than required years; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SHAW (for himself, Mrs. KEN-NELLY, Mr. McCrery, Mr. Neal of Massachusetts, Mr. Zimmer, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. GEP-HARDT, Mr. Goss, Mr. Moakley, Mr. Hutchinson, Mr. Torkildsen, Mrs. MALONEY, Mr. RICHARDSON, Mr. HIN-CHEY, Mr. CLYBURN, and Mr. NADLER): H.R. 1662. A bill to amend the Internal Rev-

enue Code of 1986 to provide a credit against income tax to individuals who rehabilitate historic homes or who are the first purchasers of rehabilitated historic homes for use as a principal residence; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SKEEN (for himself, Mr. SCHAE-

FER, and Mr. CRAPO):

H.R. 1663. A bill to amend the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant Land Withdrawal Act; to the Committee on Commerce, and in addition to the Committee on National Security. for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. STARK:

H.R. 1664. A bill to provide for demonstration projects to test whether enrollment in the supplemental security income program can be significantly increased by offering nonprofit organizations financial incentives to engage in outreach; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 1665. A bill to amend the Social Security Act to provide for findings of presumptive disability under title II of such act in the same manner and to the same extent as is currently applicable under title XVI of such act; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

By Mr. STUPAK:

H.R. 1666. A bill to amend the act of October 21, 1970, establishing the Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore to permit certain persons to continue to use and occupy certain areas within the lakeshore, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Resources

By Mr. CALLAHAN (for himself, Mr. STUMP, Mr. EVERETT, and Mr. TRAFI-

H.J. Res. 88. Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States to provide that no person born in the United States be a U.S. citizen on account of birth in the United States unless a parent is a U.S. citizen at the time of the birth: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. YOUNG of Alaska:

H. Con. Res. 68. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that Federal tax law should be clarified to allow a reasonable charitable tax deduction for the reasonable and necessary expenses of Alaska Native subsistence whaling captains; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. TORRES: H. Res. 152. Resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that the President should develop a strategy to bring the United States back into active and full membership in the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization; to the Committee on International Relations.

# ¶68.21 MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

83. By the SPEAKER: Memorial of the House of Representatives of the State of Ha-

waii, relative to an integrated pest management control program to prevent the spread of the Brown Tree Snake; to the Committee on Agriculture.

84. Also, memorial of the House of Representatives of the State of Hawaii, relative to urging the U.S. Department of Agriculture to consider the effect of and exclude the State of Hawaii from Federal legislation that would have a detrimental impact on Hawaii's environment; to the Committee on Agriculture.

85. Also, memorial of the House of Representatives of the State of Hawaii, relative to urging the U.S. Department of Agriculture to exclude Hawaii from any Federal legislation that would create exceptions from the Honeybee Act of 1922, as amended; to the Committee on Agriculture.

86. Also, memorial of the House of Representatives of the State of Hawaii, relative to memorializing the Congress of the United States to propose and submit to the several States an amendment to the Constitution of the United States that would provide that no Federal tax shall be imposed for the period before the date of the enactment of the retroactive tax: to the Committee on the Judi-

# ¶68.22 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 70: Mr. DORNAN.

H.R. 72: Mr. McCollum, Mr. Hastings of Florida, Mrs. THURMAN, and Mr. CANADY.

H.R. 73: Mr. McCollum, Mr. Hastings of Florida, Mrs. THURMAN, and Mr. CANADY.

H.R. 89: Mr. KLUG.

H.R. 103: Mr. BONIOR, Mr. NADLER, and Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota.

H.R. 109: Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky, and Mr. HASTINGS of Florida.

H.R. 236: Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey.

H.R. 240: Mr. BEVILL and Mr. STUPAK.

H.R. 333: Mr. LEWIS of Georgia.

H.R. 353: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida and Mr. ROMERO-BARCELO.

H.R. 390: Mr. Andrews.

H.R. 399: Mr. VISCLOSKY and Mr. BOUCHER. H.R. 468: Ms. RIVERS, Mr. STEARNS, and Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma.

H.R. 598: Mr. Kanjorski, Mr. Stockman, Mr. COBURN, Mr. WELDON of Florida, and Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota.

H.R. 677: Mr. COYNE, Mr. CHRISTENSEN, and Mr. GEJDENSON.

H.R. 682: Mr. HOSTETTLER.

H.R. 733: Ms. ESHOO and Mr. FARR.

H.R. 783: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida and Mr. BUYER.

H.R. 789: Mr. LoBiondo.

H.R. 892: Mr. Stump, Mr. Rohrabacher, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. HEFLEY, and Mrs. Chenoweth.

H.R. 950: Mrs. MINK of Hawaii.

H.R. 966: Mrs. Kelly, Mr. Frazer, Mr. EVANS, and Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey.

H.R. 969: Mr. JACOBS, Mr. MINETA, Mr. MEEHAN, Mrs. MORELLA, and Ms. VELAZQUEZ.

H.R. 972: Mr. CALLAHAN.

H.R. 973: Mr. CALLAHAN.

H.R. 1021: Mr. VISCLOSKY.

H.R. 1023: Mr. CUNNINGHAM and Mr. VIS-CLOSKY.

H.R. 1090: Mr. QUILLEN and Mr. STEARNS.

H.R. 1104: Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. SMITH of Michigan, and Mr. CRAPO.

H.R. 1118: Mr. KINGSTON.

H.R. 1119: Mr. CALVERT, Mr. CAMP, and Mrs.

H.R. 1138: Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts and Mr. ENGLISH of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 1229: Ms. ESHOO and Ms. LOWEY.

H.R. 1242: Mr. SHADEGG, Mr. HOKE, Mr. JEF-FERSON, and Mr. CRAMER.

H.R. 1272: Ms. LOWEY and Mr. MARTINEZ.

H.R. 1299: Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. KLECZKA, and Mr. MORAN.

H.R. 1352: Mr. HASTINGS of Washington, Mr. FOLEY, Mr. GORDON, Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina, Mr. EHLERS, Mr. CASTLE, Mr. UPTON, Mr. CHRYSLER, Ms. KAPTUR, Mr. SISI-SKY, Mr. NORWOOD, Mr. McDADE, Mr. WOLF, Ms. PRYCE, Mr. JACOBS, and Mr. HAYES.

H.R. 1385: Mr. FILNER.

H.R. 1448: Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas and Mr. MORAN.

H.R. 1540: Mr. Hunter, Mr. Ackerman, Mrs. COLLINS of Illinois, Mrs. MYRICK, Mr. LIPIN-SKI, Mr. WOLF, Mr. WALSH, and Mr. HOLDEN. H.R. 1542: Mr. WELLER, Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. POSHARD, and Mr. EVANS.

H.R. 1560: Mr. MARTINEZ and Mr. VIS-CLOSKY

H.R. 1578: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida.

H.R. 1594: Mr. LINDER, Mr. ZIMMER, Mr. SHADEGG, Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania, Mr. ARCHER, Mr. HAYWORTH, and Mr. HANCOCK.

H.R. 1627: Mr. HAYES and Mr. PETERSON of Minnesota.

H. Con. Res. 8: Mr. SAXTON.

H. Con. Res. 12: Ms. DUNN of Washington.

H. Con. Res. 63: Ms. DUNN of Washington and Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania.

H. Con. Res. 66: Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. FORBES, Mr. Crane, Mr. Funderburk, Mr. Fawell, Mr. NORWOOD, and Mr. BURR.

# ¶68.23 DELETIONS OF SPONSORS FROM PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were deleted from public bills and resolutions as follows:

H. Con. Res. 32: Mr. McNulty.

# THURSDAY, MAY 18, 1995 (69)

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER.

# ¶69.1 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Wednesday, May 17,

Mr. DOGGETT, pursuant to clause 1, rule I, objected to the Chair's approval of the Journal.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to the Chair's approval of said Journal?

The SPEAKER announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. DOGGETT objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

The SPEAKER, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced that the vote would be postponed until later today.

The point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.

# ¶69.2 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

886. A letter from the Director, Legislative Liaison, Department of the Air Force, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to adjust the tenure of the Judge Advocate General of the Air Force, and for other purposes;

to the Committee on National Security. 887. A letter from the Secretary of Education, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation to provide for the termination of the status of the College Construction Loan Insurance Association (the Corporation) as a Government sponsored enterprise, to require the Secretary of Education to divest himself of the corporation's stock, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.

888. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting a report on the status of efforts to obtain Iraq's compliance with the resolutions adopted by the U.N. Security Council, pursuant to Public Law 102-1, section 3 (105 Stat. 4) (H.Doc. No. 104-75); to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed.

# ¶69.3 MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 483. An Act to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to permit medicare select policies to be offered in all States, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed bills of the following titles, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 395. An Act to authorize and direct the Secretary of Energy to sell the Alaska Power Administration, and to authorize the export of Alaska North Slope crude oil, and for other purposes; and

S. 534. An Act to amend the Solid Waste Disposal Act to provide authority for States to limit the interstate transportation of municipal solid waste, and for other purposes.

# $\P 69.4$ CANADA-U.S.

# INTERPARLIAMENTARY GROUP

The SPEAKER, pursuant to the provisions of 22 United States Code 276d, appointed as members of the United States delegation to attend the meeting of the Canada-United States Interparliamentary Group the following Members of the House: Messrs. MANZULLO, Chairman, LATHAM, CRAPO, MS. DUNN, Mr. ZIMMER, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Messrs. GOODLING, JOHNSTON, DE LA GARZA, GIBBONS, Ms. SLAUGHTER, and Mr. MCNULTY.

# ¶69.5 RECESS—9:05 A.M.

The SPEAKER, pursuant to the special order of the House agreed to on May 12, 1995, declared the House in recess at 9 o'clock and 5 minutes a.m., until 10 o'clock a.m.

# ¶69.6 AFTER RECESS—10 A.M.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WATTS, called the House to order.

# ¶69.7 PROCEEDINGS DURING RECESS

On motion of Mr. DAVIS, by unanimous consent, the proceedings had during the recess to receive former Members were ordered to be printed in the Record.

