Department of the Navy FY 1999 Military Construction and Family Housing Program

Table of Contents

	Page #
STATE LIST	V
MISSION LIST	xv
INSTALLATION INDEX	xix
BUDGET APPENDIX EXTRACT	xxi
SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS	xxvii
PROJECT JUSTIFICATIONS - INSIDE THE UNITED STATES	1
PROJECT JUSTIFICATIONS - OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES	193
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	217
ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION DESIGN	219
FAMILY HOUSING	221

	Auth. Request	Appr. Request
State/Country	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>
Inside The United States		
ARIZONA	12,000	12,000
CALIFORNIA	101,440	101,440
DIST OF COLUMBIA	790	790
FLORIDA	3,730	3,730
HAWAII	105,717	105,717
ILLINOIS	13,160	13,160
MARYLAND	6,680	6,680
MISSISSIPPI	10,670	10,670
NORTH CAROLINA	20,640	20,640
RHODE ISLAND	14,770	14,770
SOUTH CAROLINA	19,467	19,467
VIRGINIA	66,740	53,240
WASHINGTON	2,750	2,750
Subtotal	378,554	365,054
Outside The United States		
	5.260	5.260
GREECE	5,260	5,260
GUAM	10,310	10,310
ITALY UNITED KINGDOM	18,270	18,270
	2,010	2,010
Subtotal	35,850	35,850
Various		
	67,246	67,246
Subtotal	67,246	67,246
Total - FY 1999 Military Construction & Family Housing Program	768,763	748,940
Less Family Housing	287,113	280,790
Total - FY 1999 Military Construction Program	481,650	468,150

			Auth.		Design	_
State/Country	Proj <u>No.</u>	Location	Request (\$000)	Request (\$000)	As Of <u>Jan 98</u>	Page <u>No.</u>
State/Country	1101	NAVY	<u>(φοσο)</u>	<u>(φοσο)</u>	gun yo	1101
		Inside The United States				
ARIZONA						
		NAVAL OBSERVATORY, <u>FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA</u>				
	029	OPTIC INTERFEROMETER SUPPORT FACILITY	990	990	100	3
		Subtotal	990	990		
		Total - ARIZONA	990	990		
CALIFORNIA		NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER, CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA				
	229	MISSILE MAGAZINE	3,240	3,240	50	19
		Subtotal	3,240	3,240		
		NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA				
	183	AIRFRAMES FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	1,510	1,510	50	31
	195	HANGAR RENOVATIONS	5,430	5,430	50	29
	185	TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION	4,270	4,270	50	25
	105B	WEAPONS ASSEMBLY FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	9,430	9,430	100	35
		Subtotal	20,640	20,640		
		NAVAL FACILITY, SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND, CALIFORNIA				
	555	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	8,350	8,350	100	43
		Subtotal	8,350	8,350		
		NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA				
	126	SUBMARINE SUPPORT FACILITY	11,400	11,400	50	47
		Subtotal	11,400	11,400		

			Auth.	Appr. %	Design	
	Proj		Request	Request	As Of	Page
State/Country	No.	Location	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>Jan 98</u>	No.
		Total - CALIFORNIA	43,630	43,630		
DIST OF COLUM	BIA					
		COMMANDANT, NAVAL DISTRICT, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				
	336	FITNESS CENTER	790	790	40	53
		Subtotal	790	790		
		Total - DIST OF COLUMBIA	790	790		
FLORIDA						
		NAVAL AIR STATION KEY WEST, FLORIDA				
	604	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	3,730	3,730	50	57
		Subtotal	3,730	3,730		
		Total - FLORIDA	3,730	3,730		
HAWAII						
		FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	R ,			
	154	CENTRAL RECEIVING FACILITY	9,730	9,730	45	69
		Subtotal	9,730	9,730		
		NAVAL STATION, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				
	504	ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADES	18,180	18,180	40	79
		Subtotal	18,180	18,180		
		NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				
	147	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	8,060	8,060	80	85
		Subtotal	8,060	8,060		
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				
	497	SEWER OUTFALL EXTENSION	22,877	22,877	100	93

	Proj		Auth. Request	Appr. 9 Request	% Design As Of	Page
State/Country	No.	Location	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>Jan 98</u>	No.
	410	STEAM CONDENSATE RETURN SYSTEM	6,090	6,090	55	91
		Subtotal	28,967	28,967		
		PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				
	215	ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING	11,400	11,400	50	73
		Subtotal	11,400	11,400		
		NAVAL COMMS AREA MASTER STATION WAHIAWA, HAWAII	ſ,			
	155	FIRE STATION	1,970	1,970	70	99
		Subtotal	1,970	1,970		
		Total - HAWAII	78,307	78,307		
ILLINOIS						
		NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS				
	566	APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	5,750	5,750	50	103
	518	GAS TURBINE TRAINING FACILITY	7,410	7,410	50	107
		Subtotal	13,160	13,160		
		Total - ILLINOIS	13,160	13,160		
MARYLAND						
		NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIV INDIAN HEAD DIV, INDIAN HEAD, MARY				
	149	ANNEALING OVEN FACILITY	6,680	6,680	55	113
		Subtotal	6,680	6,680		
		Total - MARYLAND	6,680	6,680		
MISSISSIPPI						
		NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CER GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI	NTER,			
	759	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	10,670	10,670	100	119

State/County	Proj	Landon	Auth. Request (\$000)	Request	As Of	Page
State/Country	<u>No.</u>	<u>Location</u> Subtotal	10,670	(\$000) 10,670	<u>Jan 98</u>	<u>No.</u>
		Total - MISSISSIPPI	10,670	10,670		
RHODE ISLAND						
		NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CEN NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	NTER,			
	406	BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	5,630	5,630	50	137
		Subtotal	5,630	5,630		
		NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER I NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	DIVISION,			
	030	UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY	9,140	9,140	60	143
		Subtotal	9,140	9,140		
		Total - RHODE ISLAND	14,770	14,770		
SOUTH CAROLIN	IA					
		NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA				
	914	ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGNMENT	9,737	9,737	100	153
		Subtotal	9,737	9,737		
		Total - SOUTH CAROLINA	9,737	9,737		
VIRGINIA						
		NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIVISION, DAHLGREN, VIRG	SINIA			
	255	WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY ADDITION	5,130	5,130	60	163
		Subtotal	5,130	5,130		
		NAVY TACTICAL TRAINING GROUP, ATI DAM NECK, VIRGINIA	LANTIC,			
	946	TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	2,430	2,430	45	169
		Subtotal	2,430	2,430		
		FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA				

	Proj		Auth. Request	Appr. Request	% Design As Of	Page
State/Country	<u>No.</u>	Location	(\$000)	(\$000)	Jan 98	No.
State, States,	177	FIRE STATION	1,770	1,770		173
		Subtotal	1,770	1,770		
		FLEET TRAINING CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA				
	179	ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION AND RENOVATION	5,700	5,700	45	177
		Subtotal	5,700	5,700		
		NAVAL STATION, <u>NORFOLK, VIRGINIA</u>				
	355	BERTHING PIER (PHASE I)	45,530	32,030	45	181
		Subtotal	45,530	32,030		
		NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA				
	378	DREDGING	6,180	6,180	50	185
		Subtotal	6,180	6,180		
		Total - VIRGINIA	66,740	53,240		
WASHINGTON						
		STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY, PACIFIC BANGOR, WASHINGTON	C			
	291	SECURITY FACILITY UPGRADES	2,750	2,750	60	189
		Subtotal	2,750	2,750		
		Total - WASHINGTON	2,750	2,750		
		Total - Inside The United States	251,954	238,454		
		Outside The United States				
GREECE						
		NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, SOUDA BAY, CRETE				
	726	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,260	5,260	60	195
		Subtotal	5,260	5,260		
		Total - GREECE	5,260	5,260		

			Auth.	Appr. %	Design	
	Proj		Request	Request	As Of	Page
State/Country	No.	Location	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>Jan 98</u>	No.
GUAM		NAVAL ACTIVITIES,				
		GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS				
	415	SPECIAL WARFARE UNIT FACILITY	5,500	5,500	100	201
	412	WATERFRONT CONSOLIDATION FACILITIES	4,810	4,810	50	203
		Subtotal	10,310	10,310		
		Total - GUAM	10,310	10,310		
ITALY						
		NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY				
	172	NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES	18,270	18,270	95	209
		Subtotal	18,270	18,270		
		Total - ITALY	18,270	18,270		
UNITED KINGDO	M					
		JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS CL ST. MAWGAN, UNITED KINGDOM	ENTER,			
	113	EDUCATION CENTER ADDITION	2,010	2,010	45	215
		Subtotal	2,010	2,010		
		Total - UNITED KINGDOM	2,010	2,010		
		Total - Outside The United States	35,850	35,850		
		Total - NAVY	287,804	274,304		
		MARINE CORPS				
		Inside The United States				
ARIZONA		MARKE CORRELATION				
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, YUMA, ARIZONA				
	415	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	11,010	11,010	80	9
		Subtotal	11,010	11,010		
		Total - ARIZONA	11,010	11,010		
CALIFORNIA						

	Proj		Auth. Request	Appr. % Request	6 Design As Of	Page
State/Country	<u>No.</u>	Location	(\$000)	(\$000)	Jan 98	No.
<u> </u>		MARINE CORPS BASE,	<u>(+ /</u>	(4000)	<u> </u>	
		CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA				
	024	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12,400	12,400	60	13
	999	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	15,840	15,840	60	15
		Subtotal	28,240	28,240		
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA				
	002	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	29,570	29,570	100	39
		Subtotal	29,570	29,570		
		Total - CALIFORNIA	57,810	57,810		
HAWAII						
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, KANEOHE BAY, HAWAII				
	286	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	27,410	27,410	35	63
		Subtotal	27,410	27,410		
		Total - HAWAII	27,410	27,410		
NORTH CAROLIN	NA					
		MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA				
	931	FIRE STATION	1,830	1,830	60	123
	062A	INFRASTRUCTURE PHYSICAL SECURITY	12,770	12,770	60	125
		Subtotal	14,600	14,600		
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA				
	011	AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION	1,620	1,620	80	131
	077	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,420	4,420	80	133
		Subtotal	6,040	6,040		
		Total - NORTH CAROLINA	20,640	20,640		
COUTH CADOLIN	T. A					

SOUTH CAROLINA

			Auth.	Appr. %	6 Design	
	Proj		Request	Request	As Of	Page
State/Country	<u>No.</u>	Location MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>Jan 98</u>	<u>No.</u>
	385	MISSILE MAGAZINES	1,770	1,770	60	149
		Subtotal	1,770	1,770		
		MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA				
	335	WEAPONS BATTALION MESSHALL	7,960	7,960	15	159
		Subtotal	7,960	7,960		
		Total - SOUTH CAROLINA	9,730	9,730		
		Total - Inside The United States	126,600	126,600		
		Total - MARINE CORPS	126,600	126,600		

Various

	Housing Program Grand Total	768,763	748,940
Total -	FY 1999 Military Construction Family	287,113	280,790
Total -	FY 1999 Military Construction Program	481,650	468,150
	Total - Various Locations	294,855	294,855
	Family Housing		
	Subtotal - Military Construction For	227,609	227,609
	Subtotal - Military Construction	67,246	67,246
	(FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENTS		
034	POST ACQUISITION CONSTRUCTION	211,991	211,991
	DESIGN (MARINE CORPS)	-,,	-,, -,
VAR	DESIGN (NAVY) A&E SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION	8.764	8,764
VAR	A&E SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION	49,582	49,582
099	DESIGN (FAMILY HOUSING) UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	8,900	8,900
VAR	A&E SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION	15,618	15,618
	VARIOUS LOCATIONS		

<u>Installation/Location</u>	Proj <u>No.</u>	Project Title Inside The United S	<u>States</u>		Mission Status
ARIZONA					
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, YUMA, ARIZONA	415	BACHELOR ENLI	STED QUARTERS	11,010	C
NAVAL OBSERVATORY, FLAGSTAI N	FF,	029	OPTIC INTERFEROMETER SU	PPORT	990
ARIZONA		FACILITY			
CALIFORNIA					
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	002	BACHELOR ENLI	STED QUARTERS	29,570	C
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	024	BACHELOR ENLI	STED QUARTERS	12,400	C
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	999	BACHELOR ENLI	STED QUARTERS	15,840	C
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	105B	WEAPONS ASSEMIMPROVEMENTS		9,430	C
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	183	AIRFRAMES FAC	ILITY MODIFICATIONS	1,510	C
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	185	TRAINING FACIL	ITY ADDITION	4,270	C
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	195	HANGAR RENOV	ATIONS	5,430	C
NAVAL FACILITY, SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND, CALIFORNIA	555	BACHELOR ENLI	STED QUARTERS	8,350	C
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	126	SUBMARINE SUP	PPORT FACILITY	11,400	C
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER, CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA	229	MISSILE MAGAZI	INE	3,240	C
DIST OF COLUMBIA COMMANDANT, NAVAL DISTRICT, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	336	FITNESS CENTER	!	790	С
FLORIDA NAVAL AIR STATION KEY WEST, FLORIDA	604	CHILD DEVELOP	MENT CENTER	3,730	С

	Proj Cost			Mission
Installation/Location	No.	Project Title	<u>(\$000)</u>	Status
HAWAII				
FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	154	CENTRAL RECEIVING FACILITY	9,730	C
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, KANEOHE BAY, HAWAII	286	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	27,410	C
NAVAL COMMS AREA MASTER STATION, WAHIAWA, HAWAII	155	FIRE STATION	1,970	C
NAVAL STATION, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	504	ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADES	18,180	C
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	147	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	8,060	C
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	410	STEAM CONDENSATE RETURN SYSTEM	6,090	C
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	497	SEWER OUTFALL EXTENSION	22,877	C
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	215	ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING	11,400	С
ILLINOIS				
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	518	GAS TURBINE TRAINING FACILITY	7,410	C
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	566	APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	5,750	C
MARYLAND				
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, INDIAN HEAD DIV, INDIAN HEAD, MARYLAND	149	ANNEALING OVEN FACILITY	6,680	С
MISSISSIPPI				
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI	759	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	10,670	C

Proj Cost Mission

NORTH CAROLINA

Installation/Location MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	<u>No.</u> 011	Project Title AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION	(\$000) Status 1,620 C
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	077 A	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,420 C
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA	062A	INFRASTRUCTURE PHYSICAL SECURITY	12,770 C
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA	931	FIRE STATION	1,830 C
RHODE ISLAND			
NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	406	BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	5,630 C
NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	030	UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY	9,140 C
SOUTH CAROLINA			
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA	385	MISSILE MAGAZINES	1,770 C
MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA	335 A	WEAPONS BATTALION MESSHALL	7,960 C
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA	914	ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGNMENT	9,737 C
VIRGINIA			
FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY, CENTER NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	177	FIRE STATION	1,770 C
FLEET TRAINING CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	179	ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION AND RENOVATION	5,700 C
NAVAL STATION, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	355	BERTHING PIER (PHASE I)	45,530 C
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIVISION, DAHLGREN, VIRGINIA	255	WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY ADDITION	5,130 C

		oron otatao maox		
Installation/Location NAVY TACTICAL TRAINING, GROUP ATLANTIC, DAM NECK, VIRGINIA	Proj No. 946	Project Title TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION		Mission Status C
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	378	DREDGING	6,180	C
WASHINGTON				
STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY, PACIFIC BANGOR, WASHINGTON	291	SECURITY FACILITY UPGRADES	2,750	C
		Outside The United States		
GREECE				
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, SOUDA BAY, CRETE	726	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,260	C
GUAM				
NAVAL ACTIVITIES, GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS	412	WATERFRONT CONSOLIDATION FACILITIES	4,810	C
NAVAL ACTIVITIES, GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS	415	SPECIAL WARFARE UNIT FACILITY	5,500	C
ITALY				
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY	172	NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES	18,270	C
UNITED KINGDOM				
JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS CENTER, ST. MAWGAN, UNITED KINGDOM	113	EDUCATION CENTER ADDITION	2,010	N
		<u>Various</u>		
NAVAL AND MARINE CORPS INSTALLATIONS VARIOUS LOCATIONS	099	A & E SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION DESIGN - PBD 314	58,346	C
NAVAL AND MARINE CORPS INSTALLATIONS, VARIOUS LOCATIONS	099	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION - PBD 315	8,900	C

<u>Installation</u>	<u>Location</u>	DD 1390 Page No.
	<u> </u>	
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION,	BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA	147
STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY, PACIFIC,	BANGOR, WASHINGTON	187
	<u>C</u>	
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION,	CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	129
MARINE CORPS BASE	CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA	121
MARINE CORPS BASE,	CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	11
NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, WEAPONS DIV,	CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA	17
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION,	CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA	151
	<u>D</u>	
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CEN , DAHLGREN DIV,	DAHLGREN, VIRGINIA	161
NAVAL TACTICAL TRAINING GROUP,	DAM NECK, VIRGINIA	167
	<u>F</u>	
NAVAL OBSERVATORY STATION,	FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA	1
	<u>G</u>	
NAVAL ACTIVITIES,	GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS	199
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALN CENTER,	GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI	117
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER,	GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	101
	I	
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DIVISION	-	111
	<u>K</u>	
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION,	KANEOHE BAY, HAWAII	61
NAVAL AIR STATION,	KEY WEST, FLORIDA	55
	<u>L</u>	
NAVAL AIR STATION,	LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	23

 \mathbf{M}

Installation	Location	DD 1390 <u>Page No.</u>
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION,	MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	<u>1 age 140.</u> 37
	<u>N</u>	
FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER ANNEX,	NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	171
FLEET TRAINING CENTER,	NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	175
NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER,	NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	135
NAVAL STATION,	NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	179
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY,	NAPLES, ITALY	207
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER,	NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	141
	<u>P</u>	
FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER,	PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	67
MARCORPS RECRUIT DEPOT	PARRIS ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA	157
NAVAL SHIPYARD,	PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	71
NAVAL STATION,	PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	77
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE,	PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	83
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER,	PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	89
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD,	PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	183
	<u>S</u>	
JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS CENTER,	ST MAWGAN, UNITED KINGDOM	213
NAVAL FACILITY,	SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND, CALIFORNIA	41
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE,	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	45
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY,	SOUDA BAY, CRETE, GREECE	193
	<u>W</u>	
COMMANDANT, NAVAL DISTRICT,	WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	51
NAVAL COMMS AREA MASTER STATION, EASTPAC	WAHIAWA, HAWAII	97
	$\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$	
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION.	YUMA. ARIZONA	7

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, naval installations, facilities, and real property for the Navy as currently authorized by law, including personnel in the Naval Facilities Engineering Command and other personal services necessary for the purposes of this appropriation, [\$683,666,000] \$468,150,000 to remain available until September 30, [2002] 2003: Provided, that of this amount, not to exceed [\$46,489,000] \$58,346,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of Defense determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of his determination and the reasons therefor. In addition, for the foregoing purposes, \$13,500,000 to become available on October 1, 1999 and to remain available until September 30, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY FY 1999 BIENNIAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

SPECIAL PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

POLLUTION ABATEMENT:

The military construction projects in this program will be designed to meet environmental standards. The Military construction projects proposed are primarily for the abatement of existing pollution problems at Naval and Marine Corps installations and have been reviewed to ensure that corrective design is accomplished in accordance with specific standards and criteria.

ENERGY CONSERVATION:

The military construction projects proposed in this program will be designed for minimum energy consumption.

FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT AND WETLANDS PROTECTION:

Proposed land acquisition, disposals, and installation construction projects have been planned to allow the proper management of floodplains and the protection of wetlands by avoiding long and short-term adverse impacts, reducing the risk of flood losses, and minimizing the loss or degradation of wetlands. Project planning is in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order Numbers 11988 and 11990.

DESIGN FOR ACCESSIBILITY OF PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED PERSONNEL:

In accordance with Public Law 90-480, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

PRESERVATION OF HISTORICAL SITES AND STRUCTURES:

Facilities included in this program do not directly or indirectly affect a district, site, building, structure, object or setting listed in the National Register of Historic Places, except as noted on the DD Form 1391.

PLANNING IN THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION:

Projects located in the National Capital Region are submitted to the National Capital Planning Commission for budgetary review and comment as part of the commission's annual review of the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP). Construction projects within the District of Columbia, with the exception of the Bolling/Anacostia area, are submitted to the Commission for approval prior to the start of construction.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION:

In accordance with Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Public Law 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the military construction program.

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS:

Economics are an inherent aspect of project development and design of military construction projects. Therefore, all projects included in this program represent the most economical use of resources. Where alternatives can be evaluated, a primary economic analysis was prepared and the results indicated on the DD Form 1391.

CONSTRUCTION CRITERIA MANUAL:

Project designs conform to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

Page No. xxv

CONGRESSIONAL REPORT REQUIREMENTS

a. Unspecified Minor Construction

Reports: <u>HNSC SASC CASC Public Law HAC SAC CAC Public Law</u>

PAGE 842 105-85 18 9,30

The following is the language of the SAC:

The Navy has completed design for an addition to the enlisted dining facility at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard. This addition is urgently needed to accommodate the increase demand resulting from the construction of two new bachelor enlisted quarters. In addition to seating shortfalls, food space is seriously inadequate an there are no restroom facilities. Of the \$13,860,000 provided to the navy for minor construction, the Committee recommends that no less than \$1,500,000 be provided to complete this project. The Committee expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

b. Planning and Design

Reports:	<u>HNSC</u>	<u>SASC</u>	<u>CASC</u>	Public Law	<u>HAC</u>	SAC	<u>CAC</u>	Public Law
PAGE				105-85		18	9	105-45

The following is the language of the SAC:

Of the \$46,489,000 provided for planning and design within the "Military construction, Navy" account, the Committee directs that not less than \$437,000 to be made available for the design of a warfighting center at the Stennis Space Center, Mississippi. The Committee fully expects this contract to be awarded as early in fiscal year 1998 as practical.

c. California--Naval Ordnance Center, Pacific Division, Fallbrook Detachment

The Committee is concerned that the Navy has taken more than twenty years to dispose of the approximately 34,100 delivery canisters filled with napalm currently stored at the Naval Ordnance Center in Fallbrook, California. The Committee is aware that many of these canisters have been found to be leaking and pose a possible threat to the surrounding community. In March of 1996, the Navy announced an action memorandum for the removal of the napalm by the end of 1999. The Committee directs the Navy to make every effort possible to speed this process and to dispose of these canisters of napalm prior to the end of 1999. The Committee directs the Navy to report on its progress and its plan of work for the completion of this effort. HAC MILCON 105-150 pg 14

d. North Carolina--Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station

The 1993 Base Realignment and Closure Commission required the relocation of F/A-18 aircraft from Cecil Field Naval Air Station to Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station. The 1995 Commission changed the receiving site to Oceana Naval Air Station. The Committee understands that environmental impact considerations may preclude stationing at Oceana. The Committee directs the Navy to report regarding the current status of the relocation from Cecil Field, and urges the Navy to reconsider Cherry Point as an option for the receiving site if stationing at Oceana is not feasible. HAC MILCON 105-150 pg 15

e. F/A 18 Relocation

The Committee directs the Navy to conduct an independent study of the decision to relocate F/A 18 aircraft from Cecil Field Naval Air Station. This study shall provide a weighted comparison of the pros and cons of all scenarios in order to determine the optimal solution for relocation. The study shall cover all issues related to readiness, environmental, noise, and other applicable matters. SAC MILCON

f. Puerto Rico--Roosevelt Roads Naval Station

More than 40 years ago, the Navy acquired land abutting Roosevelt Roads Naval Station from the municipality of Ceiba, Puerto Rico. The Committee is concerned about reports that this land has never been utilized, and is aware of proposals by Ceiba to utilize this unused land. The Committee directs the Navy to report on the Navy's plans for taking appropriate cooperative actions for land utilization, including but not limited to agreements for increased access to beaches and to potable water supplies. HAC MILCON 105-150 pg 15

g. Child Development Centers

Testimony before the Committee indicates that the Navy planning system has validated a prioritized list of twenty child development center construction projects, at a total cost of \$53,456,000. The Committee is aware of the Navy's on-going efforts to privatize child development centers in fleet concentration areas in order to 'buy down' the child care requirement through civilian accredited child development centers. Under these arrangements, the service member will pay the same rate as they would pay at an on-base child development center, and the government would pay the contractor any difference in total cost. Criteria for civilian centers to participate in this program is national accreditation. The Navy is also conducting an A-76 Commercial Activities Study in the San Diego area in order to write a performance work statement, develop the government's most efficient organization on a regional basis, and determine if the private sector can effectively compete and meet the requirement at equal or better quality and availability, for equal or less cost to the government. The Committee supports and encourages these efforts to privatize child care, and directs the Navy to report to the Committee on the current status and future plan of work in this area. HAC MILCON 105-150 pg 15

- h. California--Twentynine Palms Marine Corps Air-Ground Combat Center: Traffic Safety
 Last year a young Marine was killed as he rode his bicycle to work at the Twentynine Palms Marine Corps AirGround Combat Center. The Committee directs the Marine Corps to investigate possible improvements in the
 safety of bicycle traffic to and from the Center, to work with the City of Twentynine Palms toward providing a
 bicycle path for commuters to the Center, and to report to the Committee on these efforts to improve traffic safety.
 HAC MILCON 105-150 pg 15
- i. <u>Co-Composting Facility, Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island</u>
 The HNSC notes the proposal by local municipalities in the vicinity of the Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island, to construct and operate a co-composting facility for joint use with the Department of the Navy on unimproved real property which would be conveyed to a local municipality by the Department for this purpose. The committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to conduct a study of the feasibility of joint use of such a facility, including an assessment of the economic benefit to the Department of the Navy and environmental considerations. The Secretary shall submit a report on the Department's findings, including any recommendations, to the congressional defense committees. HNSC 105-132 pg 454
- j. Inter-Departmental Land Transfer, Bellows Air Force Station, Hawaii
 The HNSC notes the proposed transfer of certain lands at Bellows Air Force Station, Hawaii, from the
 administrative jurisdiction of the Department of the Air Force to the jurisdiction of the Department of the Navy
 for use by the Marine Corps for training activities. The committee understands that both military departments are
 currently assessing the costs and liabilities expected to accrue to both the Air Force and the Navy in the operation
 of the training area. The committee urges the military departments to expedite this transfer. The committee directs
 the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary of the Navy to report jointly to the congressional defense
 committees on issues relating to the proposed transfer including, but not limited to, an assessment of the costs and
 liabilities of each of the military departments in the management and operation of the training area, environmental
 effects of the proposed use of the lands for training purposes, and a proposed date for the transfer of jurisdiction
 from the Air Force to the Navy. The secretaries shall submit their report to the congressional defense committees.
 HNSC 105-132 pg 456

k. Report on Land Use at NAS Brunswick, Maine

The SASC recognizes there are initiatives by Federal and local agencies for dual commercial and military use of Federal property. Dual-use initiatives can be beneficial for both the Federal Government and local municipalities by maximizing land use at existing military installations. The committee believes a dual-use opportunity is feasible at Naval Air Station, Brunswick, Maine, and directs the Secretary of the Navy to evaluate the feasibility for dual military-civilian use and/or conveyance of real property at the Navy Air Station, Maine. The evaluation will include the operational impacts, financial factors, environmental issues, real estate requirements, and budget impacts of dual use or conveyance. SASC 105-29 pg 378

NON-MILCON CONSTRUCTION:

The following is in response to the requirement on page 24 of the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Committee Report 100-200 and page 1006 of the FY 1988 Committee of Conference, House and Senate Appropriations Committees Report 100-498:

a. Operation and Maintenance, Navy*

Maintenance and Repair: \$849,200,000 Minor Construction: \$28,100,000

b. Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps*
Maintenance and Repair: \$312,000,000
Minor Construction: \$26,000,000

c. Research and Development, Navy: \$2,006,000

^{*} Maintenance and repair figures reflect project and recurring maintenance requirements totals.

1. Component NAVY		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	OGRAM		2. Date
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	on/UIC: NO	540A		4	4. Comman	d			2/6/98 5. Area Constr
NAVAL O FLAGSTA			ATION,			METEC	ANDER, OROLOGY OGRAPH			Cost Index 0.97
				I						
6. Personnel	O.CC	Permanen		O.CC.	Students	G: '1'	O.C.	Supported		
Strength a. As Of	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilia	an Total
09/30/97 b. End FY	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
2004	0	0	19	0 7 INX	0 VENTORY	0 DATA	0	0	0	19
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM	'HORIZA 'HORIZA 'HORIZA NNED I IAINING	TOTAL TION NO TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED I EXT THRI ENCY	N INVEN IN THI IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	AM NG PROG RS	GRAM			0 0 990 0 0 0
8. Projects Req				• • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••		
Category Code 218.45 9. Future Pro	OPTIC TO	<u>iect Title</u> INTERFI	EROMTR S	SUPPFA			<u>Scope</u> 447 M2	Cost (<u>\$000)</u> 990 990	<u>Sta</u>) 11/	Design Status urt <u>Complete</u> 96 01/98
		ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):						
	NONE	C		,						
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	kt Three Yea	ars:							
	NONE	_								
	•	ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000): \$0						
and pre Navy an precise data av Conduct	ne the cise to do othe positrailable relev	positi ime. P r compo ioning, e to ot	rovide a nents of and com her gove earch, a	astrono f the D mmand, ernment and per	omical a Departme control Lagenci	nd timint of I , and c es and	ng dat Defense Communi to the	s, motic a requir for nav cations. general tions as	red by vigati . Mak l publ	the on, te these ic.
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):						
a. Pollution	Abateme	nt (*): \$0								
b. Occupati	ional Safet	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#): \$0						

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: N0540A 4. Project Title						
NAVAL OBSERVATORY, FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA				OPTIC INTERFEROMETER SUPPORT FACILITY			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0305112	N	218.45		P-029 99		90	

9. COST ESTIMATES

3. COST ESTIMATE	100			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
OPTIC INTERFEROMETER FACILITY	M2	447	1,216.00	540
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	-	-	350
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(170)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(90)
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(90)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	890
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	-	40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	_	930
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	-	-	60
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	990
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Two-story, steel-frame building; concrete foundation; metal stud walls; metal roof; fire protection system; heating and ventilation; information systems; utilities; paving and site improvements; and special construction features including special HVAC equipment for clean room; special foundation for vibration isolation of optical tables; and, additional insulation to reduce heat waves.

11. Requirement: 447 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Constructs an optic interferometer support facility. (Current mission.) REQUIREMENT:

Provide a facility for astrometric data collection and analysis as part of the Optic Interferometer Program. Facility will provide space for support and maintenance staff and optics testing with computer support and preparation spaces. It also provides a data communication link to Fleet and other DoD units. The interferometer is used for the determination of precise positions of stellar reference sources to define an inertial reference frame for weapons navigational systems, including guided munitions. This technology is needed to maintain the target accuracies for the Trident and Peacekeeper ballistic missile systems, as well as for aircraft, ground based systems, and tactical weapons. These star positions are also needed to support space surveillance operations for precise geolocation of targets needed for operations.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Currently, astronomers cannot conduct data collection and analysis required to support space surveillance, targeting, and navigational systems. Astronomers are currently working at the U.S. Observatory in Washington, DC on data which is collected from the interferometer located at Flagstaff, Arizona. The existing interferometer was completed in 1996 as a prototype to test the technology. The prototype proved so successful that a follow-

(Continued On DD 1391C...)

1. Component	EV 1000 MILITADV CONCEDICEION DDOCDAM	2. Date						
NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2/6/98						
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N0540A	·						
NAVAL OBS	ERVATORY, FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA							
4. Project Title		7. Project Number						
OPTIC INTERFEROMETER SUPPORT FACILITY P-029								
(continued)								
conversion required f only month of the fac	rometer originally planned is not required, thereby of the prototype. Support and maintenance faciliti or operational support Lack of support facilities a ly maintenance, calibration and data retrieval. Upor ility, twelve astronomers will be transferred to thi or full time maintenance and analysis.	es are llows for n completion						
IMPACT IF	IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:							
and suppor will not b	enefit from the success of the instrument on a full and tactical systems will not be provided with state	avy and DoD time basis.						
12. Supplemental D	Data:							
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 1 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)							
(1) Status: (A) Date Design Started								
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:							
(A)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications	(60) (30)						

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: ${\tt NONE}$.

