## Dark matter in 3D

#### Sonia El Hedri with Daniele Alves and Jay Wacker

SLAC - Stanford University

June 4, 2012

#### arXiv:1204.5487v1

~		_		
50	<b>n</b> 12		He	dr
20	i i a		116	чı

#### Directional detection : overview

#### 2 Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function

- Kinematics: overview
- Continuous velocity distributions
- Parameterizing the distribution function

### Oistribution function and statistics

4 Test using N-body simulations

Directional detection : overview

## Directional detection



## Current results and prospects



- Currently not competitive with XENON or CDMS for dark matter discovery
- Provide much more information about dark matter kinematics through recoil directions
- Unique information about the dark matter halo in the post discovery era

#### 1 Directional detection : overview

#### 2) Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function

- Kinematics: overview
- Continuous velocity distributions
- Parameterizing the distribution function

#### 3 Distribution function and statistics

4 Test using N-body simulations



- Kinematics: overview
- Continuous velocity distributions
- Parameterizing the distribution function

#### 3 Distribution function and statistics

4 Test using N-body simulations

## Recoil energies and angles



Kinematics: overview

## Scattering and form factors



Kinematics: overview

## Scattering and form factors





- Kinematics: overview
- Continuous velocity distributions
- Parameterizing the distribution function

#### 3 Distribution function and statistics

4 Test using N-body simulations

## Examples of velocity distributions



Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function Continuous velocity distributions

## $\eta - \phi$ profiles of the rate – Isotropic case



Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function Continuous velocity distributions

## $\eta - \phi$ profiles of the rate – Anisotropic case



## Dark matter galactic halo

Dark matter halo characterized by a phase space distribution function

$$P(\vec{r},\vec{v}) = f(\vec{r},\vec{v})d^3vd^3r$$

Dark matter density profile

$$ho(\vec{r}) = \int f(\vec{r},\vec{v}) d\vec{v}$$

Local velocity distribution at  $\vec{r}_0$ 

$$f_{\vec{r}_0}(\vec{v}) = f(\vec{r}_0,\vec{v})$$

## From local to global

A detector on Earth measures

$$f_{\oplus}(\vec{v}) = f(\vec{r}_{\oplus},\vec{v}).$$

How to get  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$  for any position in the galaxy?

#### Jeans theorem

Any steady-state solution of the collisionless Boltzmann equation depends on the phase-space coordinates only through integrals of motion. Conversely, any function of the integrals of motion is a steady-state solution of the collisionless Boltzmann equation.

## Jeans theorem

#### A detector on Earth measures

$$f_{\oplus}(\vec{v}) = f(\vec{r}_{\oplus},\vec{v})$$

Jeans theorem states

$$f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) = f(I_1[\vec{r}, \vec{v}], I_2[\vec{r}, \vec{v}], I_3[\vec{r}, \vec{v}])$$
$$\Rightarrow f_{\oplus}(\vec{v}) = f(I_1[\vec{r}_{\oplus}, \vec{v}], I_2[\vec{r}_{\oplus}, \vec{v}], I_3[\vec{r}_{\oplus}, \vec{v}])$$

 $f_{\oplus}(\vec{v})$  allows to reconstruct the global distribution function!

## Example : Maxwellian distribution

$$f(\vec{r}, v) = \left(e^{\frac{v_{esc}^2(\vec{r}) - v^2}{v_0^2}} - 1\right) \Theta(v_{esc}^2 - v^2) \Rightarrow f(\mathcal{E}) = \left(e^{-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_0}} - 1\right) \Theta(-\mathcal{E})$$
$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{r}, v) = \frac{v^2 - v_{esc}^2(\vec{r})}{2}$$



## Parameterizing the distribution function

Standard procedure:

- Assuming an ansatz for f(v) (e.g. Maxwellian)
- Fit the detection signal to get v<sub>0</sub>, etc...
- Only local results
- Strong model dependance



Peter et al., arXiv:1202.5035v1

## Parameterizing the distribution function

Find a *global* and *model-independant* parameterization of  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ :

• global : 
$$f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) \rightarrow f(\mathcal{E}, L_z, |L|, \ldots)$$

• model-independant : use series expansions

$$f(\vec{r},\vec{v}) = \sum_{ijk} c_{ijk} g_i(\mathcal{E}) h_j(L_z) \dots$$

Model independent parameterization of the distribution function

$$f(\vec{r},\vec{v}) = f(\mathcal{E},L_t,L_z) = f_1(\mathcal{E})f_2(L_t)f_3(L_z)$$

$$L_t = \sqrt{L^2 - L_z^2}$$

$$f_{1}(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i} c_{i} P_{L}^{(i)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}_{lim}}\right) \text{ Legendre series}$$

$$f_{2}(L_{t}) = \sum_{i} d_{i} \cos\left(i\pi \frac{L_{t}}{L_{max}}\right) \text{ Fourier series}$$

$$f_{3}(L_{z}) = \sum_{i} f_{i} \cos\left(i\pi \frac{L_{z}}{L_{max}}\right) \text{ Fourier series}$$

< □ > < ---->

#### Directional detection : overview

#### 2 Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function

- Kinematics: overview
- Continuous velocity distributions
- Parameterizing the distribution function

#### 3 Distribution function and statistics

#### 4 Test using N-body simulations

## Halo model and detector

Michie distribution

$$f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) = \left(e^{-\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}_0} - 1\right) e^{-\alpha(L_t^2 + L_z^2)/L_0^2} \Theta(-\mathcal{E})$$

• 
$$L_0=r_\oplus v_0$$
,  $\mathcal{E}_0=v_0^2/2$ ,  $v_0=280$  km/s,  $lpha=1$ 

- $v_{esc} = 600 \text{ km/s}$ , kept fixed
- CS<sub>2</sub> target
- $m_{DM} = 6 \text{ GeV}$
- Fit the detection rate for 1000 and 10000 signal events



