nu-WRF model simulations and real time forecasts for MC³E D. Wu, T. Matsui, Shi GPM Science Team (A. Hou, Gail, Walt) Tao

Prior to Field Campaign

- Conduct real time forecast (dry run) using NASA Unified WRF (nuWRF) at Goddard.
- Develop visualizations software (i.e., tracer transport) for real time forecast system

During Field campaign:

• Conduct real time forecast for aircraft missions and ground based observation

After field campaign:

• Prepare documentation for the real-time forecast (i.e., identify cases for post mission simulations, describe the model performance)

Data for model validation (GPM rainfall/snowfall/LH retrieval)

• DSDs at various layers (gamma or exponential distributions for cloud water, rain, cloud ice, snow, and graupel), 3D liquid and ice water contents and median diameters, mixed phase information, particle number concentrations for cloud ice, snow, graupel and hail, aerial ratios (ice habits), and the liquid water fraction of melting snow, graupel and hail, over the life cycle of clouds and cloud systems.

NASA Unified (nu) WRF



Microphysics in GCE, nu-WRF, MMF and Stretched Global CRM

One-Moment (Warm Rain only, 2ICE, 3ICE-graupel, 3ICE-hail) (Tao and Simpson 1993, Tao et al. 2003, Lang et al. 2007)

One-moment 3ICE-graupel but improved - reducing 40 dBz aloft (Lang et al. 2011 – in press)

One-moment 3ICE-graupel - Temperature Dependent Drop Size Distribution (TDDD) (Matsui et al. 2009; Zeng et al. 2010)

One-moment - 4ICE (cloud ice, snow, graupel and hail)

Two-moment - 2-liquid, 3ICE-graupel (based on spectral bin microphysics – could add more moments for chemistry, testing now) 30% more expensive than one-moment bulk scheme

Spectral bin microphysics (Tao et al. 2007; Li et al., 2009; Iguchi et al. 2011) 16 times or 1600% more expensive; 256 CPUs

Improved



Reduce the graupel, but increase both cloud ice and snow

Lang et al. (2011)

Model Setup for MC³E

- Three nested domain 18km (212,167), 6km (276,210), 2km (294,234) grid spacing 61 vertical layers
- Physics:

Goddard Microphysics scheme Grell-Devenyi cumulus scheme Goddard Radiation schemes MYJ PBL

Noah surface scheme Eta surface layer scheme

- Initial ConditionForcing data (NAM 218)
- Computational Cost: 320 CPUs, 1 and half hours wall clock time produces 24 hours forecast.



Post MC3E: conduct higher horizontal resolution, and test microphysics schemes and land surface models

Nu-WRF test cases for MC³E

Case I: May 15-16, 2009 Case II: April 29-30, 2009 Case III: 01-02 Jan. 2011 Snow Storm

Other cases

East Coast Snow Storm (December 26-28, 2010), Typhoon Morakot (2009), AMMA (2006, 2008), Monsoon (aerosol, 2008), C3VP (2007) and many others



←-Case I

Case II \rightarrow



Case III \rightarrow



Case I: May 15-16, 2009

• Initialized at 00Z on May 15th

WRF

Radar





06Z, May 16











2009.05.15 05:00 UTC



Case II: April 29-30, 2009

• Initialized at 12Z on April 28th

WRF

Radar













Time Line for Real Time

UTC	CDT	
02 Z	9 PM	Start download the NCEP data (need a few
		minutes)
03 Z	10 PM	Start NU-WRF 24-h forecast
		Requires 4 hour using 240 CPUs
07 Z	02 AM	Finish forecast and Start post processing
		Requires up 2 hour
09 Z	04 AM	Examine the results and archive the data
10 Z	05 AM	Modeled forecast data will be available to Team

Forecasted Fields (visualization and regular plots)



Climatologically, 40-dBZ penetrations above 10 km are rare even over land (Zipser *et al.* 2006; Liu *et al.* 2008)

Reduce 40dBZ at high altitude

Long-term (multi-weeks) model simulations

TRMM

6



TDDD

High resolution simulation of 23 Feb 1999 TRMM LBA MCS case



Improve riming, contact nucleation, immersion freezing and others (Lang *et al.* 2011)