather communications equipment, reception area, administrative support and passenger terminal. An air passenger terminal is required to expedite the flow of passenger traffic, accommodate passengers, and provide a controlled waiting area for manifested passengers in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration security requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION: Randolph AFB averages 390 flights and ten passengers each day in support of Air Education and Training Command (AETC), 19th Air Force, 12th Fighter Training Wing and associated units. Existing base operations facility is constructed on expansive clay soils. Foundation shifts continue to cause structural damage. Emergency evacuation of the facility has occurred due to sudden shifts. Many windows and doors do not open or close properly and cannot be locked due to warped frames. Large chunks of plaster and bathroom tiles frequently fall from the walls. Roof leaks result in collapsed ceiling tiles, water-damaged interior finishes, and equipment damage. Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) was

621

(233)

2,845

2,987

3,166

3,166

142

179

375

1. COMPONENT						2. D	ATE	
	FY 1999 N	MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT 1	DATA	l		
AIR FORCE		(compu	uter generate	d)				
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATI	ION						
RANDOLPH AIR	FORCE BASE, 1	rexas						
4. PROJECT T	ITLE				5.	PROJECT	NUMBE	R
					Ì			
BASE OPERATIO	ONS FACILITY				i	TYMX983	200	

damaged by flooding from water pipe failure due to a shifting foundation. |Cracks in the walls, warped windows and door frames must continually be |patched and repaired. The weather/communication equipment, including |radar and numerous monitors used to prepare weather briefings, forecasts, |and to diseminate severe weather warnings, must be protected from roof |leaks to prevent equipment failures. In addition, the air passenger |terminal does not have secure holding area for manifested passengers. Two |buildings will be demolished totaling 1100 SM.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Structural deterioration will continue resulting | in an unsafe facility. Weather equipment could fail due to roof leaks | reducing weather forecast capabilities and delaying airfield operations | and training sorties. Security problems of manifesting passengers in two | locations will continue.

ADDITIONAL: The existing building is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. It was one of the original buildings constructed on Randolph AFB in 1931 and served as the Control Tower and the Base Operations. Demolition has been coordinated with the State Historic Preservation Officer. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. Facility cannot be repaired due to soil/foundation conditions at any cost. No other option could meet the mission requirements. Therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 Facility Requirements". BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Neil Kanno, (210) 652-2401

<u> </u>			
1. C	OMPONI	:	2. DATE
!		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA
AIR I		(computer generated)	
3. II	NSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION	
		IR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PI	ROJEC'	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
			1
BASE	OPER	TIONS FACILITY	TYMX983000
12.	SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
			1
a.	Est:	mated Design Data:	
1			
	(1)	Status:	
Ì		(a) Date Design Started	97 JUN 09
i		(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs N
i		(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
i		(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 08
i		(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUN 30
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1
1	(2)	Basis:	ł
1	(4)	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
ļ			= 1
ļ		(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
)	(2)		(2222)
!	(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
!		(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	190
		(b) All Other Design Costs	95
ļ		(c) Total	285
		(d) Contract	214
1		(e) In-house	71
			1
	(4)	Construction Start	99 FEB
			1
			1
			1
b. I	Equip	ent associated with this project will be provid	led from
other	rappi	opriations: N/A	į
j ·			i
			i
! 			i
! [
		•	
1			
] 			
ļ			ļ
ļ			ļ
ļ			
l			
1			l
1			İ
1			į
İ			i
i			i
			}
1			1
1			1
			!

AIR I	OMPONENT	-								2	. DAT	Έ
, , , , , , ,	FORCE	F'Y	7 1999 1	compu)				PROGR	LAM			
3. IN	NSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATIO				MMAND			15	. ARE	A CONS
				•		!	OBILIT	ΓY				T INDEX
FAIR	CHILD AIR	FORCE B	BASE, W	ASHINGT	ON	COMMA		-				05
	ERSONNEL			ERMANEN			UDENTS	3 1	SUPP	ORTE		
SI	TRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV			CIV	TOTAL
a. As	s of 30 S	EP 97	509	3267	718				23.3		100	
b. Er	nd FY 200	3	430	3175	668				239		100	
			7.	. INVEN	TORY	DATA	(\$000)		•			
a. To	otal Acre	age: (5,82	23)		-					,	
b. In	nventory	Total As	Of:	(30 SEP	97)					3	68,04	0
c. Au	ıthorizat	ion Not	Yet In	Invent	ory:							0
	ıthorizat	_			-	•					7,62	0
	ıthorizat						am:	(FY 2	000)			0
	lanned In			ogram Y	ears:						14,20	0
_	emaining		cy:							4	41,95	0
	and Tota									4:	31,81	.0
	ROJECTS R	EQUESTED	IN THI	IS PROG	RAM:	FY 1	.999					
CATEG	-					_			COST			STATUS
COD	<u>)E</u>	PROJ	ECT TIT	<u>rle</u>		<u>s</u>	COPE		(\$000)	<u>s:</u>	<u> TART</u>	CMPL
141-7	753 KC-1	35 SQUADI CRAFT MA			•		3,800	SM	7,620	MAI	R 97	MAY 98
							TOTAL:	_	7,620			
9a.	Future P	rojects:	Inclu	ided in	the					2000) NO	NE
	Future P									2000	7, 110	1112
171-6	17 SURV	IVAL TRA	INING A				1,208		3,900			
211-1	.73 UPGR	ADE FUEL	CELL N	OSEDOC	K		2,559	SM	2,500			
211-1	.73 CONV	ERT NOSE	DOCK TO	WASHR	ACK		3,005	SM	3,700			
442-7	58 LOGI	STICS CO	MPLEX				1,700	SM	4,100			
squad squad	Mission frons; and lron; and lets surv	Air Nat: the Air	ional G Educat	Suard a ion an	ir re d Tra	fueli ining	ng win Comma	g wi nd t	th a K	2-135	5	
	Outstand								ies:			
					- '	,	_	_	•			
	a. Air	pollution	n:								0	
:	b. Wate	r pollut:	ion:								0	
	c. Occuj	pational	safety	and h	ealth	:					0	
		r Enviror									0	
	Real Pro					contract in the				103		

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F:	7 1999 MILITARY CONST	TRUCTION PROJECT DA	ra
AIR FORCE	(computer o	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LE		
		KC-135 SQUADRO	N OPERATIONS/
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE	BASE, WASHINGTON	AIRCRAFT MAINT	ENANCE UNIT FAC
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	PROJECT NUMBER 8.	PROJECT COST(\$000)
4.12.18	141-753	GJKZ000012	7,620
	9. COST ES	STIMATES	

9. COST ESTIMA	TES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT				
MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	SM	3,800	1,500	5,700
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			i	1,147
UTILITIES	LS			(385)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(245)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		i I	(121)
ELEVATOR	EA	1	103,000	(103)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM	2,250	130	(<u>293</u>)
SUBTOTAL				6,847
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1			342
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,189
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				431
TOTAL REQUEST	1			7,620
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,620
	İ		ĺ	
	İ		ĺ	
	i		i i	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two-story facility with concrete foundation, masonry walls, structural steel frame, sloping roof system, fire protection system, elevator, parking, sidewalks, and all necessary support. Includes demolition of two facilities totaling 2,250 square meters.

Air Conditioning: 85 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

<u>PROJECT</u>: Construct a KC-135 Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit (Sq Ops/AMU) Facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to consolidate Air Mobility operational squadrons by collocating aircraft operators with aircraft maintainers. The consolidation relocates flyers and maintainers out of undersized and dispersed facilities into a functional and adequately sized structure to support 59 KC-135 aircraft assigned to Fairchild AFB. This is the fourth of four Squad Ops/AMU facilities required to house the KC-135 squadrons. Space is required for Ops/AMU management support, briefing/debriefing, flight planning, training and testing, flying/ground safety, tool rooms, bench stock, mobility office, technical order library, life support, standardization/evaluation, locker rooms, and scheduling. In addition, an elevator is required to comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990. This consolidation is consistent with the Air Mobility Command initiative to bring the Sq Ops/AMU facilities up to maintain mission tasking rates in the Air Mobility Command.

| CURRENT SITUATION: Squadron operations and the aircraft maintenance units | are dispersed among five facilities. This physical separation creates

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ſΆ	1
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		·
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5.	PROJECT NUMBER
	1	
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	ĺ	GJKZ000012

fragmented lines of communications and authority. Aircrews and maintenance personnel must spend many hours away from their duty location in an effort to obtain parts, organizational and mobility equipment, and required training. The existing maintenance facilities were originally constructed in the mid 1950s. These facilities are inadequately sized and not properly configured to house the unified squadrons supporting the KC-135s. Two substandard facilities totaling 2.250 square meters will be demonstrated as part of this project.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Operations, maintenance, and support personnel | will remain in severely undersized and physically separated buildings and | will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to become an efficient and | effective operational squadron. Full implementation of the more effective | Objective Wing squadron and adequate beddown of the KC-135 aircraft will | be degraded. Essential squadron operations and logistic functions will | continue to require additional work-arounds that will degrade mission | performance.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Manual 86-2, "Standard Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC PATTERSON, (509) 247-2291.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
COMPONENT		z. DAIE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
		!
	R FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE 5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
KC-135 SQUAD	RON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC GJK	Z000012
 12. SUPPLEMI	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
(1) St	tatus:	i
(a)) Date Design Started	97 MAR 01
(b)) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	N
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
1) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 12
(e)) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 29
 (2) Ba	acie.	ł
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
•) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	FAIRCHIL
		(****)
1	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
•) Production of Plans and Specifications) All Other Design Costs	230 112
•) Total	342
1) Contract	240
1) In-house	102
 (4) C	onstruction Start	99 JAN
b. Equipmen	t associated with this project will be provided from	
other approp	riations: N/A	į
		1
		}
1		1
		1
i		
İ		İ
İ		j
		İ
!		
		1
		ļ
İ		
1		İ

. COMPONENT			_		2. D	ATE	
ID FORCE	FY 1999 MILITARY CC	NSTRUCTION generated)	PROGE	MAA			
IR FORCE . INSTALLATION A		4. COMMAND			N	REA CO	MC
. INSTALLATION A	AND LOCATION	AIR MOBILI			•	OST IN	
		!	11		! -		DE.
	E BASE, WASHINGTON	COMMAND		OTT DD		1.10	
. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT	STUDENT			DRTED	TI TOT	
STRENGTH	OFF ENL CIV		IGIA		ENL CI		
	7 453 3127 1033	: :		3	5 16		
. End FY 2003	431 3073 984		Ļ	3	5 16	6 4,	66
	7. INVENTORY	DATA (\$000)			<u> </u>	
. Total Acreage					222	cc3	
-	al As Of: (30 SEP 97)				233,		
	Not Yet In Inventory:					0	
	Requested In This Pro	_			51,	847	
	Included In Following		(FY 2	2000)		0	
	ct Three Program Years	:				800	
. Demaining Def.	die:					400	
. Grand Total:		<u> </u>			_3€	1.4	
	ESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:	FY 1999					
ATEGORY				COST		N STAT	
CODE	PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE		<u>(\$000)</u>	STAR	T CM	PL
						_	
	MP/HYDRANT FUELS SYSTE			18,025			
	ORTFIELD ASSAULT STRIP			2,321			
	FE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,400	SM	4,413	APR 9	7 JUN	9
FACILIT		3,300	CM	6 524	MAR 9	7 MAY	a
· ·	JADRON OPERATIONS/ FT MAINTENANCE UNIT FA	•	SM	0,324	MAK	/ !!!!!	_
71-212 C-17 ADI			SM	1 822	MAY 9	7 JAN	a
		800	214	1,023	MAI J	, OAN	_
	OR FACILITY	S 13,500	CM	6 127	MAR 9	7 JUN	۵
	TER MAINTENANCE HANGAR	•	SM		MAY 9		
	TER COMPOSITE SHOP TO AND ALTER AIRCRAF				MAY 9		
	TO AND ALLER AIRCRAF	1,780	SM	2,321	MAIJ	7 1155	ر
18-712 C-17 ADI	O/ALTER AEROSPACE	1,925	SM	2,110	MAY 9	7 MAR	9
	MAINTENANCE FACILITY						
	GHTLINE SUPPORT	3,500	SM	4,029	APR 9	7 JUN	9
FACILI:	Y						
51-147 C-17 REI	PAIR BASE ROADS	118,000	SM	2,224	MAY 9	7 MAR	9
		TOTAL	_	51,847			
a. Future Proje	ects: Included in the	Following	Progr	am (FY	2000)	NONE	
	ects: Typical Planned						
	JADRON OPERATIONS	3,440		8,100			
	T MAINTENANCE UNIT	•		•			
	DEPLOYMENT CENTER	3,440	SM	6,800			
	CORROSION CONTROL		SM				
FACILIT		772		_,			
	Major Functions: An a	irlift wing	with	three	C-141		
	Force Reserve C-141					he	
	ise Sector, which will						
estern All Derer uard.	ise sector, which will	ne appraise	<u> </u>	U.A.C PALI			
uaru.							

1. COMPONENT									E		
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM									i		
AIR FORCE (computer generated)											
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND								5. ARE	A CONST		
AIR MOBILITY								cos	T INDEX		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BAS	E, WASHIN	IGTOM	COMM	AND					10		
6. PERSONNEL	PERM	MANENT	S	TUDENT.			POR				
STRENGTH	OFF EN	1r CI/	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	EN	r CIA	TOTAL		
a. As of			!		!!!				į		
b. End FY	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				L	1			
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:	0.5								ļ		
b. Inventory Total As									 		
c. Authorization Not									i I		
d. Authorization Require. Authorization Incl	ested in	inis Pi Zollowir	ogram:	ram.					i I		
f. Planned In Next Th				- t-(1-t							
g. Remaining Deficien		.am rear	٥.						i		
h. Grand Total:	cy.								i		
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	safety	(OSHA)	defi	cienc	cies:		-			
	u01011 u11		(0000						į		
a. Air pollution	n:							0	į		
b. Water pollut								0	į		
c. Occupational		and heal	th:					0			
d. Other Enviro	nmental:							0			
12. Real Property Ma	intenance	Backlo	g This	Insta	llati	ion		58,534			
1									!		
1									ļ		
									ļ		
									1		
									1		
									!		
									i		
									i		
}									ļ		
<u> </u>									i		
<u> </u>									i		
}									i		
<u> </u>									i		
1									i		
1									i		
1 !									i		
1									i		
1									i		
									i		
!									i		
									i		
1									į		
1									į		
									i		
i									i		

1. COMPONENT				12	DATE
	Y 1999 MILITARY CONSTRU	מס ארים	<u>ለ.ተ</u> ድሮሞ ከአጥ፣	!	DUIE
AIR FORCE	(computer ger		OUECI DAIA	- 3	
3. INSTALLATION AN			TOOM MINI		
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	!	JECT TITL		TD GD % DM
MCCUODD ATD BODGE	BASE, WASHINGTON		DD TO AND		IRCRAFT
	6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PR		NANCE SHOP		70Cm (¢ 0 0 0)
J. PROGRAM EDEMENT	CALEGORI CODE 7. PA	COLCI NO	MDER 0. 1	PRODECT	2051 (\$000)
4.11.30	211-152 PC	WY993059	ļ		2,321
1.11.30	9. COST EST		<u> </u>		2,321
			1	UNIT	COST
	ITEM	ן וו	OUANTITY		(\$000)
C-17 ADD TO AND AL					(\$000)
MAINTENANCE SHOP		sm	1,780		1,647
ADDITION		SM	230	1,500	
ALTERATION		SM	1,550	840	
SUPPORTING FACILIT	TIES	i			344
UTILITIES		LS	İ		(195)
$m{s}_{i} = (i,j)^{T} + (i,j)^{T}$		L		!	•
SITE IMPROVEMENT	ទ	_l uS	1		, 40)
LEAD BASE PAINT/	ASBESTOS REMOVAL	Ls			(79)
SUBTOTAL					1,991
CONTINGENCY (10%)		1			199
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	T				2,190
SUPERVISION, INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	1			<u>131</u>
TOTAL REQUEST					2,321
TOTAL REQUEST (ROU	NDED)				2,321
		1	1		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundations and floor slabs, masonry walls, and sloped, metal roof. Electrical, mechanical, fire detection/suppression systems, and prewiring. Includes utilities, communications support, site improvements, parking, access road, landscaping, and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 210 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,780 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,550 SM PROJECT: C-17 add to and alter aircraft maintenance shop. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured aircraft maintenance shop is required for base level inspection, maintenance, repair, and servicing of C-17 aircraft electrical and environmental (E&E) systems. The first C-17s will arrive on station in August 1999. Space is required for work benches, bench stock storage area, battery servicing areas for both Ni-Cad and lead acid batteries, generator and constant speed drive test stand area, life raft CO2 bottle servicing area, oxygen equipment, repair clean room, nitrogen cart repair area, tool crib, maintenance management space, and personnel locker space.

CURRENT SITUATION: The E&E element currently shares a facility with the base avionics maintenance operations. This facility was constructed over 30 years ago to support avionics repair mission requirements of that era. The building is inappropriately configured and sized to support modern, combined E&E and avionics repair requirements to support the C-17 beddown. Building electrical, mechanical, and plumbing systems are undersized and deteriorated and in need of replacement. The space occupied by the E&E functions is configured for C-141 aircraft support and is 230 SM less than that required to support the C-17 E&E maintenance requirements. The

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE	
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	CT DATA	1	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)			
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION			-
İ				
MCCHORD AIR	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON			
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5.	PROJECT NUMBER	Ł
			DOLTICO 0 2 0 E 0	1
4. PROJECT T		5.	PROJECT NUMBER	-

existing battery shops are poorly configured and improperly sized/ ventilated to support the type and numbers of batteries required. There is no space available for comfort pallet circuitry repair. Pallet repair must be done off-site or the existing nitrogen cart maintenance area must be evacuated each time a comfort pallet is brought into the shop for repairs. Also, there is not enough space to repair, service, and store |CO2 bottles for life rafts. There is no other appropriate shop space on |base available to support this requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The capabilities of the E&E shop to support the electrical and environmental systems of the C-17 aircraft will be significantly degraded. This could result in a reduction of the operational readiness of the C-17 fleet at this base.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates alteration/addition is the only economical option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209. Building Number 1119.

1. COMPONE	ent l	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJEC	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION	
	R FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT	: TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	O AND ALMED ATRODADM MATHMONIANCE ONOD	DOINTO 0.0.0.0
C-17 ADD 1	CO AND ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP	PQWY993059
 12. SUPPI 	EMENTAL DATA:	
 a. Esti 	mated Design Data:	ļ
(1)	Status:	j
1	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAY 01
]	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to deve	elop costs N
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	90%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JUL 21
!	(e) Date Design Complete	98 FEB 27
[(2)	Basis:	!
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
((b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
 (3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(000)
(3 <i>)</i> 	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000) 3 139
 	(b) All Other Design Costs	70
! !	(c) Total	209
1	(d) Contract	157
İ	(e) In-house	52
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
(4) 	construction start	99 JAN
 b. Equipa	ment associated with this project will be pr	rovided from
	copriations: N/A	ĺ
Ì		İ
!		j
ļ		I
ļ		I
ļ		
ļ		
!		
!		
!		
1		
1		
!		
1		
1		}
2.0	7	
1	!	i

1	1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE	Ī
		FY 1999 MILITARY CO	ION PRO	OJECT DATA	A		١	
	AIR FORCE	(compute	er genera	ated)				1
	3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCATION	1.	4. PRO	JECT TITL	3		1
								1
		BASE, WASHINGTON			AMP/HYDRAI			1
	5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	T 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	ECT NU	MBER 8. 1	PROJECT	COST (\$000)	
			l					
	4.11.30	113-321	PQWY	993058			18,025	l
		9. COST	r estima	TES				l
ļ				ļ	ļ	UNIT	COST	ļ
		ITEM			QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	ļ
	C-17 RAMP/HYDRANT	FUELS SYSTEM		LS			16,195	ļ
ļ	APRON ADDITION			SM	14,500	•	(1,740)	ļ
l	HYDRANT OUTLETS			EA	19	374,263		:
		TUTE TYPE III PUMP I	HOUSE	LS	İ		(6,256)	•
	FUEL STORAGE TA	NKS .		KL	3,200	340	(1,088)	
ļ	SUBTOTAL			ļ	!		16,195	ļ
	CONTINGENCY (5%)			ļ	•		810	ļ
	TOTAL CONTRACT CO			ļ	ļ		17,005	ļ
	!	ECTION AND OVERHEAL	D (6%)	ļ	!		1,020	ļ
į	TOTAL REQUEST			ļ	ļ		18,025	ļ
ļ	TOTAL REQUEST (RC	UNDED)		ļ	!		18,025	ļ
ļ					!			ļ
ļ				ļ	!			-
ļ				ļ	!	<u> </u>		ļ
				ļ	!	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ
				ļ ļ	!		ļ	ļ
ł						I		1

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Jointed pavement for taxi access to 3 aircraft parking positions on "J" ramp. Install 19 hydrant outlets to service the new aircraft on "B" and "J" ramps. Construct a 9,084 liters per minute (LPM) Type III pump house and two 1,590 kL operational storage tanks to service the 19 new outlets on "B" and "J" ramps.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: C-17 Ramp/Hydrant Fuel System. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate aircraft parking ramp and refueling outlets are required to support the beddown of 48 C-17 aircraft at McChord AFB. The C-17 aircraft wingspan is 3.7 meters wider and the length is 2.1 meters longer then the C-141. The C-17s also require a 7.6 meter greater clearance between the wing tips than the C-141s. This necessitates additional ramp space and new fueling pits. The hydrant fueling system is required to provide the increased refueling capacity to meet the short turn-around times dictated by mission requirements. Refueling during peacetime cannot exceed the maximum en-route ground time of two hours and 15 minutes per AMC regulation 55-53. During contingency operations, refueling standards are one hour per aircraft. To refuel by truck would increase the refueling and turnaround time to four hours. The first C-17 arrives in August 1999. The project will reach completion in 1Q/01 to support the delivery of the 18th C-17 aircraft and to continue supporting the existing C-141s.

CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the larger size of the C-17 aircraft, the existing main parking ramp cannot provide the space necessary for parking and promote safe movement onto the taxiway. Existing fuel outlets were designed for C-141 aircraft and are not spaced to meet the 15.2 meter wing

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generate	d)
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
MCCHORD AIR I	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
]		
C-17 RAMP/HYI	DRANT FUELS SYSTEM	PQWY993058

tip clearance criteria for the C-17 aircraft. Additionally, the existing fueling system is substandard and inadequate to meet the C-17 refueling requirements.

| IMPOST IF NOT PRO IDED: Programmed Paration r es will be jeopardized | without sufficient hydrant refueling capabilities. Aircraft will not meet | the required peacetime turn-around time of two hours and 15 minutes or one | hour during contingency operations. Aircraft refueling will require

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the second control appecified in the force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209.

209

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A	į į
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION		1
İ			j
MCCHORD AIR	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
		I	
C-17 RAMP/HY	DRANT FUELS SYSTEM	PQ	WY993058
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:		}
			1
a. Estimat	ted Design Data:		
			1
1 '	catus:		ļ
	Date Design Started		97 MAR 01
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs	N
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35%
	Date 35% Designed.		97 DEC 10
(e)	Date Design Complete		98 JUL 31
	asis:		
•	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
•	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
•	Production of Plans and Specifications		1082
•	All Other Design Costs		540
	Total		1622
1	Contract		1217
(e)	In-house		405
(4) C	onstruction Start		99 JAN
			ļ
	t associated with this project will be provide	ed from	m
other approp	riations: N/A		
!			
!			
1			
<u> </u>			ļ
ļ			
ļ			
1			
ļ			
!			
!			
!			
Ţ			
1			
1			İ

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE			
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT T	TITLE			
		1				
MCCHORD AIR FO	ORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	C-17 ALTER M	MAINTENANCE HANGARS			
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)			
İ	1		İ			
4.11.30	211-111	PQWY993057	6,427			
	9. COS	r estimates				
1			UNIT COST			

	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGARS	SM	13,500	395	5,333
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-			179
UTILITIES	LS			(<u>179</u>)
SUBTOTAL				5,512
CONTINGENCY (10%)	1		1	551
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1]	١	E 063
SUPERVISION NSPECT - WOO OVERHEAD (6%)				, α
TOTAL REQUEST	1	ı İ		6,427
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1			6,427
	1			
			1	
	1			
	1			ĺ
	1		.	
		l İ		ĺ
	1	l İ		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace doors, enlarge openings and perform structural modifications on two hangars to accommodate C-17 aircraft. Work required in conjunction with the hangar door alterations includes corrosion control, minor interior alterations, repair/resurface hangar floors, seismic upgrades, and upgrade of hangar lighting and electrical systems.
- REQUIREMENT: 10 EA ADEQUATE: 2 EA SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: C-17 Alter Maintenance Hangars. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide properly sized and configured aircraft maintenance hangars for housing C-17 aircraft and support equipment during aircraft maintenance activities, complying with minimum safety and clearance standards. This project upgrades two fully enclosed hangars (3rd and 4th docks) to support scheduled and unscheduled inspections, repairs, and maintenance of C-17 aircraft. Specific maintenance activities include: isochronal inspection, sixty hour home station checks, and aircraft refurbishment. Twenty percent of the assigned C-17 aircraft must have access to fully enclosed maintenance hangars. The first C-17 arrives in August 1999 and a total of 16 C-17s |will be on station (need four docks) at the completion of this project. The remaining substandard hangars will be altered during the FYDP to coincide with aircraft delivery.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing hangar roof, doors, and openings are too small to accommodate the C-17 aircraft which has larger dimensions than a C-141. In addition, the interior electrical and lighting systems do not meet appropriate codes and are inadequate to support C-17 aircraft maintenance activities. The interior hangar surfaces and structure needs repainting. The flooring is bare concrete and lacks a reflective and

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	CA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	<u>_</u> 1
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGARS	POWY993057

|fuel-impervious surface. The existing facility does not comply with facility standards for aircraft maintenance.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Inability to conduct aircraft maintenance in fully enclosed facilities, protected from inclement weather and other environmental contaminants, will force deferral of required maintenance resulting in impacts on programmed utilization rates for the C-17 aircrait.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of |Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates that alteration is the only economical option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209. Building Numbers 1 and 2.

1. COMPONI	NTT I	2	DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTR		DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer ge	enerated)	
3. INSTAL	ATION AND LOCATION		Ī
			ļ
MCCHORD A	R FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	LE DROTH	
4. PROJEC.	TITLE	5. PROJEC	CT NUMBER
C-17 ALTE	MAINTENANCE HANGARS	PQWY99	93057
 12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:		
a. Est:	mated Design Data:		
(1)	Status:		į
	(a) Date Design Started	-	97 MAR 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates	s used to develop does a	N
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Ja	ın 199 8	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	•	97 DEC 19
•	(e) Date Design Complete	!	98 JUN 26
(2)	Basis:		
(2)			170
1 1	(a) Standard or Definitive De		NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Red	ently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = $(a) + (b)$ or	(d) + (e):	(\$000)
İ	(a) Production of Plans and S	Specifications	386
İ	(b) All Other Design Costs	-	192
İ	(c) Total		578 İ
İ	(d) Contract		434
ļ	(e) In-house		144
 (4)	Construction Start		99 JAN
	ent associated with this projeopriations: N/A	ect will be provided from	
1			
!			į
1			ļ
i			
İ			
İ			İ
İ			
			j
			i
1			į
			İ
213			
<u></u>			

4							D
1. COMPONENT			0110MD110TT	O17 DD		! = .	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE			<u>er genera</u>				
3. INSTALLATI	INA NO	O LOCATION			JECT TITLE		
					DD TO AND		
		BASE, WASHINGTON			FACILI		· -·
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJE	CT NU	MBER 8. I	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
					ļ		
4.11.30		171-212	PQWY9	93056			1,823
		9. COS	T ESTIMAT	'ES			
					1	UNIT	COST
		ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
C-17 ADD TO A	AND AL	TER SIMULATOR		l			
FACILITY				SM	800		1,282
ADDITION ON	NE SIM	ULATOR BAY (1 BAY)	SM	500	2,300	(1, 50)
ALTERATION				SM	300	440	(132)
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES		İ	ĺ		282
UTILITIES				LS			(120)
PAVEMENTS				LS	i .		, (75)
SITE IMPROV	EMENTS	S		LS	1		(42)
COMMUNICATI	ONS PI	RE-WIRING		SM	700	64	(45)
SUBTOTAL				İ	ĺ		1,564
CONTINGENCY	(10%)			İ	ĺ		156
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			İ	İ		1,720	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)			ĺ	İ		103	
TOTAL REQUEST			ĺ	İ		1,823	
TOTAL REQUEST	r (ROUI	NDED)		j	İ		1,823
EQUIPMENT FRO	M OTH	ER APPROPRIATIONS	(NON-ADE) i	İ		(25,000)
1-2					:		:

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Precast concrete walls and sloped metal roof.

Electrical, mechanical, fire detection/suppression system, and necessary prewiring. Alterations include renovating an admin area and computer training area. Includes utility support, vehicle parking, and necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 50 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: C-17 Add to and Alter Simulator Facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required to house a full motion (six axes) flight simulator for the C-17 aircrews in support of the beddown of 48 C-17 aircraft. This is the second of three required simulator bays--one per 16 assigned aircraft. The 17th C-17 arrives on station in the 2nd quarter of FY01. These simulators provide initial training, qualification, proficiency, and effective mission procedures training. is essential to provide hazardous emergency training procedures that otherwise could not be provided. This project will be construction complete by the 4th quarter of FY00 in time for the delivery of the simulator and equipment, also scheduled for the 4th quarter of FY00. additional nine months is required for installation and testing of the simulator equipment, cadre training and familiarization, and initial crew training. Delays will create a \$20,000 per month storage fee and \$200,000 per year fee to surge existing simulators to meet training requirements. CURRENT SITUATION: One full motion C-17 simulator is available for aircrew training. The C-17 simulators at both Altus and Charleston are fully utilized and cannot economically support simulator training

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION P	ROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. I	PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ADD TO AND ALTER SIMULATOR FACILITY	1	POWY993056

requirements for the aircrews at McChord.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The beddown and safe operation of the C-17 | aircraft could not be accomplished without providing required flight | simulator training facilities. Delay in providing requested construction | creates a \$20,000 per month storage fee for each simulator already on | contract and a \$200,000/year fee to surge existing simulators to meet

Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209. Building Number 1307.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1. COMPONENT				2. DATE
		RY CONSTRUCTION I		į į
AIR FORCE		mputer generated)	l	.
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION			
	ORCE BASE, WASHING	TON		
4. PROJECT TI	TLE		5.	PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ADD TO A	ND ALTER SIMULATOR	FACILITY		PQWY993056
 12. SUPPLEME	NTAL DATA:			
 a. Estimat	ed Design Data:			
(1) (4)	- h			ļ F
,	atus:	a		07 1837 01
(a)	_			97 MAY 01
(b)		Estimates used to	develop cos	
	Percent Complete) \$\tau_
•	Date 35% Designe			97 JUL 22
(e)	Date Design Comp	lete		98 JAN 30
 (2) Ba	sis.			
(2) Ba (a)		nitivo Dogian		NO I
(a) (b)		_	a o d	N/A
(D)	where besign was	Most Recently Us	sea -	N/A
(3) To	tal Cost (c) = (a)	+ (b) or (d) +	(e):	(\$000)
•	Production of Pl			109
•	All Other Design	-	2010115	55
	Total	COSCS		164
(d)				123
	In-house			41
((C)	III-IIOUBE			
 (4) Co	nstruction Start			99 JAN
	associated with t	his project will	be provided	from
other appropr	iations:			
! !			FISCAL YEA	\D
l Bott	IT DMENTT	DDOGID TYG	APPROPRIATE	
	II PMENT	PROCURING		
I NOME	NCLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUESTE	ED (\$000)
 C-17 FLIGHT S	IMULATOR DEVICE	3010	FY1999	25000
1				
! 				
! 				
1				
! 				Į Į
! 				
! 				
İ				
1				
! 				
1				
1				1
<u></u>				1

1. COMPONENT							12.	DATE	
	F	7 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION	N PRO	OJECT I	DATA	.		
AIR FORCE		(compute	er generat	ed)					i
3. INSTALLATI	ON ANI	LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT T	ITLE	}		
İ			Ì						j
MCCHORD AIR E	FORCE I	BASE, WASHINGTON	C-:	17 RI	EPAIR 1	BASE	ROADS		
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	r nui	MBER	8. P	ROJECT	COST ((000
									ĺ
4.11.30		851-147	PQWY99	3055				2,224	<u>1</u>
		9. COS'	r estimate	S					
1						1	UNIT	COS	5T
		ITEM		U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	ļ (\$0¢	00)
C-17 REPAIR E	BASE RO	DADS/CONSTRUCT BR	IDGE	LS	1			1,	,857
ROADS				SM	118,0	00	14	l (1,	,652)
BRIDGE & AF	PROACE	HES		LS				(205)
SUPPORTING FA	ACILIT:	IES				- 1		1	50
SITE IMPROV	EMENTS	5		LS		- 1		(_	50)
SUBTOTAL					1	1		1,	,907
NTINGENCY	(10%)				j			_	191
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COS	r			[ĺ		2	,098
SUPERVISION,	INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	D (6%)			ĺ		1	126
TOTAL REQUEST	C			1	1	ĺ		2	,224

Description of Proposed Construction: Grind off the top 50 mm of asphaltic concrete pavement from 16,100 linear meters of 7.3 meter wide roadway. Overlay with 76 mm asphaltic pavement and remark pavement centerline and shoulders. Includes bridge, site improvements, and necessary support.

REQUIREMENT: As required. 11.

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

PROJECT: C-17 Repair Base Roads. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate roadways and traffic control to permit safe and efficient traffic flow through the base to support the increased traffic flow of heavy construction equipment associated with the C-17 beddown. CURRENT SITUATION: The C-17 beddown construction program will construct or renovate over 37,161 SM of facilities and over 62,709 SM of runway and apron pavements. Environmental considerations preclude operation of a waste dump on base, and thousands of kilograms of construction and waste materials will be hauled onto and off the base. Sixteen kilometers of "haul roads" will be subject to traffic far beyond their design load. This will result in extensive damage to the road surface that must be reconstructed as soon as possible following the C-17 beddown construction effort to preclude further, follow-on deterioration of roadbed base and sub-grade structures.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Existing roadways will rapidly deteriorate as a result of the increase in traffic associated with the heavy construction equipment on base. Required traffic flow and vehicle accessibility will be impaired or not available to support C-17 mission requirements. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force |Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo and repair)

2,224

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	i i
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ION AND LOCATION	
 MCCHORD AIR	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT T		OJECT NUMBER
ļ		
C-17 REPAIR E	BASE ROADS PC	WY993055
 was done. It	t indicates repair is the only option that will mee	t
	requirements. Because of this, a full economic ana	
	d. A certificate of exception has been prepared.	BASE CIVIL
ENGINEER: LTC	G GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209.	
 		! !
İ		
İ		į
!		ļ
1		
Ì		İ
İ		
		ļ
1		i i
 		i
İ		İ
		i
İ		İ
		}
j		j
		ļ
		}
		!
j		Ì
		ļ
		}
İ		j
!		

1. COMPONI	PNIT	2. DATE
COMPON	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DAIE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTAL	LATION AND LOCATION	1,-2,-
ļ		
	IR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJEC	r TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
 C-17 DEDA	IR BASE ROADS	PQWY993055
C-I/ KEFA	IK DASE KOADS	PQW1993033
 12. SUPPI 	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est:	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAY 01
İ	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cost	s N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 19
İ	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAR 27
(2)	Basis:	
!	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
 (3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(3 <i>)</i> 	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	133
 	(b) All Other Design Costs	67
ļ 1	(c) Total	200
1	(d) Contract	150
1	(e) In-house	50
 (4) 	Construction Start	99 JAN
	ment associated with this project will be provided fropriations: N/A	From
¦		
1		
İ		
İ		
ĺ		
<u> </u>		
ļ		
1		
219		
217		

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	INSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
İ		C-17 ADD/AL	TER AEROSPACE
MCCHORD AIR FORCE I	BASE, WASHINGTON	GROUND MAIN	TENANCE FACILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
1			1
4.11.30	218-712	PQWY993050	2,110
1	9. COST	r estimates	

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
C-17 ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE GROUND	1]		
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SM	1,925	İ	1,668
ADDITION	SM	1,550	960	(1,488)
ALTERATION	SM	375	480	(180)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				142
UTILITIES	LS		!	(67)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(45)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(30)
SUBTOTAL				1,810
CONTINGENCY (10%)				181
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,991
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				119
TOTAL REQUEST				2,110
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,110
<u> </u>	<u>İ</u>	<u> </u>		Ĺ

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slab. Masonry walls with brick veneer, standing seam sloped | metal roof. Electrical, mechanical, fire detection/suppression systems, | and pre-wiring to accommodate required communications and data services. | Includes utility work, vehicle parking, site improvements, and necessary | support.

11. REQUIREMENT: 3,068 SM ADEQUATE: 1,143 SM SUBSTANDARD: 375 SM PROJECT: C-17 Add to and alter Aerospace Ground Equipment (AGE) maintenance facility. (New Mission)

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: An adequately sized and properly configured facility for maintenance and repair of AGE is required for C-17 aircraft. The first C-17 will arrive on station in August 1999. Space is required for maintenance, tool cribs/bench stocks, battery storage, and maintenance management.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The existing C-141 AGE shop and associated storage | facility cannot accommodate the larger C-17 parts and equipment to perform | the maintenance requirements generated by the beddown of 48 C-17 aircraft. | The new facility must support a combination of approximately 48 C-141/C-17 | weapons systems until the C-141 aircraft drawdown is completed at which | time a total of 48 C-17s will be in place.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: It will not be possible to meet required C-17 aircraft utilization rates to support the beddown of 48 C-17 aircraft without accomplishment of requested construction.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable

DD FORM 1391, DEC 76

Page No

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
C-17 ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE GROUND MAINTENANCE FACILITY	PQWY993050
	<u> </u>

options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates an addition/alteration to the existing AGE facility is the only economical option to meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209. Building Number 1200.

1. COMPONE	VT	;	2. DATE
j	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra	İ
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION		ļ
			į
	R FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT	TITLE	j5. PROU	JECT NUMBER
 C-17 NDD / N	LTER AEROSPACE GROUND MAINTENANCE FACILITY	ı I DOW	Y993050
C-17 ADD/A	HER AEROSPACE GROUND MAINTENANCE PACIBITY	EQW.	1993030
 12. SUPPL 	EMENTAL DATA:		¦
a. Esti	mated Design Data:		į
(1)	Status:		į
İ	(a) Date Design Started		97 MAY 01
1	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs	N
1	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		808
!	(d) Date 35% Designed.		97 OCT 07
!	(e) Date Design Complete		98 MAR 27
 (2)	Basis:		
(2) 	Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
! !	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
! [(b) where besign was most kecentry osed -		N/A
, (3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		127
ĺ	(b) All Other Design Costs		63
İ	(c) Total		190
İ	(d) Contract		132
ĺ	(e) In-house		58
(4)	Construction Start		99 JAN
	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from	
other appr	opriations: N/A		
ļ			}
}			ļ
I I			ł
! 			
i			i
i			
i			1
i			i
i			i
İ			j
Ì			į
1			j
			j
1			

_						
	1. COMPONENT				1	2. DATE
	F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUC'	TION PROJECT	DATA	
	AIR FORCE	(compute	r gene	rated)	i	
	3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	
				C-17 FLIGHT	LINE SUPPO	RT
_	MCCHORD AIR FORCE	BASE, WASHINGTON	-	FACILITY		
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJEC	T COST (\$000)
		1				
_	4.11.30	442-758	PQW	Y983054		4,029
		9. COST	ESTIM	ATES		
	1			1 1	IDIT	COOM

	- 1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
C-17 FLIGHTLINE SUPPORT FACILITY	SM	3,500	840	2,940
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1		i	680
UTILITIES	LS		ĺ	(340)
PAVEMENTS	LS		ı	(235)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(<u>105</u>)
SUBTOTAL	1	!	•	, 630
CONTINGENCY (5%)	i	[.81
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		1	ı	3,801
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		1		228
TOTAL REQUEST		[[4,029
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	1	İ		4,029
	Ì	İ		
	1	l i		
	Ì	İ		
	Ì	ĺ	j	
	ĺ	İ		
	j		i	
j	i	i i	i	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab. Masonry exterior walls with brick veneer, and standing seam sloped metal roof. Electrical, mechanical, fire detection and suppression systems, and pre-wiring for communications services. Includes vehicle parking, site improvements, and necessary support.

 Air Conditioning: 20 KW.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: 3,965 SM ADEQUATE: 465 SM SUBSTANDARD: 0
 | PROJECT: C-17 Flightline Support Facility. (New Mission)
 | REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required to provide warehousing and | storage of mission essential aircraft spare parts, assemblies, and | components necessary to maintain the C-17 aircraft. The first C-17 | arrives in August 1999. In addition to daily stocks, the facility must | house deployable mobility readiness spares packages to provide global | logistic support to meet Air Mobility Command (AMC) requirements. The | facility must also be located within close proximity to the flightline to | effectively accommodate maintenance accessibility and rapid issuance and | control of high value parts.

CURRENT SITUATION: One existing flightline support facility (465 SM), currently used for C-141 flying operations, will be designated to support the C-17 aircraft beddown. This facility provides for only 12 percent of the required storage space to support the C-17 aircraft. No other facilities exist near the flightline that can be added to or altered to provide the needed flightline support space.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Parts and readiness spare parts pallets will not be readily available for the C-17. Physical separation will require 2 2 additional work-arounds that will degrade mission performance.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
MCCHORD AIR	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
İ		
C-17 FLIGHTL	INE SUPPORT FACILITY	PQWY983054

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria for this project in Part II of Military |Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this |project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements'. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only loption that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209.

3. INST	TALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
 MCCHODI	מזג ר	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJ			5. PROJECT NUME
C-17 FI	LIGHTL	INE SUPPORT FACILITY	PQWY983054
12. ST	JPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a. E	Estima	ted Design Data:	
	(1) S	tatus:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 APR
į	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs
l) Percent Complete as of Jan 199.	ä
1) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 JUN
	(2) B	asis:	
	(a	<u> </u>	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
	(3) T	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$0
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	2
-	(b	,	1
	(c	•	3
ļ	(d		2
	(e) In-house	
<u> </u>	(4) C	onstruction Start	99 3
		t associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other a	approp	riations: N/A	
İ			
İ			
ļ			
!			
1			
1			
1			
}			
i			
i			
1			

	1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE	-
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					.			1	
	AIR FORCE	IR FORCE (computer generated)								
	3. INSTALLATION AND	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE					
		!			1					
_				C-17 SHORTFIELD ASSAULT STRIP						
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJE				PROJEC	T C	OST (\$000)		
_	4.11.30	116-116	PQW	79830	50				2,32	1
_		9. CO	ST ESTIM	ATES						
				ļ			UNIT	!	CO	1
-		ITEM			-	QUANTITY	COST	!	(\$0	00)
	C-17 SHORTFIELD AS	SAULT STRIP		! -	ıs ļ					,675
	RUNWAY OVERRUNS			!	M	7,000		96		672)
SHOULDERS			!	M			52		78)	
	APRONS AND TAXIWAYS			! -	M	6,500		92	(598)
	RUNWAY LIGHTING				ا S،			ļ	. (327)
	SUPPORTING FACILITIES							!		316
	UTILITIES				ıs			1	. (240)
	SITE IMPROVEMENTS				ıs			ļ		<u>76</u>)
SUBTOTAL				ļ				1	. 1	,991
CONTINGENCY (10%)				!				ļ		199
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				!				ļ		,190
	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)							ļ		131
	TOTAL REQUEST							ļ	!	,321
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROU	NDED)						ļ	. 2 i	,321
	!								j	
									; t	
	İ								ı	

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Add to and alter an existing | aircraft taxiway at Grant County airport, Moses Lake, Washington for | conversion to a C-17 assault training runway. Replace damaged concrete | slabs as required and widen taxiway. Add hammerheads, an aircraft parking | apron, runway lighting, pavement striping, and provide necessary support. | Slurry seal all new asphaltic cement concrete pavements.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

| PROJECT: C-17 Add to and Alter Shortfield Assault Strip. (New Mission)
| REQUIREMENT: A shortfield landing strip is required to provide adequate | and realistic training and continuing proficiency by simulating the type | of field conditions to be encountered at forward operating locations. The | first C-17 arrives on station in August 1999. The shortfield must be | 1,250 meters long by 27.5 meters wide with 6 meter wide paved shoulders. | The field must include a hammerhead at each end of the runway, a parking | apron, and runway lighting.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The existing C-130 shortfield at McChord AFB is only | 1,146 meters long by 18.3 meters wide with 3 meter wide paved shoulders. | This does not meet the length and width requirements to support the C-17 | aircraft. This shortfield is also parallel and too close to McChord's | main runway. The centerlines of the shortfield and main runway are only | 64 meters apart (12 meter wing tip clearance). This precludes | simultaneous operation of both, runway and shortfield. The projected | numbers of aircrews to be trained at McChord indicates that the shortfield | will be in use for a minimum of five to six hours per day, seven days per | week, in support of both the 62nd AW (Active) and the 446th AW (Reserve | Affiliate). To close McChord's main runway for five to six hours per day | would be operationally unacceptable. The only available airfield,

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	i i
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	İ i
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
į į	
C-17 SHORTFIELD ASSAULT STRIP	PQWY983050

operationally viable for daily C-17 assault landing and takeoff training, is Grant County airport located near Moses Lake, Washington. An existing 1,372 meter long by 22.9 meter wide taxiway at the Grant County airport is available for Air Force use and is upgradable to C-17 shortfield requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If an operationally viable shortfield is not provided within a reasonable commuting distance of McChord AFB, it will possible for 17 aircrew to maintain profeciency in short | caneoff and landing procedures.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation of existing, and new construction) was done. It indicates alteration as the only economical option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209.