0.0 $L_t/L_t^{max}$ 

0.5





-0.5

1.2

1.0

0.8

0.4

0.2

0.0

 $f_2(L_t)$ 

1.0

#### Directional detection : overview

#### 2 Reconstructing the dark matter distribution function

- Kinematics: overview
- Continuous velocity distributions
- Parameterizing the distribution function

#### 3 Distribution function and statistics

4 Test using N-body simulations

## N-body simulations

Best current possible estimates of  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ Via Lactea II: 10<sup>9</sup> particles, each of mass  $\sim 10^3 M_{Sun}$ 



Vogelsberger et al., Aquarius

## $\eta-\phi$ profile of the detection rate

 $m_{DM} = 6 \text{ GeV} - \text{Sulphur nuclear target} - 10000 \text{ signal events}$ 



Hotspots in 0 [ $\pi$ ] showing that  $f_2(L_t)$  is suppressed

## $\mathcal{E}$ , $L_t$ , $L_z$ distributions near the Earth

10000 signal events Particles taken in a 200 pc thick spherical shell 8 kpc away from the Sun





Sonia El Hedri

June 4, 2012 27 / 42

## VLII simulation and Jeans theorem

Check that  $f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)$  is the same everywhere in the galaxy.

• Get  $f_1(\mathcal{E})$  directly from binned data using

$$f_1(\mathcal{E}_i) = rac{N(\mathcal{E}_i,0,0)}{\sqrt{2\mathcal{E}_i + v_{esc}^2}}$$

but lack of statistics for  $f_2(L_t)$  and  $f_3(L_z)$ 

• Fit the velocity distributions at different positions : *r* = 4.5, 8 and 30 kpc and compare the results

## $f_1(\mathcal{E})$ from binned data at 8 and 30 kpc



# Fits of $f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)$

 $f_1(\mathcal{E})$  at 4.5, 8 and 30 kpc away from the center of the galaxy



## Fits of $f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)$

 $f_2(L_t)$  and  $f_3(L_z)$  at 4.5, 8 and 30 kpc away from the center of the galaxy



Good agreement at 1  $\sigma$ , small discrepancies at large distances probably due to our choice of integrals of motion

## Conclusion

- Directional sensitivity is necessary to understand the kinematic properties of the dark matter halo
- The local velocity distribution near the Earth gives direct access to the galactic dark matter distribution function using Jeans theorem
- Series expansions allow to parameterize the distribution function in a model independent way
- Multidimensional fitting techniques allow to get a reasonable estimate of the shape of the DF with about 1000 events
- Very good fits of the local velocity distribution function from the VLII simulation using our ansatz
- Jeans theorem seems verified near the center of the galaxy for VLII data

#### Thank you

3

< □ > < □ > < □

- Find the optimal number of terms in the series expansion without overfitting
- Get a better estimate of the error on the distribution function
- Study performance of the algorithm with background
- Study performance of the algorithm in presence of streams
- Get a better understanding of N-body simulation results and of the symmetries of the gravitational potential



ъ

## Annual modulation



- Annual modulation of the dark matter flux
- Anisotropy of the recoil directions for any velocity distribution

## Daily modulation



#### Daily modulation of the recoil direction (about 45%)

## Current experiments

Experiment	Target	Energy threshold (keV)	$V(m^3)$
DRIFT	$CS_2$	$\sim 20$	1
NEWAGE	$CF_4$	$\sim 100$	0.03
DMTPC	CF <sub>4</sub>	$\sim 50$	0.01
MIMAC	$He_3/CF_4$	< 1	0.00013
Emulsions	AgBr	N/A	N/A

- Very low fiducial volumes
- Low pressure (drift length limited by diffusion)
- Large spin targets (for spin dependent scattering)
- High lower energy threshold for most experiments

## Integrals of motion and symmetries

Gravitational potential  $\psi(\vec{r}, t)$ , related to the phase space density as

$$\Delta \psi = -4\pi\rho$$

Possibles integrals of motion according to the symmetries of  $\psi$ :

- $E = \frac{v^2}{2} \psi(\vec{r}) : \psi(\vec{r}, t) = \psi(\vec{r})$  (good for haloes at equilibrium)
- $L_{x,y,z} = (\vec{r} \times \vec{v}_{x,y,z})$ : spherically symmetric potentials,  $\psi(\vec{r}) = \psi(r)$
- L<sub>z</sub> : axisymmetric potentials
- $I_3$ : flattened axisymmetric potentials, no analytical expression
- $I_2$ : planar non-axisymmetric potentials, no analytical expression

Assumptions about  $\overline{f(\vec{r},\vec{v})}$ 

$$\mathcal{E}$$
,  $L_t$  and  $L_z$  are integrals of motion

- Halo at equilibrium :  $\mathcal{E}$  is an integral of motion
- Approximate spherical symmetry of ψ(r) until r ~ 30kpc





## Assumptions about $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ – Separation of variables

If 
$$f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) = f_1(\mathcal{E})f_2(L_t)f_3(L_z)$$
  
$$G(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) = \frac{g^2 f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z)}{g_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{E})g_{L_t}(L_t)g_{L_z}(L_z)} = 1$$

with

$$g_X(X) = \int \int f(X, Y, Z) dY dZ$$
$$g = \int f(\mathcal{E}, L_t, L_z) d\mathcal{E} dL_t dL_z$$

For more statistics, use  $\bar{G}_X = \frac{1}{\Delta Y \Delta Z} \int \int G(X, Y, Z) dY dZ$ 

## Assumptions about $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v})$ – Separation of variables



Sonia El Hedri

June 4, 2012 42 / 42