(C) Total.....

(D) Contract.....

(E) In-House....

Installation POC: Conard Dahn, Phone: (520) 779-5132

90 (80)

(10)

1. Component		FV 10	99 MILI	TARV	CONST	RUCTIO	N PRO	CRAM	2	2. Date		
NAVY		1117	// WIII/I	IAKI	CONST	KOCIK	JIV I KO	OKAM		2/6	/98	
3. Installation as	nd Locatio	on/UIC: M6	2974			4. Comman	d			5. Ar		
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, COMMANDANT C							OF THE Constr C					
YUMA, ARIZONA MARINE CORPS							S					
									1.	05		
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported				
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilia	n	Total	
a. As Of 09/30/97	62	827	317	89	45	0	424	4,023	728	6	,515	
b. End FY		02.			10							
2004	51	499	366	120	60	0	519	3,621	728	5	,964	
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA						
a. TOT	AL ACR	EAGE		(462,	616)							
b. INV	ENTORY	TOTAL	AS OF 3	0 SEP 1	997				194	,770		
			T YET II QUESTED						11	0 ,010		
			CLUDED							,196		
			EXT THR							,991		
J .	_	_	ENCY							,630 ,597		
8. Projects Req	uestea in	i nis Prograi	n:					C	Б		.4	
Category Code	Proi	ject Title					Scope	Cost (\$000)		esign St t Con	atus iplete	
721.11							11,010		97 06,	_		
	~					·						
TOTAL 11,010												
9. Future Pro	-		(EX. 0	000								
		_	gram (FY 2	000):				000				
740.43 911.10		SS CTR . ACQUISI						892 14,700			-	
421.22			AREA (I	PH I)				7,604		-	-	
	TO	TAL						23,196				
b. Major Pl			arc.					23,190				
116.35			ns. TA/CL(DADTNG	∆ DR ∩N			9,991	_	_	_	
110.55	1102	COMDA	т А/С Ц	JADING	ALKON							
TOTAL						9,991						
c. Real Pro	perty Mair	ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000): \$46,	218							
10. Mission On	•											
								o suppor		or		
operating elements of a Marine Aircraft Wing, including aircraft maintenance, air-traffic control, and aviation ordnance handling.												
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):								
a. Pollution	Abateme	nt (*): \$C)									
b. Occupati	ional Safet	y And Heal	th (OSH) (#): \$0								
r		•	, , , , , , , , ,									

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Lo						
MARINE CO YUMA, ARI		STATION,	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0206496	δM	721.11		P-415	11,	010

9. COST ESTIMATES

>V 0001 2011/111				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	m2	6,715	1,377.00	9,250
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	_	650
UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(230)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	_	(270)
DEMOLITION	LS	_	_	(150)
SUBTOTAL	_	_	_	9,900
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	500
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	10,400
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	610
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	11,010
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Three-story concrete frame building, masonry walls, concrete foundation and floors, sloped metal roof, air conditioning, fire protection system, 158 two-person rooms with private bath and built-in closets; freight elevator, CATV and telephone communications cabling, technical operating manuals, utilities, paving, site improvements, and demolition of two buildings. Grade Mix: 178 E1-E3; 69 E4-E5. Total: 247. Maximum Utilization: 316 E1-E3.

11. Requirement: 1,766 PN Adequate: 541 PN Substandard: (551) PN.

PROJECT:

Provides adequate billeting for 247 personnel using the "2x0" standard. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and modern bachelor quarters which meet quality of life standards for enlisted personnel.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Personnel are housed in inadequate, 40-year-old, wooden barracks with gang heads and showers. These buildings are not in compliance with current life, safety, fire, and seismic requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Personnel will continue to be housed in inadequate and unsafe buildings, and endure a lower quality of life to the detriment of morale and retention efforts

(Continued On DD 1391C...)

tion/UIC: M62974 PS AIR STATION, YUMA, ARIZONA	2/6/98
D THE BITTION, TOTAL, THEELOWIT	
	7. Project Number
H TOWER OUNDWERD	-
LISTED QUARTERS	P-415
ı:	
mated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been ject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 1 00, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
Date Design Started	06/97
Standard or Definitive Design: YES	
Production of Plans and Specifications	(590) (410) 1,000 (890) (110)
struction Start	12/98
associated with this project which will be providens: NONE.	ed from other
perty Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 9,300	
equirements for unaccompanied housing at this	
	pect costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 20, Facility Planning and Design guide) Tus: Date Design Started

Installation POC: Cdr William Gray, Phone: (520) 341-2051

1. Component									2. D	ate	
_	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							OGRAM	2/6/98		
3. Installation as	nd Location	on/UIC: M0	0681			4. Comman	nd			2/6/98 5. Area	
								^¬ ¬¬¬		Constr Cost	
MARINE CAMP PE			FORNTA				ANDANT NE CORP			Index	
<u> </u>		IN , C	. 01(14111			<u> </u>				1.10	
				_	<u></u>						
l											
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total	
a. As Of 09/30/97	286	1,066	1,542	69	5,477	0	2,213	29,019	3,908	43,580	
b. End FY	200	1,000					2,213		3,700		
2004	166	1,090	1,566	147	6,678	0	2,542	30,778	3,867	46,834	
				7. INV	VENTORY	DATA					
a. TOT	'AL ACR	EAGE		(186,	,061)	<u> </u>					
b. INV	ENTORY	TOTAL	AS OF 3	0 SEP 1	1997			985,6	35,610		
	-		T YET II QUESTED						28,2	0 4 0	
			CLUDED :						2,3		
			EXT THRI						61,6		
			ENCY						444,4 1,522,1		
							• • • • • • •		- ,,-		
8. Projects Req	uesteu m	This Prograi	n:					Cost	Dasia	gn Status	
Category Code	Pro	ject Title					Scope	(\$000)		Complete	
721.11 BACHELOR ENLISTED QTRS						6,	800 m2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
721.11	BEQ						500 m2		03/97		
	ТО	TAL						28,240			
9. Future Pro		1111						20,			
		ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):							
131.15		RATED C	•	-,-				2,300	_	_	
- Maior Di		TAL						2,300			
b. Major Pl 214.51		xt Three Ye	ars: VEHICLE	አ ለን ተእተጥ	E 7 C			8,358			
740.43			VEHICLE SS CENTE					5,000	_	_	
111.10	FY03	- HELO	OUTLINE	LAND F				15,484	_	-	
171.10 721.11			NCO ACA LOR ENLI		א מייים א זוז	1		14,000 15,700		_	
116.55			ITION HA)		3,100	_	_	
	шо	m > T									
c Real Pro		TAL ntenance Ra	cklog (\$000)	n. ¢118	385			61,642			
10. Mission Or	•		CKIOG (\$000)	<u>). ŞIIO</u>	, 303						
			ining f	aciliti	ies. loc	ristica ¹	סממנוצ ו	rt, and	certain		
adminis	trativ	re suppo	rt for 1	Fleet M	Marine E	Force un	nits an	d other	units		
								ing as d			
								erseas a vities a			
11. Outstanding						12021		4		1	
		nt (*): \$0		<i>ω</i> (ψοσο <i>)</i> .							
). do							
b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0											

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: M00681			4. Project Title		
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA				BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	roject Number 8. Project Cost (\$0		00)	
0206496	M	721.11		P-024	12,	400

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	m2	6,800	-	10,390
BUILDING	m2	6,800	1,513.00	(10,290)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	_	_	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	750
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	_	(270)
UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(270)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	_	(210)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	11,140
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	_	560
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	_	11,700
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	-	_	700
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	_	12,400
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Multi-story reinforced concrete masonry building, concrete floors, pile foundation, 160 2x0 rooms, semi-private bath and built-in closets; service elevator, metal roofing, electrical, mechanical and plumbing systems, energy-saving electronic monitors, fire alarm and protection systems, recreation facilities/courts/ fields, CATV and telephone communications cabling, technical operating manuals, utilities, paving, and site improvements. Intended Grade Mix: 192 E1-E3; 64 E4-E5. Total 256. Maximum Utilization: 320 E1-E3.

11. Requirement: <u>14,029 PN</u> Adequate: <u>9,944 PN</u> Substandard: <u>(842) PN</u>.

PROJECT:

Constructs bachelor enlisted quarters to the "2x0" standard for permanent party personnel. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate housing that meets current quality of life standards.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Marines are housed in inadequate, open-bay, facilities constructed in the 1940's and 50's. These facilities are grossly lacking in quality of life requirements and are fire, safety, and seismically non-compliant.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, personnel will continue to be billeted in inadequate and unsafe buildings, and endure unnecessary quality of life hardships to the detriment of morale and retention efforts.

MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
### Project Number BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS (continued) 12. Supplemental Data: A.	3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: M00681	
### Continued Ccontinued	MARINE CC	RPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	
(continued) 12. Supplemental Data: A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide) (1) Status: (A) Date Design Started	4. Project Title		7. Project Number
12. Supplemental Data: A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide) (1) Status: (A) Date Design Started	BACHELOR	ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-024
A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide) (1) Status: (A) Date Design Started	(continued)		
develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide) (1) Status: (A) Date Design Started	12. Supplemental I	Data:	
(A) Date Design Started	develop pr	oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of N	
(A) Standard or Definitive Design: YES (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: stndrd 2x0 (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): (A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(A) (B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started	06/97 06/98 40%
(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(A)	Standard or Definitive Design: YES	
B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.C. Real Property Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 33,900D. Future requirements for unaccompanied housing at this	(A) (B) (C) (D)	Production of Plans and Specifications	(350) 1,040 (920)
appropriations: NONE. C. Real Property Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 33,900 D. Future requirements for unaccompanied housing at this	(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
D. Future requirements for unaccompanied housing at this			ed from other
	C. Real Pr	operty Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 33,900	

Installation POC: Cdr Mark Sarles, Phone: (619) 725-5641

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M00681				4. Project Title		
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA				BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		
5. Program Element	nent 6. Category Code 7. F			. Project Number 8. Project C		00)
0206496	M	721.11		P-999	15,	840

7. COST ESTIVITY			1	
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	m2	8,500	-	12,710
BUILDING	m2	8,500	1,475.00	(12,540)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(100)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(70)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,520
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	_	(280)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(650)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(590)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	14,230
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	_	-	710
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	-	-	14,940
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	_	-	900
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	15,840
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Multi-story reinforced concrete masonry building, concrete floors, pile foundation; 200 two-person rooms with semi-private baths and built-in closets; service elevator, metal roofing, electrical, mechanical and plumbing systems, energy-saving electronic monitors, fire alarm and protection systems, utilities, recreation facilities/courts/fields, paved walks, parking and roadway, access, CATV and telephone communications cabling, technical operating manuals, paving, and site improvements. Intended Grade Mix: 251 E1-E3; 75 E4-E5. Total: 326. Maximum Utilization: 400 E1-E3.

11. Requirement: <u>14,029 PN</u> Adequate: <u>9,944 PN</u> Substandard: <u>(842) PN</u>.

PROJECT:

Constructs bachelor enlisted quarters to the "2x0" standard for 326 enlisted personnel. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate housing that meets current quality of life standards.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Personnel are housed in inadequate, 40-year old facilities with gang heads and showers. These buildings are not in compliance with current life, safety, fire and seismic/structural requirements, and are inadequate.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Personnel will continue to be housed in inadequate and unsafe buildings, and endure unnecessary quality of life hardships to the detriment of morale and retention efforts.

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
	ocation/UIC: M00681	
MARINE CO	RPS BASE, CAMP PENDLETON, CALIFORNIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
BACHELOR	ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-999
(continued)		
12. Supplemental Γ	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997. Percent Complete As Of January 1998.	06/97 06/98
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: YES Where Design Was Most Recently Used: stndrd 2x0	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	Ptal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(960) (480) 1,440 (1,280) (160)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
B. Equipme appropriat	ent associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other
C. Real Pr	operty Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 33,900	
	requirements for unaccompanied housing at this on: 10198 PN	

Installation POC: Cdr Mark Sarles, Phone: (619) 725-5641

1. Component NAVY		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	- RUCTI(ON PRO	GRAM	2. D	
3. Installation a	nd Locatic	n/IIIC: N6	0530			4. Comman	ıd			2/6/98 5. Area
								T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		Constr Cost
		FARE CEI ALIFORNI	NTER, WE TA	LAPONS .	DIV,	COMMA	LAIRS' AND	YSTEMS]	Index
C1111V11	AKE, C.	ALLI OIG.								1.29
6. Personnel		Permanen	t	T	Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of										
09/30/97 b. End FY	91	644	3,711	0	0	0	10	30	0	4,486
2004	138	691	4,044	0	0	0	10	30	0	4,913
	<u> </u>			7. INV	ENTORY	DATA		<u> </u>		
b. INV	א קי ד מרעזיר	TITO TITO								0
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA	THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAM ING PROG ARS	GRAM		3,24 46,55 144,66 558,9	0 30 40
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAM ING PROG ARS	GRAM	••••	46,5 144,6 558,9	0 30 40 00
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Juested In	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI AL	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAM ING PROG ARS	GRAM	Cost	46,5 144,6 558,9 Design	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT [uested In T	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY m:	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAMING PROG	GRAM	Cost (\$000)	46,5 144,6 558,9 Design	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT [uested In 7] Proj MISSI	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI AL This Program	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY m:	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAMING PROG	Scope	Cost (\$000)	46,5 144,6 558,9 Designate Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In T Proj MISSI:	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI AL This Programed in the MAGAS	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY m:	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAMING PROG	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,240	46,5 144,6 558,9 Designate Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI TO' pjects:	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI TAL CHARLES DEFICI THIS Program Exact Title LE MAGA! TAL	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY m:	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAMING PROG	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,240	46,5 144,6 558,9 Designate Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI TO' pjects:	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI TAL This Program Sect Title LE MAGA!	QUESTED ICLUDED IEXT THRI ENCY m:	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAMING PROG	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,240	46,5 144,6 558,9 Designate Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72 9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI TO' ojects: In The Fo NONE lanned Nex	TION RETUIN IN THE NETUIN THE NETUIN THE NETUIN THIS PROGRAM TAL SHOWING PROCESS OF THE NETUIN THE	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY m: ZINE ogram (FY 20 ars:	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	IS PROGE FOLLOWI	RAMING PROG	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,240	46,5 144,6 558,9 Designate Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72 9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl 371.12	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI TO' ojects: In The Fo NONE lanned Nex FY01	TION RETURN IN THE NETURN THE NETURN THE NETURN THIS PROGRAM TAL STAL STAL STAL STAL STAL AND THE NETURN	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY m: ZINE ogram (FY 20 ars: LE ORDN	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	S PROGE FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAMING PROG	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,2403,240	46,5 144,6 558,9 Desig Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72 9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl 371.12 141.70	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI TO' ojects: In The Fo NONE lanned Nex FY01 FY01	TION RETURN IN THE NET DEFICIENT THIS Programment of the Magazina TAL TAL THE MISSING AUTOMATICAL THE	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY m: ZINE gram (FY 2) ars: LE ORDN AFFIC CO	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	FAC TOWER	RAMING PROG	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,2403,240 5,100 4,000	46,5 144,6 558,9 Desig Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72 9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl 371.12 141.70 321.10 318.15	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI In The Fo NONE lanned Nex FY01 FY01 FY03 FY01	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI TAL This Program DEFICE TAL TAL DIOWING PRO TAL AIRTRA SURV I PROPUL	QUESTED ICLUDED IEXT THRI ENCY m: ZINE ars: LE ORDN AFFIC CC LIVE FIF LSION FU	IN THI IN THE EE PROG 0000): RANGE ONTROL RE TEST UEL LAB	FAC TOWER TOWER TOWER REPL	RAM ING PROG ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,2403,240 5,100 4,000 12,100 23,000	46,5 144,6 558,9 Desig Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72 9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl 371.12 141.70 321.10	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI In The Fo NONE lanned Nex FY01 FY01 FY03 FY01	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI TAL This Program DEFICE TAL TAL DIOWING PRO TAL AIRTRA SURV I PROPUL	QUESTED ICLUDED IEXT THRI ENCY m: ZINE gram (FY 2) ars: LE ORDN AFFIC COLIVE FIF	IN THI IN THE EE PROG 0000): RANGE ONTROL RE TEST UEL LAB	FAC TOWER TOWER TOWER REPL	RAM ING PROG ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,2403,240 5,100 4,000 12,100	46,5 144,6 558,9 Desig Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72 9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl 371.12 141.70 321.10 318.15	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT quested In T Proj MISSI In The Fo NONE lanned Nex FY01 FY01 FY03 FY01 FY02	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI TAL This Program DEFICE THE LE MAGA TAL DIOWING PRO TAL AIRTR SURV PROPU	QUESTED ICLUDED IEXT THRI ENCY m: ZINE ars: LE ORDN AFFIC CC LIVE FIF LSION FU	IN THI IN THE EE PROG 0000): RANGE ONTROL RE TEST UEL LAB	FAC TOWER TOWER TOWER REPL	RAM ING PROG ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,2403,240 5,100 4,000 12,100 23,000 2,330	46,5 144,6 558,9 Designosis Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 421.72 9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl 371.12 141.70 321.10 318.15 390.18	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Juested In Tot Ojects: In The Fo NONE lanned Nex FY01 FY01 FY03 FY01 FY02	TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI TAL This Program TAL DIOWING Pro Rt Three Yea MISSI AIRTR PROPUT MISSI TAL TAL	QUESTED ICLUDED IEXT THRI ENCY m: ZINE ars: LE ORDN AFFIC CC LIVE FIF LSION FU	IN THI IN THE EE PROG 0000): RANGE ONTROL RE TEST JEL LAB R TEST	FAC TOWER SEVAL FAC UPG	RAM ING PROG ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 3,2403,240 5,100 4,000 12,100 23,000 2,330	46,5 144,6 558,9 Designosis Start 01/97	0 3 0 4 0 0 0 gn Status Complete

Principal Navy RDT&E center for air warfare and missile weapons systems. Maintains the primary in-house research and development capability for systems, subsystems and technologies included but not limited to strike aircraft/weapons systems and concept development; air launched weapons and associated avionics systems including aircraft guns and ammunition, guided and unguided weapons, aircraft weapons control and aircraft/weapons interface, tactical missiles; subsystems for weapons systems which include propulsion, guidance and control, warheads, fuel and launchers; strike warfare countermeasures; weather modification; and parachute test and evaluation.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
 - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
 - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: N60530			4. Project Title		
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER, CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA				MISSILE MAGAZINE		
5. Program Element	6. Category Code 7. Proje			oject Number 8. Project Cost (\$0		00)
0605001	N	421.72		P-229	3,2	240

7, 0001 2011.III				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
MISSILE MAGAZINE	M2	1,140	-	2,350
HIGH PERFORMANCE MAGAZINE	M2	1,140	2,017.00	(2,300)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(50)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	-	560
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(170)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	-	(300)
DEMOLITION	LS	_	-	(90)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	-	2,910
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	-	150
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	-	3,060
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	_	-	180
TOTAL REQUEST	-	_	-	3,240
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

High performance magazine of reinforced concrete floor, earthern bermed lightweight concrete walls; rigid metal frame and roof over storage bays and loading dock; environmentally controlled systems and Seismic IV construction; bridge cranes, security fencing, paving, and site improvements, technical operating manuals, and demolition of three magazines.

11. Requirement: 1,140 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Construct high-performance missile magazine. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate storage facilities required by this activity's mission for the storage of large missiles and missile motors on a ready for use basis. Due to the size and weight of the missiles, handling procedures must include fork lift access both inside and outside the magazines. Modern containerization, palletization and handling require forklift or crane handling and stacking of large missiles in magazines. The magazines will support Fleet and R&D programs. This support extends to a fleet test squadron, VX-9; the Weapons Test Squadron (WTS); numerous tactical fleet squadrons; fleet problem resolution and retrofit programs; Joint Service exercises; various Foreign Missile Exploitation (FME) and Foreign Military Sales (FMS) programs. They are also used by programs that utilize the activity's test tracks and ranges. The magazines will provide adequate storage for modern weapons and surplus, modified missiles used for testing, including Harpoon, SLAM, Tomahawk, Sergeant, Nike, FME, and HARM, that cannot be accommodated in existing facilities.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The activity's magazines are 1940's vintage, obsolete for storage of large

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY	FI 1999 WILLIAM CONSTRUCTION I ROCKAM	2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N60530	
NAVAL WEA	PONS CENTER, CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
MISSILE M	AGAZINE	P-229

(...continued)

missiles and are showing major signs of deterioration due to rusting of their steel plate arch. The most severe rusting caused the abandonment of three magazines included for demolition under this project and a fourth that failed structurally. All four magazines showing structural failure have been taken out of service. Obsolescence, including magazine access and the arched roof, limits handling to hand operated lifts and missile storage to a marginally safe operation. Missiles that are 3'x3'x13' to 20' long, weighing between 3,000 and 8,000 pounds do not fit. There is only one magazine considered adequate for large missile storage, and it is being utilized by the TRIDENT program. Open storage is not an alternative because of security and climate extremes in the desert.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The need for adequate large missile storage will continue as standoff weapons are used to keep launch platforms out of the battlefield environment. If not provided, the Station will have to lease Army storage space, if made available, at Hawthorne, Nevada and truck missiles for a 560 mile round trip for an annual cost of \$500K in order to meet ready for use requirements.

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

/1\ C+a+	
(1) Status	•

(A) Date Design	Started	01/97
(B) Date Design	35% Complete	09/97
(C) Date Design	Complete	08/98
(D) Percent Comp	plete As Of September 1997	35%
(E) Percent Com	olete As Of January 1998	50%

(2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: stndrd
- (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(200)
(B) All Other Design Costs	(100)
(C) Total	
(D) Contract	(260)
(E) In-House	(40)

- B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: ${\tt NONE}$.

Installation POC: Capt William Ostag, Phone: (619) 939-2211

										2 D	
Component NAVY		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	OGRAM		2. Date	
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	SS/I HC+ N6	2012			4. Comman	.A				2/6/98 5. Area
			304∠			4. Comman	ia				Constr Cost
NAVAL A								N CHIEF,	. [ndex
LEMOORE	, CALI	FORNIA				PACTI	FIC FLE	E.T.			1.17
											⊥.⊥/
C D-monnol		Permanen	f		Students			Supported			
6. Personnel Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civil	ian	Total
a. As Of											
09/30/97	637	3,941	926	2	184	0	44	105	0		5,839
b. End FY 2004	785	4,697	984	0	0	0	44	105	0		6,615
				7. INV	VENTORY	DATA					
a. TOI	AL ACR	FACE		(39,1	173)						
b. INV	ENTORY	TOTAL	AS OF 30	0 SEP 1	1997				20	4,60	0.0
			T YET IN						2	٠ <i>د</i>	0
			QUESTED CLUDED							0,64 3,71	
f. PLA	NNED I	N THE N	EXT THRE	EE PROG	GRAM YE	ARS			8	1,63	30
J .	_	_	ENCY							0,5! 1,1 :	
					• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •	••••	30.		30
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Prograi	n:					a .			
Category <u>Code</u>	Pro	ject Title					Scope	Cost (\$000)			gn Status Complete
421.72	-		MBLY FAC	TMDRV	7	2	437 m2				09/97
211.08		AMES FA		` T1,1E1/A	,		230 M2				06/98
171.20			ILITY AD	DDN		2,	230 M2	4,270	06,	/97	06/98
211.05	HANGA	R RENOV.	ATIONS			10,	815 M2	5,430	06,	/97	06/98
	TO	TAL						20,640			
9. Future Pro	jects:										
a. Included	In The Fo	ollowing Pro	gram (FY 20	000):							
116.35			LOADING					11,600		-	-
171.20 211.21			GHTER WE GNE MAIN					3,810 2,400		-	_
211.51			GNE MAIN MAMENTS		,			5,900		-	_
I M ' DI	_	TAL						23,710			
-		xt Three Yea		מכת א חד	т			Г 100			
740.43 730.81			SS CENTE CAAC (F/					5,100 2,630		_	_
740.55			CENTER					1,900		_	_
740.74			DEVELOR		ENTER			2,400		_	-
724.11 721.12		- BOQ ()	F/A-18E/	F)				3,900 16,200		_	_
721.12			E1-E4(F/	/A-18E/	F))			28,000		_	_
721.11	FY01							21,500		-	-
	ТО	TAL						81,630			
c. Real Pro	perty Main	ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000)): \$88,	190			•			
10. Mission On											
								nd mater			
								ic Fleet			
base CI	osure	عی, till	s base v	rion ⊢- ∧⊤⊤⊤ D∈	: the T	Juleport	TOT. 9T	l Pacifi	F1	eel	r-14

and E-2C squadrons; in addition to the F/A-18 squadrons based there today. Fleet Light Attack (F/A-18) Squadrons Replacement Training Squadron

1. Component			2. Date
NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N63042	4. Command	5. Area Constr Cost
NAVAL AIR		COMMANDER IN CHIEF,	Index
LEMOORE, C	ALIFORNIA	PACIFIC FLEET	1.17
(continued)			
11. Outstanding Poll	ution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):		
a. Pollution Aba	tement (*): \$0		
b. Occupational	Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0		

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. Date 2/6/						
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N63042				4. Project Title			
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA				TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (8. Project Cost (\$00	00)	
0204696	N	171.20	P-185		4,2	270	

3. COST ESTIMATE	10			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION	M2	2,230	1,382.00	3,080
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	_	760
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	_	(160)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(220)
MECHANICAL UTILITES	LS	_	_	(220)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	_	(160)
SUBTOTAL	-		-	3,840
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	-	190
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	-	4,030
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	_	-	240
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-	-	4,270
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(39,874)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story, high-bay building addition; classrooms and administrative area for six maintenance trainers; heating, ventilating, laboratory environmental controls including work station vacuum, air circulation and filtration, air conditioning systems; improvements to the existing electrical and mechanical utilities; Seismic Zone 4 construction and fire protection criteria; connection to existing utilities and computer network system.

11. Requirement: 6,448 M2 Adequate: 4,218 M2 Substandard: (4,779) M2.

PROJECT:

Constructs an addition to the Naval Air Maintenance Training (NAMTRA) building for the NAMTRA Detachment to accommodate the increased training requirement associated with the introduction of the F/A-18 E/F aircraft. It will also reallocate spaces to accommodate F/A-18 E/F Environmental Controls Systems trainer and Landing Gear/Hydraulic Systems trainer. (New mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to provide space and utilities for a set of six additional trainers and 12 associated classrooms for organizational level maintenance training, trainers, and classrooms for intermediate level training, naval air operations and maintenance training hangar, and testing spaces. Introduction of the F/A-18E/F aircraft requires an expansion and modification of NAMTRADET facilities at Lemoore.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing NAMTRA facilities are fully utilized in support of the F/A-18 aircraft community now based at Lemoore. Space deficiencies exist for the following trainer functions: avionics, fuel systems, flight controls, "I" level engine room, and 12 classrooms. In the latter part of 1999, the Navy plans to begin replacing older aircraft (F-14s) currently operating in the

1. Component 2. Date FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2/6/98 NAVY 3. Installation and Location/UIC: N63042 NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA 4. Project Title 7. Project Number TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION P-185 (...continued)

fleet from both land bases and aircraft carriers throughout the world with one new F/A-18 E/F (Super Hornet) aircraft. NAS Lemoore will accommodate four additional fleet squadrons and one fleet replacement squadron of F/A-18 E/F aircraft between 1999 and 2003. Currently, NAMTRADET provides organizational and intermediate level aircraft maintenance instruction for ten fleet F/A-18 A/D squadrons and one fleet replacement squadron. Instruction by NAMTRADET is also provided to foreign military personnel and other squadrons throughout the Pacific region. The existing facility does not contain sufficient resources to accommodate the specialized training required to support instruction on the F/A-18 E/F aircraft. Instructor support spaces are allocated based on the number of instructors (50). Student support spaces are allocated based on an average on-board student loading of 142. The administrative space requirement will be incorporated into the existing NAMTRADET staff.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The F/A-18 E/F aircraft scheduled for introduction beginning in late FY 1999 represent a total new weapon system investment of over \$3 billion. Without this project, adequate space will not be avilable to support the additional 30 instructors and 900 students resulting from the introduction of the F/A-18 E/F. Efficient support of aircraft maintenance will not be achieved.

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1)	Status	:
-----	--------	---

(A)	Date Design	Started			 06/97
(B)	Date Design	35% Complet	te		 09/97
(C)	Date Design	Complete			 06/98
(D)	Percent Comp	olete As Of	Septembe	er 1997	 35%
(E)	Percent Comp	olete As Of	January	1998	 50%

(2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:

(3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(260)
(B) All Other Design Costs	(130)
(C) Total	390
(D) Contract	(350)
(E) In-House	(40)

- B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations:

Fiscal Year Equipment Procuring Appropriated Cost (\$000) Nomenclature Appropriation Or Requested

Installation POC: Cdr Paul McMahon, Phone: (209) 998-4091

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTIO	N PROGRAM	I	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N63042				'
NAVAL AIR	STATION, LEMOORE, CAL	IFORNIA			
4. Project Title				7.]	Project Number
TRAINING	FACILITY ADDITION				P-185
(continued)					
MAINTENA	NCE TRAINING SYSTEM	APN	1997	39	
l			TOTAL	39	,874
l					

Installation POC: Cdr Paul McMahon, Phone: (209) 998-4091

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N63042 4. Project Title				4. Project Title			
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA				HANGAR RENOVATIONS			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (\$00		00)	
0204696	N	211.05		P-195 5,		130	

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
HANGAR RENOVATIONS	M2	10,815	425.00	4,600
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	-	280
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	-	(80)
UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(100)
PAVING, SITE IMPRS, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(100)
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_ _	- -	- -	4,880 240
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	_	_	5,120
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	310
TOTAL REQUEST EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	- -	- -	- (NON-ADD)	5,430

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Modify and renovate hangar shops, administrative spaces; modify hangar deck from a five module configuration to four; restroom expansion; sensitive compartmented information facility (SCIF) construction; fire protection system, heating, ventilating and electrical utilities systems upgrades; paint both interior and exterior, repair roofs and upgrade the adjacent aircraft parking apron (re-striping), and demolition.

11. Requirement: <u>10,815 M2</u> Adequate: <u>0 M2</u> Substandard: <u>(0) M2.</u>

PROJECT:

Rehabilitate and modernize Hangar 4 to accommodate four new F/A-18 "F" type fleet squadrons. (New mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to accommodate the introduction of F/A-18 E/F aircraft (replacement for the F-14) at this station. The first of four F/A-18 E/F squadrons will be based at Lemoore by November 1999. Hangar 4 was originally constructed to support A4 aircraft and requires modification to support the new F/A-18 E/F squadrons. Also required is SCIF space to support F/A-18 E/F flight operations for briefing and debriefing training flight crews.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Hangar 4 has been vacated for over four years. Hangar bay, maintenance, and administrative spaces are in disrepair, and require complete modification to accommodate the new squadrons. Hangar modules are not properly configured to support F/A-18 squadrons.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the squadron's ability to perform its mission would be adversely impacted. Efficient support of aircraft operations and maintenance will not be achieved. Existing hangar configurations will not support F/A-18 E/F operations.

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY		2/6/98
	ocation/UIC: N63042	
	STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	T - 5
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
HANGAR RE	NOVATIONS	P-195
(continued)		
12. Supplemental D	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D) (E) (2) Ba (A)	Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998 sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO	09/97
(3) To (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used: tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications	
B. Equipme appropriat	ent associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Cdr Paul McMahon, Phone: (209) 998-4091

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98						
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N63042 4. Project Title								
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA				AIRFRAMES FACILITY MODIFICATIONS				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)			
0204696	N	211.08	P-183		1,510			

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
AIRFRAMES FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	M2	2,230	506.00	1,130
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	-	-	220
UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(220)
SUBTOTAL	_	-	-	1,350
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	-	70
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	_	1,420
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	_	90
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-	-	1,510
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Renovates and modifies a single story steel-frame and concrete masonry unit building; upgrade airframe shops layouts; provide shop space for tire and wheel, non-destructive inspection, fiberglass repair, hydraulics and pnuematics systems, structures, machine, welding, cleaning and painting; heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning, electrical and mechanical utilities, and fire protection systems; seismic zone 4 construction.