1. COMPONE	2. DATE						
!	ΓA						
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLA 	TION AND LOCATION						
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON							
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER					
 C_17 QUODTI	 PQWY983050						
C-17 BHORT	TIELD ASSAULT STRIP						
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. Estin	a. Estimated Design Data:						
(1)	Status:	ļ					
!	a) Date Design Started	97 FEB 01					
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop (The state of the s					
•	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 d) Date 35% Designed.	65% 97 SEP 22					
•	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAR 27					
	c, bace besign complete)					
	Basis:						
•	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO					
 	b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A					
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)					
•	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	139					
	(b) All Other Design Costs	70					
1	209						
1	157						
	52						
 (4)	(4) Construction Start						
•	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from					
otner appr	opriations: N/A						
		į					
!							
		ļ					
! !							
<u> </u>							
İ							
İ							
İ		j					
ļ		İ					
!		!					
		ļ					
i I							
<u>j</u>							

1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE	Ī		
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							-		
AIR FORCE	IR FORCE (computer generated)						1		
3. INSTALLATION A	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4			4. PROJECT TITLE					
							1		
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON C				C-17 ALTER COMPOSITE SHOP					
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJE				ECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					
1				ļ			1		
4.11.30	211-152	PQWY97	/3059			1,630			
	9. COST	ESTIMATE	<u>s</u>				ļ		
					UNIT	COST	ļ		
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)	Ţ		
C-17 ALTER COMPOS	ITE SHOP		SM	850	1,400	! '	ļ		
SUPPOPTING FACILIT	TIES					208	١		
UTILITIES			LS			(135)	i		
PAVEMENTS			LS			(45)	F		
SITE IMPROVEMEN	TS		LS	[(28)	ì		
' CURTOTAL	CURTOTAL					- 792	1		
CONTINGENCY (10%)						4 14			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						1,538	1		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)						92	1		
TOTAL REQUEST						1,630			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)						1,630	1		
1									
1									
				İ					
1			1			1	1		
1							1		
				į į		1	١		
i			ı	i i		1	ı		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alteration includes major | reconfiguring of maintenance space, upgrade of lighting, electrical, and | mechanical systems, a fire detection/alarm/suppression system, | exterior/interior pavement upgrade, site improvements, and necessary | support.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: C-17 Alter Composite Shop. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured high-bay facility is required to provide space for specialized maintenance activities to support C-17 aircraft. The first C-17s will arrive on station in August |1999. Space is required for fabrication, aerospace systems repair, non-destructive inspection and composite repair of the C-17 aircraft. CURRENT SITUATION: Current maintenance area is substandard without adequate utilities. Space configuration is designed to support much smaller C-141 aircraft. Since the C-17 aircraft components are larger than similar C-141 components, the existing maintenance area must be reconfigured to provide the required safety clearance distances between the larger C-17 aircraft parts and the maintenance equipment. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate specialized maintenance cannot be performed which will jeopardize programmed utilization rates for the new C-17 aircraft. Personnel will work in a cramped and unsafe environment. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force |Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC COL GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209. Building Number 745.

1. COMPONI	NT	2. DATE
l	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTAL	ATION AND LOCATION	
 MCCHODD 7	R FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJEC		5. PROJECT NUMBER
İ		İ
C-17 ALTE	COMPOSITE SHOP	PQWY973059
 12. SUPP	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est:	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
i	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAY 01
•	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	80%
ļ	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 OCT 07
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAR 27
l (2)	Basis:	
i ,_,	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	ио
j	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
!		
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	98
[[(b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total	49 147
 	(d) Contract	110
1	(e) In-house	37
i	(-,	
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
1		
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provide	ed from
	ropriations: N/A	
į		İ
1		l
ļ		ļ
1		ļ
<u> </u>		
i		
İ		
ļ		İ
ļ		1
		ļ
1		
İ		
<u> </u>		

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUC	TION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer gene	rated)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
	C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

141-753

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT	1	[
MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	SM	3,300	1,330	4,389
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			1,473
UTILITIES	LS			(570)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(445)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	l	ļ ,	/ 270)
REMOVAL/DIF SUP	SM	±00	1.51) Ja (
ELLEVATOR	EA	1	103,000	(<u> </u>
SUBTOTAL			İ	5,862
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1			293
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			6,155
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		1		369
TOTAL REQUEST				6,524
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,524
		 	ĺ	ļ
			ĺ	ĺ
	1		İ	ı
1	i	İ	i	ı İ

Description of Proposed Construction: Two-story facility with concrete foundation, masonry walls, structural steel frame, sloping roof system, fire protection system, utilities, elevator, site improvements and parking, demolition, and necessary support. Air Conditioning: 65 KW.

REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct a Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit (Sq Ops/AMU). (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: It consolidates Air Mobility operational squadrons by collocating aircraft operators with aircraft maintainers. This is the second of four Sq Ops/AMU facilities required to house the C-17/C-141 squadrons. Squadrons will operate a combination of 48 C-17/C-141s until all 48 C-17s arrive by FY04. The consolidation relocates flyers and |maintainers out of undersized, interim, and dispersed facilities into a functional and adequately sized structure. Space is required for Ops/AMU management support, briefing/debriefing, flight planning, training and testing, tool rooms, standardization/evaluation, locker rooms, flying/ground safety, bench stock, mobility office, scheduling, and a technical order library. Consolidation is consistent with the Air |Mobility Command (AMC) initiative to bring the command's Sq Ops/AMU facilities up to minimum Air Force standards. These efficiencies are essential to maintain AMC mission tasking rates.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no adequate facilities to support consolidated Sq Ops/AMU operations at McChord AFB. Currently, there are three operations and two maintenance facilities in use. These facilities provide less than half of the required space and are scattered throughout

6,524

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	1
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MCCHORD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
 C-17 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	PQWY973002

|McChord AFB. The operations personnel are working in an overcrowded, |improperly configured facilities far from the squadron maintenance (AMU) |personnel on the flightline. The supporting AMU occupies an overcrowded, |improperly configured, and temporary modular facility approved for use |only until the completion of this project. The associated squadron life |support function is shoehorned in with two other squadron life support |elements in a single overcrowded facility at a third location on base. |This physical separation creates fragmented lines of communications and |authority. The project includes demolition and disposal of a temporary |modular facility.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Operations, maintenance, and support personnel will remain in separate, undersized, and interim buildings and will never develop the cohesiveness necessary to become an efficient and effective operational squadron. The geographic separation will continue to hamper the lines of authority and communication throughout the squadron. Essential squadron operations and logistic functions will continue to require extensive work-arounds that will degrade mission performance. Temporary modular facilities will continue to barely support the flightline maintenance unit and experience extensive wear and tear and associated maintenance costs.

ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209.

1. COMPONI	ENT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	•
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTALI	LATION AND LOCATION	
	TO HODGE DAGE WAGNINGTON	
4. PROJECT	IR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	5. PROJECT NUMBER
4. PRODEC		5. FROUDET NOMBER
C-17 SQUAI	DRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FAC	PQWY973002
 12. SUPPI 	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est:	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAR 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates and to develop of	costs M
1 1 1	(d) Date 198 Designers	اد ن باط ال / و
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 29
(2)	Basis:	
,_,	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	CHARLEST
	·	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	391
	(b) All Other Design Costs	196
	(c) Total	587
	(d) Contract	440
<u> </u> 	(e) In-house	147
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
	ment associated with this project will be provide	ed from
otner app: 	ropriations: N/A	
İ		
j		
1		
1		
! 		
i		
j		
l 		
_		

_	1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE	Ī
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					A'.			-
_	AIR FORCE	(compute	er gener	cated)			<u> </u>		\perp
	3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION		4. PR	OJECT TITL	Æ			-
	1			C-17	LIFE SUPPO	RT EQU	JIPM	IENT	-
_	MCCHORD AIR FORCE			FACIL					1
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT N	JMBER 8.	PROJEC	CT (COST (\$000)	-
	1				ļ				1
-	4.11.30	141-753	·	799305	4]			4,413	ㅗ
-		9. COST	r estim	ATES					냐
]					UNI	_ !	COST	ļ
-		ITEM			MUQUANTITY	-		(\$000)	ᆜ
	C-17 LIFE SUPPORT	~	Y	SM	2,400	1,5	500	!	ļ
	SUPPORTING FACILIT	IES		!	!		ļ	365	1
	UTILITIES			LS	ļ		Ì	(205)	•
	PAVEMENTS			LS	!	!	ļ	(110)	٠.
	SITE IMPROVEMENT	S		LS	ļ			(50)	1
	SUBTOTAL			ļ	1			3,965	-
	CONTINGENCY (5%)	_		ļ	1			198	ļ
	TOTAL CONTRACT COS		. (60)	ļ	•		ļ	4,163	
	SUPERVISION, INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD) (6%)	-	i		ļ	250	ļ
	TOTAL REQUEST			-		-		4,413	
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROU	NDED)		ļ	-	ļ		4,413	ł
	 			ł	l I	ļ		<u> </u> 	
	 			!	!	1		 	1
	 			i	1	 	I	[[1
	 			ļ i	-		ı	[[- [
	!			1	-	1	l	I I	1

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation | and floor slab. Masonry exterior walls with brick veneer, standing seam | sloped metal roof. Electrical, mechanical, fire detection/suppression | systems, and prewiring for communications systems. Includes utility | support, site improvements, vehicle parking, site improvements, and | necessary support.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,400 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,330 SM PROJECT: C-17 life support equipment facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and properly configured facility is required to house life support equipment for C-17 flying squadrons. The first C-17 arrives on station in August 1999. Space is required for life support staging and storage, helmet/oxygen mask repair, mock-up decontamination/survival training room, chemical gear issue and storage, explosive storage and issue, oxygen bottle maintenance area, flightline

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing inadequate life support equipment facility barely houses C-141 flying operations and cannot be expanded to accommodate the life support associated with the beddown of four C-17 squadrons. This existing facility will continue to support C-141 aircraft until their retirement at which time it will be altered for more appropriate use or demolished. There are no other buildings that can be altered to provide a C-17 life support facility.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Required life support equipment storage and | training will be inadequate for C-17 operations causing negative mission | impact.

Air Conditioning: 100 KW.

inspection, and administrative management.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE		
COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT			
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	·•		
	ON AND LOCATION			
MCCHORD AIR E	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	İ		
4. PROJECT TI		5. PROJECT NUMBER		
İ		1		
C-17 LIFE SU	PPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	PQWY993054		
ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/score specified in Air Force Handbook and the criteria/score specified in Air Force Handbook and the criteria/score specified in Air Force Handbook and the criteria/score specified in Air Force Handbook and the criteria criteria construction and the construction will meet construction) was done. It indicates that only new construction will meet constructional requirements. Because of this, a full aconomic analysis was not a certificate of exception has been prepared. BASI 179				
ENGINEEL	GREENOUGH, (253) 984-5209.	!		
1 				
! 				
İ		j		
		1		
!				
! 				
j		j		
		Ì		
		ļ		
İ				
1				
! 				
<u> </u>				
İ		į		
		j		
ļ				
		ļ		
1		! !		
0.75				
235				

1. COMPONENT	'	2. DATE
Ì	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
	TODGE DAGE UNGULUGUOU	
4. PROJECT	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	5. PROJECT NUMBER
4. PRODECT .	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	5. PRODECT NOMBER
C-17 LIFE SU	JPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	PQWY993054
12. SUPPLEM	MENTAL DATA:	İ
ļ		
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	<u> </u>
1 '	n) Date Design Started	97 APR 01
,	bate besign startedParametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	
•	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	50%
	l) Date 35% Designed.	97 DEC 02
	Date Design Complete	98 JUN 26
İ		İ
!	Basis:	
	n) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO I
[]) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Cotal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
!	Production of Plans and Specifications	265
	a) All Other Design Costs	132
· ·	c) Total	397
j (d	l) Contract	298
[(e) In-house	99
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
1		
i		
b. Equipmen	nt associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other approp		İ
1		1
		Į.
ļ		ļ
ļ		!
		}
1		1
		1
i		i
i		i
j		j
Ţ		j
		į
		ļ i
-		
İ		ì

Page Intentionally Left Blank

OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

•		

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE	3
FY 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROGRAM	i
AIR FORCE (computer		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND 5. AREA	CONST
3. INSTABLATION AND LOCALION	•	INDEX
SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY	FORCES IN EUROPE 1.3	
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS SUPPORTED	
	OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV	ΤΩΤΔΙ.
a. As of 30 SEP 97 340 4064 696		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
b. End FY 2003 336 4135 681 7. INVENTORY	1	3,3,5
a. Total Acreage: (1,289)	DATA (ÇOOO)	<u>_</u>
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)	133,719	, !
c. Anthorization Not Yet In Inventor	1337.12	:
d. Authorization Requested In This Fro		•
e. Authorization Included In Following	-	:
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years	: 39,000	
g. Remaining Deficiency:	193,686	
h. Grand Total: 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:		·
2	COST DESIGN S	ו בודים בי
CATEGORY	SCOPE (\$000) START	CMPL
CODE PROJECT TITLE	3COPE (3000) START	CMFI
 141-753 CONSOLIDATED AIR CONTROL	1,300 SM 4,466 FEB 97	SEP 98
•	•	1
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	108 PN 9,501 MAY 97	AUG 98
721-312 DORMITORY	TOTAL: 13,967	0C DOA
1214-425 CONSOLIDATED ACS MAINT FAC	LS 7,000	ļ
214-425 CONSOLIDATED ACS MAINT FAC	TOTAL: 7,000	i I
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned		-
116-661 ARMING PAD EXTENSION	4,000 SM 1,500	1
121-111 PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY		i
141-753 ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPS/AMU	2,322 SM 8,700	ì
	•	
		i
10. Mission or Major Functions: The		7-16
squadrons, one F-15C/D air superiority		
11. Outstanding pollution and safety		
Outstanding politicion and salety	(obiai) dollorenolos	!
a. Air pollution:	131	i
b. Water pollution:	7,167	i
c. Occupational safety and healt		į
d. Other Environmental:	5,812	
12. Real Property Maintenance Backlog		
Real Floperty Maintenance Bucklog	11110 111000111011	i
		i
		İ
1		i
		i
		İ
		i 1
		1
1		ļ
<u> </u>		

1. COMPONENT			12.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	ON PRO	OJECT DAT		
AIR FORCE (computer general			 	
		JECT TITL	E	
		IDATED AI		т.
!		ON OPERAT		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJE				
				,
2.75.96 141-753 VYHK9	83102	i		4,466
9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
	1	<u> </u>	UNIT	COST
ITEM	Üυ/Μ	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
CONSOLIDATED AIR CONTROL SQUADRON	Ī			1
OPERATIONS FACILITY	SM	1,300	2,241	2,913
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	Ì	ĺ	İ	1,080
UTILITIES	LS		Ì	(472)
PAVEMENTS/PARKING FACILITIES	LS	Ì	İ	(258)
STTE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		İ	(190)
AMOLITICA / ASBESTOS RE WELL DE	SM	300	i lov	60,
JJBTOTAL	1	ĺ	1	3,993
CONTINGENCY (5%)	Ì	ĺ	İ	200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	Ì		Ì	4,193
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)	Ì		İ	273
TOTAL REQUEST	ĺ		Ì	4,466
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	İ	ĺ	İ	4,466
				1
	j		1	1

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct reinforced concrete foundation, concrete floor slab, masonry walls, multi-structural steel frame, sloped roof, site improvements, pavements/parking facilities, passive anti-terrorism protection, and all other utilities and necessary support to provide a complete and usable two-story squadron operations building. Also includes demolition of three existing buildings. Air Conditioning: 123 KW.

FCF BUDGET RATE USED: DEUTSCHE MARK 1.7893

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,547 SM ADEQUATE: 247 SM SUBSTANDARD: 1,300 SM PROJECT: Construct a consolidated air control squadron operations facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate space is required for the 606th Air Control Squadron (606 ACS) to support squadron operations and command functions, communications, weapons armory, maintenance, quality assurance work center, training, and contracted work. The consolidation relocates operations out of several undersized, substandard, and dispersed facilities into a functional and adequately sized structure. A fully functional and properly configured facility will enable the consolidated squadron to carry out its mission efficiently and effectively, as well as build morale within the unit. Additionally, the 606 ACS is a mobile response unit whose operations must be collocated to facilitate meeting the required response times.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The 606 ACS was relocated to Spangdahlem as part of the Bitburg Air Base drawdown. Due to massive space deficiencies at | Spangdahlem the squadron was forced to fragment its operations into 16 | separate buildings and several different areas, both on and off-base. | Some of these buildings are substandard, all are overcrowded with

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	·A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	!
CONSOLIDATED AIR CONTROL SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	VYHK983102

personnel and equipment, and some are located over 19 kilometers away at a geographically separated unit in Oberweis. The physical separation creates fragmented lines of communication and authority. The addition of 100 new squadron members further exactabated this publem. substandard facilities totaling 1,000 SM will be demolished as part of this project. The remaining 13 existing facilities will be retained for more appropriate use to offset the massive space deficiencies. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Operations and support personnel will remain in substandard, dispersed and undersized buildings. This will negatively impact the cohesiveness, unit response time, and efficiency required by this highly mobile operational organization. Essential squadron operations and logistic functions will continue to require extensive work-arounds, degrading mission performance and forcing personnel to operate out of hardened aircraft shelters with no servicing utilities. ADDITIONAL: This project is not NATO eligible. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, add/alter, and lease new facility. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. There is not specific criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, | "Facility Planning and Design Guide." However, square footage requirements for each of the individual functions addressed comply with the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Timothy Byers, 011-6565-61-6302.

1. COMPONE	אווד	2. DATE
1. COMPONI	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	Z. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
	LATION AND LOCATION	<u> </u>
SPANGDAHLI	EM AIR BASE, GERMANY	
4. PROJECT	TITLE 5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
CONSOLIDAT	TED AIR CONTROL SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY VY	HK983102
12. SUPPI	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
(-,	(a) Date Design Started	97 FEB 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	N
	perce Complete as a Two Thes	35%
	.d. Date 55% Designed.	97 DEC 15
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 SEP 30
	-	-
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)		(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	268
	(b) All Other Design Costs	134
	(c) Total	402
	(d) Contract	301
	(e) In-house	101
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
	ment associated with this project will be provided fro ropriations: N/A	om
240		

1. COMPONENT							2	. DATE		
	FY 19	999 MILITA	ARY CON	STRUCTIO	N PROJEC	r da'	ra			
AIR FORCE		(00	mputer	generat	ed)		1			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LO	CATION		4.	PROJECT	TIT	LE			
SPANGDAHLEM A	AIR BASE,	GERMANY		DO	RMITORY					
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE 7	. PROJEC	T NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST (\$000))	
	ı		İ							
2.75.96	İ	721-312		VYHK99	3101	Ĺ		9,501		
	9. COST ESTIMATES									

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DORMITORY (108 PN)	SM	3,550	2,059	7,309
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			l	1,187
UTILITIUS	LS		ĺ	(300)
PAVEMENTS/PARKING FACILITIES	LS			(425)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		ĺ	(112)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM	2,500	140	(<u>350</u>)
SUBTOTAL				8,496
CONTINGENCY (5%)				425
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,921
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				580
TOTAL REQUEST				9,501
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,501
FCF BUDGET RATE USED: DEUTSCHE MARK 1.789	3			

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Three-story facility with | reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls and pitched | roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, laundry room, storage | room, lounge area, passive anti-terrorism protection, demolition and | asbestos removal/disposal, all supporting facilities, and necessary site | improvements to include POV parking facilities. | Grade Mix: 108 E1-E4.

REQUIREMENT: 1,318 PN ADEQUATE:

PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to eliminate the last two central gang latrine dormitories on Spangdahlem AB. A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

713 PN

SUBSTANDARD:

CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently not enough adequate dormitories to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted personnel at Spangdahlem AB. 339 E1-E4 unaccompanied enlisted personnel are forced to live off base in expensive private housing, detrimentally affecting readiness and force protection initiatives. The 266 personnel living on base are housed in substandard dormitories with central gang latrines. In addition to their dilapidated condition, 134 of the 266 substandard dormitory rooms are within the wartime explosive quantity distance clear zone, a direct violation of Department of Defense explosive safety regulation DoD 6055.9-STD (Ammunition and Explosive Safety Standard). This project

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	<u></u>
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	1
DORMITORY	VYHK993101

|includes the demolition of two (40 and 42 PN) central gang latrine |dormitories.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Airmen stationed far from home and family will continue to be forced to live in substandard and potentially unsafe conditions detrimentally affecting morale, productivity, and career satisfaction. Lowered morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Airman The lack of on-base living quarters for unaccompanied enlisted airmen will continue to pose force protection risks and decreased force readiness capabilities.

ADDITIONAL: This project is not NATO eligible. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new uniform barracks standard established by OSD. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, sending enlisted personnel off-base, and leasing. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. FY 1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$5.1M. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$2.3M. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirements (Estimated): FY98=\$0.77M; FY99=\$0.79M; FY00=\$0.82M; FY01=\$0.84M; FY02=\$0.87M; FY03=\$.89M. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LtCol Tomothy Byers, oll-6565-61-6302.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE								
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUC	FION PROJECT DATA								
AIR FORCE (computer gene	rated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION									
 SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY									
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER								
	3. TROODET NOTIDEN								
DORMITORY	VYHK993101								
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:									
Bubinahad Basina Baha									
a. Estimated Design Data:									
(1) Status:									
(a) Date Design Started	97 MAY 01								
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates u	sed to develop costs N								
(c) which complete it saw	1998 35%								
(d) Daue Bod Designed.	97 NOV 15								
(e) Date Design Complete	98 AUG 30								
(a) Standard or Definitive Designation	an - NO I								
(b) Where Design Was Most Recen	- ·								
(a, and a second	.,								
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)								
(a) Production of Plans and Spe	cifications 570								
(b) All Other Design Costs	285								
(c) Total	855								
(d) Contract (e) In-house	641 214								
(4) Construction Start	99 JAN								
b. Equipment associated with this project	will be provided from								
other appropriations: N/A									
	Ì								
	İ								
	I								
	ļ								
İ	İ								
	İ								
	İ								

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	· i
AIR FORCE (computer generaced)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
	COST INDEX
KUNSAN AIR BASE, KOREA PACIFIC AIR FORCES	1.17
O. Ibiliotiza	PPORTED
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF	
a. As of 30 SEP 97 219 2339 348 13	! ! ! - ' !
b. End FY 2003 218 2320 342 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	153 13 3,059
a. Total Acreage: (2,557)	
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97)	206,239
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory:	0
d. Authorization Requested In This Program:	5,958
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000)	0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years:	6,000
g. Remaining Deficiency:	0 1
h. Grand Total:	218,197
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999	
CATEGORY	
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$00	0) · START CMPL
	50 MAD 07 WDI 00
721-312 DORMITORY 122 PN _ 5,9	
TOTAL: 5,9	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:	F1 2000/ NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: 841-161 CONSTRUCT WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM LS 6,0	00
10. Mission or Major Functions: The host fighter wing su	
squadrons. A joint use agreement with Korea permits use of	f the runway by
Korean civil air carriers.	
11. Outstanding pollution and safety (OSHA) deficiencies:	
a. Air pollution:	0
b. Water pollution:	i i
	0
c. Occupational safety and health:	0
c. Occupational safety and health: d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:	0
c. Occupational safety and health: d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health: d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health: d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100
c. Occupational safety and health:d. Other Environmental:	0 2,100

	1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA									4	
	AIR FORCE (computer generated)										
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
						ĺ					
	KUNSAN AIR BA	SE, KOREA	<u>.</u>			:	DORMIT	ORY			
	5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE	7.	PROJ	ECT NU	MBER	8. E	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
		1		- 1							
	2.75.96 721-312 MLWRS						973087				5,958
			9.	COST	ES	AMIT	TES				
								1	1	UNIT	COST
	ITEM						ע/ש	QUA	NTITY	COST	(\$000)
•	DORMITORY (12:	2 PN)					SM	4	,250	857	3,642
		ATT TMT00					i	i	i		1 1 606

		1	UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DORMITORY (122 PN)	SM	4,250	857	3,642
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,686
UTILITIES	LS			(470)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(241)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(300)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(175)
SOIL REMEDIATION	LS			(175)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(250)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS		l	(75)
SUBTOTAL			I	5,328
CONTINGENCY (5%)				266
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			5,594
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)	1	1		364
TOTAL REQUEST			1	5,958
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	-			5,958
		ĺ	ĺ	Ì
FCF BUDGET RATE USED: WON 1,342.4000			į	j 1

10. Description of Proposed Construction: A four story building to consist of reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls and roof system. Includes room-bath-room modules, laundry rooms, storage and lounge areas and all supporting facilities including fire protection system and utilities with separate mechanical/utility building. Project demolishes two central latrine dormitories.

Air Conditioning: 200 KW. Grade Mix: 122 E1-E4.

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,569 PN ADEQUATE: 1,886 PN SUBSTANDARD: 402 PN | PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: It is a major Air Force objective to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: Kunsan Air Base is an unaccompanied remote tour installation which makes adequate housing essential for the safety and morale of assigned enlisted personnel. Approximately one-fifth of existing quarters were constructed prior to 1962 with central latrines and currently are in deteriorated condition. Kunsan AB also has a deficit of living quarters for unaccompanied personnel, requiring airmen to live off-base. This condition adversely impacts force protection and readiness initiatives. Additionally, off-base quarters are inadequate with substandard utilities, non-potable water, and dangerous heating systems.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Substandard living conditions will persist, degrading morale, productivity and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel. The lack of living quarters on base will continue to

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
KUNSAN AIR BASE, KOREA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DORMITORY	MLWR973087

|pose force protection risks and to decrease readiness capabilities. |Airmen stationed far from home and family will continue to be forced to |live in substandard and unsafe conditions. Lowered morale will contribute |to retention difficulties for the Air Force.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new uniform barracks standard established by OSD. In accordance with the new standard the crope of 66 SM per module has been supplemented by an additional 4 SM per module to accommodate this four cong structure. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction versus the status quo. Based upon the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Gordie Dickinson, 011-82-654-470-5400. FY 1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$1,100K. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$4,200K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirement (estimated): FY 1998: \$6,300K, FY 1999: \$1,440K, FY 2000: \$1,470K, FY 2001: \$1,520K, FY 2002: \$1,550K, FY 2003: \$1,550K.

FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KUNSAN AIR BASE, KOREA 4. PROJECT TITLE DORMITORY MLWR973087 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs
KUNSAN AIR BASE, KOREA 4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER DORMITORY MLWR973087
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER DORMITORY MLWR973087 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 97 MAR 26 (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER DORMITORY MLWR973087 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 97 MAR 26 (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
DORMITORY MLWR973087
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:
a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 97 MAR 26 (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
(1) Status: (2) (3) Date Design Started (4) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
(1) Status: (2) (3) Date Design Started (4) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
(a) Date Design Started 97 MAR 26 (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs N
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 35%
(d) Date 35% Designed. 97 JUL 08
(e) Date Design Complete 98 JUN 01
(2) Basis:
(a) Standard or Definitive Design - YES
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used - KUNSAN
 (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications 357
(b) All Other Design Costs 179
(c) Total 536
(d) Contract 402
(e) In-house
(4) Construction Start 99 JAN
(4) Combitaction beart
 b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from
other appropriations: N/A
į
1

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE					
FY	1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION P	ROGRAM					
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION 4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST					
		COST INDEX					
OSAN AIR BASE, KOREA	DECIFIC AIR						
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT STUDENTS						
a. As of 30 SEP 97	OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL 541 4625 675	CIV OFF ENL CIV TOTAL 1084 4838 595 12,358					
b. End FY 2003	545 4585 666	1084 4838 595 12,338					
	7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)	1001 1030 333 12,313					
a. Total Acreage: (1,777)						
b. Inventory Total As		377,116					
c. Authorization Not		o					
d. Authorization Requ	ested In This Program:	7,496					
e. Authorization Incl	uded In Following Program: (FY 2000) 12,100					
f. Planned In Next Th	ree Program Years:	19,526					
g. Remaining Deficient	cy:	0					
h. Grand Total:		416,238					
•	IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999						
CATEGORY		COST DESIGN STATUS					
CODE PROJ	ECT TITLE SCOPE	(\$000) · START CMPL					
	156	PN 7.496 TURN KEY					
721-312 DORMITORY	156 TOTAL:						
9a. Future Projects:	Included in the Following P						
721-312 DORMITORY	_	PN 12,100					
Boldinoki	TOTAL:	 :					
9b. Future Projects:							
721-312 DORMITORY	156	·					
841-161 UPGRADE WATER	R DISTRIBUTION	LS 8,722					
SYSTEM							
	Functions: The host fighter						
	squadron, and an airlift squa						
•	ts Headquarters, Seventh Air	=					
· -	MH-53J). Other major activit						
engineering heavy repair squadron (RED HORSE), and an Air Mobility Command air mobility support squadron; and an Air Combat Command reconnaissance							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	squadron; and an Air Compac C	ommand reconnaissance					
squadron. 11. Outstanding polls	ution and safety (OSHA) defic	iencies:					
l - Caestanding point	dellon and balety (obin) delle	1					
a. Air pollution	a:	75					
b. Water pollut:		11					
•	safety and health:	750					
d. Other Environ	_	23					
12. Real Property Ma:	intenance Backlog This Instal	lation 88,446					
1		ļ					
!		ļ					
Į.		ļ					
ļ							
ļ							
1		į					
1		†					
248							
1 / 11 / 11		i					

1. COMPONENT		·						2.	DATE
j 1	FY 1	999 MILITA	ARY CON	STRUCT	ION	PROJECT	DA'	ra	
AIR FORCE	-	(cc	mputer	gener	ate	1)			
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND L	OCATION			4. 1	PROJECT	TIT	LE	
OSAN AIR BASE,	KOREA				DOR	MITORY			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY	CODE 7	. PROJ	ECT	NUMBER	8.	PROJECT	COST (\$000)
2.75.96		721-312		SMYU	9630	054R2	1		7,496
	9. COST ESTIMATES								

UNIT COST				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DORMITORY (156 PN)	SM	5,500	866	4,763
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,940
UTILITIES	LS			(693)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(358)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(351)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS	!		(48)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(197)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	LS			(<u>293</u>)
SUBTOTAL				6,703
CONTINGENCY (5%)				335
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,038
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				<u>457</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				7,495
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,496
FCF BUDGET RATE USED: WON 1,342.4000				

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: A four story building to | consist of reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls | and roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, laundry rooms, | storage, lounge area, fire protection/detection systems, all | utilities/HVAC to include a separate mechanical/utility building and | necessary supporting facilities.

|Air Conditioning: 259 KW. Grade Mix: 156 E1-E4.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,486 PN ADEQUATE: 3,742 PN SUBSTANDARD: 98 PN PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: It is a major Air Force objective to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: Osan Air Base is an unaccompanied remote tour installation which makes adequate housing on base essential for the safety and morale of assigned enlisted personnel. Presently Osan has a deficiency in living quarters for unaccompanied enlisted personnel, forcing airmen to live off-base and jeopardizing force protection and readiness initiatives. Additionally, airmen forced to live off-base are housed in inadequate quarters with substandard utilities, non-potable water and dangerous heating systems.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The lack of adequate living quarters on base for unaccompanied enlisted personnel will continue to pose force protection | risks and to decrease force readiness. Airmen stationed far from home and | family will continue to be forced to live off-base in substandard and

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	""
OSAN AIR BASE	E, KOREA	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
DORMITORY		SMYU963054R2

unsafe quarters, further degrading morale, productivity, and career satisfaction. Lowered morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new |uniform barracks standard established by OSD. In accordance with the new standard, the standard scope of 66 SM per module has been supplemented by an additional 4 SM per module to a semodate this four story structure An economic analysis has a prepared comparing the alternatives construction, revitalization, and status quo. Based on the present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Paul Rojko, 011-82-333-661-4312. FY 1996 Unaccompanied |Housing RPM Conducted: \$1,680K. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM |Conducted: \$1,070K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirements (estimated): FY 1998: \$2,500K, FY 1999: \$2,520K, FY 2000: \$2,560K, FY 2001: \$2,600K, FY 2002: \$2,650K, FY 2003: \$2,700K.

250

1. CC	MPONEN	T	2. DATE
		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	
AIR F		(computer generated)	
3. IN	ISTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
OSAN	ATR BA	SE, KOREA	
	ROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
İ		j	İ
DORMI	TORY		SMYU963054R2
 12. 	SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
a.	Estim	ated Design Data:	
<u> </u> 	(1)	Project to be accomplished by one step turn key	y procedures
į	15	Basis:	
		a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
İ	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	OSAN
 	(3)	Design Allowance	450
<u> </u>	(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
		nt associated with this project will be provide priations: N/A	ed from

AIR FORC	FY	1999 MILI				PROGR	AM		
2 TNCTA			nputer c						
J. INDIA	LLATION AND L	OCATION			CINAMM			5. ARE	
					D STAT			!	T II
INCIRLIK	AIR BASE, TU	RKEY			SIN			··	80
6. PERSO	NNEL	PERMAN	VENT	ST	UDENTS	3	SUPP	ORTED	_
STREN	GTH	OFF ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL CIV	
a. As of	30 SEP 97	203 1697	7 302	. 1			221	954 164	3
b. End F	Y 2003	117 1033	3 234				221	954 164	2
		7. IN	JENTORY	DATA	(\$000)				
a. Total	Acreage: (3,328)							
b. Inven	tory Total As	Of: (30 S	SEP 97)					193,93	8
c. Autho	rization Not	Yet In Inve	entory:						0
d. Autho	rization Requ	ested In Th	nis Prog	gram:				2,94	9
e. Autho	rization Incl	uded In Fol	llowing	Progr	am:	(FY 2	000)		0
f. Plann	ed In Next Th	ree Program	n Years:	;				4,90	0
o	The straining	су.							
i Grain								20i,'	
	CTS REQUESTED	IN THIS PR	ROGRAM:	FY 1	999	-			
CATEGORY							COST	DESIGN	STA
CODE		ECT TITLE		S	COPE		(\$000)	START	C
				_					
730-833	CENTRAL SECU	RITY CONTRO	OL		1,600	SM	2,949	OCT 97	JU
	FACILITY							_	
					TOTAL:	: -	2,949	•	
	SQUADRON OPE				4,900		4,900		
10. Mis	sion or Major	Functions	: The h	ost v	ing ha	as no	perma	nently	
assigned	force struct	ure but is	respons	sible	cor re	gion	.a1 109	JISTICS III	L
Turkey a	nd command an	d control o	or debro	yea I	orces	. AS	a com	wined	
US/Turki	sh common def	ense racili	ity, inc	:1F11k	AB SU	rbbor	us a c	omposice	WIII
	onal) with va			crait	and r	nuici	nation	al forces	i
	in OPERATION			(00117)	3 - E : .				
11. Out	standing poll	ution and s	sarety	(OSHA)	della	cienc	ies:		
								0	,
a.	Air pollutio							2 520	
b.	Water pollut Occupational		3 1 3 - 1					2,520	,
	Occupational	satery and							
c.	_	_	ı neartı	1:				80	
đ.	-	nmental:						861 29,435	·

١	1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE		
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
	AIR FORCE (computer generat	ed)						
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4.	PRO	JECT TITLE	£			1	
	CE	NTRA	L SECURITY	CONT	'ROI	<u>.</u>		
		CILI						
١	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJEC	T NU	MBER 8. I	ROJEC	T (COST (\$000)	
١								
	2.75.96 730-833 LJYC93	3008				2,949	9	
	9. COST ESTIMATE	S						
		1	! !	UNIT	•	CO	!	
	ITEM		QUANTITY			(\$0	00)	
I	CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FACILITY	SM	1,600	1,3	00	2	,080	
I	SUPPORTING FACILITIES]				557	
	UTILITIES/CHEMICAL-BIOLOGICAL PROTECT	LS]	(345)	
	PAVEMENTS	LS			ļ	(105)	
	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		!	ļ	(82)	
	DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM	250	1	.00	! '—	<u>25</u>)	
	SUBTOTAL	1]	I	ļ	2	,637	
	CONTINGENCY (5%)		ļ	!	ļ	! _	132	
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST		ļ ļ	ļ.	ļ	2	,769	
ļ	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)	1	ļ ļ	!	ļ	! _	180	
I	TOTAL REQUEST		ļ ļ	ļ.	ļ	!	,949	
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	!	ļ .	ŗ	1	2	,949	
I		!		į		!		
				İ		!		
١				j		ĺ		

- Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete, masonry walls, and pitched roof. Areas include: operations/communications, admin, armory, guard mount, ammunition storage, lockers/showers, and all specialty areas associated with security police requirements. Also includes chemical/biological and passive anti-terrorism protection, back-up power, demolition, and all required utilities and support. Air Conditioning: 152 KW.
- REQUIREMENT: 1,600 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 252 SM PROJECT: Construct a Central Security Control facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide an adequate facility to house the Close Defense Area Headquarters (CDAH), Base Defense Area Operations Center (BDOC), armory, guard mount and assembly area, and associated security police admininstration spaces. BDOC is required as the primary command and control center for US security forces for ground defense assigned to the Close Defense Area during contingency operations. The facility is required to be semi-hardened as well as chemically and biologically protected.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing central security control facility is completely inadequate. The BDOC is extremely cramped and poorly designed, reducing the response time for emergency situations. There is no space for senior battle staff members to work when the BDOC is activated. Due to insufficient space, several other security police functions are dispersed throughout different facilities up to a half a mile away. mount and assembly functions are performed outdoors and exposed to the harsh environment. The lack of administrative areas for flight sergeant and flight commander makes it difficult to assemble and dispatch security

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATE	ГА
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
INCIRLIK AIR BASE, TURKEY	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FACILITY	LJYC933008

and utility rooms are undersized. The existing armory was condemned because of structural failure. This function is now housed in a temporary building located in a NATO restricted as as which is inadequate and causes delays in the security police's ability to respond to emergencies. Every time they enter this NATO restricted area, their vehicles must be searched and they must be escorted to pick up their weapons and equipment. Presently, there is no room for personnel to store their "street clothing" or to shower when changing shifts. This requirement is urgent since military personnel are not allowed to wear uniforms off-base due to security reasons. Two substandard facilities totaling 250 SM will be demolished as part of this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Current operations will continue to be hindered due to fragmented command and control. Security police will continue to

teams for emergency operations. The mechanical and electrical utilities

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Current operations will continue to be hindered due to fragmented command and control. Security police will continue to be delayed in their responses to emergencies and guard mount functions. Assembly will continue to be performed outside, in the harsh environment. ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook, 32-1084 "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo. Base on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Maj Glen Pappas, 011-90-322-316-6423.

254

1. COMPONE	NT		2. DATE
j	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ΓA	1
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION		
 	TD DAGE MIDVEY		
4. PROJECT	IR BASE, TURKEY	IS DRO	OJECT NUMBER
4. PRODECT	11105	, 5 	JOHET HOMBER
 CENTRAL SE	CURITY CONTROL FACILITY	LJ	YC933008
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:		ļ
a. Esti	mated Design Data:		!
[(1)	Status:		
i	(a) Date Design Started		97 OCT 15
j	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	n İ
	(a) Fireent Complete as of Jan 1998		35%
	.u/ Date 35% Designed.		98 JAN 15
	(e) Date Design Complete		98 JUL 30
 (2)	Basis:		
i	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -		ио
j	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(2)	makal mak (a) (a) (b) as (d) (b)		(4000)
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
 	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		177 88
i I	(b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total		265 l
 	(d) Contract		199
 	(e) In-house		66
1	(o) In house		i
(4)	Construction Start		99 JAN
<u> </u> 			
<u> </u>			i
b. Equip	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed fro	m İ
other appr	opriations: N/A		ļ
1			ļ
ļ			ļ
			ļ
}			ļ
ļ			ļ
			1
1			ļ
[]			ł
1			
i			i
			}
İ			į
İ			j
1			İ
ļ			ļ
			1

					1	
COMPONENT					2. DAT	E
FY	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCT	ION PR	ROGRAM	ļ	ļ
AIR FORCE	(computer o	generat	.ed)			<u>_</u>
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION	4. COM	MAND		5. ARE	A CONST
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKEN	HEATH, UNITED	UNITED	STATE	ES AIR	COS	T INDEX
KINGDOM		FORCES	IN EU	ROPE	1.	37 İ
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANENT		DENTS		PORTED	
STRENGTH	OFF ENL CIV			IV OFF	ENL CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 97	518 4062 256	!		2	8 335	5,181
•		! !	l I	2		
b. End FY 2003	512 3960 250		****	4	8 333	5,065
	7. INVENTORY	DATA (\$000)			I
la. Total Acreage: (1,984)					
b. Inventory Total As					170,28	0
c. Authorization Not ?						0
d. Authorization Reque	ested In This Pro	gram:			15,83	8
e. Authorization Inclu	ded In Following	Progra	m: (F	Y 2000)	15,85	0
f. Planned In Next Th	_	_			21,19	з ј
g. Remaini Aficiend					-	
h. Grand Total:	4				₂ 23,16	1
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	וא דאוג ספטמאאי	FV 10	99			1
CATEGORY	IN IHID PROGRAM:	£ 1 1 2		COST	DESIGN	ן 1 פוזידע דים
1	an mini	0.0	ODE			
CODE PROJE	ECT TITLE	<u>SC</u>	OPE	(\$000) START	CMPL
ļ						7.77
721-312 DORMITORIES				N 15,83		AUG 98
			'OTAL:	15,83	~	
9a. Future Projects:						ļ
610-128 FORCE PROTECT	TION/OPS SPT COMP	ւ 6	,070 S	M <u>15,85</u>	<u>o</u>	
]			OTAL:		0	
9b. Future Projects:	Typical Planned	Next T	hree Y	ears:		
131-111 COMMUNICATION	NS FACILITY	2	,500 S	M 5,20	0	
141-786 MOBILITY PROG	CESSING/CARGO FAC		830 S	M 1,50	0	
442-758 MATERIAL CONT		2	,850 S	M 5,70	3	į
730-142 ADAL MAIN FIR			,400 S			i
730-142 CRASH RESCUE		_	790 5			i
10. Mission or Major		host fi				dual -
capable F-15E squadror						
wing also supports an				ricy squ	aaron. m	
				ongi og .		
11. Outstanding poll	icion and sarety	(OSHA)	uelicl	.encres:		
					=	
a. Air pollution					495	
b. Water pollut:					1,372	:
c. Occupational	safety and healt!	h:			44	1
d. Other Environ	nmental:				14,159	
12. Real Property Ma:	intenance Backlog	This I	nstall	ation -	93,044	
i						1
i						İ
I 						i
1						
1						j
ļ.						!
<u>J</u>						ļ
1						ļ
1						
1						1
İ						

1. COMPONENT		· ·			2.	DATE	Ī
	FY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION	N PRO	DJECT DATA	.		1
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generat	ed)				1
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT TITLE	;		
ROYAL AIR FORCE L	AKENHEATH,	1					1
UNITED KINGDOM				DRIES			l
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	r 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	r nui	MBER 8. P	ROJECT C	:OST(\$000)	ļ
				1			ļ
2.75.96	721-312	MSET95			1	L5,838	ļ
	9. COS	T ESTIMATE:	<u>s</u>				1
!					UNIT	COST	ļ
	ITEM		 	QUANTITY		(\$000)	Ţ
DORMITORIES (216	PN)		SM	7,000	1,800	,	ļ
SUPPORTING FACILI	TIES		ļ		ļ	2,116	1
UTILITIES			LS			(825)	
PAVEMENTS			LS			(553)	•
· ·	TS/BALLFIELD RELOC		LS			(443)	•
!	STOS REMOVAL/DISPO	SAL	SM	2,250	131	`	ı
SUBTOTAL			ļ			14,716	1
CONTINGENCY (5%)			ļ			<u>736</u>	١
TOTAL CONTRACT CO			ļ			15,452	ļ
!	ECTION AND OVERHEA	D (2.5%)	ļ			386	ļ
TOTAL REQUEST	.			! !		15,838	ļ
TOTAL REQUEST (RO	UNDED)			! !		15,838	ļ
]			ļ
			ļ				ļ
!				!		 -	ļ
!			!	<u> </u>			ļ
FCF BUDGET RA	TE LISED: POUND 0.6	6185					1

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two, three-story facilities | with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls and | pitched roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, laundry room, | storage, lounge, and supporting facilities to include passive | anti-terrorism protection. Construction to include site improvements, | utilities, demolition, and relocation of ballfields. | Air Conditioning: 329 KW. Grade Mix: 216 E1-E4.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,310 PN ADEQUATE: 738 PN SUBSTANDARD: 117 PN PROJECT: Construct two dormitories. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to eliminate the last two central gang latrine dormitories on RAF Lakenheath. A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently not enough adequate dormitories to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted personnel at RAF Lakenheath. There are 455 E1-E4 unaccompanied enlisted personnel forced to live off base in expensive private housing, detrimentally affecting readiness and force protection initiatives. Of the remaining personnel living on base, 117 live in substandard dormitories with central gang latrines, insufficient laundry rooms, and inadequate recreational and storage space. They have inadequate heat controls, insufficient insulation, and inferior noise attenuation. Antiquated room climate control and lack of air conditioning requires dormitory occupants to open windows for adequate ventilation.

1. COMPONENT	:	2. DA	ATE	
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	- 1			
AIR FORCE (computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH, UNITED KINGDOM				
4. PROJECT TITLE 5	. PRO	JECT	NUMBER	
DORMITORIES	MSE	r9530	014	

This condition combined with close proximity to the flightline exacerbates noise problems. This project allows the elimination of the last two central gang latrine dormitories (117 PN total) from the installation's inventory: One dormitory (65 PN) will be demolished as part of this project and the second dormitory (52 PN) will be converted to another function through a separate O&M project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED. Airmen stationed far from home and family will continue to be rorced to live in substandard conditions, fulguer degrading morale, productivity, and career satisfaction. Lowered morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force. The lack of on-base living quarters for unaccompanied enlisted airmen will continue to pose force protection risks and decrease force readiness capabilities. ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the new uniform barracks standard established by OSD. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC Andrew Scrafford 011-44-638-52-2100. FY 1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$1.0M FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$6.55M. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirements(estimated): FY98=\$0.9M; FY99=\$0.92M; FY00=\$0.95M; FY01=\$0.98M; FY02=\$1.0M; FY03=\$1.04M.

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
1	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION		
			ļ
AL PROJECT T	RCE LAKENHEATH, UNITED KINGDOM	E DDC	JECT NUMBER
4. PROJECT T	IIIE	, S. PRC	REGMON 1920
 DORMITORIES		MSE	T953014
 12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:		į į
a. Estima	ted Design Data:		
(1) S	tatus:		į
) Date Design Started		97 APR 01
) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop o	costs	N
•	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35%
•	Date 35% Designed.		97 JUL 15
(e) Date Design Complete		98 AUG 01
[(2) B	asis:		ļ
(2) B			NO I
(a (b	_		N/A
, \~ 	, whole besign was need weedner, esta		,
(3) T	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
	Production of Plans and Specifications		950
(b	All Other Design Costs		475
(c) Total		1425
1) Contract		1069
(e) In-house		356
 (4) C	onstruction Start .		99 JAN
! !			i
!	t associated with this project will be provide	ed from	n
other approp	riations: N/A		
]			
} }			!
! [i İ
			i
İ			i
İ			i
ĺ			İ
ĺ			j
ļ			1
!			1
!			ļ
			ļ
 -			ļ
[[ļ
1			ļ
!			!