# \$69.8 Unfinished Business—approval of the Journal

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WATTS, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced the unfinished business to be the question on agreeing to the Chair's approval of the Journal of Wednesday, May 17, 1995.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House agree to the Chair's approval of said Journal? The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WATTS, announced that the yeas had it

Mr. TATE objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

# ¶69.9 [Roll No. 341] YEAS—360

Ackerman DeLay Hunter Dellums Hutchinson Andrews Deutsch Hyde Diaz-Balart Inglis Archer Bachus Dickey Jackson-Lee Baesler Dicks Jefferson Johnson (CT) Baker (CA) Dixon Baker (LA) Doggett Johnson (SD) Baldacci Dooley Johnson, E. B. Ballenger Doolittle Johnson, Sam Dornan Johnston Barcia Barr Dovle Jones Barrett (NE) Kanjorski Dreier Kaptur Kasich Barrett (WI) Duncan Bartlett Dunn Barton Edwards Kellv Bass Ehlers Ehrlich Kennedy (RI) Bateman Kennelly Emerson Kildee Beilenson English Kim King Bentsen Eshoo Bereuter Evans Kingston Bevill Everett Klink Bilbray Klug Ewing Bilirakis Knollenberg Farr Fawell Bishop Kolbe LaFalce Fields (LA) Bliley Blute Flake LaHood Boehlert. Flanagan Lantos Foglietta Boehner Latham Foley Bonilla LaTourette Bonior Forbes Lazio Borski Ford Leach Boucher Fowler Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Brewster Fox Frank (MA) Lightfoot Browder Brown (FL) Franks (CT) Lincoln Brown (OH) Franks (N.J) Linder Frelinghuysen Lipinski Bryant (TN) Bryant (TX) Frisa LoBiondo Lofgren Bunn Frost Bunning Funderburk Longley Burr Furse Lowey Gallegly Burton Lucas Ganske Luther Callahan Geidenson Malonev Calvert Gekas Manton Geren Manzullo Markey Martinez Canady Gilchrest Cardin Gilman Castle Gonzalez Martini Chabot Goodlatte Mascara Chambliss Goodling Matsui Chenoweth Gordon McCarthy Christensen Goss McCollum Chrysler Graham McDade Clement Greenwood McDermott McHale Clinger Gutknecht Clyburn Hall (OH) McInnis Coble Hall (TX) McIntosh Coleman McKeon Hamilton Collins (GA) Hancock Meek Metcalf Collins (IL) Hansen Collins (MI) Hastert Mevers Combest Hastings (WA) Mfume Condit Hayes Hayworth Mica Miller (FL) Convers Cooley Hefner Mineta Heineman Minge Mink Cox Herger Coyne Hilleary Moakley Cramer Crapo Hobson Molinari Cremeans Hoekstra Mollohan Cubin Hoke Holden Montgomery Cunningham Moorhead Danner Horn Morella Hostettler Murtha Davis Deal Houghton Mvers DeLauro Hoyer Myrick

Nadler Rohrabacher Tate Ros-Lehtinen Tauzin Neal Taylor (NC) Nethercutt Neumann Roth Tejeda Nev Roukema Thomas Norwood Roybal-Allard Thornberry Nussle Royce Salmon Thornton Oberstar Thurman Obey Sanders Tiahrt Olver Sanford Torkildsen Ortiz Sawyer Torres Orton Saxton Torricelli Scarborough Owens Towns Schaefer Oxley Traficant Packard Schiff Upton Pallone Schumer Velazquez Parker Scott Visclosky Pastor Seastrand Vucanovich Sensenbrenner Waldholtz Paxon Payne (NJ) Serrano Walker Payne (VA) Shadegg Walsh Pelosi Shaw Wamp Peterson (MN) Shuster Ward Petri Sisisky Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Pomerov Skaggs Skeen Waxman Weldon (PA) Portman Skelton Weller Poshard Slaughter Smith (MI) Quillen White Whitfield Quinn Smith (N.I) Radanovich Smith (TX) Wicker Rahall Souder Williams Ramstad Spratt Wilson Wolf Rangel Stearns Reed Stenholm Woolsey Regula Stockman Wyden Reynolds Studds Wynn Rivers Stump Stupak Yates Young (FL) Roberts Roemer Talent Zeliff Rogers Zimmer Tanner

# NAYS-37

Brown (CA) Hastings (FL) Pombo Hefley Hilliard Clayton Rush Costello Sabo Crane Schroeder DeFazio Kennedy (MA) Shavs Stark Durbin Levin Lewis (GA) Taylor (MS) Engel Fazio McKinney Thompson Filner McNulty Vento Gephardt Menendez Volkmer Gibbons Miller (CA) Waters Gillmor Peterson (FL) Pickett

# ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1 Harman

# NOT VOTING-36

Fields (TX) Abercrombie Moran Armey Gunderson Pryce Berman Gutierrez Richardson Hinchey Bono Riggs Brownback Istook Smith (WA) Chapman Kleczka Solomon Clav Largent Spence Coburn Laughlin Stokes de la Garza Livingston Tucker McCrery Dingell Weldon (FL) McHugh Young (AK) Fattah Meehan

So the Journal was approved.

# ¶69.10 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET—FY 1996-

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WATTS, pursuant to House Resolution 149 and rule XXIII, declared the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 67) setting forth the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002.

Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, resumed the chair; and after some time spent therein

The Committee rose informally to receive a message from the President.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. CASTLE, assumed the Chair.

## ¶69.11 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

The Committee resumed its sitting; and after some further time spent therein.

#### ¶69.12 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. GEPHARDT:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

# SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE **BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.**

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

# SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriated for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,043,412,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,083,818,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,136,201,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,191,632,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,253,089,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,322,134,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,397,102,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$0. Fiscal year 1997: \$0. Fiscal year 1998: \$0. Fiscal year 1999: \$0. Fiscal year 2000: \$0. Fiscal year 2001: \$0. Fiscal year 2002: \$0.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$103,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$109,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$114,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$120,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$126,900,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$133,600,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$140,400,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows: Fiscal year 1996: \$1,278,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: \$1,308,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,356,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,395,400,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,452,800,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,474,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$1,523,900,000,000. (3) The appropriate levels of total budget

outlays are as follows: Fiscal year 1996: \$1,279,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,305,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,334,700,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,377,200,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,430,300,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,459,800,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,506,100,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as fol-

Fiscal year 1996: \$236,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$222,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$198,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$185,600,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$177,200,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$137,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$109,300,000,000. (5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows: Fiscal year 1996: \$5,195,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$5,516,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$5,809,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$6,099,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$6,374,300,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$6,614,400,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$6,806,100,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001 are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996:

Ňew direct obligations, loan \$37,600,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$193,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$40,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

New direct obligations, loan \$42,300,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$185,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

New direct loan obligations, \$45,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$183,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

New direct loan obligations, \$45,600,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$184,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

New direct loan obligations. \$45,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$186,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

New direct loan obligations, \$46,100,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,600,000,000.

# SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$257,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$261,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$1,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$253,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$257,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$259,600,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$254,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$266,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$259,600,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$275,900,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$267,800,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$275,900,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$273,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$281,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$276,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000.

New direct loan obligations. \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations. \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$10,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations. \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,100,000,000.

direct obligations, New loan \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,000,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$10,700,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002: (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,700,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,400,000,000.
- New direct obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,800,000,000.
- New direct obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,900,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,00,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,900,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300).

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$19,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,100,000,000.
- New direct loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$18,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$100,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,400,000,000.
- New obligations, direct loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,000,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- (6) Agriculture (350):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,300,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$12,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$10,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,400,000,000. direct obligations, loan \$11,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$12,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,600,000,000. New direct loan obligations,
- \$11,400,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$11,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000. direct obligations. New loan \$11,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002: (A) New budget authority, \$11,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$10,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct obligations, loan \$10,900,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$2,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$-7,000,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$1,900,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-5,400,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$600,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$ -7,100,000,000.
- New direct obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$ -5,100,000,000.
- direct obligations, New \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$ -3,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$500,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$-3,200,000,000.
- New direct obligations. loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$ -3,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (8) Transportation (400):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$38,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$40,000,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$41,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$35,500,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$42,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$43,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$44,500,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$35,100,000,000.
- direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$44,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,200,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$44,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$6,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$7,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$1,200,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$6,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments. \$1.200.000.000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$7,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$1,200,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$6,200,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$6,700,000,000.
- direct obligations, loan \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,400,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$6,000,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$53,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$53,700,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$13,600,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$16,300,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$53,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$53,200,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$16,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,900,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

  - Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$52,300,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$51,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$19,100,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,200,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$53,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$52,700,000,000. direct obligations, loan \$21,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,300,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$53,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$53,000,000,000. New direct loan obligations, \$21,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$53,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$52,800,000,000. obligations. New direct loan \$22,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$54,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$53,600,000,000. obligations, (C) New direct loan \$22,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$16,600,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (11) Health (550):
- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$124,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$124,600,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$300,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$130,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$130,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$138,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$139,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$146,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$146,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$153,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$153,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$159,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$159,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$166,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$166,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(12) Medicare (570):

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$171,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$169,500,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$182,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$181,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$198,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$196,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
  (A) New budget authority, \$215,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$212,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$235,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$234,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$254,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$252,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$277,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$275,700,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (13) Income Security (600):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$227,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$226,900,000,000
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$239,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$240,800,000,000
  - New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$259,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$252,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$263,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$265,300,000,000.

  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$281,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$281,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$286,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$286,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$300,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$300,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (14) Social Security (650):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$8,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$8,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$10,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$8,800,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$11,300,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002: (A) New budget authority, \$3,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$5,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$37,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$36,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,200,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$26,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$38,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,100,000,000. obligations. New direct loan
- \$1,100,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$21,600,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$38,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$38,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$1,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$39,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$39,000,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations. \$1,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$39,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$40,600,000,000. New direct loan obligations. \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$39,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$41,200,000,000. direct obligations, loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,800,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$40,100,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$41,600,000,000.
- obligations, loan \$1,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$19,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$19,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$11,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,800,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$10,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$10,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$296,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$296,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$302,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$302,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$304,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$304,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$307,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$307,700,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$310,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$310,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$309,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$309,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$311,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$311,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-8,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-6,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-8,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-8,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-7,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-7,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$6,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$7,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$5,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$6,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$5,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$6,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$5,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$6,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$33,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$32,600,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$33,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$33,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$36,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$35,500,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-37,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(B) Outlays, \$ -38,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-39,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-41,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-41,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-41,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-42,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-42,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

# SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

- (a) Not later than September 14, 1995, the House committees named in subsections (b) through (o) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Budget Committee. After receiving those recommendations, the House Budget Committee shall report to the House a reconciliation bill or resolution or both carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.
- (b) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,120,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,120,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,530,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,530,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$2,650,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,650,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$2,810,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,810,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$2,650,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,650,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,700,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,700,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,760,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,760,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (c) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$910,000,000 in budget authority and \$910,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$930,000,000 in budget authority and \$930,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$950,000,000 in budget authority and \$950,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998. \$1,030,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,030,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$1,050,000,000 in budget authority and \$1.050,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000. \$1,070,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,070,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,070,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,070,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (d) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$15,780,000,000 in budget authority and \$15,650,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$30,830,000,000 in budget authority and \$30,830,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$36,070,000,000 in budget authority and \$36,080,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$49,820,000,000 in budget authority \$50,010,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$59,140,000,000 in budget authority \$59,140,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$68,760,000,000 in budget authority

\$68,760,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$82,480,000,000 in budget authority and \$82,480,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(e) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$460,000,000 in budget authority \$390,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996. \$770,000,000 in budget authority and \$730,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997 \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$790,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998. \$830,000,000 in budget authority and \$830,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$880,000,000 in budget authority and \$880,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000 \$1,210,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,290,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,280,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(f) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$280,000,000 in budget authority and \$280,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$570,000,000 in budget authority and \$570,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$890,000,000 in budget authority and \$890,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,220,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,220,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$1.810.000.000 in budget authority and \$1,810,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000 \$840 000 000 in budget authority and \$840,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,160,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,160,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(g) The House Committee on International Relations shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2001.

