### Dark matter in 3D

#### Sonia El Hedri with Daniele Alves and Jay Wacker

SLAC – Stanford University

June 4, 2012

#### arXiv:1204.5487v1



4 0 8

<span id="page-0-0"></span> $QQ$ 

#### [Directional detection : overview](#page-2-0)

#### [Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-4-0)

- **[Kinematics: overview](#page-5-0)**
- [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-9-0)
- **•** [Parameterizing the distribution function](#page-14-0)

#### [Distribution function and statistics](#page-20-0)

4 [Test using N-body simulations](#page-23-0)

つひひ

[Directional detection : overview](#page-2-0)

# Directional detection



<span id="page-2-0"></span>4 0 8

# Current results and prospects



- Currently not competitive with XENON or CDMS for dark matter discovery
- **•** Provide much more information about dark matter kinematics through recoil directions
- Unique information about the dark matter halo in the post discovery era

<span id="page-3-0"></span>4 D F

#### [Directional detection : overview](#page-2-0)

#### 2 [Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-4-0)

- **[Kinematics: overview](#page-5-0)**
- [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-9-0)
- **•** [Parameterizing the distribution function](#page-14-0)

#### [Distribution function and statistics](#page-20-0)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>[Test using N-body simulations](#page-23-0)



[Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-4-0) **[Kinematics: overview](#page-5-0)** 

- [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-9-0)
- [Parameterizing the distribution function](#page-14-0)

3 [Distribution function and statistics](#page-20-0)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>[Test using N-body simulations](#page-23-0)

# Recoil energies and angles



 $\eta \sim 0$  for high  $E_R$ , wide angle for low  $E_R$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span> $\leftarrow$ 

# Scattering and form factors



<span id="page-7-0"></span> $\leftarrow$ 

[Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-8-0) | [Kinematics: overview](#page-8-0)

# Scattering and form factors



<span id="page-8-0"></span> $\leftarrow$ 



# [Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-4-0)

**[Kinematics: overview](#page-5-0)** 

#### • [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-9-0)

**•** [Parameterizing the distribution function](#page-14-0)

#### [Distribution function and statistics](#page-20-0)

<span id="page-9-0"></span>[Test using N-body simulations](#page-23-0)

## Examples of velocity distributions



<span id="page-10-0"></span>つひひ

[Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-11-0) [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-11-0)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Low ER Low ER

# $\eta - \phi$  profiles of the rate – Isotropic case



[Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-12-0) [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-12-0)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

# $η − φ$  profiles of the rate − Anisotropic case



## Dark matter galactic halo

Dark matter halo characterized by a phase space distribution function

$$
P(\vec{r},\vec{v})=f(\vec{r},\vec{v})d^3vd^3r
$$

Dark matter density profile

$$
\rho(\vec{r}) = \int f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) d\vec{v}
$$

Local velocity distribution at  $\vec{r}_0$ 

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
f_{\vec{r}_0}(\vec{v})=f(\vec{r}_0,\vec{v})
$$

# From local to global

A detector on Earth measures

$$
f_{\oplus}(\vec{v})=f(\vec{r}_{\oplus},\vec{v}).
$$

How to get  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$  for any position in the galaxy?

#### Jeans theorem

Any steady-state solution of the collisionless Boltzmann equation depends on the phase-space coordinates only through integrals of motion. Conversely, any function of the integrals of motion is a steady-state solution of the collisionless Boltzmann equation.

<span id="page-14-0"></span>つへへ

## Jeans theorem

#### A detector on Earth measures

$$
f_{\oplus}(\vec{v}) = f(\vec{r}_{\oplus}, \vec{v})
$$

Jeans theorem states

$$
f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) = f(I_1[\vec{r}, \vec{v}], I_2[\vec{r}, \vec{v}], I_3[\vec{r}, \vec{v}])
$$
  
\n
$$
\Rightarrow f_{\oplus}(\vec{v}) = f(I_1[\vec{r}_{\oplus}, \vec{v}], I_2[\vec{r}_{\oplus}, \vec{v}], I_3[\vec{r}_{\oplus}, \vec{v}])
$$

 $f_{\oplus}(\vec{v})$  allows to reconstruct the global distribution function!

4 0 8

<span id="page-15-0"></span> $QQ$ 

# Example : Maxwellian distribution

$$
f(\vec{r}, v) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{v_{esc}^2(\vec{r}) - v^2}{v_0^2}} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \Theta(v_{esc}^2 - v^2) \Rightarrow f(\mathcal{E}) = \left(e^{-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_0}} - 1\right) \Theta(-\mathcal{E})
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}(\vec{r}, v) = \frac{v^2 - v_{esc}^2(\vec{r})}{2}
$$



<span id="page-16-0"></span>

# Parameterizing the distribution function

Standard procedure:

- Assuming an ansatz for  $f(\vec{v})$ (e.g. Maxwellian)
- Fit the detection signal to get  $v_0$ , etc...
- Only local results
- Strong model dependance lab (km/s)<br> (km/s)