	ENT				,,				:	2. DAT	Ë	-
LATE BORGE		1999		ARY COI			PROGE	MAS				
AIR FORCE		OGNETO		outer o						7.7.		
!	LATION AND LO			an.	!	MMAND	nma 7	TD	:	ARE		
!	FORCE MILDE	MALL,	ONIII	עם	<u>'</u>	ED STA' ES IN 1]		T IND	EA
KINGDOM		l #	7E1D843 811						DODEN		38	
6. PERSON	-		PERMANI			TUDENT:			PORTI		- 	
a. As of	-	OFF	ENL 3482	CIV 215		ENL	CIV		ENL 2	 -		
b. End FY		:	3513	:	 			13 13	2	! !		.34
D. ENG FI	2003			ENTORY	ריים ו	/\$000	\	13		/ 3	4,1	74
la Total I	Acreage: (, 1NV) L21)	BNIORI	DAIA	13000	,					
	ory Total As	•		ED 97)						144,10	١0	
	ization Not									111,10	0	
•	ization Reque				ram.					24,96	-	
•	ization Requi			-	-	cam·	(FY 2	2000)		6,45		
,	d In Next Th			_	_	· u ·	\	.000,		34,65		
•	ing Deficien				-					,	0	
h. Grand	*	o,.								1.0 1.6	-	
	rs requested	TN TH	IIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	999	•••••••			12		
CATEGORY	io kayoasias							COST	DI	ESIGN	STATU	JS
CODE	PROJ.	ECT TI	TLE		5	SCOPE		(\$000		START	CME	
1 3322					=			(400,0				_
 141-753 	KC-135 SQUADI AIRCRAFT MA					6,625	SM	14,03	4 F	EB 97	SEP	98
721-312						144	PN	10,92	6 A	PR 97	APR	98
						TOTAL		24,96				
9a. Futu	re Projects:	Inc	Luded :	in the	Follo					00)		
•	OPERATIONS S					1,300	_			•		
!	KC-135 FLIGH					550		2,25				
!	HAZMAT STORA					4,000	SM	1,00				
İ						TOTAL	_	6,45	_			
9b. Futu:	re Projects:	Тур	ical P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:				
113-321	NORTH RAMP E	XTENS	ION		10	00,000	SM	8,00	0			
130-142	FIRE STATION					2,250	SM	4,75	0			
141-786	MOBILITY PRO	CESSI	NG CENT	TER		2,800	SM	4,50	0			
149-962	CONTROL TOWE	R/BASI	E OPERA	ATIONS		1,550	SM	2,20	0			
218-852	FABRICATIONS	SHOP				3,550	SM	7,10	0			
10. Miss	ion or Major	Funct	cions:	The 1	host a	air re	fueli	ing wi	ng si	upport	s a	
KC-135 sq	uadron and th	he Eui	ropean	Tanke:	r Task	Forc	e. I	RAF Mi	ldeni	hall a	lso	
hosts Head	dquarters Th	ird A	ir For	ce and	a Spe	ecial	Opera	ations	Gro	up of	MH-53	}
and MC-13	OH/P aircraf	t.										
11. Outs	tanding poll	ution	and sa	afety	(OSHA)	defi	ciend	cies:				
1												
•	Air pollution	n:								()	
a. 2	Water pollut	ion:								545	5	
j b. 1	Occupational		_	healt	h:					()	
b. 1	_		-1.							7,400)	
b. b. d. d.	Other Environ Property Ma									79,792		

1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE	
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CONS	TRUCTION	N PRO	OJECT DATA	1		
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4				. PROJECT TITLE			
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL,				KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/			
UNITED KINGDOM				FT MAINTEN			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	PROJECT	r nui	MBER 8. P	PROJECT (COST (\$000)	
2.75.96	141-753	QFQE94	QFQE943015			14,034	
	9. COST E	STIMATES	3				
			ļ .		UNIT	COST	
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT							
MAINTENANCE UNITS FAC			SM	6,625		11,180	
SQUAD OPS/AMU			SM	5,500		(9,372)	
OPERATIONS SUPPORT			SM SM	800		(1,288)	
GROUP HEADQUARTERS				325	1,600		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES						1,860	
UTILITIES			LS			(760)	
PAVEMENTS			LS LS			(680)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS						(420)	
SUBTOTAL						13,040	
CONTINGENCY (5%)] 		652	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST] 		13,692	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (2.5%)						342	
TOTAL REQUEST				 		14,034	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROU	NDEDI		j 1			14,034	
DOD DAID OFF THE	THE TANK THE		 				
FCF BUDGET RAT	TE USED: POUND 0.6185)	l				

|10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two-story facility with |concrete foundation, external brick finish, sloped roof system, fire |protection system, utilities, passive anti-terrorism protection, site |improvements/parking, and all necessary support. |Air Conditioning: 629 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 6,625 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,992 SM

PROJECT: Construct a KC-135 Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit
(Squad Ops/AMU) facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A consolidated operations group complex is needed to centralize KC-135 squadron operations/aircraft maintenance unit (Sq Ops/AMU), operations support squadron functions, and operations group command section. The consolidation relocates flyers and maintainers out of undersized, temporary, and dispersed facilities into a functional and adequately sized building sited adjacent to the flightline to facilitate support of mission aircraft. Space is required for the operations group commander and staff, Squad Ops/AMU management support to include the European Tanker Task Force mission, briefing/debriefing, flight planning, training, safety, tool rooms, bench stock, life support, locker rooms, and the mobility office. Additional space is required for select operations support squadron functions to include command section, intelligence flight, and weather.

| CURRENT SITUATION: There are no adequate facilities to support tanker | consolidated squadron operations and aircraft maintenance unit operations | at RAF Mildenhall. Existing operations are conducted in substandard, | inadequately sized, and improperly configured facilities. Operations | personnel work out of six facilities (two temporary) far from supporting

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	İ
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
	1
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNITS	
FAC	QFQE943015

functions. The AMU operates out of a converted hangar unsuitably , configured for required use. Life Support is in a third area with only half of the required space. This physical separation, up to 5 kilometers, creates fragmented lines of communication and authority. All existing permanent facilities will be transferred to house more appropriate |requirements.

'IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The unit will remain scattered in infinite undersized, supprenderd racilities. Lines and communication, and authorise will continue to be hampered impacting the cohesiveness necessary to |become an efficient and effective operational unit. Essential operations and logistic functions will continue to require additional work-arounds that will degrade mission performance. Additional temporary space will need to be procured to fulfill unit space requirements. Unit members will |continue to travel the 5 kilometers between facilities to accomplish the mission. Implementation of key base facility utilization study recommendations will not be possible.

ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding. criteria/scope of this project was derived from AFH 32-1084, Facility Requirements Handbook and Air Mobility Command Consolidated Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit Design Guide. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LTC Seb Romano, 011-44-638-54-2205.

1. COMPONI	ENT			2. DATE
	ĺ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	.'A	ĺ
AIR FORCE	Ì	(computer generated)		Ĺ ĺ
3. INSTAL	LATIC	N AND LOCATION		1
				1
ROYAL AIR	FORC	E MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM		L
4. PROJECT	r TIT	LE	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
KC-135 SQ	JADRO	ON OPERATIONS/ AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNITS		1
FAC			QF	QE943015
				1
12. SUPP	LEMEN	ITAL DATA:		1
				1
a. Est:	imate	ed Design Data:		
				İ
(1)		itus:		
		Date Design Started		97 FEB 01
		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	:osts	и
		Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35%
		Date 35% Designed.		97 JUN 15
	(e)	Date Design Complete		98 SEP 30
				ļ
(2)	Bas			ļ
		Standard or Definitive Design -		МО
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
				44
(3)		cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
		Production of Plans and Specifications		842
		All Other Design Costs		421
		Total		1263
		Contract		947
	(e)	In-house		316
	_			
(4)	Con	struction Start		99 JAN
				ļ
				ļ
		associated with this project will be provide	a iro	m j
other app:	ropri	lations: N/A		į
 				!
 				!
<u> </u> 				ł
 				-
 				}
[!				ļ
 				
<u> </u>				ļ
				ļ
!				ļ
! !				ļ
 -				ļ
1				
 				ļ
] 				ļ
l				

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE							
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CON	NSTRUCTION PROJECT D.	ATA							
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT TI	TLE							
ROYAL AIR FORCE MI	LDENHALL,		•							
UNITED KINGDOM		DORMITORY								
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 7	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	. PROJECT COST(\$000)							
	1	1								
2.75.96	721-312	QFQE973010	10,926							
1	9 COST ESTIMATES									

J. COST ESTIMATE				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
DORMITORY (144 PN)	SM	4,750	1,809	8,593
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,558
UTILITIES	LS			(341)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(299)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(228)
REPLACE INCIDENT FACILITY	LS			(663)
DEMOLITI W/ASBESTOS REMOVAL/DISPOSAL	SM	325	83	(27)
SUBTOTAL	1			10,151
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1			508
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			10,659
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (2.5%)				266
TOTAL REQUEST				10,925
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,926
				[
			ĺ	
		İ		İ
FCF BUDGET RATE USED: POUND 0.6185				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: A three-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation/slabs, masonry walls, and pitched roof. Includes room-bath/kitchen-room modules, lounge, linen exchange, laundry and storage rooms, exterior balcony entrances, passive anti-terrorism protection, utilities, and site improvements. Also includes demolition and the replacement of a facility to clear the site for this construction. Air Conditioning: 223 KW. Grade Mix: 144 E1-E4.

631 PN SUBSTANDARD:

REQUIREMENT: 972 PN ADEQUATE:

| PROJECT: Construct a dormitory. (Current Mission)
| REQUIREMENT: Project is required to eliminate the last central gang | latrine dormitory on RAF Mildenhall. A major Air Force objective provides | unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper | rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished | quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the | successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important | jobs these people must perform. Additionally, a replacement facility is | required to house the base audio visual and photo lab functions currently | working out of a substandard facility which must be demolished to clear | the site for this dormitory construction.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are currently not enough adequate dormitories to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted personnel at RAF Mildenhall. There are 281 E1-E4 unaccompanied enlisted personnel forced to live off base in expensive private housing, detrimentally affecting readiness and force protection initiatives. Of the remaining personnel living on base, approximately 60 live in substandard dormitories with central gang latrines, inadequate heating controls, and insufficient noise attenuation.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
DORMITORY	DECE973010

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Airmen stationed far from home and family will continue to be forced to live in substandard conditions further degrading their morale, productivity, and career satisfaction. Lowered morale will contribute to retention difficulties for the Air Force. The lack of on-base living quarters for unaccompanied enlisted airmen will continue to pose force protection risks and decreased force readiness capabilities. ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding. meets the criteria/scope specified in the new uniform barracks standard established by OSD. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. FY 1996 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$8.6M. FY 1997 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$2.785M. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM Requirements (Estimated):FY98=\$3.523M; FY99=\$1.569M; FY00=\$1.616M; FY01=\$1.664M; FY02=\$1.714M; FY03=\$1.766M. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: LtCol Seb Romano, 011-44-638-54-2205.

1. COMPO	NENT	2. DATE
ĺ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	j
AIR FORCE		
3. INSTA	LLATION AND LOCATION	
 DOVAL AT	PODCE MILDENUALI INTER VINCOOM	ļ
4. PROJEC	R FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM	PROJECT NUMBER
4. 1100E		ROOBET NOMBER
DORMITOR		QFQE973010
1		
12. SUP	PLEMENTAL DATA:	1
a. Est	timated Design Data:	
[(1)	Status:	i
(±.	(a) Date Design Started	97 APR 01
 	(b) Parametria Com Est wices used to develop cost	
	Sy Perco to the district Jan 1995	5*
ĺ	(d) Date 35% Designed.	ىل 15 ئىل 97
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 APR 01
[(2)	Basis:	
ļ	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
 	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
! (3`	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(3)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	656
İ	(b) All Other Design Costs	328
İ	(c) Total	984
	(d) Contract	738
	(e) In-house	246
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
[
b. Equi	pment associated with this project will be provided for	rom
	propriations: N/A	Ì
1		İ
ļ		
!		
!		
! !		
! [
İ		
İ		
İ		j
1		Ì
ļ		
		ļ
•		
i i		
່ ງ/	<i>L</i>	
¦ 26	(O	1

				-
		•	٠.	

1. COMPONENT	T17 1000		DI GO.			22000			2. DAT	Ë
AIR FORCE	FY 1999		uter g			PROGE	CAM	† 		
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION				MMAND				5. ARE	A CONST
	j					j	COS	T INDEX		
VARIOUS LOCATION	NS								0.	00
6. PERSONNEL		PERMANE			UDENT			PORT		-
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP b. End FY 2003	9/	 								
D. End F1 2003	1	7. INVE	NTORY	DATA	(\$000	\				
a. Total Acreage		0)			(4000					
b. Inventory To		(30 SE	P 97)							0
c. Authorization	n Not Yet I	n Inven	tory:							0
d. Authorization	-		_	_					42,72	:7
e. Authorization			_	_	am:	(FY 2	2000)		52,98	
f. Planned In No		rogram	Years:	:					190,58	
<pre>g. Remaining De: h. Grand Total:</pre>	ciciency:								206 26	0
8. PROJECTS REQ	TESTED IN T	HTS DRO	GRAM ·	FV 1	999				286,29	
CATEGORY	355155 IN 1	iiib iic	Older.				COST	· D	ESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJECT T	ITLE		5	COPE		(\$000	_	START	CMPL
<u></u>				_						
010-211 UNSPEC	IFIED MINOR	CONSTR	RUCTION	1		LS	7,13	5		
010-211 PLANNII	NG AND DESI	GN				LS _	35,59	92		
					TOTAL		42,72			
•	jects: Inc		n the	Follo	wing	_			00)	
010-211 PLANNII		-					42,69			
	IFIED MINOR RUCTION					LS	10,29	,0		
CONST	KOC11OIV				TOTAL		52,98	7		
9b. Future Pro	jects: Typ	ical Pl	anned	Next				· ·		
010-211 PLANNI	NG AND DESI	GN				LS	51,24	3		
010-211 UNSPEC	IFIED MINOR	CONSTR	RUCTION	1		LS	10,67	' 3		
010-211 PLANNI	NG AND DESI	GN				LS	52,79			
	IFIED MINOR					LS	11,00	2		
	RUCTION	~ >*					- 2 46			
010-211 PLANNII	g pollution		foty	(OCHY)	dofi	LS	53,48	34		
II. Outstanding	, porructon	anu sa	recy	(ОЗПА)	uell	CTEIIC	TED:			
a. Air po	llution:								C)
_	pollution:								Ċ	
c. Occupa	tional safe	ty and	health	1:					C)
	Environment.)
12. Real Prope	rty Mainten	ance Ba	cklog	This	Insta	llati	lon		()
d. Other		al:			Insta	llati	lon		()

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE	ī
F	Y 1999 MILITA	ARY CON	NSTRUCI	NOI	PRO	OJECT	DATA	· [İ
AIR FORCE		omputer	r gener					I			ᆜ
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION			4. 1	PRO	JECT :	ritle.	:			-
 VARIOUS LOCATIONS				ות זמ	ATAT T A	NG ANI	ם מת ב	TCN			1
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	16 CATEGORY	CODELZ	7 PRO-1						·т С	OST (\$000	廾
S. FROGRAM BEEMENT	CATEGORI	CODE	. PROU	BCI	1101	·IDBR	O. F 	ROOLC	.1 C	051 (\$000	1
9.12.11	010-211	i	PAYZ	2988	099		 		3	5,592	i
	9.	COST	ESTIMA	TES			•				寸
				1				TINU	•	COST	Ī
	ITEM				U/M	QUAN'	rity	COST	٠	(\$000)	ĺ
PLANNING AND DESIG	N			1	LS	<u> </u>	ļ			35,592	
SUBTOTAL	_			1		ļ			!	35,592	
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	T						ļ		}	35,592	
TOTAL REQUEST	BIDED)					 	ļ		ļ	35,592	•
TOTAL REQUEST (ROU	NDEDI			[ŀ	35,592	
				!			,		,		'
				i		Ì			ı		ì
				i		İ			i		i
				i		İ	i		i		i
				i		İ	j		i		i
				ĺ		ĺ	j		ĺ		j
									1		
									1		
				ļ		ļ			ļ		ļ
				. !		ļ			ļ		ļ
				-		 			!		ļ
10. Description o	of Proposed Co	natrus	ation:	Th		ında i		atod	<u> </u>	1 ho	_
used to provide fi	_						_				1
construction design	-					_	_				l l
funded construction			u-,	0011		20010					i
11. REQUIREMENT:		,									寸
REQUIREMENT: Thes	-		ign fur	nds a	are	requ	ired	to co	mpl	ete the	i
design of faciliti	es in the FYO	00 Mili	itary (Cons	tru	ction	Prog	ram,	ini	tiate	j
design of faciliti											-
accomplish planning			-		_			_	_		-
with a long lead-t				_			_				-
Programs. Also pr				_		_					ļ
of design and cons											ļ
foreign government	s and for des	sign of	t class	sifi	ed a	and s	pecia	ıl pro	gra	ms.	ļ
											-
 											-
 											1

					•
					1
				•	

1. COMPONENT								:	2. DAT	re
!	1999		ARY CO			PROGE	RAM			ļ
AIR FORCE			puter o						7.7.5	
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATIC	N		14. CC	OMAMM(:		EA CONST
 VARIOUS LOCATIONS				† 				j i		T INDEX
6. PERSONNEL		PERMAN	rntr	l en	UDENT	c	l giir	PORTI		1
STRENGTH	OFF		CIV	OFF		CIV				TOTAL
la. As of 30 SEP 97	011		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1		2112	1 1	TOTAL
b. End FY 2003			i	¦ ¦			! !			i
1	-	7. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)				-
a. Total Acreage: (0)				•				i
b. Inventory Total As	Of:	(30 S	EP 97)							o i
c. Authorization Not										0
d. Authorization Reque	sted	In Th	is Pro	gram:					42,72	27
e. Authorization Inclu	ided 1	n Fol	lowing	Progr	ram:	(FY 2	2000)		52,98	37
f. Planned In Next Thr	cee Pi	cogram	Years	:				-	190,58	30
g. Remaining Deficience	y:									0
h. Grand Total:									286,29)4
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN T	HIS PR	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999					1
CATEGORY							COSI	. <u>D</u> I	ESIGN	STATUS
CODE PROJE	ECT T	TLE		5	COPE		(\$000	<u>)</u> <u>(</u>	START	CMPL
<u>ļ</u>										ļ
010-211 UNSPECIFIED N			RUCTIO	Ŋ		LS	7,13			
010-211 PLANNING AND	DESI	3N				-	35,59			ļ
					TOTAL		42,72			
9a. Future Projects:			in the	FOLIC	wing	_			00)	!
010-211 PLANNING AND		3N				LS	42,69			!
010-211 UNSPECIFIED N						LS	10,29	,0		1
CONSTRUCTION	V				moma r	_	E2 00	_		1
9b. Future Projects:	Turn	cal D	lanned	Next	TOTAL		52,98	3 /		
010-211 PLANNING AND			Taimieu	Nexc	Inree	LS	51,24	. 7		}
010-211 UNSPECIFIED N			RIICTIO	N		LS	10,67			
010-211 PLANNING AND				••		LS	52,79			ì
010-211 UNSPECIFIED N						LS	11,00			1
CONSTRUCTION							,	-		i
010-211 PLANNING AND		en				LS	53,48	34		i
11. Outstanding pollu			afety	(OSHA)	defi	ciend				
i			•							İ
a. Air pollution	ı:								(j
b. Water polluti	lon:								() j
c. Occupational	safet	y and	healt	h:					() į
d. Other Environ									(<u> </u>
12. Real Property Mai	intena	ance B	acklog	This	Insta	llat:	ion		(
ĺ										1
!										1
ļ										Ţ
1										
1										1
1										
1										

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
ĺ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							ı j		
AIR FORCE		(comput	er gene	rate	ed)			i		
3. INSTALLAT	ON AN	D LOCATION		4.	PRO	JECT :	TITLE	E		
										ĺ
VARIOUS LOCAT							MINC	OR CON	IST	RUCTION
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	E 7. PRO	JEC1	' NUI	MBER	8. E	PROJEC	T	COST (\$000)
			ļ							
9.12.11		010-211	<u> </u>		0150	3				7,135
		9. COS	T ESTIM	ATES	3					
				ļ				UNIT		COST
		ITEM				QUAN"	rity	COST	<u> </u>	(\$000)
UNSPECIFIED N	IINOR (CONSTRUCTION			LS					7,135
SUBTOTAL							ļ			7,135
TOTAL CONTRAC		r		[7,135
TOTAL REQUEST										7,135
TOTAL REQUEST	r (ROU	NDED)]						7,135
1						!				
										!
i I						<u> </u>				
ļ						!				!
<u> </u>										[[
										!
							ļ			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide a lump sum amount for unspecified construction projects not otherwise authorized by law. Minor construction projects costing less than these limits are authorized to be funded from the operations and maintenance appropriation. Includes construction, alteration, or conversion of permanent or temporary facilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

REQUIREMENT: Minor construction projects authorized by 10 U. S. Code 2805 are military construction projects with an estimated funded cost between \$500,000 and \$1,500,000; however projects with an estimated funded cost of \$1,000,000 to \$3,000,000 may be funded under this authority when specifically planned to correct a life, health or safety deficiency. This package provides a means of accomplishing urgent projects that are not identified but which are anticipated to arise during FY99. Included would be projects to support new mission requirements, support of new equipment and concepts, and other essential support to Air Force missions and functions that could not wait until availability of FY00 Military Construction Program funds.

270

)

ļ	1. COMPONENT			2.	DATE	Ī
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	ON PRO	JECT DAT	A		l
_	AIR FORCE (computer genera	ted)				L
_	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4		JECT TITL			ĺ
	1	(CAPI	CAL WORKI	NG FUND)		ĺ
_	ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA D	EPOT I	PLANT SER	VICES FA	CILITY	L
	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJE	CT NUN	MBER 8.	PROJECT	COST(\$000)	ĺ
	1					ı
_	7.28.96 211-154 UHHZ8	80013			11,894	L
_	9. COST ESTIMAT	ES				L
		1		UNIT	COST	l
_	ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	L
	DEPOT PLANT SERVICES FACILITY	SM	8,600	1	8,360	l
	AIRCRAFT ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE	SM	8,000	1,000	(8,000)	l
	STORAGE	SM	600	600	(360)	į
	SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1		1	2,335	
	UTILITIES	LS	1	1	(630)	
	PAVEMENTS	LS			(450)	l
	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(240)	١
	DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	SM	8,500	110	(935)	١
	COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS		ļ	(80)	l
	SUBTOTAL			1	10,695	I
	CONTINGENCY (5%)			1	535	1
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST	Ì			11,230	١
	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		l	1	674	İ

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete floor slab and footings, steel frame, masonry walls, and roof system. Includes HVAC, utilities, required support, demolition and asbestos abatement of six buildings totaling 8,500 SM.

Air Conditioning: 400 KW.

111. REQUIREMENT: 8,600 SM ADEOUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 8,500 SM PROJECT: Construct a depot plant services facility. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: Provide a facility that consolidates repair and maintenance of industrial equipment and plant distribution systems, equipment and facility engineering support, installation, vehicle control, and the control and distribution of tools and tool kits. All of these functions support depot maintenance of the F-15, C-130, C-141 aircraft, avionics, gyro and electronic warfare systems, as well as repair and manufacturing processes of the Technology and Industrial Support Directorate. |Consolidation will streamline operations, eliminate facilities with safety and fire deficiency reports, and reduce maintenance and utility costs. CURRENT SITUATION: The depot plant services' functions are currently |located in substandard facilities considered unsuited for efficient use in support of the base mission. Operations are dispersed throughout the base in ten facilities which have documented fire and safety hazards. these ten buildings require excessive maintenance. Walls and trusses in several buildings have failed and have been shored-up; bridge cranes in several buildings have been abandoned because columns and trusses cannot support required loads. Electrical demands exceed supply, electrical |conduits crisscross wood trusses and columns, and any fire would quickly spread. These facilities are not well insulated and work areas cannot be

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)

11,904

11,894

(430)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE, GEORGIA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	1
DEPOT PLANT SERVICES FACILITY	I IIHHZ880013

efficiently or economically modified for heating or cooling requirements. Paint and welding booths are not fireproof and sheet metal has been attached to wooden walls to lessen (but not eliminate) the risk of fire. Half of the loading docks are unuseable because they were designed for the transfer of materials onto and off the trains; however, trains are no longer used to deliver materials to the base. Forklifts are restricted because of low ceilings and close column spacing. Dispersal of the workforce creates work flow problems and wastes manpower. Transporting supplies, parts and tools from one building to another is inefficient. This project will demolish six buildings totaling 8,500 SM. 140 SM will be mothballed and 830 SM will be transferred to another user. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Uneconomical repairs and modifications to existing buildings will continue. Documented fire safety hazards will continue. Dispersal of the workforce will continue to reduce worker productivity, and energy costs will continue to be excessive, resulting in deterioration of mission support to critical Air Force Weapon Systems. ADDITIONAL: There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". However, this project does meet the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, renovation, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The requirement for this project was validated by the Joint Service Depot Maintenance Industrial Military Construction Review Board in May 93. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Col John W. Mogge, (912) 926-3093.

1. COMPONENT	•]	2. DATE
late pense		RY CONSTRUCTION I		ra	
AIR FORCE	ON AND LOCATION	omputer generated)			
	ION AND LOCATION				
	ORCE BASE, GEORGIA				
4. PROJECT T	ITLE			5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
DEPOT PLANT	SERVICES FACILITY			U НН	Z880013
12. SUPPLEME	ENTAL DATA:				
a. Estimat	ted Design Data:				
(1) Pr	roject to be accomp	lished by one ste	ep turn key	' proce	dures
(2) Ba					i
	Standard or Defi				MO
[(b)	Where Design Was	Most Recently Us	sed -		N/A
(3) De	esign Allowance				. 358
(4) Co	onstruction Start				99 JAN
other appropr	associated with the ciations: UIPMENT ENCLATURE TTING EQUIPMENT	his project will PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YAPPROPRIA OR REQUES FY99	EAR TED	COST (\$000) 430
!					

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

This Military Family Housing request supports the Congressional emphasis on providing excellent housing for all military members and their families and that continual improvement in quality is the measure of excellence. We depend first on the local community to meet our housing needs. When local community housing is not available, we will construct military family housing which meets contemporary community living standards. This budget requests funds to operate and maintain our inventory at a standard that protects from asset deterioration, and maintains the quality level established by Congressional appropriations and guidance. Our goal is to provide quality homes that meet contemporary whole-house standards.

Family housing is one of the most important quality of life issues in the Air Force. Improving or replacing our aging housing inventory is our top facility priority. Our military members and their families expect and deserve homes which meet current standards of livability. In the era of downsized forces, we cannot risk losing highly-trained, experienced Air Force members because of poor housing. Small investments in quality family housing pay great dividends in retaining trained, responsible, ready Air Force members. We cannot afford to let our existing military family housing inventory deteriorate or fail to modernize it to contemporary standards to achieve quality of life incentives, so that we retain highly trained, motivated members.

This budget provides a balanced program between construction, operations, maintenance, and lease funding. The construction funding level indicates the Air Force's commitment to replace or revitalize our existing inventory to meet contemporary standards. We are concentrating on our oldest homes and improving or replacing where economically justifiable. We continue to propose projects that provide new support facilities at installations with the greatest need.

The operations, day-to-day maintenance and leasing accounts predominately support "must pay" requirements such as service contracts, lease contracts, utilities, and required maintenance for the cost of ownership to keep existing homes open and occupied. The maintenance account also supports our goal to arrest, then eliminate, deferred maintenance and repair (DMAR) growth as much as possible within our fiscal constraints. Unfortunately to date we have not eliminated DMAR. The Air Force is committed to the development of private sectorfunded housing revitalization where it makes economic sense.

Current funding levels do not support the required revitalization schedule projected by the Air Force, directly impacting quality of life, retention, and ultimately readiness. Private sector investments will speed the revitalization of family housing and provide safe, comfortable housing for service members without government investment above current Military Family Housing funding. It may be necessary to use many different approaches to meet family housing needs.

The business climate at some locations may not support establishment of privatized housing areas. To help provide the most reliable information to decisionmakers, the Air Force has initiated a Family Housing Master Plan. The Master Plan will define the most effective housing strategy and associated costs. It will integrate construction, operations and maintenance, and privatization efforts to build new, revitalize, continue to maintain, or privatize each asset to achieve optimal life cycle costs.

Lackland AFB, Texas and Robins AFB, Georgia are in the forefront of the Air Force's housing privatization process:

At Lackland AFB, a project appears feasible to privatize 272 enlisted housing units on base. The contractor will be charged with revitalizing, maintaining, and providing services for the 272 families eventually housed in this development. Members who choose to accept housing in the privatized neighborhood will forfeit their entitlements as they currently do to live in housing on base. The contractor will receive the equivalent of each family's entitlements as "rent". The Air Force will pay for utilities for the privatized units outside the deal.

The Air Force is developing a privatization project for 670 units on a geographically separated off-base site at Robins AFB. Member's forfeited entitlements will make up the contractor's income stream. In this proposed agreement, the contractor will provide utilities to the occupants. In keeping with Office of Management and Budget guidance, the contractor will not be reimbursed for utilities for privatized units outside the agreement in future projects, so each future privatization project will follow the Robins model, not the Lackland model.

While austere, we believe this funding profile represents a well balanced, fiscally constrained program that achieves quality of life goals for military families within the budget request. We respectfully request full support for the Air Force family housing needs presented herein.

INDEX

SUBJECT	PAGE
FAMILY HOUSING NARRATIVE	274
INDEX	276
FINANCIAL SUMMARY	279
LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE	
Authorization Appropriation	280 281
PROGRAM AND FINANCING SCHEDULES	282
NEW CONSTRUCTION	
New/Current Mission Activities	285
Construction Purpose and Scope	287
Maxwell AFB AL Eielson AFB AK Edwards AFB CA Vandenberg AFB CA Dover AFB DE MacDill AFB FL Patrick AFB FL Tyndall AFB FL Offutt AFB NE Kirtland AFB NM Wright-Patterson AFB OH Dyess AFB TX	289 294 299 304 309 314 319 324 329 340 345
Fairchild AFR WA	355

February 1998 Page No. 276

INDEX

SUBJECT	PAGE
POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	
Purpose and Scope	363
Delaware Hawaii Illinois Maryland New Jersey New Mexico North Carolina North Dakota South Carolina Washington Germany Guam United Kingdom	366 367 367 368 368 369 369 370 370 371 372
Post Acquisition Over \$50,000 per Unit	375
Hickam AFB HI Andrews AFB MD McGuire AFB NJ Seymour Johnson AFB NC Minot AFB ND	376 378 380 382 384
Overseas Ramstein AB GE Andersen AFB GU RAF Lakenheath UK RAF Mildenhall UK RAF Molesworth UK	386 388 390 392 394
ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN	396

INDEX

SUBJECT	PAGE
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY	
Narrative (Purpose and Scope)	398
Inventory and Funding Summary FH-2	401
Historic Housing Cost FH-5	402
OPERATIONS	403
Management OP-5	404
Services OP-5	406
Furnishings OP-5	408
Miscellaneous OP-5	410
UTILITIES OP-5	412
MAINTENANCE	
OP-5	414
DMAR Chart	416
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OVER \$15,000 PER UNIT	418
GENERAL OFFICER QUARTERS OVER \$25,000 PER UNIT	427
REIMBURSABLE PROGRAM OP-5	431
LEASING	
Purpose and Scope	432
OP-5	434
Exhibit FH-4, Leasing (Other than Section 801 & 802)	435
Exhibit FH-4A, High Cost Foreign Leased Units 503	436
Exhibit FH-4B, Section 801 Leases	437
DEBT PAYMENT	438
PB-18 EXHIBIT, Foreign Currency Exchange Data	439

February 1998 Page No. 278

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 1999 BUDGET REQUEST

FY 1999 FINANCIAL SUMMARY

AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION REQUESTED FOR FY 1999:

FUNDING PROGRAM FY 1999		(\$000)
Construction		132,915
Post-Acquisition Construction		81,778
Advance Planning and Design		11,342
Appropriation Request: Construction		226,035
Operations, Utilities and Maintenance Operating Expenses Utilities Maintenance	131,019 152,214 388,659	671,892
Leasing - Worldwide		118,071
Debt Payment Premiums for Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Coverage		32
Appropriation Request: O&M Leasing, and Debt Payment		789,995
Appropriation Request		1,016,030
Reimbursement Program		9,400
FY 1999 FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM		1,025,430

FY 1999 Authorization Language

SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

STATE	INSTALLATION	PURPOSE	AMOUNT
Alabama	Maxwell AFB	143 Units	\$16,300,000
Alaska	Eielson AFB	46 Units	\$12,932,000
California	Edwards AFB	48 Units	\$12,580,000
	Vandenberg AFB	95 Units	\$18,499,000
Delaware	Dover AFB	55 Units	\$ 8,998,000
Florida	MacDill AFB	48 Units	\$ 7,609,000
	Patrick AFB	46 Units	\$ 9,692,000
	Tyndall AFB	122 Units	\$14,500,000
Nebraska	Offutt AFB	90 Units	\$12,212,000
	Offutt AFB	Housing Ofc	\$ 870,000
	Offutt AFB	Housing Maint Facility	\$ 900,000
New Mexico	Kirtland AFB	37 Units	\$ 6,400,000
Ohio	Wright-Patterson	AFB 40 Units	\$ 5,600,000
Texas	Dyess AFB	64 Units	\$9,415,000
Washington	Fairchild AFB	14 Units	\$ 2,300,000
	Fairchild AFB	Housing Ofc and Maintenance Fac	\$ 1,692,000

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$11,342,000

SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENT TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

Subject to section 2825 of Title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$81,778,000.

SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE

- (a) IN GENERAL
 - (5) for Military Family Housing functions -
 - (A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, \$226,035,000.
 - (B) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of Title 10, United States Code), \$789,995,000.

FY 1999 Appropriation Language

For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension and alteration and for operations and maintenance, including debt payment, leasing, minor construction, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law as follows: for [FY98] FY99 Construction, [\$293,709,000) \$226,035,000, for Operation and Maintenance, and Debt Payment[\$817,534,000] \$789,995,000; in all [\$1,111,243,000] \$1,016,030,000: Provided: That the amount for construction shall remain available until September 30, [2003] 2004.

FY 1999 NEW/CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES

In compliance with the Senate Appropriations Committee Report (100-380) on the FY 1989 Military Construction Appropriation Act, the Air Force has included the following exhibit that displays construction projects requested in two separate categories: new mission and current mission. "New Mission" projects are projects that support deployment and beddown of new weapon systems, new program initiatives, and major mission expansions. "Current Mission" projects are projects that either replace inadequate existing facilities or construct new facilities which are not available to meet current requirements.

LOCATION	MISSION		REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT (\$000)
NEW CONSTRUCTION			
Dyess AFB TX	Current	64	9,415
REPLACEMENT HOUSING			
Maxwell AFB AL	Current	143	16,300
Eielson AFB AK	Current	46	12,932
Edwards AFB CA	Current	48	12,580
Vandenberg AFB CA	Current	95	18,499
Dover AFB DE	Current	55	8,998
MacDill AFB FL	Current	48	7,609
Patrick AFB FL	Current	46	9,692
Tyndall AFB FL	Current	122	14,500
Offutt AFB NE	Current	90	12,212
Kirtland AFB NM	Current	37	6,400
Wright-Patterson AFB OH	Current	40	5,600
Fairchild AFB WA	Current	14	2,300
SUPPORT FACILITIES			
Offutt AFB NE	Current	HSG Office	870
Offutt AFB NE Fairchild AFB WA	Current Current	HSG Maint Facili HSG Office and	ty 900
		Maint Facility	1,692

	REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT (\$000)
CURRENT MISSION TOTAL	140,449
IMPROVEMENTS	81,778
PLANNING AND DESIGN	11,342
GRAND TOTAL	233,619

FY 1999 NEW CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$140,499
FY 1998 Program \$159,943

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for the construction of new homes where the local community cannot provide adequate housing and replacement of existing homes, where improvements for Air Force personnel are not economically feasible, and support facilities where existing facilities are inadequate. Costs reflect all amounts necessary to provide complete and usable facilities.

Program Summary

Authorization of \$140,499,000 is requested for: Construction of 64 new units, replacement of 784 units and 3 support facilities.

A summary of the funding program for FY 1999 is as follows:

AUTHORIZATION Type/Locations	Mission	Number of <u>Units</u>	Requested Amount (\$000)
New Housing			
Dyess AFB TX	Current	64	9,415
Replacement Housing			
Maxwell AFB AL	Current	143	16,300
Eielson AFB AK	Current	46	12,932
Edwards AFB CA	Current	48	12,580
Vandenberg AFB CA	Current	95	18,499
Dover AFB DE	Current	55	8,998
MacDill AFB FL	Current	48	7,609
Patrick AFB FL	Current	46	9,692
Tyndall AFB FL	Current	122	14,500
Offutt AFB NE	Current	90	12,212
Kirtland AFB NM	Current	37	6,400
Wright-Patterson AFB OH	Current	40	5,600
Fairchild AFB WA	Current	14	2,300

Support Facilities

Offutt AFB NE Offutt AFB NE Fairchild AFB WA	_	Office Maint Facility Office & Maint	870 900 Ofc <u>1,692</u>
CURRENT MISSION NEW	CONSTRUCTION	TOTAL	140,449
IMPROVEMENTS			81,778
PLANNING AND DESIGN			11,342
GRAND TOTAL			233,619

Appropriation of \$132,915,000 is requested to partially fund the FY1999 New Construction Program. The remaining \$7,584,000 is derived from prior year savings.

									1 ^	- DAG	
1. COMPONENT	EV	1000	MITTI	ARY COI	TOTOTIC	יידיר∧זיי	מפס	7\M	4	. DAI	E
AIR FORCE	FI	1000		outer o			ROGI	CPI 1			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LO	CATIO		, 4002		MMAND			15	. ARE	EA CONST
						DUCAT	ON				T INDEX
MAXWELL AIR F	ORCE BASI	E, AL	BAMA			RAINI		MMAND	i	0.	84
6. PERSONNEL			ERMANI	ENT	ST	UDENTS	3	SUP	PORTE	D	
STRENGTH	-	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 S	EP 97	1009	1671	1580	438	2		1092	46	112	5,950
b. End FY 200	3	989	1687	1551	438	2		1092	46	112	5,917
		7	. INVI	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)					
a. Total Acre	age: (3,4	97)								
b. Inventory									2	35,58	39
c. Authorizat				-							0
d. Authorizat	_									16,30	0
e. Authorizat				_	_	am:	(FY 2	2000)			0
f. Planned In			ogram	Years	:					10,60	0
g. Remaining		cy:									0
h. Grand Tota									2	62,48	39
8. PROJECTS R	EQUESTED	IN TH	IIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999					
CATEGORY								COST	==		STATUS
CODE	PROJE	ECT TI	TLE		<u>s</u>	COPE		<u>(\$000</u>	<u>) s</u>	TART	<u>CMPL</u>
711-142 REPL HOU	ACE MILIT					143	_	16,30		RN KE	EY
O		Y 1			n-11-	TOTAL		16,30		0 \ >70	
9a. Future P									Y 200	O) NC	ONE
	rojects: ACE MILIT			Lanned	Next		UN	.s: 5,00	^		
	SING (PH					7.4	OIN	3,00	O		
711-142 REPL						44	UN	5,60	n		
	SING (PA						011	3,00	O		
9c. Real Pro				acklog	This	Instal	llati	on	5	1,600)
	or Major										
College; Air	_				_						
Training Scho					_						
AF Quality In				_							
Doctrine Cent	er; Air I	Force	Histor	cical I	Resear	ch Age	ency	Head	quart	ers A	AF
Reserve Offic	er Traini	ing Co	rps; I	łeadqua	arters	Civil	l Air	Patr	ol; C	ommur	nity
College of th	e Air Fo	rce; a	ın Air	base v	wing w	ith C	-21 a	ircra	ft; a	nd ar	a Air
Force Reserve	airlift	wing	with o	one C-I	L30 sq	_{[uadror}	ı.				

1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE		
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY									
ALABAMA			HO	USINC	G (PHASE 1	.)			
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6 . CATEO	ORY CODE 7	. PROJEC	r nun	MBER 8. F	ROJECT (COST(\$000)		
8.87.41	711-	142	JUBJ98	4049			16,300		
<u> </u>	9. COST ESTIMATES								
1						UNIT	COST		
	ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	COST 3	(\$000)		
REPLACE MILIT	TARY FAMILY HOU	JSING		UN	143	69,664	9,962		
SUPPORTING FA	ACILITIES						4,753		
SITE PREPAR	NOITAS			LS	[(1,011)		
ROADS AND E	PAVING			LS			(1,284)		
UTILITIES	UTILITIES						(978)		
LANDSCAPING	LANDSCAPING						(265)		
RECREATION				LS			(399)		
DEMOLITION	& ASBESTOS/LBE	REMOVAL		LS			(816)		
SUBTOTAL							14,715		

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	<u>NSM</u>	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	.82	797	50	2,875,576
JNCO 3BR	111	. 82	797	63	4,570,205
JNCO 4BR	125	.82	797	6	490,155
SNCO 3BR	125	. 82	797	14	1,143,695
SNCO 4BR	135	. 82	<u>797</u>	10_	882,279
				143	9,961,910

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 4,428 UN ADEQUATE: 2,902 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,526 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 1). (Current Mission) | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Maxwell AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with Housing Community Plan phases C and D. | Replacement will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living | environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the | first of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. | Of the 327 housing units to be replaced in this multi-phase initiative, | 186 will follow in subsequent phases. The replacement housing will

736

850

15,451

16,300

CONTINGENCY (5%)

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MAXWELL AIR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 1)	JUBJ984049

provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior/exterior storage and a carport or garage. Exterior parking will provide for a second vehicle and guests. Neighborhood improvements include landscaping and playgrounds. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 143 housing units which were constructed in 1941. These 58-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The units are not energy efficient and housing density is overcrowded. Play areas for children are either too small, not appropriate for toddlers, or nonexistent; presently the youngsters use the streets as playgrounds. Following normal rainfall, numerous sunken areas near house porches and neighborhood walkways accumulate water which becomes stagnant, breeding insects and unhealthful bacteria. Roof structures, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement owing to the effects of age and the environment. Off-street parking does not meet minimum requirement of 2.5 parking spaces per unit nor one covered space. Foundations and pavements are showing signs of failure due to settlement. Housing interiors are inadequate by any modern criteria. Bedrooms lack adequate closet space. 95% of 3 and 4 bedrooms units have one bathroom per unit, and all bathroom fixtures are outdated and energy-inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the houses is worn out, and contains evidence of asbestos. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet modern building codes, nor current standards for efficiency and safety. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement. Units are not compatible to reconfiguration. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this replacement initiative is not supported. Some families will continue to live in unsuitable housing while others are in improved or new, replaced units. The housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally uninhabitable because adequate, affordable off-base housing is not available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing deficit of 875 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue, with no improvement in the living quality. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the

| ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the | alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo | operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective | alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient | over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 75% of | the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no | increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local | school district to support base dependents. This project meets the | criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility | Planning and Design Guide". Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Gregory Coker, | (334) 953-6944.

NIT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	Z. DATE
	PROJECT NUMBER
3.	ROOBET NOMBER
LITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 1)	JUBJ984049
EMENTAL DATA:	!
mated Design Data:	
Project to be accomplished by one step turn key p	procedures
Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	NO N/A
Design Allowance	220
Construction Start	99 APR
	from
	(computer generated) LATION AND LOCATION IR FORCE BASE, GUNTER ANNEX, ALABAMA TITLE 5. LLITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 1) LEMENTAL DATA: .mated Design Data: Project to be accomplished by one step turn key published: Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design -

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION 1. DATE OF REPORT						REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	TALLATION			<u> </u>	999	DD-AGE(A	(K)1716		
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATION					
5. DATA AS OF 1994	Maxwell AFB				Alabama					
ANALY	SIS		URRENT				PROJEC	CTED		
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA	
REQUIREMENTS TOTAL PERSONNELS		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(1)	(9)	(h)	
. IOIAL PERSONNEL	SIRENGIA	2,414	3,182	570	6,156	2.413	3,160	566	6,13	
PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL			***	- 1,100		3,,,00	- 000	V, ((
		2,414	3,182	570	6,166	2,413	3,160	566	6,13	
B. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	1,978	2,336	133	4,447	1,978	2 240	400	4.4	
. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	LY HOUSED (a + b + c		2,330	133	4,447	1,876	2,318	132	4,42	
		550	483	23	1,056					
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HO	USING TO BE				— –					
DISPOSED/REPI		0	143	0	143					
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		JTY 550	340	23	913					
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS										
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DECHIDENENTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	1,978	2,336	133	4,447	1,978	2,318	132	4,42	
2. HOUSING ASSETS (+ b)									
a. UNDER MILITAR	V CONTROL	1,428	1,853	110	3,391	1,443	1,856	111	3,41	
a. UNDER MILITAR	TONTROL	373	441	0	814	373	441	اه	81	
(1) HOUSED IN			<u>, </u>	Ť		0.0	77,	-		
OWNED/CO		373	441	0	814	373	441	0	81	
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0	اه	0		
(3) VACANT								U		
.4. (514.070.00		0	0	0						
(4) INACTIVE			0	0	اه					
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	NG		<u> </u>	<u>_</u>					_	
		1,055	1,412	110	2,577	1,070	1,415	111	2,59	
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED (2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		1.055	4 440							
		1,055	1,412	110	2,577					
(E) MOCEI IMBE	- Trigrati INCINITAL	اه ا	0	0	0					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT		483				405			
4. PROPOSED PROJEC	1	550	463	23	1,056	535	462	21	1,01	
4. PROPOSED PROJECT										

AIR FORCE		9 MILIT	puter o					i		
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LOCAT				MMAND			i	5. ARE	EA CO
				ĺ				i	COS	ST IN
EIELSON AIR FO	RCE BASE, A	LASKA		PACIF	IC AI	R FO	RCES	į	1.	.73
6. PERSONNEL		PERMAN	ENT	ST	UDENT	s	SUP	PORT	ED	L
STRENGTH	OF	F ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOT
a. As of 30 SE	P 97 25	4 2617	661				54	11	3 574	4,
b. End FY 2003	24	9 2587	658			<u> </u>	54	11	3 574	4,
		7. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)				
a. Total Acrea		,790)								
b. Inventory T									593,84	
c. Authorizati			_						10.00	0
d. Authorizati	_		_	-		(DV - 1	20001		12,93	
e. Authorizatif. Planned In			_	_	ram:	(FY	2000)		22 20	0
g. Remaining D		riogiam	TCATR	•					33,20	0
h. Grand Total	-								639,97	-
8. PROJECTS RE		THIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999					. -
CATEGORY	~				-		COST	D	ESIGN	STAT
CODE	PROJECT	TITLE		s	COPE		(\$000	_	START	CM
	_			_				-		-
711-142 REPLA	CE FAMILY H	OUSING			46	UN	12,93	2 A	UG 97	JUN
PHAS	E 3					_		_		
					TOTAL	:	12,93	2		
9a. Future Pr	ojects: In	cluded	in the	Follo	wing	Progr	cam (F	Y 20	00) NO	ONE
9b. Future Pr	ojects: Ty	pical P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	cs:			
711-142 FY70	APPROPRIATE		Y HSG		60	UN				
711-142 FY70						UN				
9c. Real Prop	erty Mainte	nance B	acklog		Insta	llat	ion	1	26,500	
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o	erty Mainte r Major Fun	nance B	acklog The l	host f	Insta ighte	llat: r wi	ion ng supp	1 port	s an I	F-16
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa	nance B ctions: dron, a	acklog The l nd a t	host f rainin	Insta ighte ng squ	llat: r win	ion ng supp n which	1 port h co	s an I	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng sup n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng supp n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng supp n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng supp n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng supp n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP
9c. Real Prop 10. Mission o squadron, an A THUNDER exerci refueling squa	erty Mainte r Major Fun /0A-10 squa ses. The i dron (KC-13	nance B ctions: dron, a nstalla	acklog The l nd a tr tion a	host f rainin lso ho	Insta ighte ng squ osts a	llat: r win adron	ion ng supp n which n Natio	port h co	s an I nducts Guard	F-16 s COP

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY (CONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE
		REPLACE FAM	ILY HOUSING
EIELSON AIR FORG	CE BASE, ALASKA	PHASE 3	
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	İ		1
8.87.41	711-142	FTQW984002	12,932

9. COST ESTIMATE	S			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	46	162,716	7,485
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				4,189
DEMOLITION	LS			(425)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(290)
UTILITIES	LS	1		(351)
LANDSCAPING	LS			(142)
PLAYGROUNDS	LS			(141)
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION/GARAGES	LS			(1,405)
ASBESTOS/LEAD-BASED PAINT REMOVAL	LS]	(_1,435)
SUBTOTAL	1			11,674
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1			584
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1			12,258
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)	1			674
TOTAL REQUEST	i			12,932
	1	1	[
	1	[
		1		
AREA COST FACTOR 1.73	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

|10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 46 housing units. |Includes demolition, site work, replacement of utility systems, roads and |asbestos/lead-based paint removal. Provides amenities including parking, appliances, patios, privacy fencing, and playgrounds/landscaping. | Includes 28 net square meters of arctic recreation space for harsh climate area. Foundations will be salvaged. 72 units will be demolished.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	<u>NSM</u>	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	116	1.76	<u>797</u>	46_	7,484,914
				46	7,484,914

REQUIREMENT: 1,948 UN ADEQUATE: 1,106 UN SUBSTANDARD: 842 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 3). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at |Eielson AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with phase four of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the third of mutiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the 932 housing units to be replaced/improved in this multi-phased initiative, 321 are completed or included in prior programs, and 611 will follow in subsequent phases.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 72 units which were constructed |in 1953 with 46 units. These 43 year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
EIELSON AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 3	FTQW984002

construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they |provide a modern home environment. Roofs, wall, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement owing to the effects of age and the environment. Roof structures show signs of rot; leaks have made insulation (already inadequate by todays standards) less effective. |Foundation and pavements are showing signs of failure owing to settlement. |Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. |Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, and fixtures are outdated and energy-inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counterspace, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is worn out, and contains evidence of asbestos. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. There is no ground fault interrupter circuit protection, and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this | replacement initiative is not supported. Some families will continue to | live in unsuitable housing while others are in new, replaced units. The | housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally | uninhabitable because adequate affordable off-base housing is not | available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing | deficit of 32 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this | initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue, with no improvement in | the living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 87% of the replacement cost. Since this is a replacement project, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David Barnes, (907) 377-5213

la governover	m.!	10 DAME
1. COMPONEN	T FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
	TION AND LOCATION	
İ		
	FORCE BASE, ALASKA	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
 DEDIACE EAN	ILY HOUSING PHASE 3	FTQW984002
	TELL MODELLO LIMBE 3	119,1501002
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	į
a. Estin	ated Design Data:	Ì
(1)	Status:	1
•	a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 01
	b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs N
•	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
•	d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 23
1	e) Date Design Complete	98 JUN 30
(2)	Basis:	1
1	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO I
•	b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
1		
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
•	a) Production of Plans and Specifications	400
,	b) All Other Design Costs	
•	c) Total	400
•	d) Contract	400
1	e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	99 APR
j	·	
 b. Equipme	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appro	opriations: N/A	j
j		1
1		1
 -		
		1
İ		İ
1		!
1		
]		
1		
į		
İ		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION 1. D.		1. DATE OF REPORT	E OF REPORT			L YEAR	REPORT CONTROL SYMBO DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	TALLATION			<u> </u>	-	DD-NGE (N			
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION				
5. DATA AS OF 1997	Elelson AFB				Alaska					
ANALY	SIS	CI	JRRENT				PROJEC	TED		
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	1	E3 - E1	TOTAL	
REQUIREMENTS		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(9)	(h)	
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL		244	2,061	503	2,808	259	2,027	617	2,90	
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	244	2,061	503	2,808	259	2.027	617	2,90	
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	181	1,592	158	1,931	189	1,532	227	1,94	
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAR	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c	0	142	15	157					
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HO DISPOSED/REPI		0	72	0	72					
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUN	1TY 0	70	15	85					
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		٥	0	0	0	0	0	0		
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	G REQUIREMENTS	181	1,592	158	1,931	189	1,532	227	1,948	
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	185	1,450	143	1,778	194	1,464	187	1.84	
a. UNDER MILITAR	Y CONTROL	102	996	120	1,218	151	1,281	152	1,58	
(1) HOUSED IN OWNED/CO		102	996	120	1,218	102	996	120	1,21	
	TRACT/APPROVED					49	285	32	36	
(3) VACANT		0	0		0					
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		83	454	23	560	43	183	35	26	
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		79	454	23	556					
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		4	0	0	4					
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		(4)	142	15		(5)	68	40	10	
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	14. PROPOSED PROJECT					0		0	4	

Item 14: This project will demolish 72 units and build 46 units.

FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND 5. AREA COMMAND AIR FORCE COST IN EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA MATERIEL COMMAND 1.21	
AIR FORCE COST IN EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA MATERIEL COMMAND 1.21	
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA MATERIEL COMMAND 1.21 6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT a. As of 30 SEP 97 651 3438 3095 242 390 749 8, b. End FY 2003 612 3085 3051 242 390 749 8, 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	T 77
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT a. As of 30 SEP 97 651 3438 3095 242 390 749 8, b. End FY 2003 612 3085 3051 242 390 749 8, 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	יםעו
STRENGTH OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV TOT a. As of 30 SEP 97 651 3438 3095 242 390 749 8, b. End FY 2003 612 3085 3051 242 390 749 8, 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
a. As of 30 SEP 97 651 3438 3095 242 390 749 8, b. End FY 2003 612 3085 3051 242 390 749 8, 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 C. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
b. End FY 2003 612 3085 3051 242 390 749 8, 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: (300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
a. Total Acreage: (300,723) b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	129
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 97) 805,374 c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: 0 d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventory: d. Authorization Requested In This Program: e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: g. Remaining Deficiency: h. Grand Total: 0 844,854	
d. Authorization Requested In This Program: 12,580 e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
e. Authorization Included In Following Program: (FY 2000) 7,100 f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
f. Planned In Next Three Program Years: 19,800 g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
g. Remaining Deficiency: 0 h. Grand Total: 844,854	
h. Grand Total: 844,854	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: FY 1999	
o, inquest negotian in the income! It was	
CATEGORY COST DESIGN STAT	US
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE (\$000) START CM	IPL
711-142 REPLACE AREA B HOUSING PHASE 4 48 UN <u>12,580</u> MAY 97 AUG	. 9
TOTAL: 12,580	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2000)	
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG 38 UN	
TOTAL: 7,100	
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years:	
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG 64 UN 11,000	
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG 51 UN 8,800	
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation 140,500	
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Force Flight Test Center for	
Research and Development which is responsible for flight test activities	
for all USAF aircraft and related avionics, flight control, and weapons	
systems; a test wing; an air base wing; Air Force Test Pilot School; and	
Propulsion Directorate of Phillips Laboratory. Also, a landing site for	
the space shuttle.	

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PR	OJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PRO	JECT TITLE
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA REPLAC	E AREA B HOUSING PHASE 4
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NU	MBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

FSPM994501 711-142 12,580 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST U/M QUANTITY COST (\$000) TTEM REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING 109,837 UN 48 5,272 SUPPORTING FACILITIES 6,084 LS SITE PREPARATION 445) ROADS AND PAVING LS 618) UTILITIES LS 670) LANDSCAPING LS 4421 RECREATION LS 438) SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES LS (1,162) DEMOLITION AND ENVIRONMENTAL LS (2,309) SUBTOTAL 11,356 CONTINGENCY (5%) 568 TOTAL CONTRACT COST 11,924 SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%) 656 12,580 TOTAL REQUEST

|10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 48 housing units. |Includes demolition of 186 units, site clearing, upgrade of utilities and |roads, and construction of 48 new units. Provides normal amenities to |include appliances, parking, air conditioning, exterior patios and privacy |fencing, neighborhood playground, and recreation areas. Includes |demolition, asbestos and lead-based paint removal.

1.21

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	1.25	797	4	350,680
JNCO 3BR	111	1.25	797	40	4,423,350
JNCO 4BR	125	1.25	<u>797</u>	4_	498,125
			-	48	5,272,155

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,410 UN ADEQUATE: 988 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,422 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 4). (Current Mission) | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Edwards AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with Phase 4 of the Housing Community Plan. | Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living | environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The | replacement housing will provide modern kitchen, living room, family room, | bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage | and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a second | occupant vehicle and guests. The basic neighborhood support | infra-structure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.

AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
EDWARDS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE AREA B HOUSING PHASE 4	FSPM994501

Neighborhood improvements will include landscaping and playgrounds. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replace 48 housing units which were constructed in the 1950s. These 40+ year old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have not had any major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Plumbing systems, electrical systems, heating and air conditioning system are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency and safety. Systems are in such poor repair that constant maintenance is required to maintain operability. The harsh environment has taken its toll and the units have deteriorated beyond economical repair. Asbestos-containing |building materials contribute significantly to the high repair cost. The exteriors of these facilities have deteriorated to the point that all wooden surfaces need to be replaced. This housing area is very congested and presents a traffic flow safety hazard when cars park on the streets because the units lack driveways and adequate garages.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Asbestos will continue to limit maintainabilty, and future repair costs will be exorbitant due to the environmental abatement requirements. Mechanical and electrical systems will fail, adding to the already heavy workload and high cost to maintain. The units will continue to be occupied until they become uninhabitable because adequate, affordable housing is not available for junior enlisted families.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The initial cost to improve the housing is 92% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col Steven D. Kukuk (805) 277-2910.

1. COMPONE	· ·	2. DATE
110 DODGE	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	TA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	
J. INSTALL	ATION AND HOCATION	
EDWARDS A	R FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT		5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE AF	EA B HOUSING PHASE 4	FSPM994501
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	٦
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
• - •	(a) Date Design Started	97 MAY 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	100%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 JUN 01
	(e) Date Design Complete	97 AUG 01
(2)	Basis:	
, - ,	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	EDWARDS
(3)		(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	30
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	30
	(d) Contract	30
	(e) In-house	
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN
	•	
	ent associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appi	opriations: N/A	

302

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION 1.	DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA		REPORT (DD-A&L(A	CONTROL R)1716	SYMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT 4. REPORTING INSTALLA	TION				1000	00	,	
AIR FORCE a. NAME					TION			
5. DATA AS OF Edwards AFB				California				
34394								
ANALYSIS		URRENT				PROJEC		
OF	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(0)	(1)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH	738	3,206	811	4,755	742	2,770	701	4,213
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL	738	3,206	811	4,755	742	2,770	701	4,213
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	424	2,311	179	2,914	426	1,997	155	2,578
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)	0	186	0	186				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED	0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED	0	186	0	186				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS	24	156	10	190	23	136	9	168
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	400	2,155	169	2,724	403	1,861	146	2,410
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	437	2,146	374	2,957	434	1,735	193	2,362
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL	391	1,372	40	1,803	391	1,372	40	1,803
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED	391	1,372	40	1,803	391	1,372	40	1,803
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED					0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT	o	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE	o	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING	46	774	334	1,154	43	363	153	559
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED	9	597	129	735				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL	37	177	205	419				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT	(37)	9	(205	(233)	(31)	126	(47)	48
14. PROPOSED PROJECT				· · · · · ·	0	48	0	48

DD_FORM 1523, NOV 90

15. REMARKS
Item 14: This project will demolish 186 units and re-build 48 units.

	D1 100	^ MTT TMB:	D	0mp110		DD 0 0 D	216		2. DAT	ΓE
AIR FORCE		9 MILITAI				PROGR	AM			
3. INSTALLATION		(comp			MMAND				5. ARI	ZA CON
VANDENBERG AIR					ORCE					EA CON
	FORCE DASE	•	!			A 3.TT				
CALIFORNIA		DEDMANE			COMM		CIT	DOD!		25
6. PERSONNEL	1 05	PERMANEI F ENL			UDENTS			PORT		L Moma
STRENGTH a. As of 30 SE					ENL	ICIAI	OFF	ENI	CIV	
	•	•		!			ļ			4,2
b. End FY 2003	620	6 2171			/#000	<u> </u>				3,7
	/ 00	7. INVE	NTORY .	DATA	(\$000))				
a. Total Acrea	_	,256)						_		
b. Inventory To								Ι,	146,52	
c. Authorizati			_							0
d. Authorizati	_		_						18,49	
e. Authorizati			_	_	am:	(FY 2	(000		17,70	
f. Planned In 1		Program `	Years:						63,60	
g. Remaining D	_									0
h. Grand Total								1,	246,32	23
8. PROJECTS RE	QUESTED IN '	THIS PRO	GRAM:	FY 1	.999					
CATEGORY							COST	_	ESIGN	
CODE	PROJECT '	<u> TITLE</u>		<u>s</u>	COPE		(\$000)	START	CMP
711-142 REPLA		FAMILY			95	UN	18,49	9 1	.UG 97	JUN
HOUS	ING PHASE 6					_		_		
					TOTAL		18,49			
9a. Future Pro	-				_	_			100}	
711-142 FY70 Z	APPROPRIATE	D FAMILY	HSG		102	_		_		
	<u> </u>				TOTAL		17,70	0		
	ojects: Ty		anned 1	Next						
711-142 REPLA					119	UN	20,60	0		
	ING, PHASE									
711-142 REPLA					133	UN	22,90	0		
HOUS	ING, PHASE	9								
711-142 REPLA	CE MILITARY	FAMILY			119	UN	20,10	0		
	ING, PHASE									
9c. Real Prop	erty Mainte	nance Ba	cklog '	This	Insta	llati	on	1	78,100)
10. Mission of	r Major Fun	ctions:	Headq	uarte	rs Fo	urtee	nth A	ir F	orce;	a
space wing with	h UH-1 airc	raft; We:	st Coa	st sp	ace la	aunch	and	miss	ile te	est
operations; an	Air Force I	Materiel	Comma	nd de	tachme	ent o	f the	Spa	ce and	i
Missile Systems	s Center; a	nd an Ai:	r Educ	ation	and !	Train	ing C	omma	ind spa	ice
and missile tra	aining group	ρ.								
		-								

9. COST ESTIMATE	s			
	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	95	112,052	10,645
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				6,055
SITE PREPARATION	LS	1		(383)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(542)
UTILITIES	LS		[(1,264)
LANDSCAPING	LS			(605)
RECREATION, WALKS, PARKS/LIGHTS, FENCE	LS			(940)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS/LBP/UG TNKS REMOVE	LS			(2,321)
SUBTOTAL	1]	16,700
CONTINGENCY (5%)				835
TOTAL CONTRACT COST]	17,535
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				964
TOTAL REQUEST				18,499
	1			
	1			
	1			
AREA COST FACTOR 1.25		<u> </u>		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 95 housing units to | include demolition, site work, replacement/upgrade of utilities & | pavements, and construct masonry wall. Includes amenities such as | appliances, parking, single-car garages, storage, patios, fences, tot | lots, recreation, parks, lights, & trails. Includes demolition & disposal | of asbestos, lead-based paints, and undergound storage tanks.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JRENL 3BR	111	1.25	797	85	9,399,619
JRENL 4BR	125	1.25	797	10_	1,245,313
				95	10,644,932

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,245 UN ADEQUATE: 731 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,514 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 6) (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern, efficient, and safe housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Vandenberg AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with Phase 6 of the Housing Community Plan (HCP). | Replacement housing will provide a living environment comparable to the | off-base civilian community. This is the sixth of thirteen phases to | provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the 2076 units to be | replaced in this multi-phase initiative, 657 are completed or included in | prior programs, and 1324 will follow in subsequent phases. New housing | will provide a modern kitchen, family room, bedroom, bathroom, ample | storage, single-car garage, and parking for guests. Basic neighborhood | support infrastructure will be upgraded to modern standards. Landscaping,

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY	1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	
1		
VANDENBERG AIR FORC	E BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5.	PROJECT NUMBER

REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 6

|playgrounds, walks, handicap access, signs, lights, irrigation, recreation areas, fitness course, and utility upgrades will be provided. |CURRENT SITUATION: Units are over 37 years old and have deteriorated to the point where replacement is the most economical alternative. Wiring and fixtures have been identified by the Fire Department and Base Safety as a fire hazard; wiring is brittle and exposed. There are no ground fault interrupters (a life safety hazard). Fixtures are energy inefficient. Plumbing systems have succumbed to the effects of hard water and corrosion, resulting in severe flow constriction and pipe leakage. Overhead pipes in the attics leak, causing ceiling and property damage. Corroded sewer lines leak in and under the floor slab. Roof structures are sagging. There is no family room and insufficient bulk storage. Kitchens have inefficient work space/circulation and worn out/insufficient cabinets. Bathroom fixtures, vanities, and appointments are worn and Plumbing fixtures are worn and failing. Baths are deteriorated and outdated; shower enclosures and medicine cabinets are corroded, discolored, and pitted. The present configuration of units is inefficient and provides no privacy for residents. These houses have had no major upgrades since construction, do not meet the needs of today's families, nor provide a modern home environment. Roofs, walls, foundations, and sidewalks require replacement due to the effects of age and the environment. Housing interiors are inadequate by any modern criteria. Utility wires and poles clutter the streetscape. There is a lack of trees on streets, lawns, and open spaces.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will | continue to be housed with minimal water and electrical services. The | occupants of these housing units will suffer continual water leaks in | their ceilings damaging light fixtures and interior finishes. A living | environment that promotes pride, professionalism, and individual dignity | will not be provided. Without this and subsequent phases of this | initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue out of necessity, with | no improvement in the living quality.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic lanalysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values land benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 96% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col William R. Quinn (805)734-6855.

1. COMPONE	T			2. DATE							
ĺ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	Α.	Ì							
AIR FORCE		generated)									
3. INSTALL	TION AND LOCATION										
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA											
4. PROJECT	TITLE	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	o. PRC	JECT NUMBER							
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 6 XUMU994000											
1		-									
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:											
a. Esti	ated Design Data:			1							
(1)	Status:			İ							
1	a) Date Design Started			97 AUG 05							
	b) Parametric Cost Estimat		osts	N							
	c) Percent Complete as of	Jan 1998		35%							
	d) Date 35% Designed.			97 SEP 24							
1	e) Date Design Complete			98 JUN 01							
(2)	Basis:										
(2)	a) Standard or Definitive	Design -		YES							
1	b) Where Design Was Most I			VANDENBE							
	_,			,							
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$	or (d) + (e):		(\$000)							
Ì	a) Production of Plans and	l Specifications		300							
Ì	b) All Other Design Costs			125							
	c) Total			425							
1	d) Contract			425							
]	e) In-house										
(4)	Construction Start			99 JAN							
ļ											
ļ				ļ							
·	nt associated with this propriations: N/A	oject will be provided	d from	1 							
1											
i				j							
				ĺ							
Ì											
1											
]							
ļ				ļ							
				!							
				ļ							
1				l							
]											
				; 							
<u> </u>											

		ATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA 1999		REPORT DD-A&L(A	CONTROL	SYMB
OD COMPONENT R FORCE	4. REPORTING INSTALLATI	ION			b. LOCA				
ATA AS OF	Vandenberg AFB	,			California	HON			
ANALYS	S		JRRENT				PROJEC	CTED	
OF	1 ND 400570	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOT
REQUIREMENTS OTAL PERSONNEL S		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	<u>(f)</u>	(9)	(h)
STAL PERSONNEL S	IKENGTH	748	2.057	707	3,512	846	2,046	936	3,
ERMANENT PARTY P	ERSONNEL	2.0							
ROSS FAMILY HOUS	ING REQUIREMENTS	748	2,057	707	3,512	846	2,046	936	3,
OTAL UNACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED (a + b + c)	487	1,526	167	2,180	517	1,514	214	2,2
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	95	95				
		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOU		0	0	0.5					
DISPOSED/REPLA C LINACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	95	95				
		0	0	0	0				
OLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS	0	0	0	0	0			
FFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS						0	0	_
ેં iNG ASSETS (a	+ b)	487	1,526	167	2,180	517	1,514	214	2,
a. UNDER MILITARY	CONTROL	487	1,536	72	2,095	518	1,525	106	2,
(1) HOUSED IN E	KISTING DOD	487	1,428	66	1,981	496	1,423	62	1,
OWNED/CON	TROLLED	487	1,428	66	1,981	496	1,423	62	1.5
(2) UNDER CONT	RACT/APPROVED					0	0	0	
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0		U		
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSIN	G		108		0		400		
(1) ACCEPTABLY	HOUSED	0		6	114	22	102	44	ı
(2) ACCEPTABLE	VACANT RENTAL	0	98	6	104				
FFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	0	10	0	10				
ROPOSED PROJECT		0	(10)	95	86	(1)	(11)	108	
				_		o	0	95	
ROPOSED PROJECT EMARKS			(10)						

DD FORM 1523, NOV 90

. COMPONENT									2	. DAT	E	
	FY	1999		ARY COM			PROGR	MA				
IR FORCE				outer o								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND AIR MOBILITY							5	5. AREA CONST				
							J. Ā		ļ	COST INDEX		
OVER AIR FOR	CE BASE,				COMMA		<u> </u>				03	
. PERSONNEL												
STRENGTH		· · · · ·				ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV		
. As of 30 S				1101	:			66	227	: :	•	
. End FY 200	02			1071			<u> </u>	66	227	15	5,03	
				ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)					
. Total Acre			357)	^-\					_			
. Inventory										13,93		
. Authorizat				_						43,20		
. Authorizat	_			_						8,99		
. Authorizat				_	_	am:	(FY 2	(000			0	
. Planned Ir			rogram	Years	:						0	
. Remaining		cy:								17,00		
. Grand Tota									2	83,13	35	
. PROJECTS F	EQUESTED	IN TH	HIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	.999						
ATEGORY								COST	_		STATUS	
CODE	PROJ	ECT T	TLE		<u>s</u>	COPE		(\$000	<u>)</u> <u>s</u>	TART	CMPL	
11-142 REPI	ACE FAMI	га ног	JSING			55	UN _	8,99	<u>8</u> AU	G 97	JUN 9	
						TOTAL	:	8,99	8			
a. Future F	rojects:	Incl	luded i	in the	Follo	wing	Progr	am (F	Y 200	0) NC	NE	
b. Future P	rojects:	Турі	cal Pl	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:				
c. Real Pro	perty Ma	intena	ance Ba	acklog	This	Insta	llati	.on	11	2,600)	

1. COMPONENT						2	. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION	N PRO	DJECT	DATA	A	
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generate	ed)			į	
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT 1	TITLI	Ξ	· · · · -
DOVER AIR FORCE BAS	SE, DELAWARE	RE	PLACE	E FAMI	LLY I	HOUSING	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJEC	r nur	MBER	8. 1	PROJECT	COST (\$000)
8.87.41	711-142	FJXT99		₹			8,998
	9. COST	r estimate:	<u>s</u>				
			ļ ,			UNIT	COST
	ITEM			QUANT		COST	(\$000)
REPLACE FAMILY HOUS			UN		55	100,55	
SUPPORTING FACILIT							2,592
SITE PREPARATION			LS				(975)
DEMO/ENVIR/COMMUI	NITY		LS	1		 	(1,617)
SUBTOTAL			1	<u> </u>		 	8,122
CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COS'	T		1] 		 	496
SUPERVISION, INSPE		n /5 5%\	{ !] 		 	8,528
TOTAL REQUEST	CIION AND OVERHEAD	2 (3.3%)	!	} 		1	$\frac{469}{8,998}$
TOTAL REQUEST			1	i I		 	0,990
			1	} 		1	1
			1	! }		ł 	1
			 	1		! 	
				! [Ì
				}]		1	
			! 	, 		! !	
			1	! 		i 1	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 55 housing units. |Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems |and roads, and construction of new single and multiplex units. Provides |normal amenities to include appliances, parking, air conditioning, exterior patios and privacy fencing. Includes demolition, asbestos and lead-based paint removal.

1.03

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	111	1.02	797	8	721,891
SNCO 3BR	125	1.02	797	43	4,369,553
SNCO 4BR	135	1.02	<u> 797</u>	4_	438,988
				55	5,530,432

REOUIREMENT: 2,771 UN ADEQUATE: 1,135 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents at Dover AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan, Phase A. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the first of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. The replacement housing will provde a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a 7 1 second occupant vehicle and guests. The basic neighborhood support

| AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A7
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING	FJXT994012R

infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing standards. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 55 housing units which were built in 1958. These 39-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families nor do they |provide a modern home environment. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. |Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, and fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space. Flooring throughout the houses is outdated and contains asbestos. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Outdoor living space, community areas, and indiviual patios are either very limited or nonexistent. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this initiative is not supported. The housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally uninhabitable because adequate, affordable off-base housing is not available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing deficit of 87 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue with no improvement in the quality of life. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of |Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Plannirg and Design Guide." An economic |analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net |present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the |project. The cost to improve this project is 74% of replacement cost.

Since this is replacement, there will be no increase in student

population. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Willie Dean, (302) 677-6766.

AIR FORCE		Y CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA puter generated)	
·	TION AND LOCATION		
	ORCE BASE, DELAWARE		5. PROJECT NUM
4. PROJEC'	TITLE		5. PROJECT NUM
REPLACE F.	ILY HOUSING		FJXT994012R
12. SUPP	MENTAL DATA:		
a. Est	ated Design Data:		
(1)	Status:		
(2)	a) Date Design Start	ed	97 AUG
i	b' Parametric Cost E	stimates used to develop	costs
	c) Percent Complete		
	d) Date 35% Designed		97 SEP
<u> </u> 	e) Date Design Compl	ete	98 JUN
(2)	Basis:		
	a) Standard or Defin	itive Design -	ИО
	b) Where Design Was	Most Recently Used -	N/A
 (3)	Total Cost (c) = (a)	+ (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$
, (3 <i>)</i>		ans and Specifications	` *
i	b) All Other Design		
	c) Total		
1	d) Contract		
<u> </u>	e) In-house		
! (4)	Construction Start		99
		•	
 b. Equip	nt associated with th	nis project will be provid	led from
	priations: N/A	in project will be provid	ACG LIOIII
	•		
İ			
ı			

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPOR	т		2. FISCA 1999	L YEAR	REPORT	CONTROL	SYMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION			1		DO-1001	(K)1710	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME b. LOCATION								
5. DATA AS OF 1995	Dover AFB Delaware								
ANALYS	ils		CURRENT				PROJEC	TED	
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA
REQUIREMENTS 6. TOTAL PERSONNEL S		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(1)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNELS	PIRENGIA	541	3,088	977	4,606	379	2.510	801	3.69
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL								
		541	3,088	977	4,606	379	2.510	801	3,60
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	SING REQUIREMENTS	425	2,649	361	3,435	309	2,160	302	2,77
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAB	LY HOUSED (a + b + c)		-,,,,,,,,		0,400	503	2,100	302	4,71
		2	88	0	90				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED		0						
b. IN MILITARY HOL	ISING TO BE		Ť	-	 				
DISPOSED/REPL		0	55	0	55				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		TY 2	33		35				
VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS FFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS				<u>~</u>					
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	425	2,649	361	3,435	309	2,160	302	2,7
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a	+ b)								
		423	2,561	361	3,345	309	2,050	270	2,62
a. UNDER MILITARY	CONTROL	108	1,030	361	1,499	108	1,279	107	1,49
(1) HOUSED IN E	XISTING DOD				,,,,,,,,	,,,,	1,2,0	107	1,44
OWNED/CON		108	1,030	361	1,499	108	1,279	107	1,49
(2) UNDER CONT	RACT/APPROVED					0		0	
(3) VACANT						U	U	0	
		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE			0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSIN	ig								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	315	1,531	0	1,846	201	771	163	1,13
(1) ACCEPTABLY	HOUSED	215	4.534	_					
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		315	1,531	0	1,846				
(=, ::====: // // // /		0	0	o	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT								
4. PROPOSED PROJECT		2	68	0	90	0	110	32	14
						0	55	٥	5

Item 12.a.(1)(h): An economic evaluation performed in 1994 indicated that five MFH units had exceeded their economic life and were subsequently demolished.

L. COMPONENT	EV	1000	MTTTT	ARY CON	ומייםני	י זא רדיתי	ם ריים מ	ħΜ	4	. DAT	E
AIR FORCE	r x	エフプブ		puter c			ROUNT	ייייו	ļ		
B. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATIO				MMAND			15	. ARF	EA CON
					AIR MOBILITY						ST IND
MACDILL AIR FOR	CE BASE	, FLO	RIDA		COMMA	AND			i		84
. PERSONNEL			ERMAN	ENT	sı	TUDENTS	5	SUF	PORTE	ED	
STRENGTH	Ī	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTA
a. As of 30 SEP	97	663	2746	986				868	1037	109	6,4
o. End FY 2003		630						868	1037	109	6,3
		7	. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000))				
a. Total Acreag		5,7							0		
o. Inventory To									2	218,15	52
c. Authorization											0
d. Authorization										7,60	9
e. Authorization				_	_	cam:	(FY 2	(000			0
E. Planned In No			ogram	Years	:						0
g. Remaining De	ficienc	у:							_		0
n. Grand Total:	TECHED.	T) T MI	TO DD	OCDAN	1737. 1	000				25,76) T
B. PROJECTS REQU	UESTED	IN TH	IS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	1999		COST	י חם	CTON	STATU
CATEGORY	חח חחת	OT TO T	יים דיים			CODE		(\$000			
CODE	PROJE	<u>CI 11</u>	TUE		=	SCOPE		13000	<u> </u>	TART	CME
	E FAMIL					TOTAL		7,60 7,60	9	JG 97	
a. Future Pro	iects:	T 1					Dwa-	/ 12			\\TT7
									Y 200	00) NO	INE
9b. Future Pro	jects:	Турі	cal P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:			
9c. Real Prope 10. Mission or squadron with K	jects: rty Mai Major C-135R	Typi ntena Funct and E	cal P nce B ions: C-135	lanned acklog An a aircra	Next This ir ref	Three Instalueling The w	Year llati g wir ing a	cs: on ng wit ilso p	h one	77,200 KC-1) L35R upport
9c. Real Prope 10. Mission or	jects: rty Mai Major C-135R United	Typi ntena Funct and E	cal Pince Baions: C-135	lanned acklog An a: aircra ecial (Next This ir ref aft. Operat	Three Instalueling The wi	Year llati g wir ing a	s: on g wit ilso p ind, H	h one rović	77,200 KC-1 les su) L35R upport

					1 -			
1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE		
	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	ISTRUCTION	PRO	DJECT DATA	.			
AIR FORCE	(compute:	generate	d)					
3. INSTALLATIO	3							
		1						
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 3								
	EMENT 6 . CATEGORY CODE	. PROJECT	NUI	MBER 8. F	ROJECT C	COST (\$000)		
	i			į		i		
8.87.41	711-142	NVZR993	702	ĺ		7,609		
	9. COST	ESTIMATES		·	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
				1	UNIT	COST		
	ITEM	i	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)		
REPLACE FAMIL	/ HSG	1	UN	48	76,881	3,690		
SUPPORTING FAC	CILITIES	İ			İ	3,146		
SITE WORK		İ	LS	i i		(1,263)		
ROADS AND PA	AVING	Ì	LS	İ		(150)		
UTILITIES		İ	LS	į į		(100)		
LANDSCAPING		Ì	LS	i i		(20)		
SPECIAL CON	STRUCTION FEATURES	i	LŞ	i i	,	(1,402)		
DEMO/ENVIRO	MENTAL HAZARD REMEDIAT	ON i	LS	į i		(211)		
SUBTOTAL		i		i i		6,836		
CONTINGENCY (5%)	i		i i		342		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 48 housing units. | Includes site preparation, replacement/upgrade of utility systems, roads, | landscaping, and recreation areas. Amenities include appliances, | carports, air conditioning, heating, carpeting, patios, privacy fencing, | and neighborhood playgrounds and recreational areas. Includes demolition | of existing units and removal of asbestos and lead-based paint.

. 84

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	111	. 86	797	44	3,347,591
JNCO 4BR	125	86	<u>797</u>	4_	342,710
				48	3,690,301

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,268 UN ADEQUATE: 1,576 UN SUBSTANDARD: 692 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing, Phase 3 (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families assigned to MacDill AFB.

All units will meet "whole house" standards and provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. This is the third of multiple phases to upgrade or replace 804 housing units--114 of which are included in prior programs and 642 remain following this phase. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, dining room, and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage and carports. Off-street parking will be provided for a second vehicle. The basic neighborhood support will be upgraded to meet modern housing standards. Landscaping, playgrounds, and

7,178

7,609

431

|TOTAL CONTRACT COST

TOTAL REQUEST

AREA COST FACTOR

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)

REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 3

recreational areas are included. Climatic considerations require special construction measures to withstand hurricanes and tidal surges. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces housing which is over 45 years old and is showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They've had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families. Existing houses are well below the authorized net area. Roofs, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Lack of adequate parking spaces for occupants has created excessive congestion and safety hazards. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small and fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space; cabinets are old and unsightly; and |counter tops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is outdated and contains evidence of asbestos. Utility systems require excessive maintenance and repair. Dining rooms are nonexistent, so living room space is sacrificed for family dining. Housing density is excessive, creating an undesirable living environment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to live in extremely small, outdated, and unsatisfactory housing. The housing will continue to deteriorate, resulting in escalating and unacceptable maintenance and repair costs as well as extreme inconvenience to the occupants. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, repairs will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in occupant quality of life. These deficiencies will continue to adversely effect the moral of all personnel and their family members assigned to the base. The current Housing Market Analysis shows a projected deficit of 16 units.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support dependents. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve these units is 88% of the replacement cost. The design/construction agent for this project is the Corps of Engineers resulting in Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead costs of 6 percent. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col William R. Floyd, (813)828-3677.

NVZR993702

1. COMPON	JENT		2. DATE
	i	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	i
AIR FORCE	<u> </u>	(computer generated)	
3. INSTAI	LATIO	N AND LOCATION	
		RCE BASE, FLORIDA	ROJECT NUMBER
4. PROJEC	JI TII	5. F	ROUECI NUMBER
 REPLACE	FAMILY	HOUSING PHASE 3	VZR993702
12. SUPI	PLEMEN	TAL DATA:	ļ
a. Est	rimate	ed Design Data:	
a. 150	Imacc	a besign baca.	;
(1)		tus:	ļ
 	(a)	Date Design Started	97 AUG 01
i I		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	;
 		Percent Complete as of Jan 1998 Date 35% Designed.	35% 97 SEP 24
 		Date Design Complete	98 JUN 01
	(6)	Date Design complete	30 501 01
(2)	Bas	sis:	i
	(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(2)	. m-+		(6000)
(3) 		al Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000) 228
		Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs	226
		Total	228
		Contract	228
		In-house	
			j
(4)	Con	struction Start	99 MAR
			ļ
h Fouri	omont	associated with this project will be provided fr	rom
		associated with this project will be provided in ations: N/A	.Oiii j
Other app	or opr i	actons. N/A]
			ļ
İ			ĺ
			1
			!
			ļ
]			
]
			i
ĺ			j
!			
			1
 -			

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	SING JUSTIFICATION 1. D	ATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA 1999	L YEAR	REPORT (CONTROL R)1716	SYMBOL	
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INSTALLAT	ION	****			·	1 ///-			
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATION					
5. DATA AS OF 1994	MacDill AFB				Fiorida					
ANALY	sis	Ci	RRENT		-		PROJEC	TED		
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	E9 -E4	E3 - E1	TOTA	
REQUIREMENT	S AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	
. TOTAL PERSONNEL										
		995	2,235	346	3,576	1,005	2,161	319	3,48	
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	995	2,235	346	3,576	1,005	2,161	319	3,48	
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	681	1,525	110	2,316	688	1,479	101	2.26	
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTA	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c)	4	59	5	68		.,,,,,		5,54	
a INVOLUNTARIL	Y SEPARATED		38	-	00					
		0	0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HO DISPOSED/REP		اها	48	١ ,	48					
	E HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	4	11	5						
IO. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS			- 3	1					
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G REQUIREMENTS	681	1,525	110	2,316	688	1,479	101	2,26	
2. HOUSING ASSETS	a + b)	677	1,466	105	2,248	683	1,369	97	2,14	
a. UNDER MILITAR	V CONTROL		1,400	100	1,140		1,300	•	2,1-	
a. UNDER MILITAR	A CONTROL	130	613	13	756	130	559	13	70	
(1) HOUSED IN	EXISTING DOD	100	• • • •			100			``	
OWNED/CO		130	613	13	756	130	559	13	70	
	ITRACT/APPROVED					0	0	0		
(3) VACANT										
		0	0	0	0					
(4) INACTIVE			0		0					
b. PRIVATE HOUS	ING						212			
(1) ACCEPTABL	VUOLICED	547	853	92	1,492	553	810	84	1,44	
(1) ACCEPTABL	.1 NOUSED	547	853	92	1,492					
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0						
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G DEFICIT						465			
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	?T	4	59	5	68	5	110	4	1.	
19、アベンアンコミン アベシンミ	<i>y</i> 1						48	1	4	

Item 12.a.(1)(h): 54 MFH units are being demolished as part of the FY98 project.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	
	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. COMMAND	5. AREA CONST
	AIR FORCE	COST INDEX
PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	SPACE COMMAND	0.96
6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT	STUDENTS S	UPPORTED
	OFF ENL CIV OF	F ENL CIV TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 96 450 1760 10	39	3,299
b. End FY 2001 372 1303 10	70	2,745
	Y DATA (\$000)	
a. Total Acreage: (2,341)		
b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 9		161,744
c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventor		7,700
d. Authorization Requested In This P		9,692
e. Authorization Included In Followi) 0
f. Planned In Next Three Program Yea	s:	29,100
g. Remaining Deficiency:		19,743
h. Grand Total:		227,979
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRA	I: FY 1999	
CATEGORY	CO	ST <u>DESIGN STATUS</u>
CODE PROJECT TITLE	SCOPE (\$0	00) START CMPL
		1
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HS	46 UN _ 9,	692 AUG 97 JUN 98
		692
9a. Future Projects: Included in t		(FY 2000) NONE
9b. Future Projects: Typical Plann		
711-142 REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HSG	80 UN 9,	900
(PHASE 2)		
711-142 FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HS	•	1
711-142 REPLACE SOUTH HOUSING PHASE		300
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlo		119,500
10. Mission or Major Functions: A		
Applications Center; an Air Combat Co		
HC-130 rescue squadron; and an Air Fo	rce Reserve HH-60/HC	-130 rescue
squadron.		ļ
		ļ
		Į.
1		
 		ļ
		1
		1
		-
		1
		1
		·
		j
		İ
		j
		i
		·

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	ĺ
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
PATRICK AIR FORCE	BASE, FLORIDA	REPLACE SOUTH HOU	JSING, PHASE 1
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	T 6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PR	ROJECT COST(\$000)
		ļ	
8.87.41	711-142	SXHT9940051	9,692
	9. COST E	ESTIMATES	
			IINIT COST

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	46	87,582	4,029
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			1	4,721
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(431)
ROADS AND PAVING	LS		!	(1,799)
UTILITIES	LS			(1,287)
LANDSCAPING	LS		İ	(150)
RECREATION	LS			(150)
DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS	LS		[(904)
SUBTOTAL				8,750
CONTINGENCY (5%)				438
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			Į	9,188
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				<u> 505</u>
TOTAL REQUEST	1			9,692
	1	1		1
		ţ		1
	-	[1
AREA COST FACTOR .96	<u> </u>			Ĺ

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 46 housing units. | Includes the demolition of 307 units, site clearing, asbestos and lead | basepaint removal, replacement/upgrade of utility systems and roads. | Provides 3 bedroom units with attached garages. Normal amenities to | include appliances, parking, air conditioning, exterior patios, | recreational areas, and whole neighborhood improvements.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 3BR	111	.99	797	46	4,028,787
				46	4,028,787

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,136 UN ADEQUATE: 1,129 UN SUBSTANDARD: 999 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 1) (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Patrick AFB. This is the first phase of a multi-phased initiative. This | housing replacement will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living | environment comparable to off-base civiliah communities. The replacement | housing will provide a modern kitchen, living/dining room, bedrooms and | baths, with adequate interior and exterior storage, and a single garage. | Exterior parking will be provided for a second occupant vehicle and guest. | The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be replaced to meet | modern housing needs. Neighborhood enhancements will include landscaping | and recreational areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: Project replaces 46 housing units that were constructed in 1958. The existing units are one story, concrete block

1. COMPONENT	2.	DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PATRICK AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJE	CT NUMBER
DEDIACE COUTH MOUSTING DHASE 1	I SXHT9	1940051

with built up roofs. These houses are showing the effects of age, continuous heavy use, and the degradation due to the corrosive environment on Florida's coast. The built up gravel flat roofs have deteriorated to the point of replacement. Exterior walls have cracks that allow water and moisture to deteriorate housing interiors. The infrastructure (sewer, water, electrical) has deteriorated beyond economic repair. The plumbing and heating/air conditioning systems inside the units have also deteriorated beyond economic repair. The bathrooms are small. Fixtures are outdated and are energy inefficient. Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and are in need of replacement. The units have asbestos in roofs, floor tiles, walls. Lead based paint is present on walls and ceilings.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families would continue to be housed in unsatisfactory conditions affecting morale and the retention of quality personnel. Without this project, various costly repairs will be required for these units with no improvement in the quality of life.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 78% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Bryan L. Kuhlmann, (407) 494-4041.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE						
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra						
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLAT	TION AND LOCATION							
PATRICK AIR	FORCE BASE, FLORIDA							
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER						
REPLACE SOUT	H HOUSING, PHASE 1	SXHT9940051						
 	ENTAL DATA:							
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:							
a. Estima	ted Design Data:							
]								
	tatus:							
	Date Design Started	97 AUG 04						
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of Percent Complete as of Jan 1998							
•	Date 35% Designed.	35% 97 SEP 24						
,	Date Design Complete	98 JUN 01						
	, bace besign complete	JO 00N 01						
(2) E	asis:							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	YES						
į (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	PATRICK						
<u> </u>								
•	Cotal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)						
•	Production of Plans and Specifications	200						
•) All Other Design Costs :) Total	125						
•	Ontract	325 325						
1	i) In-house	323						
,	,, 11 110420							
(4)	Construction Start	99 JAN						
ĺ								
	h	. 1 . 5						
	t associated with this project will be provide	ed from						
other approp	riations: N/A							
1								
! 								
ì								
i								
1								
リフ								

DD Form 1523 Patrick Official HMA

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	INC HISTIEICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT		Official P	2. FISCA	VEAR	REPORT	CONTROL	SYMBOL
MILITART PAMILT HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	I. DATE OF REPORT			1999	LILAN	DD-A&L(A	_	, moot
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	TALLATION			1999		DO-AGE(A	13/17/19	-
AIR FORCE	a. NAME	TALLATION			b. LOCA	TION			
	⊣				Florida	11011			
5. DATA AS OF	Patrick AFB				FIORGE				
1994			UDOCHT		1	[PROJEC	TED	
ANALYS	ol S	OFFICER	URRENT E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA
OF	AND ACCETS				(d)	(e)	(1)		(h)
REQUIREMENTS	*******	(a)	(b)	(c)	(0)	(4)	117	(g)	ייי
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL S	STRENGTH	718	1,902	245	2,865	721	1,878	272	2,87
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	718	1,902	245	2,865	721	1,878	272	2,87
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	ING REQUIREMENTS	569	1,489	92	2,150	570	1,465	101	2,13
A TOTAL UNIACCEDTAD	LV HOUSED (a.b.t.a)		1,409	- "	2,100		1,403	101	2,13
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAB	ET HOUSED (#+B+C	, 0	160	0	160				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	٥	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOL DISPOSED/REPL		0	160	0	160				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNI	0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARA	ATIONS	٥	0	0	0	٥		0	
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	569	1,489	92	2,150	570	1,465	101	2,13
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a	ı + b)	575	1,470	92	2.137	569	1,412	108	2.08
a. UNDER MILITARY	CONTROL	139	1,203	54	1,396	139	1,056	54	1,24
(1) HOUSED IN E	XISTING DOD			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1		
OWNED/CON		133	1,062	54	1,249	139	1,056	54	1,24
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					o	٥	0	
(3) VACANT		6	141	0	147				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSIN	NG	438	267	38	741	430	356	54	84
(1) ACCEPTABLY	Y HOUSED	436	267	38	741				
(2) ACCEPTABLE	E VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	(6)	19			1	53	(7)	4
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	-	(4)				'	 	, , , ,	<u> </u>

Item 14: This project will demolish a total of 307 units (147 vacant plus 160 occupied) and build 46 units.

1. COMPONENT							12	. DAT	'E I
•	1999 MILITA	ARY COI	NSTRUC	TION	PROGE	MAS	i		-
AIR FORCE	(comp	puter 9	genera	ted)			İ		i
3. INSTALLATION AND LO	CATION		4. CC	MMAND			5	. ARE	A CONST
İ			AIR E	DUCAT	ION		ĺ	COS	T INDEX
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE	E, FLORIDA		AND I	RAINI	NG CO	DMMAND		0.	85
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANI			UDENT			PORTE		_
-	OFF ENL	*		ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 96		•	: :			84	20	1	5,761
b. End FY 2002	630 3449		 		<u> </u>	84	20	Ll	5,068
<u> </u>	7. INV	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)				
a. Total Acreage: (DD 06\					•	43 60	\ <u></u>
b. Inventory Total As							24	41,69	
c. Authorization Not		_						2,60	
d. Authorization Reque					/ TO 22 - 2	20001		14,50	:
e. Authorization Incl				am:	(FY 2	2000)		6,90	:
f. Planned In Next Th: g. Remaining Deficience	_	rears	•					17,90 17,00	:
g. Remaining Delicient	-у.							00,59	:
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED	IN THIS DO	OGRAM.	FY 1	999				0,33	
CATEGORY	11, 11110 111					COST	DE	SIGN	STATUS
<u> </u>	ECT TITLE		S	COPE		(\$000		TART	CMPL
			_				<u> </u>		
711-142 REPLACE MILI	TARY FAMILY			122	UN	14,50	O AU	G 97	MAY 98
HOUSING (PH	ASE 5)				_		_		ĺ
[TOTAL	:	14,50	ō		
9a. Future Projects:	Included :	in the	Follo	wing 1	Progr	cam (F	Y 200	0)	
711-142 REPLACE MILI	TARY FAMILY			52	UN	6,90	0		1
HOUSING (PH	ASE 6)				_				
				TOTAL		6,90	0		
9b. Future Projects:			Next				_		ļ
711-142 REPLACE MILI				40	UN	5,80	0		ļ
HOUSING (PH	•			50	1737	7 10	^		ì
711-142 REPLACE MILI				50	UN	7,10	O		,
HOUSING (PH	· ·			26	TTNT	F 00	^		1
HOUSING (PH				36	UN	5,00	U		ľ
9c. Real Property Ma:		acklog	This	Insta	llati	ion	8	6,700)
10. Mission or Major									-
responsible for train								- 1	
Headquarters First Ai:								uthea	st
Air Defense Sector; th		-			_	-			,
Air National Guard ai:			_		_		J	• '	
									ĺ
İ									į
Ì									
									Į
ļ									ļ
ļ.									
									j
!									
!									
!									
<u> </u>									

| 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) | | | | |

8.87.41 711-142 XLWU960101 14,500

9. COST ESTIMATES								
	1		UNIT	COST				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)				
FY70 APPROPRIATED FAMILY HSG	UN	122	72,739	8,874				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			j	4,215				
SITE PREPARATION	LS	1		(865)				
ROADS AND PAVING	LS			(843)				
UTILITIES	LS			(1,022)				
OTHER (SPECIFY) GARAGE/DEMO/ENVIRON	LS			(<u>1,485</u>)				
SUBTOTAL	1		İ	13,089				
CONTINGENCY (5%)		1 1		654				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				13,743				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				756				
TOTAL REQUEST]		14,500				
	1							
]						
İ		j j						
	Ì							
	İ							
AREA COST FACTOR .85	<u> </u>							

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 122 housing units. | Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems | and roads, and construction of housing units. Provides normal amenities | to include parking, air conditioning, appliances, exterior patios and | privacy fencing, neighborhood playground and recreation areas. Includes | demolition, asbestos, and lead-based paint removal.

		NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT	TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO	2BR	88	.83	797	43	2,503,154
JNCO	3BR	111	.83	797	26	1,909,118
JNCO	4BR	125	.83	797	17	1,405,709
SNCO	3BR	125	.83	797	24	1,984,530
SNCO	4BR	135	83	<u>797</u>	12_	1,071,646
					122	8,874,157

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,846 UN ADEQUATE: 502 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,344 UN | PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 6). (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at | Tyndall AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are | programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. Replacement | housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment | comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the fifth of | multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the | 337 housing units to be replaced in this multi-phase initiative, 111 will | follow in subsequent phases. The replacement housing will provide a

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	<u> </u>
IDEDIACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 5)	XLWU960101

modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a second occupant vehicle and quests. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs. Neighborhood improvement will include landscaping and playgrounds.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 122 housing units which were constructed in the 1950's. These 41-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Roofs, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement owing to the effects of age and the environment. Roof structure show signs of rot; leaks have made already inadequate (by todays standards) insulation even less effective. Walls systems are failing due to extensive termite |damage. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, and fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counterspace, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is worn out, and contains evidence of asbestos. |Plumbing and electrical systems do not meet modern building codes. is no ground fault interruptor circuit protection, and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting systems throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this replacement initiative is not supported. Some families will continue to live in unsuitable housing while others are in new, replaced units. The housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes totally uninhabitable because adequate, affordable off-base housing is not available. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing |deficit of 174 units. Without this and subsequent phases of this |initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue, with no improvement in the living quality.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the |alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 78% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Base Civil Engineer: Maj James Holland, 37/4904) 283-3283.

1. COMPONE	NT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	İ
	ATION AND LOCATION	
		į
TYNDALL A	R FORCE BASE, FLORIDA	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
		Į.
REPLACE MI	LITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE 5)	XLWU960101
 12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	į
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 03
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop of	costs N
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24
1	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 01
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(2)		 (\$000)
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications	465
1	(b) All Other Design Costs	403
; 	(c) Total	465
]]	(d) Contract	465
 	(e) In-house	403
<u> </u>	(e) In-nouse	
(4)	Construction Start	99 APR
, (- /		
	•	
İ		l
b. Equip	ment associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other app	ropriations: N/A	
		1
		1
		1
1		İ
		ļ
ļ		ļ
!		
1		
•		ļ
		ļ
1		
1		
1		
1		ļ

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	SING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPOR	Ī		2. FISCAI	L YEAR 999	REPORT	CONTROL R)1716	SYMBO	
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION						,		
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION				
5. DATA AS OF 1994	Tyndall AFB Florida									
ANALYS	SIS	l c	URRENT		1	PROJECTED				
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA	
REQUIREMENTS	S AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL	STRENGTH	866	2.997	753	4,616	860	2.011	611	3,4	
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL		2,331	7.55	4,010	500	2,011	- 011	3,7	
		866	2,997	753	4,616	860	2,011	611	3,48	
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	SING REQUIREMENTS	530	1,865	97	2,482	535	1,230	81	1.84	
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c	85	435	23	543					
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED		0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HO	USING TO BE			-						
DISPOSED/REPL		0	122	0	122					
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		TY 85	313	23	421					
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0	0			0	0	0		
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	G REQUIREMENTS	530	1.855	97	2,482	535	1,230	81	1,8	
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		,			****				
	V 00117001	445	1,420	74	1,939	453	1,031	66	1,5	
a. UNDER MILITAR	YCONTROL	137	774	36	947	137	774	36	9	
(1) HOUSED IN I										
OWNED/COM	NTROLLED TRACT/APPROVED	137	774	36	947	137	774	36	9	
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0	0	0		
(3) VACANT										
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	. 0					
(4) INACTIVE		اها	0	0	ا ه					
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		308	646	38	992	316	257	30	6	
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	300	V 4 0	30		310	231	30	-	
(1)		308	646	38	992					
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	G DEFICIT	85	435	23	543	82	199	15	2	
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	e T				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 					
15. REMARKS						0	122	0	1	

1. COMPONENT							2	. DAT	Έ	<u>_</u>
!	FY 1999 MILITA				PROGI	RAM	ļ			ļ
AIR FORCE		puter 9						ND E	IN GON	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND						5	5. AREA CONS' COST INDE			
	CE MEDDACKA		 a t d = c	ייי א כוארטי	COMB	AR NID				EA
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BA				COMBAT		,	ORTEI	0.97		
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANI	CIV	·		CIV				TOTA	, T
STRENGTH a. As of 30 SEP 97	1832 6726			ENL	ICIV	324		·	10,9	
	1577 6418	•			 	324				
b. End FY 2003	7. INV	•		(\$000	<u> </u>	324	103	J / I	10,3	701
a. Total Acreage:	(1,923)	BIVIORI	DAIA	(\$000	<u>, </u>					
b. Inventory Total	•	EP 97)					4 (03,87	· 1	!
c. Authorization No							•	,,,,,,	0	i
d. Authorization Re			ram.					13,98	_	i
e. Authorization In				am·	(FY :	2000)		10,10		¦
f. Planned In Next		_	-	am.	11 1	20007		22,50		l
3. Remaining Defici	_	ICALD	•					17,65		i
h. Grand Total:	.ency.							58,10		i
8. PROJECTS REQUEST	ED IN THIS PRO	OGRAM:	FY 1	999				30,10		<u>\</u>
CATEGORY	110 111 11110 111	001411.				COST	DES	STGN	STATU	S
	OJECT TITLE		ç	COPE		(\$000)		CART	CMP	- :
<u> </u>	.00201 11122		=	7001-		140007			<u> </u>	=
219-944 HOUSING MA	INTENANCE FACT	TLITY		6,300	SF	900	AUG	3 97	MAY	98
610-119 HOUSING MA				5,000				3 97		
711-142 REPLACE MI					UN	12,212		3 97		
HOUSING						,				
	/			TOTAL	:	13,982				i
9a. Future Project	s: Included	in the	Follo))		<u> </u>
711-142 REPLACE MI						10,100				i
HOUSING -						·				i
				TOTAL	:	10,100				i
9b. Future Project	s: Typical Pi	lanned	Next	Three	Year	rs:				i
711-142 REPLACE WH					UN					i
711-142 REPLACE WH	ERRY HOUSING	(PH4)		76	UN	12,000				ĺ
9c. Real Property	Maintenance Ba	acklog	This	Insta	llat:	ion	18'	7,600)	
10. Mission or Maj	or Functions:	Head	quarte	rs Un	ited	States	Stra	ategi	.c	
Command; a flying w	ring which cons	sists o	of two	RC-1	35/00	C-135/T	C-13	5		ĺ
reconnaissance squa									•	ĺ
squadrons, that mai	ntain a modif:	ied ale	ert po	sture	, C-2	21 airc	raft	; two)	
intelligence squadr	ons; a space o	operat:	ion so	uadro:	n; ar	nd Air	Force	e Wea	ther	ĺ
Agency.										j
										1
į										İ
										ĺ
										Ì
										1
										ĺ
										j
										j
										j
										İ
										İ
										j

				·		1		
1. COMPONENT						2.	DATE	
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE	(computer					1		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	!		JECT TIT				
			REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY					
	DRCE BASE, NEBRASKA			IG (PH 4)				
5. PROGRAM EL	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	PROJ	ECT NU	MBER 8.	PROJE	CT (COST(\$000)	
	ļ ļ							
8.87.41	711-142		990004		·		12,212	
	9. COST E	STIMA	TES	1				
				!	UNI	-	COST	
	ITEM			QUANTIT			(\$000)	
	CARY FAMILY HOUSING		UN	90	69,	435	,	
SUPPORTING FA							4,723	
COMMON NEIG	SHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENTS		LS	ļ			(1,531)	
PAVEMENTS			LS	!	ļ		(458)	
GARAGES, ST	CORAGE, CIRCULATION SPACE		LS	ļ			(1,054)	
UTILITIES			LS	ļ			(656)	
LANDSCAPING			LS		1		(298)	
•	& ENVIRONMENTAL (ASB/LBP)			ļ			(452)	
SPECIAL CON	IST FEATURES (EXCV/FOUND)			ļ	ļ		(274)	
SUBTOTAL			ļ	!			10,972	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				ļ	ļ		549	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					ļ		11,521	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				1	ļ		691	
TOTAL REQUEST	ļ		ļ		12,212			
			ļ	ļ	ļ			
			ļ		!		!	
				1			1	
AREA COST FAC	TOR .	97						

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 90 housing units.

Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems and roads, and design and construction of quadriplex family units.

Includes excavation and basements. Provides normal amenities to include appliances, garages, parking, air conditioning, patios, privacy fences, neighborhood playgrounds and disposal of asbestos and lead paint.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	<u>nsm</u>	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	99	<u> 797</u>	90	6,249,118
-				90	6,249,118

REQUIREMENT: 2,694 UN ADEQUATE: 366 UN SUBSTANDARD: 2,230 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Phase 4). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Offutt AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with Phase 1 of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the first of multiple phases to replace 545 Wherry housing units. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, dining room and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage, and a garage. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs. Neighborhood enhancements will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. Climatic and site conditions require special consideration be given to foundation design and will require

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	SGBP990004

extensive excavation and soil stabilization, and may require basements. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces housing units that were constructed in the 1950s and are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. Foundations are failing and several units have been demolished for safety of the personnel. Roofs, floors, and exterior pavements require major repairs or replacement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated, require frequent maintenance and repair, and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are generally inadequate by modern standards. Bedrooms are small and lack closet space. Bathrooms are small and fixtures are outdated. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space. Cabinets, countertops and |sinks are badly worn. Heating for each eight-plex is provided by a central boiler resulting in significant problems regulating temperatures for the various needs of personnel in adjacent units. There are no garages, and existing parking is insufficient and inconvenient. Housing density is excessive with mostly eight-plex units, creating an undesirable living environment. Replacement units will be spread out over adjacent vacant space to reduce density. This project demolishes and replaces 48 existing units, and replaces an additional 34 units which became uninhabitable and were demolished for safety reasons in FY93 (roof and foundation failures).

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will | continue to live in extremely unsuitable housing. The housing will | continue to deteriorate with age, resulting in increased maintenance and | repair costs, and extreme inconvienence to the occupants. Units will fail | structurally and endager the lives of the occupants. Piecemeal repairs | will continue to be accomplished with little or no substantive improvement | in occupant quality of life. These deficiencies will continue to | adversely affect the morale of all personnel assigned to the base. The | current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base deficit of 98 housing | units.

ADDITIONAL: his project meets the c riteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The improvement cost option is 90% of the replacement cost. The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Patrick, (402) 294-5500.

AIR FO	RCE		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY (computer generated)	IA	
		ATIO	ON AND LOCATION	<u> </u>	
			RCE BASE, NEBRASKA	LE DRO	TECH NIMPE
4. PRO	JECT	TIT	.PE	5. PRO 	JECT NUMBE
REPLAC	E MI	LITA	ARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	SGB	P990004
 12. S	UPPL	EMEN	VTAL DATA:		9
İ					
a. 	Esti	mate	ed Design Data:		
ĺ	(1)	Sta	atus:		
			Date Design Started		97 AUG 0
			Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	
			Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35
			Date 35% Designed.		97 SEP 2
-		(e)	Date Design Complete		98 MAY 2
	(2)	Bas			
}		(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		NO
		(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
1	(3)	Tot	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$00
İ		(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		4.5
Ì		(b)	All Other Design Costs		
İ		(c)	Total		4.5
		(d)	Contract		4.5
İ		(e)	In-house		
 	(4)	Con	nstruction Start		99 AF
 			,	- a e	
	_		associated with this project will be providations: N/A	ed Iron	l
1			· ·		
1					
1					
†					
† 					
† 					
† 					

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	NG JUSTIFICATION 1. I	DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCA		REPORT	CONTROL R)1716	SYMBO
. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INSTALLA	TION							
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
DATA AS OF	Offutt AFB				Nebraska				
1996			URRENT		<u> </u>		PROJEC	TED	
ANALYS	IS	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS	AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
B. TOTAL PERSONNEL			12/	(4)	\-\-	15/	- "	197	1.7
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,152	5,618	1,306	9,076	2,013	5,482	1,252	8,74
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL						·		
		2,152	5,618	1,306	9,076	2,013	5,482	1,252	8,74
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUS	SING REQUIREMENTS		4 4 4 5			4.000	4.050		
	VUOLOED (a.b.a)	1,702	4,147	396	6,245	1,603	4,052	380	6,03
. TOTAL UNACCEPTAB	LY HOUSED (a + b + c)	38	163	31	232				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED			<u> </u>					
		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOU									
DISPOSED/REPL		0	90	0	90				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	38	73	31	142				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARA	TIONS	38	/3	31	144		Į		
IU. VOLUNIARI SEFARI	TIONS	اه ا	0	٥	0	0	0	اه	
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS								
		1,702	4,147	396	6,245	1,603	4.052	380	6,03
2. HOUSING ASSETS (+ b)								
	(CONTROL	1,664	3,984	365	6,013	1,572	3,893	354	5,81
a UNDER MILITAR	CONTROL	337	2,185	٥	2.522	335	2,179	ا ه	2,51
(1) HOUSED IN E	XISTING DOD	331	2,100	-		730	2,110		4,01
OWNED/CON		337	2,185	0	2,522	335	2,179	0	2,61
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED								
				ī		0	0	0	
(3) VACANT		اه	0	٥	1				
(4) INACTIVE				- 0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		اها	0	١٥	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	vG				<u> </u>				
		1,327	1,799	365	3,491	1,237	1,714	354	3,30
(1) ACCEPTABLY	HOUSED		. = -						
		1,327	1,799	365	3,491				
(2) ACCEPTABLE	VACANT RENTAL	اه	0	١ ,	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT			ļ - -	 				
J. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DELIGIT	38	163	31	232	31	159	26	21
4. PROPOSED PROJEC	T								
						0	90	0	9

Item 12.a.(1)(h): An evaluation was performed indicating eight MFH units had exceeded their economic life and are scheduled to be demolished.

1. COMPONENT										2.	DATE
j	F	7 1999 MILIT	ARY C	ONSTRUCT	OII	PRO	JECT :	DATA	4		
AIR FORCE		(c	ompute	er gener	rate	ed)				ĺ	
3. INSTALLATI	INA NO	LOCATION			4.	PRO	JECT T	ITLE	3		
OFFUTT AIR FO	RCE BA	ASE, NEBRASK	Α		JOH	JSING	G MANA	GEME	ENT F	ACIL	ITY
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJ	JECI	וטא יו	MBER	8. E	PROJE	CT C	OST (\$000)
8.87.41		610-119		SGBI	2970	0004					870
		9	. cos	r estim	ATES	3			 		
									UNI	- !	COST
		ITEM					QUANT				(\$000)
REPLACE HOUSI	NG MAI	NAGEMENT OFF	ICE			SM	4	65	1,:	183	550
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES									232
SEWER & WAT	ER LI	NES				LS				ļ	(20)
PAVEMENTS						LS				ļ	(100
LANDSCAPING	;					LS				ļ	(54
DEMOLITION						LS	ļ		1	ļ	(15
SYSTEMS FUR	NITUR	Ξ				LS	ļ				(_43
SUBTOTAL						<u> </u>			ļ	ļ	782
CONTINGENCY						ļ	1				_39
TOTAL CONTRAC						ļ	!		<u> </u>	ļ	821
SUPERVISION,		CTION AND OV	ERHEA	D (6%)		!			!		49
TOTAL REQUEST						1			ļ		870
									!		
						[!		
						ļ			!		

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace housing management | office. Includes site preparation, slab on grade, splitface concrete | masonry walls, sloped standing seam metal roof, and decorative interior | finishings. Provides offices, restrooms, counseling/meeting rooms, | customer waiting area, computer equipment room, and interior/exterior | child play areas. Includes utilities, parking, landscaping, & demolition. | Air Conditioning: 15 KW.

0.97

REQUIREMENT: 465 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 11. PROJECT: Replace Housing Management Office. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required for managing base owned and operated accompanied and unaccompanied housing assets, for assisting all arriving personnel in finding adequate on or off-base housing, and for managing furnishings for authorized base personnel. The facility must be located for convenient access by all personnel. It must be handicapped accessible and have adequate parking for vehicles pulling trailers, and small trucks which may be used by arriving personnel. The facility must provide office space, a conference room, private counseling rooms, administrative space, a reception and customer waiting area, a customer referral area with multiple telephones, a computer room, and storage space for equipment and publications, a kitchen area for use by families, and interior and exterior play areas for children of customers. Exterior play areas must be provided with recreation equipment and be fenced for |security. The facility exterior requires landscaping to enhance customer appeal. CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Housing Management facility is located on

the main base, approximately four miles from the base housing area and 79

|AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	TA A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	j
HOUSING MANAGEMENT FACILITY	SGBP970004

percent of managed housing units. The facility is located in a very crowded and congested industrial area with no expansion capability. Parking is inadequate and a continuous problem as customers compete with the heavy traffic, including major truck traffic in this industrial complex. It is poorly located for serving accompanied or unaccompanied customers and for effective conduct of normal housing management activities. Considerable extra time is spent each time housing inspectors travel between the office and area of greatest work. The housing management office provides a vital service to over 10,500 permanent party personnel and manages 2,632 family housing units. In addition, the office serves all base unaccompanied personnel and manages 846 dormitory rooms. The existing facility will be demolished upon completion of this project. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The ability to service customers will be degraded by the poor accessibility of the current location. The majority of customers and the housing inspection staff will spend an extra half-hour per trip transiting the base and traveling to and from the primary housing area. Facilities will not be located as recommended in the Housing Community Plan.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specified in the "Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide." The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design.construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Patrick, (402) 294-5500.

	ENT FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJEC	2. DATE
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	J DAIR
	ATION AND LOCATION	
	R FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA	5. PROJECT NUMBER
. PROJEC	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
OUSING M	ANAGEMENT FACILITY	SGBP970004
2. SUPP	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to deve	<u>-</u>
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	355
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 0
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	ио
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$00)
, - ,	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	9
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	9
(4)	Construction Start	99 JA
. Equip	ment associated with this project will be pr	covided from
	ropriations: N/A	

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F3	7 1999 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1
AIR FORCE	(computer	generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	
İ		1	ĺ
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BA	ASE, NEBRASKA	HOUSING MAINTENAM	NCE FACILITY
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	PROJECT NUMBER 8. PR	ROJECT COST(\$000)
		1	
8.87.41	219-944	SGBP970019	900
1	9. COST E	ESTIMATES	1

J. COST ESTINE	1100				1
			UNIT	COST	ĺ
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
REPLACE HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY	LS			710	l
HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SM	585	1,034	(605)	
COVERED STORAGE	SM	278	378	(105)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				99	1
DEMOLITION & ENVIRONEMENTAL (ASB/LBP)	LS			(28)	1
PARKING LOT/SIDEWALKS/DRIVES	LS			(_71)	
SUBTOTAL		{		809	1
CONTINGENCY (5%)				40	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				849	1
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				_51	١
TOTAL REQUEST				900	1
					l
					ļ
					١
		1			
					1
AREA COST FACTOR 0.97]			1

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct housing maintenance facility. Includes site preparation, and exterior appearance compatible with the surrounding housing area. Project will include off-street customer and employee parking, sidewalks, exterior lighting, exterior covered storage, landscaping, and demolition of three existing facilities. Also includes asbestos and lead based paint removal.

 [Air Conditioning: 15 KW.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 863 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 464 SF

 | PROJECT: Replace Housing Maintenance Facility. (Current Mission)
 | REQUIREMENT: Construct a new Housing Maintenance Facility designed in accordance with the Housing Support Facilities Guide for a Large Housing Maintenance Facility. Consolidate two separate working stock storage locations into one and increase the square footage by 885 sf. Demolish the existing maintenance facility and restore the site to green space. | Vacate and demolish two unoccupiable housing units currently used for working stock storage.

| CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Housing Maintenance Facility is an uninsulated metal building constructed in 1966. The facility has deteriorated electrical and sewer systems. The roof structure has failed causing extensive damage to the interiors. There is inadequate parking to support U-Fix-It Store customers, maintenance contractor vehicles, and delivery trucks. There is no automated fire suppression system or fire alarm system. Wing Safety has evaluated the existing maintenance facility and determined that "Storage and working space is inadequate for items stored and job tasks performed." Working stock for housing maintenance is stored in two unoccupiable housing units located five miles from the main

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
OFFUTT AIR FORCE BASE, NEBRASKA	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
 HOUSING MAINTENANCE FACILITY	 SGBP970019

housing area. These facilities are severely deteriorated and are no longer useable.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The existing building will continue to deteriorate until it becomes unsafe for housing maintenance personnel to work in. The maintenance operation will continue to be severely constrained by the lack of adequate facilities. Timeliness of maintenance operations will continue to be constrained by the remote location of stock inventory. The ability to place the parts where and when they are needed will continue to be confusing. The existing building will continue to detract from the community and present an unprofessional appearance.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specified in the Department of the Air Force, "Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide". The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Patrick, (402) 294-5500.

1. COMPON	ENT		1:	2. DATE
		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)	i	į
		ON AND LOCATION		
OFFUTT AI	R FO	RCE BASE, NEBRASKA		
4. PROJEC	T TI	rle 5	. PRO	JECT NUMBER
		į.		
HOUSING M	AINT	ENANCE FACILITY	SGB	P970019
 12. SUPP	LEMEI	NTAL DATA:		
a. Est	imate	ed Design Data:		
(1)	Sta	atus:		i
, ,	(a)	Date Design Started		97 AUG 03
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop co	sts	N
	(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998		35%
		Date 35% Designed.		97 SEP 20
	(e)	Date Design Complete		98 MAY 14
(2)		sis:		***
		Standard or Definitive Design -		NO N/A
	(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
i (3)	TO	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
1 (37		Production of Plans and Specifications		90
		All Other Design Costs		
	(c)			90
	(d)	Contract		ì
		In-house		90
				į
(4)	Co	nstruction Start		99 JAN
 b. Equip	ment	associated with this project will be provided	from	
,		iations: N/A		ĺ
i	_			
				1
]				
1				
] 				
[[1
 				1
) 				
				<u> </u>
I I				

 AIR FORCE	FY	. 1999 М	ILITARY C (computer)			KUGK.	HILI		
	ATION AND L	OCATION			MMAND			15.	ARE
	111011 1110 1			AIR F					COS
ן ארוא אוזיים דען '	IR FORCE BA	SE NEW	MEXICO		RIEL CO	MM Z NT	n	l I	0.
6. PERSONNI			RMANENT		UDENTS	111.15.27.47		PORTED	
STRENGTI			ENL CIV	 		CIV	OFF	-	CIV
a. As of 30		1393		- 	LINE I	UIV	190		821
!		: :	:	: :	-		190	:	
b. End FY	2003	1342	INVENTOR		(\$000)		190	390]	821
a. Total Ad	creage: (44,02		I DAIA	(\$000)				
	ry Total As	•)				51	.3,49
	zation Not							-	,
	zation Requ		-						6,40
	zation Requ zation Incl			_	ram· (FV 2	000)		5,00
	In Next Th					2	,		.2,00
	ng Deficien		gram ledi	J.					,
h. Grand To	_	icy:						52	86,89
	S REQUESTED	דאז ייטיד	S DDUGDYM	l: FY 1	999			53	,0,03
	S KEQUESTEL	Y IN IMI	S PROGRAM	ı. FI l	. , , , ,		COST	חשר	TON
CATEGORY	. ממת	ECT TIT	ים. זי	_	CODE				SIGN
CODE	PROD	ECI III	<u>re</u>	2	SCOPE	-	(\$000) 51	TART
 711	EPLACE LOOP	мен он	מאפור ה		37 1	TNT	6 40	O AUG	1 97
/11-142 R	EPLACE LOOP	MITH PH	MSE 5		TOTAL:		6,40		3 9 1
9a Futur	e Projects:	Inclu	ded in th	A Follo		roar	•		1)
	Y70 APPROPR				30 1	-	5,00		, ,
/11-142 F.	170 APPROPR	CIALED F	AMILI NSC	ı	TOTAL:		5,00		
Oh Futur	e Projects:	Timic	nal Dlanne	d Novt				<u> </u>	
	e Piojects: Y70 APPROPR				44		5: 7,70	0	
1	170 APPROPE Y70 APPROPE				22		4,30		
	Property Ma						<u>-</u>),200
	on or Major								
	l Test and								
	ecial opera								
	MH-53, TH-5								
	Air Force								
	ng with F-1		y rorces	center,	and a	II AI.	I Nac	IOHAI	Guai
IIIGHUUU WI	ng with F-1	.05.							
i									

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
FY	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA
AIR FORCE	(compute	r generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT	FITLE
		REPLACE LOOI	P MILITARY FAMILY
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE B	BASE, NEW MEXICO	HOUSING PHAS	SE 5
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6	. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
i I			
8.87.41	711-142	MHMV994002	6,400

-	1	TDITTO 1	
	1	UNIT	COST
U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
UN	37	96,636	3,576
	1	1	2,202
LS]	ĺ	(321)
LS	1		(401)
LS	1	ł	(127)
LS	1		(83)
LS	1		(<u>1,270</u>)
	1		5,778
	1		289
	1		6,067
			334
	.		6,400
	1	1	
	[
			-
ĺĺ	1		
l İ	İ		
	İ		
	UN LS LS LS LS	UN 37	UN 37 96,636

Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 27 CGO and 10 SNCO family housing units. Project consists of demolition of existing housing, asbestos and lead-based paint removal, and construction of replacement units with associated single car garages. Provides appliances, patios with privacy fences, storage areas, and trash can enclosures. Site preparation support includes utility repair and landscaping.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
SNCO 3BR	125	.97	797	10	966,363
CGO 3BR	125	. 97	<u>797</u>	27_	2,609,179
		-		37	3,575,542

REQUIREMENT: 3,747 UN ADEQUATE: 1,852 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Replace 37 CGO/SNCO MFH units, Phase 5. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents assigned to Kirtland AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, appealing living environment comparable to that found in the civilian community. This is the fifth of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. Of the 356 units to be replaced in the multi-phase initiative, 230 are included in prior programs, and 89 will follow in subsequent phases. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. The basic neighborhood infrastructure

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A7
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE LOOP MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 5	MHMV994002

|will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 37 housing units that were constructed in 1947-48. These 50-year-old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrade since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The units lack common features found in homes off-base such as family rooms and master baths. The flat roofs require frequent emergency stop-gap maintenance. Asbestos is present in the flooring, insulation, interior walls, and roofing of each of these units. The plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. These units have outlived their useful life; replacement is the most logical method to provide acceptable housing for these members and their families.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this | replacement initiative is not supported. Some people will continue to | occupy unsuitable housing while neighbors are in new, replaced units. | Asbestos and lead-based paint will remain in the units, possibly exposing | people to a known hazardous material. The housing will continue to be | occupied until it becomes uninhabitable because adequate, affordable | housing is not available. Maintenance of these units will be costly due | to the deteriorating building systems and inadequate energy conservation | design.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of replacement, improvement, leasing and status quo operation. The cost to improve this housing is 82% of the replacement cost. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col Michael Cuddihee (505) 846-7916.

. COMPONE	ENT	2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	A
IR FORCE	(computer generated)	
. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION	
	AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO	
. PROJECT	T TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
EPLACE LO	OOP MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 5	MHMV994002
2. SUPPI	LEMENTAL DATA:	
a. Est:	imated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	97 AUG 20
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 23
	(e) Date Design Complete	98 MAY 20
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)		(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	220
	(b) All Other Design Costs	
	(c) Total	220
	(d) Contract	
	(e) In-house	220
(4)	Construction Start	99 APR
	ment associated with this project will be provide copriations: N/A	d from

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT	ŗ		2. FISCAI	L YEAR	REPORT (SYMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	14. REPORTING INSTA	LLATION					DD NGE(N	13/17/10	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF 1996	Kirtland AFB					N	ew Mexico		
ANALYS	SIS	С	URRENT				PROJEC	TED	
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER	E9 -E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(⊕)	(f)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL		1,687	3,106	824	5,617	1,636	2,739	731	5,10
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	1,687	3,106	824	5,617	1,636	2,739	731	5,10
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	1,370	2,487	269	4,126	1,312	2,195	240	3,74
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAR	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		46	12	100				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	0	0				
b IN MILITARY HO DISPOSED/REP		27	10	0	37				
	HOUSED IN COMMUNIT		36	12	63				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G REQUIREMENTS	1,370	2,487	269	4,126	1,312	2,195	240	3,74
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	1,328	2,441	257	4,028	1,279	2,170	228	3,67
a. UNDER MILITAR	Y CONTROL	289	1,568	141	1,998	289	1,648	141	2,07
(1) HOUSED IN OWNED/CO	NTROLLED	289	1,568	141	1,998	289	1,568	141	1,99
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0	80	0	8
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUS	NG	1,039	873	116	2,028	990	522	87	1,59
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	1.039	873	116	2,028				
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G DEFICIT	42	46	12	100	33	25	12	,
14. PROPOSED PROJEC	CT .					27		0	3

1. COMPONENT									2	. DAT	ΓE	
	F'Y	1999		ARY CON			PROGR	(AM	Į 1			
AIR FORCE				outer c						3 77	72 001	
3. INSTALLATI		CATIC	ON			MMAND)		5		EA CON	
WRIGHT-PATTER					AIR F			_	ļ		ST IND	Εž
AIR FORCE BAS	E, OHIO					EIEL C					. 96	
6. PERSONNEL			PERMANI			UDENT			PORTE		Ļ	
STRENGTH		OFF	ENL	CIV		ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV		
a. As of 30 S	EP 97	3344	3076	12549				81	138	169	23,3	57
o. End FY 200	3	3039	2947	11010				81	138	169	21,3	84
		7	7. INVI	ENTORY	DATA	(\$000)					
a. Total Acre	age: (8,1	L45)									
o. Inventory	Total As	Of:	(30 SI	EP 97)					9	34,65	55	
c. Authorizat	ion Not Y	Yet In	ı Inver	ntory:							0	
d. Authorizat					ıram:					5,60	00	
e. Authorizat	_			_		am:	(FY 2	(000)		•	0	
E. Planned In				_	_		,	,			0	
g. Remaining			-091am	icais.	•						ำ	
n. Grand Tota		-y.							0	40,25		
		TNI MI	II C. DD	20221	T37 1	000		·		40,2:		
B. PROJECTS R	EQUESTED	IN IF	115 PR	JGRAM:	FY 1	.999		go gr			C T N T T	
CATEGORY					_			COST			STATU	_
CODE	PROJI	ECT TI	TLE		2	COPE		(\$000	<u>) S</u>	TART	CMP	ᆫ
711-142 REPL	ACE PAGE	MANOF	RMFH				ON _	5,60	_	G 97	JUN	98
						TOTAL		5,60				
9a. Future P	rojects:	Incl	luded :	in the	Palla	wina	Progr	ram (F	Y 200	0) NO	ONE	
										0 / 111		
		Турі	ical P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:				
		Турі	ical P	lanned	Next	Three	Year	s:		0,400		
9c. Real Pro	rojects: perty Ma: or Major	Typi intena	ical Pi ance Ba	lanned acklog	Next This	Three Insta	Year llati	s: Lon	10	0,400		
9c. Real Pro	perty Ma: or Major	Typi intena Funct	ical Pi ance Ba tions:	lanned acklog AFMC	Next This Headq	Three Insta Juarte	Year llati rs re	s: lon espons	10 sible	0,400 for)	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c	operty Mar or Major command, o	Typi intena Funct contro	ical Pi ance Ba tions: ol and	lanned acklog AFMC direct	Next This Headq	Three Insta Juarte of wor	Year llati ers re	on espons de log	10 sible gistic	0,400 for	oport	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft	perty Mar or Major command, o weapons	Typi intena Funct contro system	ical Pance Barions: ol and ms, mis	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles	Next This Headq tion of and n	Three Insta quarte of wor celate	Year llati rs re ldwid d con	s: lon spons le log mponer	10 sible yistic	0,400 for s sup	oport orce	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona	operty Mar or Major command, o weapons s utical La	Typi intena Funct contro system aborat	ical Piance Barions: ol and ms, mis	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ	Next This Heado tion of and r	Three Insta quarte of wor relate	Year allati ers re aldwided con als,	on espons de log mponer Avior	10 sible gistic nts; A	0,400 for s sup ir Fo	oport orce	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and	or Major command, of weapons sutical La	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsior	ical Piance Bations: cions: cland and ms, mis cories n; Wrig	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ	Next This Headquion of and reding Meadquion	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t	Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als,	es: lon espons de log mponen Avior	10 sible gistic nts; A nics,	for sup	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
Oc. Real Pro 10. Mission management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
Oc. Real Pro 10. Mission management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
Oc. Real Pro 10. Mission management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
9c. Real Pro 10. Mission management, c for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
Oc. Real Pro 10. Mission management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate Materi ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	
Oc. Real Pro 10. Mission management, of for aircraft Wright Aerona Dynamics and Technology (A two C-141 air	or Major command, of weapons sutical La Aeropropu	Typi intens Funct contro system aborat ulsion Air	ical Piance Barriers cions: cland ms, mis cories n; Wrig Force	lanned acklog AFMC direct ssiles includ ght Lal	Next This Headquion of and reding Mooraton; an	Three Insta quarte of wor celate fateri ory; t Air F	e Year allati ers re aldwid ed com als, the Ai	es: on espons de log mponer Avior Reser	ible jistic its; A ics, ice In	for sup ir For Flight	oport orce nt ute of	

1. COMPONENT							2.	DATE
1	F	Y 1999 MILITARY	CONSTRUC	TIO	N PRO	JECT DATA	.	I
AIR FORCE		(comp	outer gene	rate	ed)			
3. INSTALLATI	. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4					JECT TITLE	}	!
								!
WRIGHT-PATTER	SON A	IR FORCE BASE,	OHIO	RE	PLACI	e page man	OR MFH	
							ROJECT (COST(\$000)
								[
8.87.41		711-142	ZHT	V82	00161	₹		5,600
		9. 0	COST ESTIM	ATE	S			
							UNIT	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
REPLACE FAMIL	Y HOU	SING			UN	40	94,977	3,799
SUPPORTING FA	CILIT	IES						1,256
SITE PREPAR	ATION				LS			(49)
ROADS AND P	AVING				LS			(127)
UTILITIES					LS			(142)
LANDSCAPING					LS			(39)
RECREATION					LS			(31)
SPECIAL CON	STRUC'	TION FEATURES			LS			(136)
DEMOLITION,	ASBE	STOS, LB PAINT			LS			(733)

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Demolish 90 family housing | units and replace 40 units. Project consists of demolition, | asbestos/lead-based paint removal, and construction of housing units with | associated single car garages. Provides appliances, patios with privacy | fences, storage areas, and trash can enclosures. Site preparation support | includes utility repair and landscaping.

.96

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
SNCO 2BR	88	.98	797	8	549,866
SNCO 3BR	125	.98	797	16	1,562,120
SNCO 4BR	135	98	<u>797</u>	<u> 16</u>	1,687,090
				40	3,799,076

11. REQUIREMENT: 5,422 UN ADEQUATE: 4,083 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,339 UN PROJECT: Demolish 90 and replace 40 Military Family Housing Units. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents assigned to Wright-Patterson AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, appealing living environment comparable to that found in the civilian community. This is the twelfth of multiple phases but the first phase of replacement construction to provide adequate housing for base personnel. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and single car

5,055

5,308

253

292 5,600

SUBTOTAL

CONTINGENCY (5%)

AREA COST FACTOR

TOTAL REQUEST

TOTAL CONTRACT COST

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
 	ZHTV820016R

garage. The basic neighborhood infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces 40 Wherry housing units constructed in the 1950s. These old houses are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The units lack common features found in homes off-base such as family rooms and master baths. The flat roofs require frequent emergency maintenance. Asbestos is present in the flooring, insulation, interior walls, and roofing of each of these units. Lead-based paint is present on both the interior and exterior of the units. The plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. These units are at the end of their useful life; replacement is the most economical method to provide acceptable housing for these members and their families. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will result if this replacement initiative is not supported. Some people will continue to occupy unsuitable housing while neighbors and friends are in new, replaced units. Asbestos and lead-based paint will remain in the units. housing will continue to be occupied until it becomes uninhabitable because adequate, affordable housing is not available. Maintenance and operation of these units will be costly due to the deteriorating building systems and non-existent energy efficient construction. ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 81.4% of the replacement cost. This project meets the criteria/scop specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local

school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col

Louis F. Hauck (937) 257-6214.

 		
1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LOCATION	
	ON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO	
4. PROJECT TIT	LE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
		1
REPLACE PAGE M	ANOR MFH	ZHTV820016R
 12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:	
a. Estimate	d Design Data:	
, (1) Sta	tus:	ĺ
(a)	Date Design Started	97 AUG 02
•	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs N
(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
· ·	Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 22
· ·	Date Design Complete	98 JUN 15
	•	İ
(2) Bas	is:	
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3) Tot	al Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	200
(b)	All Other Design Costs	
(c)	Total	200
(d)	Contract	200
(e)	In-house	
(4) Con	struction Start	99 MAY
İ		
		İ
	associated with this project will be provide	led from
other appropri	ations: N/A	
ĺ		
		į
; 		
; I		
! 		
1 		
1		
1		
1		
1¦8		

WILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT	•		2. FISCAL Y		REPORT (CONTROL S	SYMBO
. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	FALLATION				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	טט אפבןא		
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCATIO				
. DATA AS OF	Wright Patterson AFI	3				Ohio			
1995			JRRENT		<u> </u>	-	PROJEC	TED	
ANALYS OF	SIS	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA
REQUIREMENTS	S AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
. TOTAL PERSONNEL									
		5,854	4,497	724	11,075	4,524	3,562	613	8,69
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	5,854	4,497	724	11,075	4,524	3,562	613	8,69
B. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	3,951	2,509	494	6.954	3.076	1.928	418	5.42
. TOTAL UNACCEPTAL	RIVHOUSED (a + b + c		2,500	707	0,504	0,0,0	1,020	4.0	0,72
S. IDIAL DRACOLI IA	3E1 11000E3 (# · 2 · 0	155	90	0	245				
a. INVOLUNTARILY	SEPARATED	0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HO									
DISPOSED/REP		0	90	0	90				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUN	(4)	70	15	81				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0	0	0		0	0		
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G REQUIREMENTS	3,951	2,509	494	8,954	3,076	1,928	418	5,42
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	3,796	2.548	763	7,107	3,058	1,800	710	5.50
a. UNDER MILITAR	RY CONTROL		2,0 10		1,1.0		1,000		
		1,211	822	236	2,269	1,211	822	236	2,2
(1) HOUSED IN			978	120	1,200	# 102	978	120	1,20
OWNED/CO	NTROLLED ITRACT/APPROVED	102	9/8	120	1,200	# 102	9/8	120	1,21
(2) GNDER COI	TINACIJA I NOTES					0	0	0	
(3) VACANT			_						
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		اه	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUS	ING	2.585	1.676	527	4,788	1,847	978	474	3,2
(1) ACCEPTABL	YHOUSED	2,000	1,010		1,,,,,,	1,041	0.0	** *	
(1) 110021 11102	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,585	1,597	258	4,440				
(2) ACCEPTABL	E VACANT RENTAL	0	79	269	348				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G DEFICIT	155				18	128	(292)	(1
14. PROPOSED PROJE	CT	155	(39)	1208	[(103)			!	1.
						0	40	0	

Item 14: This project will demolish 90 units and build 40 units.

_									
	1. COMPONENT							2. DAT	'E
	FY 1999 MILITARY	CONSTR	UCTIO	N P	ROGR	MA			
_	AIR FORCE (compute	r gene	rated	.)					<u></u>
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4.	COMMA	ND				5. ARE	A CONST
								cos	T INDEX
	DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	AIR	COMB	AT	COMM	IAND		0.	86
	6. PERSONNEL PERMANENT		STUDE	NTS	- 1	SUE	POR	red	_
	STRENGTH OFF ENL CI	V OF	F EN	L	CIV	OFF	ENI	CIV	TOTAL
	a. As of 30 SEP 97 693 4119 3	82		1	1				5,194
_	b. End FY 2003 720 4265 3	82				l			5,367
_	7. INVENTO	RY DAT	A (\$0	00)					
	a. Total Acreage: (6,367)						•		.
	b. Inventory Total As Of: (30 SEP 9	7)						268,26	8
	c. Authorization Not Yet In Inventor	у:						26,10	0
	d. Authorization Requested In This P	rogram	:					9,41	.5
	e. Authorization Included In Followin	ng Pro	gram:	(FY 2	(000			0
	f. Planned In Next Three Program Yea	rs:						9,75	0
	g. Remaining Deficiency:							66,05	0
_	h. Grand Total:							379,58	3
	8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRA	M: FY	1999						
	CATEGORY					COST	Ţ	DESIGN	STATUS
	CODE PROJECT TITLE		SCOP	E		(\$000))	START	CMPL
									i
	711-142 CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY			64	UN	9,41	.5 2	AUG 97	MAY 98
	HOUSING (PH 2)				_		_		ĺ
_			TOT	AL:		9,41	. 5		
_	9a. Future Projects: Included in t	he Fol	lowin	g P	rogr	am (F	Y 20	000) NC	NE
	9b. Future Projects: Typical Plann	ed Nex	t Thr	ee	Year	s:			
	711-142 CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY			64	UN	9,75	0		J
_	HOUSING (PH 3)								
_	9c. Real Property Maintenance Backle	og Thi	s Ins	tal	lati	.on		94,900)
	10. Mission or Major Functions: A	_					_		•
	of which is responsible for training	all B	-1 ai	rcr	ews,	and	an a	airlift	:
	groupwith two C-130.								
									ļ
									ł
									j
									Ì
									ĺ
									Ì
									ļ
									ļ

1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE
FY 1999 MIL	ITARY CONSTRUC	CTION PRO	DJECT DATA	4	
AIR FORCE	(computer gene	erated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		4. PRO	JECT TITLE	Ē	
		CONSTR	JCT MILITA	ARY FAMII	LY
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS			G (PH 2)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGO	RY CODE 7. PRO	OJECT NU	MBER 8. I	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
	1				
8.87.41 711-1	42 FN	WZ990002			9,415
	9. COST ESTI	MATES			
				UNIT	COST
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY		(\$000)
CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY HO	USING	UN	64	61,720	3,950
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		ļ	ļ		4,509
SITE PREPARATION		LS	<u> </u>		(951)
ROADS AND PAVING		LS	<u> </u>		(875)
UTILITIES		LS	!		(1,160)
NDSCAPING		LS	1		(263)
RECREATION		LS	!		(181)
OTHER (SPECIFY) ROAD BRIDG	E	LS			(1,079)
SUBTOTAL			ļ		8,459
CONTINGENCY (5%)					423
TOTAL CONTRACT COST]		8,882
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND	OVERHEAD (6%)		ļ		533
TOTAL REQUEST					9,415

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 64 family housing units with all necessary support facilities. Includes site development, utilities, roads and access bridge, off-street parking, sidewalks, street lighting, garages, storage, patios, privacy fencing, air conditioning, appliances, recreation areas, landscaping, fire protection, energy conservation features, and neighborhood improvements.

	NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT TYPE	AREA	FACTOR_	NSM	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO 2BR	88	.88	797	64	3,950,060
		-		64	3,950,060

.86

2,788 UN ADEQUATE: 965 UN SUBSTANDARD: REQUIREMENT: PROJECT: Construct Military Family Housing (Ph 2). (Current Mission) REOUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families stationed at Dyess AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards. This is the second of multiple phases to provide adequate housing and eliminate a serious housing deficit. This housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base community. units will include a modern kitchen, living room, dining room, and bathroom configuration, with sufficient interior and exterior storage. |Single car garages and additional parking for a second car and visitors will be provided. Neighborhood support facilities will include access roads, infrastructure, landscaping, playgrounds, and recreational areas. This project is programmed in accordance with Phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Site access roads need significant upgrades to ensure

AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
	5. PROJECT NUMBER
CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 2)	FNW7.990002

safety of the occupants, including construction of a bridge over a storm drainage creek.

CURRENT SITUATION: The community surrounding the base does not have sufficient housing to adequately support base personnel. The current Housing Market Analysis indicates a deficit of 592 housing units (after execution of 70 units in the FY98 program). The largest deficiency is in the 2-bedroom junior NCO category. These families can least afford to live off base.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Families will continue to live in expensive and substandard off-base housing, or be forced to endure involuntary separations pending assignment into military family housing. Mission execution will suffer from the affects of low morale and increased stress due to poor living conditions and financial strains on families. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specifications in |Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." |Siting is in compliance with the Housing Community Plan and the Base Comprehensive Plan. The local school authority has been contacted and |indicated it has the capability to accept the increase in student |population generated by this project. An economic analysis has been |prepared comparing the alternatives of construction, leasing, and status |quo. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective |alternatives, construction was found to be the most cost effective. |supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of |Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David Sweat, (915) 696-2250.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	! - '
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION	
 DYESS AIR FO	RCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER
		FNT:17.000000
CONSTRUCT MII	LITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 2)	FNWZ990002
12. SUPPLEMI	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:	
(1) St	catus:	j
(a)	<u> </u>	97 AUG 01
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop o	osts N
(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
(d)	Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24
(e)	Date Design Complete	98 MAY 25
 (2) Ba	asis:]
, , , , ,		NO I
(a)	_	N/A
(b)	where Design was Most Recently Osed -	N/A
(3) To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	330
(b)	All Other Design Costs	
(c)	Total	330
i (d	Contract	330
(e	In-house	
(4) Co	onstruction Start	 YAM 99
1]
 b. Equipment other approp	t associated with this project will be provide riations: N/A	ed from
other approp.	riacions: N/A	1
İ		
ļ		
İ		
1		
ĺ		j
1		
]		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	SING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPORT			2. FISCAL		DD-A&L(A	ONTROL S	TMBUL	
. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INSTA	ALLATION								
AIR FORCE	a. NAME		b. LOCATION							
5. DATA AS OF 1995					Texas					
ANALY	SIS		URRENT				PROJEC			
OF	OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTA		
REQUIREMENT		(a)	(b)	(c)	(0)	(0)	(1)	(g)	(h)	
B. TOTAL PERSONNEL	SIKENGIR	667	3,024	970	4,661	664	3,001	960	4,62	
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	667	3,024	970	4,661	664	3,001	960	4,62	
. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	512	2,020	272	2,804	509	2,009	270	2,78	
. TOTAL UNACCEPTA	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c)	78	553	52	683					
a. INVOLUNTARIL	Y SEPARATED	0	0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HC DISPOSED/REP		0	64	0	64					
	E HOUSED IN COMMUNIT		489	52						
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	RATIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	G REQUIREMENTS	512	2,020	272	2,804	509	2,009	270	2,78	
2. HOUSING ASSETS	(a + b)	434	1,467	220	2,121	424	1,429	209	2,0€	
a. UNDER MILITAF	RY CONTROL	121	703	100	924	121	703	100	9:	
(1) HOUSED IN OWNED/CO	EXISTING DOD INTROLLED	121	703	100	924	121	703	100	9:	
	NTRACT/APPROVED					0	0	0		
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0					
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUS	ING	313	764	120	1,197	303	726	109	1,1	
(1) ACCEPTABI	LY HOUSED	313	764	120	1,197					
(2) ACCEPTAB	LE VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0	0					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSIN	IG DEFICIT	78	553	52	683	86	580	61	7	
14. PROPOSED PROJE	ст					0	64	0	ļ	

1. COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITA	DV CONCT	DIICTION I	DOGDAM		2. DAT	ГЕ
AIR FORCE		uter gen		ROGRAM		 	
3. INSTALLATION AN			COMMAND			IS API	EA CONST
3. INSTABLIATION AN	D DOCATION	•	R MOBILIT	rv			ST INDEX
EXTROUTIN ATR FORC	TE DACE WACUTMO	:	MMAND				.05
FAIRCHILD AIR FORC			STUDENTS	, I	SUPPOR		. U.S
6. PERSONNEL	PERMANE				-		L
STRENGTH	OFF ENL		FF ENL		FF E		TOTAL
a. As of 30 SEP 96		:	35	: :	•	12 102	-
b. End FY 2002	452 3202	424	35	<u> </u>	28 4	12 102	4,855
		NTORY DA	TA (\$000)	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		~
a. Total Acreage:	•						
b. Inventory Total						329,31	
c. Authorization N		_				24,37	
d. Authorization R	-	_				3,99	92
e. Authorization I	ncluded In Foll	owing Pr	ogram:	(FY 200	0)		0
f. Planned In Next	. Three Program	Years:					0
g. Remaining Defic	iency:						0
h. Grand Total:						357,74	12
8. PROJECTS REQUES	TED IN THIS PRO	GRAM: F	Y 1999				
CATEGORY				C	OST	DESIGN	STATUS
	ROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		000)	START	CMPL
610-119 HOUSING M FACILITY	· ·	ENANCE	900	SM 1	,692	AUG 97	JUN 98
711-142 REPLACE F			14	UN 2	.300	AUG 97	JUN 98
			TOTAL:		, 992		
9a. Future Projec	ts: Included i	n the Fo				2000) NO	ONE
	ts: Typical Pl				_\	2000) 110	
	Maintenance Ba					15,000	<u> </u>
							~~~
	jor Functions:		_	_			-135
squadrons; an Air				_			
squadron; and the			_		ining	group t	chat
conducts survival	training and fl	ies UH-1	aircraft	Ξ.			

