



Department of the Air Force

**Military Construction and Family
Housing Program**

**Fiscal Year (FY) 2003
Budget Submission**

**Justification Data Submitted to Congress
February 2002**

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

This Military Family Housing request reflects the Air Force's commitment to revitalize inadequate houses and provide service members with homes that meet contemporary standards similar to the size and floor pattern of homes constructed in the local community. The Air Force created the Air Force Family Housing Master Plan (FHMP) as the "roadmap" to guide our investment in military family housing. The Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff endorsed the following statement in the recently approved plan:

The foundation of the Air Force is our people. Our highest enduring priority is to recruit and retain the finest men and women for our Air Force. Achieving this priority is paramount to the Air Force's military capability, today and tomorrow. Investments in Quality of Life create the living environment our people need and deserve to successfully accomplish their mission. Providing safe and adequate housing, especially for our families, enhances retention and readiness, for while we recruit individuals, we retain families. This family housing master plan is the foundation for our investment in Air Force military family housing. It demonstrates our commitment to our airmen and our families, providing the Quality of Life that lives up to their trust. We will remain worthy of America's best.

This budget request fully funds the AF FHMP to meet Air Force's 2010 goal. The Air Force FHMP provides a balanced, requirements based strategy that integrates and prioritizes traditional construction and operations and maintenance, with a measured approach to privatization into a single "roadmap." The FHMP recognizes that we rely on the local community to provide 60 percent of our military family housing needs. When local community housing is unavailable, inadequate, or demand for base housing is high due to economic factors, we construct, or repair and maintain existing military family housing to modern-day, industry standards. Also, where possible and fiscally appropriate, we attempt to lease adequate housing for our families.

Consistent with AF FHMP priorities, this budget provides a program that emphasizes construction to upgrade homes to whole-house standards, and applies operations and maintenance of our housing inventory for daily operations to "keep the doors open" and only where needed to keep "good houses good." In this way we avoid costly "bandaid" fixes to deteriorating houses. We are accelerating revitalization of inadequate homes in the worst condition by improving or replacing to contemporary "whole-house" standards, where economically justifiable. Accordingly our investment account has increased from \$544M last FY to \$677M in FY03.

The operations, day-to-day maintenance and leasing accounts predominantly support "must pay" requirements. These costs include service contracts, lease contracts, utilities, and essential maintenance for operating the units and "keeping the doors open" on a daily basis to keep "good units good" and contract funding to correct life safety, health, and facility preservation issues that

cannot await MILCON funding. The maintenance account also reflects AF FHMP priorities and attempts to arrest growth of our deferred housing maintenance and repair requirements within fiscal constraints. Unfortunately we have not eliminated our deferred maintenance and repair backlog. At the beginning of FY2003 approximately 46,000 housing units needed revitalization. Under existing agreements, it is expected host nations will revitalize about 3,000 units leaving 43,000 units for the Air Force to address.

The Air Force is also committed to continuing a measured approach to privatization to revitalize where projected life-cycle costs are similar or better than traditional military construction and operations and maintenance life-cycle costs. The AF FHMP proposes five additional housing privatization initiatives in FY 2003. We propose to privatize more than 4,500 housing units at five bases: (Maxwell AFB, AL; Hanscom AFB, MA; Cannon AFB, NM; Shaw AFB, SC; and F.E. Warren AFB, WY). One alternative improvement project is proposed for the Cannon AFB privatized project. The alternative project will only be executed if privatization is unsuccessful. These five improvement projects have a total budget cost of \$7.7 million.

We believe this funding profile represents a well-balanced, fiscally constrained program that is based on a fact-based and senior leadership approved Family Housing Master Plan. By allocating more funds to construction investment, we are more aggressively attacking our inadequate units, and ensuring M&R dollars are working to fund “must pay” bills and essential housing repairs. We respectfully request full support for the Air Force family housing needs presented herein.

INDEX

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

INDEX

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
FAMILY HOUSING NARRATIVE	207
INDEX	209
FINANCIAL SUMMARY	213
LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE	
Authorization	215
Appropriation	217
NEW CONSTRUCTION	
Construction Purpose and Scope & New/Current Mission	219
Peterson AFB, CO	221
Osan AB, Korea	226
Luke AFB, AZ	231
Travis AFB, CA	236
USAF Academy, CO	241
Dover AFB, DE	246
Eglin AFB, FL	251
Eglin AFB, FL	256
MacDill AFB, FL	259
Hickam AFB, HI	264
Mountain Home AFB, ID	269
McConnell AFB, KS	274
Andrews AFB, MD	277
Andrews AFB, MD	282
Columbus AFB, MS	287
Keesler AFB, MS	289
Whiteman AFB, MO	294
Malmstrom AFB, MT	299
Holloman AFB, NM	304
Pope AFB, NC	309

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

INDEX

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	311
Grand Forks AFB, ND	316
Minot AFB, ND	321
Minot AFB, ND	326
Vance AFB, OK	331
Ellsworth AFB, SD	336
Ellsworth AFB, SD	341
Dyess AFB, TX	343
Randolph AFB, TX	348
Randolph AFB, TX	353
Langley AFB, VA	355
Ramstein AB, Germany	358
Osan AB, Korea	363
Lakenheath AB, UK	366

POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION

Purpose and Scope	369
Alabama	372
Alaska	372
Arizona	373
California	374
Colorado	374
District of Columbia	375
Florida	375
Georgia	376
Massachusetts	376
Mississippi	377
Missouri	377
New Mexico	377
Ohio	378
South Carolina	378
Texas	379
Virginia	379
Washington	379

February 2002

Page No.

210

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

INDEX

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Wyoming	380
Overseas	
Germany	381
Guam	381
Japan	382
United Kingdom	382
Post Acquisition Over \$50,000 per Unit	
Maxwell AFB, AL	385
Elmendorf AFB, AK	387
USAF Academy, CO	389
Peterson AFB, CO	391
Hurlbert Field, FL	393
Hanscom AFB, MA	395
Cannon AFB, NM	396
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH	398
Shaw AFB, SC	400
Langley AFB, VA	402
F.E. Warren AFB, WY	404
<u>Overseas</u>	
Ramstein AB, GE	406
Spangdahlem AB, GE	408
Andersen AFB, GUAM	410
Kadena AB, JA	412
RAF Lakenheath, UK	414
ADVANCE PLANNING AND DESIGN	417
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SUMMARY	
Narrative (Purpose and Scope)	419
Inventory and Funding Summary FH-2	422

February 2002

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

INDEX

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Historic Housing FH-6	426
OPERATIONS	427
Management OP-5	428
Services OP-5	430
Furnishings OP-5	432
Miscellaneous OP-5	436
UTILITIES OP-5	439
MAINTENANCE OP-5	443
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OVER \$20,000 PER UNIT	447
GENERAL OFFICER QUARTERS OVER \$35,000 PER UNIT	451
REIMBURSABLE PROGRAM OP-5	455
LEASING	
Purpose and Scope	457
OP-5	459
Exhibit FH-4, Leasing (Other than Section 801 & 802)	461
Exhibit FH-4A, High Cost Foreign Leased Units	462
Exhibit FH-4B, Section 801 Leases	463
HOUSING PRIVATIZATION	465
DEBT PAYMENT	471
PB-18 EXHIBIT, Foreign Currency Exchange Data	473

SUMMARY

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

FY 2003 FINANCIAL SUMMARY

AUTHORIZATION FOR APPROPRIATION REQUESTED FOR FY 2003:

<u>FUNDING PROGRAM FY 2003</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
Construction	416,438
Post-Acquisition Construction	226,068
Advance Planning and Design	34,188
<u>Appropriation Request: Construction</u>	676,694
Operations, Utilities and Maintenance	720,211
Operating Expenses	110,781
Utilities	132,945
Maintenance	476,485
Housing Privatization	20,482
Leasing - Worldwide	103,690
Debt Payment	
Premiums for Servicemen's Mortgage Insurance Coverage	36
<u>Appropriation Request: O&M, Leasing, Housing Privatization and Debt Payment</u>	844,419
<u>Appropriation Request</u>	1,521,113
Reimbursement Program	11,190
FY 2003 FAMILY HOUSING PROGRAM	1,532,303

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

FY 2003 Authorization Language

SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

<u>STATE</u>	<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Arizona	Luke AFB	140 Units	\$18,954,000
California	Travis AFB	110 Units	\$24,320,000
Colorado	Peterson AFB	2 Units	\$959,000
Colorado	USAF Academy	71 Units	\$12,424,000
Delaware	Dover AFB	112 Units	\$19,615,000
Florida	Eglin AFB	134 Units	\$ 15,906,000
Florida	Eglin AFB	Housing Office	\$597,000
Florida	MacDill AFB	96 Units	\$18,086,000
Hawaii	Hickam AFB	96 Units	\$29,050,000
Idaho	Mt. Home AFB	95 Units	\$24,392,000
Kansas	McConnell AFB	Hsg. Maint. Facility	\$1,514,000
Maryland	Andrews AFB	53 Units	\$9,838,000
Maryland	Andrews AFB	52 Units	\$8,807,000
Mississippi	Columbus AFB	Housing Office	\$412,000
Mississippi	Keesler AFB	117 Units	\$16,505,000

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

<u>STATE</u>	<u>INSTALLATION</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Missouri	Whiteman AFB	22 Units	\$3,977,000
Montana	Malmstrom AFB	18 Units	\$4,717,000
New Mexico	Holloman AFB	10 1 Units	\$20,161,000
North Carolina	Pope AFB	Hsg. Maint. Facility	\$991,000
North Carolina	Seymour Johnson AFB	126 Units	\$18,615,000
North Dakota	Grand Forks AFB	150 Units	\$30,140,000
North Dakota	Minot AFB	112 Units	\$21,428,000
North Dakota	Minot AFB	102 Units	\$20,315,000
Oklahoma	Vance AFB	59 Units	\$11,423,000
South Dakota	Ellsworth AFB	22 Units	\$4,794,000
South Dakota	Ellsworth AFB	Hsg. Maint. Facility	\$447,000
Texas	Dyess AFB	85 Units	\$14,824,000
Texas	Randolph AFB	112 Units	\$14,311,000
Texas	Randolph AFB	Hsg. Maint. Facility	\$447,000
Virginia	Langley AFB	Housing Office	\$1,193,000
Germany	Ramstein AB	19 Units	\$8,534,000
Korea	Osan AB	113 Units	\$35,705,000
Korea	Osan AB	Furnishings Mgt Warehouse	\$834,000
UK	RAF Lakenheath	Hsg Mgt Facility	\$2,203,000
		Total	\$416,438,000

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

- (b) PLANNING AND DESIGN. - Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$34,188,000.

SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENT TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

Subject to section 2825 of Title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$226,068,000.

SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE

(a) IN GENERAL

(5) for Military Family Housing functions –

(A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, \$676,694,000.

(B) For support of military family housing (including functions described in section 2833 of Title 10, United States Code), \$844,419,000.

FY 2003 Appropriation Language

Family Housing – Construction : For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for construction, including acquisition, replacement, addition, expansion, extension and alteration as authorized by law, [\$544,496,000] \$676,694,000, to remain available until September 30, [2006] 2007.

Family Housing – Operation and Maintenance: For expenses of family housing for the Air Force for operations and maintenance, including debt payment, leasing, minor construction, principal and interest changes, and insurance premiums, as authorized by law,[\$835,194,000] \$844,419,000.

The total of the two appropriations in all [\$1,379,690,000] \$1,521,113,000.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

NEW CONSTRUCTION

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

FY 2003 NEW CONSTRUCTION

Program (In Thousands)

FY 2003 Program \$416,438

FY 2002 Program \$149,100

Purpose and Scope

This program provides for the construction of new homes where the local community cannot provide adequate housing and replacement of existing homes, where improvements for Air Force personnel are not economically feasible, and support facilities where existing facilities are inadequate. Costs reflect all amounts necessary to provide complete and usable facilities.

Program Summary

Authorization is requested for: replacement of 2,004 units and construction of 115 units.
A summary of the funding program for FY 2003 is as follows:

<u>AUTHORIZATION</u> <u>Type/Locations</u>	<u>Mission*</u>	<u>Number of</u> <u>Units</u>	<u>Requested</u> <u>Amount (\$000)</u>
CONSTRUCTION HOUSING			
Peterson AFB, CO	Current	2	959
Osan AB, Korea	Current	113	\$ 35,705
REPLACEMENT HOUSING & SUPPORT FACILITIES			
Luke AFB, AZ	Current	140	\$ 18,954
Travis AFB, CA	Current	110	24,320
USAF Academy, CO	Current	71	12,424
Dover AFB, DE	Current	112	19,615
Eglin AFB, FL	Current	134	15,906
Eglin AFB, FL	Current	LS	597
MacDill AFB, FL	Current	96	18,086
Hickam AFB, HI	Current	96	29,050
Mountain Home AFB, ID	Current	95	24,392
McConnell AFB, KS	Current	LS	1,514
Andrews AFB, MD	Current	53	9,838
Andrews AFB, MD	Current	52	8,807
Columbus AFB, MS	Current	LS	412
Keesler AFB, MS	Current	117	16,505
Whiteman AFB, MO	Current	22	3,977
Malmstrom AFB, MT	Current	18	4,717

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING
FISCAL YEAR 2003 BUDGET REQUEST

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>MISSION</u>	<u>NUMBER OF UNITS</u>	<u>REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT (\$000)</u>
Holloman AFB, NM	Current	101	20,161
Pope AFB, NC	Current	LS	991
Seymour Johnson AFB, NC	Current	126	18,615
Grand Forks AFB, ND	Current	150	30,140
Minot AFB, ND	Current	112	21,428
Minot AFB, ND	Current	102	20,315
Vance AFB, OK	Current	59	11,423
Ellsworth AFB, SD	Current	22	4,794
Ellsworth AFB, SD	Current	LS	447
Dyess AFB, TX	Current	85	14,824
Randolph AFB, TX	Current	112	14,311
Randolph AFB, TX	Current	LS	447
Langley AFB, VA	Current	LS	1,193
Ramstein AB, Germany	Current	19	8,534
Osan AB, Korea	Current	LS	834
RAF Lakenheath, UK	Current	LS	2,203
NEW MISSION TOTAL			0
CURRENT MISSION TOTAL			416,438
IMPROVEMENTS			226,068
PLANNING AND DESIGN			<u>34,188</u>
GRAND TOTAL			\$676,694