(h) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$120,000,000 in budget authority and \$120,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$130,000,000 in budget authority \$130,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997. \$140,000,000 in budget authority and \$140,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998. authority \$270,000,000 in budget and \$150,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$270,000,000 budget authority in \$160,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000. budget \$280,000,000 in authority \$160,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$290,000,000 in budget authority \$170,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(i) The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1909, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000,

(j) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$60,000,000 in budget authority and \$60,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,

\$80,000,000 in budget authority and \$80,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$2,330,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,330,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,090,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,090,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$290,000,000 in budget authority and \$290,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$3,970,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,970,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$3,380,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,380,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(k) The House Committee on Science shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: 80 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(1) The House Committee on Small Busi-

(I) The House Committee on Small Business shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(m) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: in budget \$550,000,000 authority and \$550,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996. \$550,000,000 in budget authority and \$550,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997 \$550,000,000 in budget authority and \$550,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998 \$610,000,000 in budget authority and \$610,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$620,000,000 in budget authority \$620,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000 \$620,000,000 budget in authority and \$620,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$620,000,000 in budget authority \$620,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(n) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$300,000,000 in budget authority and \$300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$300,000,000 in budget authority \$300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997 \$400,000,000 in budget authority \$400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998 in budget authority \$500,000,000 \$500,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$1,200,000,000 in budget authority \$1,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year \$1,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,500,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,500,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(o) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the deficit, as follows: \$14,370,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$27,550,000,000 year in fiscal \$28,460,000,000 in fiscal year 1998. \$35,960,000,000 in fiscal 1999. year \$35,340,000,000 in fiscal year 2000 in fiscal year 2001, \$42,320,000,000 and \$50,220,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(p) For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced

Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 and the term "new budget authority" has the meaning given to such term in section 3(2) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

# SEC. 5. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TAX

It is the sense of the Congress that changes in tax laws which stimulate private investment of savings should be enacted if the deficit reduction targets in this resolution are met.

#### SEC. 6. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING EMER-GENCIES.

It is the sense of the Congress that Congress should study alternative approaches to budgeting for emergencies, establishing regular procedures and funds for paying for emergencies.

#### SEC. 7. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING DEBT REDUCTION.

It is the sense of the Congress that eliminating the deficit by producing a balanced budget is only the first step toward the ultimate goal of reducing and eventually eliminating the public debt.

#### SEC. 8. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING TRUST FUND SURPLUSES.

Congress finds that all recent year Federal budgets, as well as both fiscal year 1996 budget resolutions reported out by the Budget Committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate, have masked the magnitude of annual deficits by counting various trust fund surpluses. Therefore, it is the sense of the Congress that upon reaching a balance in the Federal budget, the Government should move toward balance without consideration of trust fund surpluses.

#### SEC. 9. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING LOCK-BOX.

(a) It is the sense of the Congress that:

- (1) The current practice of reallocating for other spending purposes spending cuts made during floor consideration of appropriations bills should be ended.
- (2) A "Deficit Reduction Lock-Box" should be established to collect these spending reductions.
- (3) These spending reductions should be used for deficit or debt reduction.
- (b) To facilitate Deficit Reduction Lock-Box compliance by the Committees on Appropriations, the Congressional Budget Office shall score all general appropriation measures and have such score card published in the Congressional Record.

# SEC. 10. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING FIRE-

It is the sense of the Congress that the discretionary spending totals for defense, international, and domestic spending should be enforced through spending limits for each category with firewalls to prevent funds from being shifted between categories.

# SEC. 11. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING BUDG-ET ENFORCEMENT.

It is the sense of the Congress that, in order to ensure that a balanced budget is achieved by 2002 and remain in balance thereafter, strict enforcement should be enacted. Such language should-

(1) require the Federal Government to reach a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and remain in balance thereafter;

- (2) establish procedures for developing honest, accurate, and accepted budget estimates;
- (3) require that the President propose annual budgets that would achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, use accurate assump-
- (4) require the Committees on the Budget of the House of Representatives and Senate to report budget resolutions that achieve a balanced Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 and for each year thereafter, using accurate assumptions; [and]

(5) establish a comprehensive system of budgetary enforcement to ensure that the levels of discretionary spending, mandatory spending, and revenues in this resolution are met.

#### SEC. 12. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE COMPLI-ANCE INITIATIVE.

- ADJUSTMENTS.—(1) For points of order under the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and concurrent resolutions on the budget-
- (A) the discretionary spending limits under section 601(a)(2) of that Act (and those limits as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year and each outyear;
- (B) the allocations to the Committee on Appropriations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that Act; and
- (C) the appropriate budgetary aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget,

shall be adjusted to reflect the amounts of additional new budget authority or additional outlays (as defined in paragraph (2)) reported by the Committee on Appropriations in appropriation Acts (or by the committee of conference on such legislation) for the Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative activities in any fiscal year, but not to exceed in any fiscal year \$405,000,000 in new budget authority and \$405,000,000 in out-

(2) As used in this section, the terms ''additional new budget authority" or "additional outlays" shall mean, for any fiscal year, budget authority or outlays (as the case may be) in excess of the amounts requested for that fiscal year for the Internal Revenue Service in the President's Budget for fiscal year 1996.

(b) REVISED LIMITS, ALLOCATIONS, AND AG-GREGATES.—Upon the reporting of legislation pursuant to subsection (a), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted) the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate or the House of Representatives (as the case may be) shall submit to that chairman's respective House appropriately revised-

(1) discretionary spending limits under section 601(a)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (and those limits as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year and each outvear:

(2) allocations to the Committee on Appropriations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that Act; and

(3) appropriate budgetary aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget,

to carry out this subsection. These revised discretionary spending limits, allocations, and aggregates shall be considered for purposes of congressional enforcement under that Act as the discretionary spending lim-

its, allocations, and aggregates.

(c) REPORTING REVISED SUBALLOCATIONS.— The Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives may report appropriately revised suballocapursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and 602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this section.

(d) CONTINGENCIES.

(1) The Internal Revenue Service and the Department of the Treasury have certified

(2) This section shall not apply to any additional new budget authority or additional outlavs unless-

(A) the chairmen of the Budget Committees certify, based upon information from the Congressional Budget Office, the General Accounting Office, and the Internal Revenue Service (as well as from any other sources they deem relevant), that such budget authority or outlays will not increase the total of the Federal budget deficits over the next five years: and

(B) any funds made available pursuant to such budget authority or outlays are available only for the purpose of carrying out Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative activities.

#### SEC. 13. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING MED-ICAID BLOCK GRANTS.

It is the Sense of Congress that Medicaid block grants should be distributed based on a formula that takes into account the proportion of individuals with income below the poverty level in each State.

Yeas ...... It was decided in the 325 Navs ..... Answered negative ..... present 1

#### 969.13[Roll No. 342] AYES-100

Abercrombie Gunderson Orton Ackerman Hall (OH) Pallone Andrews Hall (TX) Payne (VA) Baesler Hamilton Peterson (FL) Baldacci Peterson (MN) Harman Barrett (WI) Hayes Pomeroy Beilenson Hefner Poshard Horn Quinn Bentsen Řichardson Bevill Hoyer Jackson-Lee Brewster Roemer Jacobs Browder Rose Brown (CA) Jefferson Roukema Johnson (CT) Cardin Sabo Kennedy (MA) Chapman Sawyer Kennelly Schroeder Clement Klug Scott LaFalce Clyburn Sisisky Condit Laughlin Skaggs Slaughter Convers Lincoln Lipinski Cramer Spratt Danner Luther Stark McCarthy Stenholm Davis de la Garza McHale Tanner Dicks McNulty Meehan Tauzin Taylor (MS) Dingell Meek Thornton Dooley Minge Upton Doyle Montgomery Vento Moran Morella Visclosky Duncan Eshoo Volkmer Watt (NC) Murtha Fazio Furse Oberstar Wynn Geren Olver Gibbons Ortiz

NOES-325 Castle Allard Emerson Archer Chabot Engel Chambliss English Armey Bachus Chenoweth Ensign Baker (CA) Christensen Evans Baker (LA) Chrysler Everett Ballenger Clay Ewing Clinger Barcia Farr Fattah Barr Coble Barrett (NE) Coburn Fawell Coleman Fields (LA) Barton Collins (GA) Fields (TX) Bass Collins (IL) Filner Collins (MI) Bateman Becerra Combest Flanagan Foglietta Bereuter Cooley Costello Bilirakis Cox Forbes Bishop Coyne Ford Bliley Crane Fowler Blute Crapo Fox Frank (MA) Boehlert Cremeans Cubin Franks (CT) Bonilla Cunningham Franks (NJ) Frelinghuysen Deal Bonior DeFazio Borski Frisa Boucher DeLauro Frost Brown (FL) DeLay Funderburk Brown (OH) Dellums Gallegly Brownback Deutsch Ganske Diaz-Balart Bryant (TN) Gejdenson Dickey Bryant (TX) Gekas Gephardt Doggett Bunn Bunning Doolittle Gilchrest Burr Dornan Gillmor Gilman Burton Dreier Buyer Dunn Gingrich Callahan Durbin Gonzalez Edwards Goodlatte Calvert Camp Goodling Canady Ehrlich Gordon

Goss	Martini	Saxton
Graham	Mascara	Scarborough
Green	Matsui	Schaefer
Greenwood	McCollum	Schiff
Gutierrez	McCrery	Schumer
Gutknecht	McDade	Seastrand
Hancock	McDermott	Sensenbrenner
Hansen	McHugh	Shadegg
Hastert	McInnis	Shaw
Hastings (FL)	McKeon	Shays
Hastings (WA)	McKinney	Shuster
Hayworth	Menendez	Skeen
Hefley	Metcalf	Skelton
Heineman	Meyers	Smith (NJ)
Herger	Mfume	Smith (TX)
Hilleary	Mica	Smith (WA)
Hilliarď	Miller (CA)	Solomon
Hinchey	Miller (FL)	Souder
Hobson	Mineta	Spence
Hoekstra	Mink	Stearns
Holden	Moakley	Stockman
Hostettler	Molinari	Stokes
Houghton	Mollohan	Studds
Hunter	Moorhead	Stump
Hutchinson	Myers	Stupak
Hyde	Myrick	Talent
Inglis	Nadler	Tate
Istook	Neal	Taylor (NC)
Johnson (SD)	Nethercutt	Tejeda
Johnson, E. B.	Neumann	Thomas
Johnson, Sam	Ney	Thompson
Johnston	Norwood	Thornberry
Jones	Nussle	Thurman
Kanjorski	Obey	Tiahrt
Kasich	Owens	Torkildsen
Kelly	Oxley	Torres
Kennedy (RI)	Packard	Towns
Kildee	Parker	Traficant
Kim	Pastor	Tucker
King	Paxon	Velazquez
Kingston	Payne (NJ)	Vucanovich
		Waldholtz
Klink	Pelosi	
Knollenberg	Petri	Walker
Kolbe	Pickett	Walsh
LaHood	Pombo	Wamp
Lantos	Porter	Ward
Largent	Portman	Waters
Latham	Pryce	Watts (OK)
LaTourette	Quillen	Waxman
Lazio	Radanovich	Weldon (FL)
Leach	Rahall	Weldon (PA)
Levin	Ramstad	Weller
Lewis (CA)	Reed	White
Lewis (GA)	Regula	Whitfield
Lewis (KY)	Reynolds	Wicker
Lightfoot	Riggs	Williams
Linder	Rivers	Wilson
Livingston	Roberts	Wise
LoBiondo	Rogers	Wolf
Lofgren	Rohrabacher	Woolsey
Longley	Ros-Lehtinen	Wyden
Lowey	Roth	Yates
Lucas	Roybal-Allard	Young (AK)
Maloney	Royce	Young (FL)
Manton	Rush	Zeliff
Manzullo	Salmon	Zimmer
Markey	Sanders	
Martinez	Sanford	