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA |AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING |5. PROGRAM ELEMENT|6. CATEGORY CODE|7. PROJECT NUMBER |8. PROJECT COST(\$000) GJKZ990030 8.87.41 711-142 2,300 9. COST ESTIMATES UNIT COST U/M QUANTITY COST 3 (\$000) REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING 14 | 130,046 | 1,821 SUPPORTING FACILITIES 255 SITE WORK LS (229) DEMO/ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD REMEDIATION LS 28) SUBTOTAL 2,076 CONTINGENCY (5%) 104 |TOTAL CONTRACT COST 2,180

|10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace 14 housing units. |Includes site preparation, utilities, roads, landscaping. Amenities |include heating, air-conditioning, garages, appliances, patios, and |privacy fencing. Includes demolition of existing units and removal of |asbestos and lead-based paint.

UNIT	TYPE	NET AREA	PROJECT FACTOR	\$/ NSM	NO. UNITS	TOTAL COST
FGO	4BR	144	1.11	797	11	1,401,317
SGO	4BR	158	1.11	797	3	419,334
					14	1,820,651

1.05

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,401 UN ADEQUATE: 1,748 UN SUBSTANDARD: 653 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: Project will provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families assigned to Fairchild AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan.

| CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces houses constructed in 1952.
| These 45-year old units are showing the effects of age and continuous | heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not | meet the needs of today's families. Roofs, walls and exterior pavements | require major repair or replacement resulting from the effects of age and | the environment. Roof structures are rotting and leaks have made already | inadequate insulation even less effective. Foundations and pavements are

AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING	GJKZ990030

| showing signs of failure from settlement. Plumbing and electrical systems | are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. | Housing interiors are generally inadequate by any modern standards. | Bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Bathrooms are small, | and fixtures are outdated and energy-inefficient. Kitchens have | inadequate storage and counterspace, cabinets are old, and countertops and sinks are 'addy worn. Flooring throughout the house is worn out and | contains asbestos. Plumbing and electrical systems do not meet modern | building codes. There is no Ground Fault Interruptor Circuit protection, | and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting systems | throughout the houses are inefficient and require replacement. Heating | systems require upgrade and replacement. | IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to

be inadequately housed. Low morale and retention problems can be expected. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government. The current Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base deficit of 22 housing units. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 90% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. The net square meter cost to replace this housing is based on actual bids. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Waylon Patterson, (509) 247-2291.

AIR F			FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)	_i
3. IN	STALI	LATIO	ON AND LOCATION	
FATDO	מזזע	מדמ	FORCE BASE, WASHINGTON	
4. PR				ROJECT NUMBER
REPLA	CE F	MILY	HOUSING G	JKZ990030
12.	SUPPI	LEMEN	ITAL DATA:	
а.	Est:	imate	ed Design Data:	
	(1)	Sta	itus:	
	(-)		Date Design Started	97 AUG 04
		(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	1
		(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1998	359
			Date 35% Designed.	97 SEP 25
		(e)	Date Design Complete	98 JUN 0:
	(2)	Bas	sis:	
		(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
		(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
	(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$00
		(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	5
			All Other Design Costs	
			Total	5!
		• •	Contract	5!
		(e)	In-house	
	(4)	Con	nstruction Start	99 MAI
_				
			associated with this project will be provided frations: N/A	om
otner	app:	ropri	lations: N/A	
			· ·	
			·	
58				

MILITARY FAMILY HOUS	ING JUSTIFICATION	1. DATE OF REPO	RT		2. FISCA 1999	L YEAR	REPORT	CONTROL	SYMBOL
3. DOD COMPONENT	4. REPORTING INST	ALLATION			1333		DD-Maria	ARJ 17 10	
AIR FORCE	a. NAME				b. LOCA	TION			
5. DATA AS OF 1995	Fairchild AFB		Washington						
ANALYS	SIS	CURRENT PROJECTED							
OF		OFFICER	E9-E4	E3 - E1	TOTAL	OFFICER		E3 - E1	TOTAL
REQUIREMENTS	S AND ASSETS	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(0)	(1)	(g)	(h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL	STRENGTH		 ``		1	 	1 11	19/	1/
		714	3.065	937	4,716	527	2,410	737	3,674
7. PERMANENT PARTY	PERSONNEL	714	3,065	937	4,716	527	2,410	737	3,674
8. GROSS FAMILY HOU	SING REQUIREMENTS	503		318	3,084	372			
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTAE	BLY HOUSED (a + b + c					3/2	1,779	250	2,401
	050404750	23	22		50				
a. INVOLUNTARILY			0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOL DISPOSED/REPL		14	0	0	14				
c. UNACCEPTABLE	HOUSED IN COMMUNI	TY	22	5	36				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPAR	ATIONS	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	REQUIREMENTS	503		318	3,084	372	1,779		
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + h)	303	2,203	318	3,004	3/2	1,779	250	2,401
<u> </u>	·	480	2,241	313	3,034	352	1,766	247	2,366
a. UNDER MILITAR		166	1,094	149	1,409	156	1,094	149	1,409
(1) HOUSED IN 8	XISTING DOD							1.0	
OWNED/CON		166	1,094	149	1,409	168	1,094	149	1,409
(2) UNDER CON	TRACT/APPROVED					0		0	
(3) VACANT			0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE				0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSI	NG								_
(1) ACCEPTABL	Y HOUSED	314	1,147	164	1,625	186	672	98	956
		314	1,147	164	1,625				
(2) ACCEPTABLE	E VACANT RENTAL	0	0		0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING	DEFICIT	23		5	50	20	13	3	3.4
4. PROPOSED PROJEC	Ť				30				36
						14	0	0	14

1. COMPONENT					!	DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CO			OJECT DATA	A.	
AIR FORCE		er gener				
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	-	4. PRO	JECT TITL	Ξ]
1		[1	HOUSIN	g managemi	ENT/MAINT	renance
FAIRCHILD AIR FORC			FACILI			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ: 	ECT NU	MBER 8.1	PROJECT (OST(\$000)
8.87.41	610-119	GJKZ	970030	i		1,692
	9. COS	T ESTIMA	TES			
1			1		UNIT	COST
İ .	ITEM		[U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
HOUSING MANAGEMENT	/MAINTENANCE FACI	LITY	SM	900	1,333	1,200
SUPPORTING FACILIT	IES		1	1	!	328
UTILITIES			LS	1	!	(115)
SITE IMPROVEMENT	S		LS	1	1	(85)
PAVEMENTS			LS	1	[(120)
ENVIRONMENTAL			LS		1	(8)
SUBTOTAL					1	1,528
CONTINGENCY (5%)					1	76
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	T				1	1,604
SUPERVISION, INSPE	CTION AND OVERHEAD	D (5.5%)	1	1		88
TOTAL REQUEST				1	1	1,692
l .					1	1
						1
1						[
1				1		
1				1		<u>ļ</u>
					1	
AREA COST FACTOR		1.05	L	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
110 Description of	f Proposed Constr	uction:	Repla	ce housing	g manage	ment and

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace housing management and | maintenance facilities. Includes concrete foundation, masonry exterior | walls with brick veneer, and metal roof. Provides offices, restrooms, | customer waiting/counseling area, computer equipment room, indoor/outdoor | child play areas, workshop, self-help area, breakroom, and storage. | Includes all utilities, parking, landscaping, and fire protection.
- |11. REQUIREMENT: 915 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 664 SM | PROJECT: Replace Housing Management and Maintenance Facility (Current | Mission).

REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required for managing base owned and operated family housing assets, for assisting arriving personnel in finding on- or off-base housing, and for managing furnishings for authorized base personnel. It must be located for convenient access by personnel, be handicapped accessible, and have adequate parking for vehicles pulling trailers or small trucks utilized by inbound personnel. CURRENT SITUATION: Existing housing management office and maintenance functions are housed in a World War II wooden facility located in the base |industrial area. The management office is 40% undersized and there is no space for expansion. The maintenance and self-help functions are in separate locations and floor areas are half the recommended sizes. |Handicapped access is impractical since the facility is built on a 4 ft high concrete foundation. Access is difficult given the inconvenient location and vehicle congestion in this industrial area. It would be impractical and unsafe to provide a children's outdoor play area at this site. This facility is one of the first stops for inbound families and it leaves a poor initial impression of the installation. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Customers will continue to be served in an

1. COMPONENT		2 .	. DATE
FY 1	.999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJEC	CT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND I			
FAIRCHILD AIR FORCE E	BASE, WASHINGTON		
4. PROJECT TITLE		5. PROJE	ECT NUMBER
HOUSING MANAGEMENT/MA	INTENANCE FACILITY	GJKZS	970030
HOUSING MANAGEMENT/MA	INTENANCE FACILITY	i GJKZS	970030

extremely cramped, unappealing, and poorly located facility. Optimum efficiency and effectiveness of base support functions will not be achieved and will continue to have a negative effect on family members' equality of life and morale.

ADDITIONAL: Project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Waylon Patterson, (509) 247-2291.

	ENT		2. DATE
TE FORGE	4	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A
AIR FORCE		(computer generated) ND LOCATION	
INSTAL	ATION A	ND LOCATION	
רו דשרשדו.ח	ATD FOD	CE BASE, WASHINGTON	
PROJECT			5. PROJECT NUMBER
			J. PRODUCT NOMBER
HOUSING M	NAGEMEN'	T/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	GJKZ970030
L2. SUPPI	LEMENTAL	DATA:	
a. Est:	.mated De	esign Data:	
(1)	Status	•	
(2)		te Design Started	97 AUG 01
		rametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	
		rcent Complete as of Jan 1998	35%
		te 35% Designed.	97 SEP 24
		te Design Complete	98 JUN 01
		enger en grande en en en en en en en en en en en en en	20 001, 01
(2)	Basis:		
	(a) Sta	andard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(b) Whe	ere Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Total (Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
(3)		oduction of Plans and Specifications	140
		1 Other Design Costs	140
	(c) To		140
	(d) Co		140
	(e) In		140
	(0, 2		
(4)	Constr	uction Start	99 MAR
		·	
		ociated with this project will be provide	d from
ther app	opriation	ons: N/A	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 1999 BUDGET REQUEST

FY 1999 POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$ 81,778
FY 1998 Program \$121,795

Purpose and Scope

The Air Force operates approximately 110,000 family housing units for FY 1999. The average age of housing units in the Air Force inventory is about 35 years. About 61,000 of these units now require improvement or renovation to meet contemporary living standards during the next decade. Many of these units require major expenditures to repair or replace deteriorated mechanical, electrical, or structural components, and to provide some of the modern amenities found in comparable community housing. The Post Acquisition Construction Program provides this needed revitalization. Each project also includes a significant amount of concurrent maintenance and repair to maximize the project cost effectiveness (average per project is 60%).

The Air Force is the acknowledged DoD leader in developing the "whole house" revitalization concept. Whole house is the combination of needed maintenance and repair together with improvements to bring the unit to contemporary standards. In addition, we are looking beyond the house to the entire housing area in our requirements plan. Our "whole neighborhood" concept is being developed and includes the development of neighborhood vehicular and pedestrian circulation concepts to consider siting, density, landscaping, parking, playgrounds, recreation areas and utilities, in addition to the housing unit itself.

Consistent with Authorization and Appropriation Committees' language in FY 1990, the Air Force is seeking to maintain funding in this account to continue revitalizing our aging homes. Consistent with Appropriation Committees' language in FY 1985, the Air Force has gathered data on the post acquisition construction projects to detail past projects on these units and any future work being programmed within a three year period. This information is provided as a part of this submittal.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING FISCAL YEAR 1999 BUDGET REQUEST

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Various improvements to existing public quarters, as described on DD Form 1391.
- (2) Appropriation of \$81,778,000 to fund projects in FY 1999.

NOTE: Projects within the program are within the statutory limitation of \$50,000 per unit adjusted by area cost factor, except as identified by separate DD Form 1391.

1. COMPONENT					2.	DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY CONST	RUCTIO	N PR	DJECT DAT	Α	
AIR FORCE	(computer o	generate	ed)			
3. INSTALLATION AN	D LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT TITL	E	
		1				
VARIOUS AIR FORCE				CQUISITIO		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	PROJEC'	וטא ז	MBER 8.	PROJECT (COST(\$000)
				ļ		
8.87.42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	XXXX97		IP		81,778
	9. COST ES	TIMATES	5	1		
					UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
POST ACQUISITION C						81,778
	OVE FAMILY HOUSING	_	UN	625	111,315	
!	OVE SUPPORT FACILITIE	ES	LS		!	(12,206)
SUBTOTAL	_				!	81,778
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	Г					81,778
TOTAL REQUEST					-	81,778
					!	
					!	
1				1	İ	
1]		
1					1	
<u> </u> -				<u> </u> 	1	
1					[
1						
			:		 t	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Includes all work necessary to revitalize military family housing by providing: air conditioning, where authorized; modern functional layouts; soundproofing; and utility and site improvements. Energy conservation actions include new and additional insulation, storm windows, solar screens, and more efficient heating and cooling systems. (Continued on next pages.)
- |11. PROJECT: This request is for appropriation of \$81.778 million to accomplish improvements in family housing units.

REQUIREMENT: To revitalize and improve the livability of older, obsolete family housing units, to conserve energy in these older housing units, and to bring utility systems up to current safety standards. Whole-house improvements includes but are not limited to: kitchen upgrades, bathroom additions/upgrades; repair/replacement of roofs, upgrade of mechanical & electrical systems, replacement of windows, doors, floors and exterior improvements (patios, fences, etc.)

CURRENT SITUATION: The majority of these housing units were constructed since the late 1940's using various design and construction criteria, with different types of material, installed equipment, appliances, livability, and appearance. Many utility and structural systems were designed and constructed during years of plentiful, inexpensive energy resources. Insulation, storm windows, etc., not previously cost effective, are now wise investments. This program will prolong the useful life of many of lour older, less modern units by enhancing livability, reducing operation costs and improving safety aspects.

ADDITIONAL: These projects meet the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide" unless noted on the individual DD Form 1391s.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	ATA	ļ.
AIR FORCE (computer generated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES		
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PR(OJECT NUMBER
	j	
POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION		N/A
1 10 Passwinting of work to be assemblished		
10. Description of work to be accomplished	Current	t Working
Location and Project		te (\$000)
UNITED STATES		
DELAWARE DOVER AFB		
COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS		3,467
FJXT994011		3,40/
- Improve Housing Community. Replace sanitary		
sewage laterals; provide underground storm		
drainage; alter/widen streets and build new		
sidewalks; install street lighting; construct		
additional parking; privacy screening and community parks; and plant trees and install		
underground drip irrigation.		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		
 ! HAWAII		
HICKAM AFB		
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 4		7,008
KNMD994401		,
- Improves 36 housing units. Provides general		
interior and exterior modernization and		
renovation of housing units. Includes utility		
upgrade and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, improves floor		
plans, provides increased energy efficiency,		
patios, playgrounds, and recreation areas.		
Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.		
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)		
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.		

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE		
	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT 1	DATA		
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	<u> </u>		
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION			
JARIOUS AIR	FORCE BASES			
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER		
POST AQUISIT	ION CONSTRUCTION	N/A		
10. Descri	otion of work to be accomplished			
	Location and Project	Current Working		
:	docation and Froject	Estimate (\$000)		
ILLINOIS				
SCOTT A	FB			
COMMUNIT	(IMPROVEMENTS	3,350		
VDYD9940		•		
	e housing neighborhood. Bury telephone,			
	television, and electrical service lines.			
	e sewer and water laterals. Provide			
	tion, parking, streetscape, open space,			
	ock-wide improvements. COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None			
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None ROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.			
MARYLAND				
ANDREWS	AFR			
	FAMILY HOUSING	4,860		
AJXF99400		4,600		
- Improve	e 47 units including one General Officer			
Quarter	(GOQ). Renovate kitchens and bathrooms,			
	ovate living space, replace windows,			
mechani	cal, electrical systems, improve exterior			
finish,	provide patios, privacy fences, and			
	s. Replace utility lines to domestic			
	water main, improve drainage,			
	ping, signage and environmental hazard			
remedia				
	te DD Form 1391 attached)			
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY96			
	replace furnace, \$1.7K; replace carpet,			
	FY97 (GOQ) upgrade bathrooms, \$6.0K;			
	or doors, \$2.9K; patio carpet, \$1.1K;			
yarage repair.	door, \$1.0K; and routine maintenance and			
-	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None			
MORIC PP	TOTAL TON MENT THREE TEARS: NOTE			

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	! " '
AIR FORCE (computer generated))
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	···
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	İ
POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A
10. Description of work to be accomplished	
	Current Working
Location and Project	Estimate (\$000)
NEW JERSEY	
MCGUIRE AFB	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	212
PTFL974037 - Interior and exterior modernization of two	
housing units. Upgrades floor coverings,	
improves floorplans, increases energy	
efficiency, and provides new landscaping.	
Includes demolition and asbestos/lead-based	
paint removal. Grade Mix: 2 E5-E9.	
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
2756: FY96 Repair HVAC, \$10K. FY 97 Repair	
kitchen and miscellaneous repairs, \$12K. FY98	
Repair two bathrooms and miscellaneous repairs,	
\$12k. 2757: FY97 Repair bathroom, repair carpet	
in selected rooms, \$12k. FY98 Repair Kitchen,	
miscellaneous repairs, \$12k.	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: 2756:	
None. 2757: None.	
NEW MEXICO	
CANNON AFB	
IMPROVE NEIGHBORHOOD	1,000
CZQZ920037 - Improve housing neighborhood. All materials and	
labor required to replace 105 existing street	
lights/poles and install an additional 98 new	
street lights. Provide landscaping, and	
recreation (tot-lots) needed throughout the	
housing area. Work includes demolition of	
existing lighting, poles/fixtures, wiring, and	
playground sets.	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
<u></u> ጟ፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟፟	

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
j	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	1 - 1
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
VARIOUS AIR E	FORCE BASES	
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
	TON GOVERNMENT ON	100
POST AQUISITI	ON CONSTRUCTION	N/A
10. Descrip	otion of work to be accomplished	
_		Current Working
] !	ocation and Project	Estimate (\$000)
NORTH CAROL	ANI	
·	TOHNSON AFB	
	ILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	9,682
VKAG99600		
	e 100 and demolish 8 housing units. es utilities and required storage space.	
	es bathrooms and kitchens. Improves	
	finishes, layouts, and energy	
	ncy. Provides playgrounds, patios, and	
	fencing. Installs double paned windows	
	ding doors. Includes appliances, ion, and asbestos/lead based paint	
abateme		
	te DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK AC	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
None.		
- WORK PR	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
NORTH DAKOT	<u>'A</u>	
MINOT AF	-	
IMPROVE M OJVF99920	ILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH5)	13,829
	110 housing units. Includes renovating	
	and baths, replacing interior lights and	
wiring,	redesigning floor plans, improving	
	r and exterior finishes, repairing	
	ts, and upgrading an additional 28 SM.	
	s air conditioning, appliances, ping, playgrounds and recreation areas.	
	s asbestos and lead paint removal.	
	s privacy fences.	
(Separa	te DD Form 1391 attached)	
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
None.	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
- WORK PR	OGGG-WIED FOR MEAT THREE TEAKS; NONE.	

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
İ	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA		
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	ĺ	
3. INSTALLAT	ON AND LOCATION		
VARIOUS AIR I			
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
DOOM NOTIFICE	CON GONGERMANTON		/-
POST AQUISITI	ON CONSTRUCTION		N/A
l 10. Descrir	otion of work to be accomplished		
i	· -	Current	Working
<u> </u>			e (\$000)
SOUTH CAROL			
SHAW AFE			
:	LECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		1,620
VLSB94002	housing infrastructure. Replace		
	d electrical distribution system in the		
· ·	mor area with an underground distribution		
'	Provide concrete encased primary		
	ductbanks, pad-mounted transformers,		
pedesta	ls, sectionalizing switches and conduit		
	l secondary conductors. Replace street		
	Includes demolition of existing		
	ent and connections.		
1	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		
- WORK PR	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		
WASHINGTON			
FAIRCHILD	AFB ·		
COMMUNITY	IMPROVEMENTS		1,139
GJKZ99003			
	housing neighborhood. Install privacy		
	screening; sidewalks and paths; parking;		
	oint signage; landscaping; construct		
	ty parks with open space and recreational ies; benches and trash recepticals; and		
•	d light pedestrian walkways.		
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None		
•	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None		
1			
1			
			i
771			

1. COMPONENT	2 5500
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	2. DATE DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
 POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/2
FOST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A
10. Description of work to be accomplished	
Location and Project	Current Working Estimate (\$000)
OVERSEAS	
GERMANY	
RAMSTEIN AB	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A) VANB974580	3,870
- Improve 32 housing units. Constructs bathroom]
and laundry tower additions.	
Modernizes/renovates interior/exterior;	
increases energy efficiency. Upgrades kitchens, bath rooms, floor coverings, stairwells,	
entryways; corrects fire deficiencies; replaces	!
balconies. Provides parking, playground, and	
recreation areas. Includes demolition and	ļ
asbestos/lead-base paint removal. (Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	į
IMPROVE COMMON NEIGHBORHOOD (PHASE A)	1,630
YANB994524	ļ
 Provides general open space and streetscape improvements for common neighborhood areas at 	
the Vogelweh MFH community, Ramstein AB.	
Includes renovation of existing play areas,	j
picnic areas, new walking trails, trees, roads, crosswalks, and an upgrade to two of the main	1
entrances to the community. Includes all	
related work necessary to provide a complete and	}
usable community/neighborhood.	j
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None - WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	1
	!
	ĺ

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
j	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
 VARIOUS AIR F	ORCE RASES	
4. PROJECT TI		5. PROJECT NUMBER
İ		
POST AQUISITI	ON CONSTRUCTION	N/A
 10 Descrip	tion of work to be accomplished	
10. 200112	eron or work to be abbomprished	Current Working
<u>I</u>	ocation and Project	Estimate (\$000)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CONT)	
RAMSTEIN	AB LAUNDRY/BATH TOWERS)	4 001
YANB99452	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,081
	ct concrete foundation and erect precast	
concret	e towers (Wet Cells) for 90 units.	
	s installation of bathroom fixtures,	
•	g, carpentry, electrical, mechanical, and	
•	er work necessary to provide a second	
	m and interior laundry. COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	
GUAM		
ANDERSEN	AFB AMILY HOUSING PHASE 9	15 000
AJJY99440	•	15,099
	s 102 housing units. Provides interior	
	erior modernization and renovation.	
	s utility upgrade and additions to meet	
-	standards. Upgrades kitchens,	
	ms, improves floorplans, and increases	
	efficiency. Provides patios, unds, recreation areas and utilities	
	ment. Includes asbestos/lead-based paint	
removal	•	
(Separa	te DD Form 1391 attached)	
	COMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS:	
None.	OGRANMED DOD NEVER WINES WELLS	
- WORK PR	OGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.	
1		
1		
!		
1		
372		

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D AIR FORCE (computer generated)	ATA
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A
	3-7, 3-
	j
10. Description of work to be accomplished	Current Working
Location and Project	Current Working Estimate (\$000)
	<u> </u>
UNITED KINGDOM	
RAF LAKENHEATH IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	6.506
GPLS984015	6,786
- Improves 60 housing units. Provides interior	
and exterior modernization and renovation of	
units. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor	J
coverings. Improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing and	
patios. Includes utility upgrades and additions	
to meet current standards. Provides	!
landscaping, parks, and recreation areas.	
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE	
RAF MILDENHALL	j
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE B)	2,153
QFQE984013 - Improves 22 housing units. Modernizes/renovates	
interior/exterior of units. Upgrades kitchens	1
bath rooms, floor coverings, improves	1
floorplans, provides increased energy	
efficiency, patios, playgrounds, recreation	Ì
areas, and adds parking where deficient.	
Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Includes demolition &	
asbestos/lead-base paint removal.	<u> </u>
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	!
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None	<u> </u>
] 	
] 	
	1
	İ
	1

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
LARIOUS AIR BORGE PAGES	
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES 4. PROJECT TITLE 5	
1. FROUDET TITLE	PROJECT NUMBER
POST AQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	N/A
	N/A
10. Description of work to be accomplished	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ent Working
	mate (\$000)
UNITED KINGDOM (CONT)	
RAF MOLESWORTH	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	1,992
AEDY989701	
- Improves 24 housing units. Modernizes/renovates interior and exterior of housing units.	ļ
Constructs entrance foyer; repairs roofs and	
gutters; upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, heating,	
plumbing and electrical systems. Provides patio	ļ
covers, privacy fencing, walkways, and parking.	j
Includes demolition & asbestos/lead base paint	
removal.	
(Separate DD Form 1391 attached)	
- WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE	
- WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE	
	1
	i
]
	i
	1
	ĺ
	Ţ
	1

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (OVER \$50,000 PER UNIT)

A separate DD Form 1391 follows for each Post Acquisition Construction project which is over \$50,000 per unit (multiplied by the Area Cost Factor).

1. COMPONENT										2.	DATE	
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA												
AIR FORCE		(00	mpute	r gen	erat	ed)_				Ì		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND	LOCATION	_		4.	PRO	JECT	TITL	E			
					IM	PROVI	E FAM	ILY 1	HOUSI	NG,		
HICKAM AIR FO						ASE 4						
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PR	OJEC	T NU	MBER	8.	PROJE	CT (COST (\$000)
8.87.42		711-111		KNI							7,00	8
		9.	COST	ESTI	MATE	<u>s</u>					 	
									UNI'	_	CO	
		ITEM	 -				QUAN		COS'		(\$0	
IMPROVE FAMIL		•	•			UN	1	36	156,	777	5	, 644
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					LS	 		1		[] (836 298)	
	UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS					LS	! !		· 		(237)
PAVEMENTS	ENDIVIO					LS	l İ		1		1 (115)
	EAD-BASI	ED PAINT REM	IOVAL			LS	; }		1		1 (102)
OTHER SUPPO						LS	1		ì			84)
SUBTOTAL							İ		ì		: -	,480
CONTINGENCY	(5%)					i	i		i		,	324
TOTAL CONTRAC	T COST					i	į		į		6	,804
SUPERVISION,	INSPECT	TION AND OVE	RHEAL	(3%)		İ	ĺ		İ		ĺ	204
TOTAL REQUEST	?					İ			ĺ		7	,008
						ĺ			ļ			
						1			1			
			***			1			[
MOST EXPENSIV			\$264	,671			 1		ļ			
AREA COST FAC		D 3 G.		1.43		<u> </u>		- 1	L		<u> </u>	

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 36 housing units. | Provides general interior and exterior modernization and renovation of housing units. Includes utility upgrade and additions to meet current | standards. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, improves floor plans, provides | increased energy efficiency, patios, playgrounds, and recreation areas. | Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.

REQUIREMENT: 3,195 UN ADEQUATE: 884 UN SUBSTANDARD: | PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing (Phase 4). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Hickam AFB. |Housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the fourth of multiple phases to upgrade housing units. Three hundred one units have been upgraded or are approved in previous phases and 2,188 units remain to be accomplished. All units |will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance with phase two of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom, and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. Carports will be provided where deficient. Units will be air conditioned. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas. CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes houses which were constructed in 1959 and in 1964. These 38-year-old Capehart and 33-year-old Earhart housing units require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no |major upgrades since construction, do not meet the needs of today's families, and do not provide a modern home environment. Kitchens do not

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)]
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 4	KNMD994401

provide adequate storage, cabinet space or countertop area, and are not functionally arranged. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated. The electrical and smoke alarm systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring, windows, and roofing require replacement. The units have inadequate living space and storage. Playgrounds, parking areas, and landscaping are inadequate to nonexistent.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, | resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the | Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention | problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. | The most recent Housing Market Analysis shows a housing deficit of 123 | units.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 67 percent of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Linden Torchia, (808) 449-1660.

1. COMPONENT	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRICTI	ON DD).TE/m	ייי ער	•	DATE
AIR FORCE		er genera		JOECT .	DAIR	•	
3. INSTALLATION AN				JECT T	ודדו		
		ì				-	
ANDREWS AIR FORCE	BASE, MARYLAND	İı	MPROVI	E FAMI	LY F	HOUSING	
	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJE	CT NU	MBER	8. 1	PROJECT (COST (\$000)
İ				i			
8.87.42	711-143	AJXF9	94003	į			4,860
9. COST ESTIMATES							
						UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	QUANT	YTI	COST	(\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOU	JSING		SM		47	71,553	3,363
SUPPORTING FACILIT	TIES		İ	İ			1,004
SITE WORK			LS	Ì			(642)
ENVIRONMENTAL HA	AZARD REMEDIATION		LS	İ			(100)
ASSOCIATED NEIGH	HBORHOOD		LS	ĺ			(262)
SUBTOTAL			ĺ	Ì		i İ	4,367
CONTINGENCY (5%)			İ	Ì		İ	218
TOTAL CONTRACT COS	ST		į	i			4,585
· ·	ECTION AND OVERHEAD	0 (6%)	j	i		, 	275
TOTAL REQUEST			ĺ				4,860
			ĺ			† †	1,000
			i	Ì		! 	!
[1		! {	
1			i	ì		} }	1
1 1			1	! 		1 1	
1			!	! !		 	
 MOST EXPENSIVE UN]	ריי פֿויס	3,000	l I	1] [[
	\$126	0.96		1		 	1
AREA COST FACTOR 10. Description of	of Proposed Constru		Impro	79 47	i	l inclu	l
	arter (GOQ). Rend						ing one
•	ng space, replace w						
	exterior finish, p						d
	utility lines to	-		-	-		
			_				-
	oing, signage and e						
•	4,680 UN ADEQUA			SUBST			717 UN
· —————	Family Housing (P)	_					
-	provide a comfortal			_	_	-	
	off-base civilian		-		_		
	Andrews AFB. This	-	_	_			"wnole
house" standards i			_		_		
	These wood-frame				_		were
,	66. They have rece		-				_
•	lo not meet the nee		•				
	insufficient count	_					
,	d. Bathrooms lack				-		
Gas-fired water he							
-	nearing the end of						-
·	bathroom and outdo				_		
	ers, electric pane:						
circuit breakers a	are not reliable.	Windows	need 1	to be	rep]	laced wit	h
	nd insulating glass				_		
siding, fascia and	_						
· —	shower, and fixtu	_					
•	lacement, kitchen i			_			-
	or door replacement					-1	

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	ra
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	AJXF994003

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government.

| WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: FY96 (GOQ) replace furnace, | \$1.7K; replace carpet, \$5.4K; FY97 (GOQ) upgrade bathrooms, \$6.0K; | interior doors, \$2.9K; patio carpet, \$1.1K; garage door, \$1.0K; and | routine maintenance and repair.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve these units is 60% of the replacement cost. Unit costs are based on an actual bid from a contractor on FY95 Improve Family Housing project (AJXF904000R). The construction agent for this project is the Naval Facilities Engineering Command resulting in Supervision, Inspection, and Overhead costs of 6 percent.

Base Civil Engineer: Col Gus G. Elliott (301) 981-7281.

1. COMPONENT								2	. DATE
	FY 1	999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TION	J PR	OJECT D	ATA	ĺ	
AIR FORCE		(comput	er gene	rate	ed)			i_	
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATION		4.	PRO	JECT TI	TLE		
				1					
MCGUIRE AIR F	ORCE BAS	E, NEW JERSEY		IME	ROV	E FAMIL	Y HO	USING	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECI	NU	MBER 8	. PR	OJECT	COST (\$000
8.87.42		711-111	PTF	L974	037				212
		9. COS	T ESTIM	ATES	<u>. </u>				
				1			•	UNIT	COST
		rem				QUANTI	TY_	COST	(\$000)
		G BLDGS 2756 &	2757		UN		2	83,000	0 166
SUPPORTING FA									30
		PAINT REMOVAL	1		LS		ļ		(15
LANDSCAPING	/PATIO/F	ENCING)	LS]]		(_15
SUBTOTAL							ļ		196
CONTINGENCY (ļ			ŀ		_10
TOTAL CONTRAC			D (20)	}			1		206
	INSPECTI	ON AND OVERHEA	D (3%)	- !			ļ		6
TOTAL REQUEST				ļ					212
				}			ļ		
						1			
						 	-		1
				ļ		[l i		Į
				[l l		
						 	İ		1
MOST EXPENSIV	e initr	¢12	0,000			[]			1
AREA COST FAC		ŞID	1.14	l I		 	 		
		roposed Constr		<u>-</u>					<u> </u>

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior and exterior | modernization of two housing units. Upgrades floor coverings, improves | floorplans, increases energy efficiency, and provides new landscaping. | Includes demolition and asbestos/lead-based paint removal. Grade Mix: 2 | E5-E9.
- REQUIREMENT: 2,991 UN ADEQUATE: 1,353 UN SUBSTANDARD: 11. PROJECT: To improve Senior Enlisted Advisors' (SEA) quarters. REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient quarters for SNCOs and their dependents at McGuire AFB; to ensure that quarters meet life, safety, NEC and BOCA codes; and to provide a |comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This project provides new lighting fixtures, replacement of flooring, interior doors, finishes throughout, landscaping, and site improvements. Project is programmed to meet "whole house" standards IAW the McGuire AFB Housing Community Plan. CURRENT SITUATION: These quarters do not meet AMC's "whole house" standards. The quarters do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern, comfortable home environment. The walls, floors, ceilings in the quarters are old, badly worn and deteriorated. The plumbing and lighting fixtures are old and deteriorated. Cable and telephone wiring are exposed. The electrical system does not meet current safety codes. Units have inadequate storage and backyard privacy. floor in the living room is warped, cracked, seperating, and has made one unit uninhabitable. Both of these units meet the Level I criteria |relative to the need to accomplish this work within the next two years and these quarters significantly impact the morale occupants living in them. Building 2756 is in such poor condition that it is vacant and closed to

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MCGUIRE AIR FORCE BASE, NEW JERSEY	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PR	ROJECT NUMBER
	Ţ
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	TFL974037

occupants--forcing one SEA to live in a JNCO unit.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increased operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconveniences to the residents. The floor will continue to warp and crack thus becoming a greater safety hazard. SNCOs and their families will continue to live in quarters that do not meet AMC's "whole house" standards and are not comparable to off-base civilian homes.

| WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: 2756: FY96 Repair HVAC, \$10K. |
| FY 97 Repair kitchen and miscellaneous repairs, \$12K. FY98 Repair two bathrooms and miscellaneous repairs, \$12k. 2757: FY97 Repair bathroom, repair carpet in selected rooms, \$12k. FY98 Repair Kitchen, miscellaneous repairs, \$12k.

| WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: 2756: None. 2757: None. | ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the | alternatives of new construction, revitalization, and status quo | operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective | alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost effective over the | life of the project. The cost to improve these units is 67% of the | replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Scott Borges, | (609) 724-2642.

1. COMPONENT			*		2.	DATE
	FY 1999 MILIT	ARY CONSTRUCT	ION PRO	OJECT DATA	1	
AIR FORCE		omputer gener			-	
3. INSTALLATION				JECT TITLE	 :	
SEYMOUR JOHNSON				E MILITARY		
NORTH CAROLINA				G (PH 4)		
5. PROGRAM ELEMI	ENT 6. CATEGORY				ROJECT C	OST (\$000
		į				
8.87.42	711-111	VKAG	996001	i		9,682
		. COST ESTIMA		~		
			1	1	UNIT	COST
	ĮTEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
IMPROVE MILITARY	FAMILY HOUSIN	G (PH 4)	UN	100	71,100	7,110
SUPPORTING FACII	LITIES		į	İ	i	1,589
COMMON NEIGHBO	ORHOOD IMPROVEM	ENTS	LS	į į	i j	(789
ASSOC NEIGHBOR	RHOOD IMPROVE -	- PAVEMENT	LS		ĺ	(225
UTILITY SERV	/ICE LATERALS		LS		İ	(250
LANDSCAPING			LS			(155
CARPORTS, ST	CORAGE, CIRCULA	TION SPACE	LS			(119
DEMOLITION	(8 UN) & ENVIRO	NMENTAL	LS			(5
SUBTOTAL			1		1	8,699
CONTINGENCY (5%)				1	1	_ 435
TOTAL CONTRACT ([9,134
SUPERVISION, INS	SPECTION AND OV	ERHEAD (6%)	1		1	548
TOTAL REQUEST					1	9,682
			ļ			
			!	İ	Į	
MOST EXPENSIVE (\$108,300	ļ		ļ	
AREA COST FACTOR		0.82		L <u>_</u>	<u></u>	
	of Proposed C					
housing units.						rades
bathrooms and ki		ves floors, f				
efficiency. Pro						stalls
double paned wir			cludes	appliance	s, demol	ition,
and asbestos/lea			ITAI CI	TD C TO A TO A TO A	1 400	ITNI
	: 1,710 UN A				•	
	ve Military Fam					
REQUIREMENT: The housing for mili		-				
nousing for Mili	ltarv members a	na their debe	ndents	stationed	.at Sevm	our
Johnson AFB. Al	-	_			-	

| 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,710 UN ADEQUATE: 200 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,498 UN | PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing (Ph 4). (Current Mission). | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Seymour Johnson AFB. All units must be upgraded to whole house standards to provide a safe, comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base living community. This project is the fourth phase of a multi-phase program to upgrade 1,498 substandard family housing units. All units are programmed in accordance with Phase 2 of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, dining room, bedroom and bath configuration, with sufficient interior and exterior storage areas. Neighborhood improvements will provide playgrounds and landscaping. Existing overhead utility lines will be buried, deteriorated sewer lines will be replaced, and street layouts will be adjusted to improve neighborhood identity and reduce traffic safety problems.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project improves units built in 1958, which are showing the affects of age and heavy use. Livability and energy efficiency are at unacceptable standards. Doors and frames are extremely warped. Hot water heaters and HVAC systems have reached the end of their useful life, are extremely inefficient, and are producing serious

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	<u> </u>
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH CAROLINA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH 4)	AG996001

condensate problems resulting in peeling paint, deteriorating plaster walls, and mold and mildew problems. Patio doors and windows are poorly fitted, single pane units. Bathrooms are exceptionally small and in poor condition. They have undersized sinks and vanities and cracked and deteriorated gel-coated tubs and showers. Additionally, weatherbeaten exterior trim, combined with limited insulation and poor roofs is resulting in increased maintenance costs and reduced energy efficiency. Overhead primary electrical distribution systems need to replaced. Sanitary sewer lines are deteriorating and in some cases have failed completely.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will | continue to live in outdated and unsatisfactory housing conditions. | Without improvements, these houses will continue to deteriorate resulting | in increased maintenance and repair costs, increased inconvenience to the | occupants, and will ultimately become uninhabitable facilities. These | conditions will have an adverse affect on morale and degrade mission | execution.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: Eight units will be demolished in this project to reduce the density of the housing area and improve neighborhood conditions. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide." The cost to improve these units is 68% of the replacement cost. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. BCE: Lt Col Quincy Purvis, (919) 736-5511.

1. COMPONENT				2	. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUC	TION PR	OJECT	DATA	4	
AIR FORCE (computer gene	rated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PRO IMPROV				.Y
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA	HOUSIN				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO	JECT NU	MBER	8. 1	PROJECT	COST(\$000)
	F999200				13,829
9. COST ESTIM	ATES	,			
Toron				TINU	COST
ITEM				COST	(\$000)
IMPROVE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH5)	UN	1	.10	89,46	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES COMMON NEIGHBORHOOD SUPPORT		İ	!		2,584
ASSOC NEIGHBORHOOD IMPPAVEMENTS	LS LS	l i			(800)
SERVICE LATERALS	LS]]			(190)
LANDSCAPNG	LS	1			(170) (180)
ASBESTOS/LEAD BASE PAINT REMOVAL	LS	1			(180)
SPECIAL CONST FEATURE (ARCTC REC RM)	LS	1	1		(1,028)
SUBTOTAL	1	1	i		12,425
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1] 	1		621
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	i		ĺ		13,046
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	i	Ì			783
TOTAL REQUEST	-				13,829
	ì	Ì	,		1
1	ĺ				I I
	İ		i		1
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$142,600	i				i
AREA COST FACTOR 1.08	i	į	i		i
10. Description of Proposed Construction:	Impro	ve 110	hou	using u	nits.
Includes renovating kitchen and baths, rep	lacing	interi	or 1	lights	and
wiring, redesigning floor plans, improving	interi	or and	i ext	erior	finishes,
repairing pavements, and upgrading an addi	tional	28 SM.	Pr	covides	air
conditioning, appliances, landscaping, pla	yground	s and	recr	reation	areas.
Includes asbestos and lead paint removal.	Replac	es pri	vacy	/ fence	s.
11. REQUIREMENT: 2,604 UN ADEQUATE: 25	2 UN S	UBSTAN	IDARI): 2,2	207 UN
PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing					
REQUIREMENT: This project is required to					
housing for military members and their dep					
All units will be "whole house" improved t					
and appealing living environment comparabl					
community. This project is programmed in					
Housing Community Plan. This is the fifth		_	_		_
2459 housing units for base personnel. 252					
for upgrade. These improvements will prov					
room, and bath configuration with ample in					
upgrading 28 square meters per unit to pro					
Parking will be provided for a second vehi			_		
infrastructure will be upgraded to meet mo		using	need	is, to	include
landscaping, playgrounds and recreation ar			_	_	
CURRENT SITUATION: This project improves	_				
which are showing the affects of age and c			-		_
had no major upgrades since construction,					
today's family, nor do they provide a mode					
are narrow and dark, and do not provide ad	-				_
space. The bathrooms are very small and i	n poor	condit	ion.	Bath	room

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5	. PROJECT NUMBER
Ţ į	
IMPROVE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING (PH5)	QJVF999200

fixtures are outdated and inefficient. Lighting in hallways, bathrooms, and bedrooms is inadequate. The exteriors lack landscaping and have no covered patio for protection from the sun. Off street parking is severely limited, and traffic flow in and around the housing areas is inefficient and dangerous to pedestrians.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will continue to live in extremely outdated, unsuitable, and unsatisfactory housing. The housing will continue to deteriorate with age, resulting in increasing and unacceptable maintenance and repair costs, and extreme inconvenience to the occupants. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, repairs of these units will continue at a costly, piecemeal fashion, with little or no improvement in living quality. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: An ecomonic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, improvement, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Improvement costs represent 67% of replacement costs. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning Design Guide". The supervision, inspection and overhead is 6 percent due to the Army Corp of Engineer is the design/construction agent. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Mike Dronen, (701) 723-2434.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUC	CTION PROJECT DATA
AF (USAFE) (computer gene	erated)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
	IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY (VOGELWEH)	(PHASE A)
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO	DJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)
	1
8.87.42 711-161 YAN	NB974580 3,870
9. COST ESTIM	MATES
	UNIT COST
<u>ITEM</u>	U/MQUANTITY COST (\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	UN 32 111,812 3,578
SUBTOTAL	3,578
CONTINGENCY (5%)	179
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	3,757
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)	113
TOTAL REQUEST	3,870
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$120,200	

| 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improve 32 housing units. |Constructs bathroom and laundry tower additions. Modernizes/renovates |interior/exterior; increases energy efficiency. Upgrades kitchens, bath |rooms, floor coverings, stairwells, entryways; corrects fire deficiencies; |replaces balconies. Provides parking, playground, and recreation areas. |Includes demolition and asbestos/lead-base paint removal. |Grade Mix: 32 E1-E4.

1.54

REQUIREMENT: 9,703 UN ADEQUATE: 5,949 UN SUBSTANDARD: | PROJECT: Improve Military Family Housing (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Ramstein AB. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the second of multiple phases to upgrade 5138 houses. Two-hundred sixty-eight units have been upgraded or are approved in previous phases, this completes Phase A of the HCP to upgrade 300 homes. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are |programmed in accordance with Phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated homes will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bathroom configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to provide a laundry and second |bath for 3 and 4 bedroom units. Street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements will include refuse and recycling enclosures for containers, landscaping, community, and recreation areas. CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1950. These 47 year old houses require major renovation

AREA COST FACTOR

1.	COMPONENT						2. Di	ATE	
		FY 1999	MILITARY	CONSTRUCTION	N PROJECT	DATA	-		
AF	(USAFE)		(compi	uter generat	ed)		1		
3.	INSTALLATION	AND LOCAT	rion						
RAI	MSTEIN AIR BAS	E, GERMAN	Y (VOGEL	WEH)					
4.	PROJECT TITLE	1				5.	PROJECT	NUMBER	ł
						ĺ			
IM	PROVE FAMILY H	OUSING (PHASE A)			i	VANR974	580	

and repair resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrade since construction and do not meet the need of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Air Force homes in Germany are constructed in 3 and 4 story stairwell type buildings. Laundry rooms are community use located in basements. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets are obsolete and deteriorated. Wall and floor tiles are old, cracked, and worn. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated. Electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Existing balconies are corroded and breaking away from structures. Refuse and recycling containers do not have enclosures to retain materials, resulting in overflows in front of buildings. Parking is deficient -- one space per unit. Landscaping and recreation areas are deficient. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Families will be forced to take children up and down two to four flights of stairs to wash laundry in the basement. Balconies will further deteriorate posing a hazard to families in the unit and those living below. Refuse and recycling material will continue to litter the community areas as overflows occur. Parking will continue to be a problem. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue.

| WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None | WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 57% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Col Steve Smith 011-49-6371-47-6228.