* FY 2003 NEW/CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES: In compliance with the Senate Appropriations Committee Report (100-380) on the FY 1989 Military Construction Appropriation Act, this exhibit includes construction projects in two separate categories: new mission and current mission. "New Mission" projects are projects that support deployment and beddown of new weapon systems, new program initiatives, and major mission expansions. "Current Mission" projects are projects that either replace inadequate existing facilities or construct new facilities which are not available to meet current requirements.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE		
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO				COMMAND: AIR FORCE SPACE COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.06			
6. Personnel Strength	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	AS OF 30 SEP 01	1138	2018	2850	0	0	0	8	7	
END FY 2005	1116	2011	2850	0	0	0	8	7	1	5,993
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:		1,278								
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										253,6313
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										56,977
Authorization Requested in this Program:										953
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										0
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										0
Remaining Deficiency:										0
Grand Total:										311,574
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)										
CATEGORY				SCOPE			COST DESIGN STATUS			
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>			<u>SCOPE</u>			<u>\$,000</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>	
11-142	Replace Family Housing			2 UN			959	Jun-01	Jul-02	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: None (FY 2004)										
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: None (FY05-07)										
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										7,852
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters United States Space Command; Headquarters Air Force Space Command; Headquarters North American Air Defense Command; a space wing with C-21 aircraft; the Air Force Material Command Space Systems Support Group										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE																
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO			4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING																		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.41	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER TDKA 03-402 1		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) I \$959																	
9. COST ESTIMATE\$																					
		ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)															
		MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	2	284.500	569															
		ATTACHED 2-CAR GARAGES	UN	2	12.000	24															
		SUPPORTING FACILITIES				271															
		SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS			(90)															
		SITE IMPROVEMENTS & LANDSCAPING	LS			(50)															
		UTILITIES	LS			(53)															
		STREETS	LS			(66)															
		COMMUNICATION/ALARM SYSTEM	LS			(12)															
		SUBTOTAL				864															
		CONTINGENCY (5%)				43															
		TOTAL CONTRACT COST				907															
		SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				52															
		TOTAL REQUEST				959															
		AREA COST FACTOR:	1.06																		
<p>10. DESCRIPTION ON PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Construct two General Officer Quarters (GOQ) Special Command Position single family housing units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, attached garages, air conditioning, parking, exterior patios and privacy fencing, support infrastructure of roads and utilities, and landscaping.</p> <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Paygrade</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Bedroom</th> <th style="text-align: center;">NSF</th> <th style="text-align: center;">GSF</th> <th style="text-align: center;">GSM</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Project \$ per Factor GSM</th> <th style="text-align: center;">No Units</th> <th style="text-align: center;">Total (\$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>O-10 SCP</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2,955</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3,664</td> <td style="text-align: center;">341</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.14 732</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">569</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum Size: O-IO Special Command Position (SCP) (3270NSF/4060 GSF)</p>						Paygrade	Bedroom	NSF	GSF	GSM	Project \$ per Factor GSM	No Units	Total (\$000)	O-10 SCP	4	2,955	3,664	341	1.14 732	2	569
Paygrade	Bedroom	NSF	GSF	GSM	Project \$ per Factor GSM	No Units	Total (\$000)														
O-10 SCP	4	2,955	3,664	341	1.14 732	2	569														
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 3,145 UN ADEQUATE: 2,855 UN SUBSTANDARD: 290 UN</p> <p>PROJECT: Construct Military Family Housing (Current Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: Project supports the Secretary of Defense directive to source a four-star billet for the Commander of Air Force Space Command (AFSPC), and provides a new house for CINC USSPACE/NORAD that meets standards for a Special Command Position (SCP) GOQ. Units will meet modern housing standards and are programmed in accordance with the Air Force GOQ Master Plan. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with interior and exterior storage. Units will have a garage and exterior parking in accordance with current SCP standards. Special construction features are required for a SCP in order to support the responsibilities as representatives of U.S. interests in official and social entertainment activities. Features include brick veneer to match local architectural standards, commercial-grade carpeting, wall-covering, and flooring; higher-capacity heating and cooling systems; higher grade kitchen cabinets and countertops; commercial-grade built-in appliances, formal dining room; and powder room separate from the private living quarters. Base standards meet Air Force GOQ standards.</p>																					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING	5. PROJECT NUMBER TDKA 03-402 1	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: The positions of CINC USSPACE/NORAD and AFSPC/CC are currently filled by the same general officer. The Space Commission Report, accepted by the Secretary of Defense, recommended that the two commands be commanded by separate 4-star general officers, resulting in two 4-star general officer billets at Peterson AFB. Adequate on-base housing for two 4-star generals is not currently available. The existing military family housing unit for the combined position does not provide adequate entertainment/support space for a SCP per AF Family Housing Guide and Air Force GOQ Standards. Upgrading this unit to meet requirements for a SCP is 92% of the replacement cost. Upgrading a different GOQ to meet requirements for a SCP is 86% of the replacement cost. This project will construct two GOQ units that meet current standards for Special Command Positions</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The new commander of USSPACE/NORAD will be forced to live in an undersized unit inappropriate for a commander in a Special Command Position. The commander of Air Force Space Command will be forced to live in a substandard house that does not meet the basic needs of a Special Command Position. Failure to construct these housing units negatively impacts the quality of life, morale, and the commander's ability to exercise his responsibilities.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design Guide. The scope of this project will not have an impact on the local school population. Economic analysis is not required for this project. The Army Corps of Engineers was used to determine SIOH for this project. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col William Valenti, (719) 556-7633.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING	5. PROJECT NUMBER TDKA 03-402 1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 01 Sep20 (b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs N (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002 35 (d) Date 35% Designed 01 Dec 23 (e) Date Design Complete 02 Apr 10 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed; (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where design was most recently used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$45) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 45 (b) All other Design Costs 0 (c) Total 45 (d) Contract 45 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 03 Feb (5) Construction Completion 04 Jun j. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Feb-00			2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME PETERSON AFB			b. LOCATION COLORADO				
5. DATA AS OF 1999									
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,501	735	2,967	5,203	1,485	689	2,445	4,619
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,501	735	2,967	5,203	1,485	689	2,445	4,619
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,244	642	1,645	3,731	1,233	602	1,529	3,364
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		0	0	0	0				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		0	0	0	0				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		63	27	161	251	63	25	131	219
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,181	615	1,684	3,480	1,170	577	1,398	3,145
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		1,168	592	1,666	3,424	1,166	537	1,372	3,665
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		107	66	318	491	107	53	331	491
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		107	66	318	491	107	53	331	491
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		1,059	528	1,348	2,933	1,049	484	1,041	2,574
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		1,059	526	1,348	2,933				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		15	23	18	56	14	40	26	80
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						2	0	0	2
15. REMARKS									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE			
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION OSAN AIR BASE, KOREA				COMMAND: PACIFIC AIR FORCES			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.12				
6. Personnel		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
Strength		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
AS OF 30 SEP 01		551	4 493	982	0	0	0	1084	4838	595	12,543
END FY 2005		552	4 489	977	0	0	0	1084	4838	595	12,535
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
Total Acreage:		1,777									
Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 01)										401,219	
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										43,746	
Authorization Requested in this Program:										35,705	
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										38,383	
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										39,224	
Remaining Deficiency:										0	
Grand Total:										558,277	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)											
CATEGORY				SCOPE			COST DESIGN STATUS				
CODE	PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE			\$,000	START	C M P L		
711-142	Replace Family Housing			113 UN			35,705	Jun-01	Jul-02		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2004)											
711-142	Replace Family Housing			111 UN			38,383				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)											
711-142	Replace Family Housing			117 UN			39,224				
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										76,9710	
10. Mission or Major Functions: Host fighter wing supporting F-16 squadron and an A/OA-10 squadron; headquarters Seventh Air Force; a special operations squadron with MH-53J aircraft; a civil engineering heavy repair squadron (RED HORSE); an air mobility support squadron; an Air Combat Command reconnaissance squadron; and an Air Intelligence Agency intelligence squadron.											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION OSAN AIR BASE, KOREA				4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 1				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741		6. CATEGORY CODE 71 I-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER SMYU0040 19R2		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 35,705			
9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING			UN	113	126,717	14,319		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			LS			17,611		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS			LS			(2,941)		
UTILITIES			LS			(1,104)		
STREETS			LS			(1,479)		
FORCE PROTECTION - HIGH-RISE			LS			(9,837)		
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL			LS			(2,250)		
SUBTOTAL						3 1,930		
CONTINGENCY (5%)						1,596		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						33,526		
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)						2,179		
TOTAL REQUEST						35,705		
FCF = 1,265 Won								
AREA COST FACTOR			1.12					
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Construct 113 housing units to include one GOQ. Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems, roads, and construction of one single unit and high-rise tower. Provides normal amenities to include parking, air conditioning, exterior patios and privacy fencing, neighborhood playgrounds, and recreation areas. Includes demolition, asbestos and lead-based paint removal.								
					Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
Paygrade	Bedroom	NSF	GSF	GSM	Factor	GSM	Units	Total
E1-E6/O1-O3	2	1,081	1,340	124	1.120	732	48	4,819
E7-E9/O1-O3	3	1,500	1,860	172	1.120	732	30	4,179
E1-E6	4	1,573	1,950	181	1.120	732	16	2,345
04-05	3	1,629	2,200	187	1.120	732	18	2,726
07	4	2,686	3,330	309	1.120	732	1	250
							113	14,319
Maximum size: E1-E6/O1-O3 - 2 Bedroom (1210 NSF/1500 GSF); E7-E9/O1-O3 - 3 Bedroom (1650 NSF/2050 GSF) E1-E6 - 4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2220 GSF); 04-05 - 3 Bedroom (1850 NSF/2300 GSF) 07 - 4 Bedroom (3270 NSF/4060 GSF)								
11. Requirement: 350 UN ADEQUATE: 10 UN SUBSTANDARD: 340 UN								
PROJECT: Construct-Military Family Housing, Phase 1 (Current Mission).								
REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for the 7 AF/CC and military members and their dependents stationed at Osan AB. All units will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance with the Family Housing Master Plan. The housing will provide a safe, comfortable and appealing living environment. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living and family rooms, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will range from two to four as identified in the most recent housing market analysis. Units will be provided with limited parking space and a few guest parking spaces will be provided. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	L.DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION OSAN AIR BASE, KOREA		
4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER SMYU0040 19R2	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces one GOQ and 75 leased housing units near Osan AB and provides 37 new units. Because of force protection considerations, specifically, standoff distances and construction standards, the local community is not a source of adequate housing. Most off-base quarters are inadequate with substandard utilities, non-potable water, and a dangerous heating system. Housing that has been determined to be adequate far exceeds basic allowance for housing, while the affordable housing does not meet minimum adequacy standards. The shortage of adequate housing causes a low acceptance rate of personnel who are offered accompanied assignments on the Command Sponsored Priority List which are identified for critical positions that require continuity and provides stability. The existing GOQ building is a quonset type structure constructed in 1953 and has undergone numerous additions, modifications, and repairs over the last 40 years. The last major structural repairs occurred in 1987 when the roof was replaced with modern trusses and Korean cement tiles. Due to the workmanship, complex design, and heavy roof loads, the structural integrity of the building is now questionable. The roof is sagging in several places and structural cracks are appearing in rooms in the home. A recent structural analysis said "Trusses and rafters are overstressed to as much as 100% to 200%. The room sizes are inadequate, the low ceiling height (7' in most areas) detracts from the spaciousness of the home. The bathrooms and kitchen have been partially upgraded but the cabinets and fixtures are mismatched and unattractive. The water and sewer service laterals have exceeded their useful lives..</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Military members and their families will continue to live in outdated, unsuitable and unsatisfactory housing. The cost of leased housing continues to increase at an unacceptable pace. Personnel will be exposed to health and security risks affecting the overall quality of life and the future retention of personnel assigned to the base. The original quonset hut construction of the GOQ presents significant challenges to in-house maintenance personnel and limits the ability to upgrade and improve the unit. Without this replacement project, the units will continue to deteriorate, particularly with the current GOQ roof. Critical maintenance dollars will continue to be diverted from other much-needed projects.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, leasing and status quo. Since this is mostly replacement housing, no school construction will be required. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all required physical security and/or combating terrorism measures are included. The possibility of Host Nation funding for this project has been addressed, but sufficient funds from the Host Nation program is not available to support this requirement. The construction agent for this project is the Army Corps of Engineers resulting in 6.5% SIOH costs. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col. Michael W. Hutchison. 01 I-82-31-661-4312.</p>		
FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF budget Rate Used: WON 1265		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION OSAN AIR BASE, KOREA		
4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER SMYU0040 19R2	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build (1) Status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Date Design Started 01 Aug 25 (b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs N (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002 35 (d) Date 35% Designed 01 Dec20 (e) Date Design Complete 02 May 25 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed; (2) Basis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where design was most recently used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$1,500) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 1,500 (b) All other Design Costs 0 (c) Total 1,500 (d) Contract 1,500 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 03 Apr (5) Construction Completion 04Jul b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Sep-00		2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE	4. REPORTING INSTALLATION								
	a. NAME	OSAN AB		Phase 1		b. LOCATION KOREA			
5. DATA AS OF 2000									
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		202	93	51	346	203	92	55	350
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		202	93	51	346	203	92	55	350
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		202	93	51	346	203	92	55	350
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		0	0	0	0				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		0	0	0	0				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
II. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		206	93	51	350	203	92	55	350
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		166	73	46	285	11	0	0	11
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		166	73	46	285	11	0	0	11
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		166	73	46	285	11	0	0	11
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		0	0	0	0				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		40	20	5	65	192	92	55	339
4. PROPOSED PROJECT						69	16	28	113
5. REMARKS		<p>Force protection requires members be housed on base.</p> <p>Item 12.a.(1): Existing off-base leased units will be replaced with on-base facilities. Only 11 units are currently on base.</p> <p>Item 13: A phased program will provide for the deficit of 65 units replace off base leases.</p> <p>Item 14: Current project provides phase 1, a 112 units high rise building, and one GOQ.</p>							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE		
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LUKE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA				COMMAND: AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND			15. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.98			
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	647	5039	1147				1	40	140	
	583	4439	1070				1	40	140	6,273
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:										7,249
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										264,806
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										0
Authorization Requested in this Program:										18,954
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										13,211
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										24,554
Remaining Deficiency:										0
Grand Total:										321,525
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)										
CATEGORY				SCOPE			COST DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE			\$,000	START	C	M P L
711-142	Replace Family Housing			140 UN			18,954	Jun-01		Jul-0:2
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY2004)										
Replace Family Housing				95 UN			13,211			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			62 UN			8,300			
711-142	Replace Family Housing			114 UN			16,254			
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										25,526
10. Mission or Major Functions: A fighter wing with six F-16 squadrons responsible for training all F-16 aircrews; an F-16 fighter training squadron that conducts training for Singapore Air Force aircrews; an Air Combat Command air control squadron; and an Air Force Reserve fighter group with one F-16 squadron.										