11. Requirement: 2,230 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Modify the existing shop layouts and upgrade the equipment of the existing facility to accommodate maintenance of the new F/A-18 E/F aircraft airframe system parts and components. (New mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities to provide intermediate maintenance services for the existing F/A-18 aircraft currently stationed at NAS Lemoore and accommodate the introduction of the F/A-18E aircraft at this station beginning November 1999. The shops that need expansion and/or additional spaces are: tire and wheel, nondestructive inspection, fiberglass inspection and repair, hydraulics and pneumatics, structures, machine, cleaning, painting and welding. The existing airframes shops provide the space, utilities, and equipment required to disassemble, clean, repair, modify, assemble, and test airframe systems parts and components to the current F/A-18 aircraft. There is no excess capacity available to provide the services for the F/A-18 E/F aircraft as they arrive. Planned loading is 258 F/A-18(A-F) aircraft. Administrative support space in this facility is required for 18 personnel.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facility is fully utilized in support of the F/A-18 aircraft now based at Lemoore. In 1999, the Navy plans to begin the replacement of older aircraft currently operating in the Navy Fleet with the new F/A-18 E/F aircraft (Super Hornets). It is anticipated that NAS Lemoore will receive four standard fleet squadrons and one fleet replacement squadron of F/A-18 E/F aircraft between 1999 and 2003. NAS Lemoore currently provides

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date			
NAVY		2/6/98			
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N63042				
NAVAL AIR	STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA				
4. Project Title		7. Project Number			
AIRFRAMES	FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	P-183			
(continued)					
replacemen within Bld accommodat inherent i	ntermediate maintenance for 10 Fleet squadrons and of squadron of $F/A-18$ A/D variants in the airframes sig. 188. The total airframes shop space is not confige the upcoming changes in airframes system parts and not new $F/A-18$ E/F aircraft. Modifications and upity will allow it to meet the requirements for the notes.	hop located ured to components grades to			
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:				
	is project, the Navy will not be able to provide effircraft maintenance for the new $F/A-18\ E/F$ aircraft.	icient and			
12. Supplemental D	Data:				
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 1 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)				
(1) Status: (A) Date Design Started					
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:				
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(90) (50) 140 (120) (20)			
(4) Co	nstruction Start	10/98			
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provid ions: NONE.	ed from other			

Installation POC: Cdr Paul McMahon, Phone: (209) 998-4091

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98						
3. Installation and Lo	ation and Location/UIC: N63042 4. Project Title							
NAVAL AIR STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA				WEAPONS ASSEMBLY FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)			
0204696	N	421.72	P-105B		9,4	130		

7. COST ESTIMATES						
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)		
WEAPONS ASSEMBLY FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	m2	2,437		3,620		
BUILDING	m2	467	2,516.00	(1,170)		
MISSILE MAGAZINE	m2	500	1,900.00	(950)		
SHIPPING AND RECEIVING AREA	m2	372	1,330.00	(490)		
ORDNANCE OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION	m2	232	1,486.00	(340)		
BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	m2	260	1,490.00	(390)		
TRUCK HOLDING AND PACKING AREA	m2	606	220.00	(130)		
MISSILE MAGAZINE RENOVATION	LS	_	-	(100)		
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(50)		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	4,860		
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(1,000)		
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(1,000)		
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	-	(2,860)		
SUBTOTAL	-	_	-	8,480		
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	420		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	8,900		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	_	_	530		
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	_	9,430		
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)		

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Improvements to include pre-engineered building for weapons assembly; one reinforced concrete Type "C" box missile magazine; widening of doors to five existing magazines; packaging/receiving facility; packing area and building addition, ordnance operations building; technical operating manuals, toilet, store rooms, tool/support rooms, monorail, hoist systems, pneumatic utility systems, fire and lightning protection, and explosive proof electrical systems.

11. Requirement: 2,437 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

PROJECT:

Provides a new missile magazine and improvements to an existing facility to accommodate weapons assembly. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities to support unwaived ordnance operations. This project will eliminate three existing CNO waivers that were approved to permit ordnance handling/storage on an interim basis pending completion of facility improvements. The magazine has larger doors, which are required to support the new, longer missiles, such as SLAM, AMRAAM, HARPOON, and others.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Storage and assembly of live ordnance is done in inadequate and antiquated facilities under waiver conditions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY	2/6/98	
	ocation/UIC: N63042	
	STATION, LEMOORE, CALIFORNIA	5 D + (37 1
4. Project Title	· ·	7. Project Number
	SSEMBLY FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS	P-105B
(continued)		
	is project, operations will continue in inadequate for conditions will remain, which affects ordnance and per	
12. Supplemental D	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started	11/96
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used: N/A	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	rtal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(570) (280) 850 (750) (100)
(4) Co:	nstruction Start	11/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Cdr Paul McMahon, Phone: (209) 998-4091

										2 D	
1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							2. Date			
NAVY								2/6/98			
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M67865 4. Command							5. Area Constr Cost				
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, COMMANDANT OF THE							ndex				
MIRAMAR	, CALI	FORNIA				MARIN	NE CORP	S			1 1 5
											1.15
		Permanen	+		Students			Supported			
6. Personnel	Officer	Enlisted	-	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted		0.00	Total
Strength a. As Of	Officer	Emisted	Civilian	Officer	Ellisted	Civilian	Officer	Emisted	Civili	an	Totai
09/30/97	15	338	0	0	0	0	326	2,500	0		3,179
b. End FY 2004	101	678	671	48	117	0	1,005	7,906	1,59	12	12,118
2004	101	070	071	I		ı	1,005	7,500	1,55	, <u>Z</u>	12,110
				7. IN V	ENTORY	DATA					
	AL ACR		7.C. OF 3.	(0)	0.00						^
			AS OF 30 T YET II								0
d. AUT	HORIZA	TION RE	QUESTED	IN THI	S PROGR	.AM				,57	
e. AUT f. PLA	HORIZA	TION IN	CLUDED :	IN THE	FOLLOWI	NG PROC	GRAM			, 25	
			ENCY						C	,83	0
_	ND TOT	AL	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • •	41	,65	8
8. Projects Requ	uested In	This Progra	n:								
Category								Cost	I	Desig	n Status
<u>Code</u>	Proj	ect Title					<u>Scope</u>	(\$000)		ırt	Complete Complete
721.11	BEQ					19,	802 m2	29,570	05/	94	09/95
	TO	TAL						29,570			
9. Future Pro	jects:							·			
a. Included	In The Fo	llowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):							
740.74	CHILD	DEVELO	PMENT CE	ENTER				5,258	-		-
	ТΩ	TAL						5,258			
b. Major Pla	_		arc.					3,230			
179.40			/PISTOL	RANGE				6,830	_		_
			,								
		TAL						6,830			
c. Real Prop	•		cklog (\$000): \$50,	564						
10. Mission Or	U				,			,			
support activit	opera ies an	tion of d units	a Mari	ne Airc ignated	raft Wi l by the	ng, or Commar	units dant o	s and ma thereof, f the Ma	and	oth	er
11. Outstanding	Pollution	And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):							
a. Pollution		-									
b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0											
21 0 00 apatr		, 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	()("	, , , ,							

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98						
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: M67865 4. Project Title							
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA				BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$000)			
0206496	M	721.11	P-002		29,	570		

U/M	Ouantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
M2	19,802	1,090.00	21,580
-	_	_	4,990
LS	-	-	(4,000)
LS	-	-	(990)
_	-	_	26,570
_	-	_	1,330
_	-	_	27,900
_	_	_	1,670
	_	_	29,570
_	_	(NON – ADD)	(0)
		(NON ADD)	(0)
	M2 - LS	M2 19,802 LS -	M2 19,802 1,090.00 LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Three-story, reinforced masonry, concrete and steel-frame buildings, concrete foundations and floors, sloped standing seam metal roofs, air conditioning, fire protection systems, sound attenuation features, utilities, 372 1x1 modules with semi-private bathrooms and walk-in closets, lounges, laundry, vending areas, separate mechanical buildings, paving, site improvements, and demolition of nine buildings. Intended Grade mix: E1-E4: 462, E5: 141. Total 603. Maximum Utilitization: 744 E1-E4.

11. Requirement: <u>3,963 PN</u> Adequate: <u>1,731 PN</u> Substandard: <u>(240) PN</u>.

PROJECT:

Provides adequate bachelor housing for 603 enlisted personnel to the "lx1" standard. (New mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate bachelor housing that meets quality of life standards.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facilities are 43-45 years old and are not in compliance with life safety codes, seismic codes, or environmental criteria (the presence of polychlorinated byphenols, lead-based paint, and vinyl-asbestos tile). These facilities cannot be economically upgraded and have been determined to be inadequate. The existing buildings will be demolished to provide a site for the new bachelor enlisted quarters.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Personnel will continue to be billeted in unsafe, inadequate quarters. The quality of life for personnel assigned to this station will be adversely affected with a detrimental effect on safety, morale, and retention efforts.

. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
	ocation/UIC: M67865	
MARINE CO	RPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA	
. Project Title		7. Project Number
BACHELOR	ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-002
(continued)		
12. Supplemental Γ	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	12/94 09/95 100%
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: YES Where Design Was Most Recently Used: stndrd 1x1	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs Total Contract In-House	(890) 2,660
(4) Co	nstruction Start	10/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	led from other
C. Real Pr	operty Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 4,100	
	requirements for unaccompanied housing at this on: 1522 PN	
IIISCATIACI	OII 1922 IIV	

Installation POC: Tony Ray, Phone: (714) 726-4341

1. Component NAVY		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTI(ON PRO)GRAM	2. D	
3. Installation a	nd Locatic	on/UIC: N0	0246			4. Comman	nd			2/6/98 5. Area
NAVAL F			-					N CHIEF		Constr Cost
			CALIFOR	RNIA			FIC FLE		,	Index
										1.43
6. Personnel		Permanen	ıt		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	20	268	183	0	0	0	0	0	0	471
2004	23	315	176	0	0	0	0	0	0	514
				7. INV	VENTORY	DATA				
e. AUI f. PLA g. REN h. GRA	THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT	ATION IN IN THE N G DEFICI	QUESTED ICLUDED IEXT THRI	IN THE EE PROG	FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	ING PROG ARS	GRAM		8,3! 55,3: 12,80 133,1! 625,9 :	10 00 50
8. Projects Req	juested In	This Program	m:					C .		~ .
Category Code	Pro	ject Title					Scope	Cost (\$000)		gn Status Complete
721.11	BEQ	1000 111				2,	,970 m2			01/98
	ТО	TAL						8,350	ı	
9. Future Pro								- •		
	-	ollowing Pro	ogram (FY 20	000):						
151.20	CVN B	BERTHING	WHARF					55,310	-	-
	TO	TAL						55,310	,	
b. Major P	lanned Nez	xt Three Yea	ars:							
740.74 143.65			DEVELOR CAL SUPE					6,400 6,400		- -
	TO	TAL						12,800		
c. Real Pro	perty Mair	ntenance Ba	acklog (\$000)): \$88,	316					
10. Mission O	r Major Fu	inctions:								
Maintai	in and		E 1 1 1 .							

Maintain and operate facilities and provide services and material to support operations of aviation activities and units of the Pacific Fleet. Helicopter Airlift Squadrons Reserve Squadrons ASW Helicopter Squadrons (SH-2, SH-60) Submarine Development Group Carrier-Based ASW Squadrons (S-3) Deep Submergence Vehicles Carrier-Based ASW Helicopter Squadrons (SH-3) Commander, Naval Air Forces, Pacific Naval Aviation Depot Marine Barracks Helicopter Training Squadrons S-3 ASW Training Squadron Carrier On-Board Delivery Squadron Aircraft Carrier Homeport

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
 - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
 - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. Date 2/6/9						
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00246 4. Project Title								
NAVAL FAC SAN CLEME	•	ND, CALIFORNIA	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS					
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)			
0204696	5N	721.11	₽-555		8,3	350		

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	M2	2,970	-	5,980
BUILDING	M2	2,970	1,980.00	(5,880)
INFORMATION SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	_	1,520
UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(700)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(380)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	_	(380)
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION	LS	-	-	(60)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	7,500
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	380
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,880
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	470
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	_	8,350
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Two-story building, permanent construction; 45 modules with two private sleeping/living rooms, kitchenettes, walk-in closets, adjoining full semi-private baths shared by up to two persons, lobbies, laundries, training rooms, exercise rooms, game room, video room, vending, administrative spaces, storage, mechanical rooms; ventilation, air conditioning, utilities, paving, and site improvements; demolition of seven buildings; improvements to the sewage treatment plant; environmental mitigation for the Island Night lizard. Intended Grade Mix: 30 E1-E4, 30 E5-E9. Total: 60. Maximum Utilization by 90 E1-E4.

11. Requirement: 60 PN Adequate: 0 PN Substandard: (135) PN. PROJECT:

Constructs bachelor enlisted quarters in compliance with Department of Defense "1+1" criteria for permanent party personnel. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate berthing for male and female personnel assigned to this facility. CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities were constructed in the 1940's, are overcrowded, undersized, without adequate private toilets, and the utilities are deteriorated and cannot be expanded.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, personnel will continue to be berthed in inadequate facilities to the detriment of morale and career retention efforts.

1. Component		2. Date
NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N00246	
NAVAL FAC	ILITY, SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND, CALIFORNIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
BACHELOR I	ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-555
(continued)		
12. Supplemental D	Pata:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: YES Where Design Was Most Recently Used: SClemente	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(510) (260) 770 (680) (90)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	10/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provide ions: NONE.	ed from other
C. Real Pr	operty Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 17,454	
D. Future : installatio	requirements for unaccompanied housing at this on: $104 \ PN$	

Installation POC: Capt Raymond Mello, Phone: (619) 545-1113

1. Component NAVY		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	OGRAM	2. D	
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	on/IJIC: N6	3406			4. Comman	d			2/6/98 5. Area
										Constr Cost
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE,								N CHIEF,	1	Index
SAN DIE	GO, CA	LIFORNI	A			PACIF	FIC FLE	F.I		1.15
										1.13
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97	349	3,298	3,134	2	22	0	53	364	0	7,222
b. End FY 2004	259	1,395	1,588	0	0	0	46	277	0	3,565
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Requ Category Code 213.65 9. Future Pro a. Included	NNED I AINING ND TOT uested In Pro SUBMA TO jects: In The Fo	ATION IN IN THE N E DEFICI TAL This Program ject Title RINE SUI TTAL ollowing Pro	EXT THRIENCY n: PPT FAC gram (FY 26)	EE PROG	GRAM YEA	ARS		Cost (\$000)	<u>Start</u> 06/97	gn Status Complete
c Real Prot	_	ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000)· ¢16	080					
10. Mission Or			CKIUS (DUUU)). YIU,						
Serves providi	as hom ng ref marine ne Squ	meport f it, mai Tender wadrons	ntenance s Comma	e, repl Com ander,	lenishme mmander,	ent, tra . Submar	aining Tine Gr	the Pac and ordr oup Five t Group	nance sup e Two	
				:s (ΦUUU):						
a. Pollution										
	onal Safe	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#)): \$0						
b. Occupati		-								

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N63406 4. Project Title						
NAVAL SUB SAN DIEGO		•	SUBMARINE SUPPORT FACILITY			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$000)	
0204896	N	213.65		P-126	11,400	

7, 000 = =2 ======	_~			1
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
SUBMARINE SUPPORT FACILITY	M2	662	-	8,380
BUILDING	M2	662	3,650.00	(2,420)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	_	_	(5,700)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(260)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	-	1,860
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	_	(580)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(460)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(460)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENTS, AND DEMOLITION	LS	_	_	(360)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	-	10,240
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	_	510
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	_	10,750
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	_	-	650
TOTAL REQUEST	-	_	-	11,400
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

High-bay building, precast concrete piles, cast-in-place concrete grade beams and floor slab, concrete walls with floor to wall controlled joints; built-up roof membrane over metal decking and steel framing; 10-ton overhead electric traveling crane; detection and monitoring alarm systems; telecommunications systems; fire protection system, Seismic Zone 4 construction, utilities, paving, site improvements, and demolition of one concrete pad and temporary shed.

11. Requirement: 662 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Constructs a submarine support facility. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to accommodate an authorized radiological processing facility including radiological liquid and solid waste processing; contaminated gage calibration; radiochemistry analysis; and controlled equipment material storage for operational maintenance for nuclear-powered submarines.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The submarine tender USS MCKEE currently performs requisite maintenance and repair work on all nuclear-powered submarines berthed at this base. Because of reductions in the Armed Forces and infrastructure to make funding available for modernization, the Navy plans to decommission the MCKEE and replace it with shore-based maintenance capability. All maintenance performed by MCKEE will be integrated into existing Naval Port San Diego shore facilities wherever possible. However, there is a need for drydocking and radiological propulsion plant support facility to be

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY		2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N63406	
NAVAL SUB	MARINE BASE, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
SUBMARINE	SUPPORT FACILITY	P-126
(continued)		
	with the submarines. Specifically, processing of rrated during drydocking for maintenance.	adiological
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
nuclear-po transit to propulsion from San D and turn a submarine	USS MCKEE decommissions, if no new facilities are cowered submarines berthed in San Diego would be requiped Pearl Harbor or Puget Sound Naval Shipyards for radeplant maintenance and repairs. This additional time iego would result in unacceptable adverse effects on round ratios, ultimately reducing the size of the deforce and impacting forward presence and the ability mmitments.	red to iological e deployed personnel ployable
12. Supplemental D	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997. Percent Complete As Of January 1998.	08/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications	(720) (360)

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.

(E) In-House.....

Installation POC: LT Pete Campbell, Phone: (619) 553-7144

1,080 (960)

(120)

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	2. Date 2/6/98	
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N00171	4. Command	5. Area Constr Cost
	, NAVAL DISTRICT, , DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS	Index
			0.96

6. Personnel		Permanen	it		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97	1,433	1,680	4,994	3	1	0	0	0	0	8,111
b. End FY 2004	1,111	1,101	5,151	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,363

7. INVENTORY DATA

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (467)	
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997	199,390
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY	0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	790
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM	0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS	0
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY	25,300
h.	GRAND TOTAL	225,480

8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Design Status
Code	Project Title	Scope	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start Complete
740.45	FITNESS CENTER	489 M2	790	04/97 07/98
		_		
	TOTAL		790	

9. Future Projects:

a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

NONE

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): ~\$212 , 753

10. Mission Or Major Functions:

Provide personnel support and logistics for Naval commands in the Washington area, including personnel, administrative, public works, supply, waterfront and harbor services. Chesapeake Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command Naval Historical Center Naval Weapons Engineering Support Activity Naval Data Automation Command

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
 - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
 - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00171 4. Project Title						
COMMANDANT, NAVAL DISTRICT, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				FITNESS CENTER		
5. Program Element 6. Category Code			7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (\$00		00)	
0901296	5N	740.45		P-336	79	0

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
FITNESS CENTER	M2	489	1,460.00	710
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	- -	- -	710 40
TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	- -	- -	750 40
TOTAL REQUEST EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	- -	- (NON-ADD)	790 (0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Roof system, exterior and interior entrances; interior partitions, locker room facilities, paving and site improvements. Fire protection systems, information systems, and interior demolition.

11. Requirement: 489 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Constructs a fitness center within Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard to service 2,300 military personnel. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities to support mandatory physical fitness participation required of all active duty military personnel assigned to the Washington Navy Yard.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The two existing fitness centers are classified as inadequate in both condition and configuration. They are too small, require duplicative operation support, and their poor condition requires excessive maintenance. The backlog of maintenance and repair in these two facilities totals \$782,000. Even if this backlog was eliminated, the existing facilities would still be classified as inadequate because of their layout and locker room size.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the military population of the Washington Navy Yard will not have adequate fitness facilities for maintaining physical health.

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1) Status:

. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
	cation/UIC: N00171	L
COMMANDAN	T, NAVAL DISTRICT, WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
FITNESS C	ENTER	P-336
(continued)		1
(C) (D)	Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(50) (20) 70 (60) (10)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	10/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ded from other

Installation POC: CDR Fredrick Gerheiser, Phone: (202) 433-2233

1 C									1	2. Da	nto
1. Component		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	OGRAM			
NAVY	17	/I.H.C. 270	0010			4.0	1				2/6/98
							. Area Constr Cost				
NAVAL A	IR STA	TION,						N CHIEF,	,	_	ndex
KEY WES	T, FLO	RIDA				ATLAN	NTIC FL	EET			1 00
											1.08
		D.		1	G. I.		1	G . 1		1	
6. Personnel		Permanen			Students	I		Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civil	ian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97	179	1,155	497	0	2	0	0	0	0		1,833
b. End FY											
2004	157	1,005	506	0	4	0	0	0	0		1,672
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
a. TOT	'AL ACR	REAGE		(5,97	78)						
		TOTAL		0 SEP 1	997				15	1,97	_
		ATION NO ATION RE								3,73	0 20
		ATION KE								J , / .	0
		N THE N								4,00	
_		DEFICI						• • • • •		5,51 5,21	
				• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •	10	5,21	
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Prograi	m:								
Category	Duo	iost Title					Caama	Cost			n Status
<u>Code</u>	·	ject Title	DMENTE CI	-MIIIII		1	Scope	<u>(\$000)</u>			Complete
740.74	CHILD	DEVELO:	DMENT. CI	INTER		Ι,	363 m2	3,730	l ⊥∠,	/96	05/98
	TO	TAL						3,730)		
9. Future Pro	jects:										
a. Included	In The Fo	ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):							
	NONE										
· ·	anned Ne	xt Three Yea	ars:								
841.40	FY02	- POTAB	LE WATE	R STORA	GE DIST	ı		4,000		-	-
	ТΩ	TAL						4,000	I		
c Real Pro		ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000)· \$41	693			1,000			
10. Mission Or			CKIOG (\$000).							
	-		eg an a	ir etat	ion to	gunnort	train	ing of f	Fliab	t ar	^ews
								ses in t			
								erthing			Les
								a on for aft squa			2.0
								art squa Security			
Air For	ce Air	Defens	e Units	U.S.	Forces	Caribbe	ean 1	Medical	Clin	ic J	Joint
Task Fo	rce 4										
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	n And Safety	Deficienci	es (\$000):							
a. Pollution	Abateme	ent (*): \$0)								
b. Occupati	onal Safe	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#): \$0							
1		-	. , , ,	•							

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. Date 2/6/98							
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00213 4. Project Title								
NAVAL AIR STATION KEY WEST, FLORIDA				CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)		
0204696	0204696N 740.74 F			P-604	3,7	730		

7. COOT ESTIMITED									
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)					
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	m2	1,363	-	2,330					
BUILDING	m2	1,298	1,588.00	(2,060)					
COVERED WALKWAY	m2	65	462.00	(30)					
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	_	_	(180)					
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	-	_	(10)					
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(50)					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	_	1,020					
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	_	(330)					
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(100)					
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(250)					
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	_	(240)					
PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT	LS	_	_	(100)					
SUBTOTAL	-	-	_	3,350					
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	_	170					
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	_	3,520					
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	_	210					
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,730					
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)					

10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story building, auger cast pilings and elevated structural floor slab, concrete masonry walls, steel roof framing, sloped metal roofing system, covered walkway and play area, fire alarm system with radio transmitter, fire suppression system with fire pump, closed circuit television system, intercom system, information systems, air conditioning, utilities, playground equipment with sun shade, paving and site improvements.

11. Requirement: 1,363 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

PROJECT:

Constructs a child development center to accommodate $180\ \mathrm{children}$. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to accommodate infants, toddlers, and pre-school age children. A child development center provides child care services to military and DOD civilian personnel in order to support operational readiness, mission accomplishment and retention. The primary goal is to provide Navy personnel at least one affordable option for child care. Child development centers are a necessary element in today's environment as their availability alleviates many problems incurred by military and DOD civilian parents who are single, who both work, are full time students or who have other special needs. These centers make the quality of life more appealing for military personnel, DOD civilians, and their dependents. The existing need is met using temporary, undersized, mobile office units. There are 124 military dependent children (through

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and I	ocation/UIC: N00213	
NAVAL AI	R STATION KEY WEST, FLORIDA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
CHILD DE	VELOPMENT CENTER	P-604

(...continued)

age 6) currently enrolled in the existing leased facility, and 65 children on a waiting list. Additionally, there is a requirement for hourly drop in care service. The Navy Audit Service agreed with the 180 children requirement.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The former child care facility is a 57 year-old converted building, inadequate in both size and physical condition, which contains friable asbestos and lead paint, and has structural and foundation problems. It has been damaged by termites and carpenter ants, is condemned and scheduled for demolition. To meet the urgent need, the station was forced to lease temporary, mobile office units until the project is constructed. CNO letter 11010 Ser 441d1/OU591278 of 22 January 1990 states that the temporary siting of a CDC shall not preclude permanent development of a specific site. CINCLANTFLT letter 11000 Ser N4421B/003613 of 31 Aug 1994 states that relocatable structures are strongly discouraged, and removal of existing relocatable structures at the earliest opportunity is highly desirable. The leased facility can only accommodate 124 of the 180 child requirement. Additionally, these temporary, mobile office units can become wind borne during tropical storms or hurricanes which are common in this area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the Navy will continue to pay for an inadequate leased facility which does not meet the criteria for child development centers. Personnel and children using these facilities will continue to be subjected to unsafe conditions. Key West is in a remote area and the local community does not have the capability to provide quality, affordable child care service to military and DOD civilian dependents. The quality of life of Navy families will continue to be degraded. This could result in a reduction of income since spouses may not be able to afford work outside of the home. Key West has the highest cost of living of any city in Florida and families feel a need to supplement their income via spousal employment

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1)	Sta	atus:		
	/ 7\ \	Dato	Dogian	Ctartad

(🗗 /	Date	Design	blaited	12/00
(B)	Date	Design	35% Complete	03/97
(C)	Date	Design	Complete	05/98

(D) Percent Complete As Of September 1997...... 35%

(E) Percent Complete As Of January 1998..... 50%

(2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: dsgn/build
- (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):
 - (A) Production of Plans and Specifications..... (220)

Installation POC: LCdr James Cruz, Phone: (305) 293-2304

12/96

FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
ocation/UIC: N00213	
STATION KEY WEST, FLORIDA	
	7. Project Number
ELOPMENT CENTER	P-604
Total Contract In-House nstruction Start nt associated with this project which will be provid	,
	Cation/UIC: N00213 STATION KEY WEST, FLORIDA ELOPMENT CENTER All Other Design Costs

Installation POC: LCdr James Cruz, Phone: (305) 293-2304

1. Component		FV 10	00 MII I	TARV	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	CRAM	2	. Date	
NAVY		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							2/6/9	8	
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	ocation/UIC: M00318 4. Command								5. Area Constr (Cost
	MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, COMMANDANT OF THE							Index	JOST		
KANEOHE	BAY,	HAWAII				MARI	NE CORP	S		1.50	
										1.50	'
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilia	n To	otal
a. As Of	48	422	428	0	0	0	1 107	7 051	1 60	2 11	E / O
09/30/97 b. End FY	40	422	420	0	U	0	1,107	7,851	1,69	Z 11,	548
2004	68	548	514	16	52	0	1,734	8,917	2,25	1 14,	100
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
a. TOT	'AL ACR	EAGE		(34,1	.10)						
		TOTAL							234	,730	
	-	TION NO TION RE							27	0 ,410	
e. AUT	'HORIZA	TION IN	CLUDED :	IN THE	FOLLOWI	NG PROC	GRAM		25	,020	
		N THE N								,651 ,250	
	ND TOI	'AL	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • •	• • • •		,061	
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Prograi	n:								
Category								Cost		esign Statu	
<u>Code</u>	-	ject Title					Scope 5	<u>(\$000)</u>		t Compl	
721.11	BACHE	LOR QUA	RTERS			8,	670 m2	27,410	05/9	7 10/9	8
	TO	TAL						27,410			
9. Future Pro											
		ollowing Pro	_	000):							
141.70 721.11	CTRL BEQ E	TOWER /	ATC FAC					6,050 18,970		_	
,21.11	~										
		TAL						25,020			
· ·		xt Three Yea		TD 0.0 .0 .	MIIII			2 701			
740.43 211.05		- PIHSIO						3,721 4,169		_	
441.30 *								5,710	_	-	
721.11 721.11		BACHEBACHE						16,051 17,000		_	
				~							
a Daal Dra		TAL ntenance Ba	aldaa (\$000). c.c.o	2/2			46,651			
10. Mission Or			cking (\$000)). 500,	243						
			facili	tieg ar	nd provi	de gert	rices an	nd mater	ial to	.	
support	opera	itions o	f a Mar	ine Bri	gade, c	or units	s there	of, and f the Ma	other		
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	n And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):							
a. Pollution	Abateme	nt (*): \$5	,710								
b. Occupati	onal Safe	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#): \$0							
_											

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M00318 4. Project Title							
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, KANEOHE BAY, HAWAII				BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS			
5. Program Element	nent 6. Category Code 7. Pro		7. Pro	7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (\$		00)	
0206496	M	721.11	P-286 27,			410	

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	m2	8,670	-	17,670
BUILDING	m2	8,670	2,007.00	(17,400)
INFORMATION SYSTEM	LS	-	_	(150)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(120)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	6,840
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(1,640)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(1,200)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(4,000)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	24,510
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	1,230
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	25,740
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	-	1,670
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	27,410
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Multi-story reinforced concrete masonry and steel-frame buildings; built-up roofs, air conditioning, fire protection system, elevators, utilities, paving and site improvements; technical operating manuals; utility connections, air conditioning systems; two-plus-zero bachelor housing design criteria. Grade mix: 408 E1-E4.

11. Requirement: 408 PN Adequate: 95 PN Substandard: (52) PN.

PROJECT:

Constructs a bachelor enlisted quarters to support billeting requirements of Naval aviation units relocating to Kaneohe Bay. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured housing facilities to support the relocation of Navy aviation operations from Naval Air Station (NAS), Barbers Point, Hawaii.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Operational, maintenance, and support units must relocate because of the scheduled closure of NAS Barbers Point. Kaneohe Bay does not have adequate bachelor enlisted facilities to accommodate the Navy personnel being relocated. Existing, inadequate facilities are severely deteriorated, 1940-vintage buildings, the repair costs for which exceeds 75% of the cost for new construction.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, this station will not have adequate billeting facilities required to support personnel relocating from Barbers Point. The projected Navy and Marine Corps base loading at Kaneohe Bay exceeds the

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: M00318	•					
MARINE CO	RPS AIR STATION, KANEOHE BAY, HAWAII						
4. Project Title		7. Project Number					
BACHELOR	ENLISTED QUARTERS	P-286					
private se 1997 concl unsatisfie be even mo unacceptab units as w	(continued) current and projected number of available Federal government-owned and private sector housing. The Oahu Military Housing Market Analysis of March 1997 concludes that by the year 2001, there will be a significant unsatisfied requirement in military bachelor housing. This shortfall will be even more acute in the windward area of the island. These conditions are unacceptable and will negatively impact the operational readiness of Navy units as well as the morale, welfare and retention of skilled and dedicated military personnel						
develop pr Handbook 1 (1) St (A) (B) (C) (D) (E) (2) Ba (A) (B)	Date Design Started	Military 05/97 09/97 10/98 35% 35%					
(C) (D) (E)	Total Contract In-House nstruction Start	2,420 (2,200) (220)					

- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{B}}.$ Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.
- C. Real Property Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 6,600
- D. Future requirements for unaccompanied housing at this installation: 2476 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{PN}}$

Installation POC: LCdr J. Landis, Phone: (808) 257-2171

1.6	ı									2. Date	
1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							z. Date			
NAVY	17									2/6/98	
3. Installation a						4. Comman				AreaConstr Cost	
FLEET I PEARL H			PLY CENT	CER,		NAVAI COMMA		Y SYSTEM	IS	Index	
	intBoit,	112 1002 11 1								1.45	
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilia	n Total	
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	20	56	626	0	0	0	0	0	0	702	
2004	18	83	621	0	0	0	0	0	0	722	
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
a. TOI	'AL ACR	EAGE		(815)							
			AS OF 3						156	,190 0	
	-		QUESTED						9	,730	
			CLUDED EXT THR							,500 ,100	
-			ENCY							,600	
h. GRA	ND TOT	'AL	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • •	241	,120	
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Program	m:								
Category	ъ.						C	Cost		Design Status	
<u>Code</u> 156.10	-	ject Title	IVING FA	\ C		<u>Scope</u> (<u>\$000)</u> 6,411 M2 9,730				<u>rt Complete</u> 97 07/98	
130.10	CENTR	AL RECE	IVING FA	10		0,	HII MZ			91 01/90	
	_	TAL						9,730			
9. Future Pro	-	11 ' D	(EV 0	000)							
a. Included		_	gram (FY 2 NSOLIDA:					16,500	_	_	
111.10			NOODIDA.	LION							
	_	TAL						16,500			
b. Major Pl 152.60		xt Three Yes	ars: Y WHARF	DVTDNC	TON			16,200			
152.60			UPGRADI		ION			13,900		_	
156.10 441.10			ONT TRAI STORAGE					9,000		-	
441.10	F Y U Z	- BULK	SIURAGE	WAREHO	USE			9,000	_	_	
		TAL						48,100			
			cklog (\$000): \$27,	586						
10. Mission On	-							± - 373777			
in the	geogra							to NAVY support			
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	/ Deficiencie	es (\$000):							
a. Pollution	Abateme	nt (*): \$0)								
b. Occupati	ional Safet	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#): \$0							

1. Component NAVY	FY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					
3. Installation and Lo	and Location/UIC: N00604 4. Project Title						
FLEET AND PEARL HAR		IAL SUPPLY CENTER, AII	CENTRAL RECEIVING FACILITY				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0702896	5N	156.10	P-154		9,7	730	

-				G (\$00°)
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
CENTRAL RECEIVING FACILITY	M2	6,411	-	7,060
BUILDING	M2	5,389	1,276.00	(6,880)
COVERED LOADING AREA	M2	1,022	74.00	(80)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	_	-	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	-	1,640
UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(470)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	-	(330)
DEMOLITION	LS	_	_	(840)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,700
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	440
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	9,140
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	-	590
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	9,730
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story, structural steel-frame open area building, concrete floor/mat foundation, masonry and metal panel walls; insulated steel roof; mezzanine, loading dock pit with multiple docking positions, covered loading area, conveyer system, office spaces, training room, secure storage, fire protection system, information systems, utilities, paving and site improvements, demolition of four buildings.

