

Guidelines for Consumer-focused Public Reporting

AHRQ QI Users Meeting

Carol Cronin
Informed Patient Institute

September 10, 2008



Background

- National Quality Forum Public Reporting Technical Advisory Panel (TAP)
- Part of NQF Steering Committee (SC) - Hospital Care 2007 project
- AHRQ-funded

TAP Charge

“Recommend a web-based approach for public reporting of acute hospital quality data that can be used, at minimum, to report the AHRQ Quality Indicators.”

Scope

- Focuses on reporting healthcare quality data from acute care hospitals in a web-based format; though generalizable to other types of settings
- Intended primarily for use by sponsors of consumer-focused sites to enable reports that support consumer understanding and participation in care decisions

TAP Members

- Chair: Carol Cronin – Informed Patient Institute- Annapolis, MD
- Katherine Brown- Hospital Quality Alliance, Washington, DC
- Susan Dragoo, INTEGRIS Health, Oklahoma City, OK
- Judy Hibbard, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR
- Art Levin, Center for Medical Consumers, New York, NY
- Denise Love, National Assoc. of Health Data Orgs., Salt Lake City, UT
- Jeanne McGee, Mcgee & Evers Consulting, Vancouver, WA
- Deirdre Mylod, Press Ganey Assoc., Inc., South Bend, IN
- Ramesh Sachdeva, Children's Hospital and Health System, Milwaukee, WI
- Maribeth Shannon, California HealthCare Foundation, Oakland, CA
- Bruce Spurlock, CA Hospital Assesment & Reporting Taskforce, Roseville, CA

- Project Staff: Melinda Murhpy – NQF Consultant

Approach

- Literature review
- Review of existing web-based resources-
Talkingquality.gov, Usability.gov
- Structured interviews with researchers, report sponsors
- Iterative development

AHRQ Model Report

- Designed to report comparative hospital QIs
- Developed by researchers including Shoshanna Sofaer – Baruch College
- Included testing with consumers
- Two resulting model reports:
 - Health Topics – individual QI indicators grouped into categories
 - Composites – QI composites

Report Sponsor Challenges

- Understanding what constitutes a useful report
- Assuring reports support consumer understanding of quality and healthcare choice
- Conveying information that provides the right amount of information at the right time in a way that resonates with target audiences
- Customization of results to the audience

TAP Principles

- Public and other stakeholders have right to access objective measures of quality provided by organizations in/from which they receive care, deliver care, purchase care, or provide funding/regulation and to receive the information in an understandable format.
- Use of the public reporting guidance can/should provide incentives for quality improvement.
- Information should be displayed for an array of common, cross-cutting conditions, all ages and be available across organizational settings/service lines and over time.
- Awareness and understanding of inherent values and biases is important to responsible reporting.

Goals of Consumer-focused Public Reporting

- Increase consumer motivation to use public reports by making reports more understandable and relevant;
- Provide objective, unbiased, actionable and evaluable performance information to the public;
- Improve quality of care provided across the industry; and
- Stimulate further evolution of the quality and comparability of public reporting at the organization, state and national levels.

Guidance for Consumer-focused Public Reporting - Overview

- TAP identified guidelines for public reporting in 7 areas
- Also included Implementation Considerations that amplify the guidelines
- Assessed AHRQ Model Reports against guidelines
- Includes AHRQ Model reports in Appendix

Guideline 1: Purpose of Web-based Report

- Identify the purpose of the web-based report, its intended main consumer audience(s), and how the report will be made known to the audience.
 - Nature and purpose
 - Who is the audience? What are their info needs?
 - Who are secondary audiences and how will their unique needs be addressed?

Guideline 2: Process of Developing the Web-based Report

- Develop the web-based report using a transparent process that involves consumers and other relevant stakeholders.
 - Stakeholders include: sponsors, consumers, organizations being measured
 - Establish governance/decision making rules
 - Opportunity for those being measured to preview and correct errors
 - Consumer usability testing before, during, after

Guideline 3: Introduce concept of quality

- At the beginning of the report, set the stage by communicating what quality is, how quality varies and how making quality comparisons can be of value to consumers.
 - Explain that quality varies within and across orgs.
 - Use consistent, simple and familiar language

Guideline 4: Meaningful Measures

- Ensure that measures included are meaningful to consumers, transparent, and meet widely accepted, rigorous criteria including importance, scientifically acceptable, feasible and usable.
 - Relevant to consumers
 - Demonstrate variation
 - Provide information that reflects overall quality

Guideline 5: Data Presentation

- Present and explain data clearly and objectively in ways that help consumers understand and use it.
 - Data should be evaluable
 - Consistent reporting (low or high scores better)
 - Use stories to illustrate meaning
 - Allow users to see what they want

Guideline 5: Data Presentation (cont.)

- In presenting comparative quality information
 - Use tools such as rank ordering, symbols that help user quickly discern performance
 - Include benchmarks if possible
 - Provide risk-adjusted rates into categories using words such as “better”, “average”
 - Label indicators in everyday language.
 - Limit use of statistics that are difficult for consumers to understand

Guideline 5: Data Presentation (cont.)

- **In presenting information from composite measures:**
 - Report all measures that comprise the composite
- **In providing contextual/decision support:**
 - Provide clear contextual information
 - Provide clear explanation for missing data
 - Consumer test
 - Use reasonably current data and display dates covered
- **In presenting technical documentation:**
 - Include detailed measure definitions, specs etc.
 - Provide details about the methodology

Guideline 6: Report Usability

- Ensure that design and navigation features enhance report usability.
 - Organize information to let users make choices
 - Provide engaging format/easy navigation
 - Easy to skim
 - Easy to print
 - Test with audience

Guideline 7: Regularly Review and Improve Reports

- Regularly review and assess reports to ensure their effectiveness and currency.
 - Assess use and impact
 - Involve stakeholders in revisions
 - Use learnings to drive improvement and usefulness of performance measures and public reporting field.

Research Recommendations

- Impact of public reporting
- Content of public reporting
- Unintended consequences
- Understanding issues related to cultural and linguistic needs
- Effect of public reporting on quality improvement

Project Status

- Public comment period: June 2008
- Final NQF member voting: Aug. 2008
- CSAC/Board consideration: Sept. 2008
- Publicly available: Late 2008

For more information:

- National Quality Forum: www.qualityforum.org
 - “Guidelines for Consumer-focused Public Reporting”
- AHRQ Model Reports
 - Send request to:
support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov
- Talking Quality: www.talkingquality.gov