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE	3
Ì	FY 1999	MILITARY	CONSTRUC	TIO	N PRO	JECT	DATA	Α.	ĺ		
AIR FORCE		(comp	uter gene	rate	ed)				1		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCA	rion		4.	PROJ	JECT 1	TTL	E	· <u>—</u>		
				IMI	PROVI	E FAMI	LY E	HOUSI	NG		
ANDERSEN AIR					ASE S						
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CA	regory co	DE 7. PRO	JEC:	וטא ז	MBER	8. 1	PROJE	CT (COST (\$000)
8.87.42	7:	11-111	AJJ	Y994	401				1	15,09	9
		9. 0	OST ESTIM	ATES	3						
								UNI'	- 1		ST
	ITEM					QUANT					00)
IMPROVE FAMIL		HASE 9			UN]	.02	128,	550	13	,112
SUPPORTING FA						ļ		ļ			849
	EMENTS/PAVE	MENTS			LS	f •				(203)
LANDSCAPING					LS			!		(99)
	AD-BASED PA	INT REMOV	/AL		LS			1		(219)
UTILITIES					LS				ļ	(328)
SUBTOTAL	r & \				 	 		† 1		13	,961
CONTINGENCY (,				 	 		<u> </u>		1 -	698
SUPERVISION,		NND OVERV	152 (38)		 	l i		1		14	659 . 440
TOTAL REQUEST		AND OVER	IEAD (3%)		! 	l i		 	į	1.5	099
TOTAL REQUEST					 	 		† 1		1 13	,099
					 	 		! !	!		
					}	i 1		! 1	1		
					 	! 		! 			
					†) }		}			
MOST EXPENSIV	E UNIT	Ś	167,000					1	i		
AREA COST FAC	יייני) די	•	2,01		i			1			

- | 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 102 housing units. | Provides interior and exterior modernization and renovation. Includes | utility upgrade and additions to meet current standards. Upgrades | kitchens, bathrooms, improves floorplans, and increases energy efficiency. | Provides patios, playgrounds, recreation areas and utilities replacement. | Includes asbestos/lead-based paint removal.
- | 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,735 UN ADEQUATE: 518 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,294 UN | PROJECT: Improve Family Housing (Phase 9). (Current Mission) | REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient | housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Andersen | AFB. Housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to | provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the | off-base civilian community. This is the ninth of multiple phases to | upgrade housing units. Four hundred sixty-three units have been upgraded | or approved in previous phases and 1,294 units remain to be accomplished. | All units will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance | with phase seven of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will | provide modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath | configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. Units will be air | conditioned. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include | landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1960. These 36 year-old housing units require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchens do not provide adequate storage, cabinet space or

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	TRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer	generated)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 9	AJJY994401

countertop area, and are not functionally arranged. Plumbing and lighting fixtures are deteriorated. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not |provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring, windows, and roofing require replacement. The units have inadequate living space and storage. Playgrounds, parking areas, and landscaping are inadequate or nonexistent.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue, since suitable, affordable off-base housing is not avialable.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None.

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 56% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Stewart Nelson, (671) 366-7101

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTR	UCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer ge	nerated)
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH,	IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING
UNITED KINGDOM	(PHASE A)
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. F	ROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

8.87.42 6,786

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
	1	1	UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	UN	60	64,733	3,884
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			!	2,390
PAVEMENTS	LS			(822)
LIGHTING	LS		1	(239)
LANDSCAPING	LS		İ	(791)
RECREATION	LS	[(538)
SUBTOTAL	1		\	6,274
CONTINGENCY (5%)	}			314
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			ì	6,588
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)	1		}	198
TOTAL REQUEST			1	6,786
			j	
	ĺ	1	ļ	
	Ì		ĺ	İ
			Ì	Ì
	ĺ	ĺ		j
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$93,000	i		j	Ì
AREA COST FACTOR 1.37				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 60 housing units. Provides interior and exterior modernization and renovation of units. Upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, and floor coverings. Improves floor plans, provides increased energy efficiency, privacy fencing and patios. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Provides landscaping, parks, and recreation areas. |Grade Mix: 60 E1-E4.

REQUIREMENT: 5,400 UN ADEQUATE: 3,020 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Improve Family Housing (Phase A) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at RAF |Lakenheath. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the first of multiple phases to upgrade 815 houses. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with Phase A of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living |room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car garages and off street parking will be provided, where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and include landscaping, playgrounds and recreation areas. CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was |constructed in 1940. These 57 year old houses require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	j
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	i i
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ROYAL AIR FORCE LAKENHEATH, UNITED KINGDOM	ļ
4. PROJECT TITLE 5. P	ROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE A)	PLS984015

needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. |Fault Circuit Interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens and exterior circuits. Flooring is worn, stained, loose, and mismatched due to nonavailability of original materials for replacement The units have inadequate living space, storage, nor patio or backyard privacy. There is little landscaping and no developed public neighborhood areas.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operations, maintenance, and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. Affordable off-base housing is not available. The most recent Housing Market Analysis shows a housing deficit of 1882 units.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvment was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 62% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Andy Scrafford 011-44-1-638-52-2100.

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE	
	F	Y 1999 MILITA	RY C	ONSTRUC'	TION	PR	OJECT DAT	A	Ì		
AIR FORCE (computer generated)											
3. INSTALLATIO	ON ANI	LOCATION			4.	PRO	JECT TITL	E			
ROYAL AIR FOR	CE MII	LDENHALL,			IMP	ROVI	E FAMILY	HOUSI	NG		
UNITED KINGDOM	М				(PH	IASE	B)				
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	JECT	יטעו	MBER 8.	PROJE	CT (COST (\$0	00)
							į				
8.87.42		711-181		QFQI	E984	013	İ			2,153	
		9.	COST	r ESTIM	ATES						
					1			UNI	r	COST	
		ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	COS	Γ	(\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY	HOUS	SING (PHASE B)			UN	22	64,	227	1,4	13
SUPPORTING FAC	CILITI	ES			1		}	1	ĺ	5	77
PAVEMENTS					1	LS		ĺ		(1	86)
LANDSCAPING					1	LS				(1	98)
RECREATION						LS				(85)
DEMOLITION						LS]		(6
COMMON NEIGH	BORHO	DOD			1	LS	}			(1	02
SUBTOTAL					- 1					1,9	90
CONTINGENCY (S	58)				- 1			1		1	00
TOTAL CONTRACT	COSI]	1		2,0	90
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)					1		1	1			63
TOTAL REQUEST					1		Ì	1		2,1	53
								1			
					1		l	1			
					1			1			
		_						1	i		
MOST EXPENSIVE	E UNIT	[\$90	0,200							

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 22 housing units. |Modernizes/renovates interior/exterior of units. Upgrades kitchens, bath rooms, floor coverings, improves floorplans, provides increased energy efficiency, patios, playgrounds, recreation areas, and adds parking where deficient. Includes utility upgrades and additions to meet current standards. Includes demolition & asbestos/lead-base paint removal. Grade Mix: 22 E1-E4.

1.38

REQUIREMENT: 5,400 UN ADEQUATE: 3,378 UN SUBSTANDARD: PROJECT: Improve Family Housing (Phase B) (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient |housing for military members and their dependents stationed at RAF Mildenhall. The housing units must be upgraded to meet current life safety codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment |comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the second of |multiple phases to upgrade 268 houses. Thirty-five units were approved in previous phases, and 233 remain to be accomplished in this and subsequent phases. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with Phase B of the Housing Community Plan. Renovated housing | will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom, and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. Units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car garages and off street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. | CURRENT SITUATION: The project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1935. These 62 year old houses require major renovation

AREA COST FACTOR

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM	
4. PROJECT TITLE 5.	PROJECT NUMBER
j i	
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING (PHASE B)	QFQE984013

and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrade since construction, do not meet the needs of todays families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Plumbing and light fixtures are inefficient. The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground fault circuit interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchens, and exterior circuits. Flooring is old, worn and mismatched due to non-availability of original materials for replacement. The plaster on the walls is old and cracking. The units have inadequate living space, storage, and lack patios. Landscaping and recreation areas for housing residents are deficient. Pavement and parking areas need renovation.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, | resulting in increasing operations, maintenance, and repair costs to the | Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention | problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. | Suitable, affordable off-base housing is not available. The most recent | Housing Market Analysis shows a housing deficit of 1882 units for RAF | Mildenhall and RAF Lakenheath.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: None

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: None

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvement was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 56% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Seb Romano 011-44-1-638-54-2205.

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
F	Y 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	ATA
AIR FORCE	(comput	er generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND	D LOCATION	4. PROJECT TI	rle
ROYAL AIR FORCE MO	LESWORTH,		
UNITED KINGDOM		IMPROVE FAMILY	Y HOUSING
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	. PROJECT COST(\$000)
		1	1
8.87.42	711-181	AEDY989701	1,992
1	9. COS'	T ESTIMATES	·

J. COST ESTIMA	1110			
	1		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	UN	24	68,750	1,650
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			192
SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS			(33)
UTILITIES	LS		1	(84)
PAVEMENTS	LS		i	(54)
DEMOLITION	LS			(21)
SUBTOTAL	1			1,842
CONTINGENCY (5%)				92
TOTAL CONTRACT COST		1		1,934
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (3%)	1			58
TOTAL REQUEST			j	1,992
	1	1	1	
	1			
•	ĺ			
	Ì	l İ		
MOST EXPENSIVE UNIT \$89,600	j	i i		
AREA COST FACTOR 1.36	İ	ĺ		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Improves 24 housing units. | Modernizes/renovates interior and exterior of housing units. Constructs | entrance foyer; repairs roofs and gutters; upgrades kitchens, bathrooms, | heating, plumbing and electrical systems. Provides patio covers, privacy | fencing, walkways, and parking. Includes demolition & asbestos/lead base | paint removal.

Grade Mix: 10 E1-E4; 14 E5-E9.

REQUIREMENT: 743 UN ADEQUATE: 338 UN SUBSTANDARD: | PROJECT: Improve Family Housing. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at RAF |Molesworth. The housing must be upgraded to meet current life safety |codes and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment |comparable to the off-base civilian community. This project continues a multi-phased initiative to upgrade 429 houses. All units will meet "whole |house" standards. Renovated housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. Living units will be expanded to meet current space authorizations. Single car garages and off street parking will be provided where deficient. Neighborhood improvements are required and include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. |CURRENT SITUATION: This project upgrades and modernizes housing which was constructed in 1957. These 40 year old houses require major renovation and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment.

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE
FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	'A
AIR FORCE (computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	
	ĺ
ROYAL AIR FORCE MOLESWORTH, UNITED KINGDOM	
4. PROJECT TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
IMPROVE FAMILY HOUSING	AEDY989701

|Kitchen and bathroom cabinets and fixtures are obsolete and deteriorated. |The electrical systems do not meet modern construction codes. Ground |Fault Circuit Interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, |kitchens and exterior circuits. Flooring is worn, stained, loose, and |mismatched due to nonavailability of original materials for replacement |The units have inadequate living and storage space, and lack patio/ |backyard privacy. There is little landscaping and no developed public |neighborhood areas.

| IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, | resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the | Government and inconvenience to residents. Low morale and retention | problems can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. | Suitable, affordable off-base housing is not available.

WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN PREVIOUS THREE YEARS: NONE

WORK PROGRAMMED FOR NEXT THREE YEARS: NONE

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, improvment was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 50% of the replacement cost. Base Civil Engineer: Maj Tony Foti, 44-1-638-54-3216

FY 1999 ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN

Program (In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$11,342
FY 1998 Program \$11,971

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for preliminary studies to develop additional family housing facilities, one time multi-phase design, and housing community plan developments; studies for site adaptation and determination of type and design of units; and working drawings, specifications, estimates, project planning reports and final design drawings of family housing construction projects. This includes the use of architectural and engineering services in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction program.

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for:

- (1) Advance planning and design for future year housing programs;
- (2) FY 1999 appropriation of \$11,342 to fund this effort as outlined in the following exhibit:

1. COMPONENT				2.	DATE		
FY 1999 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTIO	N PR	OJECT DATA	A			
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION	4.	PRO	JECT TITL	Ξ			
	FA	MILY	HOUSING A	ADVANCE			
VARIOUS AIR FORCE BASES	PL	ANNI	NG AND DES	SIGN			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7. PROJEC	T NU	MBER 8. I	PROJECT	COST(\$000)		
			1				
8.87.42 711-000	XXXX97	000P	AD		11,342		
9. C	OST ESTIMATE	s					
				UNIT	COST		
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)		
FAMILY HOUSING ADVANCE PLANNING A	ND	}	<u> </u>				
DESIGN		LS	!		11,342		
SUBTOTAL					11,342		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST]]		11,342		
TOTAL REQUEST					11,342		
		!	[
			!				
		}	!				
			ĺ				
		ļ	1		ļ ļ		
)	İ]		
			<u> </u>		į į		
			ļ				
			!				
		ļ	!				
la Barristian of Buonard Conn			L	L	<u> </u>		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Architect-engineer services, surveys, fees, etc., in connection with advance planning and design of family housing dwelling units and properties included in or proposed for the Air Force Family Housing Account.

11. PROJECT:

REQUIREMENT: The funds requested are necessary to procure architectlengineer services to make site and utility investigations; one time multi-phase design, and housing community plan (HCP) developments; for the preparation of design and specifications of advance plans for future year housing programs in connection with any family housing new or post acquisition construction programs.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The funds requested are neccessary to support the development of the Housing Community Plans and to support the new construction and post acquisition construction programs.

OPERATIONS, UTILITIES AND MAINTENANCE (Excluding Leasing and Debt)

Program (\$ in Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$671,892
FY 1998 Program \$699,332

<u>Purpose and Scope:</u> Provides operations and maintenance resources to pay for the cost of ownership in terms of property management and day-to-day maintenance.

- a. <u>Operations</u>. This portion of the program provides for operating expenses in the following sub-accounts:
- management such as housing office operations, quality assurance evaluators, administrative support, community liaison, and annual service fees paid to the Corporation-Trust Company. Provides the required corporate presence in Delaware for the United States Air Force Housing, Inc., which continues as the entity holding title to Capehart and Wherry real property. The housing referral program assists the two-thirds of Air Force families that live in local communities to find quarters in the private sector and implements the Fair Housing Act of 1968. Services include counseling on housing decision-making, providing advance information on new base of assignment, and assisting through settling-in and home-finding services.
- (2) Services. Provides basic support services including refuse collection and disposal; fire and police protection; entomology and pest control; and snow removal and street cleaning.
- (3) Furnishings. Procures household equipment (primarily stoves and refrigerators) and, in limited circumstances, furniture; controls furnishings inventories; and, maintains and repairs furniture and appliances.
- (4) Miscellaneous. Includes mobile home hookups, leased office and warehouse space supporting family housing, payments to other federal agencies or foreign governments to operate permit housing units occupied by Air Force personnel, and similar costs.
- b. <u>Utilities</u>. Includes all heat, electricity, water, sewer, and gas utilities serving family housing, purchased and base produced, except occupant purchased utilities such as telephone and cable TV.

- c. <u>Maintenance</u>. Provides upkeep of family housing real property, as follows:
- (1) Maintenance/Repair of Dwellings. Service calls, routine maintenance, repairs, and replacement of deteriorated facility components.
- (2) Exterior Utilities. Maintenance and repair of water, sewer, electric, steam and gas lines supporting family housing areas.
- (3) Other Real Property. Upkeep of grounds, common areas, roads, parking areas, and other property for the exclusive use of family housing occupants not discussed above.
- (4) Alterations and Additions. Minor alterations to housing units or housing support facilities. Large scope and high dollar value projects are included in the construction program.

The Air Force family housing budget requests essential resources to provide military families with housing either in the private market through assistance from a housing referral office, or in government housing. Increased emphasis has been placed on the proper funding of the family housing operations and maintenance program. The Air Force's FY 1999 Operation and Maintenance program emphasizes the following goals:

- * Identify affordable housing for military members. Where shortages exist, accomplish housing surveys and identify project proposals to request new construction or leasing of housing for military families.
- * Invest wisely in maintenance and repairs to preserve and restore the existing required housing inventory worldwide.
- * Reduce utility consumption through increased management emphasis on energy conservation and whole-house improvements.
- * Reduce furnishings inventories in accordance with transfers and realignments. Redistribute excess furnishings from realigned bases.
- * Fund government appliances and furniture consistent with cost/benefit studies and the delivery of new housing units which need government-supplied appliances.
- * Continue the Quarters Cleaning Initiative (QCI) which helps limit expensive overseas temporary housing allowances (TLAs) to

approximately three days in lieu of the 10-day maximum. QCI program costs are offset by known savings in TLA accounts.

- * Schedule maintenance and repair activities along with whole-house improvements to obtain the greatest enhancement in livability while increasing the useful life of housing units with the minimum capital investment and minimum impact on occupants.
- * Pursue privatization ventures that will transfer operation and maintenance responsibility to the private sector where cost effective. Accelerated revitalization of housing assets is the biggest benefit of privatization.
- * Continue efforts to decrease operations and maintenance costs in certain high-cost quarters.
- * Continue installation, operation, maintenance, and improvement of the Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM, formerly identified as Housing Information Management System (HIMS)), an Air Force-wide computer system designed to assist in all phases of housing management. Ongoing initiatives include beta-testing of software needed to fulfill daily assignment, scheduling, maintenance, and inspection of units. Improved customer service and reduced operations costs are anticipated through the fielding of this system.

This budget request is for funds needed to meet must-pay operations and utilities expenses, as well as the maintenance and repair of existing housing inventory. The Air Force shares the concerns of Congress to improve support to military families and to properly maintain the required existing housing inventory. This budget supports a long-range program responsive to Congressional desires while considering the current environment of budget restraint.

Operation and Maintenance FY 1999 Program Summary - Highlights Authorization/Appropriation is requested in FY 1999 for \$671,892,000. This amount, together with estimated reimbursements of \$9,400,000, will fund the FY 1999 Operation and Maintenance program of \$681,292,000.

A summary of the funding program for FY 1999 is as follows (\$ in thousands):

Operations	Util	Maint	Total Direct	Reimburse-	Total
Request	Request	Request	Request	<u>ment</u>	Program
\$131,019	\$152,214	\$388,659	\$671,892	\$9,400	\$681,292

Air Force Military Family Housing Operation and Maintenance, Summary (Excludes Leased Units and Costs) FY 1999

					EXHIBIT FH-2	WORLDWIDE
INVENTORY DATA	FY 97 WORLDWIDE			RLDWIDE	FY 99 WORLDWIDE	
UNITS IN BEGINNING of YEAR	110,7	766	109,8	331	109,476	
UNITS AT END of YEAR	109,8	331	109,4	176	110,181	
AVERAGE INVENTORY FOR YEAR	110,2	299	109,6	654	109,	829
FUNDING REQUIREMENTS (\$000)	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST
OPERATIONS (DIRECT)						
MANAGEMENT	53,213	\$482	52,665	\$480	52,495	\$478
SERVICES	32,824	\$298	35,819	\$327	36,066	\$328
FURNISHINGS	39,149	\$355	39,448	\$360	37,218	\$339
MISCELLANEOUS	<u>4,715</u>	<u>\$43</u>	<u>5,204</u>	<u>\$47</u>	<u>5,240</u>	<u>\$48</u>
SUBTOTAL - DIRECT OBLIGATIONS	\$129,901	\$1,178	\$133,136	\$1,214	\$131,019	\$1,193
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>\$1,475</u>	<u>\$13</u>	<u>\$1,605</u>	<u>\$15</u>	<u>\$1,642</u>	<u>\$15</u>
GROSS OBLIGATIONS - OPERATIONS	\$131,376	\$1,191	\$134,741	\$1,229	\$132,661	\$1,208
UTILITY OPERATIONS	163,841	\$1,485	156,511	\$1,427	152,214	\$1,386
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>6,864</u>	<u>\$62</u>	<u>6,924</u>	<u>\$63</u>	<u>7,062</u>	<u>\$64</u>
GROSS OBLIGATIONS - UTILITIES	\$170,705	\$1,548	\$163,435	\$1,490	\$159,276	\$1,450
MAINTENANCE (DIRECT)						
M&R DWELLINGS	285,773	\$2,591	288,423	\$2,630	272,294	\$2,479
M&R EXT. UTILITIES	44,617	\$405	44,697	\$408	42,697	\$389
M&R OTH REAL PROP	38,477	\$349	38,670	\$353	37,251	\$339
ALTER & ADDITIONS	<u>37,793</u>	<u>\$343</u>	<u>37,895</u>	<u>\$346</u>	<u>36,417</u>	<u>\$332</u>
SUBTOTAL - DIRECT OBLIGATIONS	\$406,660	\$3,687	\$409,685	\$3,736	\$388,659	\$3,539
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>\$661</u>	<u>\$6</u>	<u>\$669</u>	<u>\$6</u>	<u>\$696</u>	<u>\$6</u>
GROSS OBLIGATIONS - MAINTENANCE	\$407,321	\$3,693	\$410,354	\$3,742	\$389,355	\$3,545
TOTAL - DIRECT OPS & MAINTENANCE	\$700,402	\$6,350	\$699,332	\$6,340	\$671,892	\$6,092
Anticipated Reimbursements	<u>\$9,000</u>	<u>\$82</u>	<u>\$9,198</u>	<u>\$84</u>	<u>\$9,400</u>	<u>\$86</u>
TOTAL GROSS OPS & MAINTENANCE	\$709,402	\$6,432	\$708,530	\$6,462	\$681,292	\$6,203

	EXHIBIT FH-5	(\$000)	FY98 FY99	0 0 29	15 2,559 2,522	2 2,559 2,522
REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES OPERATION & MAINTENANCE COSTS Real Property Maintenance and Minor Construction Projects (HISTORIC HOUSING COSTS)			HISTORIC HOUSING COSTS FY97	A. No. of Units: 1044 B. Improvements: 5,567	C. Maintenance and Repair: 2,945	Grand Total: 8,512

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

OPERATIONS

Program In Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$131,019
FY 1998 Program \$133,136

The FY 1999 program represents Air Force family housing requirements and was developed using OSD/OMB approved inflation and foreign currency fluctuation rates. Adjustments have been made for force mission realignments. All program sub-accounts are described in detail in the following analyses:

Management. The Management account includes installation-level management functions such as housing office operations, quality assurance evaluators, administrative support, community liaison, and annual service fees paid to the Corporate-Trust Company to provide the required corporate presence in Delaware. The housing referral program assists members to find quarters in the private sector and implements the Fair Housing Act of 1968.

)

\$52,495

	(\$ in	Thousands
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$48,712
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriation Amount:	\$48,712
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers	None
7.	Program Increases: Housing Privatization Feasibility studies, investment in Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM) computer development.	\$3,953
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$52,665
10.	Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation Rate adjustment	\$ 790 \$-238
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increases: One-time computer-assisted training development and computer based procedures to serve customers	\$202
13.	Program Decreases: Non-recurring investment for Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM)computer system development.	\$-924
1.4	TT 1000 D 1 + D + -	+50 405

February 1998 Page No. 404

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

Analysis of Change in Management

The Management sub-account is a relatively stable program and is predominately fixed costs such as salaries and required administrative support supplies and equipment. As part of our management activity, we are continuing to develop new computer-based work tools to improve customer service and management of resources. This effort includes further refinement and operational implementation of the Automated Civil Engineer System-Housing Module (ACES-HM). This system improves customer services and data sharing for overall program management, and provides interactive training to ensure field acceptance and use.

As part of the continuing effort to develop alternatives for more cost effective activities, the Management sub-account provides funds for studies of privatization projects at selected installations. The management sub-account also provides funds for Housing Market Analyses at each base to determine the proper amount of housing needed to support the assigned population.

The Management sub-account is not per-unit specific since there is a basic level of support and manning for the base housing office regardless of the number of units. Minor adjustments were included in the budget request based on small changes in the inventory as well as increases for inflation.

<u>Services.</u> Provides basic support services such as refuse collection and disposal; fire and police protection; entomology and pest control; snow removal; and street cleaning.

Military family housing activities are affected by many new environmental standards. The environmental legislative changes in states and foreign countries continue to evolve leading to an uncertain ability to predict program growth. Initiatives to remove lead based paint and asbestos, install leak detection on underground heating fuel storage tanks, and provide spill/overflow protection and corrosion control are also covered within this account. Increases in landfill costs are programmed and we anticipate these to continue in the future.

(\$ in Thousands) 1. FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended): \$35,849 2. Congressional Adjustments: None 3. FY 1998 Appropriated Amount: \$35,849 4. Supplementals: None 5. Price Growth: None 6. Functional Program Transfers: None 7. Program Increases: None 8. \$-30 Program Decreases: Adjustments to recycling programs 9. FY 1998 Current Estimate: \$35,819 10. Price Growth: Inflation \$537 a. Foreign Currency Fluctuation rate adjustment \$-724 11. Functional Program Transfers: None 12. Program Increases: Additional tipping fees and environmental \$434 protection costs, inventory increase (175 units)

13. Program Decreases:

None

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

\$36,066

Analysis of Changes in Services

The Services budget request has been increased to meet the cost growth for service contracts. The most significant cost increases are for refuse removal contracts which are being modified to accommodate more costly environmental standards. This cost growth is primarily for increased tipping fees (landfill dumping costs) due to additional environmental requirements for safer containment of landfill runoff. In FY 1996 and FY 1997, new mandatory and voluntary recycling programs were implemented. Following initial recycling start-up costs, these programs have leveled off for FY 1998 and 1999.

Furnishings. Includes the procurement for initial issue and replacement of household equipment (primarily stoves and refrigerators) and in limited circumstances, furniture; the control, moving, and handling of furnishings inventories; and the maintenance and repair of such items.

This Fiscal Year 1999 Budget reflects the "Sense of Congress" for increased burden sharing with foreign governments. Force structure reductions overseas have allowed the Air Force to reduce overseas furnishings inventories. However, overseas realignments are still occurring which increases operating costs for moving furnishings, as well as making it necessary to maintain adequate backup stock of appliances and furnishings for our overseas dependent families.

Loaner sets of furniture are issued to military families overseas so they may occupy permanent quarters prior to the arrival of personally owned furniture. Loaner sets are very cost effective because they reduce the cost of temporary quarters. Other items of household furnishings normally built into CONUS houses which are limited or not available in foreign countries, such as wardrobes (clothes closets), kitchen cabinets and appliances, are also issued to military families.

Leases in Europe also require closets and cabinets to be issued along with appliances since leased units overseas do not have the same accommodations available as in the United States.

The furnishings account funds essential furnishings at levels consistent with cost/benefit studies and the needs of the Air Force. Much of the funding requested in the furnishings account results from an analysis of the most economical use of funds for the government and avoids higher costs in other accounts such as military allowances and other support appropriations.

(\$ in Thousands)

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$36,427
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$36,427
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None

- 7. Program Increases: Italian Appliance Law, 3,021 unanticipated furniture requirements in PACAF and USAFE.
- 8. Program Decreases: None
- 9. FY 1998 Current Estimate: \$39,448
- 10. Price Growth:
 - a. Inflation \$592b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation rate adjustment \$-760
- 11. Functional Program Transfers: None
- 12. Program Increases:
 One-time transformer buy, inventory
 increase (175 units)
 \$457
- 13. Program Decreases: \$-2,519
 Stabilized investment in Italian appliances,
 PACAF and USAFE unanticipated requirements satisfied
- 14. FY 1999 Budget Request: \$37,218

Analysis of Changes in Furnishings

Furnishings costs are trending downward from over \$50 million per year in the late 1980's to \$37.2M in FY 1999. Base closures and realignments from overseas have been the primary cause of these reductions. Also, the Air Force reduced the number of locations with limited Joint Travel Regulation status which alleviated some of the requirement for furnishings support. During realignments in Europe furniture was moved to new locations to support continued operations. This FY 1999 budget request takes into consideration force structure drawdowns and closures and related shifts of furnishings. Even so, this request addresses the needs of newly constructed and leased housing units being added to the CONUS Air Force inventory to compensate for housing deficits. Also, mission requirements and realignments have resulted in build-up of activities at several locations in Europe, to include increases in concurrent family travel at Lakenheath AB England and Aviano AB Italy. With more families at these locations to support, the furnishings requirements have increased. Changes to Italian Law drive purchases of non-US manufactured gas appliances for use at Italian locations.

Miscellaneous. Includes mobile home hookups, leased office and warehouse space supporting family housing, payments to other Federal agencies or foreign governments (i.e. United Kingdom and Australia) to operate Permit Housing units occupied by Air Force personnel, and similar costs.

Perb	omici, and similar coses.	(\$ in Thousands)
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget:	\$5,661
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$5,661
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases: Increased accommodation fees for RAF housing at Lakenheath and increased administrative supposts in USAFE	\$31 port
8.	Program Decreases: Anticipated savings in country-to-country agree in Australia and Japan	-488 ements
9.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$5,204
10.	Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation	\$78 \$-2
11.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
12.	Program Increases: Shared unit fees, inventory increase (175 units	\$43
13.	Program Decreases: Anticipated savings in country-to-country agreement with Australia from currency gain	\$-83
14.	FY 1999 Budget Request:	\$5,240

Analysis of Changes in Miscellaneous

Minor adjustments are made to a stable program which covers incidental costs in support of the family housing accounts. The decrease results from costs of units supported in Australia are subject to foreign currency gains or losses which are not covered in the FCF account. These accommodation costs are incurred in accordance with requirements in host country agreements and are budgeted as "must pay" expenses. In addition, costs have increased due to the implementation of the International Cooperative Administrative Support Services (ICASS) Program which is a new system for managing and sharing the administrative support costs of overseas operations of US Foreign Affairs agencies and other US Government agencies that operate as part of the country team at US Embassies.

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

<u>Utilities.</u> This program provides for all utilities consumed in government-owned family housing. Electricity, purchased heating, water, sewage and waste systems are included. Military Family Housing facilities consume approximately one-fifth of Air Force facility energy usage; therefore, Military Family Housing residents and management share a significant role in the achievement of Air Force energy reduction goals. Since Military Family Housing occupants are not billed for their energy consumption, conservation motivation is rooted in other than individual financial incentives. The single most effective motivator is command emphasis. Energy projects to install set back thermostats, water heater jacket insulation, insulation in crawl and attic spaces, and thermal doors and windows are also achieving good results toward the attainment of Air Force energy conservation goals.

(\$ in Thousands) 1. FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended): \$154,556 2. Congressional Adjustments: None \$154,556 FY 1998 Appropriated Amount: 4. Supplementals: None 5. Price Growth: None 6. Functional Program Transfers: None 7. Program Increases: Unstable country-to-\$1,955 country agreements 8. Program Decreases: None 9. FY 1998 Current Estimate: \$156,511 10. Price Growth: a. Inflation \$2,348 Foreign Currency Fluctuation Rate Adjustment \$-1,186

February 1998

11. Functional Program Transfer:

None

12. Program Increases:

Inventory increase (175 units)

\$255

13. Program Decreases:

Savings from commander's emphasis on energy \$-5,714 conservation

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

\$152,214

Analysis of Changes in Utilities

The requirement for FY 1999 is based on historical obligation trends which continue to be influenced by weather and energy conservation savings resulting from whole-house improvements and energy conservation projects. In addition, conversion of Military Family Housing units in Germany from base-produced heat to heat purchased from a local plant helped reduce overall utility costs. In general, the continuing trend for utilities is cost growth below normal inflation as a result of on-going programs and initiatives to conserve energy. The consumption usage stream shown in the following table is consistent with the Air Force goals of reducing energy consumption and costs through conversion to natural gas and installation of energy saving materials in housing units.

UTILITIES (000)

PROJECTED ENERGY CONSUMPTION	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Electricity (KWH)	1,740	1,687	1,636
Fuel Oil (Bbls)	388	380	372
Natural Gas (KCF)	6,290	6,227	6,164
Coal (MBTUs)	352	348	345
Purchased Steam (MBTUs)	576	564	552

Overall, utility rates are stable. Continued conservation efforts are reducing consumption and costs. The primary reason for cost growth is due to inflation which is offset by continued emphasis on conservation of utilities and investment in energy savings housing materials.

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

<u>Maintenance</u>. Provides upkeep of family housing real property through service calls, change of occupancy rehabilitation, routine maintenance, preventive maintenance, interior and exterior painting, and major repairs.

exte.	rior parmerng, and major repairs.	(\$	in 5	Thousand	ds)
1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):		\$43	2,282	
2.	Congressional Adjustments:		\$-1	2,700	
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:		\$41	9,582	
4.	Supplementals:			None	
5.	Price Growth:			None	
6.	Functional Program Transfers:			None	
7.	Program Increases:			None	
8.	Program Decreases: Increased "must pay" costs in other accounts have caused a decrease in available funds for maintenance: to Management for privatization studies, to Furnishings to meet requirement of Italian appliance laws, to Leasing to meet increased costs and additional overseas requirement, to Utilities to meet additional costs on unstable country-to-country agreement.	or on et		9,897	
9.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:		\$40	9,685	
10.	Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation			6,145 4,449	
11.	Functional Program Transfer:			None	
12.	Program Increases: Inventory increase (175 units)			\$436	

13. Program Decreases:
Non-emergency maintenance deferred due to budget constraints

\$-23,158

14. FY 1999 Budget Request:

\$388,659

Analysis of Changes in Maintenance Program

Previously limited maintenance funding and a high occupant turnover have accelerated deterioration of the Air Force's aging housing inventory. Constrained funding has resulted in a greater reliance on temporary fixes which in the long run only exacerbates the deterioration of our housing units. In addition, the infrastructure which supports the units is now beyond its projected economic life at most of our installations. Several systems have failed and many are near failure.

The family housing assets maintained by the Air Force are valued at over \$16.5 billion in replacement costs. Sound property management must be applied to preserve and protect this major investment to ensure that these facilities can be occupied continuously. Budget constraints have had an adverse impact on the Air Force's program to contain the growth of deferred maintenance.

SUMMARY OF BACKLOG OF DEFERRED MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR (DMAR) (\$ in Millions)

	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999
Beginning of Year DMAR	928	971	1,086
Revitalization Reduction BRAC IV reduction Per-Year Asset Degradation (Inflation and Agast Deterioration)	-76 -1 70	-73 0 72	-49 0 80
(Inflation and Asset Deterioration) Revised Beginning of Year DMAR	921	970	1,117
Annual Maintenance Requirement	457	526	530
Total Requirement Annual Maintenance Funding	1378 407	1,496 410	1,647 388
End of Year Backlog Backlog Reduction (Growth)	971 (43)	1,086 (115)	1,259 (173)
DMAR per Dwelling Unit (\$000)	8.8	9.9	11.5

Deterioration of the Air Force's aging housing inventory is accelerating. The total maintenance requirement reflected on this chart portrays only those projects which are required to meet and sustain approved standards. This chart reflects the decision to fund maintenance at the highest possible level to arrest DMAR growth. However, with current funding constraints DMAR continues to grow.

In a 20 June 1995 DoD Inspector General Quality of Life Survey, 73% of DoD-wide Installation Commanders expressed concern about Family Housing and its impact on personnel performing the mission on their installations. Family Housing received the highest ranked response at 73%, far outpacing the next highest concern which was 34% for Health Care. Within the Air Force, 91% of the Installation Commanders expressed concern for Family Housing and 82% placed Family Housing in their top three priorities for needing additional funding--above areas such as base facilities, recreation and services, income/cost of living adjustments, and even health care.

Consistent with Congressional concerns, the Air Force is actively pursuing means to reduce the Deferred Maintenance and Repair backlog. The Air Force's goal is to reduce end of year backlog to one year's normal recurring maintenance and repair of our dwellings to ensure availability of quarters which meet Air Force standards. The method we use to measure our effectiveness against these standards is to track the impact of the funded program against Deferred Maintenance and When funding is lower than maintenance requirements, asset deterioration accelerates. This current growth of maintenance costs is above inflation rates and increases the scope of future programmed work. Another impact from underfunded maintenance is an increase in the number of emergency repairs which are disruptive to occupants, costly, and manpower intensive. The backlog of unrepaired systems also generates other work (i.e., delayed roof projects require additional work to fix leaks, patch and paint ceilings, etc.) Current funding levels do not achieve the goal of reducing Deferred Maintenance and Repair.

The Air Force has initiated a whole-house/whole-neighborhood concept to determine total funding required to bring existing facilities up to new construction standards. This concept combines all improvements with required maintenance and repairs into one project, minimizing quarters downtime and disruption to residents for piece-meal work. The dollars in the revitalization program contribute to the reduction in Deferred Maintenance and Repair. However, if whole-house renovations are delayed for too long, emergency projects to fix specific systems (e.g. roof leaks) must be accomplished in the interim, driving up life-cycle costs.

Quality family housing has a great impact on the lives of our members and the readiness of our forces. It is for this reason that we believe the maintenance dollars the Air Force has programmed in this budget will have a payback far greater than that which can be measured in terms of average unit costs. Future budget increases to this account can only improve the quality of life for our airmen and their families.

This request reflects the decision to fund maintenance at a level which partially arrests Deferred Maintenance and Repair growth within funding constraints. Emphasis on timely maintenance and repairs is essential to ensure quarters are available for occupancy. Continually deferring such work increases the rate of deterioration, compounding the additional unfunded requirements in future years.

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit Cost	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Improvements Non-Routine
			(<u>\$000</u>)				(\$000 FY94-98)

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language requiring the Services to report any expenditures for major maintenance and repair projected to exceed \$15,000 per unit.

The number of maintenance projects over this threshold have increased significantly over previous years which reflects a growing deterioration of the inventory and growing inflationary pressure on the threshold. This is primarily due to the growing number of units that are waiting for improvement and renovation with investment funding. Many have deteriorated to the point that they must be repaired to continue occupancy. Since over 60 percent of the average investment project includes major maintenance and repair actions, we can mitigate some of these problems through the O&M program. While these projects are shown as line items here, the maintenance budget estimate includes these problems among overall requirements for the entire inventory.

Inflation plays a role in driving repair costs beyond the \$15,000 threshold. Eventually relatively routine repairs will exceed the threshold if no upward adjustment to the threshold is made to account for inflation.

CONUS

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit Cost	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	Total Cost (<u>\$000</u>)	Improvements Non-Routine
			(<u>\$000</u>)				(<u>\$000 FY94-98</u>)
ALABAMA							
Maxwell	2.0	1934	42	2.624	52.480	740	2.062

Narrative: Repair clay tile roofs on houses and garages. Project includes replacing rotted decking and structural members, installing new clay tiles to meet historic criteria, replacing fascia boards, gutters, window frames and windows.

CALIFORNIA

Travis 56 1957 99 1,350 75,600 5,087 0

Narrative: Replace cracked asbestos cement siding with new stucco; replace low-slope roof system with new trusses and shingles; replace doors and windows. Repair finishes, floors, and tile in bathrooms and kitchens. Replace bathroom fixtures, kitchen cabinets, sinks, dishwashers, and disposal units. Replace exterior and interior wiring and electrical components; replace patio slabs; repair carports.

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit Cost (\$000)	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	Total Cost (\$000)	Improvements Non-Routine (\$000 FY94-98)					
<u>Travis</u>	68	1957	49	1,293	85,204	2,797	0					
Narrative: Replace roofs, carport support structures, patio slabs, doors, evaporative coolers, and furnaces; replace exterior electrical wiring and components; replace siding and insulation.												
Travis	30	1957	95	1,293	38,790	2,370	0					
Narrative: Replace roofs, carport support structures, patio slabs, doors, evaporative coolers, and furnaces; replace exterior electrical wiring and components; replace siding and insulation; perform complete interior renovation.												
<u>Vandenberg</u>	172	1959	24	1,064	183,008	3,352	0					
Narrative: Replace corroded and leaking overhead water pipes. Mineral deposits in pipes severely restrict water flow resulting in minimal water pressure at faucets. Pipes leak above ceilings, destroying ceilings. Replace existing two-conductor wiring with three-conductor system that meets electrical codes. Repair existing 50-amp electrical service to meet Air Force standards and handle the increased load of numerous appliances not available in the 1960's. FLORIDA												
<u>Patrick</u>	60	1957	46	1,046	62,760	2,250	0					
Narrative: Replace ridge vents, soffits, and windows. Repair fascia and deteriorated wood siding, patch and paint exterior stucco walls, repair and paint interior walls and ceilings, repair floors and interior wood trim, replace light fixtures and wiring, renovate bathrooms. GEORGIA												
Moody	1	1953	29	2,607	2,607		0					
	2 1	1965 1965	25 25	1,665 2,189	3,330 2,189		0 0					
Total	<u>2</u> 6	1972	25	2,069	4,138	129	0					
Narrative: Replace kitchen cabinets, windows, door bell system, fire detectors and ceiling fans. Repair bathrooms, replace fixtures and repair finishes.												

water supply and sewer piping. Existing HVAC system is over 15 years old; existing electrical system more than 50 years old. Upgrade electrical system to support modern occupant electrical appliance load.

Narrative: Replace existing HVAC system, electrical wiring, panel boards, outlets,

1,517

6,068

144

43

1942

Robins

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

(NSF)

Proj

(NSF)

Total Cost

(\$000)

1,659

Improvements

Non-Routine

Year High Unit Unit

Cost

Location

NEW MEXICO

Kirtland

No

Units Built

	0111 00	Daire	(1000	(1101)	(IVBI)	(\$000)	HOII HOUGHIE			
			(<u>\$000</u>)				(\$000 FY94-98)			
Robins	3	1942	25	1619	4857	63	0			
Narrative: Repand sewer pipedeteriorating	ing. Ex	isting 50	-year-old	electric	al wiring is	brittle and				
ILLINOIS										
Scott	122	1972	29	1,724	210,328	2,904	0			
Narrative: Replace rotted fiberboard siding and trim with vinyl siding; replace deteriorated windows with energy conserving vinyl-clad wood windows. Paint existing trim to match new trim. KANSAS										
McConnell	1	1959	106	2,313	2,313	106	17			
Narrative: Red						bule, kitche	n, bedroom,			
MISSISSIPPI										
<u>Keesler</u>	40	1955	45	898	53,880	1,680	724			
Narrative: Renovate kitchens and bathrooms. Replace electrical system, gypsum board walls and ceilings, air conditioning system, doors and windows. Repair interior and exterior surfaces, repoint and patch brick, and install carpet.										
NEBRASKA										
Offutt	13	1896	25	1,030	13,390	221	156			
Narrative: Repand chimney,					ons, tuckpoi	nt exterior	brick walls			
Offutt	10	1896	45	3,320	33,200	380	180			
Narrative: Repair 13 Historic residence foundations, tuckpoint exterior brick walls and chimney, repair windows, replace carpet, and paint quarters.										
Offutt	61	1952	28	1,309	79,849	1,397	0			
Narrative: Rep										

February 1998 Page No. 420

79 1959 25 1,700 134,300

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year Built	High Unit Cost	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Improvements Non-Routine
			(<u>\$000</u>)				(\$000 FY94-98)

Narrative: Remove existing roof system, repair structural deterioration, replace roof and underlayment with new sloped asphalt shingle roof.

NORTH CAROLINA

Narrative: Replace deteriorated asphalt shingle roof. Remove lead based paint from interior and exterior doors; repaint doors. Refinish doors to meet historic criteria.

OHIO

Narrative: Repair windows, siding, roof flashing, gutters, and downspouts. Replace exterior light fixtures, door bell switches, and exterior exhaust vents. Repair sidewalks, curbs, and entry steps. Replace rear service door on garages. Construct new gables and dormers. Repair eaves and construct new patio door overhangs.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Charleston	5	1959	./0	957	4,785		
	11	1959	70	1,100	12,100		
	8	1959	70	1,085	8,680		
	1	1959	70	1,080	1,080		
Total	25			-	26,645	1,449	68

Narrative: Repair plumbing and electrical systems, replace floor & wall coverings; replace cabinets; replace doors and windows; paint interior walls and ceilings.

Narrative: Repair plumbing and electrical systems; relocate water heaters from attics; remove flat roofs and replace with sloped roofs; replace floor & wall covering; replace kitchen cabinets, paint interior walls and ceilings; replace doors, windows, and siding.

Narrative: Replace deteriorating single-pane windows and blinds with energy conserving windows and blinds. Replace wood siding with low-maintenance vinyl

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Unit

(NSF)

Proj

(NSF)

Total Cost

(\$000)

Improvements

Non-Routine

(\$000 FY94-98)

High Unit

Cost (\$000)

Location

No

Units

Year

Built

siding; replace e	xteri	or doors with	ı ener	gy-conser	ving insulat	ted doors.	
TENNESSEE							
Arnold	28	1964	35	1,424	39,884	812	0
Narrative: Replace covering; renovat			s, win	dows, win	dow frames,	and vinyl floor	
TEXAS							
Brooks	34	1962	37	1,070	36,380	1,043	0
wood doors and sh eliminate the nee	ingle d to ineff	roofs, clear paint wood si icient and co	and ding, ated	repair HV and enca with lead	AC ducts. V psulate lead paint. HV	d paint. Exterior v AC ducts are rusty,	
Brooks	1	1962	19	1,381	1,381	16	0
Narrative: Repair level foundation						or and exterior wal	ls;
VIRGINIA							
Langley	2	1931	27	2,787	5,574	54	0
Narrative: Remove criteria.	lead	l-based paint	and r	epaint un	its, repair	trim to meet histor	ric
WYOMING							
<u>Warren</u>	1	1967	25	1,242	1,242	25	0
kitchen floor and	cabi , ins	nets, replace tall ceiling	bath	room fixt	ures, upgrad	ed Advisor. Replace de light fixtures, rings and paint the	
OVERSEAS							
ALASKA							
Elmendorf	124	1942	22	1,144	14,514	2,232	0
covering. Replac	e ele	ctrical servi	.ce en	trance, p	anel, and o	nts, floor and wall utlets with safety or, alter wall and	

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	No <u>Units</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit <u>Cost</u>	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Improvements Non-Routine
			(<u>\$000</u>)				(\$000 FY94-98)

extend countertops.

GERMANY

Ramstein 48 1951 110 1,145 54,960 4,937 105

Narrative: Replace kitchen fixtures, sinks, cabinets, and counters; bath fixtures, sinks, and tubs; water, heat, and sewage lines; entrance, exit, fire, and basement doors. Replace 2-wire electrical system with 3-wire system. Replace electrical fixtures, outlets, switches, panel boxes, doorbells, and intercom systems. Repair floor and wall tiles. Plaster and paint surfaces. Repair common areas and correct fire deficiencies. Replace deteriorated balconies.

Ramstein 42 1956 154 1,060 44,520 5,113 45

Narrative: Replace kitchen fixtures, sinks, cabinets, and counters; bath fixtures, sinks, and tubs; water, heat, and sewage lines; entrance, exit, fire, and basement doors. Replace 2-wire electrical system with 3-wire system. Replace electrical fixtures, outlets, switches, panel boxes, doorbells, and intercom systems. Repair floor and wall tiles. Plaster and paint surfaces. Repair common areas and correct fire deficiencies. Replace deteriorated balconies.