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LURE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA				4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 2				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER NUEX004007		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 18,954			
9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM					U/M	COST (\$000)		
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING					UN	140		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES						118,914		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS						16,648		
UTILITIES					LS	430		
STREETS					LS	(125)		
RECREATION					LS	(175)		
DEMOLITION					LS	(110)		
SUBTOTAL						(20)		
CONTINGENCY (5%)						17,078		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						<u>854</u>		
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)						17,932		
TOTAL REQUEST						<u>1,022</u>		
AREA COST FACTOR					0.98	18,954		
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Demolish 110 units and replace 140 units with housing that is commensurate with local standards. Each unit will include all amenities identified in the Housing Community Plan (HCP). Project to include site development and preparation, energy conservation, landscaping, supporting infrastructure, and all of the requirements identified in the HCP.								
					Project Factor	Cost Per GSM	No Units	(\$000) Total
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>				
E1-E6	2	1,081	1,340	124	0.980	732	10	890
E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	150	0.980	732	46	4,982
E1-E6	4	1,570	1,950	181	0.980	732	14	1,818
E7-E9/W1-O3	3	1,500	1,860	172	0.980	732	54	6,663
E7-E9/W1-O3	4	1,734	2,150	200	0.980	732	<u>16</u>	<u>2,295</u>
							140	16,648
Maximum size: E1-E6/2 Bedroom (1210 NSF/1500 GSF) E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF); E1-E6/4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2220 GSF) E7-E9/W1-O3 - 3 Bedroom (1650 NSF/2050 GSF); E7-E9/W 1-O3 - 4 Bedroom (2020 NSF/2500 GSF)								
11. Requirement: 3,341 UN ADEQUATE: 2,673 UN SUBSTANDARD: 668 UN								
PROJECT: Replace-Military Family Housing Phase 2 (Current Mission).								
REQUIREMENT: Phase 2 project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families stationed at Luke AFB. The housing units must be replaced to meet current Air Force standards and to provide a comfortable and appealing living environment comparable to off-base communities. Replacement housing will provide modern kitchens, living rooms, family rooms, bedrooms, and bathrooms, with ample interior and exterior storage. Garages and 2-car driveways will be provided. Neighborhood enhancements will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas needed to create a comfortable, safe, and inviting living environment.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LURE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 2	5. PROJECT NUMBER NUEX004007	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, Luke residents are living in 40+ year-old housing units. These 1960 houses require major renovations and repairs to correct deterioration resulting from age and heavy use. These houses have not had a major upgrade since construction and do not meet current AF standards. The bedrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. The bathrooms are small and the fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. The kitchens do not provide adequate food preparation space or dining arrangements. Roofs, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement. The utility system has deteriorated. All units require a patio with roof due to the harsh and lengthy hot season in Arizona. Xeriscaping is needed for all units.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The present housing units are substandard and will continue to have a significant impact on the quality of life for Luke personnel and their families.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190 "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis will be prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction and status quo operations. The average cost to improve these housing units are greater than 70% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of local school districts to support base dependents. The Army Corps of Engineers is the construction agent for this project resulting in 5.7% SIOH costs. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col John Lohr, (623) 856-6135..</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LURE AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 2	5. PROJECT NUMBER NUEX004007	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design/Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 10	
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	800	
(b) All other Design Costs	0	
(c) Total	800	
(d) Contract	800	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	03Mar	
(5) Construction Completion	04Jun	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Jul-01			2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME LUKE AFB Phase 2				b. LOCATION ARIZONA			
5. DATA AS OF 2000									
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT			PROJECTED				
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		629	518	4,705	5,852	558	499	4,418	5,475
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		629	518	4,705	5,852	558	499	4,418	5,475
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		504	481	2,803	3,788	448	463	2,623	3,534
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		24	46	70	140				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		24	46	70	140				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		7	40	156	203	7	39	147	193
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		497	441	2,647	3,585	441	424	2,476	3,341
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		473	395	2,577	3,445	417	378	2,406	3,201
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		71	47	616	734	71	47	616	734
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		71	47	616	734	71	47	616	734
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		402	348	1,961	2,711	346	331	1,790	2,467
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		402	348	1,961	2,711				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		24	46	70	140	24	46	70	140
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						24	46	70	140
5. REMARKS Item 12.a.(1): 668 on-base units are inadequate.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE		
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				COMMAND: AIR MOBILITY COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.24			
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	1233	5665	1482				13	328	169	
	1235	5505	1484				13	328	169	8,734
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:										453,594
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										3,800,352
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										0
Authorization Requested in this Program:										24,320
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										24,659
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										51,769
Remaining Deficiency:										0
Grand Total:										3,901,100
13. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY2003)										
CATEGORY				SCOPE			COST DESIGN STATUS			
CODE	PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE			\$,000	START	C M P L	
711-142	Replace Family Housing			110 UN			24,320	Jun-01	Jul-0:2	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			104 UN			24,659			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			97 UN			25,607			
711-142	Replace Family Housing			96 UN			26,162			
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										117,2123
squadrons and two KC-10 squadrons; an Air Force Reserve Command associate air mobility wing; a major Air Force medical center.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA				4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 3				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER XDAT034050P3		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 24,320			
9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	COST (\$000)		
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING				UN	110	16,896		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				LS		4,954		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS		(800)		
UTILITIES				LS		(1,234)		
STREETS				LS		(675)		
LANDSCAPING				LS		(627)		
RECREATION				LS		(403)		
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL				LS		(920)		
OTHER				LS		(295)		
SUBTOTAL						21,850		
CONTINGENCY (5%)						1,093		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						22,943		
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.0%)						1,377		
TOTAL REQUEST						24,320		
AREA COST FACTOR		1.24						
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 110 housing units. Includes site preparation, utilities, curbs, gutters and driveways, new housing units and landscaping. Also includes demolition and abatement of existing units. Amenities include heating/ventilating, air conditioning, garages, carpeting, appliances, patios, and privacy fences.								
					Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Total</u>
E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	151	1.240	732	20	2,742
E7-E9/W1-O3	3	1,500	1,860	172	1.240	732	86	13,427
E7-E9/W1-O3	4	1,734	2,150	200	1.240	732	4	727
							110	16,896
Maximum size: E1-E6 - 3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF), E7-E9/W1-O - 3 Bedroom (1650 NSF/12050 GSF) E7-E9/W1-O3 - 4 Bedroom (2020 NSF/2500 GSF)								
II. Requirement: 4,095 UN ADEQUATE: 2,712 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,383 UN								
PROJECT: Replace-Military Family Housing, Phase 3 (Current Mission).								
REQUIREMENT: Project will provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families. All units will meet "whole house/whole neighborhood" standards and will provide a comfortable, safe, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community.								
CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces houses constructed in the 1950s. These single family homes are undersized and in deteriorating structural condition. The garages are so small they are not usable as garages, there are no family rooms for the 3 bedroom units, and the overall home area is undersized. The concrete slab-on-grade floors are failing, cracking, and shifting. Many units flood because the floor slab is too low. The buildings are located so close to the street that it has not been possible to install sidewalks. A car parked in the asphalt driveway would project over half the sidewalk.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 3	5. PROJECT NUMBER XDAT034050P3	
<p>These units have had no major upgrades since construction and they do not meet the needs of today's families. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated. Interior finishes consist of vinyl/asbestos tiles that have been repeatedly patched due to slab movement. Most units have at least 3 colors of floor tile. The kitchen and cabinets are old, unsightly, and undersized. The sinks and countertops are worn and were done in an early 70's color scheme. Lighting throughout the house is inadequate and the fixtures are old. There are no ground fault interrupter circuits. Cooling is by means of a "swamp cooler" which is a constant maintenance problem. Furnaces are 22 years old and beginning to fail. Patios are cracked and privacy fencing (where it still stands) is inadequate. Landscaping is very sparse and no longer attractive.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and their families will be housed in unsatisfactory facilities, which will contribute to lowered morale. Housing stock will continue to deteriorate without capital improvements. Without this project the repair and improvement of these units will occur in a more costly, piecemeal fashion with little overall improvement to the quality of living.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Mil Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design Guide. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and the status quo option. New construction was found to be the most economical over the life of the units. Replacement costs are based on a current construction contract replacing similar units at Travis AFB. The cost to improve is 83% of the replacement costs. The construction agent for this project will be the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, resulting in SIOH costs of 6%.</p> <p>BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Mark A. Correll, (707) 424-2492</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 3	5. PROJECT NUMBER XDAT034050P3	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design/Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 10	
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$970)	
(b) All other Design Costs	970	
(c) Total	0	
(d) Contract	970	
(e) In-house	970	
(4) Construction Start		
		03Mar
(5) Construction Completion		
		04Jun
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Ott-00		2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE	4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME TRAVIS AFB Phase 3			b. LOCATION CALIFORNIA				
5. DATA AS OF 2000								
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS	CURRENT				PROJECTED			
	OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH	1,299	679	5,516	7,494	1,300	488	5,374	7,162
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL	1,299	679	5,516	7,494	1,300	488	5,374	7,162
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	875	593	3,029	4,497	877	424	2,952	4,253
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)	60	30	20	110				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED	0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED	60	30	20	110				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS	22	30	118	170	22	19	117	158
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	853	563	2,911	4,327	855	405	2,835	4,095
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	793	533	2,891	4,217	795	375	2,815	3,985
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL	202	192	2,232	2,626	202	192	2,232	2,626
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED	202	192	2,232	2,626	202	192	2,232	2,626
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED					0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT	0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE	0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING	591	341	659	1,591	593	183	583	1,359
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED	591	341	659	1,591				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT	60	30	20	110	60	30	20	110
4. PROPOSED PROJECT					60	30	20	110
5. REMARKS Item 12.a.(1): 1383 on-base units are inadequate.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. DATE			
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO				COMMAND: UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.03				
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	929	1011	2483	0	182	0	21	4000	190	
	902	872	2223	0	182	0	21	4000	190	8,39
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:										53,276
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										429,54
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										20,64
Authorization Requested in this Program:										12,42
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										9,13
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										32,73
Remaining Deficiency:										
Grand Total:										504,48
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY2003)										
CATEGORY						COST DESIGN STATUS				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>			<u>SCOPE</u>		<u>\$,000</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>C M P L</u>		
711-142	Replace Family Housing			71 UN		12,424	Jun-01	Jul-0:		
3a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										
71 I-142	Replace Family Housing			53 UN		9,133				
3b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
III-142	Replace Family Housing			78 UN		13,452				
III-142	Replace Family Housing			113 UN		19,188				
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										115,880
10. Mission or Major Functions: Responsible for providing education and training for cadets to become Air Force officers; a training wing including three flying training squadrons supporting parachuting and glider aircraft; and an air base wing.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO				4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 1				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142		7. PROJECT NUMBER XQPZ037225		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 12,424		
9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING				UN	71	125,014	8,876	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				LS			2,339	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS			(1,236)	
UTILITIES				LS			(1,103)	
SUBTOTAL							11,215	
CONTINGENCY (5%)							561	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST							11,776	
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)							648	
TOTAL REQUEST							12,424	
AREA COST FACTOR		1.03						
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 7 1 housing units. Includes demolition, site clearing, replacement/upgrade of utility systems and roads, and construction of new single and duplex units. Provides normal amenities including parking, exterior patios, privacy fencing, and neighborhood recreation areas. Some lead based paint and asbestos removal is necessary.								
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>
E1-E6	2	1,080	1,340	124	1.071	732	14	1,361
E1-E6	3	1,310	1,630	150	1.071	732	18	2,131
E7-E8/W1-O3	3	1,500	1,860	172	1.071	732	34	4,585
04-05	3	1,629	2,020	187	1.071	732	2	293
04-05	4	1,863	2,310	215	1.071	732	3	506
							71	8,876
Maximum size: E1-E6/2 Bedroom (1210 NSF/1500 GSF), E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF) E7-E-8/W1-O3 - 3 Bedroom (1650 NSF/2050 GSF); E7-E8/W1-O3 - 4 Bedroom (2020 NSF/2500 GSF) 04-05 - 3 Bedroom (1850 NSF/2300 GSF); 04-05 - 4 Bedroom (2 180 NSF/2700 GSF)								
II. Requirement: 1,634 UN ADEQUATE: 724 UN SUBSTANDARD: 910 UN								
PROJECT: Replace-Military Family Housing Phase 1 (Current Mission).								
REQUIREMENT: This project provides modern and efficient housing for members and families at the United States Air Force Academy. All units will meet whole house standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. The new housing will provide amenities and space use similar to housing available in the off-base community. This is the first phase of the housing replacement plan for the Academy. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living areas, bedroom and bath configurations with ample interior and exterior storage and a single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for a second occupant vehicle and guests. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs. Other improvements such as trail systems, landscaping, and playgrounds will be addressed in other projects.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER XQPZ037225	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces housing constructed in 1959. These houses are showing the effects of heavy use and age. They have not received major upgrades since construction and do not meet current housing needs. All house infrastructure systems require major repair or replacement due to their age and effects of an alpine environment. Insulation in all units is substandard. Basement leaks and foundation failures are common. The electrical and plumbing systems are substandard. The interior spaces and layout is not adequate by today's housing standards. Bedrooms and baths are small, outdated, lack adequate storage and are generally inefficiently laid out for proper space utilization. Some asbestos and lead based paint is present in the units. These substances have been adequately contained, but will have to be dealt with upon demolition of the unit. Many units lack Ground Fault Interrupter protection. The HVAC units are outdated and inefficient. Lighting is antiquated and inadequate in all units.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Family housing will continue to deteriorate causing more expensive piecemeal repairs to occur. These repairs cost a significant amount of money without improving the quality of the living environment. Families will continue to live in substandard housing units because off-base affordable housing is increasingly difficult to define.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190 "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis will be prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction and status quo operations. The cost to improve these housing units is greater than 70% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of local school districts to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Col Scott Borges, DSN 333-2660.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER XQPZ037225	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design/Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 10	
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$660) 660	
(b) All other Design Costs	0	
(c) Total	660	
(d) Contract	660	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start		
		03Mar
(5) Construction Completion		
		04Jun
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Jan-96			2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF 1996		a. NAME AF ACADEMY Phase 1			b. LOCATION COLORADO				
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT			PROJECTED				
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,077	192	920	2,169	1,073	189	913	2,175
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,077	192	920	2,189	1,073	189	913	2,176
B. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		863	170	612	1,645	860	166	608	1,634
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		37	2	32	71				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		37	2	32	71				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		863	170	612	1,645	860	166	608	1,634
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		822	262	500	1,584	820	260	495	1,575
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		581	240	351	1,172	581	240	351	1,172
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		581	240	351	1,172	581	240	351	1,172
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		241	22	149	412	239	20	144	403
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		241	22	149	412				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		41	(92)	112	61	40	(94)	113	59
4. PROPOSED PROJECT						37	2	32	71
5. REMARKS		Item 12.a.(1): 910 on-base units are inadequate.							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. DATE			
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE				COMMAND: AIR MOBILITY COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.01			
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	375	3525	1101				66	227	15	
	364	3294	1071				66	227	15	5,037
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:		3,857								
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)					213,937					
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:					(
Authorization Requested in this Program:					19,615					
Authorization Included in the Following Program:		(FY 2004)			19,885					
Planned in Next Three Years Program:					41,385					
Remaining Deficiency:					(
Grand Total:					294,825					
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY2003)										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST \$,000		DESIGN START		STATUS C M P L
711-142		Replace Family Housing		112 UN		19,615		Jut-r-01		Jul-02
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										
711-142		Replace Family Housing		112 UN		19,888				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
711-142		Replace Family Housing		112 UN		20,285				
711-142		Replace Family Housing		112 UN		21,100				
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										95,256
10. Mission and Major Functions: An airlift wing with two C-5 squadrons; and an Air Force Reserve C-5 associate airlift wing.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE																																													
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PH 2																																															
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER F T 034003A	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 19,615																																															
9. COSTE		IMATI																																																
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)																																													
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING		UN	112	119,402	13,373																																													
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		LS			4,300																																													
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(533)																																													
UTILITIES		LS			(1,292)																																													
STREETS		LS			(678)																																													
LANDSCAPING		LS			(421)																																													
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			<u>(1,376)</u>																																													
SUBTOTAL		LS			17,673																																													
CONTINGENCY (5%)					884																																													
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					18,557																																													
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)					<u>1,058</u>																																													
TOTAL REQUEST					19,615																																													
AREA COST FACTOR 1.01																																																		
<p>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 112 units (eight & four unit row 1 mes) with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, attached car garages, air conditioning, parking, patios, privacy fencing, support infrastructure of streets and underground utilities and landscaping. Includes demolition and asbestos removal. 5% of the units constructed will be handicap adaptable.</p> <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Paygrade</u></th> <th><u>Bedroom</u></th> <th><u>NSF</u></th> <th><u>GSF</u></th> <th><u>GSM</u></th> <th><u>Project Factor</u></th> <th><u>Cost Per GSM</u></th> <th><u>No Units</u></th> <th><u>(\$000) Total</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>EI-E6</td> <td>2</td> <td>1,081</td> <td>1,340</td> <td>124</td> <td>0.99</td> <td>732</td> <td>12</td> <td>1,100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EI-E6</td> <td>3</td> <td>1,315</td> <td>1,630</td> <td>150</td> <td>0.99</td> <td>732</td> <td>50</td> <td>5,582</td> </tr> <tr> <td>EI-E6</td> <td>4</td> <td>1,573</td> <td>1,950</td> <td>181</td> <td>0.99</td> <td>732</td> <td><u>50</u></td> <td><u>6,691</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>112</td> <td>13,373</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximumsize: E1-E6/2 Bedroom (1210 NSF/1500 GSF), E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF) E1-E-6/4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2220 GSF)</p> <p>11. Requirement: 2,167 UN ADEQUATE: 1213 UN SUBSTANDARD: 954N PROJECT: Replace-Military Family Housing Phase 2 (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modem and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Dover AFB. All units will meet modem housing standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. The housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The design will provide a modem kitchen, living room, family room bedroom and bath configuration with ample interior and exterior storage. Units will be provided with a single car garage and exterior parking for a second vehicle. Space will also be provided for an adequate support infrastructure of streets and utilities. This is the second phase of a multiphase initiative to replace inadequate row-unit complexes.</p>						<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>	EI-E6	2	1,081	1,340	124	0.99	732	12	1,100	EI-E6	3	1,315	1,630	150	0.99	732	50	5,582	EI-E6	4	1,573	1,950	181	0.99	732	<u>50</u>	<u>6,691</u>								112	13,373
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>																																										
EI-E6	2	1,081	1,340	124	0.99	732	12	1,100																																										
EI-E6	3	1,315	1,630	150	0.99	732	50	5,582																																										
EI-E6	4	1,573	1,950	181	0.99	732	<u>50</u>	<u>6,691</u>																																										
							112	13,373																																										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PH2	5. PROJECT NUMBER FJXT 034003A	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: These existing housing units were constructed in the late 1950s; they show the effects of age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and they do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to age. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency and safety. Interiors are generally inadequate by modern criteria. Bathrooms are small and lack adequate closet space; kitchens have insufficient cabinets, storage and counter space. Lighting, heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade or replacement.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Low morale and retention problems can be expected since comparable, affordable off-base housing is not available. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, acquisition and status quo operation. Based on the net present value and benefits of the respective alternatives, replacement was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. The cost to improve these units is 80% of the replacement cost. The construction agent for this project will be the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers resulting in SIOH costs of 5.7%. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Nathan G. Macias, (302) 677-6768.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PH2	5. PROJECT NUMBER FJXT034003A																																					
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(\$785)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">785</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">785</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">785</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td style="text-align: right;">03Mar</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Completion</td> <td style="text-align: right;">04Jun</td> </tr> </table> b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A			(1) Status:		(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 10	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(2) Basis:		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$785)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	785	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	785	(d) Contract	785	(e) In-house		(4) Construction Start	03Mar	(5) Construction Completion	04Jun
(1) Status:																																						
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10																																					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																																					
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																																					
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 10																																					
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10																																					
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																																						
(2) Basis:																																						
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																																					
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																																					
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$785)																																					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	785																																					
(b) All other Design Costs	0																																					
(c) Total	785																																					
(d) Contract	785																																					
(e) In-house																																						
(4) Construction Start	03Mar																																					
(5) Construction Completion	04Jun																																					