11. Requirement: 6,411 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Construct a central receiving facility. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

A centrally located facility to consolidate container unstuffing operations, local material deliveries and receipt of bulk items into one building in close proximity to primary supply Wharves K-10 and K-11. This center provides supply and support services to fleet units and shore activities, including requirements determination, inventory control, receipt, storage, issue and financial accounting for consumables, repairables, subsistence, and fuel. This project will prevent any interruption to the supply link that would jeopardize the readiness of the fleet and shore activities.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Receiving and container operations are currently being conducted in several locations scattered throughout the center. Inbound bulk break operations are currently stored in the open, exposed to the weather at two wharves and the paved area behind the servmart building. Since these operations are not conducted in the immediate vicinity of each other, material has to be transported to different locations for their appropriate processing. Each

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date				
NAVY		2/6/98				
	ocation/UIC: N00604					
	INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII					
4. Project Title		7. Project Number				
CENTRAL RECEIVING FACILITY P-154						
(continued)						
time, mate	multiple handling and transporting of supplies resurial damages and material losses, thus impacting the nter's mission.					
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:					
efficiency and shore	is project, this center will continue to operate at which will impact customer service and readiness of activities. Center personnel will continue to work d facilities, which negatively impacts worker morale ty.	the fleet in				
12. Supplemental D	Data:					
A. Es develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)					
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	09/97				
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:					
(A) (B) (C) (D) (E)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(490) (410) 900 (820) (80)				
	nstruction Start nt associated with this project which will be provid ions: NONE.					

Installation POC: LCdr Ross Woodson, Phone: (808) 471-3926

1. Component									2. E)ate	
navy		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM									
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	on/LUC: NO	0311		1.	4. Comman	ıd			2/6/98 5. Area	
			0311							Constr Cost	
NAVAL S		-				NAVAI COMM <i>I</i>	L SEA S'	YSTEMS		Index	
PEARL H	ARBUR,	HAWAII				COMM	AND.			1.45	
					l				l .		
6. Personnel		Permanen	t.		Students			Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total	
a. As Of	Officer	Elifisted		Gilleer	Elifisted	Civinan	Gilleer	Elifisted	Civilian	10141	
09/30/97	38	22	2,873	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,933	
b. End FY 2004	13	4	3,370	0	0	0	5	90	0	3,482	
2004			37370		ENTORY	, ,	<u> </u>	, ,		37102	
				7.1111	ENIORI	DATA					
	AL ACR		7.C OE 2	(308)					147 0	0.0	
		TOTAL TION NO							147,0	0	
d. AUT	HORIZA	ATION RE	QUESTED	IN THI	S PROGR	MA			11,4		
		ATION IN							15,0		
		N THE N							24,8 105,1		
_	ND TOT	'AL	• • • • • •		• • • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • •	303,3	50	
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Program	n:								
Category								Cost	Desi	gn Status	
<u>Code</u>	Pro	ject Title					Scope	<u>(\$000)</u>		Complete	
610.10	ENG M	ANAGEME:	NT BLDG			8,	361 M2	11,400	01/97	08/98	
	ΤО	TAL						11,400			
9. Future Pro	_							,			
		ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):							
213.60 *		-	_		!			15,000	_	_	
	_	TAL						15,000			
-		xt Three Yea									
213.43 213.55		STRUCMECHA						8,400 9,400	_	_	
813.20		- SHORE						7,000		_	
	шо										
a Daal Dua		TAL	aldaa (\$000). č10 <i>1</i>	007			24,800			
		ntenance Ba	cking (2000	<i>)</i> . \$104	,007						
10. Mission On	•		ir nual	022 22	ored	vaaola a	nugh ac	SSN's i	naludi-	a 600	
								nd destr		9 000	
11. Outstanding											
		nt (*): \$1		<i>ω</i> (φυσυ <i>)</i> .							
				. + ^							
b. Occupati	ional Safe	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#): \$0							

1. Component NAVY	FY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N00311 4. Project Title						
PEARL HARBOR NAVAL SHIPYARD PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0702228	N	610.10	P-215		11,	400	

11 000 = 20 = 20 = 20 = 20 = 20 = 20 = 2	_~			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING	M2	8,361	-	5,450
BUILDING RENOVATION	M2	8,361	586.00	(4,900)
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	_	-	(550)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	4,740
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(2,380)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(920)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(280)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(1,160)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	10,190
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	-	510
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	-	10,700
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	_	_	700
TOTAL REQUEST	-	_	-	11,400
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Convert warehouse space to administrative space by renovating the fourth floor of an existing building, with new partitions, ceilings, plumbing fixtures, air conditioning, fire protection sprinkler system and fire alarm system, lighting, electrical receptacles, telecommunication and data outlets and restrooms; convert two freight elevators to passenger elevators; increase information systems capacity/capability from warehouse usage to office usage (information system beyond the 1.5 meter building boundary is characterized as communications); provide new primary switchgear and transformer substation outdoors for increased electrical load requirements; seismic strengthening; utilities; demolition of six buildings; and paving and site improvements.

11. Requirement: 8,361 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT

Convert an existing building from warehouse space to office space. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and safe facilities are required for engineering and management personnel who provide mission-essential support to this shipyard's continuing mission to perform overhaul, repair, alteration, drydocking and outfitting of Navy vessels. Converting Building 167 will replace severely deteriorated unsafe facilities housing engineering and management personnel, and will consolidate these facilities into a smaller, more efficient layout, while reducing infrastructure at the shipyard.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing wood annexes have been labeled "a major life safety hazard" by the September 1987 Fire Protection Engineering Survey Report. Twenty-three

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N00311	
PEARL HAR	BOR NAVAL SHIPYARD PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
ENGINEERI	NG MANAGEMENT BUILDING	P-215
(continued)		

"serious workplace hazards" were also cited in a January 1994 NAVOSH Deficiency Notice for Buildings 1B and 1D, ranging from structural damage to electrical violations. One annex has been condemned due to structural failure and other failures are imminent. Annexes lack electrical capacity to support major programs such as Computer Aided Design (CAD), Engineering Data Management Information Control System (EDMICS), and Advanced Industrial Management (AIM). Roof leaks, plumbing failures, rodents and cats further add to the miserable working conditions. Termites and lack of humidity control endanger valuable engineering drawings and documentation.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Deferral of this project past 1999 will require the Shipyard to move personnel into trailers at an annual cost of \$1.5 million, adversely impacting mission performance and creating a hurricane hazard. Alternatively, all systems in the old wooden annexes would have to be repaired at an estimated cost of \$47 million

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1)) Status	:

T) Dra	acus.	
(A)	Date Design Started	01/97
(B)	Date Design 35% Complete	09/97
(C)	Date Design Complete	08/98
(D)	Percent Complete As Of September 1997	35%
(E)	Percent Complete As Of January 1998	50%

(2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:

(3) I	otal	Cost	C) = (Ά) + (B'	or ((D) + ((E)	:
-------	------	------	---	-------	---	-------	----	------	-----	-------	-----	---

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(700)
(B) All Other Design Costs	(350)
(C) Total	1,050
(D) Contract	(930)
(E) In-House	(120)

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: ${\tt NONE}$.

Installation POC: LCdr Eduardo Manglallan, Phone: (808) 474-7191

1. Component NAVY FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							2.]	Date 2/6/98		
3. Installation a	nd Location	on/UIC: N6	2813			4. Comman	d			5. Area
NAVAL STATION, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII						ANDER I	N CHIEF,	,	Constr Cost Index	
PEARL H	IARBUR,	HAWAII				TACII	TO FIELD			1.45
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	1,701	12,010	7,974	1	5	0	202	803	0	22,69
2004	1,551	10,798	8,193	0	4	0	170	763	0	21,47
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
c. AUT d. AUT	THORIZA THORIZA	TOTAL TION NO TION RE	T YET II QUESTED	N INVEN	TORY S PROGR	RAM			230,6 18,1	0 L80
b. INC. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT	ATION NO ATION RE ATION IN IN THE N B DEFICI	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR ENCY	N INVEN IN THI IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	GRAM	 		0 180 900 370 100
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT	ATION NO ATION RE ATION IN IN THE N IS DEFICI TAL	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR ENCY	N INVEN IN THI IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	GRAM	Cost	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2	0 180 200 370 100 2 20 ign Status
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Juested In	ATION NO ATION RE ATION IN IN THE N B DEFICI	T YET IN QUESTED CLUDED EXT THREENCY	N INVEN IN THI IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	GRAM		18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des	0 180 900 370 100 220
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In Pro ELEC	ATION NO ATION RE ATION IN THE N THE N THE N THIS PROGRAT Ject Title	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR ENCY n:	N INVEN IN THI IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000)	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In ELEC TO	ATION NO ATION REATION IN THE NO BEFICI TAL This Program ject Title DIST SY:	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR ENCY n:	N INVEN IN THI IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000)	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 812.30	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In ELEC TO pjects:	ATION NO ATION REATION IN THE NO BEFICI TAL This Program ject Title DIST SY:	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR: ENCY n:	N INVEN IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000)	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 812.30	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In ELEC TO Djects: In The Fo	ATION NO ATION RE ATION IN THE N DEFICI TAL ject Title DIST SY:	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR ENCY This STEM UPO	N INVEN IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000)	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 812.30 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In ELEC TO ojects: In The Fo BEQ M BEQ M	ATION NO ATION REATION IN THE NEST THIS Program ject Title DIST SYSTAL	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR ENCY This STEM UPO	N INVEN IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 18,180 18,180	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 812.30 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11 721.11	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In ELEC TO Djects: I In The Fo BEQ M BEQ M TO	ATION NO ATION REATION IN THE NEST THIS Program ject Title DIST SYSTAL	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR ENCY THE STEM UPO GRAM (FY 2 ATION ATION	N INVEN IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI RAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 18,180 18,180	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 812.30 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11 721.11	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In ELEC TO ojects: In The Fo BEQ M BEQ M TO lanned Ne FY02 FY01	ATION NO ATION RE ATION IN ATION IN IN THE N IN T IN	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR: ENCY THE STEM UPO GRAPH (FY 2 ATION ATION ATION ATION	N INVEN IN THE IN THE EE PROG GRADE O000):	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 18,180 18,180	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete
b. INV c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REN h. GRA 8. Projects Req Category Code 812.30 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11 721.11 b. Major Pl 722.10 832.10	THORIZA THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING AND TOT Quested In ELEC TO ojects: I In The Fo BEQ M BEQ M TO lanned Ne FY02 FY01 FY03	ATION NO ATION RE ATION RE ATION IN COME THE NEW THIS PROGRAT CODERNIZATION TAL TAL ATTOM TAL TAL TAL TAL TAL TAL TAL TA	T YET II QUESTED CLUDED EXT THR: ENCY THE STEM UPO GRAPH (FY 2 ATION ATION ATION ATION	N INVEN IN THE IN THE EE PROG GRADE O000):	TORY S PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROC ARS	Scope	Cost (\$000) 18,180 18,180 6,600 13,300 19,900 2,150 10,500	18,1 19,9 29,3 140,1 438,2 Des Start 05/97	0 180 200 370 100 220 ign Status Complete

Pearl Harbor is homeport for approximately 20 surface combatants. This station operates and controls the harbor and maintains and operates shore-based support facilities such as shore intermediate maintenance, housing, recreation, and personnel assistance for afloat surface units and most of the shore tenant activities in the Pearl Harbor area.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
 - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$10,500
 - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. Date 2/6/98							
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62813				4. Project Title				
NAVAL STAT PEARL HARE	•	AII			CAL DISTRIBUT JPGRADES	ION		
5. Program Element	Element 6. Category Code 7. P		7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)		
02047961	N	812.30		180				

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADES	LS	=	-	15,720
SWITCHING STATION	LS	-	-	(1,460)
PRIMARY DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS		-	(4,800)
TRANSFORMER SUBSTATIONS	LS	_	_	(4,580)
SECONDARY DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS	LS	_	_	(4,770)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(110)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	_	540
CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVAL	LS	_	-	(540)
CLIDHOUR				16.060
SUBTOTAL CONTINUED OF A CONTINUED OF	_	_	_	16,260
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	_	_	810
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				17,070
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)		_	_	1,110
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (0.3%)		_	_	1,110
TOTAL REOUEST	_	_	_	18,180
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)
			(11011 1122)	()

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Switching station; upgrades electrical substations, power and electrical distribution systems; technical operating manuals, and sampling, testing, removal, and disposal of contaminated soil.

11. Requirement: As Required. Adequate: N/A. Substandard: N/A. PROJECT:

Upgrades the shore power and industrial outlets and the electrical distribution system at BRAVO and MIKE berthing wharves (wharves B22 to B26 and M1 to M4) to support the berthing plan of the homeported ships. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate power and electrical distribution for homeport berthing and coldiron maintenance of the newer-class power-intensive Guided Missile Destroyers (DDG-51 class) in various nested configurations. At the design load current of 4500 amps required to support the functions performed while connected to shore power, these newer ships (Arleigh Burke class) being homeported at this station have the highest load demand of all the guided missile destroyers that preceded them. In addition, the secondary industrial power outlets at MIKE wharf berths M1 to M3 must be brought into compliance with the design criteria for dockside utilities for ship service by providing industrial outlets to a solidly-grounded electrical system. By upgrading with wharf electrical improvements, this station will adequately meet its operational berthing requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Seventeen surface ships are presently homeported at this station, and the existing shore power electrical system does not provide adequate operational flexibility to berth these ships at the various wharves. The

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98				
NAVY		27 07 30				
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N62813					
NAVAL STA	NAVAL STATION, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII					
4. Project Title		7. Project Number				
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADES P-504						
(continued)						

higher load demand ships such as DDG-51s must operate at less than design loads at those berths unable to provide the shore power requirements of these ships. In addition, the secondary industrial power outlets at MIKE wharf berths M1 to M3 are connected to ungrounded shore-to-ship power systems, resulting in an unsafe condition for operations and maintenance personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, this station will not have sufficient electrical power to support ship loadings nor the operational flexibility to nest the homeported ships at various berths in a bow in or bow out configuration. In addition, the safety of operations and maintenance personnel will continue to be compromised in providing ungrounded shore electrical service from MIKE wharf berths

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(I) Status	ıs:	Statu	(
------------	-----	-------	---

, 50	acus.	
(A)	Date Design Started	05/97
(B)	Date Design 35% Complete	09/97
(C)	Date Design Complete	06/98
(D)	Percent Complete As Of September 1997	35%
(E)	Percent Complete As Of January 1998	40%

(2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:

(3) Total Cost $(C) = ($	A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):
--------------------------	------------------------

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	
(B) All Other Design Costs	(550)
(C) Total	
(D) Contract	(1,450)
(E) In-House	(190)

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.

Installation POC: LCdr Roger Natsuhara, Phone: (808) 474-8190

1. Component									1 2	Date		
navy		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	GRAM	12.			
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	n/HIC: NO	0314		1.	4. Comman	d			2/6/98 5. Area		
								NI CIITEE		Constr Cost		
NAVAL S PEARL H			,				ANDER 1. FIC FLE:	N CHIEF ET		Index		
		11111111111								1.45		
		Dammanan	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Students			Cummontad				
6. Personnel	Officer	Permanen Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Supported Enlisted	Civiliar	Total		
Strength a. As Of	Officer	Ellisted	Civiliali	Officer	Ellisted	Civilian	Officer	Emisted	Civiliai	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
09/30/97	401	3,695	776	0	5	0	60	331	0	5,268		
b. End FY 2004	281	2,194	757	0	4	0	58	351	0	3,645		
		·		7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				· ·		
a. TOI	'AL ACR	EAGE		(125)								
b. INV	ENTORY	TOTAL	AS OF 3	0 SEP 1	997				136,			
			T YET II OUESTED						8	0 060		
e. AUT	'HORIZA	TION IN	CLUDED :	IN THE	FOLLOWI	NG PROG	GRAM		·	0		
			EXT THREENCY						47, 186,	550 950		
_			•••••				• • • • • •	• • • •	379,			
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Progra	m:									
Category								Cost		esign Status		
Code	-	ject Title					Scope	(\$000)				
721.11	BACH	ENL QTR	S MODN			3,	573 m2	8,060	06/9	7 04/98		
	TO	TAL						8,060				
9. Future Pro	jects:											
a. Included		ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):								
1 M ' D	NONE											
b. Major Pl 812.40		xt Three Yea	ars: ITY LIGI	ITTNC				1,800				
740.74			DEV CTI	_	'ION			1,800		_		
152.20			ING WHAI TIONS CI					39,000		-		
143.65	F103	- OPERA	IIONS CI	FNIEK				4,850	_	_		
	_	TAL						47,550				
	•		cklog (\$000): \$188	, 790							
10. Mission On	3		ghoro	faaili+	iog for	+ roini	na and	experim	ontol			
operati	ons of	the su	bmarine	forces	; provi	de logi	istic s	upport t	o subm	arines.		
								leet, tw		narine		
			e Subilia. ance Ac			center,	, and t	he Subma	ттие			
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):								
		nt (*): \$0										
	b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0											
•		-		•								

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM				2. Date 2/6/98	
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00314 4.				4. Project Title		
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII			BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)	
02048961	N	721.11	P-147		8,0	060

7, COST 22211.		1		
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	M2	3,573	_	6,490
BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	M2	2,966	1,656.00	(4,910)
BUILDING ADDITION	M2	607	2,445.00	(1,480)
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	_	_	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	720
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(250)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(240)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	-	(230)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	-	7,210
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	-	360
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	7,570
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	-	490
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	8,060
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Modernizes through rehabilitation and addition a three-story, double wing, concrete structure with 63 double occupancy rooms; expand interior unit area onto existing walkway; new unit access walkway; demolition of existing vertical and horizontal fins and stair modifications/additions to accommodate new walkway; subdivide existing adjoining bathroom to create private bathrooms; private sleeping areas; install walk-in closets, kitchenette, fire sprinklers, and air conditioning system; replace windows, ceiling, floor and wall coverings; repaint building exterior and reroof; install fire alarm system, and upgrade smoke detection system. Demolition to include asbestos and lead paint abatement. Intended Grade mix: 126 E1-E4. Total: 126. Maximum Utilization: 126 E1-E4.

11. Requirement: 1,053 PN Adequate: 540 PN Substandard: (513) PN.

PROJECT:

Modernizes a bachelor enlisted quarters (BEQ) to meet current Department of Defense "1+1" criteria and to comply with Navy and National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) fire protection standards. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate berthing facilities to meet current DOD quality of life standards for Navy personnel.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facility was constructed in 1969 and has never been modernized. The mechanical and electrical systems are obsolete and deteriorated. Windows are osbolete and broken from normal deterioration and age, and there is no fire protection system. The living areas and bathroom configurations do not meet the current DOD habitability criteria, and do not comply with Navy and NFPA fire protection standards. Therefore,

		1
1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
NAVY 3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N00314	
	MARINE BASE, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
BACHELOR	ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	P-147
(continued)		
	ity is incapable of providing adequate and safe living nlisted personnel.	ng areas for
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
continue t will conti Morale and jeopardizi personnel	is project, bachelor enlisted personnel at this base o be subjected to unattractive substandard living concue to be at risk of injury or death in the event of productivity will be adversely impacted, thereby seng the Pacific Naval Submarine Fleet. Retaining trawill be difficult, and opportunities to reduce off-backet be diminished	nditions and a fire. riously ined military
12. Supplemental I	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	used to Military
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used: N/A	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(490) (250) 740 (660) (80)
(4) Cc	nstruction Start	10/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provide ions: NONE.	ed from other
C. Real Pr	operty Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 9,782	
	requirements for unaccompanied housing at this on: 1093 PN	

Installation POC: LCdr Jeffery Hoel, Phone: (808) 471-2972

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONST	2. Date 2/6/98	
3. Installation and Loc NAVY PUBLIC PEARL HARBO	WORKS CENTER,	4. Command NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND	5. Area Constr Cost Index 1.45

6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	15	0	1,476	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,491
2004	18	0	1,595	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,610

7. INVENTORY DATA

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (2,183)	
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997	382,120
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY	0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	28,967
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM	0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS	48,300
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY	72,400
h.	GRAND TOTAL	531,787

8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Design Status
Code	Project Title	Scope	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start Complete
822.14 831.20	* STEAM CONDENSATE RETURN SY * SEWER OUTFALL EXTENSION	,	. ,	01/97 08/98 09/94 12/97
	TOTAL		28,967	

9. Future Projects:

a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

 b. Major Planned 	Next Three Years:
812.30	FY 01 - ELEC DIS

	TOTAL I	10.200		
842.10	FY01 - POTABLE WATER DISTR LINE	3,000	-	-
832.10	FY02 - SEWER MAIN (FORD ISLAND)	2,300	-	-
812.30	FY02 - ELEC DISTR SYS IMPVS	23,000	-	-
812.30	FY 01 - ELEC DISTRIB SYSTEM IMPRS	20,000	-	-

TOTAL 48,300

10. Mission Or Major Functions:

Provide public works, public utilities, housing, engineering services, shore facilities planning support, and all other public works logistics support incident thereto, required by the operating forces, dependent activities, and other commands located in the vicinity of the Pearl Harbor Naval Complex. This center provides services and support to: Naval Shipyard, Naval Submarine Base, Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Naval Station Marine Barricks, Naval Supply Center Naval Magazine, Lualualei Family Housing Areas.

11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):

- a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$28,967
- b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$40,295

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Lo							
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				STEAM CONDENSATE RETURN SYSTEM			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)	
0702856	N	822.14		P-410 6,		190	

2. COST ESTIMAT	LO			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
STEAM CONDENSATE RETURN SYSTEM	m	12,000	_	5,120
CONDENSATE RETURN LINES	m	12,000	367.00	(4,400)
CONDENSATE RECEIVER UNITS (PUMP STATIONS)	LS	-	-	(290)
CATHODIC PROTECTION	LS	-	-	(330)
CONDENSATE STORAGE TANK	LS	-	-	(50)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	-	(50)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	-	330
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(330)
SUBTOTAL	_	_	-	5,450
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	_	-	270
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	_	-	5,720
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	-	370
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-	-	6,090
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Condensate return system for the existing steam distribution system; condensate return lines and storage, receiver/pump stations, cathodic protection, electrical utilities, excavation, trenching and backfill.

11. Requirement: 12,000 m Adequate: 0 m Substandard: (0) m. PROJECT:

Corrects a Class I environmental violation by providing a steam condensate return system for the Naval Station and the Shipyard Pearl Harbor Complex. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate steam condensate return system to reduce the amount of hydrocarbon fuels used in the generation of steam and reduce the discharge of raised-temperature, chemically-treated water into Pearl Harbor. This system will also save energy, conserve water, reduce the cost of treating boiler feed water, and comply with water and air quality regulations.

CURRENT SITUATION:

This center generates steam to provide ship-to-shore hotel services to ships docked at the Naval Station piers and in the Shipyard drydocks. Steam is also provided to industrial shops for cleaning, testing, and processing heat for domestic hot water. The existing steam system, which has been in place for many years, discharges hot condensate directly into Pearl Harbor, at a tremendous waste of energy and water, without a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. This is a Class I environmental violation.

. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N62755	I
NAVY PUBL	IC WORKS CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII	
Project Title		7. Project Number
STEAM CON	DENSATE RETURN SYSTEM	P-410
(continued)		
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
to dischar Water Act. the ships	is project, the existing steam distribution system w ge hot condensate into Pearl Harbor, in violation of The cost for doing this (potential fines) will be as higher utility rates. The extra fuel burning wil o contribute to higher air pollution levels.	the Clean passed on to
12. Supplemental I	Data:	
develop pr Handbook 1 (1) St (A) (B) (C) (D)	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide) atus: Date Design Started	Military 01/97 03/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(370) (190) 560 (500) (60)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: LCdr Ross Woodson, Phone: (808) 471-3926

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62755 4. Project Title						
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII				SEWER OUTFALL EXTENSION		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0702856	N	831.20	P-497		22,	877

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
SEWER OUTFALL EXTENSION	M	3,800	5,385.00	20,460
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)		- -	- -	20,460 1,020
TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	- -	- -	21,480 1,397
TOTAL REQUEST EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	- -	- (NON-ADD)	22,877

10. Description of Proposed Construction

3,800 meter by 1.05 meter diameter wastewater outfall extension, terminating at a water depth of approximately 37 meters.

11. Requirement: 3,800 M Adequate: 0 M Substandard: (0) M.

PROJECT:

This project corrects a Class I environmental violation by constructing an outfall extension at the Navy's Fort Kamehameha Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Extend outfall structure to discharge wastewater effluent into open coastal waters where the State of Hawaii's water quality standards can be met.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The Fort Kamehameha Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) currently has a 547 m long, 760 mm diameter outfall which terminates in the entrance channel of the Pearl Harbor estuary at a depth of 14 m. The Navy has violated Section 11-54-05.2(d) and Section 11-54-06(b)(3) of the State Water Quality Standards at the entrance channel for the nutrients of ammonia, nitrogen, and total nitrogen. This is a Class I violation even though the Navy has not received a Notice of Violation (NOV) and even though these standards are not included in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The new NPDES permit to be issued this calendar year will include nutrient levels based on the current flow of 7.5 MGD at the existing outfall location. Since the flow will increase from 7.5 MGD to 8.5 MGD with the plant expansion, the amount of nutrients discharged will also be greater than what the permit allows, thereby causing a continual Class I violation. The plant expansion has been designed to accommodate increased wastewater flows generated by growth within the Pearl Harbor/Hickam Complexes and will ensure that total suspended solids and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) do not increase. The Pearl Harbor estuary, where the effluent is discharged, is considered an impaired water body which has exceeded nutrient and turbidity limits. Paragraph 11-54-03(4) of the State Water Quality Standards states "... No new sewage discharges

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM		2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N62755		
NAVY PUBL	IC WORKS CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII		
4. Project Title		7. I	Project Number
SEWER OUT	FALL EXTENSION		P-497
(continued)			

shall be permitted within estuaries. No new industrial discharges shall be permitted within estuaries,...." Projects have increased the capacity of the WWTP by adding aeration tanks, new headworks, primary settling tanks, a primary aerobic digester, sand drying beds, and final settling tanks. With the current expansion of the treatment plant, the flow rate will increase from 7.5 MGD to 8.5 MGD with a capacity of 13 MGD, thereby causing increased discharges. This is a Class II violation because the Navy will be in violation when the expansion is completed. By extending the sewer outfall into open coastal waters, the Navy can meet the State's water quality standards and will not be discharging into an impaired water body. This project will correct the Navy's Class I and Class II violations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Non-compliance with stringent effluent and water quality regulations could result in fines, civil liability, curtailment of operations, and hazards to health.

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1) Status:
----	-----------

(A)	Date Design	Started	. 09/94
(B)	Date Design	35% Complete	. 03/96
(C)	Date Design	Complete	. 12/97
(D)	Percent Comp	plete As Of September 1997	. 85%
(E)	Percent Comp	plete As Of January 1998	. 100%

(2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:
- (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A)	Production	of Pla	ans and	Specifications	(1,370)
(B)	All Other I	Design	Costs.		(690)

- (D) Contract (1 920)
- (7)
- B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: ${\tt NONE}$.

Installation POC: LCdr Ross Woodson, Phone: (808) 471-3926

3. Installation and Location/UIC: N42079 NAVAL COMMS AREA MASTER STATION, EASTPAC WAHIAWA, HAWAII 4. Command NAVAL COMPUTER & TELECOMMS COMMAND 1.47	1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	2. Date 2/6/98	
	NAVAL COMM EASTPAC	S AREA MASTER STATION,	NAVAL COMPUTER &	Cost Index

6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	25	548	171	0	0	0	0	0	0	744
2004	28	567	181	0	0	0	0	0	0	776

7. INVENTORY DATA

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (0)	
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997	0
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY	0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	1,970
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM	0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS	0
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY	0
h.	GRAND TOTAL	1,970

8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Design Status	
Code	Project Title	Scope	<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>Start</u>	Complete
730.10	FIRE STATION	539 m2	1,970	09/93	06/98
		-			
	ΤΟΤΔΙ.		1 970		

- 9. Future Projects:
 - a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

NONE

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$8,322

10. Mission Or Major Functions:

As an activity of the Naval telecommunications system, manages, operates, and maintains those facilities, systems, equipment and devices necessary to provide requisite communications for the command, operational control, and administration of the Naval establishment, to manage, operate, and maintain those facilities and equipment of the Defense telecommunications system and the Coast Guard as assigned; and to perform such other functions as may be directed by the Chief of Naval Operations.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
 - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
 - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N42079	· ·
NAVAL COMM	IS AREA MASTER STATION, WAHIAWA, HAWAII	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
FIRE STATI	CON	P-155
(continued)		
sleeping qu station wil	ing personnel will continue to live and work in under marters, poor dining areas and noisy and non-private Il continue to risk operating at a reduced capability and quickly respond to fire and other emergencies.	rooms. The
12. Supplemental D	ata:	
develop pro	cimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been bject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of M 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started)5/94
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	<pre>cal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs Total Contract In-House</pre>	(120) (60) 180 (160) (20)
(4) Cor	nstruction Start(02/99
B. Equipmer appropriati	nt associated with this project which will be provide Lons: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Lt Stephen Foster, Phone: (808) 653-5473

1. Component FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION P					N PRC	CRAM	2.	Date										
NAVY F1 1999 WILLIAM CONSTRU					KUCIK	CHONTROGRAM			2/6/98									
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00210 4. Command						5.	5. Area Constr											
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, CHIEF OF NA							VAL		Cost Index									
GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS					EDUCATION AND				1.26									
TRAINING																		
6. Personnel Permanent Students							Supported											
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total								
a. As Of						_												
09/30/97 b. End FY	556	4,089	1,575	4	5,512	0	286	893	0	12,915								
2004	562	3,880	1,679	3	8,707	0	744	1,635	0	17,210								
	lI			7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				1								
	AL ACR		7.C OE 2.C	(1,03					202	240								
			AS OF 30 T YET II						303,	303,340								
			QUESTED						13,	13,160								
			CLUDED :						0.2	0								
			EXT THRI ENCY							93,550 102,100								
J .	_	_	• • • • • • •				• • • • •	• • • • •	512,									
8. Projects Req	uested In '	This Progra	m:															
Category	,							Cost	De	esign Status								
Code Project Title						Scope	(\$000)		<u>Complete</u>									
171.35 GAS TURBINE TRNG FAC				2,	602 M2	7,410	01/9	7 08/98										
171.20 APPLIED INSTR BLDG MODS				15,	467 m2	5,750	01/9	7 08/98										
	т∩ч	ΓAL						13,160										
9. Future Pro								13,100										
		llowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000).														
a. meraded	NONE	nowing 110	gram (1 1 2	000).														
b. Major Pl	_	vt Three Ve	arc.															
610.10			ans. ONDITION	T TIDCD X	DE			6,600	_	_								
721.11			RECRUIT					14,400	_	_								
171.40	FY02	- DRILL	HALL RE	PL				10,200	_	-								
730.25			SECURITY					1,190	_	-								
171.40 FY02 - REPL RTC DRILL HALL 721.11 FY01 - BEQ (RTC STAFF (178 PN))							10,300 17,400	_	_									
721.14								24,800	-	-								
171.50 FY02 - REPL SMALL ARMS RANGE							5,400		-									
740.21 750.20					ORT CTR			1,700 1,560	_	_								
730.20	750.20 FY03 - ALL WEATHER TRACK 1,560																	
TOTAL							93,550											
c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$238,718																		
10. Mission Or Major Functions:																		
Provide	basic	indoct	rination	n (recr	uit tra	ining)	for en	listed p	ersonn	Provide basic indoctrination (recruit training) for enlisted personnel;								

Provide basic indoctrination (recruit training) for enlisted personnel; primary, advanced, and specialized training for officer and enlisted personnel. Recruit Training Command Service School Command

1. Component	FV 1000 MII ITARV CO	ONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY			2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N00210	4. Command	5. Area Constr Cost Index
NAVAL TRAIN	NING CENTER,	CHIEF OF NAVAL	
GREAT LAKES	S, ILLINOIS	EDUCATION AND	1.26
(continued)		TRAINING	
(continued)			
	ution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):		
a. Pollution Abat	tement (*): \$0		
b. Occupational	Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0		

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00210				4. Project Title			
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS				APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0805796N 171.20		P-566 5,		750			

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	m2	15,467	-	5,160
BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	m2	15,467	315.00	(4,870)
TEMPORARY CLASSROOMS	-	-	-	(240)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(50)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,160
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	260
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	_	5,420
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	330
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,750
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Replace existing roof-mounted air handlers and window air conditioning units with central heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems.

