AGENDA DOCUMENT NO. 11-35



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION Washington, DC 20463



2011 JUN 13 P 4: 47

AGENDA ITEM

For the Meeting of 6-15-11

SUBMITTED LATE

June 13, 2011

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

FROM: Christopher Hughey

Acting General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith Associate General Counsel

Robert M. Knop Assistant General Counsel

Cheryl A.F. Hemsley CAFH by RMK

Theodore M. Lutz

Attorney

SUBJECT: Notice of Availability – Petition for Rulemaking on Independent

> Expenditures and Electioneering Communications by Corporations and Labor Organizations submitted by the James Madison Center for Free

Speech

On January 26, 2010, the Commission received a Petition for Rulemaking ("Petition") from the James Madison Center for Free Speech. The Petition asks the Commission to conform its regulations regarding independent expenditures and electioneering communications made by corporations, membership organizations, and labor organizations to the decision of the Supreme Court in Citizens United v. FEC. See Attachment 1.

The Office of General Counsel has examined the Petition and determined that it meets the requirements of 11 CFR 200.2(b). Therefore, we have drafted the attached Notice of Availability ("Notice") seeking comment on whether the Commission should initiate a rulemaking on the proposal in the Petition. See Attachment 2. The Notice will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to 11 CFR 200.3(a)(1).

In keeping with the Commission's usual procedure, the Notice does not address the merits of the Petition. Instead, it states that consideration of the merits will be deferred until the close of the comment period.

The Office of General Counsel requests that this draft be placed on the agenda for the June 15, 2011, open meeting.

Attachments

James Madiform,

James Madison Center for Free Speech

GENERAL COUNSEL

James Bopp, Jr., Esq.

January 26, 2010

The Federal Election Commission c/o Amy Rothstein, Assistant General Counsel 999 E Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20463 ARothstein@fec.gov

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find a petition for rulemaking from the James Madison Center for Free Speech following *Citizens United v. FEC*, 558 U.S. _____, 130 S.Ct. _____ (2010).

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES MADISON CENTER FOR FREE SPEECH

Jame Boppf

James Bopp, Jr., General Counsel Randy Elf, Counsel

Copies to commissioners' offices

ATTACHMENT OF 2

Before the Federal Election Commission

Petition for Rulemaking Following Citizens United v. FEC Regarding 11 C.F.R. §§ 114.2, 114.4, 114.9, 114.10, 114.14, and 114.15

The James Madison Center for Free Speech respectfully submits this rulemaking petition following Citizens United v. FEC, 558 U.S. ____, 130 S.Ct. ____ (2010), and requests that the Federal Election Commission adopt temporary and permanent regulations (1) stating that it will not enforce 2 U.S.C. § 441b against any independent spending for political speech, including independent expenditures, see generally Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 44 & n.52, 80 (1976), and electioneering communications, see generally 2 U.S.C. § 434.f.3.A.i (2002), and (2) conforming FEC regulations to Citizens United. See 11 C.F.R. § 200.2.a.1 (1992). The James Madison Center submits that such conformance should include:

- Repealing 11 C.F.R. §§ 114.2 (2007) and 114.14 (2007) insofar as they implement the Section 441b bans *Citizens United* struck down.
- Acknowledging that Section 441b no longer bans corporations, unions, or membership organizations from engaging in independent spending for political speech beyond their restricted classes, see generally 2 U.S.C. § 431.9.B.iii (2002); 11 C.F.R. 114.3 (2002), and repealing 11 C.F.R. § 114.4 (2007) insofar as it implements Section 441b and bans such speech.

2

Campaning and Section and Section 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999, 1999

ATTACHMENT 1 OF 2

- Repealing 11 C.F.R. § 114.9 (2006) insofar as it implements Section 441b and bans independent spending for political speech.
- Repealing 11 C.F.R. § 114.10 (2002), because the MCFL-corporation analysis is an exception to the Section 441b ban on corporate independent expenditures and electioneering communications, yet Citizens United renders the MCFL-corporation analysis unnecessary, compare McConnell v. FEC, 540 U.S. 93, 209-11 (2003), and FEC v. Massachusetts Citizens for Life, Inc. 479 U.S. 238, 256-65 (1986) ("MCFL"), with Citizens United, slip op. at 10-12, 20-50, and
- Repealing 11 C.F.R. § 114.15 (2007), the FEC's version of the appeal-to-vote test. Compare FEC v. Wisconsin Right to Life, Inc., 551 U.S. 449, 457, 469-70, 474 n.7 (2007) ("WRTL II"), with Citizens United, slip op. at 18-20. The test applies only to electioneering communications as defined in FECA. WRTL II, 551 U.S. at 474 n.7. However, under Citizens United, the Section 441b ban on electioneering communications is unconstitutional regardless of whether their only reasonable interpretation is as an appeal to vote for or against a clearly identified candidate or candidates in the jurisdiction. Compare WRTL II, 551 U.S. at 469-70, with Citizens United, slip op. at 7-8, 20-50.