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Jun-01		2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE	4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME DOVER AFB			b. LOCATION DELAWARE					
5. DATA AS OF 2000	Phase 2								
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		360	391	2,953	3,704	357	391	2,952	3,700
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		360	391	2,953	3,704	357	391	2,952	3,700
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		262	360	1,687	2,309	260	360	1,687	2,307
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		2	4	130	136				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		2	4	130	136				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		1	29	110	140	1	29	110	140
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		261	331	1,577	2,169	259	331	1,577	2,167
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		263	335	1,483	2,081	259	331	1,465	2,055
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		128	211	1,097	1,436	126	207	1,079	1,412
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		126	207	1,079	1,412	126	207	1,079	1,412
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		2	4	18	24				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		135	124	386	645	133	124	386	643
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		135	124	386	645				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		(2)	(4)	94	88	0	0	112	112
4. PROPOSED PROJECT						0	0	112	112
5. REMARKS Item 12.a.: 24 additional units to be demolished. Item 12.a.(1): 954 on-base units are inadequate.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM				2. DATE				
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			COMMAND: AIR FORCE MATERIAL COMMAND:			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.82				
6. Personnel Strength	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	AS OF 30 SEP 01	1294	5698	6016				55	276	
END FY 2005	1310	5842	5991				55	276	370	13,844
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage: 453,594										
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01) 0										
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: 0										
Authorization Requested in this Program: 15,906										
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004) 16,170										
Planned in Next Three Years Program: 50,437										
Remaining Deficiency: 0										
Grand Total: 82,513										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)										
CATEGORY										
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE \$,000 START C M P L COST DESIGN STATUS										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			134 UN	15,906	Jun-01	Jul-02			
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2004)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			120 UN	16,170					
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			115UN	16,491					
711-142	Replace Family Housing			115UN	16,791					
711-142	Replace Family Housing			110 UN	17,155					
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation 123,8563										
10. Mission or Major Functions: Air Armament Center (AAC) which is responsible for development, acquisition, testing, deployment and sustainment of conventional and nuclear air-delivered weapons: a weapons testing wing; an air base wing; an operational test wing; a fighter wing with F-15 aircraft; the Munitions Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory; and a space surveillance squadron.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 2A																				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA0340 13	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 15,906																				
9. COST ESTIMATE																							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)																			
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	134	90,634	12,145																			
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS			2,186																			
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(325)																			
UTILITIES	LS			(440)																			
STREETS	LS			(437)																			
LANDSCAPING	LS			(187)																			
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(395)																			
REPLACE HOUSING OFFICE	LS			<u>(402)</u>																			
SUBTOTAL				14,331																			
CONTINGENCY (5%)				<u>717</u>																			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				15,048																			
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				<u>858</u>																			
TOTAL REQUEST				15,906																			
AREA COST FACTOR		0.82																					
<p>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 134 Military Family Housing units with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Includes site preparation, attached single car garages, HVAC, energy conserving features, parking, exterior patios and privacy fencing, support infrastructure of roads and utilities, neighborhood playgrounds, recreation areas, landscaping, demolition and hazardous waste removal.</p> <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Paygrade</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Bedroom</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>NSF</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>GSF</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>GSM</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Project Factor</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Cost Per GSM</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>No Units</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>(\$000) Total</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align:center;">EI-E6</td> <td style="text-align:center;">3</td> <td style="text-align:center;">1,310</td> <td style="text-align:center;">1,630</td> <td style="text-align:center;">151</td> <td style="text-align:center;">0.820</td> <td style="text-align:center;">732</td> <td style="text-align:center;">134</td> <td style="text-align:center;">12,145</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum size: EI -E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1 760 GSF)</p>						<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>	EI-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	0.820	732	134	12,145
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>															
EI-E6	3	1,310	1,630	151	0.820	732	134	12,145															
<p>11. Requirement: 3,060 UN ADEQUATE: 1,510 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,550 UN</p> <p>PROJECT: Replace-Military Family Housing Phase 2A (Current Mission).</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: Project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Eglin AFB. All units will meet "Whole House" standards. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. This is the second of multiple phases to provide adequate housing for base personnel. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room family room bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and attached single car garage. Exterior parking will be provided for second occupant vehicle and guest parking. The basic neighborhood support infrastructure will be upgraded to meet modern housing needs.</p>																							

COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 2A	5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA0340 13	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: The project replaces 134 housing units, which were constructed in 1952. These 51 year old houses are showing the affects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Roofs, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to the effects of age and environment. Roof structures show signs of rot; leaks have made already inadequate insulation even less effective. Foundations and pavements are showing signs of failure due to settlement. Housing interiors are inadequate by modern criteria with small bedrooms, lacking ample closet space. Fixtures are outdated and energy inefficient. Kitchens have little storage and counter space, with antiquated cabinets, countertops and badly worn, marred sinks. Flooring throughout the house is outdated. Plumbing and electrical systems do not meet current building codes. There is no Ground Fault Interrupter circuit protection and many electrical outlets lack grounding protection. Lighting systems including heating and air conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Major morale problems will continue if this replacement is not initiated. Some personnel will continue to occupy substandard housing. Adequate, affordable off-base housing is very limited while susceptible to seasonal fluctuations in availability and rental cost. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, costly piecemeal repairs will continue out of necessity with no improvement in the living quality.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". There will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents since this is replacement housing. The cost to improve this housing is 96% of the replacement cost. Project must adhere to the OSD mandate to comply with the new Department of Defense Antiterrorism/Force Protection minimum construction standards as directed for Military Family Housing. The construction agent for this project is the Army Corps of Engineers resulting in 5.7% SIOH costs. Base Civil Engineer: Col Purvis, DSN 872-2876.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 2A	5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA0340 13																																					
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 25</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(\$640)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">640</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">640</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">640</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td style="text-align: right;">03 Apr</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Completion</td> <td style="text-align: right;">04 Jul</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:		(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 25	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 20	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 20	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(2) Basis:		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$640)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	640	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	640	(d) Contract	640	(e) In-house		(4) Construction Start	03 Apr	(5) Construction Completion	04 Jul
(1) Status:																																						
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 25																																					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																																					
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																																					
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 20																																					
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 20																																					
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																																						
(2) Basis:																																						
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																																					
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																																					
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$640)																																					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	640																																					
(b) All other Design Costs	0																																					
(c) Total	640																																					
(d) Contract	640																																					
(e) In-house																																						
(4) Construction Start	03 Apr																																					
(5) Construction Completion	04 Jul																																					

