Appraisal Subcommittee

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

August 10, 2011

Ms. Deanna Sabey, Director Division of Real Estate Utah Department of Commerce P.O. Box 146711 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6711

RE: ASC Compliance Review of Utah's appraiser regulatory program

Dear Ms. Sabey:

The Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) staff conducted an ASC Compliance Review (Review) of Utah's appraiser regulatory program (Program) on February 22-24, 2011. This is the final ASC Compliance Review Report (Report) on that Review.

The ASC has considered the preliminary findings regarding the Review and the State's response. The ASC has determined the Program is not in substantial compliance with Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended. The ASC identified one area of non-compliance:

• States should resolve all complaints filed against appraisers within one year, except for special documented circumstances. 1

In its response, the State indicated corrective actions were taken. During the next Review, ASC staff will confirm these corrective actions have taken place and are appropriate. Please also be advised this letter and the attached Report are public record and available on the ASC website in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Deborah S. Merkle

Chairman

Attachment

cc: Mr. Thad Levar, Deputy Director

Mr. Craig Morley, Chair

¹ Title XI § 1118 (a), 12 U.S.C. 3347; ASC Policy Statement 10E.

Finding: Not In Substantial Compliance ASC Compliance Review Report Report Issue Date: August 10, 2011 Utah Appraiser Regulatory Program (Program) Review Period: Feb. 2009 to Feb. 2011 ASC Compliance Review Date: February 22-24, 2011 Real Estate Appraiser Licensing and PM: J. Tidwell Certification Board (Board) / Decision Making Number of State Credentialed Appraisers on National Registry: 1397 Issue: FINAL Umbrella Agency: Department of Commerce Division of Real Estate (Division) **General Comments** Recommended State Requirement/Guidance **ASC Finding ASC Staff Observations** State Response **Required State Actions Actions** Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC) YES NO AC Utah Statutes, Regulations, Policies and Procedures: Х The Board's resolution None A review of the Utah Real Estate Appraiser On April 27, 2011, the Board adopted None States must adopt and/or addresses the concern. Licensing and Certification Board's the rule changes, with an effective implement all relevant AQB Real date of the same day. Property Appraiser Qualification Administrative Rules revealed inconsistencies Criteria. (Title XI § 1116 (a), 12 with the Appraiser Qualifications Board's (AQB) Real Property Appraiser Qualification U.S.C. 3345; Title XI § 1118 (a), Criteria (AQB Criteria). ASC staff brought 12 U.S.C. 3347; AQB Real these inconsistencies to the Board's attention Property Appraiser Qualification Criteria.) during the February 2009 Review. Following the 2009 Review, the Board reported to ASC staff that it had adopted rule changes to bring the Administrative Rules into compliance with ABQ Criteria, and consistent with the Board's practice. However, during a change of administration, those amendments were never finalized. **Temporary Practice:** Х None N/A None None No compliance issues noted. **National Registry:** X None None N/A None No compliance issues noted. Х Application Process: None N/A None None No compliance issues noted.

ASC Compliance Review Report							Finding: Not In Substantial Compliance Report Issue Date: August 10, 2011	
Real Estate Appraiser Licensing and Certification Board (Board) / Decision Making					ASC Compliance Review Date: February 22-24, 2011		Review Period: Feb. 2009 to Feb. 2011	
Requirement/Guidance	ASC Finding Compliance (YES/NO) Areas of Concern (AC)			ASC Staff Observations	State Response	Required State Actions	Recommended State Actions	General Comments
· 在	YES	NO	AC					
eciprocity:	Х							
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	None
ducation:	Х							
				No compliance issues noted.	N/A	None	None	None
nforcement:		Х						
tates should resolve all				Utah had 96 outstanding complaints of which	On May 16, 2011, the Division	The Division and Board must	1 0 .	Through off-site monitoring
omplaints filed against				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	reported to ASC staff that it continues	submit quarterly complaint	the Division and Board	and during the next Review,
ppraisers within one year,					to work diligently to process	logs to ASC staff.	should employ ways to	ASC staff will pay particular
xcept for special documented				for special documented circumstances.	complaints unresolved for more than		process complaints of	attention to this area for
rcumstances. (Title XI § 1118		ŀ			one year.	The ASC Policy Manager	appraiser misconduct or	compliance with ASC Policy
), 12 U.S.C. 3347; ASC Policy				22 (23%) were not resolved in accordance		assigned to Utah will work	wrongdoing in a timely	Statement 10E.
tatement 10E.)				with ASC Policy Statement 10E.	On June 22nd, the Board will hold a hearing on a licensee with 10 open	with the Department staff to determine the timing and	manner to ensure compliance with ASC Policy	
				* Note: The 10 cases the Division refers to in	cases that are part of the 18 cases that	1	Statement 10E.	
				their response involve one respondent and	fall under the exception for special	l some some some some sog.		
				was exempted as allowed under ASC Policy	documented circumstances.			
			1	Statement 10E. Therefore, once those ten	* See Note under ASC Staff			
				cases are resolved, it will lower the number of				
				dated cases by one.				