The James Madison Center requests that the FEC adopt these regulations quickly, because 2010 is an election year, and speakers will want

¹ Available at http://www.supremecourtus.gov/opinions/09pdf/08-205.pdf.

to exercise - without fear of enforcement or prosecution - the First

Amendment rights to political speech Citizens United recognizes.

Respectfully submitted,

James Bopp, Jr.

Jame Boppf

Randy Elf

JAMES MADISON CENTER

FOR FREE SPEECH

1 South Sixth Street

Terre Haute, Ind. 47803

Telephone:

(812) 232-2434

Facsimile:

(812) 235-3685

January 26, 2010

1		FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	
2		11 CFR Part 114	
3		[NOTICE 2011 - XX]	
4	Rulemaking	g Petition: Independent Expenditures and Electioneering	
5	Communications by Corporations and Labor Organizations		
6	AGENCY:	Federal Election Commission	
7	ACTION:	Rulemaking petition: Notice of Availability	
8	SUMMARY:	On January 26, 2010, the James Madison Center for Free	
9		Speech submitted to the Commission a Petition for Rulemaking.	
0		The Petition urges the Commission to conform its regulations	
11		regarding independent expenditures and electioneering	
12		communications made by corporations, membership	
13		organizations, and labor organizations to the decision of the	
14		Supreme Court in Citizens United v. FEC. The Petition is	
15		available for inspection in the Commission's Public Records	
16		Office, on its website, http://www.fec.gov/fosers/ , and through	
17		its Faxline service.	
18	DATES:	Statements in support of or in opposition to the Petition must be	
19		submitted on or before [insert date 60 days after the date of	
20		publication in the Federal Register].	
21	ADDRESSES:	All comments must be in writing. Comments may be submitted	
22		electronically via the Commission's website at	
23		http://www.fec.gov/fosers/. Commenters are encouraged to	

1		submit comments electronically to ensure timely receipt and
2		consideration. Alternatively, comments may be submitted in
3		paper form. Paper comments must be sent to the Federal
4		Election Commission, Attn.: Robert M. Knop, Assistant
5		General Counsel, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463.
6		All comments must include the full name and postal service
7		address of a commenter, and of each commenter if filed jointly,
8		or they will not be considered. The Commission will post
9		comments on its website at the conclusion of the comment
10		period.
11 12 13	FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:	Mr. Robert M. Knop, Assistant General Counsel, or Ms. Cheryl
14		A. F. Hemsley, Attorney, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, D.C.
15		20463, (202) 694-1650 or (800) 424-9530.
16 17	SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:	
18	The Federal Ele	ection Commission ("Commission") has received a Petition for
19	Rulemaking from the James Madison Center for Free Speech. The petitioner asks that	
20	the Commission conform FEC regulations at 11 CFR 114.2, 114.4, 114.9, 114.10,	
21	114.14, and 114.15 to the decision of the Supreme Court in Citizens United v. FEC, 558	
22	U.S, 130 S. Ct. 8	76 (2010) allowing corporations, membership organizations, and
23	labor organizations to	make independent expenditures and electioneering
24	communications. The	Commission seeks comments on the petition.

DRAFT

1	Copies of the Petition for Rulemaking are available for public inspection at the		
2	Commission's Public Records Office, 999 E Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463,		
3	Monday through Friday between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., and on the		
4	Commission's website, http://www.fec.gov/fosers/ . Interested persons may also obtain a		
5	copy of the Petition by dialing the Commission's Faxline service at (202) 501-3413 and		
6	following its instructions, at any time of the day and week. Request document # 272.		
7	Consideration of the merits of the Petition will be deferred until the close of the		
8	comment period. If the Commission decides that the Petition has merit, it may begin a		
9	rulemaking proceeding. Any subsequent action taken by the Commission will be		
10	announced in the Federal Register.		
11 12 13 14			
15	Cynthia L. Bauerly		
16	Chair		
17 18	Federal Election Commission		
19	DATED:		
20	BILLING CODE: 6715-01-U		
2.1			