11. Requirement: <u>15,467 m2</u> Adequate: <u>0 m2</u> Substandard: <u>(15,467) m2.</u>

PROJECT:

Provides centralized HVAC systems for two adjacent applied instruction buildings and temporary classrooms. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility with proper environmental controls to accomplish combat systems training, to effectively run the sensitive electronic training equipment, and to meet current indoor air quality requirements. This project will also provide sufficient cooling for the introduction of new technology systems consisting of Learning Resource Centers (LRCs), Automated Electronic Classrooms (AECs), and self-paced electronic training systems, that are required to unconstrain vital fleet ratings which are seriously undermanned in the fleet. The centralized HVAC system will prevent heat damage to electronic training equipment and provide an acceptable training environment, meeting quality of life standards for students and instructors. Temporary classrooms are required for the 18 months during which the modifications to the two buildings will be accomplished.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Roof-mounted air handlers and window units currently provide air conditioning for both buildings. The combined refrigeration capacity is approximately one-half of that required for maintaining electronic training equipment within acceptable temperature and humidity tolerances and providing an acceptable training environment. Excessive heat in the summer of 1995 resulted in the loss of electronic training equipment with a replacement value exceeding \$375,000. Some rooms have no direct supply of air conditioning, and those with window units do not have an adequate

1. Component 2. Date FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2/6/98 NAVY 3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00210 NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS 4. Project Title 7. Project Number APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS P-566

(...continued)

supply of outdoor air. During the summer, temperatures exceed 90 degrees. This excessive heat generates interior temperatures exceeding 100 degrees. "Tropical hours" scheduling has been used in the past to accommodate these conditions. A proposed third shift for 1998 will eliminate all alternative scheduling. The addition of new technology electronic training systems is jeopardized due to excessive heat conditions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, students will be trained in an unsatisfactory environment, degrading the effectiveness of this center's training mission. Premature maintenance and replacement of electronic training equipment will persist. The introduction of state-of-the art equipment to upgrade students' technical proficiency cannot be accomplished because of the excessive heat during the summer months. Introduction of the new technology LRCs, AECs and self-paced electronic training student work stations indicates an expected reduction of Core curriculum time of five weeks (approximately 20% elapsed time reduction), saving an estimated \$4 million and providing technicians to the fleet sooner. Without proper air conditioning, computerized training cannot be accomplished

12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

	/ 1) Status	٠
- 1		STAINS	

(A) Date Design	Started	01/97
(B) Date Design	35% Complete	09/97
(C) Date Design	Complete	08/98
(D) Percent Comp	plete As Of September 1997	35%
(E) Percent Com	olete As Of January 1998	50%

(2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: dsgn/build
- (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(325)
(B) All Other Design Costs	(50)
(C) Total	375
(D) Contract	(350)
(E) In-House	(25)

- B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.

Installation POC: LCdr William Eich, Phone: (847) - 688-4818

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Loc						
NAVAL TRAI GREAT LAKE			GAS TURBINE TRAINING FACILITY			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
08057961	J	171.35	110			

9. COST ESTIMAT	LO			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
GAS TURBINE TRAINING FACILITY	M2	2,602	-	5,710
BUILDING	M2	2,602	1,872.00	(4,870)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(750)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	-	(90)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	-	-	950
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(440)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	_	(210)
DEMOLITION	LS	_	_	(300)
SUBTOTAL	_	-	_	6,660
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	_	_	330
TOTAL GOVERN OF GOOD				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	-	_	6,990
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	-	_	420
TOTAL DECLECT				7,410
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	(NON VDD)	
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(3,845)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Two-story, steel-frame building, concrete block and brick interior wall, concrete floors and single-ply membrane or metal roof on an insulated deck; sound control, equipment cooling water, compressed air systems, high volume ventilation, computer room cooling, access flooring system, battery room ventilation, waste oil collection system, equipment isolation foundations, crane system, fire protection system, fuel oil distribution, classrooms, administrative area, instructors and students work spaces and lounges, air conditioning, utilities, demolition of one building and removal of existing underground storage tanks.

11. Requirement: <u>2,602 M2</u> Adequate: <u>0 M2</u> Substandard: <u>(1,529) M2.</u>

PROJECT:

Constructs a gas turbine training facility. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

An adequate and properly-configured facility to accommodate a Gas Turbine School at Great Lakes for the Service School Command. This facility is necessary to support operational training requirements on existing Fleet propulsion systems, as well as those newly delivered to the Fleet. This requirement is driven by the increase of gas turbine propelled ships and the new and expanded requirement to provide additional classroom/laboratory space for the technical training equipment pertinent to the DDG51 propulsion system. The courses of instruction provided by this school are Gas Turbine "C" School, Prospective Engineering Officer Course (PEOC), Senior Enlisted Propulsion Engineering Course (SEPEC), Console Operator Training, and Marine Gas Turbine Inspector Course.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facility is 51 years old and has outlived its economic life. This facility provides only static generic/core/strand training on some

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N00210	
NAVAL TRA	INING CENTER, GREAT LAKES, ILLINOIS	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
GAS TURBI	NE TRAINING FACILITY	P-518
(continued)		
training. the DDG51 of current on trainin shutdown o facility b the existi	components and does not provide any required operat The existing facility cannot provide the required t system, because it is substantially undersized imped systems and installation of new propulsion systems. In gof the Journeyman "C" School has been suspended be of the operational engines and ancillary equipment in because of life safety and environmental consideration and facility has deficiencies of structural adequacy, fire protection, and safety which preclude operational	raining on ing upgrade The hands- cause of a the existing ns. Overall, fuel
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
readiness laboratory the Navy t upgrade an	his project, current training requirements cannot be cannot be obtained unless dynamic systems training a retraining are provided for the gas turbine systems. To perform the training mission will increase due to admaintain a substandard facility. Gas turbine sail duty will require extensive on-the-job training aboat	nd hands-on The cost to the need to ors reporting
12. Supplemental I	Data:	
develop pr	stimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been roject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: YES Where Design Was Most Recently Used: dsgn/build	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	Ptal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs Total Contract In-House	(460) (220) 680 (620) (60)
(4) Cc	nstruction Start	11/98
B. Equ	sipment associated with this project which will be pr	ovided from

Procuring Appropriated Cost Appropriation Or Requested (\$000) Nomenclature O&MN 1999 3,845 TRAINING EQUIPMENT

3,845 TOTAL

Fiscal Year

Installation POC: LCdr William Eich, Phone: (847) - 688-4818

Equipment

other appropriations:

1. Component		FV 10	99 MILI	TADV	CONST	DIICTIC	N DDC	VCD AM	2. D	ate
NAVY		r 1 19	99 MILLI	IAKI	CONST	KUCIK	JN PKU	JGKANI		2/6/98
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	n/UIC: NO	0174			4. Comman	d			5. Area
		WARFARI MARYLANI	E CENTER D	≀ DIVIS	ION,	NAVAI COMM <i>A</i>	L SEA S' AND	YSTEMS		Constr Cost Index
										0.88
				T			г			
6. Personnel		Permanen			Students	•		Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	81	417	2,363	0	35	0	25	173	0	3,094
2004	47	211	2,853	0	0	0	25	173	0	3,309
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
e. AUT f. PLA g. REM	HORIZA NNED I IAINING	ATION IN IN THE N B DEFICI	QUESTED CLUDED I EXT THRI ENCY	IN THE EE PROG	FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	NG PROG	GRAM		6,68 6,40 67,40 343,3	0 0 00
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Program	m:							
Category	ъ.	·					C	Cost		gn Status
<u>Code</u> 226.65 *		<u>ject Title</u> .LING OV	EN FACII	LITY			<u>Scope</u> 239 m2	<u>(\$000)</u> 6,680		Complete 09/98
	TO	TAL						6,680		
9. Future Pro	jects:									
a. Included	In The Fo	ollowing Pro	gram (FY 20	000):						
831.10 *	SEWAG	E TREAT	MENT PLA	TM				6,400	_	_
	ТО	TAL						6,400		
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	xt Three Yea	ars:							
	NONE									
	INOIAE									
c. Real Pro		ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000)): \$66,	904					
c. Real Pro	perty Mair		cklog (\$000)): \$66,	904					

Provide material and technical support for weapon systems, weapons or components. Maintain and operate facilities for mixing, blending, casting and extruding chemicals, propellants and explosives and for the assembly and test of rocket and missile motors. Conduct research in propellants, explosives and related fields, including producing pilot plant quantities of new chemicals. Repair, rework, and modify fleet returned guided missile propulsion units. Provide logistic support for the Naval Explosive Ordnance Disposal Facility and the Naval School, Explosive Ordnance Disposal.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
 - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$13,080
 - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98							
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N0 0174 4. Project Title									
NAVAL SUR INDIAN HE	ITY								
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number 8.		8. Project Cost (\$00	00)			
0702856	N	226.65		P-149	6,6	580			

	_~			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
ANNEALING OVEN FACILITY	m2	239	_	5,280
BUILDING INCLUDING OVENS	m2	239	4,396.00	(1,050)
POLLUTION CONTROL UNITS	LS	_	_	(4,160)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(70)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	720
UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(250)
PAVING, SITE IMPROVEMENT AND DEMOLITION	LS	_	_	(180)
DECONTAMINATION AND REMOVAL	LS	_	_	(290)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	6,000
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	300
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	6,300
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	_	_	380
TOTAL REQUEST	-	_	-	6,680
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story reinforced concrete building, 18 integrated stainless steel annealing ovens, industrial ventilation system, pollution control system, and control building; wash down water collection system, lightning protection system, stacks, and steam heating system for ovens; best available control technology to remove nitroglycerin vapor emissions to highest specified standard; concrete aprons and loading docks for ovens, and demolition and decontamination of existing facilities.

11. Requirement: 239 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

PROJECT:

Constructs an annealing oven facility with more stringent air pollution controls and industrial ventilation technology to correct a Class I environmental violation. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

An adequate state-of-the-art facility to support the existing mission of producing nitroglycerin (NG) containing propellant products used in many Fleet applications. A new facility is required for heat treating propellant grains while using air pollution control technology to reduce NG vapor emmissions from the exhaust streams and industrial ventilation technology to reduce potential worker exposure to specified standards. This project will replace existing annealing oven facilities that cannot be made to comply with current air quality and water pollution standards.

CURRENT SITUATION:

The process of heat treating propellant grains in the existing annealing ovens to remove internal stresses releases NG vapors to the atmosphere in violation of local air emmissions standards and exposes personnel to potential hazards during the production and oven cleaning processes. This

MARYLAND 4. Project Title ANNEALING OV (continued) is a Class I included in to potential sou cited with a IMPACT IF NOT Without this State of Mary ovens could in facility for	TEN FACILITY environmental violation. Safety ventilation systems project. Also, the associated waste water rulerce of groundwater pollution, although it has not notice of violation.	7. Project Number P-149 ems are noff is a yet been with current annealing
MARYLAND 4. Project Title ANNEALING OV (continued) is a Class I included in to potential souncited with a IMPACT IF NOT Without this State of Mary ovens could in facility for	environmental violation. Safety ventilation systems project. Also, the associated waste water runce of groundwater pollution, although it has not notice of violation. PROVIDED: project, this activity will be out of compliance reland environmental standards. Failure to replace result in fines or jeopardize production at the Na	7. Project Number P-149 ems are noff is a yet been with current annealing
ANNEALING OV (continued) is a Class I included in to potential souncited with a IMPACT IF NOT Without this State of Mary ovens could infacility for	environmental violation. Safety ventilation syst this project. Also, the associated waste water rulerce of groundwater pollution, although it has not notice of violation. PROVIDED: project, this activity will be out of compliance rland environmental standards. Failure to replace result in fines or jeopardize production at the Na	P-149 ems are noff is a yet been with current annealing
(continued) is a Class I included in to potential sound the cited with a IMPACT IF NOT Without this State of Mary ovens could infacility for	environmental violation. Safety ventilation syst this project. Also, the associated waste water rulerce of groundwater pollution, although it has not notice of violation. PROVIDED: project, this activity will be out of compliance rland environmental standards. Failure to replace result in fines or jeopardize production at the Na	ems are noff is a yet been with current annealing
is a Class I included in to potential sound cited with a IMPACT IF NOT Without this State of Mary ovens could infacility for	this project. Also, the associated waste water rulerce of groundwater pollution, although it has not notice of violation. PROVIDED: project, this activity will be out of compliance rland environmental standards. Failure to replace result in fines or jeopardize production at the Na	noff is a yet been with current annealing
included in topotential souncited with a IMPACT IF NOT Without this State of Mary ovens could a facility for	this project. Also, the associated waste water rulerce of groundwater pollution, although it has not notice of violation. PROVIDED: project, this activity will be out of compliance rland environmental standards. Failure to replace result in fines or jeopardize production at the Na	noff is a yet been with current annealing
Without this State of Mary ovens could i facility for	project, this activity will be out of compliance rland environmental standards. Failure to replace result in fines or jeopardize production at the Na	annealing
State of Mary ovens could a facility for	land environmental standards. Failure to replace esult in fines or jeopardize production at the Na	annealing
12 Supplemental Data		
12. Supplemental Data:		
develop proje	nated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been ect costs. Project design conforms to Part II of), Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) Da (C) Da (D) Pa	ns: Ate Design Started	03/97
	:: candard or Definitive Design: NO dere Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) P1 (B) A2 (C) Tc (D) Cc	Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): coduction of Plans and Specifications. 1 Other Design Costs. btal. n-House.	(410) (200) 610 (540) (70)
(4) Const	ruction Start	01/99
B. Equipment appropriation	associated with this project which will be provides: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: LCdr Kevin Slates, Phone: (301) 743-4288

		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	N PR(CRAM	2. D	ate
NAVY				IAKI				UKAN		2/6/98
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	on/UIC: N6	2604			4. Comman	d			5. Area
NAVAL C	ONSTRU	CTION B	ATTALN (CENTER,			L FACIL			Constr Cost Index
GULFPOR	T, MIS	SISSIPP	I			ENGIN	NEERING	COMMAND)	0.85
					L					0.05
6. Personnel		Permanen			Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	192	3,269	1,474	0	151	0	6	432	0	5,524
2004	188	2,894	1,863	0	544	0	4	69	0	5,562
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
e. AUT f. PLA g. REM	THORIZA ANNED I MAINING	ATION RE ATION IN IN THE N B DEFICI	CLUDED : EXT THRI ENCY	IN THE EE PROG	FOLLOWI FRAM YEA	ING PROG ARS	GRAM		10,6 11,5 29,6 198,7	80 0 50
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Program	m:							
							C	Cost		gn Status
Category	Dec	:- at Title					Scope	(\$000)	Start	
Category Code 721.11	-	ject Title ENL OTR:	S REPLAC	CEMENT		7,	490 m2			Complete 09/96
Code	BACH	ENL QTR	S REPLAC	CEMENT		7,	490 m2	10,670	05/95	09/96
<u>Code</u> 721.11	BACH TO	-	S REPLAC	CEMENT		7,	490 m2		05/95	-
<u>Code</u> 721.11 9. Future Pro	BACH TO	ENL QTR				7,	490 m2	10,670	05/95	-
<u>Code</u> 721.11 9. Future Pro	BACH TO pjects: In The Fo	ENL QTR	gram (FY 2			7,	490 m2	10,670	05/95	-
Code 721.11 9. Future Pro a. Included	BACH TO ojects: In The Fo	ENL QTR	gram (FY 2			7,	490 m2	10,670 10,670 11,580	05/95	-
Code 721.11 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11	BACH TO pjects: In The Fo BEQ M	ENL QTR: OTAL Ollowing Pro ODERNIZA OTAL	gram (FY 2 ATION			7,	490 m2	10,670 10,670	05/95	-
Code 721.11 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11	BACH TO pjects: In The Fo BEQ M	ENL QTR	gram (FY 2 ATION			7,	490 m2	10,670 10,670 11,580	05/95	-
Code 721.11 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11 b. Major Pl	BACH TO ojects: In The Fo BEQ M TO lanned Nex	ENL QTR: OTAL Ollowing Pro ODERNIZA OTAL	gram (FY 2 ATION ars:	000):	303	7,	490 m2	10,670 10,670 11,580	05/95	-
Code 721.11 9. Future Pro a. Included 721.11 b. Major Pl	BACH TO pjects: In The Fo BEQ M TO lanned Nev NONE	ENL QTR: OTAL OTAL OTAL OTAL xt Three Yea ntenance Ba	gram (FY 2 ATION ars:	000):	303	7,	490 m2	10,670 10,670 11,580	05/95	-

Augmentation Unit
11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):

a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0

b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. Date 2/6/98	
3. Installation and Lo	Installation and Location/UIC: N62604 4. Project Title						
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI				BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0702896	N	721.11	P-759		10,	670	

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	M2	7,490	-	7,840
BUILDING	M2	7,490	1,003.00	(7,510)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(250)
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS		-	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	-	-	1,750
UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(450)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	_	(750)
DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS REMOVAL	_	_	_	(550)
Q				
SUBTOTAL	_	_	_	9,590
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	_	_	480
				10 070
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	_	_	10,070 600
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	_	_	600
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	_	10,670
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)
			(1.01. 1100)	(0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction

Multi-story, reinforced concrete building, concrete spread footings, concrete floors, masonry walls with brick facing; built-up roof on concrete roof deck; 107 modules with two private sleeping/living rooms, two walk-in closets, kitchenette/service area, and an adjoining full, semi-private bath shared by up to two persons; sound attenuation; BEQ admin and lobby, laundry, vending, multi-purpose lounge/training/game/recreation rooms, community kitchen, housekeeping and storage; elevators; fire detection, alarm and automatic sprinkler systems; utility and mechanical rooms; communications and cable TV system distribution; heating, ventilating and air conditioning; utilities; paving, site improvements, demolition of four buildings, and asbestos removal. Intended Grade Mix: 154 E1-E4, 30 E5/6. Total: 184. Maximum Utilization by 214 E1-E4.

11. Requirement: 1,490 PN Adequate: 322 PN Substandard: (1,026) PN.

PROJECT:

Constructs a bachelor enlisted quarters in compliance with Department of Defense "1+1" standard for permanent party personnel. (Current mission.)

REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured housing facilities to accommodate enlisted battalion personnel while in homeport.

CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities were constructed over 20 years ago, are inadequate, overcrowded, and do not meet current housing criteria.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, battalion personnel would continue to live in

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
	cation/UIC: N62604	
NAVAL CONS	STRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
BACHELOR I	ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT	P-759
(continued)		
increased w	hat are inadequate. Continued use of existing facil use of off-base housing would impact on the morale o and this center's ability to accomplish its mission.	
12. Supplemental D	ata:	
develop pro	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 1 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started	03/96 09/96 100%
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: YES Where Design Was Most Recently Used: dsgn/build	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(650) (330) 980 (870) (110)
(4) Coi	nstruction Start	10/98
B. Equipmen appropriat:	nt associated with this project which will be provid ions: NONE.	ed from other
C. Real Pro	operty Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 3,344	
	requirements for unaccompanied housing at this on: 1168 PN	