# ANSWERED "PRESENT"-1

Kaptur

# NOT VOTING-9

Berman	Kleczka	Serrano
Bono	McIntosh	Smith (MI)
Hoke	Rangel	Torricelli

So the amendment in the nature of a subsitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

# ¶69.14 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. NEUMANN:

Strike out all after the resolving clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

# SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as

required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

# SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,056,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,057,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,096,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,138,900,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,187,200,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,240,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,300,500,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$13,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: -\$26,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: -\$38,700,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: -\$48,100,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: -\$57,800,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: -\$70,300,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: -\$80,500,000,000.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$101,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$105,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$110,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$115,600,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$120,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$125,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$130,900,000,000.
(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,219,700,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,236,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,251,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,253,800,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,275,300,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,312,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$1,359,600,000,000. (3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,238,700,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,245,700,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,251,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,233,400,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,260,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,302,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$1,352,400,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$182,100,000,000 Fiscal year 1997: \$188,300,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$154,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$94,500,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$73,500,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$62,100,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$51,900,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$5,214,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$5,470,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$5,697,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$5,896,000,000,000

Fiscal year 2000: \$6,081,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$6,157,000,000,000 Fiscal year 2002: \$6,216,000,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001 are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996:

New direct loan obligations, \$18,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$170,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997

obligations, New direct \$17,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$167,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

obligations, New direct loan \$16,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$165,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: (A) New di direct loan obligations, \$15,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$162,200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000: (A) New di direct loan obligations, \$14,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

loan (A) New direct obligations. \$14,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

New direct loan obligations. \$14,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,400,000.000.

#### SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are: (1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$261,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$265,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$263,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$270,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$270,000,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0
- (2) International Affairs (150):

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$10,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,400,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$4,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,200,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$3,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$12,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$2,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$9,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations, \$1,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$6,800,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations. \$800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$4,000,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(4) Energy (270):

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$3,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$2,200,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$1,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000: (A) New budget authority, \$1,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$1,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$2,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,500,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
- Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$20,700,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$20,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$20,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$20,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$20,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$20,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$20,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$20,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

(6) Agriculture (350):

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- obligations, direct (C) New loan \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$11,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000. New direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$9,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$9,200,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,700,000,000.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$8,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$7,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000.
- New direct obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$8,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,000,000,000.
- direct obligations, loan \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$8,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$8,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$2,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370): Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$2,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$7,600,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$97,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$110,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$6,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$97,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$80,000,000,000.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, -\$1,400,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, -\$9,000,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$97,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$50,000,000,000.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$-2,100,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, -\$9,400,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$97,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$25,000,000,000.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, -\$3,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$9,700,000,000.

  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$97,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, -\$3,700,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, -\$9,700,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$97,500,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$3,700,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, -\$9,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$97,500,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (8) Transportation (400):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$29,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$36,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$32,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$33,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000.000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$31,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$32,500,000,000.
- direct obligations. New loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$31,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$27,800,000,000.
- obligations, (C) New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$30,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$29,000,000,000.
- New obligations, (C) direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

  - Fiscal year 2001:
    (A) New budget authority, \$31,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$31,000,000,000.
- obligations, (C) New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$32,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$32,000,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,200,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
  - Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$6,400,000,000
- (B) Outlays, \$6,900,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$6,400,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$6,000,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$6,200,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$6,000,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$7,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2002:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$7,500,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$7,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$43,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$51,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$27,400,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$40,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$42,300,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$27,400,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$40,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$40,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$27,400,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$41,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$39,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$41,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$40,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$42,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$42,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- ments, \$27,400,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$44,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$44,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (11) Health (550):

  - Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$118,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$116,000,000,000
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$120,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$119,000,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$123,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$122,000,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$127,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$124,000,000,000
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$131,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$130,000,000,000.

  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$133,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$133,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$136,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$136,000,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (12) Medicare (570):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$171,700,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$170,100,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$181,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$179,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$191,500,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$189,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$202,200,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$200,000,000,000
  - New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$213,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$210,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$223,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$223,000,000,000
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$236,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$236,000,000,000
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (13) Income Security (600):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$205,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$214,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments. \$20,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$208,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$216,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$214,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$218,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$220,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$220,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$229,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$229,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$233,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$233,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2002:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$237,000,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$237,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,000,000.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (14) Social Security (650):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$5,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$6,200,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$6,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$5,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$5,600,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$5,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$5,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$4,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$4,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$4,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$4,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$4,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$4,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$36,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$35,800,000,000. New direct loan obligations,
- \$1,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$27,400,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$37,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$37,000,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$37,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$37,400,000,000. direct obligations, loan \$1,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. iscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$38,000,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$38,000,000,000.
- obligations, loan \$1,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$40,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$40,000,000,000.
- New direct obligations, \$1,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$41,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$41,000,000,000.
- New obligations, direct loan \$1,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$43,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$43,000,000,000.
- loan New direct obligations, \$1,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$27,400,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

(16) Administration of Justice (750):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$16,100,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,400,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$297,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$297,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$305,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$305,600,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$309,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$309,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$315,800,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$315,800,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$321,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$321,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$326,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$326,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002: (A) New budget authority, \$332,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$332,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. (19) Allowances (920):

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$14,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$12,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$15,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$13,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$15,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$13,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$33,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$32,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$38,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$38,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$32,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, New direct loan obligations, \$32,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$20,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$20,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950): Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,300,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, -\$31,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$31,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$31,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$35,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$35,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$36,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$36,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$35,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$35,600,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$37,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$37,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

# SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

- (a) Not later than July 14, 1995, the House committees named in subsections (b) through (o) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Budget Committee. After receiving those recommendations, the House Budget Committee shall report to the House a reconciliation bill or resolution or both carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.
- (b) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$6,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$6,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$11,500,000,000 in budget authority and \$11,500,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$14,400,000,000 in budget authority and 1998. \$14,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year \$17,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$17,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$19,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$19,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$21,100,000,000 in budget authority \$21,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$23,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$23,600,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (c) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$800,000,000 in

budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year \$800,000,000 in budget authority \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$800,000,000 budget authority in \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$800,000,000 in budget authority \$800,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

- (d) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$19,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$19,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$36,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$37,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$55,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$56,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$80,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$79,700,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$100,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$100,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$124,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$124,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$148,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$148,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (e) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,500,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,500,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997. \$2,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998 \$2,800,000,000 authority in budget and \$2,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999 \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000 \$3 100 000 000 in budget authority and \$3,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$3,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,300,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (f) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and \$1.800.000.000 in outlays as follows: budget authority and \$1,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (g) The House Committee on International Relations shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(h) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$750,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,

\$1,000,000,000 in budget authority \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997 \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority \$900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998 \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(i) The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority

and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(j) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$4,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$4,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$5,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$5,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998. \$3,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. \$4,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$4,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000. \$3,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$3,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(k) The House Committee on Science shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(l) The House Committee on Small Business shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(m) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$5,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$8,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$8,500,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$8,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$9,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$9,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$9,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

- (n) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999 authority \$2,100,000,000 in budget and \$2,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,600,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (o) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the deficit, as follows: \$45,300,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$32,000,000,000 fiscal in vear \$39,300,000,000 fiscal in \$52,000,000,000 1999, in fiscal vear year \$66,700,000,000 in fiscal 2000 \$82,100,000,000 in fiscal year 2001, and \$97,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (p) For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 and the term "new budget authority" has the meaning given to such term in section 3(2) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

# SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING SO-CIAL SECURITY.

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that legislation should be enacted that:

- (1) Prohibits the use of the surplus funds collected as part of the social security payroll tax from being used to balance the budget or reduce the deficit.
- (2) Starting in 1996, sets aside these surplus funds to preserve and protect the social security system.
- (3) Establishes a bipartisan commission to oversee the protection of these surplus funds, the primary purpose of which is to establish a safe and secure mechanism to preserve
- (4) Provides that as the Federal debt is repaid, the social security funds that are currently part of the \$4,900,000,000,000 Federal debt as well as interest on these funds shall also be repaid and set aside under the mechanism established under paragraphs (2) and

# SEC. 6. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING DEBT REPAYMENT.

It is the sense of the House of Representa-

- (1) The Congress has a basic moral and ethical responsibility to future generations to repay the Federal debt. The Congress should enact a plan that not only balances the budget but also institutes a regimen for paying off the Federal debt.
- (2) After the budget is balanced, spending should be allowed to grow at a rate slower than expected revenues so that a surplus is created which can be used to begin paying off the debt.
- (3) Such a plan should be enacted into law so that this generation can save our children and grandchildren from the crushing burdens of the Federal debt.