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT May-01			2. FISCAL YEAR 2003		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
i. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE	4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME EGLIN AFB				b. LOCATION FLORIDA				
i. DATA AS OF 2001	OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)	
OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS	1,257	777	5,132	7,166	1,237	769	5,066	7,072	
i. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH	1,257	777	5,132	7,166	1,237	769	5,066	7,072	
j. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL	1,257	777	5,132	7,166	1,237	769	5,066	7,072	
k. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	788	477	1,864	3,129	775	473	1,846	3,094	
l. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)	0	0	134	134					
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED	0	0	0	0					
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED	0	0	134	134					
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY	0	0	0	0					
d. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS	18	17	2	37	16	17	1	34	
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	770	460	1,862	3,092	759	456	1,845	3,666	
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)	772	418	1,729	2,919	759	418	1,711	2,888	
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL	215	24	1,517	1,756	215	24	1,517	1,766	
(1) HOUSED IF EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED	215	24	1,517	1,756	215	24	1,517	1,756	
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED					0	0	0	0	
(3) VACANT	0	0	0	0					
(4) INACTIVE	0	0	0	0					
b. PRIVATE HOUSING	557	394	212	1,163	544	394	194	1,132	
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED	557	394	212	1,163					
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL	0	0	0	0					
3. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT	(2)	42	133	173	0	38	134	172	
4. PROPOSED PROJECT					0	0	134	134	
5. REMARKS									
Item 12.a.(1): 1550 on-base units are inadequate. 319 units used by Hurlburt AFB are not included in this inventory.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE HOUSING OFFICE	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 86741	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-119	7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA994004	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 597	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PAM HSG MGT OFC	SM	520	867	451
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				88
UTILITIES	LS			(20)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(45)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(23)
SUBTOTAL				539
CONTINGENCY (5%)				27
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				566
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				31
TOTAL REQUEST				597
AREA COST FACTOR	.82			
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, steel frame with masonry walls, roof system, comprehensive interior design, parking and fencing. Also included are areas for private counseling, waiting areas, children play areas, and consolidation of the housing staff.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 10 KW.</p>				
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 520 SM ADEQUATE: OSM SUBSTANDARD: 389 SM</p> <p><u>PROJECT:</u> Project provides for construction of a new facility to support the Housing Division and Facilities Branch.</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequate facility is required to provide complete referral services and a full range of personal assistance to all eligible DOD personnel in locating suitable nondiscriminatory community housing. A relaxing environment is desired since this is usually the first stop for arriving travel-worn personnel and their dependents. The facility must be located for convenient access by arriving personnel and those already assigned to base housing. It must be handicap-accessible and have adequate parking for vehicles pulling trailers and small trucks which may be used by arriving personnel. The facility must provide office space, a conference room, private counseling rooms, administrative space, a reception and customer waiting room, storage space for equipment and publications, and interior and exterior play areas for children of customers. Landscaping is needed to enhance customer appeal.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing management office is located across the street in a converted Wherry housing unit that was built in 1948. This facility does not provide the privacy necessary for the housing officer of the referral office and counselors. Customers awaiting service must stand in the hallway because of lack of space. The run-down condition of the building does not provide a professional atmosphere to visiting personnel and degrades employee morale. Realtors, brokers, builders, apartment managers, and families arranging moves or filing complaints also use this facility. The average customer is in the office for 30-45 minutes and is assisted in all aspects of housing. Maintenance problems in the facility are a recurring nightmare, as the age of the facility and its mechanical and electrical systems are in such condition that economical</p>				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE HOUSING OFFICE	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-119	7. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA994004	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 597
<p>repairs are not possible.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Morale of housing office employees will continue to degrade. Customers will not receive necessary privacy when dealing with housing office personnel and will continue to be served in an extremely cramped, deteriorated, and unprofessional environment. Lack of space eliminates the possibility of establishing private counseling areas. Major repairs or improvements are not an option because of the age and condition of the facility with extensive investment required.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The project includes a 100% design with environmental assessment performed. Also, this project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Base Civil Engineer: Col Quincy Purvis, DSN 872-2876</p>			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE HOUSING MANAGEMENT FACILITY	5. PROJECT NUMBER FTFA994004	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build (1) Status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Date Design Started 01 Aug 25 (b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs N (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002 35 (d) Date 35% Designed 01 Dec 20 (e) Date Design Complete 02 May 20 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed; (2) Basis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where design was most recently used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$30) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 30 (b) All other Design Costs 0 (c) Total 30 (d) Contract 30 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 03 Apr (5) Construction Completion 04 Jul b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. DATE				
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			COMMAND: AIR MOBILITY COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.88					
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL	
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV		
	663	2663	1275				769	878	98		6,346
	649	2636	1265				769	878	98	6,295	
i7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
Total Acreage:		5,767									
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										0	
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										0	
Authorization Requested in this Program:										18,086	
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										0	
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										53,581	
Remaining Deficiency:										0	
Grand Total:										71,667	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)											
CATEGORY				SCOPE			COST DESIGN STATUS				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>			<u>SCOPE</u>			<u>\$,000</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>P</u>
711-142	Replace Family Housing			96 UN			18,086	Jun-01	Jul-02		
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY2004) No Projects											
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)											
711-142	Replace Family Housing			84 UN			17,519				
711-142	Replace Family Housing			84 UN			17,838				
711-142	Replace Family Housing			99 UN			18,224				
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										96,946	
10. Mission or Major Functions: An air refueling wing with a KC-135 squadron; tenants include US Special Operations Command and US Central Command.											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE																																																									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA				4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING - PHASE 5																																																										
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 8.87.41		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER NVZR013705R1		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 18,086																																																									
9. COST ESTIMATE																																																														
ITEM					U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)																																																						
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING					UN	96	116,042	11,140																																																						
SUPPORTING FACILITIES								5,187																																																						
SITE PREPARATION					LS			(1,624)																																																						
ROADS AND PAVING					LS			(346)																																																						
UTILITIES					LS			(1,203)																																																						
LANDSCAPING					LS			(346)																																																						
RECREATION					LS			(322)																																																						
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES					LS			(1,000)																																																						
DEMOLITION/ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD REMEDIATION					LS			(346)																																																						
SUBTOTAL								16,327																																																						
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)								816																																																						
TOTAL CONTRACT COST								17,143																																																						
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)								943																																																						
TOTAL REQUEST								18,086																																																						
AREA COST FACTOR						0.88																																																								
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replaces 96 Military Family Housing Units. Includes site work, replacement/upgrade of utility systems, roads, landscaping and recreation areas. Amenities in new units include: kitchen appliances, carports, HVAC, carpet, patios and privacy fencing. Special construction features denote design and construction of units to withstand hurricane and storm surges. Demolishes 92 existing units and remediates asbestos and lead-based paint.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Paygrade</th> <th>Bedroom</th> <th>NSF</th> <th>GSF</th> <th>GSM</th> <th>Project Factor</th> <th>Cost Per GSM</th> <th>No Units</th> <th>(Total \$000)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>E1-E6</td> <td>3</td> <td>1,315</td> <td>1,630</td> <td>151</td> <td>0.915</td> <td>732</td> <td>28</td> <td>2,630</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E7-E9</td> <td>4</td> <td>1,573</td> <td>1,950</td> <td>181</td> <td>0.915</td> <td>732</td> <td>18</td> <td>2,182</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E7-E9/W1-03</td> <td>3</td> <td>1,500</td> <td>1,860</td> <td>172</td> <td>0.915</td> <td>732</td> <td>34</td> <td>3,917</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E7-E9/W1-03</td> <td>4</td> <td>1,734</td> <td>2,150</td> <td>200</td> <td>0.915</td> <td>732</td> <td>18</td> <td>2,411</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>96</td> <td>11,140</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum size: E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760GSF), E1-E-6/4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2,220 GSF), E-7-E9/3 Bedroom (1,650 NSF/2,050 GSF), E-7-E9/4 Bedroom (2,020 NSF/2,500 GSF),</p>									Paygrade	Bedroom	NSF	GSF	GSM	Project Factor	Cost Per GSM	No Units	(Total \$000)	E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	151	0.915	732	28	2,630	E7-E9	4	1,573	1,950	181	0.915	732	18	2,182	E7-E9/W1-03	3	1,500	1,860	172	0.915	732	34	3,917	E7-E9/W1-03	4	1,734	2,150	200	0.915	732	18	2,411								96	11,140
Paygrade	Bedroom	NSF	GSF	GSM	Project Factor	Cost Per GSM	No Units	(Total \$000)																																																						
E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	151	0.915	732	28	2,630																																																						
E7-E9	4	1,573	1,950	181	0.915	732	18	2,182																																																						
E7-E9/W1-03	3	1,500	1,860	172	0.915	732	34	3,917																																																						
E7-E9/W1-03	4	1,734	2,150	200	0.915	732	18	2,411																																																						
							96	11,140																																																						
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 3,341 UN ADEQUATE: 2,750 UN SUBSTANDARD: 591 UN PROJECT: Replace Military Family Housing, Phase 5. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at MacDill AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with MacDill's Housing Community Plan. Replacement housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The replacement housing will provide a modern kitchen, living room, dining room and bath configuration, with interior and exterior storage and vehicle protection. Off-street parking will be provided for a second vehicle. Neighborhood enhancements will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas. Climatic considerations require special construction measures to withstand severe storms (hurricanes) and tidal surges. CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces houses that are 45 years old and are showing the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the need of today's families. Roofs,, walls, foundations, and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement260 Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Lack of adequate parking spaces for occupants has</p>																																																														