Installation POC: LCDR Michael Lipski, Phone: (228) 871-2241

1. Component		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. Date			
NAVY		r i 19	77 WIILI	IANI	CONST	NUCII	JNTKU	JUNAM		2/6/98	
3. Installation at	nd Locatio	on/UIC: M6	7001			4. Comman	ıd				. Area
	~~~~					~~				(	Constr Cost
MARINE (			03DOT TN13				ANDANT NE CORP	-		I	ndex
CAMP LE	JEUNE,	NORTH (	CAROLINA	Α		MAICTI	NE CORE	D			0.90
											0.50
Ī				1	~ .		ı				
6. Personnel		Permanen			Students			Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilia	ın	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97	127	1,008	1,632	47	3,466	0	2,084	29,726	3,09	ρ Ω	41,188
b. End FY	127	1,000	1,052	17	3,100		2,001	20,120	3,05	0	11,100
2004	127	1,019	1,582	267	6,835	0	2,528	28,703	2,94	8	44,009
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
	AL ACR		7.C OF 3.	(127,					000	~	
			AS OF 30 T YET II						883	, 68	0
			QUESTED						14	,60	-
e. AUT	HORIZA	TION IN	CLUDED :	IN THE	FOLLOWI	NG PROC	GRAM		6	,50	00
			EXT THRI							, 34	
J		 B. DEFICI	ENCY		• • • • • • •	• • • • • •		• • • • •	315 <b>1,314</b>		
										,	
8. Projects Requ	uested In	This Prograi	m:								
Category	_						_	Cost		_	n Status
<u>Code</u>	Pro	ject Title					<u>Scope</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>			<u>Complete</u>
872.10			RE PHY S	SECURIT	1		0 LS	•			06/98
730.10	FIRE	STATION					761 m2	1,830	03/	9 /	06/98
	TO	TAL						14,600	ı		
9. Future Pro	jects:										
		ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000).							
214.51		_	FACILITY					6,500	_		_
211.31	1.12.12.14.1	a orb	гистытт	-					•		
	TO	TAL						6,500	1		
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	xt Three Yea	ars:								
171.50	FY01	- SIM M	ARKSMANS	SHIP TR	NG			7,000	-		-
730.82 *								1,240			-
740.74 721.11		- CHILD - BEQ	DEVELOR	PMENT C	ENTER			3,500 11,700			_
214.51			OPS OVM	SHOP				10,830			_
217.10			COMM MAI		PS			4,700			-
214.53			MAINT (					13,300			-
722.10			HALL ADI					2,150			-
214.53 214.53			T VEH MA T VEH MA					3,500 4,140			- -
214.53			NT SHP/E					7,470			-
214.53	FY02	- COMBA	T VEHICI	LE MAIN	T SHOP			3,810	-		-
851.10			ROAD & U					6,300			-
721.11	FYUL	- BACHE	LOR ENLI	LOTED Q	UAKTERS			14,700			-
	ТО	TAL						94,340			
c. Real Pro			cklog (\$000	): \$88,	615			• •			
10. Mission Or			0 (1220)	. 1 1							
			ining f	acili+i	es 100	ristice	gunnor	t, and c	rertai	n	
adminis	trativ	re suppo	rt for 1	Fleet M	Marine F	orce ur	nits an	d other	units		
assigne	d. Co	nduct s	peciali	zed sch	nools fo	or other	r train	ing as d	lirect	ed.	

1. Component	77.4000 3.77	ONGERNA CONTRACTOR OF THE	2. Date
NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: M67001	4. Command	5. Area
MARINE COR		COMMANDANT OF THE	Constr Cost Index
CAMP LEJEU	NE, NORTH CAROLINA	MARINE CORPS	0.90
(continued)			
	ution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):		
a. Pollution Aba	tement (*): \$1,240		
b. Occupational	Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0		

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	allation and Location/UIC: M67001 4. Project Title					
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA				FIRE STATION		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)	
0206496	M	730.10	P-931		1,830	

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
FIRE STATION	m2	761	-	980
BUILDING	m2	761	1,188.00	(900)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	_	-	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	670
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(30)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(100)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(190)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	_	(350)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	1,650
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	_	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	-	-	1,730
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-		1,830
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

#### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story, two-company building, detached hazardous storage building, pile foundation with reinforced concrete pile caps, grade beams and slab, masonry cavity walls, and standing seam metal roof over steel decking on structural steel-framing system; overhead engine exhaust system; fire protection system, utility connections, security lighting, bituminous pavement parking, concrete parking and drives, air conditioning, and site improvements.

11. Requirement: 761 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

### PROJECT:

Constructs a two-company fire station for the French Creek area. (Current mission.)

#### **REQUIREMENT:**

A new, optimally sited, fire station to meet fire-safety and emergency response time and distance requirements as stated in MCO P11000.11B and DOD Instruction 6055.6.

### CURRENT SITUATION:

The French Creek area is currently served by a fire station which is not located properly to serve the entire French Creek area. Response time and distance parameters from the current facility have created a risky and unacceptable fire safety control problem. A recent command inspection rated the location of the existing station as unsatisfactory. Relocation to a site which allows appropriate response time is critical.

#### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, adequate fire protection service will not exist for

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
NAVY  3. Installation and La	ocation/UIC: M67001	27 07 30
	RPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA	
4. Project Title	2.102, 6.11.1 2202012, 1.61.11.1 6.11.622111.1	7. Project Number
FIRE STAT	ION	P-931
(continued)		
the French	Creek area, resulting in a possible loss of lives a	nd property.
12. Supplemental Γ	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	06/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used: N/A	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(110) (60) 170 (150) (20)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Larry Brant, Phone: (910) 451-1833

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. Date 2/6/98	
3. Installation and Lo	Location/UIC: M67001 4. Project Title						
MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA				INFRASTRUCTURE PHYSICAL SECURITY			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0206496	M	872.10	P-062A		12,770		

5. COST ESTIMATES								
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)				
INFRASTRUCTURE PHYSICAL SECURITY	LS	-	-	4,050				
OBSERVATION TOWERS	LS	_	-	(750)				
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	LS	_	-	(240)				
BRIDGE	LS	_	-	(560)				
PERIMETER FENCE	LS	_	-	(2,500)				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	-	7,430				
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(730)				
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(3,400)				
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	-	(3,100)				
MITIGATION	LS	-	-	(200)				
SUBTOTAL	-	-	_	11,480				
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	_	570				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	-	_	12,050				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	-	-	720				
HOMAL DROUBOR				10 770				
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	(37037 3 7 7 7 7 7	12,770				
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)				

#### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

56.33 kilometers of 1.83 meter chain link fence with 6.1 meter cleared right of way and 3.66-7.32 meter swing gates at various road crossings; access road work and utility construction to support two multi-purpose machine gun ranges; 24.15 kilometers of 6.71 meter wide tactical and perimeter roadwork and 4.83 kilometers of 9.14 meter wide tank trail including a 30.48 meter bridge span; four 45.72 meter high observation towers and renovation of two buildings.

11. Requirement: As Required. Adequate: N/A. Substandard: N/A.

PROJECT:

Provides perimeter fencing, access roads, observation towers, and utility construction to further support ranges currently under development in the Greater Sandy Run Area. (Current mission.)

# REQUIREMENT:

Adequate infrastructure to support Marine Corps training operations. Development of raw land to support training operations requires various infrastructure improvements: (1) perimeter fencing for security; (2) observation towers for strategic viewing of scenarios involving tank and infantry movement operations; and (3) roads and tank trails for access to all ranges in the Greater Sandy Run Area. Access roads will allow complete tank access and circulation corridors for the entire training area. The complex is also required for joint service exercises for combat readiness training exercises.

### CURRENT SITUATION:

Land and training deficiencies at the Camp Lejeune complex were identified by studies in the 1980's, which resulted in a major land acquisition of the

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98		
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: M67001			
MARINE CO	RPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA			
4. Project Title		7. Project Number		
INFRASTRUCTURE PHYSICAL SECURITY P-062A				
(continued)				

Greater Sandy Run Area (GSRA) in 1992. Results of these studies identified deficiencies in the automated field firing range, sniper training field fire range, machine gun range complex, individual shoulder fire weapons range, multi-purpose range complex, anti-armor tracking and live-fire range and CATFAE (Land mine Countermeasure System). Development proceeded in 1994 with two tank crew Remote Engagement Target System (RETS) ranges. These ranges allow tank crews and ground units to train at Camp Lejeune rather than deploying to Fort Bragg or Fort Benning for their required training. This project will provide additional infrastructure in support of the GSRA master development plan.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Previously acquired land will not be put to its optimum use. Marine Corps training requirements will not be met locally

### 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1) Status:	
(A) Date Design Started	11/95
(B) Date Design 35% Complete	03/97
(C) Date Design Complete	06/98
(D) Percent Complete As Of September 1997	45%
(E) Percent Complete As Of January 1998	60%

- (2) Basis:
  - (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
  - (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: N/A
- (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(780)
(B) All Other Design Costs	(390)
(C) Total	
(D) Contract	(1,030)
(E) In-House	(140)

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.

Installation POC: Larry Brant, Phone: (910) 451-1833

1. Component		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							2. D	ate	
NAVY								2/6/98		2/6/98	
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	d Location/UIC: M00146 4. Command									5. Area
MARINE	MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, COMMANDANT OF THE								Constr Cost Index		
			CAROLINA	A		MARIN	E CORP	S			
											0.92
				T							
6. Personnel		Permanen			Students		,	Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civil	ian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97	93	1,079	1,046	33	754	0	860	7,324	4,6	68	15,857
b. End FY						_					
2004	91	545	1,124	85	390	0	865	6,730	5,7	64	15,594
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
a. T01	AL ACR	EAGE		(29,1	.39)						
			AS OF 3	0 SEP 1	997				51	2,9	
			T YET II QUESTED							5,0·	0 4 0
e. AUT	HORIZA	TION IN	CLUDED	IN THE	FOLLOWI	NG PROG	GRAM			0,0	0
*			EXT THR							7,6	
J			ENCY				• • • • • •			6,5 <b>3,1</b>	
8. Projects Req	uggtad In '	This Drogram	m:								
-	uesteu III	Tills Flograi	11.					Cost		Dogi	gn Status
Category <u>Code</u>	Pro	ject Title					Scope	(\$000)		art	
141.20	-		RESCUE S	STA ADD	)		505 m2				06/98
740.74	CHILD	DEVELO	PMENT CI	ENTER		2,	195 m2	4,420		97	06/98
	ΤО	TAL						6,040			
9. Future Pro	_							0,010			
		ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):							
	NONE	8		, .							
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	xt Three Ye	ars:								
740.50			SS CENTI	ER				7,600	-	-	_
D 1D		TAL	11 (0000	. 424	202			7,600			
			cklog (\$000	): \$34,	203						
10. Mission On											
								eering s c works			
support	incid	lent the	reto, r	equired	l by the	operat	ing for	rces, de	pend	ent	
activit Naval C								of the ort to:			arbor
Shipyar	- <u>-</u>	11115	Center	PLOVIO	ics serv			arine Ba			l Air
Station	ı, Barb	ers Poi					ation 1	Marine B	arra	cks	
		Center Ig Areas	Naval M	agazine	e, Lualu	ıalei					
				(0000)							
11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):											
a. Pollution	Abateme	nt (*): \$C	)								
b. Occupati	ional Safet	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#	): \$0							

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M00146 4. Project Title						
MARINE CO CHERRY PO		STATION, TH CAROLINA	AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0206496	M	141.20	P-011		1,6	520

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION	m2	505	-	860
BUILDING ADDITION	m2	505	1,615.00	(820)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(40)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	600
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	_	(50)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(70)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(120)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	-	(360)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	1,460
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	70
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	1,530
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	90
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	1,620
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

# 10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story building addition, pile foundation and concrete floor, masonry walls, metal roof deck and modified bitumen roof; three high-bays with overhead sectional doors for vehicle parking; administrative space and sleeping quarters, air conditioning, fire protection system, utilities, concrete apron extension and bituminous pavement for heavy vehicles.

11. Requirement: <u>1,212 m2</u> Adequate: <u>707 m2</u> Substandard: <u>(0) m2.</u>

#### PROJECT:

Constructs an addition to the aircraft fire and rescue (AFR) station to house an additional three AFR vehicles. (Current mission.)

### REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities to house nine AFR vehicles. These vehicles have tanks built into their bodies for water, foam, and halon, and carry highly specialized emergency response equipment. Because of their unique equipment requirements and the need for these vehicles to be in a state of readiness at all times, it is critical that they be housed in a covered, heated area.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facility has only enough space available to park six vehicles. Therefore, fire and rescue trucks are parked outside, unprotected from freezing weather. Because vehicles parked outside must be drained, they are unavailable for immediate response to emergencies for extended periods of time. Also, crash crew training personnel are working in inadequate, semi-permanent, wooden structures built in 1942. The crash crew is responsible for the safety of personnel and assets associated with over 13,000 landings and departures at Cherry Point each month.

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY		2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: M00146	
MARINE CO	RPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
AIRCRAFT	FIRE AND RESCUE STATION ADDITION	P-011
(continued)		
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
weather. refilling housed to operationa this proje protection	escue trucks will continue to be unprotected from fr Valuable working time will continue to be lost in dr each truck. It is critical that these vehicles be p ensure that all emergency response systems are maint l condition so they are consistently ready. Addition ct, the health and safety of the troops, as well as of personnel and high value assets associated with air traffic is in jeopardy.	aining and roperly ained in ally, without the
12. Supplemental D	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	06/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(85) (60) 145 (130) (15)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
	nt associated with this project which will be provid	ed from other

appropriations: NONE.

Installation POC: Joseph Reilly, Phone: (919) 466-4763

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98						
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M00146 4. Project Title								
MARINE CO CHERRY PO		STATION, TH CAROLINA		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$00	00)		
0206496	М	740.74	P-077		P-077 4		4,4	120

T	77/24	0 ::	II ' C	G ((0000)
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	m2	2,195	-	3,140
BUILDING	m2	2,195	1,230.00	(2,700)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	_	(440)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	830
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(160)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(110)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	-	(560)
SUBTOTAL	_	-	-	3,970
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	200
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,170
	_	_	_	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	_	_	250
MOMAL DEGLECO				4 420
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	(31031 3.00)	4,420
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

#### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story, load bearing masonry wall building with brick veneer, concrete foundation and floor slab, standing seam metal roof system, fire protection system, closed circuit television, air conditioning, fenced in playground with built-in equipment and storage shed, kitchen and laundry areas with built-in equipment, utilities, paving, and site improvements.

11. Requirement: 3,787 m2 Adequate: 1,592 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

### PROJECT:

Constructs a child development center to accommodate 258 children. (Current mission.)

#### REOUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-sized facility to accommodate infants, toddlers, and pre-school age children. A child development center provides supervised care for infants, pre-school, and school age children in a common facility, on a regularly scheduled or drop-in basis when parents are employed or otherwise unable to care for them. Child development centers are a necessary element in today's environment as their availability alleviates many problems incurred by military and DOD civilian parents who are single, who both work, or who have other special needs. These centers make the quality of life more apealing for military personnel, DOD civilians, and their dependents.

### CURRENT SITUATION:

A large number of Marine Corps dependent children who need day care are turned away from existing facilities because of a lack of space. There are 200 children occupying the existing facility which is at full capacity. In addition, there are three temporary modular child development units which are accommodating a total of 68 children. There is an average waiting list of 195 children. There are shortages of administrative and staff space because of the recent consolidation of family services and child care.

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY		2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: M00146	
MARINE CO	RPS AIR STATION, CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
CHILD DEV	ELOPMENT CENTER	P-077
(continued)		
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
depriving facilities placed on	is project, children requiring child care cannot be a Marine Corps dependents of professional care. Tempora will continue to be used for child care. The number the waiting list will continue to increase because exare at full capacity.	ary r of children
12. Supplemental D	Pata:	
A. Es develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of I 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started	06/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(240) (165) 405 (360) (45)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provide ions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Joseph Reilly, Phone: (919) 466-4763

NAVY  3. Installation ar  NAVAL EI		F I 19		'   '	CONTOT	'DII/C'TI	ANI DDA				
			99 MIILI	IAKY	CONSI	RUCTIO	JN PRU	GKAM		2/6/98	
NAVAL E	3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62661 4. Command									5. Area	
NAVAL E	חוומ א יידר	י כוואיג זאר	דים א דאנדאנ	CENTER	D	CUTEI	F OF NA	77 T		Constr Cost	
NEWPORT				CENIE	κ,	_	TION A		I	ndex	
NEWI ORT	, 101101	a IOLAN				TRAIN				1.09	
					•				•		
. [		Permanen	<b>+</b>		Students		<u> </u>	Supported			
6. Personnel	O.CC.		-	O.CC.			O.C.			m . 1	
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total	
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	1,270	913	3,893	240	244	0	0	150	0	6,710	
2004	1,315	1,021	4,366	366	384	0	2	150	0	7,604	
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
a. TOT	AL ACR	EAGE		(1,20	12)						
			AS OF 3		,				232,54	10	
										0	
						RAM ING PROC			5,63	0	
						ARS			24,65	-	
										27,100	
h. GRA	ND TOT	AL	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • •	289,92	20	
8. Projects Requ	uested In 7	This Prograi	n:								
Category		_						Cost	Desig	gn Status	
Code	<u>Proj</u>	ect Title					Scope	(\$000)		Complete	
821.22 *	BOILE	R PLANT	MODIFIC	CATIONS			520 m2	5,630	01/97	08/98	
	TO	TAL						5,630			
9. Future Pro	jects:										
•		llowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):							
u. meraded	NONE	110 11111 1110	g (1 1 <b>2</b>								
b. Major Pla	_	t Three Ve	are.								
730.20			ms. E STATIO	)NT				1,850	_	_	
851.20			ULAR BRI		PLACEM'	Γ		7,900		_	
730.10	FY02	- FIRE/	POLICE/S	SEC CON	SOL			4,600		-	
740.43	FY03	- FITNE	SS CENTE	ER				10,300	-	-	
	TO	TAL						24,650			
c. Real Prop	erty Main	itenance Ba	cklog (\$000)	): \$56,	519						
10. Mission Or	Major Fu	nctions:									
and war enliste Reserve homepor Naval W	rant o d and Force ted at ar Col	fficers foreign (NRF) this b lege Of	may be officed ships. ase in ficer Ca	prepar r candi Based the fut andidat	red for dates. on Bas cure. School	militar Homepor e Closur Surface	ry servi et for a re 93, Warfard Uustid	ice, and active a the ship	commiss train I nd Naval s will n er School	Navy L not be	
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):							

- b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62661 4. Project Title							
NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING CENTER, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND				BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)	
0805796	N	821.22	P-406		5,6	530	

9. COST ESTIMAT	LO			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	m2	520		2,650
BUILDING ADDITION	m2	520	1,596.00	(830)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	_	_	(1,630)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(190)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	2,410
EXHAUST STACKS	LS	-	-	(820)
EMISSION MONITORING SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(340)
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	LS	-	-	(580)
VALVING STATION	LS	-	-	(100)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(130)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(440)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	5,060
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	_	250
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	_	_	5,310
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	_	_	320
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	- (31031 3.00)	5,630
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

#### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

High-bay, reinforced steel-framed building addition; maximum capacity 36,400 kilograms per hour (Kh) boiler(s), 150 feet high reinforced concrete exhaust stacks requiring sizable concrete foundation; environmental controls and control room, fire protection system, air conditioning, utilities; renovation to small portion of existing building and demolition of one building.

11. Requirement: 520 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

PROJECT:

Corrects a Class I environmental violation by replacing a non-compliant boiler with a maximum capacity  $36,400~{\rm KH}$  of steam generating capacity. (Current mission.)

### REQUIREMENT:

Sufficient steam generating capacity to meet current peak demands of 72,600 Kh. Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RIDEM) Air Pollution Control Regulation No. 27, based on EPA Clean Air Act Ammendments of 1990, established new air quality standards. The addition of a maximum of 36,400 Kh of steam generating capacity at BP7 is required to replace capacity at BP86, the operation of which is in violation of RIDEM/EPA regulations. This is a Class I environmental violation. Newport has entered into a consent agreement with the State of Rhode Island which requires BP86 to cease operations no later than 31 December 2002. Therefore, construction to provide the increased steam capacity must begin no later than Fiscal Year 1999 in order to comply. The existing boiler controls will be altered to satisfy all requirements for a single plant

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
NAVY		2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N62661	
NAVAL EDU	CATION AND TRAINING CENTER, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
BOILER PL	ANT MODIFICATIONS	P-406
(continued)		

operation. As BP86 currently operates as a valving station by distributing incoming steam from BP7 to various parts of Coaster Harbor Island at reduced pressures, installation of a pressure valving station and a condensate receiver/valve house is required.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

Current peak steam demand exceeds 72,600 Kh. Individual steam generating capacity at BP7 and BP86 makes it necessary to operate both boilers during peak demand periods. Currently, neither boiler plant meets RIDEM/EPA regulations for air standards. BP7, the newer, more efficient and more centrally located plant, is currently being modified to comply.

#### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

NETC will continue to operate non-compliant boilers in violation of RIDEM and EPA air quality standards and in violation of a consent agreement subjecting the Navy to potential legal action by the regulating authorities. Additionally, consent agreement requires BP86 to be shutdown by 31 December 2002. Without this project, the Newport Naval Complex will not be able to meet peak steam demands and will be subject to fines and legal actions.

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(	1	) Status	:

	acab	
(A)	Date Design Started	01/97
(B)	Date Design 35% Complete	08/97
(C)	Date Design Complete	08/98
(D)	Percent Complete As Of September 1997	35%
(E)	Percent Complete As Of January 1998	50%

# (2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:

(3)	Total	Cost	(C)	=	(A)	+	(B)	Or	( D	) +	(E)	:
-----	-------	------	-----	---	-----	---	-----	----	-----	-----	-----	---

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(350)
(B) All Other Design Costs	(170)
(C) Total	520
(D) Contract	(450)
(E) In-House	(70)

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.

Installation POC: Capt Jon Wyman, Phone: (401) 841-3841

1. Component		EX7 10	99 MILI	TADX	CONCT	DUCTIO	N DDC	CDAM	2.	Da	ite
NAVY		F Y 19	YYY WIILI	IAKI	CONST.	RUCII	JN PKC	JGKAM		2	2/6/98
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	on/UIC: N6	6604			4. Comman	d			-	. Area
NAVAL U NEWPORT			TEMS CEN D	TER,		NAVAI COMMA	L SEA S AND	YSTEMS		Ir	Constr Cost ndex
											1.09
6. Personnel		Permanen	ıt		Students			Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civiliar	1	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	13	27	2,937	0	0	0	0	0	0		2,977
2004	16	33	3,415	0	0	0	0	0	0		3,464
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM	'HORIZA 'HORIZA 'HORIZA 'NNED I IAINING	TION NC TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI	AS OF 30 OT YET IN COURSTED CLUDED CEXT THROES ENCY	N INVEN IN THI IN THE EE PROG	TORY S PROGF FOLLOWI FRAM YEA	RAM ING PROC	GRAM		143, 9, 28, 29, <b>211,</b>	14 90 80	0 0 0 0
8. Projects Req Category <u>Code</u> 317.15	Proj	ject Title	m: FARE FAC	CILITY		4,	<u>Scope</u> 868 M2	Cost (\$000) 9,140	Start	9	n Status Complete 08/98
	TO	TAL						9,140			
9. Future Pro	jects:										
a. Included		llowing Pro	ogram (FY 2	000):							
1 M : D	NONE										
b. Major Pl 315 . 20			ars: SEAS WE <i>l</i>	ADUM GA	7 T.N.D.			10,100	_		_
152.20 371.20	FY03	- SHORE	BASED I CAL SEAV	LAUNCH	FAC			3,500	- -		- -
	ТО	TAL						28,900			
c. Real Pro	perty Maiı	ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000	): \$18,	970						

# 10. Mission Or Major Functions:

The Naval Underwater System Center is the principal Navy RDT&E Center for underwater weapons systems. It plans and conducts programs of warfare and systems analysis, RDT&E, and Fleet support in underwater warfare weapons systems and components, undersea surveillance systems, submarine communications systems, navigation and related sciences and technology. The Newport Headquarters Laboratory performs a wide variety of functions ranging from exploratory research through the in-service engineering assistance of the Fleet throughout the life-cycle of these systems. This center also manages subsidiary laboratories including New London, CT, and AUTEC Test Ranges, Bahamas.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
  - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
  - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM  2. Date 2/6/98							
3. Installation and Lo	Installation and Location/UIC: N66604 4. Project Title							
NAVAL UND NEWPORT,		RFARE CENTER DIVISIO LAND	ON,	UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)			
0605896	N	317.15	P-030		9,1	L40		

2. COST ESTIMA	2. COST ESTIMATES							
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)				
UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY	M2	4,868	-	6,760				
BUILDING	M2	4,868	1,182.00	(5,750)				
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	_	(870)				
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	_	_	(70)				
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(70)				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	-	_	1,450				
UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(400)				
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	_	(120)				
DEMOLITION	LS	-	_	(930)				
SUBTOTAL	_		-	8,210				
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_		-	410				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	_	8,620				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	520				
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-	_	9,140				
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)				

### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Multi-story building, steel framing, concrete floors, masonry walls, brick siding, raised floors, membrane roofing, fire detection and sprinkler systems, freight and passenger elevators, utilities, and demolition of seven buildings.

11. Requirement: 4,868 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Constructs an Undersea Warfare Facility. (Current mission.)

### REOUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facilities for simulation of readiness training, combat system acquisition testing, and research and development. Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport is the Navy's premiere research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E) laboratory for submarine undersea warfare (USW) systems. It has the responsibility for developing training systems, undersea warfare systems acquisition, in-service engineering, testing, and research on new sensors, combat control systems, and weapons. Changes in the Navy's maritime strategy have shifted readiness emphasis from the anti-Soviet, deep-draft ocean threat to the Third World, littoral/ shallow water threat. This threat is more widely dispersed, less well understood and far more complex than the deep ocean threat. Third-World diesel electric submarines are equipped with first class weapons systems readily available on the open arms market and have generated a formidable threat to U.S. undersea superiority. The Navy needs to be ready to deal with potential conflicts against these threats in unfamiliar and difficult littoral waters. To meet this challenge in a period of budget constraints, increased used of modeling and simulation is a must. For submarine warfare this can be accomplished by developing a "synthetic" battlespace as a

1. Component 2. Date FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2/6/98 NAVY 3. Installation and Location/UIC: N66604 NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND 4. Project Title 7. Project Number UNDERSEA WARFARE FACILITY P-030

#### (...continued)

substitute for live exercise components. Use of these simulations will reduce training costs while increasing exercise realism and stress. This project also supports joint Tri-Service initiatives in warfighting as a component of a joint synthetic battlespace.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

Readiness and training exercises are now conducted in real undersea battlespaces provided by instrumented undersea ranges located off the coasts of the Bahamas, Southern California, and Hawaii. They require the involvement of the unit to be trained (one submarine), a representative threat (a second submarine), friendly forces (a surface combatant with helo support), and the realistic physical environment provided by the ranges. These exercises are costly and still do not provide the adequate training against the littoral shallow water threat described above. All of the existing USW support functions are decentralized in seven World War II facilities spread throughout the Newport site. The existing buildings are heated by direct steam terminal units, contain asbestos, have limited electrical power systems, inefficient layouts for computer applications and due to the age and condition of the buildings, a high maintenance cost. Because of their sprawling, horizontal layout, the buildings have little flexibility for adaptation to state of the art computational and data transfer systems required by synthetic battlespace host hardware. The dispersion of functions in several facilities does not allow for the synergy to be gained by collocating engineers and researchers in the same facility. It also does not support the new equipment needed for the synthetic battlespace to be used by all parties.

### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The synthetic undersea warfare battlespace to supplant live exercises in remote locations using actual submarines, underwater weapons, and aircraft at a much higher cost, will not be possible. The costly live readiness training exercises will continue to be conducted with their inherent drawbacks and limitations of not meeting the new threats facing today's Navy. Substantial benefits of facilities modernization and consolidation will not be achieved with respect to energy consumption, maintenance costs, and labor efficiency. Savings planned due to reduced personnel requirement will not be realized.

### 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1) Status:

(A) Date Design Started	01/97
(B) Date Design 35% Complete	03/97
(C) Date Design Complete	08/98
(D) Percent Complete As Of September 1997	40%
(E) Percent Complete As Of January 1998	60%

(2) Basis:

Installation POC: LCdr Brad Beisswanger, Phone: (401) 841-4117

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N66604	
NAVAL UND	ERSEA WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
UNDERSEA	WARFARE FACILITY	P-030
(continued)		
(B) (3) To (A) (B) (C) (D) (E)	Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:  tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs.  Total. Contract. In-House.  nstruction Start.	(520) (260) 780 (690) (90)
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: LCdr Brad Beisswanger, Phone: (401) 841-4117

1. Component									1 2	. Date
navy		FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM								
-	Installation and Location/UIC: M60169 4. Command							2/6/98 5. Area		
							Constr Cost			
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, COMMANDANT OF T  BEAUFORT SOUTH CAROLINA MARINE CORPS						Index				
DLAUFOR	EAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA MARINE CORPS					0.97				
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilia	n Total
a. As Of	4.4	2.5.5	2.45	_	2.0	_	205	2 210	0.00	4 545
09/30/97 b. End FY	44	357	347	0	30	0	385	3,310	272	4,745
2004	44	332	350	0	30	0	378	3,086	272	4,492
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				·
a. TOI	'AL ACR	EAGE		(12,7	798)					
			AS OF 3						161	,650
			T YET I						1	0 ,770
			QUESTED CLUDED						Τ,	, 770
f. PLA	NNED I	N THE N	EXT THR	EE PROG	RAM YEA	RS			8	,400
_			ENCY							,170
			• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • •	218	,990
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Prograi	m:							
Category	D	:4 T:41-					C	Cost		esign Status
<u>Code</u> 421.72		<u>ject Title</u> LE MAGA	7 TMEC				<u>Scope</u> 511 m2	( <u>\$000)</u> 1,770		<u>t Complete</u> 97 06/98
421.72	MIDDI	LE MAGA	ZINEO				SII IIIZ		02/3	01 00/98
	TO	TAL						1,770		
9. Future Pro										
a. Included		ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):						
	NONE									
b. Major Planned Next Three Years:										
143.45 FY02 - ARMORY FACILITY 2,100 217.10 FY03 - COMM/ELEC MAINT SHOP 6,300							_	_		
217.10	1105	COMMI		1111 5110	-					
	TO	TAL						8,400		
	•		cklog (\$000	): \$30,	139					
10. Mission On	3									
To maintain and operate facilities and provide services and material to support operations of a Marine Aircraft Wing, or units thereof, and other										
activities and units as designated by the Commandant of the Marine Corps in coordination with the Chief of Naval Operations. Home of Marine Aircraft Group-31.										
		And Safety	, Deficienci	as (\$000)·						
11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):										
a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0										
b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0										
i										

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M60169 4. Project Title							
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA				MISSILE MAGAZINES			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0206496	M	421.72	P-385		1,7	770	

	_~			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
MISSILE MAGAZINES	m2	511	-	1,180
MAGAZINES	m2	511	1,768.00	(900)
MAGAZINE MODIFICATIONS	LS	_	_	(280)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	_	410
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	_	(140)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(40)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	_	(230)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	_	1,590
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	_	1,670
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	100
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-	-	1,770
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

#### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

One earth-covered concrete box type "C" magazine, pile foundation and concrete floors, sliding steel door, soil stabilization, site drainage, installation of access driveways and vehicular operating concrete apron, interior lighting and provisions for intrusion detection system, lightning protection and electrical grounding systems, passive interior ventilation system, security fencing, lighting, and utilities; structural modifications to two magazines to widen doors from eight feet to 16 feet, demolition of blast walls, foundation modifications, new blast resistant doors, concrete aprons and sodding.

11. Requirement: 511 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

# PROJECT:

Provides one new explosive ordnance storage magazine and renovates two existing ones. (Current mission.)

### REQUIREMENT:

Adequate missile storage for 544 Sidewinder, HARM, and AMRAAM missiles.

# CURRENT SITUATION:

There are three inadequate missile magazines at Beaufort. These magazines were designed for much smaller missiles and do not have sufficient door width nor the interior configuration required for manipulation of the larger missiles. Missile storage boxes (from 11 to 15 feet long) must be jockeyed, with a forklift, through eight foot door widths to be placed inside the magazines. As a result of these dimensional incompatibilities, missiles have been damaged. Only two of these missile magazines can be renovated to meet storage and loading requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
	ocation/UIC: M60169	
MARINE CO	RPS AIR STATION, BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
MISSILE M	AGAZINES	P-385
(continued)		
these miss "make-do"	with existing conventional magazines. Unnecessary an on of the missiles into conventional, but incompatib	inue to d unsafe
12. Supplemental I	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	06/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: YES Where Design Was Most Recently Used: dsgn/build	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	rtal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):  Production of Plans and Specifications.  All Other Design Costs.  Total.  Contract.  In-House.	(110) (50) 160 (140) (20)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provid ions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: LCdr Joseph Angell, Phone: (803) 522-7072

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	2. Date 2/6/98	
NAVAL WEAP	ocation/UIC: N00193  ONS STATION, , SOUTH CAROLINA	4. Command  NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS  COMMAND	5. Area Constr Cost Index
	•		0.88

6. Personnel	Permanent			Students						
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	269	3,063	2,995	116	0	0	0	230	0	6,673
2004	320	3,624	1,765	618	2,067	0	0	0	0	8,394

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (17,456)		
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997.		299,100
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY		0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PRO	OGRAM	9,737
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLO	OWING PROGRAM	0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM	YEARS	0
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY		6,800
h.	GRAND TOTAL	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	315,637

# 8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Design Status
Code	Project Title	Scope	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start Complete
860.10	ORDNANCE RAILROAD RELGN	11,400 M	9,737	01/96 07/98
		-		
	TOTAL		9,737	

- 9. Future Projects:
  - a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

NONE

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$21, 335

## 10. Mission Or Major Functions:

Receive, reissue, and maintain guided missiles, anti-submarine weapons conventional ammunition, and operate and maintain a family housing complex with community support facilities. Provide logistic and port terminal services in support of two ammunition ships (AE), one SSBN tender (AS), one floating dry dock (ARDM) and two moored training ships. POMFLANT Charleston.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
  - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
  - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00193 4. Project Title								
NAVAL WEA CHARLESTO		•		ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGNMENT					
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)			
0702096	N	860.10		P-914	737				

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
ORDNANCE RAILROAD REALIGNMENT	M	11,400	599.00	6,830
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	-	1,920
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(600)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	_	(1,320)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	8,750
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	440
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	9,190
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	547
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	9,737
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Four ordnance railroad car holding yards, connecting rail, reinforced concrete rail head, interim storage site for loading and unloading explosive ordnance, and truck scale; includes turnouts, drainage, barricades, lighting, lightning protection, grounding, water main, fire hydrants, paving, site impovements and wetlands mitigation.

11. Requirement: 11,400 M Adequate: 0 M Substandard: (0) M

### PROJECT:

Provides one new barricaded and three unbarricaded rail car holding yards, connecting rail, an explosive ordnance loading and unloading site, a rail head, and truck scale. (Current mission.)