Peter et al., arXiv:1202.5035v1 for the halo-only 2-parameter analyses with fixed my in section 3.1.1.1. Analyses using energyfor the halo-only 2-parameter analyses with fixed m<sup>χ</sup> in section 3.1.1. Analyses using energy-

finducial values used in simulating the data. Black dots indicate the values used to generate the values of  $\sigma$ 

<span id="page-17-0"></span>PRIOR COMPANY

fiducial v[alu](#page-16-0)e[s](#page-18-0) [u](#page-16-0)[se](#page-17-0)[d](#page-18-0) [i](#page-13-0)[n](#page-14-0) [s](#page-20-0)[im](#page-3-0)[u](#page-19-0)[la](#page-20-0)[ti](#page-0-0)[ng](#page-41-0) the data. Black dots indicate the values used to generate the

## Parameterizing the distribution function

Find a global and model-independant parameterization of  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ :

$$
\bullet \text{ global}: f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) \to f(\mathcal{E}, L_z, |L|, \ldots)
$$

model-independant : use series expansions

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
f(\vec{r},\vec{v})=\sum_{ijk}c_{ijk}g_i(\mathcal{E})h_j(L_z)\ldots
$$

Model independent parameterization of the distribution function

$$
f(\vec{r},\vec{v})=f(\mathcal{E},L_t,L_z)=f_1(\mathcal{E})f_2(L_t)f_3(L_z)
$$

$$
L_t = \sqrt{L^2 - L_z^2}
$$

$$
f_1(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_i c_i P_L^{(i)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_{lim}}\right)
$$
 Legendre series  

$$
f_2(L_t) = \sum_i d_i \cos \left(i\pi \frac{L_t}{L_{max}}\right)
$$
 Fourier series  

$$
f_3(L_z) = \sum_i f_i \cos \left(i\pi \frac{L_z}{L_{max}}\right)
$$
 Fourier series

<span id="page-19-0"></span>**∢ ロ ▶ 《 何** 

#### [Directional detection : overview](#page-2-0)

#### [Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-4-0)

- **[Kinematics: overview](#page-5-0)**
- [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-9-0)
- **•** [Parameterizing the distribution function](#page-14-0)

#### [Distribution function and statistics](#page-20-0)

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>[Test using N-body simulations](#page-23-0)

## Halo model and detector

• Michie distribution

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) = \left(e^{-\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_0} - 1\right) e^{-\alpha (L_t^2 + L_z^2)/L_0^2} \Theta(-\mathcal{E})
$$

• 
$$
L_0 = r_{\oplus} v_0
$$
,  $\mathcal{E}_0 = v_0^2/2$ ,  $v_0 = 280$  km/s,  $\alpha = 1$ 

- $v_{esc} = 600$  km/s, kept fixed
- $\bullet$  CS<sub>2</sub> target
- $m_{DM} = 6$  GeV
- Fit the detection rate for 1000 and 10000 signal events

Michie distribution Light dark matter Sulphur nuclear target  $10^3$  and  $10^4$  signal events

 $\frac{1.0}{-1.0}$  -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0





 $0.0 - 0.0$ 

0.2 0.4  $\left| \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\mathcal{L}} 0.6 \right|$ 0.8 1.0 1.2

<span id="page-22-0"></span>

#### [Directional detection : overview](#page-2-0)

#### [Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function](#page-4-0)

- **[Kinematics: overview](#page-5-0)**
- [Continuous velocity distributions](#page-9-0)
- <span id="page-23-0"></span>**•** [Parameterizing the distribution function](#page-14-0)

#### [Distribution function and statistics](#page-20-0)



The Dark Matter galactic halo

# N-body simulations

Best current possible estimates of  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ Via Lactea II: 10<sup>9</sup> particles, each of mass  $\sim 10^3 M_{Sun}$ 



<span id="page-24-0"></span>Vogelsberger et al., Aquarius

 $\mathcal{A} \square \vdash \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$  [a](#page-22-0)nd  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}$ 

[Test using N-body simulations](#page-25-0)

# $\eta - \phi$  profile of the detection rate

 $m_{DM} = 6$  GeV – Sulphur nuclear target – 10000 signal events



<span id="page-25-0"></span>Hotspots in 0  $[\pi]$  showing that  $f_2(L_t)$  is suppressed

[Test using N-body simulations](#page-26-0)

# $\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z$  distributions near the Earth

10000 signal events Particles taken in a 200 pc thick spherical shell 8 kpc away from the Sun





<span id="page-26-0"></span>

# VLII simulation and Jeans theorem

Check that  $f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)$  is the same everywhere in the galaxy.

