

**U.S. Department of Agriculture Executive Order 13520, "Reducing Improper Payments"
High-Dollar Overpayments Report
3rd Quarter FY 2011**

USDA Agency/Program	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Actual Payment Amount	Correct Amount	Overpayment Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions/Plans to Recover Overpayment	Overall Actions/Plans to Prevent Reoccurrence
Farm Service Agency (FSA) Conservation Reserve Program	Entity	St. Francis, AR	\$30,084	\$0	\$30,084	County office contract extension errors.	Receivables were offset from new contract.	County office will be trained on determining the difference between contract extension and a re-enrollment.
FSA Noninsured Assistance Program	Individual	San Luis Obispo, CA	\$6,323	\$0	\$6,323	Production recalculation error.	Collection notice sent. Producer will reimburse the agency if the production recalculation indicates more is due to the agency than the agency owes the producer.	Second and third party reviews are being completed prior to issuance of payment.
	Individual	Marion, GA	\$7,805	\$1,555	\$6,250	Production recalculation errors.	Receivables were offset from subsequent planting payment.	Strengthen procedures by requiring the submission of all crop production and marketing records at the end of the year prior to calculating and issuing payment.
	Individual	Torrance, NM	\$11,104	\$0	\$11,104	Improper carrying capacity used in calculation for state land.	Receivable will be offset from future scheduled payments if not paid prior.	County office received training on calculating payments in accordance with carrying capacity procedures for state land.
FSA Marketing Assistance Loan Program	Entity	Rice, MN	\$72,435	\$0	\$72,435	Loan payments to wrong entity.	Loans were recovered.	Second party reviews will be performed prior to issuance of loan payments.
	Entity	Leflore, MS	\$494,726	\$100,148	\$394,578	Loan calculation error.	Overpayment was recovered.	
Natural Resources Conservation Service Farm Security and Rural Investment Program	Entity	Quincy, WA	\$29,904	\$0	\$29,904	Participant incorrectly certified compliance.	Demand letter and bill sent.	Procedures require spot checking a percentage of self certifications for validity.
	Entity	Lakin, KS	\$72,738	\$0	\$72,738	Contract included incorrect land/acreage.	State Conservationist waived overpayment due to incorrect information provided by an agency employee.	Reiterated guidance reinforcing a thorough review of land/acreage information and the requirement of field verifications. Provided employees with additional training on the program requirements and all new applications will have a peer review conducted prior to contract obligation.
	Individual	Vermillion, KS	\$8,589	\$0	\$8,589	Agency error resulted in a contract that should not have been awarded.		Reiterated guidance reinforcing a thorough review of contracts prior to award. Provided employees with additional training on the program requirements and all new applications will have a peer review conducted prior to contract obligation.
	Individual	Home, KS	\$9,511	\$0	\$9,511			
	Individual	Muskegon, MI	\$81,168	\$19,504	\$61,664	Participant incorrectly/fraudulently certified eligibility using another person's land.	Demand letter and bill sent.	Procedures require spot checking a percentage of self certifications for validity.
	Individual	Lowndes, AL	\$420,224	\$210,112	\$210,112			
	Individual	Wicomico, MD	\$634,108	\$317,054	\$317,054	Purchase agreement payment was made in error to one landowner instead of multiple landowners.	Recipients were family members who distributed the single payment appropriately amongst themselves. Recovery and redistribution were not necessary.	Guidance will reinforce the requirement for a Notice of Assignment or other documentation for multiple landowner agreements that authorize a recipient to receive payment on behalf of all parties.
	Individual	Armory, MO	\$121,228	\$60,614	\$60,614			
Risk Management Agency (RMA) Federal Crop Insurance Corporation Program Fund (FCICPF)	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$101,337	\$2,318	\$99,019	Company not in compliance with Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.	Receivable established and recovery actions initiated.	Provide corrective actions specific to each reinsured entity that address the types of errors identified relative to acreage reports, indemnity calculations, underwriting, and/or entity identification. Assess appropriate penalties on participating reinsured companies to improve improper payment rate. Use data mining to identify and spot check anomalous crop insurance participants using expanded data analysis that includes geospatial radar and weather information.
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$79,579	\$0	\$79,579			
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$38,621	\$0	\$38,621			
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$181,547	\$43,121	\$138,426	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium and indemnity.		
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$597,203	\$0	\$597,203			
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$494,559	\$88,000	\$406,559			
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$561,571	\$0	\$561,571			
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$84,076	\$0	\$84,076	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.		
	Entity	Anoka, MN	\$834,356	\$0	\$834,356	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium and indemnity.		
Entity	Anoka, MN	\$520,123	\$0	\$520,123				

USDA Agency/Program	Recipient (Entity or Individual)	City/County and State	Actual Payment Amount	Correct Amount	Overpayment Amount	Reason For Overpayment	Actions/Plans to Recover Overpayment	Overall Actions/Plans to Prevent Reoccurrence
RMA FCICPF	Entity	West Des Moines, IA	\$131,696	\$0	\$131,696	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.	Receivable established and recovery actions initiated.	Provide corrective actions specific to each reinsured entity that address the types of errors identified relative to acreage reports, indemnity calculations, underwriting, and/or entity identification. Assess appropriate penalties on participating reinsured companies to improve improper payment rate. Use data mining to identify and spot check anomalous crop insurance participants using expanded data analysis that includes geospatial radar and weather information.
	Entity	West Des Moines, IA	\$131,677	\$0	\$131,677			
	Entity	Topeka, KS	\$86,697	\$0	\$86,697	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium.		
	Entity	Topeka, KS	\$50,118	\$3,538	\$46,580			
	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$36,170	\$0	\$36,170	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium and indemnity.		
	Entity	Overland Park, KS	\$402,322	\$0	\$402,322			
	Entity	Ramsey, MN	\$76,457	\$0	\$76,457	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.		
	Entity	Ramsey, MN	\$80,868	\$0	\$80,868			
	Entity	Ramsey, MN	\$35,287	\$0	\$35,287	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium.		
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$106,063	\$70,167	\$35,896			
	Entity	Amarillo, TX	\$42,283	\$13,079	\$29,204	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the indemnity.		
	Entity	Johnston, IA	\$75,181	\$0	\$75,181			
Entity	Council Bluffs, IA	\$43,511	\$5,257	\$38,254	Company not in compliance with FCIC policy or procedure in computing the premium and indemnity.			

A high-dollar overpayment is a payment in excess of 50 percent of the correct amount.
For an individual, the threshold is \$5,000 as a single payment or in cumulative payments for the quarter.
For an entity, the threshold is \$25,000 as a single payment or in cumulative payments for the quarter.