### **REQUIREMENT:**

Adequate loaded railcar storage and handling space is required to process Marine Corps munitions without explosive safety waivers. NWS Charleston receives, stores, maintains, and supplies ammunition as required to service the Atlantic Fleet. It is also the sole ammunition servicing point for the Marine Corps prepositioning operation. This project will provide the storage and handling space to process the Marine Prepositioning Facility (MPF) ammunition from Blount Island, Florida in accordance with DoD Explosive Safety Board Criteria. The project will reduce the distances loaded vans must be moved by centrally locating the rail transfer site. The holding yards will enable the explosive laden railcars to be located at a safe explosive quantity distance arc from traffic routes, family housing concentrations, schools and administrative facilities. The project will eliminate the parking of explosive-laden cars on the main line, in front of magazines and double handling of railcars to accommodate arrivals and departures. Finally, this project will provide a rail loop system permitting emergency access to the piers and it will totally eliminate all explosive waivers at the station and event waivers required for the Marine Corps prepositioned munition trains.

CURRENT SITUATION:

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N00193	
NAVAL WEA	PONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
ORDNANCE	RAILROAD REALIGNMENT	P-914
(continued)		

There are insufficient railroad facilities available for parking explosives-loaded railcars at the Weapons Station. Processing the Marine prepositioned munitions trains now cast explosive safety arcs exceeding the boundaries of the explosives handling area and creating a waiver situation. The explosive arc encompasses public traffic routes, schools, and administrative facilities. Presently the trains must park for a time on the main line, closing it to rail traffic, and double handling of railcars is required to accommodate arriving and departing munitions cars.

#### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The Station will not be able to comply with the DoD Explosive Safety Board criteria and parking of explosive loaded railcars near public facilities will continue. The Station's ability to provide efficient processing for the Marine prepositioned munitions program will also continue.

## 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(	1	Status	

(A)	Date Design	Started					 	01/96
(B)	Date Design	35% Com	plet	te			 	03/96
(C)	Date Design	Complet	e				 	07/98
(D)	Percent Comp	plete As	Of	Septembe	r 1997	'	 	100%
(E)	Percent Comp	olete As	Of	Januarv	1998		 	100%

## (2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: dsgn/build

(3)	Total	Cost	(C)	=	(A)	+	(B)	Or	(D)	+	(E):
( )	IOCUI	CODC	( )		( 4 4 )		( )	$\circ$	( )		( 🗕 / -

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	(580)
(B) All Other Design Costs	(290)
(C) Total	
(D) Contract	(770)
(E) In-House	(100)

B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.

Installation POC: Cdr Andre Coleman, Phone: (803) 764-7991

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	2. Date 2/6/98	
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M00263  MARCORPS RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA		4. Command  COMMANDANT OF THE  MARINE CORPS	5. Area Constr Cost Index
			0.87

6. Personnel	Permanent			Students		Supported				
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (8,080)	
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997	126,210
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY	0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	7,960
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM	0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS	11,509
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY	122,000
h.	GRAND TOTAL	267 <b>,</b> 679

# 8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Design Status	
Code	Project Title	<u>Scope</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start Complete	<u>e</u>
722.10	WEAPONS BATTALION MESSHALL	2,880 m2	7,960	11/97 12/98	
		_			
	TOTAL		7,960		

### 9. Future Projects:

a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

730.20	FY01 - MILITARY POLICE STATION	1,509	_	_
721.15	FY03 - FEMALE RECRUIT BARRACKS	10,000	-	-
	TOTAL	11,509		

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$20,463

# 10. Mission Or Major Functions:

To exercise operational control of enlisted recruiting operations in the 1st, 4th, and 6th Marine Districts through screening, evaluation, verification, and field supervision; to provide guidance and direction on quality control matters for all east coast enlisted accessions in accordance with standards established by CMC; to provide reception processing and recruit training for enlisted personnel upon their initial entry into the Marine Corps; to provide training of recruits; to conduct schools as directed; to provide rifle and pistol marksmanship training for Marines stationed in the southeast and for personnel of other services as requested; and to conduct training for reserve Marines as directed.

# 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):

- a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
- b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: M00263 4. Project Title						
MARINE CO PARRIS IS		UIT DEPOT UTH CAROLINA		WEAPONS BATTALION MESSHALL		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0805796	M	722.10	P-335		P-335 7,9	

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
WEAPONS BATTALION MESSHALL	М2	2,880	-	6,030
WEAPONS BATTALION MESSHALL	М2	2,880	2,058.00	(5,930)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	-	_	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	-	-	1,120
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(740)
ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(160)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	_	(120)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	_	(100)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	_	7,150
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	_	360
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	_	7,510
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	_	450
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-	-	7,960
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Single story, reinforced concrete frame building on pile foundations with grade beam, brick veneered faced concrete masonry exterior walls, seismic construction, standing seam metal roof on steel truss system, insulated walls and ceilings, heating, air conditioning, and fire protection systems, built-in food service equipment, and utilities connections; demolition of one building.

11. Requirement: 2,880 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Provides messing facilities. (Current mission.)

## **REQUIREMENT:**

Adequate messing facilities for 1,758 personnel assigned to the Weapons Training Battalion area.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

The current messhall was constructed in 1944 and is rated for 914 persons per meal. In order to accommodate seating for the peak training period of June through November, additional seating must be added to the messdeck. The inadequate capacity increases serving times and causes training time to be unnecessarily lost. The kitchen equipment is inadequate to meet the demand. The facility does not have the electrical capacity to support additional food service equipment and the kitchen cannot economically be expanded for additional modern baking and food handling equipment. Menu selections are also limited due to the inadequacy of the facility.

#### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

The existing Weapons Training Battalion Messhall will be overused, kitchen

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
	location/UIC: M00263	
MARINE CC	RPS RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
WEAPONS B	ATTALION MESSHALL	P-335
(continued)		
equipment accomplish	overtaxed, and additional valuable training will not ed.	be
12. Supplemental I	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	03/98
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: N Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(480) (240) 720 (640) (80)
(4) Cc	nstruction Start	03/99
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provid ions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: LCdr Jean Dumlao-Hurst, Phone: (803) 525-3527

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	2. Date 2/6/98	
	ocation/UIC: N00178	4. Command	5. Area Constr Cost
NAVAL SURF. DIV,	ACE WARFARE CEN, DAHLGREN	SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	Index
DAHLGREN,	VIRGINIA	COMMAND	0.92

6. Personnel	Permanent		Students		Supported					
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	141	588	3,674	0	0	0	24	27	0	4,454
2004	157	500	3,543	0	0	0	24	59	0	4,283

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (4,321)	
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997	192,760
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY	0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	5,130
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM	0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS	15,890
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY	58,750
h.	GRAND TOTAL	272,530

## 8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Design Status
<u>Code</u>	Project Title	<u>Scope</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start Complete
310.33	WEAPONS SYS DEV LAB ADDN	1,872 m2	5,130	01/97 08/98
		<del>-</del>		
	TOTAL		5.130	

# 9. Future Projects:

a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

317.25	FY02 - PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER FY03 - ELEC WARFARE INTEG FAC FY03 - WPNS SYS LAB ADDN	3,390 8,200 4,300	- - -	
	TOTAL	15,890		

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$16,323

## 10. Mission Or Major Functions:

To maintain the primary inhouse research and development capability for electronic warfare systems, subsystems, and technology, including strategic systems support such as FBM targeting analysis, guidance computer programs, digital fire control program and geoballistics. Other research efforts consist of, but are not limited to, weapon system safety, chemical/biological warfare defense, tactical intelligence support systems, weapon ballistics, and satellite geodesy.

## 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):

- a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
- b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00178 4. Project Title						
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIVISION, DAHLGREN, VIRGINIA					SYSTEM DEVELORY ADDITION	OPMENT
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0605896	N	310.33		P-255	5,1	L30

	_~			1
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY ADD'N	m2	1,872	-	3,600
BUILDING	m2	1,872	1,380.00	(2,580)
BUILT-IN EQUIPMENT	LS	-	_	(600)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(80)
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	-	_	(340)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	_	1,010
UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(510)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-	_	(500)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	_	4,610
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	_	_	230
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	_	_	4,840
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	_	_	290
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,130
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(21,000)

# 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Two-story, steel-frame building addition; sensitive compartmented information facility (SCIF) construction; technical operating manuals, fire protection system, utilities, paving and site improvements.

11. Requirement: 1,872 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

PROJECT:

Constructs an addition to an existing building. (Current mission.)

### **REQUIREMENT:**

Adequate facilities for engineering and operational system software/data preparation in support of expanded mission associated with the TOMAHAWK Weapon System and the Shipboard Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV). Dahlgren's mission is to provide full-spectrum research, development, test and evaluation and software life-cycle support to the TOMAHAWK and the UAV. It performs software design, development, life-cycle support, and is the single location where the entire TOMAHAWK Weapon System is integrated and validated before deployment to the Fleet. It also provides help with operational problems, data and software to support fleet exercises, and fleet training. For the UAV program, it has developed a prototype shipboard planning and control system for initial deployment in FY 2002. This project will enable this center to fulfill these evolving roles.

# CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facility used to conduct this program's support operations has reached capacity and has no room for expansion. In FY1995, Dahlgren supported three land-based fleet sites and 55 TOMAHAWK capable surface ships. By the year 2002, the numbers will be five land-based sites, 86 surface ships, 20 submarines, 12 ships with the Afloat Mission Planning Systems, 10 allied submarines, 12 carriers and an undetermined number of

1. Component 2. Date FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2/6/98 NAVY 3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00178 NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIVISION, DAHLGREN, VIRGINIA 4. Project Title 7. Project Number WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY ADDITION P-255

#### (...continued)

surface ships carrying the UAV system and a yet unspecified number of 21st Century ships carrying the TOMAHAWK Weapon System. In preparation for the ongoing increased workload, NSWC will be receiving \$21M worth of equipment to develop and support all the baselines and configurations needed for the increased number of TOMAHAWK and UAV capable ships and shore sites. There are no adequate facilities available to accommodate the equipment and provide for total system integration and for future system integration responsibilities.

#### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the Navy's in-house capability to assess fleet Tomahawk Weapon System related problems and many evolving weapon system matters which influence system acquisition, system usage preparation, and operational usage and implementation would not be realized. The sensitive computer equipment that is being procured will not have a place to be installed or used. The Fleet, as well as other U.S. services and allied countries are expecting scheduled system deliveries that could be delayed without this project

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1) Status:	
(A) Date Design Started	01/97
(B) Date Design 35% Complete	03/97
(C) Date Design Complete	08/98
(D) Percent Complete As Of September 1997	35%
(E) Percent Complete As Of January 1998	60%

- (2) Basis:
  - (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
  - (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:
- (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A)	Production of Plans and Specifications	(300)
(B)	All Other Design Costs	(150)
(C)	Total	450
(D)	Contract	(410)
(E)	In-House	(40)

- B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: Fiscal Year

Equipment	Procuring	Appropriated Or Requested	Cost
Nomenclature	Appropriation		(\$000)
TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING	OPN	2000	1,500
	WPN	2000	1,500
	RDT&E	2000	1,500

Installation POC: Cdr Stephen Eckel, Phone: (703) 663-8521

1. Component NAVY	FY	1999 MILI	TARY CONSTRUCTION	PROGRAM	I	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC:	N00178				
NAVAL SUR	FACE WAR	RFARE CENT	ER, DAHLGREN DIVISION,	DAHLGREN,	VIRGI	INIA
4. Project Title					7.	Project Number
WEAPONS S	YSTEM DE	CVELOPMENT	LABORATORY ADDITION			P-255
(continued)					-	
TOMAHAWK TOMAHAWK TOMAHAWK TOMAHAWK UNMANNED UNMANNED	WEAPON WEAPON WEAPON AERIAL	CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL VEHICLE	OPN RDT&E O&M,N FMS RDT&E O&M,N	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2001	2	5,100 3,400 2,900 2,400 -,900 800
				TOTAL	21	.,000

Installation POC: Cdr Stephen Eckel, Phone: (703) 663-8521

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CO	2. Date 2/6/98	
	ication/UIC: N53989 ICAL TRAINING GROUP,	4. Command  COMMANDER IN CHIEF ATLANTIC FLEET	5. Area Constr Cost Index
			0.91

6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	263	2,237	731	188	838	0	170	321	0	4,748
2004	262	2,487	711	164	1,075	0	172	339	0	5,210

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (0)	
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997	0
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY	0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	2,430
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM	0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS	0
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY	0
h.	GRAND TOTAL	2,430

# 8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Design Status
Code	Project Title	<u>Scope</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start Complete
171.35	TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	1,385 M2	2,430	07/97 04/98
		_		
	TOTAL		2,430	

9. Future Projects:

a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

NONE

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$0

# 10. Mission Or Major Functions:

Provide training in operation and employment of specified tactical combat direction and control systems in naval warfare; support operational commanders in evaluation, development, and analysis of naval warfare doctrines and tactics. Navy Marine Corps Intelligence Training Center Tactical Training Group, Atlantic Naval Ocean Processing Facility Guided Missile School Fleet Combat Systems Support Activity

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
  - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
  - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N53989 4. Project Title						
NAVY TACT DAM NECK,		INING GROUP, ATLANTI A	IC,	TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)	
0204633	N	171.35	P-946		2,430	

***************************************	_~			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	M2	1,385	1,200.00	1,660
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	-	520
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	-	(140)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(150)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(50)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(180)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	2,180
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	110
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	2,290
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	-	-	140
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-		2,430
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Two-story, steel frame building addition with concrete pile foundation, block walls, concrete floor and roof slabs on metal deck with a modified bitumen membrane roof, air conditioning, utilities, parking, paving, site improvements.

11. Requirement: 1,385 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Constructs a building addition. (Current mission.)

### REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facilities for hands-on mock-up and classroom training and office space. This activity's mission is to train tactical commanders, commanding officers, and their principal assistants in planning, executing, and evaluating fleet operations and exercises, including the evaluation of warfare commander tactics proficiency as directed by the fleet commander. It is the only school on the east coast that teaches combined Battle Group and Joint Tactics. This activity supports six courses of instruction, plus Battle Group Training courses, fleet training and additional training for the Atlantic Fleet. In order to accommodate all Battle Group organizations, six additional training modules are scheduled to be delivered to this activity.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facility does not provide enough space to accommodate additional personnel and equipment. Because of recent growth, the existing facility is already operating above design capacity. No adequate space exists to support the additional modules. Spaces previously intended to be lounges, maintenance areas, storage space, and hallways now function as office space.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
NAVY  3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N53989	.,.,.
	ICAL TRAINING GROUP, ATLANTIC, DAM NECK, VIRGINIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
· ·	BUILDING ADDITION	P-946
(continued)		
adequately to spend a	is project, the six additional modules will not be all satisfy the 16 Battle Group organizations. The flee dditional time training at sea in order to bring office of competence.	et will need
12. Supplemental I	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Maranetric estimates have been points.)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus:  Date Design Started	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	rtal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs.  Total.  Contract. In-House.	(150) (70) 220 (190) (30)
(4) Cc	nstruction Start1	10/98
	nt associated with this project which will be provide ions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Lt. Matthews, Phone: 757-433-7408

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONS	2. Date 2/6/98	
	cation/UIC: N00189 STRIAL SUPPLY CENTER ANNEX, IRGINIA	4. Command  NAVAL SUPPLY SYSTEMS COMMAND	5. Area Constr Cost Index
			0.91

6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	61	89	2,156	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,306
2004	48	63	2,119	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,230

a.	TOTAL ACREAGE (1,018)	
b.	INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997	. 126,270
c.	AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY	. 0
d.	AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM	. 1,770
e.	AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM	. 0
f.	PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS	. 0
g.	REMAINING DEFICIENCY	. 0
h.	GRAND TOTAL	. 128,040

8. Projects Requested In This Program:

Category			Cost	Desi	gn Status
Code	Project Title	<u>Scope</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start	Complete
730.10	FIRE STATION	660 M2	1,770	05/97	06/98
	TOTAL		1.770		

- 9. Future Projects:
  - a. Included In The Following Program (FY 2000):

NONE

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

NONE

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$31,729

## 10. Mission Or Major Functions:

Supply services for activities in the geographic area, overseas activities in the Atlantic and Mediterranean areas, and active fleet and reserve units including the Military Sealift Command and Coast Guard. Supply support for inert nuclear materials and services is provided to eastern continental Navy and Marine Corps units and the Atlantic Fleet. Other services include operating Department of Defense common-user ocean terminal and the Norfolk Air Terminal of the supply center, and serving asdefense fuel support point for the Defense Logistics Agency bulk petroleumproducts, and as point for Navy Prepositioned War Reserve Material Stock.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
  - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
  - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00189 4. Project Title					
FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA				FIRE STATION		
5. Program Element	Program Element 6. Category Code 7. Projection			ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0702896	0702896N 730.10 P-177 1,7				770	

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
FIRE STATION	М2	660	-	830
BUILDING	M2	660	1,210.00	(800)
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	-	-	(30)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	760
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(340)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(220)
PAVING, SITE IMPRVS, AND DEMOLITION	LS	_	_	(100)
DEMOLITION	LS	_	_	(100)
SUBTOTAL	_	-	_	1,590
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	_	80
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	-	-	1,670
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	-	-	100
TOTAL DECLES				1 000
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	- (37037 3707)	1,770
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story steel frame concrete and masonry building with pile foundation, concrete floor, block walls, pitched shingle roof, air conditioning, information systems, emergency generator, utilities, paving, parking, site improvements, asbestos removal and disposal, demolition of two buildings.

11. Requirement: 660 M2 Adequate: 0 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

### PROJECT:

Construct a replacement fire station. (Current mission.)

### REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to provide fire protection, life rescue, hazardous material control, and mutual aid. The Defense Fuel Support Point (DFSP) Craney Island Fuel Depot is the largest Naval Fuel Depot in the United States. Over 25 million barrels per year of fuel are handled in serving the Navy, other military branches, and commercial customers. Because of the hazards associated with the transfer and storage of fuel, adequate fire fighting support is essential to the mission of Craney Island.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing fire station is a wood-frame structure constructed in 1943. Because of the current inefficient design, space is poorly utilized. The equipment floor is too small to house two pumper trucks and the reserve of fire extinguishers. Therefore, the fire extinguishers must be housed in a wooden building behind the fire station. The walls and ceilings are covered with cement asbestos hardboard on the interior while the exterior is covered with wood lap siding under cement asbestos shingles. The plumbing and electrical systems are original to the structure and have had subsequent new fixtures and devices installed. Overall, the building is

Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
	cation/UIC: N00189	
FLEET IND	USTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	
Project Title		7. Project Number
FIRE STAT	ION	P-177
(continued)		
antiquated	and in poor condition.	
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
result in Deteriorat	is project, continued deterioration of the existing condemnation and an inability to provide fire protec ion is progressing at an accelerated pace resulting t for replacement.	tion.
2. Supplemental D	ata:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(110) (50) 160 (20) (140)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	10/98
(B) (C) (D) (E)	All Other Design Costs	(10/

Installation POC: LCDR Cameron Manning, Phone: 757-444-4538

1. Component NAVY		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	GRAM	2. D	ate 2/6/98
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N61797 4. Command						5. Area				
FLEET TRAINING CENTER,							OF NA	. 7 A T	(	Constr Cost
NORFOLK			ĸ,			_	TION A		I	Index
	, , , , ,					TRAIN	IING			0.91
6. Personnel		Permanen	it		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	46	597	41	155	2,800	0	0	0	0	3,639
2004	40	613	40	155	2,800	0	0	0	0	3,648
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
c. AUT d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM	'HORIZA 'HORIZA 'HORIZA NNED I IAINING	TION NO TION RE TION IN N THE N DEFICI	AS OF 30 TYPET IN COURSTED CLUDED THE THE ENCY	N INVEN IN THE IN THE EE PROC	TORY S PROGF FOLLOWI FRAM YEA	RAM RAM ING PROG ARS	  GRAM	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	39,44 5,70 <b>45,1</b> 4	0 0 0 0 0
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Progra	m:							
Category		C						Cost	Desig	gn Status
Code	-	ject Title					<u>Scope</u>	<u>(\$000)</u>	Start	Complete
171.20	ENG T	RNG FAC	ADDN &	RENOV		3,	570 m2	5,700	06/97	06/98
1/1.20								5,700		
171.20	ТО	TAL								
9. Future Pro	_	TAL								
9. Future Pro	ojects:		ogram (FY 2	000):						
9. Future Pro	ojects:		ogram (FY 2	000):						
9. Future Pro a. Included	ojects: In The Fo			000):						
9. Future Pro a. Included	ojects: In The Fo	ollowing Pro		000):						
<ol> <li>Future Pro a. Included</li> <li>Major Pl</li> <li>Real Pro</li> </ol>	ojects: In The Formanned New NONE NONE perty Main	ollowing Pro xt Three Yeantenance Ba			34					
9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl c. Real Pro	ojects:  In The Forman NONE anned New NONE perty Main	ollowing Proxt Three Yentenance Baunctions:	ars: acklog (\$000	): \$5,4						
9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl c. Real Pro 10. Mission Of Develor systems	ojects:  In The Formal None None Perty Main Major Formal Perty Control and Perty Control Contr	ntenance Ba inctions: provide arses in	ars: acklog (\$000	): \$5,4 g in th	ne opera	navigat	cion, e	lectrica		ooard
9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl c. Real Pro 10. Mission On Develor systems electro	ojects:  In The Formanned New MONE perty Main or Major Fundaments and processing the couponic, more mand process.	ollowing Pro ext Three Year intenance Ba inctions: provide provide irses in nechanic	ars: cklog(\$000 training cclude co	): \$5,4 g in th ommunic oulsior	ne opera	navigat	cion, e	lectrica	ıl,	ooard
9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl c. Real Pro 10. Mission Of Develor systems electro 11. Outstanding	ojects:  In The Forman None anned Netherland None Porty Main of Major Forman Porty None of And Porty None of Pollution	ollowing Pro ext Three Year intenance Ba inctions: provide provide irses in nechanic	ars:  cklog (\$000  training clude co eal, prop	): \$5,4 g in th ommunic oulsior	ne opera	navigat	cion, e	lectrica	ıl,	ooard
9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl c. Real Pro 10. Mission Or Develor systems electro 11. Outstanding a. Pollution	In The Forman NONE In The Forman NONE Perty Main Major Forman Apartment Major Forman Country Main Major Forman Abateme	ntenance Ba inctions: provide arses in hechanic a And Safety nt (*): \$0	ars:  cklog (\$000  training clude co eal, proj	g in thommunic pulsion es (\$000):	ne opera	navigat	cion, e	lectrica	ıl,	ooard
9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl c. Real Pro 10. Mission Or Develor systems electro 11. Outstanding a. Pollution	In The Forman NONE In The Forman NONE Perty Main Major Forman Apartment Major Forman Country Main Major Forman Abateme	ntenance Ba inctions: provide arses in hechanic a And Safety nt (*): \$0	ars:  cklog (\$000  training clude co eal, prop y Deficiencie	g in thommunic pulsion es (\$000):	ne opera	navigat	cion, e	lectrica	ıl,	ooard
9. Future Pro a. Included b. Major Pl c. Real Pro 10. Mission Or Develor systems electro 11. Outstanding a. Pollution	In The Forman NONE In The Forman NONE Perty Main Major Forman Apartment Major Forman Country Main Major Forman Abateme	ntenance Ba inctions: provide arses in hechanic a And Safety nt (*): \$0	ars:  cklog (\$000  training clude co eal, prop y Deficiencie	g in thommunic pulsion es (\$000):	ne opera	navigat	cion, e	lectrica	ıl,	ooard

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: N61797 4. Project Title					
FLEET TRAINING CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA				ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION AND RENOVATION		
5. Program Element	ogram Element 6. Category Code 7. Pr		7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)	
0805796	0805796N 171.20			P-179	5,7	700

), COST ESTERNIT				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY ADDN & RENOV	m2	3,570	-	3,530
BUILDING ADDITION	m2	3,200	1,021.00	(3,270)
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	m2	370	510.00	(190)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(70)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	1,590
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	-	-	(300)
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(450)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(110)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(230)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS	-	-	(500)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	5,120
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	260
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_			5,380
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_			320
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-	-	5,700
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

# 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Three-story, steel-frame building addition, pile foundations, insulated masonry exterior walls, brick veneer, concrete on metal deck floors and roof with modified bitumen roofing; interior metal stud partitions, plumbing, fire alarm, compressed air, sprinkler, 400 Hz and DC power, electronic equipment grounding system, freon detection system, air conditioning, site improvements, connections to basewide utility systems; renovate interior space including plumbing, sound dampening, steam, ventilation, and power; utilities and demolition of three buildings.

11. Requirement: <u>3,570 m2</u> Adequate: <u>0 m2</u> Substandard: <u>(95) m2</u>.

### PROJECT:

Provides renovations and constructs an addition to the engineering training facility. (Current mission.)

## REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to train students assigned to the Atlantic Fleet in the operation and maintenance of the shipboard engineering systems. The Engineering Department maintains an average-on-board (AOB) of 411 students. Affected ships systems associated with this project include automatic boiler controls, electrical auxiliary, hydraulics, and air conditioning and refrigeration. Large training mockups include electrical rewind machines, walk-in refrigerators, air compressors, welding and ships navigational systems.

# CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facilities are 50 years old, deteriorated, and beyond economical repair. There are stress and settling cracks on interior and

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY		2/6/98
	ocation/UIC: N61797	
FLEET TRA	INING CENTER, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
ENGINEERI	NG TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION AND RENOVATION	P-179
(continued)		
old, deter with repla	alls, the roofing system is blistering, and the stee iorated, and not energy efficient. The subpanels are cement parts difficult to obtain. Asbestos insulation and there is extensive termite damage.	e outdated
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
accelerate	is project, the deterioration of the existing facili with age, causing interruptions of training and ult the command's mission to provide the Fleet with qual	imately
12. Supplemental D	Data:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	atus: Date Design Started Date Design 35% Complete Date Design Complete Percent Complete As Of September 1997 Percent Complete As Of January 1998	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs. Total. Contract. In-House.	(350) (170) 520 (460) (60)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	12/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Lt Gordon Fox, Phone: (757) 445-1996

1. Component NAVY  FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. D	0ate 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62688				4. Command				5. Area		
NAVAL STATION, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA					ANDER I	N CHIEF, EET		Constr Cost Index		
										0.91
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97	3,450	42,549	8,239	17	114	0	194	357	0	54,920
b. End FY 2004	3,064	41,713	9,079	20	169	0	194	357	0	54,596
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
d. AUT e. AUT f. PLA g. REM	THORIZA THORIZA ANNED I MAINING	ATION NO ATION RE ATION IN IN THE N B DEFICI	QUESTED CLUDED EXT THRI ENCY	IN THI IN THE EE PROG	S PROGR FOLLOWI GRAM YEA	RAM ING PROG ARS	GRAM	 	32,0 13,5 70,0 381,3 <b>736,8</b>	00 70 00
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Program	n:					_		_
Category <u>Code</u> 151.20	-	ject Title ING PIE	R (PH I)	[ )			Scope 0 LS	Cost (\$000) 32,030	Start	gn Status <u>Complete</u> 12/98
	TO	TAL						32,030	I	
9. Future Pro	ojects:									
		ollowing Pro	_							
151.20	BERTH	ING PIE	R (PH II	[ )				13,500	_	-
	TO	TAL						13,500	ı	
-		xt Three Yea								
740.43 151.20 812.30	FY03 FY01	- WATER	20 REPLA ELECTRIC	ACEMENT CAL UPG	İ			9,070 45,000 12,000	- -	- - -

# 10. Mission Or Major Functions:

730.15

Functions as the primary operating base of the Atlantic Fleet, homeport to over 80 ships, including aircraft carriers, surface escorts and other combatants, logistics support ships, and attack submarines. This station is the hub of the major Tidewater Logistics Complex of Hampton Roads, Portsmouth, Yorktown and Little Creek. Supporting the following activities: Amphibious Group Naval Air Station Cruiser-Destroyer Group Naval Aviation Depot (to be closed) Attack Submarine Squadrons Nuclear Weapons Training Center Fleet Training Center Navy Public Works Center Shore Intermediate Maintenance Activity Naval Supply Center Service Group

11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):

FY02 - BRIG RENOVATIONS

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$178,902

TOTAL

- a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
- b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

4,000

70,070

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						ate 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	allation and Location/UIC: N62688 4. Project Title						
NAVAL STATION, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA			BERTHIN	G PIER (PHASE	I)		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)	
0204796	5N	151.20		P-355	Au	th:	45,530
					Ap	pr:	32,030

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
BERTHING PIER	LS	-	-	25,550
PIER	m2	12,796	1,454.00	(18,610)
BUCKET DREDGING	m3	270,000	18.00	(4,860)
HYDRAULIC DREDGING	m3	400,000	5.00	(2,000)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	-	(80)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	_	15,360
MARINE STRUCTURES	LS	_	-	(890)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(7,320)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	-	(3,130)
PAVING, SITE IMPRVS, AND DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(4,020)
SUBTOTAL	_	-	-	40,910
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-	-	2,050
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	_	_	42,960
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	_	_	_	2,570
TOTAL	-	_	_	45,530
LESS PHASE II FUNDING	-	-	-	(13,500)
TOTAL REQUEST	_	-		32,030
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Berthing pier, under deck utilidor, precast/prestressed cylindrical piling, precast concrete planks with concrete topping, utilities, fire alarm, dredging, and demolition of existing pier and piling.

11. Requirement: As Required. Adequate: N/A. Substandard: N/A.

PROJECT:

Replaces an existing berthing pier. (Current mission.)

## **REQUIREMENT:**

Adequate facilities to provide berthing to support a ship loading of 87 ships and to utilize ship nesting. This project will replace Pier 2 to provide the required berthing space with necessary utilities, deck space, deck loading, and appropriate pier-to-pier spacing.

## CURRENT SITUATION:

Pier 2 is over 50 years old and was constructed as a supply pier with a transit shed. The pier is inadequate because of limited deck space and structural strength, which severely restricts mobile crane access to the pier and limits pierside operations. The current separation between piers is inadequate to allow for nesting of ships or adequate tugboat access to properly and safely berth ships. The existing utilities are inadequate to accommodate current ship classes and meet environmental standards.

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY		2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N62688	
NAVAL STA	TION, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
BERTHING 1	PIER (PHASE I)	P-355
(continued)		
IMPACT IF	NOT PROVIDED:	
current and adequate be the station operationa	is project, Pier 2 will not be able to support berth d future ship classes homeported at Norfolk. The la erthing space is part of a cumulative impact that win from supporting the homeported ships, increase fled costs by requiring "steaming" in port because of a and creating unsafe ship handling and berthing cond	ck of ll prevent et lack of
12. Supplemental D	ata:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 1 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs Total Contract In-House	(1,380) 4,150
(4) Co	nstruction Start	02/99
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: LCDR David Phillips, Phone: (757) 444-2866

1. Component		FV 10	00 MH I	TADV	CONST	DUCTIO	N DDC	CDAM	2. D	ate
NAVY							2/6/98			
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00181 4. Command							5. Area			
NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA						NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND				Constr Cost Index
TORTORIO	O111, V.									0.91
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97	111	621	9,514	1	26	0	119	3,525	0	13,917
b. End FY 2004	133	762	9,863	0	0	0	150	6,123	0	17,031
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
	AL ACR		AS OF 3	(761)					213,3	9.0
			T YET I						213,3	0
			QUESTED						6,1	_
			CLUDED :						65,2	0 50
			ENCY						66,5	
h. GRA	ND TOT.	AL	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • •	351,3	20
8. Projects Req	uested In 7	This Prograi	n:							
Category	Duo:	aat Titla					Caama	Cost		gn Status
<u>Code</u> 165.10	DREDG:	ect Title					Scope 0 LS	(\$000) 6,180	01/97	Complete 03/98
							0 25		01,7.	03, 20
9. Future Pro		ΓAL						6,180		
		llowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000).						
a. meraded	NONE	nowing 110	gram (1 1 2							
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	t Three Yea	ars:							
740.74			DEV CT	2				4,700	-	_
750.20			OR PLAYI					2,850	-	-
152.50	FY01 - FY02 -		FRONT IN	1PROVEM	ENTS			17,300	_	_
721.11 721.11		~	EPLACEME	ENT				25,900 14,500	_	_
		~ TAL						65,250		
c. Real Pro	_		cklog (\$000	): \$283	,708			05,250		
10. Mission O			2 (1 1 1	•						
Mainter and inc Logisti alterat	ance and all all all all all all all all all al	nd over aircra ort pro and dry	ft carrivided in docking	iers, s ncludes g of su	surface s convei irface s	ships, ssion, c ships ar	and at overhau nd mode	owered s tack sub l, repai rn subma arfare w	marines r, rines.	
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):						
a Dollution	Abotomor	at (*). do								

- a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
- b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N00181 4. Project Title						
NORFOLK N PORTSMOUT		•	DREDGING			
5. Program Element	gram Element 6. Category Code 7			7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (		00)
0702096	N	165.10	P-378		6,1	L80

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
DREDGING	LS	_	_	5,550
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	- -	- -	5,550 280
TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	_ _	- -	5,830 350
TOTAL REQUEST EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_ _	- -	- (NON-ADD)	6,180

### 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Dredge carrier wet slips and turning basin to 47 feet plus two feet (14.3 meters + 0.6 meters) overdepth mean low low depth; remove approximately 535,000 cubic meters of material and barge to the Craney Island disposal area.

11. Requirement: As Required. Adequate: N/A. Substandard: N/A.

PROJECT:

Dredge carrier wet slips and turning basin. (Current mission.)

## REQUIREMENT:

Adequate dredge depth to support industrial and ship operations in overhaul and repair of CVN ships. Existing carrier draft depths for CVN's in a fully downloaded configuration are increasing as ship and ordnance alterations are completed. An increase to the shipyard draft depth is essential to prevent CVN fouling of heat exchangers and condensers from ingestion of organic and inorganic material through sea chests in the bottom of the hull at the piers and turning basin.

## CURRENT SITUATION:

Current depth at wet slips 3, 4, 5 and the turning basin is 40 feet (12.2 meters). The necessary clearance for diver safety and fouling prevention does not currently exist. Recent carrier availabilities have experienced condenser fouling during propulsion plant testing, leading to delays in their return to the fleet. Carrier work represents an average of 32% of this shipyard's workload through FY 2003 with a peak in FY 1999 of 42%.

## IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, a \$1.8 million increase in the cost of carrier availabilities would be realized. Two million dollars would be required for utility upgrades at the Naval Station, Norfolk, to handle the increased capacity. There will be continued fouling of condensers and clearance for diver safety will not be maintained

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date
NAVY		2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N00181	
NORFOLK N	AVAL SHIPYARD, PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
DREDGING		P-378
(continued)		
12. Supplemental D	ata:	
develop pr	timated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been oject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of 190, Facility Planning and Design guide)	
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started	01/97 09/97 03/98 35% 50%
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications. All Other Design Costs.  Total.  Contract. In-House.	(370) (190) 560 (490) (70)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	11/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provid ions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: Cdr Stuart Perrit, Phone: (803) 522-7072