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE 9-28-01
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE	REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING - PHASE 5	7. PROJECT NUMBER NVZR013705R1
<p>created excessive congestion and safety hazards. Housing interiors are inadequate by any modern criteria. Bedrooms are small and lack sufficient closet space. Bathrooms are small; fixtures are outdated and in poor condition. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space; cabinets are old and unsightly; counter tops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is worn and some contain asbestos. Utility systems require excessive maintenance and repair. Housing density is excessive, creating a noisy, chaotic living environment.</p>		
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Air Force members and their families will continue to live in small, outdated and unsatisfactory housing. The units will deteriorate further, resulting in escalating and unacceptable maintenance and repair costs as well as inconveniencing the occupants. Without this and subsequent phases of this initiative, repairs will continue in a costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvement in occupant quality of life. These deficiencies will continue to adversely affect the morale of all personnel and their family members assigned to the base.</p>		
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Since this is replacement housing, student population will not increase nor will the ability of the local school district to support base dependents be impacted. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Thomas A. Kaldenberg, (813) 828-3577.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 5	5. PROJECT NUMBER NVZR013705R1	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design/Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 15	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15	
(e) Date Design Complete	02May 15	
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c)=(a)+(b) or (d)+(e):		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	720	
(b) All other Design Costs	0	
(c) Total	720	
(d) Contract	720	
(e) In-house		
(4) Construction Start	03Mar	
(5) Construction Completion	04Jun	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Aug-01		2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF Jan-01		a. NAME MACDILL AFB Phase 5		b. LOCATION FLORIDA					
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,474	534	3,196	5,204	1,461	531	3,160	5,152
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,474	534	3,196	5,204	1,461	531	3,160	5,152
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,178	445	1,944	3,567	1,168	443	1,924	3,535
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		30	22	44	96				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		30	22	44	96				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		42	39	113	194	42	38	114	194
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,136	406	1,831	3,373	1,126	405	1,810	3,341
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		1,106	384	1,787	3,277	1,096	383	1,766	3,245
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		76	82	550	708	76	82	550	708
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		76	82	550	708	76	82	550	708
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		1,030	302	1,237	2,569	1,020	301	1,216	2,537
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		1,030	302	1,237	2,569				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		30	22	44	96	30	22	44	96
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						30	22	44	96
15. REMARKS									
Item 12.a.(1): 591 on-base units are inadequate.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE		
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII				COMMAND: PACIFIC AIR FORCES			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.45			
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	684	2545	1926				166	260	17	
	684	2583	1912				166	260	17	5,622
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:		2,851								
Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 01)		7,772,958								
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:		0								
Authorization Requested in this Program:		29,050								
Authorization Included in the Following Program:		(FY 2004)							29,456	
Planned in Next Three Years Program:		60,631								
Remaining Deficiency:		0								
Grand Total:		7,892,095								
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)										
CATEGORY				COST DESIGN STATUS						
CODE	PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE		\$,000		START	C M P L	
711-142	Replace Family Housing			96 UN		29,050		Sep-01	Jun-01	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program (FY 2004)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			108 UN		29,456				
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			108 UN		30,043				
711-142	Replace Family Housing			108 UN		30,588				
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This installation										196,413
10. Mission or Major Functions: The host air base wing supports C-135B/C aircraft and hosts Headquarters, Pacific Air Forces. The installation also hosts an Air National Guard wing consisting of an F-15A/B squadron, an air refueling squadron (KC-135), and an airlift squadron (C-130H). Other major activities include an Air Intelligence Agency intelligence group and an Air Mobility Support Group.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII				4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 2				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD034440C2		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 29,050			
9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	COST (\$000)		
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING				UN	96	21,912		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				LS		4,313		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS		(802)		
UTILITIES				LS		(675)		
STREETS				LS		(681)		
LANDSCAPING				LS		(611)		
RECREATION				LS		(865)		
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL				LS		(679)		
SUBTOTAL						26,225		
CONTINGENCY (5%)						1,311		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						27,536		
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)						1,514		
TOTAL REQUEST						29,050		
AREA COST FACTOR		1.45						
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 96 housing units. Includes demolition, site clearing replacement/upgrade of utility systems, roads, and construction of new single and duplex units. Provides normal amenities to include parking, air conditioning, exterior patios and privacy fencing, neighborhood playgrounds, and recreation areas. Includes demolition, asbestos and lead-based paint removal.								
<u>Pavarsade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>
01-03	3	1,500	1,860	172	1.508	732	20	3,750
01-03	4	1,734	2,150	200	1.508	732	12	2,616
04-05	3	1,629	2,020	187	1.508	732	8	1,632
04-05	4	1,863	2,310	215	1.508	732	18	4,219
06	4	2,032	2,520	234	1.508	732	38	9,695
							96	21,912
Maximum size: 01-03 - 3 Bedroom (1650 NSF/2050 GSF); 01-03 - 4 Bedroom (2020 NSF/2500 GSF) 04-05 - 3 Bedroom (1850 NSF/2180 GSF); 04-05 - 4 Bedroom (1860 NSF/2310 GSF) 06 - 4 Bedroom (2350 NSF/2920 GSF)								
11. Requirement: 4,576 UN ADEQUATE: 3,500 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,076 UN <u>PROJECT</u> : Replace-Military Family Housing, Phase 2 (Current Mission). <u>REQUIREMENT</u> : This project is required to provide modern and efficient replacement housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Hickam AFB. All units will meet modern housing standards. The housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will be three or four as identified in the most recent housing market analysis. Units will be provided with a single car garage and exterior parking for a second vehicle. Space will also be provided for an adequate support infrastructure of roads and utilities.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 2	5. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD034440C2	
<p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> These existing housing units were constructed in 1964 through 1974. They show the effects of age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. Roofs, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to age. Foundation and pavements are showing signs of failure owing to settlement. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Interiors are generally inadequate by any modern criteria. Bathrooms are small and lack adequate closet space. Kitchens have insufficient cabinets, storage and counterspace. Lighting and air-conditioning systems require upgrade and replacement.</p>		
<p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Low morale and retention problems can be expected since comparable, affordable off-base housing is not available. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government.</p>		
<p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternative of new construction, revitalization, leasing, and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. SIOH for this project is 5.5%. Base Civil Engineer: Col Steven E. Hoarn (808) 449-1 660.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 2	5. PROJECT NUMBER KNMD034440C2	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build (1) Status: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Date Design Started 01 Aug 25 (b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs N (c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002 35 (d) Date 35% Designed 01 Dec 20 (e) Date Design Complete 02 May 25 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed; (2) Basis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where design was most recently used - N/A (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$1,160) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 1,160 (b) All other Design Costs 0 (c) Total 1,160 (d) Contract 1,160 (e) In-house (4) Construction Start 03 Apr (5) Construction Completion 04 Jul b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT May-01			2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION a. NAME HICKAM AFB			b. LOCATION HAWAII				
5. DATA AS OF 2001		Phase 2							
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,244	1,156	4,169	6,569	1,262	1,175	4,193	6,630
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,244	1,156	4,169	6,569	1,262	1,175	4,193	6,630
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,015	997	2,555	4,567	1,031	1,013	2,568	4,612
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED (a + b + c)		96	0	0	96				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		96	0	0	96				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
0. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		11	12	13	36	11	12	13	36
1. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		1,004	985	2,542	4,631	1,020	1,001	2,555	4,576
2. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		906	965	2,542	4,435	924	1,001	2,555	4,480
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		527	406	1,633	2,566	527	406	1,633	2,566
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		527	406	1,633	2,566	527	406	1,633	2,566
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		381	579	909	1,869	397	595	922	1,914
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		381	579	909	1,869				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		96	0	0	96	96	0	0	96
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						96	0	0	96
15. REMARKS Item 12.a.(1): 1076 on-base units are inadequate.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. DATE			
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO			COMMAND: AIR COMBAT COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.11				
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	462	40091	745	0	0	0	181	32	71	
	4621	4201	7441	0	0	0	18	32	71	5,528
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:										6,844
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										350,515
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										49,035
Authorization Requested in this Program:										24,392
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										24,8413
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										77,510
Remaining Deficiency:										0
Grand Total:										526,300
3. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)										
CATEGORY										
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>			<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>\$,000</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>STATUS</u>			
711-142	Replace Family Housing			95 UN	24,392	Jun-01	C M P L	Jul-02		
3a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY2004)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			106 UN	24,848					
3b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
711-142	Replace Family Housing			89 UN	25,343					
711-142	Replace Family Housing			114 UN	25,804					
711-142	Replace Family Housing			116 UN	26,363					
3c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										106,622
10. Mission or Major Functions: A composite wing with one F-16 squadron, one F-15 C/D squadron, one F-15E squadron, one KC-135 squadron, a B1-B squadron, and the AEF Battlelab.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE																																																																								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 4																																																																										
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER QYZH033004R3	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 24,392																																																																										
9. COST ESTIMATE		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)																																																																								
ITEM																																																																													
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING		UN	95	122,274	11,616																																																																								
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		LS			10,362																																																																								
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(500)																																																																								
UTILITIES		LS			(4,012)																																																																								
STREETS		LS			(2,710)																																																																								
LANDSCAPING		LS			(870)																																																																								
RECREATION		LS			(470)																																																																								
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(1,800)																																																																								
SUBTOTAL					21,978																																																																								
CONTINGENCY (5%)					1,099																																																																								
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					23,077																																																																								
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)					1,315																																																																								
TOTAL REQUEST					24,392																																																																								
AREA COST FACTOR 1.11																																																																													
<p>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 95 units by demolition with construction on an adjacent housing site. Includes site clearing, bedrock excavation, utility systems, upgrade and design and construction of family housing units. Provides normal amenities to include appliances, garages, parking, air conditioning, patios, privacy fencing, and neighborhood playgrounds. Remove asbestos/lead base paint.</p> <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Paygrade</u></th> <th><u>Bedroom</u></th> <th><u>NSF</u></th> <th><u>GSF</u></th> <th><u>Project GSM</u></th> <th><u>Cost Per Factor</u></th> <th><u>No GSM</u></th> <th><u>(\$000) Units</u></th> <th><u>Total</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>E1-E6</td> <td>2</td> <td>1,081</td> <td>1,340</td> <td>124</td> <td>1.154</td> <td>732</td> <td>57</td> <td>5,971</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E1-E6</td> <td>3</td> <td>1,315</td> <td>1,630</td> <td>151</td> <td>1.154</td> <td>732</td> <td>22</td> <td>2,807</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E1-E6</td> <td>4</td> <td>1,573</td> <td>1,950</td> <td>181</td> <td>1.154</td> <td>732</td> <td>4</td> <td>612</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E7-E9</td> <td>4</td> <td>1,734</td> <td>2,150</td> <td>200</td> <td>1.154</td> <td>732</td> <td>4</td> <td>676</td> </tr> <tr> <td>O-4-05</td> <td>4</td> <td>1,863</td> <td>2,310</td> <td>215</td> <td>1.154</td> <td>732</td> <td>2</td> <td>364</td> </tr> <tr> <td>06</td> <td>4</td> <td>2,032</td> <td>2,520</td> <td>234</td> <td>1.154</td> <td>732</td> <td>6</td> <td>1,186</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>95</td> <td>11,616</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum size: E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF), E1-E6/4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2220 GSF)</p>						<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>Project GSM</u>	<u>Cost Per Factor</u>	<u>No GSM</u>	<u>(\$000) Units</u>	<u>Total</u>	E1-E6	2	1,081	1,340	124	1.154	732	57	5,971	E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	151	1.154	732	22	2,807	E1-E6	4	1,573	1,950	181	1.154	732	4	612	E7-E9	4	1,734	2,150	200	1.154	732	4	676	O-4-05	4	1,863	2,310	215	1.154	732	2	364	06	4	2,032	2,520	234	1.154	732	6	1,186								95	11,616
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>Project GSM</u>	<u>Cost Per Factor</u>	<u>No GSM</u>	<u>(\$000) Units</u>	<u>Total</u>																																																																					
E1-E6	2	1,081	1,340	124	1.154	732	57	5,971																																																																					
E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	151	1.154	732	22	2,807																																																																					
E1-E6	4	1,573	1,950	181	1.154	732	4	612																																																																					
E7-E9	4	1,734	2,150	200	1.154	732	4	676																																																																					
O-4-05	4	1,863	2,310	215	1.154	732	2	364																																																																					
06	4	2,032	2,520	234	1.154	732	6	1,186																																																																					
							95	11,616																																																																					
<p>11. Requirement: 2,868 UN ADEQUATE: 1,721 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,147 UN</p> <p>PROJECT: Replace-Military Family Housing, Phase 4 (Current Mission).</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: Project provides modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Mountain Home AFB. Units will meet contemporary standards with program conforming to Housing Community Profile. Replacement housing provides a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Replacement housing provides a modern kitchen, living room, dining room and bathroom. Units provide ample interior and exterior storage, single or double car garages, and off-street parking. Project provides the basic neighborhood infrastructure support to meet modern housing needs. High bedrock levels require special site preparation work to include blasting. Main line extensions and upgrades are required for electrical, water, sewage, natural gas, and storm drainage due to the undersized and antiquated utilities to the project area.</p>																																																																													

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 4	5. PROJECT NUMBER QYZH033004R3	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: Oasis housing was constructed in 1956. The housing units have had minimal renovation over the last 40 years and have exceeded the expected life. Narrow neighborhood streets make emergency vehicle access excessively difficult. Recreation area is limited. The units are best described as flat roofed boxes lacking the architectural character of residential neighborhoods. Oasis neighborhood presents a congested appearance isolated from adjoining neighborhoods. Exterior garages and storage are both separate from the units. Other deficiencies include antiquated electrical and mechanical systems, deteriorated utility systems, inadequate bathrooms, low pitched high maintenance roofs, and lead paint and asbestos containing materials. Kitchens have inadequate storage and counter space, old and unsightly cabinets, badly worn countertops and sinks, and deteriorating plumbing. Existing units also have washer and dryer hookups inconveniently located in the kitchen, undersized systems panels with no ground fault circuit interrupters or exterior outlets, insufficient interior and exterior lighting, and swamp coolers. Other liabilities of the existing Oasis housing area include inadequate landscaping throughout, unscreened open parking lots, cluttered appearance at rear alleyways, visually inappropriate storage areas, and poor internal vehicle circulation.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force families will continue to live in unsatisfactory housing conditions. As the existing units age, the maintenance costs on these units will escalate. With antiquated and constant attention to maintenance and repair, Air Force families are forced to live in substandard housing. Persistence of these conditions escalate problems of low morale and retention.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: The project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning Design Guide". The demolition of the 95 units will allow thinning in Oasis housing. Costs to improve these units is greater than 70% of the replacement cost and building new on the same site is not practical. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on net present values and benefits of respective alternatives, new construction is the most cost effective choice. The CoE will be used to do the engineering and contract supervision on this project. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Richard B. Stonestreet (208)828-6353</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO																												
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 4	5. PROJECT NUMBER QYZH033004R3																											
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$980)</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">980</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">980</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">980</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 03 Mar</p> <p>(5) Construction Completion 04 Jun</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 20	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	980	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	980	(d) Contract	980	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 20																											
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																											
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																											
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15																											
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10																											
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																												
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																											
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																											
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	980																											
(b) All other Design Costs	0																											
(c) Total	980																											
(d) Contract	980																											
(e) In-house																												

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT June-00		2. FISCAL YEAR 2003		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF 2000		a. NAME MOUNTAIN HOMEAF Phase 4			b. LOCATION IDAHO				
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
5. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		482	355	3,554	4,391	494	374	3,659	4,527
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		482	355	3,554	4,391	494	374	3,659	4,527
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		269	323	2,210	2,802	278	341	2,281	2,900
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		8	4	347	359				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		8	4	83	95				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	264	264				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		2	7	23	32	2	7	23	32
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		267	316	2,187	2,770	276	334	2,258	2,868
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		259	312	1,840	2,411	268	330	1,777	2,375
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		176	165	1,089	1,430	176	165	1,089	1,430
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		176	165	1,089	1,430	176	165	1,089	1,430
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT					0				
(4) INACTIVE					0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		83	147	751	981	92	165	688	945
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		83	147	751	981				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		8	4	347	359	8	4	481	493
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						8	4	83	95
15. REMARKS Item 12.a.(1): 1147 on base units are inadequate.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS			4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT MFH MAINT BLDG & ROADS	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE029013	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,514	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
CONSTRUCT MFH MAINT BLDG & ROADS	LS			894
CONSTRUCT MFH ROADS	M	600	500	(300)
CONSTRUCT MFH MAINT BLDG	SM	560	1,061	(594)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				470
SIDEWALK & STORM DRAINAGE	M	500	360	(180:)
PARKING LOT	SP	25	1,000	(25)
LIGHTING & ELECTRIC	LS			(80)
UTILITIES (COMM, WATER, SEWER, AND GAS))	LS			(35:)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS (AT/FP, FENCE, TREES, ETC.)	LS			(100:)
DEMOLITION	LS			(501)
SUBTOTAL				1,364
CONTINGENCY (5%)				68
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,432
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				82
TOTAL REQUEST				1,514
AREA COST FACTOR	.91			
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct approximately 600m of new roadway, including curb & gutter, storm drainage, sidewalk & street lighting. Construct prefabricated metal building for MFH maintenance contractor and provide water, sewer, & electrical utilities. Construct perimeter wall and paved area around new building for a storage yard. Construct a parking lot for personnel & customer parking.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 40 KW.</p>				
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 560SM ADEQUATE: 0 SM SUBSTANDARD: 167SM</p> <p>PROJECT: Construct new roads compatible with housing area for access to east end of MFH and new MFH maintenance contractor facility as well.</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: New, permanent roadways are required to provide proper, two-way access to the Spicer housing area as well as the new maintenance contractor facility. The MFH maintenance contractor facility is required to store materials and perform repairs to MFH units. Facilities are required to provide administration, restroom, and break areas for contractor personnel, plus storage and work areas for maintenance</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Military living adjacent Spicer Court #4 must travel over half a mile on a dead end road to reach their housing units, while with the construction of new roads they will be able to reach their units more easily. The existing MFH maintenance contractor facility is too small, in bad repair, and does not meet current standards for this type of facility. Its current location is adjacent the housing management office & the main entrance to McConnell's MFH area, and does not allow for enlargement of the facility or storage yard. For larger deliveries, the maintenance contractor must use</p>				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)		2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS		4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT MFH MAINT BLDG & ROADS	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 219-944	7. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE029013	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 1,514
<p>the housing management office parking lot as a staging yard. The construction of a new facility at the east end of housing will alleviate the unsightliness of its current location adjacent the main entrance to MFH.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Personnel living on the east end of housing will continue to be inconvenienced with traffic bottlenecks while the poor image at the main entrance to housing will persist due to the location of the maintenance contractor. The quality of work the maintenance contractor can provide will continue to be limited due to the poor facility & space they are being provided and/or the contract cost may rise.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project replaces facilities that currently do not meet the AF/AMC Military Family Housing Design guidelines, with facilities that will. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Charles G. Emmette (316) 759-5750.</p>			