It was decided in the Yeas ...... negative ...... Nays ..... 342

969.15[Roll No. 343] AYES-89

Brownback Allard Chabot Baker (CA) Chenoweth Bryant (TN) Bartlett. Burr Christensen Chrysler

Coburn Combest Cooley Cox Crane Crapo Cubin Diaz-Balart Doolittle Dreier Duncan Ehlers Ensign Fawell Foley Forbes Ford Fox Frisa Funderburk Geren Gilchrest Goodlatte Goss Graham

Abercrombie

Andrews

Archer

Armey

Bachus

Baesler

Barcia

Bateman

Becerra

Bentsen

Bereuter

Bilbray Bilirakis

Bishop

Boehlert

Boehner

Bonilla

Bonior

Borski

Boucher

Brewster

Browder

Bunn

Bunning

Callahan

Calvert

Camp

Canady

Cardin

Castle

Clay

Clayton

Clement

Clinger

Clyburn

Coleman

Convers

Costello

Coyne Cramer

Danner

Deal

DeFazio

DeLauro

DeLay

Coble

Bliley

Bevill

Gutknecht Hancock Ros-Lehtinen Roth Royce Hansen Hayworth Hilleary Saľmon Sanford Scarborough Hoekstra Hostettler Schaefer Seastrand Istook Johnson, Sam Sensenbrenner Jones Smith (MI) Smith (WA) Kingston Solomon Klug Largent Manzullo Souder Stockman McInnis Tauzin Taylor (MS) Thornberry McIntosh Metcalf Mica Tiahrt Torkildsen Moorhead Myers Upton Waldholtz Neumann Norwood Wamp Petri Pombo Zeliff Quillen Zimmer Rohrabacher

Hunter

## NOES-342

Dellums

Hutchinson Ackerman Deutsch Dickey Hvde Dicks Inglis Dingell Jackson-Lee Dixon Jacobs Doggett Jefferson Johnson (CT) Baker (LA) Dooley Dornan Johnson (SD) Johnson, E. B. Johnston Ballenger Doyle Dunn Kanjorski Durbin Barrett (NE) Barrett (WI) Edwards Ehrlich Kaptur Kasich Kelly Emerson Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI) Engel English Beilenson Kennelly Eshoo Evans Kildee Everett Kim Ewing King Farr Klink Fattah Knollenberg Fazio Kolbe LaFalce Fields (LA) Fields (TX) LaHood Filner Lantos Flake Latham Flanagan LaTourette Foglietta Laughlin Fowler Lazio Frank (MA) Leach Franks (CT) Levin Franks (NJ) Lewis (CA) Brown (CA) Frelinghuysen Lewis (GA) Brown (FL) Frost Lewis (KY) Brown (OH) Lightfoot Furse Bryant (TX) Gallegly Lincoln Ganske Linder Gejdenson Lipinski Livingston Gephardt LoBiondo Gibbons Lofgren Gillmor Longley Gilman Lowev Gonzalez Lucas Goodling Luther Chambliss Gordon Malonev Chapman Green Manton Greenwood Markey Gunderson Martinez Gutierrez Martini Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Mascara Matsui Hamilton McCarthy Harman McCollum Collins (GA) McCrerv Hastert Collins (IL) Hastings (FL) McDade Collins (MI) Hastings (WA) McDermott Haves McHale McHugh Hefner McKeon McKinney Heineman Cremeans Herger Hilliard McNulty Cunningham Meehan Hinchey Meek Davis de la Garza Hobson Menendez Meyers Mfume Hoke Holden Horn Houghton Miller (CA) Miller (FL)

Minge Mink Rangel Reed Stupak Talent Regula Moakley Tanner Molinari Mollohan Reynolds Richardson Tate Taylor (NC) Montgomery Riggs Tejeda Moran Morella Rivers Roberts Thomas Thompson Murtha Roemer Thornton Myrick Nadler Rogers Thurman Rose Torres Neal Roukema Torricelli Roybal-Allard Rush Nethercutt Towns Ney Traficant Nussle Sabo Tucker Sanders Oberstar Velazquez Obey Sawyer Vento Olver Saxton Visclosky Schiff Ortiz Volkmer Schroeder Orton Vucanovich Owens Schumer Walker Oxlev Walsh Scott Packard Serrano Ward Pallone Shadegg Waters Watt (NC) Parker Shaw Watts (OK) Pastor Shays Waxman Weldon (FL) Paxon Shuster Payne (NJ) Sisisky Weldon (PA) Payne (VA) Skaggs Pelosi Skeen Weller Peterson (FL) Skelton Whitfield Peterson (MN) Slaughter Wicker Pickett Smith (N.I) Williams Smith (TX) Wilson Pomerov Porter Spence Wise Portman Spratt Wolf Poshard Woolsey Stark Pryce Stearns Wyden Stenholm Quinn Wvnn Radanovich Stokes Yates Rahall Studds Young (AK)

# NOT VOTING-3

Young (FL)

Bono Kleczka Berman

Stump

So the amendment in the nature of a subsitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

# ¶69.16 RECORDED VOTE

Ramstad

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

# SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE **BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996**

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

# SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,060,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,113,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,199,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,290,530,000,000 Fiscal year 2000: \$1,361,430,000,000 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,495,274,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,576,520,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$17,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$30,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$64,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$103,130,000,000 Fiscal year 2000: \$115,930,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$183,774,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$195,520,000,000

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insur-

Mineta

Hoyer

ance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$103,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$109,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$114,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999: \$120,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$126,900,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$133,600,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002: \$140,400,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,305,645,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,351,766,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,418,293,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,477,601,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,554,772,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,635,012,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,705,270,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,310,531,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,360,603,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,406,588,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,473,786,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,532,385,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,586,550,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,657,024,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$249,731,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$247,103,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$206,988,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$183,256,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$170,955,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$99,830,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$80,504,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$5,195,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$5,516,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$5,810,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$6,100,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$6,374,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$6,614,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$6,806,000,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001 are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996:

Ňew direct loan obligations, \$37,600,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$193,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997: (A) New di direct obligations, loan \$40,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

New direct loan obligations. (A) \$42,300,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$185,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

New direct loan obligations, \$45,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$183,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

New direct loan obligations, \$45,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$184,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001

New direct loan obligations. \$45,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$186,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

New direct loan obligations, \$46,100,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,600,000,000.

# SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$226,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$252,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$215,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$242,400,000,000

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$220,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$236,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$223,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$239,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$230,100,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$244,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$250,867,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$244,100,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$250,947,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$244,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments \$0

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,462,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,689,000,000.

direct New loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,629,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,540,000,000.

direct (C) New loan obligations. \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$19,106,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$18,248,000,000.

direct obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$19,420,000,000.(B) Outlays, \$18,752,000,000.

obligations, (C) New direct loan \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,140,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,596,000,000.

direct New loan obligations. \$5,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$21,951,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,596,000,000.

direct obligations. New loan \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$21,955,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,596,000,000.

direct obligations. New loan \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$16,447,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,840,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,829,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,427,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$15,203,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,349,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,355,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$15,194,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000: (A) New budget authority, \$14,940,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,942,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$14,943,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,940,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,947,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,942,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (4) Energy (270):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$4,654,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$3,941,000,000.
- direct loan \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$3,314,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$3,645,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,131,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,424,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,200,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,744,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,099,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,559,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,475,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$3,672,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$2,540,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2002:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$3,750,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,585,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations. \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300).
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$22,570,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$21,212,000,000.
- obligations, New direct loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$22,476,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$21,498,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$21,874,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$21,206,000,000.
- New direct loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$21,368,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$20,775,000,000.
- direct obligations, New \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$20,753,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,134,000,000.
- New obligations, direct loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$20,836,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,134,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$20,815,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,134,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (6) Agriculture (350):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$13,713,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,309,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$12,598,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,247,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$11.500.000.000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$11,144,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$9,993,000,000.
- obligations, (C) New direct loan \$10.900.000.000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$9,936,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,718,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$11,600,000,000
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$9,207,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,060,000,000.
- obligations, direct \$11,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.

770

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (B) Outlays, \$8,066,000,000.
- obligations. loan \$11,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2002:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$8,960,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$8,072,000,000.
- direct obligations, loan \$10,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$4,191,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, minus \$6,339,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations. \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$4,104,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$4,016,000,000.
- direct obligations. (C) New loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$123 100 000 000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$3,631,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$5,151,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$123,100,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$4,419,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, - \$2,927,000,000. direct obligations, New \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,504,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,320,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$11,739,000,000.
- -\$1,381,000,000. (B) Outlays, direct obligations. (C) New loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$12,420,000,000. (B) Outlays,
- -\$345,000,000.New direct loan obligations. \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (8) Transportation (400):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$33,369,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$34,480,000,000.

ments, \$0 Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$8,953,000,000.

New direct

- obligations, New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,515,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$35,429,000,000.

- New direct \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$41,038,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$36,590,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$42,677,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$37,965,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations. \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,360,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,519,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$43,327,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,519,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$42,389,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,519,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations. \$200,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,780,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,325,000,000.

- direct obligations, loan \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,749,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,540,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,181,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,599,000,000.

- direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,658,000,000.(B) Outlays, \$13,226,000,000.

- direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:
(A) New budget authority, \$12,062,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$12,486,000,000.

direct

- obligations, \$2,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$1,200,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

(A) New budget authority, \$13,374,000,000.
(B) Outlays, \$12,573,000,000.

New loan

direct \$2,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

obligations.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,468,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,661,000,000.

- obligations, New direct loan \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$61,801,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$59,939,000,000.

- obligations. (C)New direct loan \$13,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$62,853,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$62,114,000,000.

- New direct obligations, \$16,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$64,937,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$62,732,000,000.

- direct loan obligations, \$19,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$15,200,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$67,323,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$64,894,000,000.

- obligations. direct loan (C) New \$21,800,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$14,300,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$69,809,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$67,238,000,000.

- New direct loan obligations, \$21,900,000,000 (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$15,000,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$71,016,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$68,366,000,000.

obligations, direct loan \$22,000,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,800,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$73,011,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$70,366,000,000.

- direct loan obligations, \$22,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$128,956,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$127,946,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$140,941,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$140,282,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$154,227,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$153,746,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$168,335,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$167,729,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000: (A) New budget authority, \$183,031,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$182,276,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$198,841,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$198,036,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments \$300,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$215,541,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$214,736,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(12) Medicare (570)

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$184,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$181,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$202,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$200,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$221,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$219,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$243,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$241,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$266,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$264,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$292,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$290,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$321,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$319,300,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (13) Income Security (600):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$235,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$232,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$252,900,000,000.(B) Outlays, \$250,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$274,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$264,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$281,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$279,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$301,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$297,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- (A) New budget authority, \$310,400,000,000.
  (B) Outlays, \$306,800,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
  (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

- Hents, 30.
  Fiscal year 2002:

  (A) New budget authority, \$329,500,000,000.

  (B) Outlays, \$325,700,000,000.