• Get  $f_1(\mathcal{E})$  directly from binned data using

<span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
f_1(\mathcal{E}_i) = \frac{N(\mathcal{E}_i, 0, 0)}{\sqrt{2\mathcal{E}_i + v_{\rm esc}^2}}
$$

but lack of statistics for  $f_2(L_t)$  and  $f_3(L_z)$ 

• Fit the velocity distributions at different positions :  $r = 4.5$ , 8 and 30 kpc and compare the results

<span id="page-28-0"></span>[Test using N-body simulations](#page-28-0)

# $f_1(\mathcal{E})$  from binned data at 8 and 30 kpc



# Fits of  $f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)$

 $f_1(\mathcal{E})$  at 4.5, 8 and 30 kpc away from the center of the galaxy

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

[Test using N-body simulations](#page-30-0)

# Fits of  $f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)$

 $f_2(L_t)$  and  $f_3(L_z)$  at 4.5, 8 and 30 kpc away from the center of the galaxy



<span id="page-30-0"></span>Good agreement at 1  $\sigma$ , small discrepancies at large distances probably due to our choice of integrals of motion

# Conclusion

- Directional sensitivity is necessary to understand the kinematic properties of the dark matter halo
- The local velocity distribution near the Earth gives direct access to the galactic dark matter distribution function using Jeans theorem
- Series expansions allow to parameterize the distribution function in a model independent way
- Multidimensional fitting techniques allow to get a reasonable estimate of the shape of the DF with about 1000 events
- Very good fits of the local velocity distribution function from the VLII simulation using our ansatz
- <span id="page-31-0"></span>Jeans theorem seems verified near the center of the galaxy for VLII data

#### Thank you

<span id="page-32-0"></span> $299$ 

重

 $\mathcal{A}$ . p

 $\rightarrow$ 

**Kロト K個ト K B** 

- Find the optimal number of terms in the series expansion without overfitting
- Get a better estimate of the error on the distribution function
- Study performance of the algorithm with background
- Study performance of the algorithm in presence of streams
- <span id="page-33-0"></span>Get a better understanding of N-body simulation results and of the symmetries of the gravitational potential

#### [Test using N-body simulations](#page-34-0)



<span id="page-34-0"></span>重

÷,

一本語

× ×

(ロ) (d) →

[Test using N-body simulations](#page-35-0) november 2, 2009 14:20 WSPC/INSTRUCTION FILE STATE STATE<br>The signal state sta

# Annual modulation



- **Annual modulation of the dark matter flux**
- Anisotropy of the recoil directions for *any* velocity distribution

<span id="page-35-0"></span>4 0 8

# Daily modulation



# <span id="page-36-0"></span>in the laboratory frame. (right) Magnitude of this daily modulation for seven labels of the sev Daily modulation of the recoil direction (about 45%)  $\,$ Daily modulation of the recoil direction (about 45%)<br>Sonia El Hedri Dark matter in 3D

## Current experiments



- Very low fiducial volumes
- Low pressure (drift length limited by diffusion)
- Large spin targets (for spin dependent scattering)
- <span id="page-37-0"></span>• High lower energy threshold for most experiments

# Integrals of motion and symmetries

Gravitational potential  $\psi(\vec{r},t)$ , related to the phase space density as

<span id="page-38-0"></span>
$$
\Delta \psi = -4\pi \rho
$$

Possibles integrals of motion according to the symmetries of  $\psi$ :

- $E = \frac{v^2}{2} \psi(\vec{r})$  :  $\psi(\vec{r}, t) = \psi(\vec{r})$  (good for haloes at equilibrium)
- $L_{x,y,z} = (\vec{r} \times \vec{v}_{x,y,z})$  : spherically symmetric potentials,  $\psi(\vec{r}) = \psi(r)$
- $\bullet$   $L_z$  : axisymmetric potentials
- $\bullet$   $I_3$ : flattened axisymmetric potentials, no analytical expression
- $\bullet$   $I_2$ : planar non-axisymmetric potentials, no analytical expression

# Assumptions about  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$

- $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $L_t$  and  $L_z$  are integrals of motion
	- Halo at equilibrium :  $\mathcal E$  is an integral of motion
	- Approximate spherical symmetry of  $\psi(\vec{r})$  until  $r \sim 30 kpc$





<span id="page-39-0"></span> $\leftarrow$ 

[Test using N-body simulations](#page-40-0)

# Assumptions about  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$  – Separation of variables

If 
$$
f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) = f_1(\mathcal{E})f_2(L_t)f_3(L_z)
$$
  

$$
G(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) = \frac{g^2 f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)}{g\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{E})g_{L_t}(L_t)g_{L_z}(L_z)} = 1
$$

<span id="page-40-0"></span>with

$$
g_X(X) = \int \int f(X, Y, Z) dY dZ
$$

$$
g = \int f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) d\mathcal{E} dL_t dL_z
$$

For more statistics, use  $\bar{G}_X = \frac{1}{\Delta Y \Delta Z} \int \int G(X, Y, Z) dY dZ$ 

<span id="page-41-0"></span>[Test using N-body simulations](#page-41-0)

# Assumptions about  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$  – Separation of variables