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION MCCONNELL AIR FORCE BASE, KANSAS																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE CONSTRUCT MFH MAINT BLDG & ROADS	5. PROJECT NUMBER PRQE029013																																					
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(\$70)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">70</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">70</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">70</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td style="text-align: right;">03 Mar</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Completion</td> <td style="text-align: right;">04 Jun</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:		(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 20	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(2) Basis:		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$70)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	70	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	70	(d) Contract	70	(e) In-house		(4) Construction Start	03 Mar	(5) Construction Completion	04 Jun
(1) Status:																																						
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 20																																					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																																					
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																																					
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15																																					
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10																																					
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																																						
(2) Basis:																																						
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																																					
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																																					
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$70)																																					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	70																																					
(b) All other Design Costs	0																																					
(c) Total	70																																					
(d) Contract	70																																					
(e) In-house																																						
(4) Construction Start	03 Mar																																					
(5) Construction Completion	04 Jun																																					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. DATE			
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND			COMMAND: AIR MOBILITY COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.96				
3. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	1054	3834	2477	10	19		334	1101	462	
	1046	3469	2497	6	19		334	1101	462	8,934
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:										4,996
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										477,321
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										5,163
Authorization Requested in this Program:										9,838
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										8,491
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										11,719
Remaining Deficiency:										90,7010
Grand Total:										603,2312
3. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)										
<u>CATEGORY</u>				<u>COST DESIGN STATUS</u>						
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>			<u>SCOPE</u>		<u>\$,000 START</u>		<u>C M P L</u>		
71 I-142	Replace Family Housing			53 UN		9,838 Jun-01		Jul-0:2		
3a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY2004)										
71 I-142	Replace Family Housing			35 UN		8,491				
3b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)										
71 I-142	Replace Family Housing			108 UN		11,719				
3c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										126,072
10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift Wing flying a variety of fixed wing and rotary aircraft responsible for Presidential support and support of other branches of the Armed Forces and Federal Agencies; Air National Guard Readiness Center; DC Air National Guard, F-16 fighter wing; and an Air Force Reserve Command C-141 airlift wing.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY HOUSING PHASE 1A						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER A.JXF034007	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 9,838					
9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)				
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	53	118,887	6,301				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS			2,538				
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(1,000)				
UTILITIES	LS			(1,000)				
LANDSCAPING	LS			(290)				
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(248)				
SUBTOTAL				8,839				
CONTINGENCY (5%)				442				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,281				
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.0%)				557				
TOTAL REQUEST				9,838				
AREA COST FACTOR	0.96							
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 4 housing units to include one General Officer Quarters (GOQ). Site work improvements include underground utilities, parking, landscaping, patios, privacy fencing, and community improvements. Amenities include energy efficiency, heating, air conditioning, floor covering, attached garages, and storage. Include demolition of 53 existing units and environmental hazard remediation.								
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>
07	4	2,686	3,330	309	0.998	732	1	226
E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	150	0.998	732	36	3,971
E1-E6	4	1,573	1,950	181	0.998	732	16	2,116
							53	6,301
Maximum size: 07 - 4 Bedroom (3270 NSF/4060 GSF), E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF) E1-E6/4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2220 GSF)								
11. Requirement: 3,538 UN ADEQUATE: 2,142 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,396 UN								
<u>PROJECT:</u> Replace-Military Family Housing Phase 1 (Current Mission).								
<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Project will provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families assigned at Andrews AFB. All units will meet "whole house/neighborhood" standards and provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan and Family Housing Master Plan.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY HOUSING, PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF034007	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces houses constructed in the 1960s. The units are undersized, meet none of the "whole house/neighborhood" standards, and show the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families. Roofs, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to the effects of age. Roof structures show signs of rot. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are inadequate by modern criteria. Bedrooms are small and lack closet space. Bathrooms are small, fixtures are outdated and energy-inefficient. Kitchens lack sufficient storage and counter space, cabinets are old and unsightly, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is outdated and contains asbestos. Outlets lack grounding protection, and there is no Ground Fault Interrupter circuit protection. Lighting systems are inefficient and require replacement, and units have no air conditioning. The units have no backyard privacy.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Low morale and retention problems can be expected since comparable, affordable off-base housing is not available. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair cost to the Government.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 70% of the replacement cost. The construction agent for this project is the Naval Facilities Engineering Command resulting in SIOH cost of 6%. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Bryan J. Bodner, (301) 981-7281.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY HOUSING, PHASE 1	5. PROJECTNUMBER AJXF034007																																					
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(\$450)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">450</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">450</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">450</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td style="text-align: right;">03Mar</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Completion</td> <td style="text-align: right;">04Jun</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:		(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 15	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 15	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(2) Basis:		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$450)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	450	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	450	(d) Contract	450	(e) In-house		(4) Construction Start	03Mar	(5) Construction Completion	04Jun
(1) Status:																																						
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 15																																					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																																					
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																																					
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15																																					
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 15																																					
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																																						
(2) Basis:																																						
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																																					
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																																					
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$450)																																					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	450																																					
(b) All other Design Costs	0																																					
(c) Total	450																																					
(d) Contract	450																																					
(e) In-house																																						
(4) Construction Start	03Mar																																					
(5) Construction Completion	04Jun																																					

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		I. DATE OF REPORT Apr-01		2. FISCAL YEAR 2003		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF 2001		a. NAME ANDREWS AFB Phase IA		b. LOCATION MARYLAND					
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,355	979	3,924	6,258	1,338	838	3,361	9,537
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,355	979	3,924	6,258	1,338	838	3,361	5,537
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		922	829	2,280	4,031	911	710	1,953	3,574
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		1	0	52	53				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		1	0	52	53				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		11	27	31	69	10	12	14	36
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		911	802	2,249	3,962	901	898	1,939	3,538
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		910	802	2,197	3,999	909	898	1,887	3,485
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		479	802	1,448	2,627	479	602	1,446	2,527
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		479	602	1,446	2,527	479	602	1,446	2,527
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		431	200	751	1,382	421	96	441	958
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		431	200	751	1,382				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		1	0	52	53	1	0	52	53
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						1	0	52	53
15. REMARKS									
Item 12.a.(1): 1396 on-base units are inadequate.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND				COMMAND: AIR MOBILITY COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.96				
6. Personnel		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
Strength		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
AS OF 30 SEP 01		1054	3834	2477	10	19		334	1101	462	9,291
END FY 2005		1046	3469	2497	6	19		334	1101	462	8,934
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
Total Acreage:		4,996									
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)										477,321	
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:										5,163	
Authorization Requested in this Program:										8,807	
Authorization Included in the Following Program: (FY 2004)										8,491	
Planned in Next Three Years Program:										11,719	
Remaining Deficiency:										90,7010	
Grand Total:										602,201	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)											
<u>CATEGORY</u>						<u>COST DESIGN</u>		<u>STATUS</u>			
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>			<u>SCOPE</u>	<u>\$,000</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>L</u>	
711-142	Replace Family Housing			52 UN	8,807	Jun-01				Jul-0:2	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY2004)											
711-142	Replace Family Housing			35 UN	8,491						
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)											
711-142	Replace Family Housing			108 UN	11,719						
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										126,072	
10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift Wing flying a variety of fixed wing and rotary aircraft responsible for Presidential support and support of other branches of the Armed Forces and Federal Agencies; Air National Guard Readiness Center; DC Air National Guard, F-16 fighter wing; and an Air Force Reserve Command C-141 airlift wing.											

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND				4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY HOUSING PHASE 1 B		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF034008		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 8,807	
		9. COSTE	1. MATE			
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING		UN	52	107,687	6,351	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		LS			1,561	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(325)	
UTILITIES		LS			(409)	
STREETS		LS			(175)	
LANDSCAPING		LS			(350)	
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL		LS			(302)	
SUBTOTAL					7,912	
CONTINGENCY (5%)					396	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					8,308	
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.0%)					499	
TOTAL REQUEST					8,807	
AREA COST FACTOR		0.96				
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 52 housing units. Site work improvements include underground utilities, parking, landscaping, patios, privacy fencing, and community improvements. Amenities include energy efficiency, heating, air conditioning, floor covering, attached garages, storage, and 5% of the units constructed as handicapped adaptable. Included demolition of 52 existing units and environmental hazard remediation.						
<u>Pavade</u>		<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Cost Per No (\$000)</u>
E1-E6		3	1,310	1,630	150	0.998 732 24 2,648
E1-E6		4	1,570	1,950	181	0.998 732 28 3,703
						52 6,351
Maximum size: E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF); E1-E-6/4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2220 GSF)						
11. Requirement: 3,538 UN ADEQUATE: 2,142 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,396 UN						
<u>PROJECT</u> : Replace-Military Family Housing Phase 1B (Current Mission).						
<u>REQUIREMENT</u> : Project will provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their families assigned at Andrews AFB. All units will meet "whole house/neighborhood" standards and provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. Project is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan and Family Housing Master Plan. In accordance with the Air Force Family Housing Guide, 5% will be constructed as handicapped adaptable.						

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY HOUSING, PHASE 1B	5. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF034008	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: This project replaces houses constructed in the 1970s. The units are undersized, meet none of the "whole house/neighborhood" standards, and show the effects of age and continuous heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families. Roofs, walls, foundations and exterior pavements require major repair or replacement due to the effects of age. Roof structures show signs of rot. Plumbing and electrical systems are antiquated and do not meet current standards for efficiency or safety. Housing interiors are inadequate by modern criteria. Bedrooms are small and lack closet space, and the fixtures are outdated. Kitchens lack sufficient storage and counter space, cabinets are old and unsightly, and countertops and sinks are badly worn. Flooring throughout the house is outdated and contains asbestos. Outlets lack grounding protection, and there is no Ground Fault Interrupter circuit protection. Lighting systems are inefficient and require replacement. The units have no backyard privacy.</p>		
<p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Air Force members and families will continue to be inadequately housed. Low morale and retention problems can be expected since comparable, affordable off-base housing is not available. Units will continue to deteriorate resulting in escalating operations, maintenance and repair cost to the Government.</p>		
<p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. The cost to improve this housing is 70% of the replacement cost. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in student population or impact on the ability of the local school district to support base dependents. The construction agent for this project is the Naval Facilities Engineering Command resulting in SIOH cost of 6%. Base Civil Engineer: Major John Prater (301) 981-7281.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY HOUSING, PHASE 1B	5. PROJECT NUMBER AJXF034008	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimated Design Data:		Design/Build
(1) Status:		
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 15	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15	
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 15	
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		
(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$450)	
(b) All other Design Costs	450	
(c) Total	0	
(d) Contract	450	
(e) In-house	450	
(4) Construction Start	03Mar	
(5) Construction Completion	04Jun	
b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A		

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Apr-01		2. FISCAL YEAR 2003		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF Jan-01		a. NAME ANDREWS AFB Phase 1B			b. LOCATION MARYLAND				
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
6. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		1,355	979	3,924	6,258	1,338	838	3,361	5,537
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		1,355	979	3,924	6,258	1,338	638	3,361	5,537
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		922	829	2,280	4,031	911	710	1,953	3,574
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		0	0	52	52				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		0	0	0	0				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	52	52				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		11	27	31	69	10	12	14	36
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		911	802	2,249	3,962	901	698	1,939	3,636
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		911	802	2,179	3,841	901	698	1,687	3,466
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		479	602	1,378	2,459	480	602	1,446	2,528
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		479	602	1,378	2,459	479	602	1,378	2,459
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						1	0	68	69
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		431	200	751	1,382	421	96	441	958
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		431	200	751	1,382				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		1	0	120	121	0	0	52	52
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						0	0	52	52
5. REMARKS									
Item 12.a.(1): 1396 on-base units are inadequate.									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		4. PROJECT TITLE MPH MANAGEMENT OFFICE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 610-119	7. PROJECT NUMBER EEPZ034001	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 412	
9. COST ESTIMATES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST
PAM HSG MGT OFC	SM	200	1,288	258
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				114
UTILITIES	LS			(40
PAVEMENTS	LS			(40
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(18
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(15
FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES (0.5%)	LS			(1
SUBTOTAL				372
CONTINGENCY (5%)				19
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				390
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)				21
TOTAL REQUEST				412
AREA COST FACTOR	.81			
<p>10. Description of Proposed Construction: All labor, materials, and equipment to construct a Family Housing Management Office to conform to the Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide. Work includes site work, foundation, infrastructure, interior and exterior finishes, standing seam metal roofing, and WAC. Exterior includes asphalt Drive and parking, construction of a fenced playground, and landscaping.</p> <p>Air Conditioning: 20 KW.</p>				
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 200SM ADEQUATE: OSM SUBSTANDARD: 149SM</p> <p><u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a Family Housing Management Office (Current Mission).</p> <p><u>REQUIREMENT:</u> A military Family Housing Management office that is adequate in size and provides the necessary work areas to assist and support the family members during their search for suitable housing upon arrival is required at Columbus AFB.</p> <p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Columbus APB does not have a Family Housing Management office to meet standards set forth in the Air Force Housing Support Facilities Guide. The current function is located on the second floor of the Central Personnel Building. The cramped space precludes privacy for counseling or discussions between housing staff personnel and residents. This office is usually the first stop for new arrivals and the last stop or departing personnel.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Failure to provide the necessary facility will result in the current inefficient and insufficient situation to remain. The lack of ADA compliance could result in accessibility problems and customer complaints. The lack of play area or children poses a safety hazard and concern.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Michael Hass, (601) 434-7327.</p>				

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION COLUMBUS AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE MFH MANAGEMENT OFFICE	5. PROJECT NUMBER EEPZ034001																																					
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 25</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(\$20)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">20</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td style="text-align: right;">03 Apr</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Completion</td> <td style="text-align: right;">04 Jul</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:		(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 25	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 20	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 20	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(2) Basis:		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$20)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	20	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	20	(d) Contract	20	(e) In-house		(4) Construction Start	03 Apr	(5) Construction Completion	04 Jul
(1) Status:																																						
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 25																																					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																																					
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																																					
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 20																																					
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 20																																					
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																																						
(2) Basis:																																						
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																																					
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																																					
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$20)																																					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	20																																					
(b) All other Design Costs	0																																					
(c) Total	20																																					
(d) Contract	20																																					
(e) In-house																																						
(4) Construction Start	03 Apr																																					
(5) Construction Completion	04 Jul																																					

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. DATE		
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI				COMMAND: AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 0.92			
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
	960	3226	2740	450	29091		78	16801	84	
	847	2763	2739	439	2819		78	1680	84	11,449
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:		1,611								
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)					388,669					
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:					154,055					
Authorization Requested in this Program:					16,505					
Authorization Included in the Following Program:		(FY 2004)			4,432					
Planned in Next Three Years Program:					a					
Remaining Deficiency:					0					
Grand Total:					563,661					
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)										
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE			SCOPE		COST DESIGN STATUS			
<u>CODE</u>							<u>\$,000</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>C M P L</u>	
71 I-142		Replace Family Housing			117 UN		16,505	Jun-01	Jul-02	
9a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY2004)										
		Replace Family Housing			34 UN		4,432			
9b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: None										
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										112,176
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Second Air Force; a training wing responsible for communications, electronics, and administrative courses and a C-12/C-21 airlift squadron responsible for aircrew training; an Air Force Material Command engineering installation group; an Air Force Reserve airlift wing with one C-130 squadron and one WC-130 weather reconnaissance squadron ; and a major Air Force medical center.										