  (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,894,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,593,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,030,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$10,763,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,795,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,512,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,561,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,921,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$10,529,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$466,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,022,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$584,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,667,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$734,000,000. New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
  - Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$40,175,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,275,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$26,700,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$40,131,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$39,875,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$21,600,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$41,423,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$41,277,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$1,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$43,587,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$43,396,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligation obligations, \$1,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
  (A) New budget authority, \$44,897,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$46,182,000,000.
- New direct obligations, \$1,200,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$19,300,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$46,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$47,700,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$19,900,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$47,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$49,200,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations. \$1,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$20,600,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

(16) Administration of Justice (750):

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$20,182,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,711,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$20,869,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$20,430,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$21,788,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$21,455,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$22,768,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$22,215,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$23,371,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$23,015,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$23,323,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$23,015,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 2002:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$23,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$23,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,674,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,170,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,796,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,125,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,855,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,980,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,796,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,582,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,625,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,974,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$13,625,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$13,964,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$13,625,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$295,828,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$295,828,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$304,289,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$304,289,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$308,696,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$308,696,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$314,655,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$314,655,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$319,862,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$319,862,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$320,646,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$320,646,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$323,331,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$323,331,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (19) Allowances (920):

- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments \$0

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
  - Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$-31,293,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-31,293,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1997:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$-35,961,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$-35,961,000,000.
  - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$-37,148,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-37,148,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$-38,127,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$-38,127,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$-40,276,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$-40,276,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$-41,614,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$-41,614,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$-42,937,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$-42,937,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

# ments, \$0.

- SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION. (a) Not later than September 1, 1995, the House committees named in subsections (b) through (o) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Budget Committee. After receiving those recommenda-tions, the House Budget Committee shall report to the House a reconciliation bill or resolution or both carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revi-
- (b) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998 \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999 \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000 \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in fiscal year 2002.
- (d) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority

and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998 \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999 \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(h) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(j) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999 \$1,250,000,000 authority in budget and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000. \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and

\$1,250,000,000 in fiscal year 2002. (l) The House Committee on Small Business shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(m) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,340,000,000 in budget authority \$1,340,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996. \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997. \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998 \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999. authority \$1,336,000,000 in budget and \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,336,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(o) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to increase revenues, as follows: \$17,800,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$30,000,000,000 fiscal

\$64,600,000,000 1998, fiscal \$103,130,000,000 1999, in fiscal year \$115,930,000,000 year in fiscal 2000 \$183,774,000,000 in fiscal year 2001. \$195,520,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(p) For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 and the term "new budget authority" has the meaning given to such term in section 3(2) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

Yeas ...... It was decided in the Nays ..... Answered negative ..... present

#### 969.17[Roll No. 344]

AYES-56

Becerra Frank (MA) Payne (NJ) Bonior Gonzalez Rangel Brown (FL) Reynolds Green Hastings (FL) Hilliard Clay Clayton Sabo Sanders Clyburn Hinchey Scott Collins (IL) Jackson-Lee Johnson, E. B. Serrano Collins (MI) Stark Lewis (GA) Conyers Stokes Coyne DeFazio Martinez Thompson McDermott Torres Dellums McKinney Tucker Dixon Meek Velazquez Mfume Waters Engel Watt (NC) Fattah Miller (CA) Fields (LA) Mink Woolsey Nadler Filner Wynn Foglietta Oberstar Yates Ford Owens

# NOES-367

Abercrombie Christensen Forbes Chrysler Clement Ackerman Fowler Allard Fox Andrews Clinger Franks (CT) Armey Coble Franks (NJ) Coburn Frelinghuysen Bachus Baesler Coleman Frisa Baker (CA) Collins (GA) Frost Baker (LA) Funderburk Combest Baldacci Condit Furse Ballenger Cooley Costello Gallegly Barcia Ganske Barr Cox Gejdenson Barrett (NE) Cramer Gekas Gephardt Barrett (WI) Crane Geren Bartlett Crapo Gibbons Barton Cremeans Cubin Gilchrest Bateman Cunningham Gillmor Beilenson Gilman Danner Goodlatte Bentsen Davis de la Garza Bereuter Goodling Gordon Bevill Deal Bilbray DeLauro DeLay Deutsch Graham Bilirakis Bliley Greenwood Blute Diaz-Balart Gunderson Boehlert Dickey Gutierrez Dicks Gutknecht Boehner Dingell Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Bonilla Bono Doggett Dooley Doolittle Borski Hamilton Boucher Hancock Brewster Dornan Hansen Browder Doyle Harman Brown (CA) Dreier Hastert Hastings (WA) Brown (OH) Duncan Brownback Dunn Hayes Hayworth Hefley Bryant (TN) Durbin Edwards Bryant (TX) Bunn Hefner Bunning Ehrlich Heineman Burr Emerson Herger English Hilleary Burton Buver Ensign Hobson Callahan Eshoo Hoekstra Evans Hoke Holden Calvert Camp Everett Horn Canady Ewing Cardin Farr Fawell Hostettler Castle Houghton Chabot Fazio Hoyer Fields (TX) Chambliss Hunter Hutchinson

Inglis Istook Seastrand Sensenbrenner Minge Shadegg Jacobs Moakley Jefferson Molinari Shaw Johnson (CT) Shays Montgomery Johnson (SD) Moorhead Shuster Johnson, Sam Moran Sisisky Johnston Morella Skaggs Jones Murtha Skeen Kanjorski Myers Myrick Skelton Kaptur Slaughter Kasich Neal Smith (MI) Nethercutt Kelly Smith (NJ) Kennedy (MA) Neumann Smith (TX) Kennedy (RI) Smith (WA) Ney Norwood Kennelly Solomon Kildee Nussle Souder Kim Obey Spence Olver King Spratt Kingston Ortiz Stearns Klink Orton Stenholm Klug Knollenberg Oxley Packard Stockman Studds Kolbe Pallone Stump LaFalce Parker Stupak LaHood Pastor Talent Lantos Paxon Tanner Payne (VA) Largent Tate Tauzin Latham Peľosi Peterson (FL) Taylor (MS) LaTourette Taylor (NC) Laughlin Peterson (MN) Tejeda Lazio Petri Pickett Leach Thomas Thornberry Levin Pombo Lewis (CA) Pomeroy Thornton Lewis (KY) Porter Thurman Lightfoot Portman Tiahrt Lincoln Poshard Torkildsen Linder Prvce Torricelli Quillen Lipinski Traficant LoBiondo Quinn Upton Radanovich Lofgren Vento Rahall Longley Visclosky Lowey Ramstad Volkmer Vucanovich Lucas Reed Luther Regula Waldholtz Richardson Maloney Walker Walsh Manton Riggs Manzullo Rivers Wamp Markey Martini Roberts Ward Roemer Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Mascara Rogers Rohrabacher Matsui Weldon (PA) Weller McCarthy Ros-Lehtinen McCollum White Rose Whitfield McCrery Roth McDade Roukema Wicker McHale Roybal-Allard Williams McHugh Royce Wilson McInnis Salmon Wise McIntosh Sanford Wolf McKeon Sawver Wyden Young (AK) Meehan Saxton Menendez Scarborough Young (FL) Metcalf Schaefer Zeliff

Mineta

Schiff Mica Miller (FL) Schroeder Schumer ANSWERED "PRESENT"-1 Bishop

NOT VOTING-10

Livingston Archer Towns McNulty Berman Waxman Flake Mollohan Kleczka Rush

Meyers

So the amendment was not agreed to. The SPEAKER resumed the Chair.

When Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 149, reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

Pursuant to House Resolution 149, the following amendment was considered as adopted:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring),

# SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE **BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.**

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including

Hvde

Flanagan

Foley

Chapman

Chenoweth

obligations.

the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

# SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,057,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,058,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,099,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,138,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,189,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001: \$1,247,200,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,316,600,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be changed are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$14,987,000,000 Fiscal year 1997: -\$24,393,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: -\$34,772,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: -\$48,354,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: -\$58,836,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: -\$69,275,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: -\$71,859,000,000.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$103,815,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$108,986,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$114,877,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$120,698,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$126,893,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$133,590,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$140,425,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,285,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,321,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,355,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,388,800,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,421,800,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,436,000,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,459,800,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,287,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,313,900,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,326,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,363,500,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,400,800,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,414,200,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,437,300,000,000. (4) The amounts of the deficits are as fol-

lows:

Fiscal year 1996: -\$229,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: -\$255,400,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: -\$227,200,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: -\$224,800,000,000 Fiscal year 2000: -\$211,500,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: -\$167,000,000,000 Fiscal year 2002: -\$120,700,000,000

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$5,195,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$5,516,100,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$5,809,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$6,099,700,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$6,374,300,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$6,614,400,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$6,806,100,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001 are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996:

New direct obligations, loan \$37,600,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$193,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

New direct loan obligations, \$40,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998

New loan obligations, \$42,300,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$185,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

Ňew direct loan obligations. \$45,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$183,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

obligations, New direct loan \$45.800.000.000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$184,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

New direct loan obligations, \$45,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$186,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

Ňew direct loan obligations, \$46,100,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,600,000,000.

# SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obliga-tions, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$267,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$265,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$269,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$265,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$277,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$265,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$281,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$271,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$287,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$279,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$287,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$279,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$287,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$279,200,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,000,000,000. New direct loan

\$5,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,100,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$13,300,000,000.

New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$9,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$10,000,000,000.

New direct loan obligations. \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$11,100,000,000.

obligations. (C) New direct loan \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,000,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$10,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0 (3) General Science, Space, and Technology

(250):

Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$16,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$16,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$16,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$16,600,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,700,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$16,000,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$15,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000: (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$14,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$14,900,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (4) Energy (270):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$4,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$4,300,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,200,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,900,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$3,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$3,100,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,700,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$3,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$2,300,000,000.
- direct obligations, \$1,200,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300).
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$19,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$20,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$19,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,900,000,000.
- (C)New direct loan obligations. \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

New

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,800,000,000.
- \$100,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

loan

obligations.

direct

- ments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$18,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$19,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$100,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$17,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$18,100,000,000.
- New obligations, direct \$100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-(E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

(6) Agriculture (350):

- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$13,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- direct loan obligations. \$11,500,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$10,400,000,000.
- direct obligations. New loan \$10,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$11,400,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$10,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$11,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$5,700,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000: (A) New budget authority, \$10,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$9,000,000,000.
- New direct obligations, loan \$11,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$8,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,100,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$11,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002: (A) New budget authority, \$8,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,000,000,000.
- obligations, (C) New direct loan \$10,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$5,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
  - Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, \$2,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$6,900,000,000. New direct obligations, loan
- \$1,400,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$123,100,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$4,100,000,000. - \$2,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, obligations. (C) direct loan New \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$2,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$4,700,000,000. New direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$2,200,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, - \$3,000,000,ŏ00.
- direct obligations, New \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.

- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$1,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, --\$2,200,000,<del>0</del>00.
- direct loan obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$1,300,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, -\$2,500,000,000.
- New direct obligations, \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2002:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$1,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,600,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$1,400,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$123,100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  (8) Transportation (400):

  - Fiscal year 1996:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$40,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$38,800,000,000.
- obligations, New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$42,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$37,500,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments. \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998: (A) New budget authority, \$43,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$36,600,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1999:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$43,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$35,600,000,000.
- obligations, New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
  (A) New budget authority, \$44,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,900,000,000.
- obligations. (C) New direct loan \$200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, \$43,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$34,200,000,000. New direct loan
- \$200,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

obligations,

- ments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2002:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$43,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$33,700,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (9) Community and Regional Development (450):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$9,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$7,800,000,000.
- (C)New direct loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,700,000,000.
- (C)New direct loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,500,000,000.
- direct New loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$6,200,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$6,400,000,000.
- direct (C) New loan obligations. \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$6,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$6,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- (10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):
- Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$45,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$52,300,000,000.
- direct obligations, (C) New loan \$13,600,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000.000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$45,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$46,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,300,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,900,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$44,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$44,600,000,000.
- direct loan obligations, \$19,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,200,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$45,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$44,700,000,000.
- direct obligations, loan \$21,800,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$14,300,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$45,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$45,200,000,000.
- direct obligations, New loan \$21,900,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,000,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

  - Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$45,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$44,200,000,000. loan New direct obligations, \$22,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,800,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2002: (A) New budget authority, \$44,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$43,700,000,000.
- direct obligations, loan \$22,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,600,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (11) Health (550):

  - Fiscal year 1996:
    (A) New budget authority, \$121,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$122,300,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, \$127,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$127,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$300,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$132,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$132,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$136,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$136,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$300,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$141,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$141,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - Fiscal year 2001:
  - (A) New budget authority, \$146,300,000,000.
  - (B) Outlays, \$146,200,000,000.

- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$149,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$148,900,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (12) Medicare (570):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$177,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$175,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$186,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$185,000,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$195,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$194,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999: (A) New budget authority, \$206,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$203,700,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000: (A) New budget authority, \$214,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$212,900,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$224,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$222,400,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$234,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$232,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (13) Income Security (600):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$222,700,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$225,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$231,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$235,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$248,400,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$243,900,000,000
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$255,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$254,300,000,000
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$265,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$267,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$267,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$269,000,000,000
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$277,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$279,100,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$100,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (14) Social Security (650):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$5,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$8,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$10,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$8,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$9,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$10,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$13,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,700,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$14,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- (15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700): Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$37,600,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$36,900,000,000. New direct loan obligations, \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$26,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$38,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$38,100,000,000. (C) New direct loan
- obligations, \$1,100,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$21,600,000,000
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, \$38,500,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$38,500,000,000. direct New loan obligations.
- \$1,000,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,700,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$39,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$39,000,000,000. obligations. New direct loan \$1,000,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, \$39,200,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$40,600,000,000.
- obligations. New direct loan \$1,200,000,000.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,300,000,000. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001: (A) New budget authority, \$39,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$41,200,000,000. New direct loan obligations.
- \$1,400,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$19,900,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, \$40,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$41,600,000,000. New direct loan obligations,
- \$1,700,000,000. (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$20,600,000,000.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (16) Administration of Justice (750): Fiscal year 1996:
- (A) New budget authority, \$17,800,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$17,800,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997: (A) New budget authority, \$16,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$17,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

ments, \$0.

(A) New budget authority, \$16,600,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$16,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,700,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$16,000,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,200,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$15,900,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$16,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
  - (17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$11,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$12,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,700,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,500,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$12,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$12,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$11,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$11,100,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,300,000,000.

- (B) Outlays, \$11,000,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-
  - (18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1996:

- (A) New budget authority, \$295,800,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, \$295,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, \$304,100,000,000.
- (B) Outlavs. \$304.100.000.000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, \$308,400,000,000.(B) Outlays, \$308,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, \$314,300,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$314,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, \$319,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$319,400,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, \$320,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$320,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New budget authority, \$322,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$322,600,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations. \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0.

  (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0. (19) Allowances (920):

- Fiscal year 1996:
  (A) New budget authority, -\$2,300,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$1,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$2,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,300,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$2,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,500,000,000.
- New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$2,500,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,700,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$2,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,800,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New budget authority, -\$2,600,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$2,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$2,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$2,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
- (20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
- Fiscal year 1996: (A) New budget authority, -\$34,400,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$34,400,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$34,200,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$34,200,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$37,600,000,000.
- (B) Outlays, -\$37,600,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
- (A) New budget authority, \$36,400,000,000. (B) Outlays, \$36,400,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

- ments, \$0. Fiscal year 2000:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$38,100,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$38,100,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments \$0 (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001:
- (A) New budget authority, -\$37,900,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$37,900,000,000.
- (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0. (D) New primary loan guarantee commit-
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commit-

ments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(D) New primary loan guarantee commit-

- (A) New budget authority, -\$39,000,000,000. (B) Outlays, -\$39,000,000,000. (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
- ments, \$0. (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

# SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

- (a)(1) Not later than July 14, 1995, the House committees named in paragraphs (1) through (12) of subsection (b) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.
- (2) Each committee named in paragraphs (1) through (11) of subsection (b) shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee for—
  - (A) fiscal year 1996,
- (B) the 5-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2000, and
- (C) the 7-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2002, does not exceed the total level of direct spending in that period in the paragraph applicable to that committee.
- (3) Each committee named in paragraphs (2)(B), (4)(B), (5)(B), and (6)(B) of subsection (b) shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction as set forth in the paragraph applicable to that committee.
- (4) The Committee on Ways and Means shall carry out subsection (b)(12).
- (b)(1) The House Committee on Agriculture: \$35,824,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$171,886,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$263,102,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (2)(Å) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services: -\$12,897,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, -\$43,065,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and -\$57,184,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (B) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that would reduce the deficit by: \$0 in fiscal year 1996, -\$100,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and -\$260,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2000?
- (3) The House Committee on Commerce: \$293,665,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,726,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$2,625,094,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (4)(A) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities: \$13,727,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$61,570,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$95,520,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (B) In addition to changes in law reported pursuant to subparagraph (A), the House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report program changes in laws within its jurisdiction that would result in a reduction in outlays as follows: -\$720,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, -\$5,908,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and -\$9,018,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (5)(Å) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight: \$57,725,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$313,647,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$455,328,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (B) In addition to changes in law reported pursuant to subparagraph (A), the House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that would reduce the deficit by:
  -\$988,000,000 in fiscal year 1996,
  -\$9,618,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and -\$14,740,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- $(6)(\Breve{A})$  The House Committee on International Relations: \$14,246,000,000 in outlays

- in fiscal year 1996, \$62,076,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$83,206,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (B) In addition to changes in law reported pursuant to subparagraph (A), the House Committee on International Relations shall shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that would reduce the deficit by:
  -\$19,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1996,
  -\$95,000,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and -\$123,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (7) The House Committee on the Judiciary: \$2,580,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$14,043,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$20,029,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (8) The House Committee on National Security: \$38,769,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$224,682,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$328,334,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (9) The House Committee on Resources: \$1,558,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$6,532,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$12,512,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (10) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure: \$16,636,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$83,227,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$117,079,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (11) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs: \$19,041,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$105,965,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$154,054,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (12)(A) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee for—
- (i) fiscal year 1996.
- (ii) the 5-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2000,
- (iii) the 7-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2002,
- does not exceed the following level in that period: \$356,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,152,905,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$3,297,787,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (B) In addition to changes in law reported pursuant to subparagraph (A), the House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction such that the total level of revenues for that committee for—
  - (i) fiscal year 1996,
- (ii) the 5-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2000, and
- (iii) the 7-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2002, is not less than the following amount in that period: \$1,027,612,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$5,371,087,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$7,836,405,000,000 in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (c)(1) Not later than September 14, 1995, the House committees named in paragraphs (2) and (3) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Budget Committee shall report to the House a reconciliation bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revisions.
- (2) In addition to changes in laws reported pursuant to subsection (b)(3), the House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee for—

- (A) fiscal year 1996,
- (B) the 5-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2000,
- (C) the 7-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2002,  $\,$
- does not exceed the following level in that period: \$287,165,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,592,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$2,338,694,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (3) In addition to changes in laws reported pursuant to subsection (b)(12), the House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending such that the total level of direct spending for that committee for—
  - (A) fiscal year 1996,
- (B) the 5-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2000, and
- (C) the 7-year period beginning with fiscal year 1996 and ending with fiscal year 2002,  $\,$
- does not exceed the following level in that period: \$349,836,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,018,505,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2000, and \$3,009,387,000,000 in outlays in fiscal years 1996 through 2002.
- (d) For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

### SEC. 5. AGRICULTURAL SAVINGS.

Congress shall re-examine budget reductions for agricultural programs in the United States Department of Agriculture for fiscal years 1999 and 2000 unless the following conditions are met—

- (1) land values on agricultural land on January 1, 1998, are at least 95 percent of the same values on the date of adoption of this resolution;
- (2) there is enacted into law regulatory relief for the agricultural sector in the areas of wetlands regulation, the Endangered Species Act, private property rights and cost-benefit analyses of proposed regulations;

  (3) there is tax relief for producers in the
- (3) there is tax relief for producers in the form of capital gains tax reduction, increased estate tax exemptions and mechanisms to average tax loads over strong and weak income years; and
- (4) there is no government interference in the international market in the form of agricultural trade embargoes in effect and there is successful implementation and enforcement of trade agreements,
- including the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) to lower export subsidies and reduce import barriers to trade imposed by foreign governments.

# SEC. 6. SALE OF GOVERNMENT ASSETS.

- (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that—  $\,$
- the prohibition on scoring asset sales has discouraged the sale of assets that can be better managed by the private sector and generate receipts to reduce the Federal budget deficit;
- (2) the President's fiscal year 1996 budget included \$8,000,000,000 in receipts from asset sales and proposed a change in the asset sale scoring rule to allow the proceeds from these sales to be scored;
- (3) assets should not be sold if such sale would increase the budget deficit over the long run; and
- (4) the asset sale scoring prohibition should be repealed and consideration should be given to replacing it with a methodology that takes into account the long-term budgetary impact of asset sale.
- (b) BUDGETARY TREATMENT.—For purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, the

amounts realized from sales of assets shall be scored with respect to the level of budget authority, outlays, or revenues.

- (c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "sale of an asset" shall have the same meaning as under section 250(c)(21) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.
- (d) TREATMENT OF LOAN ASSETS.—For purposes of this section, the sale of loan assets or the prepayment of a loan shall be governed by the terms of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990.

# SEC. 7. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE COMPLIANCE INITIATIVE.

- (a) ADJUSTMENTS.—(1) For purposes of points of order under the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 and concurrent resolutions on the budget—
- (A) the discretionary spending limits under section 601(a)(2) of that Act (and those limits as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year and each outyear;
- (B) the allocations to the Committee on Appropriations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that Act; and
- (C) the appropriate budgetary aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget,
- shall be adjusted to reflect the amounts of additional new budget authority or additional outlays (as defined in paragraph (2)) reported by the Committee on Appropriations in appropriation Acts (or by the committee of conference on such legislation) for the Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative activities in any fiscal year, but not to exceed in any fiscal year \$405,000,000 in new budget authority and \$405,000,000 in outlays.
- (2) As used in this section, the terms "additional new budget authority" or "additional outlays" shall mean, for any fiscal year, budget authority or outlays (as the case may be) in excess of the amounts requested for that fiscal year for the Internal Revenue Service in the President's Budget for fiscal year 1996.
- (b) REVISED LIMITS, ALLOCATIONS, AND AGGREGATES.—Upon the reporting of legislation pursuant to subsection (a), and again upon the submission of a conference report on such legislation (if a conference report is submitted), the chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the Senate or the House of Representatives (as the case may be) shall submit to that chairman's respective House appropriately revised—
- (1) discretionary spending limits under section 601(a)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (and those limits as cumulatively adjusted) for the current fiscal year and each outvear:
- (2) allocations to the Committee on Appropriations under sections 302(a) and 602(a) of that Act; and
- (3) appropriate budgetary aggregates in the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget,

to carry out this subsection. These revised discretionary spending limits, allocations, and aggregates shall be considered for purposes of congressional enforcement under that Act as the discretionary spending limits, allocations, and aggregates.

