



March 21, 2011

Mr. Patrick J. Keenan
Bureau Chief, Medicaid Fraud Bureau
Illinois Attorney General's Office
100 West Randolph Street, 12th Floor
Chicago, IL 60601

Dear Mr. Keenan:

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services (HHS) previously received your office's request to review the Illinois False Claims Act, 740 Ill. Comp. Stat. §§ 175/1 through 175/8, under the requirements of section 1909 of the Social Security Act (the Act) and determined that the Illinois False Claims Act met those requirements. Section 1909 of the Act provides a financial incentive for States to enact laws that establish liability to the State for individuals and entities that submit false or fraudulent claims to the State Medicaid program. For a State to qualify for this incentive, the State law must meet certain requirements enumerated under section 1909(b) of the Act, as determined by the Inspector General of HHS in consultation with the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ). As explained below, we have determined, after consulting with DOJ, that the Illinois False Claims Act no longer meets the requirements of section 1909 of the Act.

On May 20, 2009, the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009 (FERA) made numerous amendments to the Federal False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-33. On March 23, 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) amended the Federal False Claims Act. Also, on July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act) further amended the Federal False Claims Act. These three acts, among other things, amended bases for liability in the Federal False Claims Act and expanded certain rights of *qui tam* relators. As a result of the ACA and the Dodd-Frank Act, the Illinois False Claims Act is no longer in compliance with section 1909 of the Act.

Section 1909(b)(2) of the Act requires the State law to contain provisions that are at least as effective in rewarding and facilitating *qui tam* actions for false and fraudulent claims as those described in sections 3730 through 3732 of the Federal False Claims Act. The Federal False Claims Act, as amended by the FERA and the Dodd-Frank Act, provides certain relief to any employee, contractor, or agent who is retaliated against because of lawful acts done in furtherance of a Federal False Claims Act action or efforts to stop violations of the Federal False Claims Act. See 31 U.S.C. § 3730(h). The Illinois False Claims Act does not provide these persons with as much protection from retaliatory action. Therefore, the Illinois False Claims Act is not at least as effective in rewarding and facilitating *qui tam* actions as the Federal False Claims Act.

In addition, the Federal False Claims Act, as amended by the ACA, provides that the court shall dismiss an action or claim under the Federal False Claims Act, unless opposed by the Government, if substantially the same allegations or transactions as alleged in the action or claim were publicly disclosed: (1) in a Federal criminal, civil, or administrative hearing in which the Government or its agent is a party; (2) in a congressional, Government Accountability Office, or other Federal report, hearing, audit, or investigation; or (3) by the news media, unless the action is brought by the Attorney General or a person who is an original source of the information. See 31 U.S.C. § 3730(e)(4)(A). In contrast, the Illinois False Claims Act requires a court to dismiss a broader category of cases based on a public disclosure and does not give Illinois the opportunity to oppose the dismissal. Therefore, the Illinois False Claims Act is not at least as effective in rewarding and facilitating *qui tam* actions as the Federal False Claims Act.

Further, the Federal False Claims Act, as amended by the ACA, defines “original source” as an individual who either: (1) prior to a public disclosure, voluntarily disclosed to the Government the information on which the allegations or transactions in a claim are based or (2) has knowledge that is independent of and materially adds to the publicly disclosed allegations or transactions, and who has voluntarily provided the information to the Government before filing an action. See 31 U.S.C. § 3730(e)(4)(B). In contrast, the Illinois False Claims Act has a more restrictive definition of “original source.” Therefore, the Illinois False Claims Act is not at least as effective in rewarding and facilitating *qui tam* actions as the Federal False Claims Act.

Illinois will be granted a grace period, ending March 31, 2013, to amend the Illinois False Claims Act and resubmit it to OIG for approval. Until March 31, 2013, Illinois will continue to qualify for the incentive under section 1909 of the Act. Resubmission to OIG of an amended act will toll the expiration of the grace period until OIG issues a letter deeming the act either compliant or not compliant with section 1909 of the Act. To continue to qualify for the incentive after March 31, 2013, or after the expiration of any tolling period, if applicable, Illinois must amend the Illinois False Claims Act to meet the requirements of section 1909 of the Act with reference to the Federal False Claims Act in effect on the date of this letter, submit it for review, and receive approval by OIG. If any provision of the Federal False Claims Act that is relevant to section 1909 of the Act is amended further, Illinois will again be granted a 2-year grace period from the date of enactment of any such amendments in which to amend its act to conform with the amended Federal False Claims Act and resubmit it to OIG for approval.

Page 3 – Mr. Patrick J. Keenan

If you have any questions regarding this review, please contact me or have your staff contact Katie Arnholt, Senior Counsel, at 202-205-3203 or Tony Maida, Deputy Chief, Administrative and Civil Remedies Branch, at 202-205-9323.

Sincerely,

/Daniel R. Levinson/

Daniel R. Levinson
Inspector General