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI				4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING PHASE 1				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741		6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER MAHG024005		8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 16,505			
9. COST ESTIMATE								
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	COST (\$000)		
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING				UN	117	11,337		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				LS		3,534		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS		(560)		
UTILITIES				LS		(445)		
STREETS				LS		(410)		
LANDSCAPING				LS		(218)		
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL				LS		(350)		
PATIOS/GARAGES						(1,251)		
SUBTOTAL						14,871		
CONTINGENCY (5%)						744		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST						15,615		
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)						890		
TOTAL REQUEST						16,505		
AREA COST FACTOR		0.92						
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Replace 117 units, to include one General Officer Quarters (GOQ), with all necessary amenities and supporting facilities. Project includes site preparation, attached car garages, air conditioning, energy conserving features, parking, exterior patios and privacy fencing and supporting infrastructure. Includes the abatement of asbestos containing material and lead base paint, and the demolition of 117 units.								
					Project	Cost Per	No	(\$000)
<u>Pavarade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Total</u>
E1-E6	2	1,081	1,340	124	0.920	732	50	4,175
E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	150	0.920	732	54	5,491
E1-E6	4	1,573	1,950	181	0.920	732	12	1,463
07	4	2,686	3,330	309	0.920	732	1	208
							117	11,337
Maximum size: E1-E6/2 Bedroom (1210 NSF/11500 GSF), E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF) E1-E-6/4 Bedroom (1790 NSF/2220 GSF); 07 - 4 Bedroom (3270 NSF/4060 GSF)								
11. Requirement: 2,441 UN ADEQUATE: 1,081 UN SUBSTANDARD: 1,360 UN								
<u>PROJECT</u> : Replace-Military Family Housing Phase 1 (Current Mission).								
<u>REQUIREMENT</u> : This project is required to provide modern and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Kessler AFB. All units will meet modern housing standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Profile. The housing will provide safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The design will provide a modern kitchen, living room, family room, bedroom and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage. The number of bedrooms will range from two to four, as indicated in the most recent housing market analysis. Units will be provided with single car garage and exterior parking for a second vehicle. This project meets the "Whole House" standards and is programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Development Plan and the Air Force Housing Guide.								

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER MAHG024005	
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: These existing housing units were constructed in 1961. They showed the effects of age and heavy use. They have had no major upgrades since construction, and they do not meet the needs of today's families, nor do they provide a modern home environment. The kitchens require reconfiguration and replacement of deteriorated elements to provide adequate storage, cabinet and countertop areas. The bathrooms require replacement of all fixtures. The bathtubs and sinks have deteriorated to the point the cast iron is exposed. The electrical and plumbing systems do not meet current codes. Ground fault interrupter protection is not provided for bathrooms, kitchen and exterior circuits. Electrical grounding is not available. Flooring is chipped, stained, loose and mismatched. Ceilings sag and may collapse. The units lack adequate living and storage space. Exterior walls have no insulation.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Units will continue to deteriorate rapidly, resulting in increasing operation and maintenance cost to the government and an inconvenience to residents. The electrical system will remain unreliable, difficult to maintain and inefficient. Electrical fire hazards will continue. Energy inefficiencies will persist. Air Force members and their families will continue to be housed in unsatisfactory conditions, affecting morale and the retention of quality personnel. For our Junior Non-Commissioned Officers, affordable off base housing is not available.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190 "Facility Planning and Design Guide." An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefit alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost effective over the life of the project. Since this is replacement housing, there will be no increase in the student population or impact on the ability of local school district to support base dependents. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David W. Funk, (228) 377-2615.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																																				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KEESLER AIR FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI																																						
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING, PHASE 1	5. PROJECT NUMBER MAHG024005																																					
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(1) Status:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 40px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(2) Basis:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(3) Total Cost (c)=(a)+(b) or (d)+(e):</td> <td style="text-align: right;">(\$660)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">660</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">660</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">660</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>(4) Construction Start</td> <td style="text-align: right;">03Mar</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(5) Construction Completion</td> <td style="text-align: right;">04Jun</td> </tr> </table> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(1) Status:		(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 10	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(2) Basis:		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(3) Total Cost (c)=(a)+(b) or (d)+(e):	(\$660)	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	660	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	660	(d) Contract	660	(e) In-house		(4) Construction Start	03Mar	(5) Construction Completion	04Jun
(1) Status:																																						
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10																																					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																																					
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																																					
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 10																																					
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10																																					
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																																						
(2) Basis:																																						
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																																					
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																																					
(3) Total Cost (c)=(a)+(b) or (d)+(e):	(\$660)																																					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	660																																					
(b) All other Design Costs	0																																					
(c) Total	660																																					
(d) Contract	660																																					
(e) In-house																																						
(4) Construction Start	03Mar																																					
(5) Construction Completion	04Jun																																					

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Jul-01			2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716		
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF 2001		a. NAME KEESLERAFB Phase 1			b. LOCATION MISSISSIPPI				
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
j. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		969	420	3,129	4,618	895	386	2,695	4,178
k. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		969	420	3,129	4,518	895	388	2,895	4,178
l. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		687	368	1,802	2,857	643	341	1,664	2,648
m. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		1	0	116	117				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
b. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		1	0	116	117				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
n. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		33	34	158	225	29	32	146	207
o. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		654	334	1,644	2,632	614	309	1,518	2,441
p. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		653	334	1,945	2,932	613	309	1,402	2,324
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		286	176	1,351	1,813	286	176	934	1,396
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		286	176	1,351	1,813	286	176	934	1,396
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		367	158	594	1,119	327	133	468	928
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		367	158	594	1,119				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
q. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		1	0	(301)	(300)	1	0	116	117
r. PROPOSED PROJECT						1	0	116	117
5. REMARKS									
<p>Item 12.a.(1): 1360 on-base units are inadequate. 245 on-base surplus units are to be demolished. 172 additional surplus units will be retained till economic life expires.</p>									

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. DATE					
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			COMMAND: AIR COMBAT COMMAND			5. AREA CONST COST INDEX 1.01						
6. Personnel Strength AS OF 30 SEP 01 END FY 2005	PERMANENT		STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		TOTAL				
	OFF	ENL	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	CIV					
	316	3037	615	0	0	22	92					
	317	3042	612	0	0	22	92	91	4,173	4,176		
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)												
Total Acreage:		5,214										
Inventory Total as of : (30 Sep 01)									3,862,814			
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:									0			
Authorization Requested in this Program:									3,977			
Authorization Included in the Following Program:		(FY 2004)									5,221	
Planned in Next Three Years Program:									3,402			
Remaining Deficiency:									0			
Grand Total:									3,875,414			
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2003)												
CATEGORY		PROJECT TITLE		SCOPE		COST \$,000		DESIGN START		STATUS C M P L		
71 I-142	Replace Family Housing		22 UN		3,977		Jun-01		Jul-02			
3a. Future Projects: Included in the Following Program: (FY2004)												
71 I-142	Replace Family Housing		26 UN		5,221							
3b. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Three Years: (FY05-07)												
III-142	Replace Family Housing		18 UN		3,402							
9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation										99,118		
10. Mission or Major Functions: A bomber wing with two squadrons of B-2 aircraft; and an Air Force Reserve fighter wing with one A/OA-10 squadron.												

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. DATE																		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI			4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING																				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 88741	6. CATEGORY CODE 711-142	7. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG009404R2	8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 3,977																				
		9. COST ESTIMATE																					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)																			
MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	22	122,818	2,702																			
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS			882																			
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(95)																			
UTILITIES	LS			(250)																			
STREETS	LS			(262)																			
LANDSCAPING	LS			(50)																			
RECREATION	LS			(50)																			
DEMOLITION & ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS			(150)																			
LAND ACQUISITION	LS			(25)																			
SUBTOTAL				3,584																			
CONTINGENCY (5%)				179																			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,763																			
SUPERVISION INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				214																			
TOTAL REQUEST				3,977																			
AREA COST FACTOR		1.01																					
<p>10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION: Design and construct 22 replacement NCO duplex family housing units with all necessary support. Includes: demolition, site development, utilities, roads, parking, sidewalks, street lighting, garages, storage, patios, privacy fencing, air conditioning, appliances, recreation and play areas, tot lots, neighborhood improvements, landscaping, and all other necessary support.</p> <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Paygrade</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Bedroom</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>NSF</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>GSF</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>GSM</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Project Factor</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>Cost Per GSM</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>No Units</u></th> <th style="text-align:center;"><u>(\$000) Total</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align:center;">E1-E6</td> <td style="text-align:center;">3</td> <td style="text-align:center;">1,315</td> <td style="text-align:center;">1,630</td> <td style="text-align:center;">151</td> <td style="text-align:center;">0.820</td> <td style="text-align:center;">732</td> <td style="text-align:center;">22</td> <td style="text-align:center;">2,702</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Maximum size: E1-E6/3 Bedroom (1420 NSF/1760 GSF)</p>						<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>	E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	151	0.820	732	22	2,702
<u>Paygrade</u>	<u>Bedroom</u>	<u>NSF</u>	<u>GSF</u>	<u>GSM</u>	<u>Project Factor</u>	<u>Cost Per GSM</u>	<u>No Units</u>	<u>(\$000) Total</u>															
E1-E6	3	1,315	1,630	151	0.820	732	22	2,702															
<p>11. Requirement: 2,080 UN ADEQUATE: 1,211 UN SUBSTANDARD: 869 UN</p> <p>PROJECT: Replace 22 Military Family Housing units on existing Air Force property then demolish 22 existing substandard units. (Current Mission)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide modem and efficient housing for military members and their dependents stationed at Whiteman AFB. All units will meet "whole house" standards and are programmed in accordance with the Housing Community Plan. This is to provide replacement housing for base personnel. This housing will provide a safe, comfortable, and appealing living environment comparable to the off-base civilian community. The units will provide a modem kitchen, living room dining room, and bath configuration, with ample interior and exterior storage and garages. Parking will be provided for a second vehicle and/or visitors. The neighborhood support infrastructure will be constructed to meet modem housing needs. Neighborhood enhancements will include landscaping, playgrounds, and recreation areas.</p>																							

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI		
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	5. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG009404R2	
<p><u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> This project replaces housing constructed in 1954. They have had no major upgrades since construction and do not meet the needs of today's families. The new space requirements are not met by the existing facilities and expanding them is not feasible due to lack of building space.</p> <p><u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> These houses will continue to deteriorate rapidly resulting in increasing operations, maintenance and repair costs to the Government and inconvenience to residents. Without this project repair of these units will continue in costly, piecemeal fashion with little or no improvements in living quality. Low morale and retention can be expected if such conditions are permitted to continue. Sufficient, affordable off-base housing is not available. The most recent Housing Market Analysis shows an on-base housing deficit of 129 units.</p> <p><u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". The SIOH of 5.7% is for the Corps of Engineers. Whiteman's CE commander is Lt Col Rodney L. Croslen, DSN 975-3503, if you have any questions.</p>		

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2003 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE																										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION WHITEMAN AIR FORCE BASE, MISSOURI																												
4. PROJECT TITLE REPLACE MILITARY HOUSING	5. PROJECT NUMBER YWHG009404R2																											
<p>12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:</p> <p>a. Estimated Design Data: Design/Build</p> <p>(1) Status:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Date Design Started</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Aug 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002</td> <td style="text-align: right;">35</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Date 35% Designed</td> <td style="text-align: right;">01 Dec 15</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) Date Design Complete</td> <td style="text-align: right;">02 May 10</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="padding-left: 20px;">(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;</td> </tr> </table> <p>(2) Basis:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Standard or Definitive Design -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) Where design was most recently used -</td> <td style="text-align: right;">N/A</td> </tr> </table> <p>(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$180)</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td style="text-align: right;">180</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) All other Design Costs</td> <td style="text-align: right;">0</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) Total</td> <td style="text-align: right;">180</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) Contract</td> <td style="text-align: right;">180</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding-left: 20px;">(e) In-house</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p>(4) Construction Start 03 Mar</p> <p>(5) Construction Completion 04 Jun</p> <p>b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A</p>			(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35	(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15	(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10	(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;		(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO	(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	180	(b) All other Design Costs	0	(c) Total	180	(d) Contract	180	(e) In-house	
(a) Date Design Started	01 Aug 10																											
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate used to develop costs	N																											
(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 2002	35																											
(d) Date 35% Designed	01 Dec 15																											
(e) Date Design Complete	02 May 10																											
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was performed;																												
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	NO																											
(b) Where design was most recently used -	N/A																											
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	180																											
(b) All other Design Costs	0																											
(c) Total	180																											
(d) Contract	180																											
(e) In-house																												

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING JUSTIFICATION		1. DATE OF REPORT Ott-96		2. FISCAL YEAR		REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-A&L(AR)1716			
3. DOD COMPONENT AIR FORCE		4. REPORTING INSTALLATION							
5. DATA AS OF Jul-96		a. NAME WHITEMAN AFB Phase 3A		b. LOCATION MISSOURI					
ANALYSIS OF REQUIREMENTS AND ASSETS		CURRENT				PROJECTED			
		OFFICER (a)	E9-E7 (b)	E6-E1 (c)	TOTAL (d)	OFFICER (e)	E9-E7 (f)	E6-E1 (g)	TOTAL (h)
5. TOTAL PERSONNEL STRENGTH		307	276	2,313	2,896	308	276	2,336	2,922
7. PERMANENT PARTY PERSONNEL		307	275	2,313	2,895	308	278	2,336	2,622
8. GROSS FAMILY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		235	250	1,515	2,000	271	248	1,561	2,080
9. TOTAL UNACCEPTABLY HOUSED (a + b + c)		0	0	22	22				
a. INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED		0	0	0	0				
h. IN MILITARY HOUSING TO BE DISPOSED/REPLACED		0	0	22	22				
c. UNACCEPTABLE HOUSED IN COMMUNITY		0	0	0	0				
10. VOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11. EFFECTIVE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS		235	250	1,515	2,000	271	248	1,561	2,080
12. HOUSING ASSETS (a + b)		125	161	1,493	1,779	161	159	1,539	1,859
a. UNDER MILITARY CONTROL		94	78	935	1,107	94	78	935	1,107
(1) HOUSED IN EXISTING DOD OWNED/CONTROLLED		94	78	935	1,107	94	78	935	1,107
(2) UNDER CONTRACT/APPROVED						0	0	0	0
(3) VACANT		0	0	0	0				
(4) INACTIVE		0	0	0	0				
b. PRIVATE HOUSING		31	83	558	672	67	81	604	752
(1) ACCEPTABLY HOUSED		31	83	558	672				
(2) ACCEPTABLE VACANT RENTAL		0	0	0	0				
13. EFFECTIVE HOUSING DEFICIT		110	89	22	221	110	89	22	221
14. PROPOSED PROJECT						0	0	22	22
15. REMARKS		Item 12.a.(1): 869 on-base units are inadequate.							