Ramstein 16 1953 147 1,337 21,392 2,320 154

Narrative: Replace kitchen fixtures, sinks, cabinets, and counters; bath fixtures, sinks, and tubs; water, heat, and sewage lines; entrance, exit, fire, and basement doors. Replace 2-wire electrical system with 3-wire system. Replace electrical fixtures, outlets, switches, panel boxes, doorbells, and intercom systems. Repair floor and wall tiles. Plaster and paint surfaces. Repair common areas and correct fire deficiencies. Replace deteriorated balconies.

Spangdahlem 18 1955 143 1,220 21,960 2,232 0

Narrative: Repair ceilings, windows, and doors as required in kitchens, halls, stairwells, baths, bedrooms, living rooms, laundries, and balconies. Repair electrical conduit, HVAC, water, lighting, sewage, and lightning protection. Repair wood floors and baseboards. Replace floors in baths, kitchens, laundry and halls. Repair building entrances, gutters, mailboxes, doorbells, storage areas, intercom systems, and landscaping. Provide environmental abatement, energy and water meters, water filters, smoke detection, fire-reporting systems, ground fault interrupters, and television and telephone connections where appropriate. Repair roof.

Spangdahlem 18 1955 143 1,220 21,960 2,232 0

Narrative: Repair ceilings, windows, and doors as required in kitchens, halls, stairwells, baths, bedrooms, living rooms, laundries, and balconies. Repair electrical conduit, HVAC, water, lighting, sewage, and lightning protection. Repair wood floors and baseboards. Replace floors in baths, kitchens, laundry and halls. Repair building entrances, gutters, mailboxes, doorbells, storage areas, intercom systems, and landscaping. Provide environmental abatement, energy and water meters, water filters, smoke detection, fire-reporting systems, ground fault inter-

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	No Units	Year <u>Built</u>	High Unit <u>Cost</u>	Unit (NSF)	Proj (NSF)	<u>Total Cost</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Improvements Non-Routine
			(<u>\$000</u>)				(\$000 FY94-98)
rupters, and t	elevisi	on and t	celephone co	nnection	s where app	propriate. Rep	pair roof.
Spangdahlem	18	1955	143	1,220	21,960	2,232	0
Narrative: Rep stairwells, ba trical conduit wood floors an Repair buildin systems, and 1 meters, water rupters, and t	ths, bed, HVAC, d basebog entrainandscap: filters	drooms, water, pards. nces, gu ing. Programmer, , smoke	living room lighting, s Replace flo atters, mail rovide envir detection,	s, laund: ewage, and ors in band boxes, do onmental fire-repo	ries, and b nd lightnin aths, kitch corbells, s abatement, orting syst	palconies. Read protection. Read protection. Read protection. Read protection and read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection are as a read protection.	pair elec- Repair and halls. intercom vater ault inter-
Spangdahlem	18	1955	143	1,220	21,960	2,232	0
Narrative: Rep stairwells, ba trical conduit wood floors an Repair buildin systems, and 1 meters, water rupters, and t	ths, bed, HVAC, d basebog entrainandscap: filters	drooms, water, pards. nces, gu ing. Pu , smoke	living room lighting, s Replace flo atters, mail rovide envir detection,	s, laund: ewage, and ors in book boxes, do onmental fire-repo	ries, and b nd lightnin aths, kitch porbells, s abatement, orting syst	palconies. Read protection. Read protection. Read protection. Read protection and read protection are read protection and read protection are read protection and read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection are read protection.	pair elec- Repair and halls. intercom vater ault inter-
GUAM							
Andersen	76	1959	34	1,108	84,208	2,052	0
Narrative: Rep Provide screen							dels.
Andersen Narrative: Rep appliances, pl							
Andersen Narrative: Rep plumbing, mech							0 , fixtures,
Andersen Narrative: Rep fixtures, plum							
JAPAN							
<u>Kadena</u>	52 44 135	1985 1985 1983	41 41 41	916 916 1,152	47,632 40,304 155,520		0 0 0
<u>Total</u>	231	1703	11	-,	243,456	8,547	v

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Location Narrative: Repl hardware with r connections to	everse-	Built Co (\$0	oumps.				
Kadena	132	1976	29	1,000	132,000	3,432	0
Narrative: Phas current codes. fixtures, and c	Replac	e all interi	ior ele	ectrical v	wiring, sw:	itches, outlet	
Kadena	24	1965	60	1,616	38,784	1,392	0
Narrative: Phas current codes. fixtures, and c exterior doors bedroom closets	Replac ircuit with ne	e all interi breakers wit	or ele	ectrical ve-conduct	wiring, switch systems	itches, outlet s. Replace wi	s, light Indows and
Kadena	76	1982	28	1,149	87,324	1,672	0
Narrative: Phas countertops, fi							cabinets,
<u>Kadena</u>	76	1982	27	1,149	87,324	1,596	0
Narrative: Phas countertops, fi							cabinets,
Kadena	76	1982	27	1,149	87,324	1,596	0
Narrative: Phas countertops, fi							cabinets,
<u>Misawa</u>	10	1987	48	1,810	18,100	380	0
Narrative: Remo roof and underl					tructural o	deterioration,	replace
UNITED KINGDOM							
<u>Lakenheath</u>	30	1960	73	1,183	35,490	1,740	100

February 1998 Page No. 425

Narrative: Repair structural deterioration and damage; repair interior finishes in

systems. Replace 110 volt electrical system, letter boxes, windows, blinds, doors,

kitchens, bedrooms, bathrooms, living rooms, hallways, and foyers. Replace electrical distribution, mechanical, ventilation, heating, water, and sewage

and front stoops.

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$15,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	No	Year	High Unit	Unit	Proj	Total Cost	Improvements
	Units	Built	Cost	(NSF)	(NSF)	(\$000)	Non-Routine
			(<u>\$000</u>)				(\$000 FY94-98)

Molesworth 31 1958 67 1,293 40,083 1,665 100 Narrative: Repair kitchens, bathrooms, bedrooms, living rooms, balconies, hallways and foyers. Replace electrical distribution, mechanical, ventilation, heating, water, and sewage systems. Replace 110 volt electrical system, letter boxes, windows, blinds, doors, and front stoops.

The following projects were submitted or notified as above-threshold for 1997:

Offutt AFB, Nebraska

Narrative: Emergency structural repairs to five non-GOQ quarters to correct crumbling foundations and leaky basements forced the units above-threshold to approximately \$34,000 per unit.

Eielson AFB, Alaska
Kadena AB, Japan
Misawa AB, Japan
Langley AFB, Virginia
Seymour-Johnson AFB, North Carolina
Fairchild AFB, Washington

Narrative: The Air Force submitted a consolidated notification for units on these bases because of restorations due to damage from fire and steam leaks. The total cost of all projects was \$409,000.

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	Qtrs	Size	Year	Oper	Util	Maint	Total	Unit	Improvements
	ID	NSF	Built	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	Total	<u>M&O</u>	Maint	Non-Routine
			<u> Bullt</u>	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	<u>Limit</u>	FY1994-1998
				_ 				(\$000)	(<u>\$000)</u>

This information is provided to comply with the 1984 House Appropriations Committee language requiring the Services to report any expenditures from the maintenance account for General or Flag Officer housing projected to exceed \$25,000 per unit.

The number of maintenance projects over this threshold have increased significantly over previous years which reflects a growing deterioration of the inventory and growing inflationary pressure on the threshold. This is primarily due to the growing number of units that are waiting for improvement and renovation with investment funding. Since over 60 percent of the average investment project includes major maintenance and repair actions, we can mitigate some of these problems through the O&M program. While these projects are shown as line items, the maintenance budget estimate includes these problems among overall requirements for the entire inventory.

As with the non-GOQ units exceeding the \$15,000 threshold, inflation plays a role in driving repair costs beyond the \$25,000 threshold. Eventually relatively routine repairs will exceed the specified thresholds if no upward adjustment to the threshold is made to account for inflation.

Each project described below includes all maintenance and repair, alterations, asbestos and lead based paint management/abatement and operations costs anticipated for FY99 to present a complete picture of the spending projected for the quarters.

CONUS

Location	Qtrs <u>ID</u>	Size <u>NSF</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	Oper <u>Total</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Util <u>Total</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Maint <u>Total</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Total <u>O&M</u> (\$000)	Unit Maint Limit (\$000)	Improvements Non-Routine FY1994-1998 (\$000)
COLORADO									
Peterson	216 Otis Circle	2,887	1980	2	4	55	61	55	0
Narrative: Re	eplace le	aky win	dows wi	th energ	gy conse	cving wi	ndows, r	eplace	roof.
Peterson	218, 220 Otis Circle	2,084	1965	2	6	70	78	35	0
Narrative: Reexisting leak							conditi	oning,	replace
Peterson	465–487 Selfridge Circle	2,090	1967	8	24	280	312	39	0
Narrative: Reexisting leak								oning,	replace
USAF Academy	6776	5,328	1935	1	2	321	324	321	29

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	Qtrs	Size	Year	Oper	Util	Maint	Total	Unit	Improvements
	ID	NSF	Built	<u>Total</u>	Total	Total	M&O	Maint	Non-Routine
			Bullt	(\$000)	(<u>\$000</u>)	(<u>\$000</u>)	(\$000)	<u>Limit</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	FY1994-1998 (<u>\$000)</u>

Narrative: Repair Carlton House, home of the Air Force Academy Superintendent. House is on the National Register of Historic Places and must be repaired in a manner which preserves its historic character. Project includes removing existing failing tile roof and underlayment, repairing structure as needed, reapplying roof materials; sandblasting existing paint and stucco wall coating, reapplying stucco and paint; restoring upstairs windows, refinishing verandah woodwork.

GEORGIA

<u>Moody</u> 253 2,607 1953 5 2 79 86 79 0

Narrative: Replace roof to include shingles, underlayment, decking and deteriorated structural members; install insulation to promote energy savings; repair main entry to meet Air Force standards, replace windows with energy efficient models, replace deteriorated doors, smoke detectors and ceiling fans as needed; replace wallcovering in the bathrooms, living and dining rooms; repair driveway and sidewalks.

<u>Robins</u> 405 2,080 1942 10 3 50 63 50 0

Narrative: Replace existing heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC), existing electrical system (wiring, panel boards, outlets) and plumbing (waste and water lines). HVAC system is over 15 years old and the electrical system is over 50 years old. Wire insulation is brittle and deteriorating. Plumbing is clogged with deposits and sediment.

MISSISSIPPI

Keesler 7801 2,277 1962 1 2 70 73 70 0

Narrative: Replace badly deteriorated, leaking roof to include removing existing roof structure, replacing deteriorated structural members, decking, underlayment, and shingles, and rebuilding roof structure over the rear portion of the house, changing slope and orientation to correct drainage problems.

NORTH CAROLINA

Pope 218 3,192 1933 5 3 69 77 69 61

Narrative: Replace deteriorated asphalt shingle roof on this historic unit with clay tile roof to return the home to its historic appearance. Remove lead based paint from interior and exterior doors; repaint doors. Refinish doors to meet historic criteria.

TEXAS

Randolph 300 4,442 1931 1 4 105 110 105 0

Narrative: Replace original 65 year-old clay tile roof to include removing existing roof structure, replacing deteriorated structural members, decking, underlayment, and tiles; repair portions of existing built-up roof area, gutters, and downspouts.

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

Location	Qtrs <u>ID</u>	Size <u>NSF</u>	Year <u>Built</u>	Oper <u>Total</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Util <u>Total</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Maint <u>Total</u> (\$000)	Total <u>O&M</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Unit Maint <u>Limit</u> (<u>\$000</u>)	Improvements Non-Routine FY1994-1998 (\$000)
VIRGINIA									
Langley	414 415 419 429A 429B	3,021 3,021 3,968 2,787 2,787	1934 1934 1934 1931 1931	21 21 21 21 21	4 4 4 -	\$180 \$180 \$180 \$180 \$180 \$180	\$205 \$205 \$205 \$205 \$205 \$205	\$180 \$180 \$180 \$180 \$180	0 0 0

Narrative: Replace slate roofs; repair damaged wood exteriors; repoint brick veneer; repair and seal walls to protect against infiltration on five units located in a harsh marine environment. Replace as required single-pane wood frame windows that were installed at construction with energy efficient double-pane windows meeting the National Historic Preservation Act and environmental requirements. Units are eligible for Historic Register listing.

WASHINGTON DC

Bolling 75-89 1,794 1975 150 30 600 780 43 0

Narrative: Replace deteriorated, leaking windows with energy-conserving windows. Repair water damaged interior walls and surfaces, insulation, wiring, and trim. Replace facade siding.

WYOMING

Warren 92 5,328 1910 8 4 68 80 68 0

Narrative: Replace roof tiles, felt and wood decking on historic unit. Repair/replace deteriorating antique wooden entrance columns. Repair heating system and replace boiler. Paint exterior wood trim and porch.

OVERSEAS

IIAWAH

Hickam 517 3,241 1939 4 6 70 80 70 49

Narrative: Replace deteriorated original single-pane windows with new energy efficient, sound suppressing wood windows in a historic quarters, taking care to match architectural features required by preservation regulations. Abate lead paint on original window frames. Patch and paint wall surfaces as necessary.

UNITED KINGDOM

Mildenhall 257 2,789 1933 6 4 87 97 87 26

Narrative: Provide major maintenance and repair to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. House has received piecemeal projects to repair kitchens and bathrooms, but has had no major repairs since it was built. Heating system is severely deteriorated and requires repairs. Project provides general interior and exterior maintenance as well as repairing electrical and plumbing systems. Project includes

FAMILY HOUSING REPAIRS (EXCEEDING \$25,000 THRESHOLD)

<u>Location</u>	Qtrs <u>ID</u>	Size <u>NSF</u>	Year	Oper Total	Util Total	Maint Total	Total O&M	Unit Maint	Improvements Non-Routine
			<u>Built</u>	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)	<u>Limit</u> (\$000)	<u>FY1994-1998</u> (<u>\$000)</u>

repairs to kitchen and master bathroom floors and plumbing, repairs to two small bathrooms, interior and exterior wall surfaces, patio, and entry areas.

The following projects were submitted or notified as above-threshold for 1997:

Travis AFB, California

Narrative: Maintenance and repair on one GOQ totaled \$34,095 due to lack of program oversight. AMC administered training and disciplinary action to prevent recurrence.

Peterson AFB, Colorado

Narrative: Make-ready costs to assure handicapped access for one GOQ forced the unit above-threshold to \$25,985.

MacDill AFB, Florida

Narrative: Change of occupancy work on a deteriorated historic GOQ forced the unit above-threshold to \$70,045. Project included in Air Force's out-of-cycle submission.

Offutt AFB, Nebraska

Narrative: Repairs due to high radon levels forced a GOQ above-threshold to \$27,100. Project included in Air Force's out-of-cycle submission.

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES Exhibit OP-5

Reimbursement. Includes collections received from rental of Air Force family housing to foreign nationals, civilians and others. Included in the estimate is the anticipated reimbursements due to members who separate voluntarily that are authorized to live in government quarters for up to six months after separation.

(\$ in Thousands)

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$9,198
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$9,198
4.	Proposed Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Decreases:	None
8.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$9,198
9.	Price Growth: Inflation	\$138
10.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
11.	Program Increases: Inventory increase (175 units);	\$64
12.	Program Decreases:	None
13.	FY 1999 Budget Request:	\$9,400

Analysis of Changes in Reimbursements

The FY 1999 Budget Request differs from the FY 1998 Appropriated Amount due to a small increase in inventory and higher trailer park fees.

LEASING

Program (\$ in Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$118,072
FY 1998 Program \$118,171

Purpose and Scope

Provides leasing of privately-owned housing for assignment as government quarters at both domestic and foreign locations when the local economy and on-base housing cannot satisfy requirements. The leasing program is authorized by 10 U.S.C. 2828 and provides for payment of rent, operations, and maintenance costs of privately-owned quarters for assignment as government quarters to military families. This program also includes funds needed to pay for services such as utilities and refuse collection when these services are not part of the contract agreement.

The Air Force continues to rely on the private sector to meet the majority of housing needs. Where the private sector rental markets and on-base housing cannot meet requirements and cost effective alternatives do not exist, short and long-term leases are used. The Air Force must use the leasing program in high cost areas and overseas to obtain adequate housing to meet critical needs.

Program Summary - Highlights

Authorization is requested for appropriation of \$118,071,000 to fund leases and related expenses in FY 1999. FY 1999 request for family housing leasing points is summarized as follows:

- (1) 9,201 Foreign lease points
- (2) 5,800 Section 801 lease points
- (3) 3,333 Domestic lease points

Foreign Leasing

Leasing in foreign countries is controlled by Congress. First by the number of lease points authorized, then by the review and approval of contract proposals, and finally by the funds appropriated. As overseas bases close, foreign leases are terminated as soon as economically possible. Air Force strategy during the drawdown in overseas areas is to maximize the use of government-controlled assets, thereby providing more affordable housing for our personnel and avoiding expensive off-base housing entitlements. The Air Force has been able to retain some housing areas from closing bases for use by families at nearby bases that are remaining. In fact, the percentage of

personnel able to reside in government-controlled quarters has increased. As the Air Force has drawn down in Europe, the order of the release of housing assets has been, where possible, (1) private rentals (which are usually the most expensive), (2) Government Rental Housing Program and build-to-lease units, and (3) government owned. The exact mix of types of housing has depended upon available assets in each locality. Where possible the Air Force has made renewals of leases on a year-to-year basis to reduce costs by limiting termination liability. Full authorization is required to allow for sufficient flexibility during mission realignments to maximize cost effective

Section 801 Leasing

solutions.

This program is helping to reduce our CONUS family housing deficit at bases where Air Force families are seriously affected by housing shortages and high housing costs.

In FY 1984, Congress authorized the testing of a new leasing program for U.S. installations in P.L. 98-115, Section 801. Subsequently, nine housing communities were constructed:

Eielson AFB, AK, 300 units and 366 units
Hanscom AFB, MA, 163 units
Goodfellow AFB, TX, 200 units
March AFB, CA, 200 units (base closed in FY 1996)
Summerfield Housing, MD 1242 units (828 Air Force funded,
414 Navy funded)
Travis AFB, CA 300 units
Ellsworth AFB, SD, 200 units and 828 units
Hurlburt AFB, FL, 300 units
Cannon AFB, NM, 350 units

Domestic Leasing

Domestic leasing provides temporary housing for Air Force families pending availability of permanent housing. For example, Domestic leasing near Shaw AFB and Moody AFB provided interim relief for military families after a hurricane destroyed Homestead AFB. Missions moved temporarily and families were in need of shelter. Also, affordable housing in high cost locations for recruiters is giving vital support. Congress has authorized leasing of domestic units (10 U.S.C. 2828) on a temporary basis to satisfy critical requirements until a permanent solution can be found or if more economical than construction.

RECONCILIATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

EXHIBIT OP-5

Leasing

1.	FY 1998 President's Budget (Amended):	\$116,716
2.	Congressional Adjustments:	None
3.	FY 1998 Appropriated Amount:	\$116,716
4.	Supplementals:	None
5.	Price Growth:	None
6.	Functional Program Transfers:	None
7.	Program Increases: Extended termination date of March AFB lease; increased requirements for Singapore, Eielson, Aviano, ROTC/Recruiters, Los Angeles, and Summerfield leases	\$1,455
8.	Program Decreases:	None
9.	FY 1998 Current Estimate:	\$118,171
10.	Price Growth: a. Inflation b. Foreign Currency Fluctuation Rate Adjustment	\$1,773 \$-2,895
11.	Functional Program Transfer:	None
12.	Program Increases: Aviano/Lakenheath(810 units)	\$1,023
13.	Program Decreases:	None
14.	FY 1999 Budget Request:	\$118,071

Analysis of Change in Leasing

The attached leasing charts reflect changes to the program by locations and type of lease. These requirements are a direct result of changes to mission beddowns and other housing needs.

ANALYSIS OF LEASED UNITS (Other than Section 801) FY 1999

LOCATION	F1 1999									
WINTS MONTHS (\$000) WUNTS MONTHS (\$000) WUNTS MONTHS (\$000)			FY 97			FY 98	ı		FY 99	1
DOMESTIC LEASES Los Angeles, CA S469 35 420 \$469 48 \$469 48 \$54 48 \$54 48 \$54 48 \$54 48 \$54 48 \$554 48 \$554 48 \$554 48 \$554 48 \$554 48 \$555 40 \$268 20 240 240	LOCATION									
Los Angeles, CA (Det 4) 4		# UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)	# UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)	# UNITS	MONTHS	(\$000)
Los Angeles, CA (Det 4) 4 48 \$54 4 48 \$54 4 48 \$54 4 48 \$54 4 48 \$56 Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS) 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 \$279 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$85 3 3 36 \$2770 3 36 \$2770 3 36	DOMESTIC LEASES									
Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS) 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 20 240 \$268 520 240 \$486 \$536 40 480 \$536 40 \$44 \$84 \$83 7 84 \$84	Los Angeles, CA	35	420	\$469	35	420	\$469	35	420	\$469
Los Angeles, CA (DFAS) 0 0 \$0 \$0 40 480 \$536 40 480 \$536 Pinedale, WY 7 84 \$81 7 84 \$83 7 84 \$84 Shaw AFE, SC 5 60 \$60 \$7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 Scerulter/RO.T.C. 153 1.836 \$1,744 183 2.084 \$2,203 216 2.592 \$2,770 Unassigned 3.104 0 \$0 3.033 3.440 \$3.697 3.333 3.948 \$4.265 COREIGN.LEASES Aman, Jordan 3 36 \$59 3 36 \$60 3 36 \$47 3 36 \$40 Asimotich, Kenya 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 4 1 12 \$25 4 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$2	Los Angeles, CA (Det 4)	4	48	\$54	4	48	\$54	4	48	\$54
Pinedale, WY	Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS)	20	240	\$268	20	240	\$268	20	240	\$268
Pinedale, WY 7 84 \$81 7 84 \$83 7 84 \$84 Yakima, WA 5 60 \$60 \$60 7 84 \$84 7 84 \$84 Shaw AFB, SC 5 40 \$44 0 0 50 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 3,104 0 \$80 3,037 0 \$0 3,033 3,948 \$2,203 FOREIGN LEASES 3,333 2,728 \$2,719 3,333 3,440 \$3,697 3,333 3,948 \$4,265 FOREIGN LEASES 3 3 36 \$59 3 36 \$60 \$30 \$33 3,948 \$4,265 FOREIGN LEASES 3 3 36 \$59 3 36 \$60 \$33 36 \$60 Cairo, Egypt 3 36 \$59 3 36 \$60 \$4 \$12 \$252 \$1 \$12 \$252 \$1	Los Angeles, CA (DFAS)	0	0	\$0	40	480	\$536	40	480	\$536
Shaw AFB, SC 5 40 \$44 0 0 50 0 0 \$0 Recruiter/R.O.T.C. 153 1,836 \$1,744 183 2,084 \$2,203 216 2,592 \$2,770 Unassigned 3,104 0 \$0 3,037 0 \$0 3,004 0 \$0 TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES 3,333 2,728 \$2,719 3,333 3,440 \$3,697 3,333 3,948 \$4,265 FOREIGN LEASES 3 36 \$559 3 36 \$60 3 36 \$60 Cairo, Egypt 3 36 \$46 3 36 \$67 3 36 \$47 3 36 \$47 Nairobi, Kenya 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$22 1 12 \$22 Asmara, Eritea 1 12 \$23 1 12 \$24 1 12 \$24 Bangkok, Thailand 7 8	Pinedale, WY	7	84	\$81	7	84	\$83	7	84	\$84
Recruiter/R.O.T.C.	Yakima, WA	5	60	\$60	7	84	\$84	7	84	\$84
Unassigned	Shaw AFB, SC	5	40	\$44	0	0	\$0	0	0	\$0
TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES 3,333 2,728 \$2,719 3,333 3,440 \$3,697 3,333 3,948 \$4,265 FOREIGN LEASES Aman, Jordan 3 36 \$59 3 36 \$60 3 36 \$60 Cairo, Egypt 3 36 \$46 3 36 \$47 3 36 \$47 Nairobi, Kenya 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 12 \$23 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 Bangkok, Thailand 7 84 \$152 7 84 \$152 7 84 \$152 Classified Location 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$1	Recruiter/R.O.T.C.	153	1,836	\$1,744	183	2,084	\$2,203	216	2,592	\$2,770
Aman, Jordan 3 36 \$59 3 36 \$60 3 36 \$60	Unassigned	3,104	0	\$0	3,037	0	\$0	3,004	0	\$0
Aman, Jordan 3 36 \$56 3 36 \$60 Cairo, Egypt 3 36 \$46 3 36 \$47 3 36 \$47 Nairobi, Kenya 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 4 11 12 \$25 4 11 12 \$25 4 1 12 \$25 4 1 12 \$25 4 11 12 \$25 4 11 12 \$25 3 \$16 \$11 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$11 0 3,312 \$25,37 \$25,37 \$3,312 \$25,37 \$34 \$4,486 32 3,312 </td <td>TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES</td> <td>3,333</td> <td>2,728</td> <td>\$2,719</td> <td>3,333</td> <td>3,440</td> <td>\$3,697</td> <td>3,333</td> <td>3,948</td> <td>\$4,265</td>	TOTAL DOMESTIC LEASES	3,333	2,728	\$2,719	3,333	3,440	\$3,697	3,333	3,948	\$4,265
Cairo, Egypt 3 36 \$46 3 36 \$47 3 36 \$47 Nairobi, Kenya 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 12 \$25 1 12 \$24 1 12 \$25 Bangkok, Thailand 7 84 \$152 7 84 \$152 7 84 \$152 Classified Location 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 Osan, Korea 276 3,312 \$4,080 276 3,312 \$3,940 276 3,312 \$2,537 Sembawang, Singapore 117 1,440 \$4,890 117 1,440 \$1,269 120 1,440 \$4,476 Alconbury, UK 250 3,000 \$5,651 975 \$11,700 \$11,240 915 \$10,385 Bentwaters, UK 293 3,516 \$4,115 293	FOREIGN LEASES									
Nairobi, Kenya 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Erit	Aman, Jordan	3	36	\$59	3	36	\$60	3	36	\$60
Nairobi, Kenya 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$24 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$25 Asmara, Eritea 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Cairo, Egypt	3	36	\$46	3	36	\$47	3	36	\$47
Asmara, Eritea 1 1 12 \$23 1 1 12 \$24 1 1 12 \$24 8angkok, Thailand 7 84 \$152 8 \$152		1	12		1	12	\$25	1	12	\$25
Classified Location Osan, Korea 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3 36 \$110 3312 \$2,537 Sembawang, Singapore 117 1,404 \$4,890 117 1,404 \$4,982 120 1,440 \$4,476 Alconbury, UK 250 3,000 \$2,741 120 1,440 \$1,269 120 1,440 \$1,278 Ankara, Turkey 32 384 \$426 32 384 \$436 32 384 \$441 Aviano, Italy 500 6,000 \$5,651 975 11,700 \$11,240 915 10,980 \$10,385 Bentwaters, UK 293 3,516 \$4,115 293 3,516 \$4,175 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$41,75 \$4	Asmara, Eritea	1	12	\$23	1	12	\$24	1	12	\$24
Osan, Korea 276 3,312 \$4,080 276 3,312 \$3,940 276 3,312 \$2,537 Sembawang, Singapore 117 1,404 \$4,890 117 1,404 \$4,982 120 1,440 \$4,476 Alconbury, UK 250 3,000 \$2,741 120 1,440 \$1,269 120 1,440 \$1,278 Ankara, Turkey 32 384 \$426 32 384 \$441 Aviano, Italy 500 6,000 \$5,651 975 11,700 \$11,240 915 10,980 \$10,385 Bentwaters, UK 293 3,516 \$4,115 293 3,516 \$4,138 293 3,516 \$4,175 Comiso, Italy 460 5,520 \$4,796 0 0 \$0 0 0 0 0 \$0 Izmir, Turkey 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8	Bangkok, Thailand	7	84	\$152	7	84	\$152	7	84	\$152
Sembawang, Singapore 117 1,404 \$4,890 117 1,404 \$4,982 120 1,440 \$4,476 Alconbury, UK 250 3,000 \$2,741 120 1,440 \$1,269 120 1,440 \$1,278 Ankara, Turkey 32 384 \$426 32 384 \$436 32 384 \$441 Aviano, Italy 500 6,000 \$5,651 975 \$11,700 \$11,240 915 \$10,980 \$10,385 Bentwaters, UK 293 3,516 \$4,115 293 3,516 \$4,138 293 3,516 \$4,175 Comiso, Italy 460 5,520 \$4,796 0 0 \$0 0 0 0 \$0 Geilenkirchen, Germany 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$22 1 1 12 \$20 Incirlik, Turkey 67 804 \$1,158 25 297 \$435 0 0 \$0 Lak	Classified Location	3	36	\$110	3	36	\$110	3	36	\$110
Alconbury, UK	Osan, Korea	276	3,312	\$4,080	276	3,312	\$3,940	276	3,312	\$2,537
Alconbury, UK	Sembawang, Singapore	117	1,404	\$4,890	117	1,404	\$4,982	120	1,440	\$4,476
Ankara, Turkey 32 384 \$426 32 384 \$436 32 384 \$441 Aviano, Italy 500 6,000 \$5,651 975 11,700 \$11,240 915 10,980 \$10,385 Bentwaters, UK 293 3,516 \$4,115 293 3,516 \$4,118 293 3,516 \$4,175 Comiso, Italy 460 5,520 \$4,796 0 0 \$0 0 0 0 \$0 Geilenkirchen, Germany 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$20 Incirlik, Turkey 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 <t< td=""><td></td><td>250</td><td>3,000</td><td>\$2,741</td><td>120</td><td>1,440</td><td>\$1,269</td><td>120</td><td>1,440</td><td>\$1,278</td></t<>		250	3,000	\$2,741	120	1,440	\$1,269	120	1,440	\$1,278
Bentwaters, UK 293 3,516 \$4,115 293 3,516 \$4,138 293 3,516 \$4,175 Comiso, Italy 460 5,520 \$4,796 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Geilenkirchen, Germany 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$20 Incirlik, Turkey 67 804 \$1,158 25 297 \$435 0 0 \$0 Izmir, Turkey 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233	-	32	384	\$426	32	384	\$436	32	384	\$441
Comiso, Italy 460 5,520 \$4,796 0 0 \$0 0 \$0 Geilenkirchen, Germany 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$20 Incirlik, Turkey 67 804 \$1,158 25 297 \$435 0 0 \$0 Izmir, Turkey 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 Kalkar, Germany 27 324 \$619 27 324 \$630 26 312 \$578 Lakenheath, UK 1,030 12,360 \$11,655 1,367 16,404 \$16,020 1,567 18,804 \$18,497 Stavanger, Norway 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 Paris, France 9 108 \$348 9 108 \$354 9 108 \$347 Ramstein, Germany 105 1,260 \$2,076 36 432 \$750 36	Aviano, Italy	500	6,000	\$5,651	975	11,700	\$11,240	915	10,980	\$10,385
Comiso, Italy 460 5,520 \$4,796 0 0 \$0 0 \$0 Geilenkirchen, Germany 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$20 Incirlik, Turkey 67 804 \$1,158 25 297 \$435 0 0 \$0 Izmir, Turkey 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 Kalkar, Germany 27 324 \$619 27 324 \$630 26 312 \$578 Lakenheath, UK 1,030 12,360 \$11,655 1,367 16,404 \$16,020 1,567 18,804 \$18,497 Stavanger, Norway 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 Paris, France 9 108 \$348 9 108 \$354 9 108 \$347 Ramstein, Germany 105 1,260 \$2,076 36 432 \$750 36	- I	293	3,516	\$4,115	293	3,516	\$4,138	293	3,516	
Geilenkirchen, Germany 1 12 \$21 1 12 \$20 Incirlik, Turkey 67 804 \$1,158 25 297 \$435 0 0 \$0 Izmir, Turkey 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 8 96 \$233 Kalkar, Germany 27 324 \$619 27 324 \$630 26 312 \$578 Lakenheath, UK 1,030 12,360 \$11,655 1,367 16,404 \$16,020 1,567 18,804 \$18,497 Stavanger, Norway 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$95 108 \$342 \$750 36 432 \$720 \$3434 \$720	ll '				0			0		
Incirlik, Turkey	Geilenkirchen, Germany	1	12		1	12	\$21	1	12	\$20
Izmir, Turkey	1	67	804		25	297		0	0	
Kalkar, Germany 27 324 \$619 27 324 \$630 26 312 \$578 Lakenheath, UK 1,030 12,360 \$11,655 1,367 16,404 \$16,020 1,567 18,804 \$18,497 Stavanger, Norway 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 1 12 \$95 108 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347 \$347	II	8	96			96		8	96	
Lakenheath, UK 1,030 12,360 \$11,655 1,367 16,404 \$16,020 1,567 18,804 \$18,497 Stavanger, Norway 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$99 1 12 \$95 Paris, France 9 108 \$348 9 108 \$354 9 108 \$347 Ramstein, Germany 105 1,260 \$2,076 36 432 \$750 36 432 \$720 San Vito, Italy 150 1,800 \$2,544 150 1,800 \$2,570 150 1,800 \$2,503 Spangdahlem, Germany 500 6,000 \$7,346 500 6,000 \$7,578 500 6,000 \$7,268 Vienna, Austria 0 0 \$0 \$1 12 \$65 1 12 \$65 Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$90		27	324		27	324		26	312	
Paris, France 9 108 \$348 9 108 \$354 9 108 \$347 Ramstein, Germany 105 1,260 \$2,076 36 432 \$750 36 432 \$720 San Vito, Italy 150 1,800 \$2,544 150 1,800 \$2,570 150 1,800 \$2,503 Spangdahlem, Germany 500 6,000 \$7,346 500 6,000 \$7,578 500 6,000 \$7,268 Vienna, Austria 0 0 \$0 1 12 \$65 1 12 \$65 Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$909 Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 <	Lakenheath, UK	1,030	12,360	\$11,655	1,367	16,404	\$16,020	1,567	18,804	\$18,497
Paris, France 9 108 \$348 9 108 \$354 9 108 \$347 Ramstein, Germany 105 1,260 \$2,076 36 432 \$750 36 432 \$720 San Vito, Italy 150 1,800 \$2,544 150 1,800 \$2,570 150 1,800 \$2,503 Spangdahlem, Germany 500 6,000 \$7,346 500 6,000 \$7,578 500 6,000 \$7,268 Vienna, Austria 0 0 \$0 1 12 \$65 1 12 \$65 Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$909 Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 <	Stavanger, Norway	1	12	\$99	1	12	\$99	1	12	\$95
San Vito, Italy 150 1,800 \$2,544 150 1,800 \$2,570 150 1,800 \$2,503 Spangdahlem, Germany 500 6,000 \$7,346 500 6,000 \$7,578 500 6,000 \$7,268 Vienna, Austria 0 0 \$0 1 12 \$65 1 12 \$65 Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$909 Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 5,300 N/A \$1,236 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Estimated Termation \$160 \$160 \$0 \$0 \$0		9	108	\$348	9	108	\$354	9	108	\$347
San Vito, Italy 150 1,800 \$2,544 150 1,800 \$2,570 150 1,800 \$2,503 Spangdahlem, Germany 500 6,000 \$7,346 500 6,000 \$7,578 500 6,000 \$7,268 Vienna, Austria 0 0 \$0 1 12 \$65 1 12 \$65 Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$909 Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 5,300 N/A \$1,236 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Estimated Termation \$160 \$160 \$0 \$0 \$0		105	1,260		36	432		36	432	
Spangdahlem, Germany 500 6,000 \$7,346 500 6,000 \$7,578 500 6,000 \$7,268 Vienna, Austria 0 0 \$0 1 12 \$65 1 12 \$65 Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$909 Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 5,300 N/A 5,190 N/A 5,073 N/A \$0 Estimated Termation Costs \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Incirlik Termation \$160 \$434 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Ramstein (Partial)Ter				-						
Vienna, Austria 0 0 \$0 1 12 \$65 1 12 \$65 Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$909 Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 5,300 N/A 5,190 N/A 5,073 N/A 5,073 N/A Estimated Termation Costs \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Incirlik Termation (Partial)Termination \$160 \$434 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201										
Upper Heyford, UK 50 600 \$895 50 600 \$906 50 600 \$909 Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 5,300 N/A 5,190 N/A 5,073 N/A 5,073 N/A Estimated Termation Costs \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Incirlik Termation (Partial) Termination \$160 \$434 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201 49,536 \$55,066										
Ascension Island 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 1 12 \$18 Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 0 \$0 0 0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$0 0 \$		50	600		50			50	600	\$909
Copenhagen, Denmark 4 48 \$105 4 48 \$106 4 48 \$103 Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 5,300 N/A 5,190 N/A 5,073 N/A N/A N/A 5,073 N/A N/A N/A 5,073 N/A N/A N/A N/A 5,073 N/A										
Mahe, Seychelles Island 2 24 \$40 0 0 \$0 0 0 \$0 Unassigned 5,300 N/A 5,190 N/A 5,073 N/A \$0 Estimated Termation Costs \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Comiso Termation \$1,236 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Incirlik Termation \$160 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Ramstein (Partial)Termination \$434 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201 49,536 \$55,066		4	48		4	48		4	48	
Unassigned 5,300 N/A 5,190 N/A 5,073 N/A Estimated Termation Costs \$1,236 \$0 \$0 \$0 Comiso Termation Incirlik Termation Ramstein (Partial)Termination \$160 \$0 \$0 \$0 TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201 49,536 \$55,066	_	2	24		0	0		0	0	
Estimated Termation Costs Comiso Termation Incirlik Termation Ramstein (Partial)Termination TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$1,236 \$1,236 \$1,236 \$51,236 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$55,066		5,300	N/A	•	5,190	N/A		5,073	N/A	
Comiso Termation \$1,236 \$0 \$0 Incirlik Termation \$160 \$160 \$0 \$0 Ramstein (Partial)Termination \$434 \$0 \$0 \$0 TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201 49,536 \$55,066										
Incirlik Termation	Estimated Termation Costs									
Incirlik Termation	Comiso Termation			\$1,236			\$0			\$0
Ramstein (Partial)Termination \$434 \$0 \$0 \$0 TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201 49,536 \$55,066										
TOTAL FOREIGN LEASES 9,201 46,813 \$56,101 9,201 48,129 \$56,208 9,201 49,536 \$55,066	Ramstein (Partial)Termination									
		9,201	46,813		9,201	48,129		9,201	49,536	
	GRAND TOTAL FH-4	12,534	49,541	\$58,820	12,534	51,569	\$59,905	12,534	53,484	\$59,331

DD Form 2458-2, JUN 86 Exhibit FH-4

ANALYSIS OF HIGH COST LEASED UNITS (Other than Section 801) FY 1999

	FY 1999										
	TOTAL	FY97				FY98			FY99		
LOCATION	LEASES	HIGH	HIGH		HIGH	HIGH		HIGH	HIGH		
	Per	COST	COST	EST	COST	COST	EST	COST	COST	EST	
	Country	UNITS	Defined	COST	UNITS	Defined	COST	UNITS	Defined	COST	
DOMESTIC LEASES											
Los Angeles, CA		35	\$12,000	\$469,000	35	\$12,000	\$469,000	35	\$12,000	\$469,000	
Los Angeles, CA (Det 4)		4	to	\$54,000	4	to	\$54,000	4	to	\$54,000	
Los Angeles, CA (AFRTS)		20	\$14,000	\$268,000	20	\$14,000	\$268,000	20	\$14,000	\$268,000	
Los Angeles, CA (DFAS)		0		\$0	40		\$536,000	40		\$536,000	
Recruiter/ROTC		27	Special	\$348,000	40	Special	\$589,000	57	Special	\$815,000	
Sub-Total Domestic	156	86		\$1,139,000	139		\$1,916,000	156		\$2,142,000	
FOREIGN LEASES											
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1321		4	\$248	\$35,500	4	\$248	\$35,500	1	\$248	\$35,500	
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1321		1	\$248	\$35,500 \$47,800	1	\$248 \$248	\$35,500 \$47,800	1	\$248 \$248	\$35,500 \$47,800	
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 805		1	\$248	\$47,800 \$53.300	•	\$248 \$248	\$47,800 \$53,300	1	\$248 \$248	\$47,800 \$53.300	
			\$248	\$53,300 \$16.800	1	\$248 \$248	\$53,300 \$16.800	1	\$248 \$248	\$53,300 \$16.800	
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1488		1		,	1		,			,	
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1489		1	\$248	\$16,400	1	\$248	\$16,400	1	\$248	\$16,400	
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1490		1	\$248	\$24,300	1	\$248	\$24,300	1	\$248	\$24,300	
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1506		1	\$248	\$20,700	1	\$248	\$20,700	1	\$248	\$20,700	
*Izmir, Turkey - Unit 1522		1	\$248	\$18,200	1	\$248	\$18,200	1	\$248	\$18,200	
Total Turkey		8	^	233,000	8	***	233,000	8	***	\$233,000	
*Stavanger, Norway	1	1	\$23,500	\$99,000	1	\$23,500	\$99,000	1	\$22,600	\$95,000	
*Sembawang, Singapore	117	117	\$2,418,382	\$4,890,000	117	\$2,418,382	\$4,982,000	117	\$2,417,868	\$4,476,000	
*Aviano, Italy	1	1	\$22,349	\$26,100	1	\$22,349	\$26,918	1	\$21,558	\$23,571	
**Paris, France	9	N/A	N/A	\$348,000	N/A	N/A	\$354,000	N/A	N/A	\$347,000	
**Copenhagen, Denmark	4	N/A	N/A	\$105,000	N/A	N/A	\$106,000	N/A	N/A	\$103,000	
**Aman, Jordan	3	N/A	N/A	\$59,000	N/A	N/A	\$60,000	N/A	N/A	\$60,000	
**Asmara, Eritea	1	N/A	N/A	\$23,000	N/A	N/A	\$24,000	N/A	N/A	\$24,000	
**Cairo, Egypt	3	N/A	N/A	\$46,000	N/A	N/A	\$47,000	N/A	N/A	\$47,000	
**Nairobi, Kenya	1	N/A	N/A	\$25,000	N/A	N/A	\$25,000	N/A	N/A	\$25,000	
**Bangkok, Thailand	7	N/A	N/A	\$152,000	N/A	N/A	\$152,000	N/A	N/A	\$152,000	
**Classified Location	3	N/A	N/A	\$110,000	N/A	N/A	\$110,000	N/A	N/A	\$110,000	
Sub-Total Foreign		135		\$6,349,100	135		\$6,451,918	135		\$5,928,571	
GRAND TOTAL FH-4A		221	N/A	\$7,488,100	274	N/A	\$8,367,918	291	N/A	\$8,070,571	

Exhibit FH-4A

HIGH COST domestic leases range between \$12k and \$14k per year.

^{*} Adjusted cost cap for overseas leases is determined by multiplying \$20k times the FY 88 exchange rate divided by the FY 99 exchange rate. Leases exceeding this cap are defined as HIGH COST and are part of the number of high cost leases allowed.

^{**} State Department pool leases do not count against the total number of high cost leases allowed.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE SECTION 801 FAMILY HOUSING SUMMARY (Dollars In Thousands)

FY 1999

		DATE	DATE OF					
	NO. OF	OF	FULL	FY97	FY98	FY98	FY99	FY99
LOCATION	UNITS	AWARD	OCCUP	COSTS	UNITS	COSTS	UNITS	COSTS
Hanscom AFB, MA	163	SEP 85	OCT 87	\$2,889	163	\$2,937	163	\$2,967
Goodfellow AFB, TX	200	SEP 86	JAN 88	\$1,905	200	\$1,935	200	\$1,980
Andrews AFB, MD	828	AUG 91	OCT 95	\$10,301	828	\$12,338	828	\$12,465
Hurlburt AFB, FL	300	JAN 91	MAY 92	\$3,420	300	\$3,501	300	\$3,552
March AFB, CA	200	NOV 87	NOV 88	\$61	0	\$0	0	\$0
Travis AFB, CA	300	SEP 89	AUG 91	\$3,865	300	\$3,920	300	\$3,945
Eielson AFB, AK	300	JAN 85	JULY 86	\$5,585	300	\$5,699	300	\$5,736
Eielson AFB, AK	366	SEP 91	DEC 95	\$9,871	366	\$9,907	366	\$9,958
Ellsworth AFB, SD	828	AUG 89	JUN 91	\$11,273	828	\$11,347	828	\$11,402
Ellsworth AFB, SD	200	JUN 89	JULY 90	\$2,688	200	\$2,739	200	\$2,756
Cannon AFB, NM	350	JUN 91	AUG 93	\$3,901	343	\$3,943	343	\$3,980
ANNUAL REQUIREMENT	4,035	N/A	N/A	\$55,759	3,828	\$58,266	3,828	\$58,741
Unused Lease Points	1,765			\$0	1,972		1,972	\$0
GRAND TOTAL FH-4B	5,800	N/A	N/A	\$55,759	5,800	\$58,266	5,800	\$58,741

FY 1999 DEBT PAYMENT

Program (in Thousands)
FY 1999 Program \$32
FY 1998 Program \$31

Purpose and Scope

The Debt Payment program continues in name only, as the last of the Capehart and Wherry mortgages were liquidated in FY 1989. This program includes payment of Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums to FHA for mortgages assumed by active military personnel prior to FY 1980.

Program Summary - Highlights

Request authorization for the appropriation of \$32,000 for FY 1999. No additional budget authority is required for mortgages as noted above.

Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums

Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Premiums, Section 124, Public Law 560, 83rd Congress, The Housing Act of 1954, aids in providing homes for members of the Armed Forces of the United States and their families through a system of FHA mortgage insurance, specially designed to assist such members in financing the construction or purchase of homes.

This program was discontinued through Public Law 93-130 (Military Construction Appropriation Act, 1980) which allowed coverage only on existing mortgages covered prior to FY 1980. The amount needed to continue funding premiums on mortgages existing prior to FY 1980 continues to slowly decrease, adjusted for inflation. The program for FY 1999 is as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	Number	Average Payment/Yr	Amount(\$000)
1999	165	\$182	\$32

FOREIGN CURRENCY EXCHANGE DATA FY 1999 President Budget Submission Military Family Housing O&M (\$ in Thousands)

	FY 1997		FY 1	998	FY 1999		
	U.S. \$	Approved	U.S. \$	Approved	U.S. \$	Approved	
	Requiring	Execution	Requiring	Execution	Requiring	Execution	
Country	Conversion	<u>Rates</u>	Conversion	<u>Rates</u>	Conversion	<u>Rates</u>	
Denmark	\$69	5.610	\$92	6.868	\$103	6.796	
France	\$90	4.950	N/A	6.076	\$118	5.986	
Germany	\$96,867	1.450	\$60,253	1.807	\$57,541	1.789	
Italy	\$21,573	1,582.030	\$11,824	1,759.000	\$12,962	1,752.000	
Japan	\$83,439	105.850	\$72,667	121.170	\$53,318	130.450	
Norway	\$92	6.400	\$91	7.418	\$147	7.243	
Portugal	\$6,297	150.790	\$1,036	183.250	\$1,097	182.580	
Singapore	\$0	1.430	\$4,625	1.503	\$4,003	1.614	
South Korea	\$4,731	787.090	\$4,422	907.600	\$2,839	1,342.400	
Spain	\$475	122.390	\$106	152.330	\$101	151.000	
United Kingdom	\$42,101	0.650	\$40,317	0.632	\$33,796	0.619	
	\$255,734		\$195,432		\$166,025		