The Impact of a Humidity Inversion on the Persistence of a Decoupled Arctic Mixed-Phase Stratocumulus

Amy Solomon¹²

In collaboration with:

Matt Shupe^{12*}, Ola Persson¹², Hugh Morrison³

Barrow

*ASR Pl on this project ¹NOAA/Earth System Research Laboratory, Boulder, Colorado ²CIRES/University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado ³National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado

Outline of Talk:

1)Comparison of Arctic Mixed-Phase Stratocumulus to Subtropical Stratocumulus Topped Boundary Layers

2) Nested LES Simulation of Decoupled AMPS

--- Case Study ISDAC Golden Day 8 April 2008

3)Conceptual Model of AMPS

Idealized Marine Stratocumulus-topped Boundary Layer



sea surface

After Norris (2010)



Idealized Marine Stratocumulus-topped Boundary Layer



Humidity Inversion: QV doesn't decrease at cloud top (0e increases within the cloud layer)

Cloud extends ~100m into inversion

Large-scale subsidence?

Stable surface layer (decoupled)

Much less precipitation



Humidity Inversion: QV doesn't decrease at cloud top (0e increases within the cloud layer)

Cloud extends ~100m into inversion

Large-scale subsidence?

Stable surface layer (decoupled)

Much less precipitation



Humidity Inversion: QV doesn't decrease at cloud top (De increases within the cloud layer)

Cloud extends ~100m into inversion

Large-scale subsidence?

Stable surface layer (decoupled)

Much less precipitation



Humidity Inversion: QV doesn't decrease at cloud top (0e increases within the cloud layer)

Cloud extends ~100m into inversion

Large-scale subsidence?

Stable surface layer (decoupled)

Much less precipitation



Humidity Inversion: QV doesn't decrease at cloud top (0e increases within the cloud layer)

Cloud extends ~100m into inversion

Large-scale subsidence?

Stable surface layer (decoupled) Limited surface moisture sources

Much less precipitation Role of ice?



Humidity Inversion: QV doesn't decrease at cloud top (0e increases within the cloud layer)

Cloud extends ~100m into inversion

Large-scale subsidence?

Stable surface layer (decoupled)

Much less precipitation

Role of ice?



10

Experiment Design

- \diamond WRF Version 3.1
- ♦ Two-way nesting using 25km, 5km, 1km, 200m, 50m nests
- \uparrow 16m vertical resolution in mixed layer, 8m resolution in entrainment zone
- \diamond Morrison 2-moment liquid and ice microphysics
- ♦ Uniform sea-ice surface
- ECMWF 6 hourly forcing at the 25km lateral boundaries
- \diamond Aerosols fit to ISDAC measurements





Boundary Layer Structure Along Mean Mixed Layer Winds



1.8

1.7

1.6

1.5

1.4

1.3

1.2

1.1

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

1.8

1.7

1.6

1.5

1.4

1.3

1.2

1.1

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

10

g/kg

8

1.8 1.9 2 1

10

g/m3

.045 .05

1

Domain Averaged θe and Buoyancy Flux



Domain Averaged Water Tendencies and Mean Fields

- In Upper EZ: ◆ Depleting Vapor while maintaining Cloud Water
- ♦ Cloud layer in Inversion
 ♦ W = 0 at Top

In Mixed Layer:
♦ Role of Ice?
♦ Depletion of both Vapor and Cloud Water

W≈-0.5 cm/s above Inversion





At the Top of the Upper Entrainment Zone: Mean and Turbulent Vertical Fluxes ≈ Zero



Within the Upper Entrainment Zone: Turbulent Fluxes Transport Water Vapor to EZ Base



Fluxes at Mixed Layer Top are Larger than at the Base: Sedimentation is the Primary Sink of Water from the Mixed Layer



$\mathsf{AMPSC}{onceptual}\,\mathsf{Model}$

Given a temperature + humidity inversion...

$\mathsf{AMPSC}{onceptual}\,\mathsf{Model}$

And líquid water forming at the base of the inversion



AMPS Conceptual Model

Longwave cooling forces turbulence that

- Mixes water vapor downward --that increases the inversion and maintains the cloud layer-
- 2) Drives a mixed layer



Height

AMPS Conceptual Model

And mixes the cloud water into the mixed layer where it evaporates



AMPS Conceptual Model

Over an hour...



Thank you for your attention!

...Questions?