1.6	1								1.0	D.
1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							2. Date		
NAVY	V							2/6/98		
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N63402 4. Command								5. Area Constr Cost		
STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY, PACIFIC, STRATEGIC SYSTEMS								Index		
BANGOR, WASHINGTON PROJECT OFFICE							1.09			
<u> </u>								1.09		
		Permanen	<b>+</b>		Students			Supported		
6. Personnel Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilia	n Total
a. As Of	Officer	Ellisted	Civiliali	Officer	Ellisted	Civiliali	Officer	Ellisted	Civiliai	li Total
09/30/97	13	108	173	0	0	0	0	0	0	294
b. End FY 2004	13	109	175	0	0	0	0	0	0	297
2004	13	100	173		ENTORY		Ŭ	Ü	0	257
				7.114	ENIONI	DATA				
	AL ACR		AS OF 3	(0) 0 grd 1	007				147	490
			T YET I						14/	0
			QUESTED							,750
			CLUDED :							,500 ,130
			ENCY							,350
h. GRA	ND TOT	AL	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • •	179	,220
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Prograi	n:							
Category								Cost		esign Status
Code	-	ect Title					<u>Scope</u>	(\$000)		-
143.47	SECUR	ITY FAC	ILITY UI	PGRADES			350 M2	2,750	12/9	06 05/98
	TO	TAL						2,750		
9. Future Pro	jects:									
a. Included	In The Fo	llowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):						
421.72	D5 MI	SSILE S	UPPORT I	FAC				4,500	_	-
	TO	TAL						4,500		
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	kt Three Yea	ars:					·		
152.10			ITION WE	HARF				940	_	_
932.20	FY02	- UTILS	& SITE	IMPVS	(PH II)			1,190	_	-
	TO	TAL						2,130		
c. Real Pro	perty Maiı	ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000	): \$0				·		
10. Mission O	r Major Fu	inctions:	-							
								ENT syst		
								ng capab losive c		
			missil		exprosiv	'e and i	ion-exp.	iosive c	Outpone	ents or
11. Outstanding										
	-	-		ες (ψοσο).						
a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0 b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0										
o. Occupat	ionai Saiei	ly Allu Heal	uı (USA) (#	). ఫ∪						

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98				
3. Installation and Lo	3. Installation and Location/UIC: N63402 4. Project Title					
STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY, PACIFIC BANGOR, WASHINGTON				SECURITY FACILITY UPGRADES		
5. Program Element	gram Element 6. Category Code 7. Pro			ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0101221	.N	143.47	P-291 2,			750

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N COST ESTEMATES								
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)					
SECURITY FACILITY UPGRADES	M2	350	-	2,280					
REACTION FORCE FACILITY ADDITION	M2	350	1,903.00	(670)					
GUARD TOWERS	LS	_	-	(590)					
PERIMETER LIGHTING	LS	_	-	(310)					
BALLISTIC BARRIERS	LS	_	-	(330)					
PERIMETER VEHICLE BARRIERS	LS	_	-	(190)					
SECURITY PATROL VEHICLE ACCESS IMPRS	LS	_	-	(190)					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	_	-	190					
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	-	(190)					
SUBTOTAL	-	_	-	2,470					
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	-	120					
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	-	2,590					
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.0%)	-	_	-	160					
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	2,750					
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)					

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story building addition, concrete foundation and floor slab; ballistic hardened exterior concrete walls and roof, fighting positions and perimeter parapet wall; garage addition; air conditioning, fire protection and alarm system, information systems; two 60' high, reinforced concrete guard towers, spread footings; utilities; perimeter lighting and vehicle barriers; armored protection for exposed electrical distribution system; reinforced concrete walls and graded berms; security patrol vehicle access improvements; paving and site improvements.

11. Requirement: 1,320 M2 Adequate: 970 M2 Substandard: (0) M2.

PROJECT:

Provides security facility upgrades. (Current mission.)

## REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured Marine Reaction Force facilities to support 78 Marines, 3 Light Armored Vehicles (LAV), and comply with DODINST C5210.41M physical security requirements. DODINST C5210.41M requires: small-arms hardened facilities; assessment capabilities of the entire perimeter of the Limited Area; perimeter lighting to illuminate the entire clear zone; the power distribution system hardened against small arms fire; vehicle barriers to prevent penetration of the perimeter; security forces to meet specified reaction times. This installation will be the only Navy west coast nuclear weapons capable storage site.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing facility was designed to support 48 on-duty Marines and two LAVs. Due to increased storage and security requirements, the required complement has increased to 78 on-duty Marines. The existing facility is

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N63402	
STRATEGIC	WEAPONS FACILITY, PACIFIC BANGOR, WASHINGTON	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
SECURITY	FACILITY UPGRADES	P-291

#### (...continued)

considered unsatisfactory from an operational, health, and safety standpoint. To support the current complement, the Marines must resort to "hot bunking," swapping bunks as the duty and sleep cycle rotates, eat in areas not meant for dining, and wait in line for the laundry equipment. Each Marine is on duty in the Limited Area (LA) for a 96 hour cycle during which one third of the time is spent in the LA performing security functions and the remaining two thirds of time is spent within the security facility also located within the LA. The time within the security facility is spent eating, sleeping, exercising, and on weapons preparation. The laundry facilities consist of one washer and one dryer for 78 Marines. Exercise facilities are located in the back of the existing LAV garage; however, the area must be vacated due to fumes resulting from periodic LAV operational readiness testing. Weapons preparation is accomplished in the small dining area due to space limitations. To alleviate the bunking conditions, some Marines have been moved into a missile magazine. However, these Marines must return to the security force facility for other personnel support functions. Additionally, numerous security deficiencies have been sited, such as areas of the limited area (LA) that are not visible from any of the four existing towers; perimeter lighting which does not illuminate the entire clear zone; no vehicle barriers on one side of the Limited Area perimeter, exposing this area to vehicle attack; and much of the power distribution system, including transformers and batteries located above ground and on the exterior of buildings, making it vulnerable to small arms fire. These deficiencies create unnecessary security risks.

# IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, the security deficiencies will continue to exist, and personnel will continue to operate in cramped quarters, reducing their quality of life while on duty

# 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1)	Status:	

, 500			
(A)	Date Design	Started	12/96
(B)	Date Design	35% Complete	03/97
(C)	Date Design	Complete	05/98
(D)	Percent Comp	olete As Of September 1997	45%
(E)	Percent Comp	plete As Of January 1998	60%

#### (2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:

# (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	
(B) All Other Design Costs	(90)
(C) Total	260
(D) Contract	(230)
(E) In-House	(30)

Installation POC: Capt Glenn A. Cutler, Phone: (360) 396-4640

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N63402	
STRATEGIC	WEAPONS FACILITY, PACIFIC BANGOR, WASHINGTON	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
SECURITY	FACILITY UPGRADES	P-291
(continued)		
(4) Co	nstruction Start 1	1/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be provide ions: NONE.	d from other

Installation POC: Capt Glenn A. Cutler, Phone: (360) 396-4640

1 Commonant									2	Date	
1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							2.1			
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	n/HIC: N6	6691		Ι.	4. Comman	d			2/6/98 5. Area	
NAVAL S								N CHIEF,		Constr Cost Index	
SOUDA B.	AY, CR	ETE, GR	EECE			U.S. EUROE	NAVAL :	FORCES		0.83	
						Hortor			l l	0.03	
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported			
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total	
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	25	311	70	0	0	0	38	214	0	658	
2004	24	273	82	0	0	0	40	212	0	631	
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA					
a. TOT	'AL ACR	EAGE		(101)							
b. INV	ENTORY	TOTAL	AS OF 3	0 SEP 1	997				40,4	_	
c. AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
e. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM 6,300						300					
			EXT THREENCY						10,5	0	
_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • •	• • • •	62,5		
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Progra	n:								
Category		J						Cost	Des	ign Status	
Code	Pro	ject Title					Scope	(\$000)		-	
721.12	BEQ					2,	640 m2	5,260	01/96	05/98	
	TO	TAL						5,260			
9. Future Pro	jects:										
		_	gram (FY 2								
141.40	BASE	OPERATI	ONS FAC	LLITIES				6,300	-	-	
	TO	TAL						6,300			
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	xt Three Yea	ars:								
c. Real Pro		ntenance Ba	cklog (\$000	): \$1,9	19						
10. Mission Or	•			, , ,							
			ce and o					for the	U.S. Na	ivy.	
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	Deficiencie	es (\$000):							
_		nt (*): \$0		•							
b. Occupati	onal Safet	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#	): \$0							
•											

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM  2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Lo	stallation and Location/UIC: N66691 4. Project Title					
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, SOUDA BAY, CRETE				BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ect Number	00)	
0204696	N	721.12		P-726	260	

2. COST ESTIMATES								
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)				
BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	m2	2,640	-	3,580				
BUILDING	m2	2,640	1,277.00	(3,370)				
EMERGENCY GENERATOR	LS	_	-	(150)				
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	_	-	(10)				
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	-	(50)				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	1,120				
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	-	(400)				
UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(170)				
TEMPORARY TRAILERS	LS	-	-	(50)				
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	-		(270)				
DEMOLITION AND RELOCATION	LS	-	-	(230)				
SUBTOTAL	_	-		4,700				
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	_	-		240				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	-		4,940				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	_	_	-	320				
TOTAL REQUEST	-	_	-	5,260				
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)				

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Two-story building, concrete frame, masonry walls, spread footing, concrete foundation and floor slabs; 40 modules with two private sleeping/living rooms, two walk-in closets, kitchenettes, service area, adjoining full semi-private bath, sound attenuation; laundry, vending, multi-purpose lounge/training/game/recreational rooms, housekeeping and storage; elevators, mechanical and utility rooms, fire detection, alarms, and automatic sprinkler system, air conditioning, information systems, emergency generators, temporary trailers, relocation of transportation maintenance functions/ equipments, technical operating manuals, utilities, paving, and site improvements. Demolition of one building. Intended Grade Mix: 40 E5-E6. Total: 40. Maximum Utilization by 80 E1-E4.

# 11. Requirement: 240 PN Adequate: 64 PN Substandard: (0) PN PROJECT:

Constructs a bachelor enlisted quarters in compliance with Department of Defense "1+1" criteria for permanent party personnel. (Current mission.)

# REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to accommodate the increase of personnel assigned to this activity because of increased mission requirements.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities are inadequate because of age and do not meet current safety, health, and design criteria. The personnel increase at Souda Bay is due to the relocation of the reconnaissance mission from Athens to Souda

1. Component	EX 1000 MILTER DX CONCEDITORION DDOCD AM	2. Date					
NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2/6/98					
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N66691						
NAVAL SUP	PORT ACTIVITY, SOUDA BAY, CRETE						
4. Project Title		7. Project Number					
BACHELOR	BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS P-726						
(continued)							
Bay. Per	manent party enlisted personnel increased from approx	ximately 300					

Bay. Permanent party enlisted personnel increased from approximately 300 pre-1991 to 540. Most personnel are housed on the economy with a total of approximately 106 enlisted personnel berthed on base and the others bused to and from leased facilities to the workplace.

#### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Without this project, personnel will continue to live in inadequate quarters which cannot accommodate the increase in personnel. Personnel will continue to be exposed to threat conditions while living on the economy as well as transiting to and from the base. In addition, increased maintenance and repair costs to keep structures in usable condition will continue, as well as continued expenditures of temporary living allowances and overseas housing allowance costs.

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

	1 -	ı١	) .	$\alpha$	 ٠.		$\sim$	٠
- 1	(			וה	 1 I.	u	$\sim$	

(A) Date Design Started		96
(B) Date Design 35% Complete		96
(C) Date Design Complete		
(D) Percent Complete As Of September	1997 4	0%
(E) Percent Complete As Of January 1	998 6	0%

## (2) Basis:

- (A) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
- (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used: SOUDA BAY

## (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):

(A) Production of Plans and Specifications	
(B) All Other Design Costs	(120)
(C) Total	
(D) Contract	(320)
(E) In-House	(40)

- B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.
- C. Real Property Maintenance (past two years) (\$000): 5,252
- D. Future requirements for unaccompanied housing at this installation: 62 PN

Installation POC: LCdr David Weil, Phone: 011-30-821-63860X219

										D :
1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2.	2. Date		
NAVY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							2/6/98		
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N61755 4. Command							5. Area Constr Cost			
NAVAL A								N CHIEF		Index
GUAM, M	ARIANA	ISLAND	S			PACTE	FIC FLE	E.I.		2.01
					<u> </u>					2.01
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of					_					
09/30/97 b. End FY	428	3,366	2,145	0	0	0	70	829	0	6,838
2004	408	2,823	1,810	0	0	0	69	800	0	5,910
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
2 7707	AL ACR	DEACE		(14,3	220)					
			AS OF 3				. <b></b> .		244,0	000
	-		T YET I							0
			QUESTED CLUDED						10,3	0
f. PLA	NNED I	N THE N	EXT THR	EE PROG	RAM YEA	RS			2,4	100
_			ENCY						130,3 <b>387,</b> 0	
				•••••		•••••	• • • • • •	••••	307,	710
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Prograi	m:						_	
Category Code	Pro	ject Title					Scope	Cost (\$000)		sign Status <u>Complete</u>
159.64	-		ONSOL F	ACS			0 LS			06/98
143.25			ARE UNI			3,	066 m2	5,500		12/97
	ΤО	TAL						10,310		
9. Future Pro	_	IAL						10,510		
	-	ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):						
	NONE		<i>8</i> (	,-						
b. Major Pl	anned Nex	xt Three Ye	ars:							
721.11	FY02	- BEQ M	ODERNIZA	NOITA				2,400	-	-
	TO	TAL						2,400		
c Real Pro			cklog (\$000	) \$73.	836			2,400		
10. Mission O			ekiog (фооо	).						
	J		gistics	and ma	intenan	ice supr	ort to	Pacific	: Fleet	and
other U	J.S. an	d allie	d shipp	ing. H	Iomeport	for su	ubmarin	e tender	suppor	
submari	nes op	erating	in the	wester	n Pacif	ic and	for MS	C ships.		
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	And Safety	/ Deficienci	es (\$000):						
a. Pollution	Abateme	nt (*): \$0	)							
b. Occupati	ional Safet	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#	): \$0						

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98						
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N61755 4. Project Title								
NAVAL ACT GUAM, MAR	•	ANDS		SPECIAL WARFARE UNIT FACILITY				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Project Number		8. Project Cost (\$000)			
0204796	N	143.25	P-415		5,500			

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
SPECIAL WARFARE UNIT FACILITY	m2	3,066	1,367.00	4,190
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	_	720
UTILITIES, PAVING, AND SITE IMPROVEMENT	LS	_	_	(720)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	4,910
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	-	250
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	5,160
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	-	340
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	5,500
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Renovates an existing reinforced concrete warehouse building; air conditioning; administration offices, fire protection system for entire building and alarm systems for the renovated spaces; water, sewer, electrical and telephone utility connections and upgrades, installation of concrete curbs, repair of existing paved parking areas, paving and site improvements.

11. Requirement: 3,066 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

#### PROJECT:

Renovates an existing building to accommodate various functions of the Naval Special Warfare Unit One (NSWU-1). (Current mission.)

## REQUIREMENT:

Adequate and properly-configured facility to house NSWU-1 being relocated from the "Victor" wharf area in compliance with Navy's plan to eliminate unneeded infrastructure.

# CURRENT SITUATION:

Implementation of the Navy infrastructure consolidation plan for Guam requires relocation of NSWU-1 to "Sierra" Wharf. Functions to be relocated include the headquarters administration, operational storage, platoon storage, dive change/locker/shop, supply and tools facilities.

## IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Navy's plan to consolidate footprint on Guam will not be achieved

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

## (1) Status:

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
NAVY	ocation/UIC: N61755	2/0/90
	IVITIES, GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
SPECIAL W	ARFARE UNIT FACILITY	P-415
(continued)		
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started  Date Design 35% Complete  Date Design Complete  Percent Complete As Of September 1997  Percent Complete As Of January 1998	07/97
, ,	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used: N/A	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	tal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs Total Contract In-House	(303) (201) 504 (448) (56)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	11/98
B. Equipme appropriat	nt associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	ed from other

Installation POC: J.F. Laygo, Phone: 011-671-339-4365

1. Component NAVY	FY	2. Date 2/6/98					
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N61755 4. Pro				4. Project Title	4. Project Title		
NAVAL ACTIVITIES, GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS				WATERFRONT CONSOLIDATION FACILITIES			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$000)		
0204796	N	159.64	P-412		4,8	310	

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
WATERFRONT CONSOLIDATION FACILITIES	LS	-	-	3,720
PASS AND IDENTIFICATION OFFICE	M2	50	3,600.00	(180)
SENTRY BOOTHS	M2	24	5,417.00	(130)
SECURITY FENCE AND GATE	M	5,152	103.00	(530)
BUILDING RENOVATIONS	M2	1,410	640.00	(900)
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS	M2	3,122	436.00	(1,360)
BILGE OIL WASTE SYSTEM	LS	-	-	(560)
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	-	-	(60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	_	580
UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(360)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	_	(220)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	4,300
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	-	220
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	_	_	4,520
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	_	290
TOTAL DESCRIPTION				4 010
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	- (NON ADD)	4,810
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Reinforced concrete and concrete masonry building for pass and identification office; toilets, air conditioning; fire alarm system, and four concrete reinforced sentry booths. Bilge oily waste treatment system (BOWTS) facility, reinforced concrete slab and berm and above-ground equalization tank with 189,000 liter capacity, sludge tank, slop tanks with 19,000 liter capacity each, chemical tanks, oil-water separator, compressed air system, and electrical utilities; technical operating manuals. Alters and renovates Building 3190 to create new offices; quarterdeck reception area, a command center-briefing room with wall-mounted wide screens, builtin work stations with telephone and computer connections, and a secure communications room; standardize all interior doors; install new furring with trim on existing walls to conceal exposed electrical conduits and outlets; replace suspended ceiling system; enclose existing, exposed, wallmounted electrical panels, disconnect switches, and circuit breaker boxes; relocate exposed wall-mounted low voltage electrical transformers from the hallways to the electrical/mechanical room; repaint interior; upgrade and modify existing air conditioning system; install fire sprinkler system and upgrade existing fire alarm system for the building to support new interior building arrangement; upgrade exterior building finishes and appearance. Alters and renovates Building 3169 to a facility for Port Operations, Port Control and Ship Movement Office; renovate second floor offices and rest rooms; upgrade air conditioning system; construct lunch locker, shower and laundry rooms; expand existing first floor restrooms; construct secure space for the Ship Movement Office; replace existing fire alarm system and provide a new fire alarm transmitter; alter existing fire sprinkler system; enclose outside emergency generator; construct roof-top port control platform and antenna mountings. Mechanical and electrical utilities, water, sewer; information systems, paving, and site improvements.

1. Component	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
NAVY		2/0/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N61755	
NAVAL ACT	IVITIES, GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
WATERFRON	T CONSOLIDATION FACILITIES	P-412
(continued)		

(...commuea)

11. Requirement: As Required. Adequate: N/A. Substandard: N/A.

PROJECT:

Constructs waterfront consolidation facilities. (Current mission.)
REOUIREMENT:

In order to maintain Naval presence and support to the Fleet on Guam, while faced with budget driven down-sizing of several Naval activities over the past years, the Navy has developed a Guam long-range plan. This plan identifies several Navy-owned inland and waterfront areas which are excess to the Navy's needs and are currently being screened for conveyance to the Government of Guam (GOVGUAM). These include Victor and Uniform Wharves and adjacent lands and facilities, and the off-base Nimitz Hill, Commander, Naval Forces Marianas (COMNAVMARIANAS) Headquarters and surrounding lands. Facilities are required to relocate Port Operations, Port Control, and Ship Movement Office, and the BOWTS facility off Victor Wharf, to provide physical security for the remaining on-base, Navy-controlled areas and to establish a consolidated on-base COMNAVMARIANAS/NAVACTS Headquarters building allowing the off-base headquarters and office buildings and adjacent lands to be excessed. Adequate facilities are also required to accommodate the consolidated COMNAVMARIANAS Headquarters (combined COMNAVMARIANAS and NAVACTS organization) and spaces for the Port Operations, Port Control and Ship Movement Offices. A BOWTS facility is required to support port operations and provide for the proper disposal of shipboard bilge oily waste as required by the Clean Water Act.

### CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing pass and identification building, sentry booths, security fencing, port operations facility, and BOWTS are located on or adjacent to Victor Wharf. COMNAVMARIANAS is located on Nimitz Hill. All these areas have been identified as excess to the Navy's needs and are being screened for GOVGUAM or other Federal use.

## IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Consolidation and elimination of excess facilities and lands is necessary to achieve cost savings which can be realized by reducing infrastructure. Existing facilities will not be utilized efficiently. Retaining existing facilities within proposed commercial areas will hamper Naval operations, as well as restrict economic development of these areas. Without the security fencing, pass and identification building, and sentry booths, unauthorized access to Navy property cannot be controlled. Without a replacement for BOWTS, the Navy will be unable to properly support port operations in Guam.

## 12. Supplemental Data:

- A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)
  - (1) Status:

Installation POC: J.F. Laygo, Phone: 011-671-339-4365

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC: N61755	
NAVAL ACT	IVITIES, GUAM, MARIANA ISLANDS	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
WATERFRON	T CONSOLIDATION FACILITIES	P-412
(continued)		1
(B) (C) (D)	Date Design Started  Date Design 35% Complete  Date Design Complete  Percent Complete As Of September 1997  Percent Complete As Of January 1998	09/97
	sis: Standard or Definitive Design: NO Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	
(A) (B) (C) (D)	Ptal Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): Production of Plans and Specifications.  All Other Design Costs.  Total.  Contract.  In-House.	(290) (150) 440 (390) (50)
(4) Co	nstruction Start	11/98
B. Equipme appropriat	ent associated with this project which will be providions: NONE.	led from other

Installation POC: J.F. Laygo, Phone: 011-671-339-4365

1. Component		EV 10	99 MILI	TADV	CONSTI	DII/TI/	NI DDA	CDAM	2. D	ate
NAVY		F I 17	99 WIILI	IAKI	COMPT	KUCII.	JN F NO	GRAMI		2/6/98
3. Installation a	nd Location	on/UIC: N6	2588		4	4. Comman	d			5. Area
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY							NAVAL :	N CHIEF, FORCES		Constr Cost Index 1.28
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Total
a. As Of 09/30/97 b. End FY	598	2,294	1,754	0	0	0	6	113	0	4,765
2004	627	2,492	1,878	0	0	0	4	103	0	5,104
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
a. TOTAL ACREAGE (173) b. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 30 SEP 1997. 107,250 c. AUTHORIZATION NOT YET IN INVENTORY. 0 d. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM. 18,270 e. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN THE FOLLOWING PROGRAM. 0 f. PLANNED IN THE NEXT THREE PROGRAM YEARS. 21,400 g. REMAINING DEFICIENCY. 40,880 h. GRAND TOTAL 187,800										
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Prograi	n:					<i>C</i>	ъ.	<b>C</b> 1. 1
Category Code	Pro	ject Title					Scope	Cost (\$000)	•	gn Status Complete
219.10	-	UBLIC W	ORKS FAC	CS		6,		18,270		
	TO	TAL						18,270		
9. Future Pro	jects:									
a. Included	In The Fo	ollowing Pro	gram (FY 2	000):						
	NONE									

## TOTAL

211.05

610.10

b. Major Planned Next Three Years:

FY02 - MAINTENANCE HANGAR

c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog (\$000): \$6,300

FY01 - ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES

10. Mission Or Major Functions:

Support all Naval commands and organizations ashore in the Naples area, using mainly leased facilities in Agnano, Pinetemare and Bagnoli; and the military controlled compound at Capodichino Airport. Commands include Sixth Fleet task force commanders and staffs for: 1) combat support force (CTF-63), 2) ballistic missile submarine force (CTF-64), 3) area anti-submarine warfare force (CTF-66), 4) maritime surveillance and reconnaissance force (CTF-67), and 5) attack submarine force (CTF-69). Also supported is the Commander, Fleet Air Mediterranean staff, responsible for management of all Navy shore bases in the Mediterranean. U.S. personnel assigned to the Allied Forces, Southern Europe (AFSOUTH) NATO command in Naples are also a responsibility. Communications Station, Naval Hospital, fleet landing on Naples waterfront, leased family housing at Pinetemare and Sixth Fleet flagship at Gaeta are also supported.

- 11. Outstanding Pollution And Safety Deficiencies (\$000):
  - a. Pollution Abatement (*): \$0
  - b. Occupational Safety And Health (OSH) (#): \$0

9,400

12,000 -----21,400

1. Component NAVY	FY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM  2. Date 2/6					
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC:	N62588		4. Project Title			
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY				NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES			
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Pro	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)	
0204796	N	219.10	P-172		P-172 18,		

5. COST ESTIMAT	LO			
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES	m2	6,612	-	13,870
PUBLIC WORKS SHOP	m2	4,514	1,279.00	(5,770)
PUBLIC WORKS OPERATIONS	m2	1,083	•	
VEHICLE MAINTENENCE SHOP	m2	1,015	1,792.00	(1,820)
PASS AND IDENTIFICATION SECURITY FACS	LS		. –	(850)
BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	LS	_	_	(880)
OFF BASE ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	_	(2,070)
INFORMATION SYSTEMS	LS	_	_	(480)
TECHNICAL OPERATING MANUALS	LS	_	_	(150)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	_	2,470
SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION FEATURES	LS	_	_	(1,000)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(180)
MECHANICAL UTILITIES	LS	_	_	(270)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	_	_	(640)
DEMOLITION	LS	-	_	(380)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	16,340
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	_	_	820
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	_	-	_	17,160
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	_	_	1,110
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	18,270
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	_	_	(NON-ADD)	(0)

# 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Two-story, concrete-frame building with basement, masonry walls, concrete foundations and floors, built-up roof on insulated metal deck and steel truss; provides public works shop space, motor vehicle maintenence shop, administrative space, storage and organizational vehicle parking space, rehabilitation of one building for hazardous material handling, motor pool space, fire protection system, fiber optics information systems, technical operating manuals, compressed air system, utilities; main road access improvements, pass and identification security facilities, temporary space for public works functions, off-base access improvements; designed to Seismic Zone 3; and demolition of four buildings.

 11. Requirement:
 6,612 m2
 Adequate:
 0 m2
 Substandard:
 (0) m2.

## PROJECT:

Constructs a public works shop and a hazardous materials handling facility to replace leased facilities in Agnano. (Current mission.)

#### REOUIREMENT:

Adequate public works and hazardous materials handling facilities which meet current standards and consolidate various public works functions in the Naples area in order to maintain a high-level of mission readiness, efficient operations, and support the Sixth Fleet in the Mediterranean. Hazardous material handling facilities which meet the current final

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Lo	cation/UIC: N62588	
NAVAL SUP	PORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY	
4. Project Title		7. Project Number
NII PUBLI	C WORKS FACILITIES	P-172
(continued)		

governing standard for Italy are required. This facility is a critical element of the on-going Congressionally approved Naples Improvement Initiative (NII), and is required to move Navy personnel out of seismically inadequate structures located in the Agnano crater.

#### CURRENT SITUATION:

Existing facilities at Agnano are leased, unsafe, undersized, and inadequately configured for the mission they support. Past seismic activity has structurally weakened these facilities, and they have been determined to be subject to catastrophic failure if a severe seismic event occurs. The Congress approved NII is relocating all operational functions from Agnano to Capodichino, which will separate public works functions from the people they support. Existing facilities at Agnano are vulnerable to terrorist activity. The small public works facility at Capodichino was sized to support only a small number of buildings prior to NII. The major construction underway at Capodichino will require efficient public works operation, collocated with the customers they support.

# IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

Navy will not be able to move all the personnel out of the Agnano crater to comply with the agreement. Without this project, increased maintenance and repair costs will be incurred to keep structures in usable condition. Fragmented operations of public works increases operating costs as functions are relocated to Capodichino under NII. Risk of catastrophic failure from a seimic event, vulnerability to terrorist activity, and lower productivity due to inadequate facilities.

## 12. Supplemental Data:

A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)

(1) Status:	
(A) Date Design Started	10/96
(B) Date Design 35% Complete	07/97
(C) Date Design Complete	04/98
(D) Percent Complete As Of September 1997	35%
(E) Percent Complete As Of January 1998	95%
(2) Basis:	
(A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO	
(B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:	

(3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):
(A) Production of Plans and Specifications......

 (B) All Other Design Costs.
 (785)

 (C) Total.
 1,735

 (D) Contract.
 (1,390)

 (E) In-House.
 (345)

Installation POC: Cdr James McConnell, Phone: 011-39-81-724-4370

1. Component NAVY  3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588 NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY  4. Project Title NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES  6continued)  B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.
3. Installation and Location/UIC: N62588  NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY  4. Project Title  NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES  7. Project Number  P-172  (continued)  B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NAPLES, ITALY  4. Project Title  NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES  7. Project Number  P-172  (continued)  B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other
4. Project Title  NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES  7. Project Number  P-172  (continued)  B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other
NII PUBLIC WORKS FACILITIES  (continued)  B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other
(continued)  B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other
B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other
B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from other appropriations: NONE.
·

Installation POC: Cdr James McConnell, Phone: 011-39-81-724-4370

1. Component									2.	Date
NAVY		FY 19	99 MILI	TARY	CONST	RUCTIO	ON PRO	GRAM		2/6/98
3. Installation a	nd Locatio	on/UIC: NL	9282			4. Comman	ıd			5. Area
дотит м	ARTTTM	E COMMU	NICATION	IS CENT	ER.	COMMA	ANDER T	N CHIEF,		Constr Cost
		ITED KI		10 01111			NTIC FL			Index
										1.33
6. Personnel		Permanen	t		Students			Supported		
Strength	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian	 Total
a. As Of										
09/30/97 b. End FY	34	270	0	0	0	0	5	43	0	352
2004	39	404	0	0	0	0	8	61	0	512
				7. INV	ENTORY	DATA				
a. TOI	CAL ACR	EAGE		(0)						
			AS OF 3	0 SEP 1						0
	-		T YET I						2	0 010
e. AUI	CHORIZA	TION IN	CLUDED	IN THE	FOLLOWI	NG PROG	GRAM			040
			EXT THREENCY						10,	0 1 0 0
			•••••				• • • • • • •	• • • • •	13,	
8. Projects Req	uested In	This Program	m:							
Category								Cost	Des	sign Status
<u>Code</u>	-	ject Title					Scope	(\$000)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
740.88	EDUCA	TION CE	NTER ADI	OITION			827 m2	2,010	05/97	7 09/98
	TO	TAL						2,010		
9. Future Pro	ojects:									
		_	gram (FY 2	000):						
750.20	PLAYI	NG FIEL	DS					1,040	-	_
	TO	TAL						1,040		
b. Major Pl	lanned Nex	xt Three Ye	ars:							
	NONE									
			cklog (\$000	): \$402						
10. Mission O	-						. i	L - L /TT	3 L . 3 W	
			rd oper ons cen		pase. u	OINC OF	iitea s	tates/Un	itea K.	Ingaom
11. Outstanding	g Pollution	n And Safety	y Deficiencie	es (\$000):						
		nt (*): \$0								
b. Occupati	ional Safet	ty And Heal	th (OSH) (#	): \$0						
•		-								

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. Date 2/6/98
3. Installation and Location/UIC: NL9282 4. Project Title						
JOINT MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS CENTER, ST. MAWGAN, UNITED KINGDOM				EDUCATION CENTER ADDITION		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0204311	N	740.88	P-113		2,0	)10

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
EDUCATION CENTER	m2	827	1,670.00	1,380
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	420
UTILITIES	LS	-	_	(110)
PAVING AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	_	(310)
SUBTOTAL	-	_	_	1,800
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)	-	-	_	90
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	-	-	_	1,890
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION, & OVERHEAD (6.5%)	-	-	_	120
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	_	2,010
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS	-	-	(NON-ADD)	(0)

## 10. Description of Proposed Construction

One-story, steel-frame concrete and masonry building addition with concrete slab on grade, block walls with brick veneer, sloped insulated cement tile roof, fire protection system, utilities, site improvements, area lighting, and paving.

11. Requirement: 827 m2 Adequate: 0 m2 Substandard: (0) m2.

#### PROJECT:

Constructs an addition to the existing Royal Air Force (RAF) library/education center. (New mission.)

# REQUIREMENT:

Adequate facility to support a new Navy mission as a participant in the Joint Maritime Facility that became operational in 1995, with 352 Naval personnel and 472 family members at RAF Station, St. Mawgan. In accordance with the Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU), the U.S. is responsible for the provision of personnel support facilities.

## CURRENT SITUATION:

The existing RAF library/education center is inadequate to support the influx of US Navy personnel. With JMCC St. Mawgan being a remote overseas activity, personnel rely solely on the off-duty education services office for their continuing education pursuits. Adequate classroom space is not available, and therefore the number of classes offered is limited. The existing RAF library is too small to carry the volume of books needed to serve both American and British personnel.

#### IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:

This activity is a remote overseas location. There are no educational services available in the surrounding community for the US Navy personnel and family members to pursue continuing education. If this facility is not provided the professional development and quality of life of the Navy personnel will be adversely impacted.

	Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	2. Date 2/6/98
### Topict Title    EDUCATION CENTER ADDITION	nstallation and Loca	tion/UIC: NL9282	1
EDUCATION CENTER ADDITION P-1  (continued)  12. Supplemental Data:  A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)  (1) Status:  (A) Date Design Started. 05/97  (B) Date Design 35% Complete. 09/98  (C) Date Design Complete. 09/98  (D) Percent Complete As Of September 1997 35%  (E) Percent Complete As Of January 1998 45%  (2) Basis:  (A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO  (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:  (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E):  (A) Production of Plans and Specifications (120)  (B) All Other Design Costs. (60)  (C) Total. 180  (D) Contract. (160)  (E) In-House. (20)		TIME COMMUNICATIONS CENTER, ST. MAWGAN, UNITED KING	1
(continued)  12. Supplemental Data:  A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)  (1) Status:  (A) Date Design Started			7. Project Number
12. Supplemental Data:  A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)  (1) Status: (A) Date Design Started	EDUCATION (	CENTER ADDITION	P-113
A. Estimated Design Data: (Parametric estimates have been used to develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)  (1) Status:  (A) Date Design Started	commuca)		
develop project costs. Project design conforms to Part II of Military Handbook 1190, Facility Planning and Design guide)  (1) Status: (A) Date Design Started	. Supplemental Dat	a:	
(A) Date Design Started	develop pro	ject costs. Project design conforms to Part II of	
(A) Standard or Definitive Design: NO (B) Where Design Was Most Recently Used:  (3) Total Cost (C) = (A) + (B) Or (D) + (E): (A) Production of Plans and Specifications. (120) (B) All Other Design Costs. (60) (C) Total. 180 (D) Contract. (160) (E) In-House. (20)	(A) 1 (B) 1 (C) 1 (D) 1	Date Design Started	09/97 09/98 35%
(A) Production of Plans and Specifications.       (120)         (B) All Other Design Costs.       (60)         (C) Total.       180         (D) Contract.       (160)         (E) In-House.       (20)	(A)	Standard or Definitive Design: NO	
(4) Construction Start	(A) 1 (B) 2 (C) 5 (D) 6	Production of Plans and Specifications	(60) 180 (160)
	(4) Cons	struction Start	12/98
B. Equipment associated with this project which will be provided from cappropriations: NONE.			led from other

Installation POC: Lt Diana Stone, Phone: 011-441-637-872-201X7531

1. Component NAVY	FY	1999 MILITARY CO	NSTRU	J <b>CTIO</b>	N PR	OGR.	AM	2. Date 02/06/98
3. Installation and Lo	ocation/UIC:			4. Projec	ct Title			
NAVAL AND MAR		S INSTALLATIONS,		UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION				
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Numb	er	8. Proj	ect Cost (\$000	)
0901211	N	020.00	7	arious	5		8,90	00
		9. COST E	STIMAT	ES			I	
		Item		U/M	Quar	ntity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
UNSPECIFIED N	MINOR CO	NSTRUCTION		LS	-	-	-	8,900
TOTAL REQUES	Г			-	-	-	-	8,900
10. Description of Pr	roposed Cons	struction		1 1				
(except fa	mily hou construc	ed by Title 10 USC 2 asing) having an appetion, alteration, o request includes face.  Adequate: N/F	roved r conv unds f	cost versio	of \$1 n of g pervi	,500, perma	000 or le nent or t inspecti	ss, emporary
alter or i or less no for which included i required t a new prog	nstall p t otherw a need c n an ann hat fina ram is e	Military Department facilities rise authorized by lannot reasonably be ual military constructing cannot be definacted.	havir aw. I fores uction	ng an Includ seen n n prog	appro ed ar or ju ram,	ved c e tho stifi but a	ost of \$1 se items ed in tim re so urg	,500,000 required e to be ently
12. Supplemental D	Oata:							
NONE.								

1. Component NAVY	FY 1999 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. Date 02/06/98
3. Installation and Location 4. Project Title						
NAVAL AND MARINE CORPS INSTALLATIONS VARIOUS LOCATIONS				A & E SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION DESIGN		
5. Program Element		6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$00	00)
0901211	.N	010.00	P-099 58		58,	346

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
A&E SERVICES AND CONSTRUCTION DESIGN	LS	_	-	58,346
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	_	58,346

# 10. Description of Proposed Construction

Funds to be utilized under Title 10 USC 2807 for architectural and engineering services and construction design in connection with military construction projects including regular program projects, unspecified minor construction, emergency construction, land appraisals, and special projects as directed. Engineering investigations, such as field surveys and foundation exploration, will be undertaken as necessary.

11. Requirement: As Required. Adequate: N/A. Substandard: N/A.

All projects in a military construction program presented for approval must be based on sound engineering and the best cost data available. For this reason, design is initiated to establish project estimates in advance of program submittal to the Congress. Based on this preliminary design, final plans and specifications are then prepared. These costs for architectural and engineering services and construction design are not provided for in the construction project cost estimates.

12	Sun	plemen	ıtal	Data:

NONE.