(c) REPORTING REVISED SUBALLOCATIONS.— The Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives may report appropriately revised suballocations pursuant to sections 302(b)(1) and 602(b)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 to carry out this section.

(d) CONTINGENCIES.—

(1) The Internal Revenue Service and the Department of the Treasury have certified that they are firmly committed to the principles of privacy, confidentiality, courtesy, and protection of taxpayer rights. To this

- end, the Internal Revenue Service and the Department of the Treasury have explicitly committed to initiate and implement educational programs for any new employees hired as a result of the compliance initiative made possible by this section.
- (2) This section shall not apply to any additional new budget authority or additional outlays unless—
- (A) the chairmen of the Budget Committees certify, based upon information from the Congressional Budget Office, the General Accounting Office, and the Internal Revenue Service (as well as from any other sources they deem relevant), that such budget authority or outlays will not increase the total of the Federal budget deficits over the next five years; and
- (B) any funds made available pursuant to such budget authority or outlays are available only for the purpose of carrying out Internal Revenue Service compliance initiative activities.

# SEC. 8. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON BASELINES.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that:
- (1) Baselines are projections of future spending if existing policies remain unchanged.
- (2) Under baseline assumptions, spending automatically rises with inflation even if such increases are not provided under current law.
- (3) Baseline budgeting is inherently biased against policies that would reduce the projected growth in spending because such policies are scored as a reduction from a rising baseline.
- (4) The baseline concept has encouraged Congress to abdicate its constitutional responsibility to control the public purse for programs which are automatically funded under existing law
- under existing law.
  (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that baseline budgeting should be replaced with a form of budgeting that requires full justification and analysis of budget proposals and maximizes congressional accountability for public spending.

# **SEC. 9. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON EMERGENCIES.**(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that:

- (1) The Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 exempted from the discretionary spending limits and the Pay-As-You-Go requirements for entitlement and tax legislation funding re-
- entitlement and tax legislation funding requirements that are designated by Congress and the President as an emergency.

  (2) Congress and the President have in-
- creasingly misused the emergency designation by—

  (A) designating funding as an emergency
- (A) designating funding as an emergency that is neither unforeseen nor a genuine emergency, and
- (B) circumventing spending limits or passing controversial items that would not pass scrutiny in a free-standing bill.
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that Congress should study alternative approaches to budgeting for emergencies, including codifying the definition of an emergency and establishing contingency funds to pay for emergencies.

### SEC. 10. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING PRI-VATIZATION OF THE STUDENT LOAN MARKETING ASSOCIATION (SALLIE MAE).

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that:
- (1) The Student Loan Marketing Association was established in 1972 as a government-sponsored corporation dedicated to ensuring adequate private sector funding for federally guaranteed education loans.
- (2) Since 1972, student loan volume has grown from \$1,000,000,000 a year to \$25,000,000,000 a year. The Student Loan Marketing Association was instrumental in fostering this expansion of the student loan program.
- (3) With securitization and 42 secondary markets, there currently exist numerous al-

ternatives for lenders wishing to sell or liquidate their portfolios of student loans.

- (4) Maintaining Student Loan Marketing Association as a Government-sponsored enterprise exposes taxpayers to an unnecessary liability.
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense Congress that the Student Loan Marketing Association should be restructured as a private corporation.

# SEC. 11. SENSE OF HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REGARDING DEBT REPAYMENT.

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that—

- (1) the Congress has a basic moral and ethical responsibility to future generations to repay the Federal debt;
- (2) the Congress should enact a plan that balances the budget, and then also develops a regimen for paying off the Federal debt;
- (3) after the budget is balanced, a surplus should be created, which can be used to begin paying off the debt; and
- (4) such a plan should be formulated and implemented so that this generation can save future generations from the crushing burdens of the Federal debt.

# SEC. 12. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING REPEAL OF HOUSE RULE XLIX AND THE LEGAL LIMIT ON THE PUBLIC DEBT.

It is the sense of Congress that-

- (1) rule XLIX of the Rules of House of Representatives (popularly known as the Gephardt rule) should be repealed;
- (2) the fiscal year 1996 reconciliation bill should be enacted into law before passage of the debt limit extension; and
- (3) the debt limit should only be set at levels, and for durations, that help assure a balanced budget by fiscal year 2002 or sooner.

# SEC. 13. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE BUDGETARY TREATMENT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS FOR DIRECT LOANS.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 understates the cost to the Government of direct loans because administrative costs are not included in the net present value calculation of Federal direct loan subsidy costs.
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that the cost of a direct loan should be the net present value, at the time the direct loan is disbursed, of the following cash flows for the estimated life of the loan:
  - (1) Loan disbursement.
  - (2) Repayments of principal.
- (3) Interest costs and other payments by or to the Government over the life of the loan after adjusting for estimated defaults, prepayments, fees, penalties, and other recoveries.
- (4) In the case of a direct loan made pursuant to a program for which the Congressional Budget Office estimates that for the coming fiscal year (or any prior fiscal year) loan commitments will equal or exceed \$5,000,000,000, direct expenses, including expenses arising from—
- (A) activities related to credit extension, loan origination, and loan servicing;
- (B) payments to contractors, other Government entities, and program participants;
  - (C) management of contractors;
  - (D) collection of delinquents loans; and
- (E) write-off and close-out of loans.

#### SEC. 14. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS REGARDING COMMISSION ON THE SOLVENCY OF THE FEDERAL MILITARY AND CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT FUNDS.

- (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that the Federal retirement system, for both military and civil service retirees, currently has liabilities of \$1.1 trillion, while holding assets worth \$340 billion and anticipating employee contributions of \$220 billion, which leaves an unfunded liability of \$540 billion.
- (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of the Congress that a high-level commission

Zeliff Zimmer

should be convened to study the problems associated with the Federal retirement system and make recommendations that will ensure the long-term solvency of the military and civil service retirement funds.

The question being put,

Will the House agree to said concurrent resolution, as amended?

The SPEAKER announced that pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV the yeas and nays were ordered, and the call was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the Yeas ...... 238 affirmative ...... Nays ..... 193

#### 969.18[Roll No. 345]

YEAS-238 Allard Montgomery Funderburk Archer Moorhead Morella Armey Gallegly Bachus Ganske Mvers Baker (CA) Gekas Myrick Baker (LA) Geren Nethercutt Ballenger Gilchrest Neumann Barr Gillmor Ney Barrett (NE) Gilman Norwood Gingrich Goodlatte Bartlett Nussle Barton Oxley Bass Goodling Packard Goss Graham Bateman Parker Bereuter Paxon Greenwood Bilbray Petri Bilirakis Gunderson Pombo Bliley Gutknecht Porter Hall (TX) Blute Portman Boehlert Boehner Hancock Pryce Hansen Quillen Bonilla Hastert Quinn Hastings (WA) Bono Radanovich Brownback Hayworth Ramstad Bryant (TN) Hefley Regula Bunn Heineman Riggs Roberts Bunning Herger Burr Hilleary Rogers Rohrabacher Burton Hobson Hoekstra Buyer Ros-Lehtinen Callahan Hoke Roth Calvert Horn Hostettler Roukema Camp Canady Houghton Rovce Salmon Castle Hunter Chabot Hutchinson Sanford Chambliss Hyde Saxton Inglis Scarborough Chenoweth Christensen Schaefer Johnson (CT) Chrysler Schiff Clinger Johnson, Sam Seastrand Coble Jones Sensenbrenner Coburn Kasich Shadegg Collins (GA) Kelly Shaw Combest Shavs Condit King Shuster Cooley Kingston Skeen Klug Cox Smith (MI) Knollenherg Crane Smith (NJ) Kolbe Crapo Smith (TX) Cremeans LaHood Smith (WA) Cubin Largent Solomon Cunningham Latham Souder Davis LaTourette Spence Laughlin Deal Stearns DeLay Lazio Stockman Diaz-Balart Leach Stump Lewis (CA) Dickey Talent Doolittle Lewis (KY) Tate Dornan Lightfoot Tauzin Dreier Linder Taylor (MS) Duncan Livingston Taylor (NC) LoBiondo Longley Dunn Thomas Thornberry Ehlers Ehrlich Lucas Manzullo Tiahrt Emerson Torkildsen English Martini McCollum Upton Ensign Vucanovich McCrery McDade Everett Waldholtz Ewing Fawell McHugh Walker Fields (TX) Walsh McInnis Wamp Foley McIntosh Watts (OK) Forbes McKeon Weldon (FL) Metcalf Fowler Fox Weldon (PA) Meyers Franks (CT) Weller Mica

Miller (FL)

Molinari

White

Whitfield

Franks (N.J)

Frelinghuysen

Wicker Wolf Young (AK) Young (FL)

NAYS-193 Abercrombie Conzalez Owens Pallone Ackerman Gordon Andrews Payne (NJ) Baesler Gutierrez Hall (OH) Baldacci Payne (VA) Hamilton Peľosi Barrett (WI) Peterson (FL) Harman Hastings (FL) Peterson (MN) Becerra Beilenson Pickett Bentsen Hefner Pomeros Hilliard Bevill Poshard Bishop Hinchey Rahall Bonior Holden Rangel Borski Hoyer Reed Reynolds Boucher Jackson-Lee Brewster Jacobs Richardson Jefferson Browder Rivers Johnson (SD) Brown (CA) Roemer Brown (FL) Johnson, E. B. Rose Roybal-Allard Brown (OH) Johnston Bryant (TX) Kanjorski Rush Cardin Sabo Kaptur Sanders Kennedy (MA) Chapman Sawyer Schroeder Clay Kennedy (RI) Clayton Kennelly Clement Schumer Clyburn Coleman Klink Scott LaFalce Serrano Collins (MI) Lantos Sisisky Conyers Levin Skaggs Skelton Lewis (GA) Lincoln Slaughter Coyne Cramer Lipinski Spratt Lofgren Danner Stark de la Garza Lowey Stenholm DeFazio Luther Stokes DeLauro Maloney Studds Dellums Manton Stupak Deutsch Markey Tanner Martinez Dicks Tejeda Dingell Mascara Thompson Dixon Matsui Thornton Doggett McCarthy Thurman Dooley Doyle McDermott Torres Torricelli McHale McKinney Durbin Towns Edwards Meehan Traficant Meek Tucker Engel Menendez Velazquez Eshoo Evans Mfume Miller (CA) Vento Visclosky Farr Fattah Mineta Volkmer Fazio Minge Ward Fields (LA) Mink Waters Filner Moakley Watt (NC)

NOT VOTING-4

Mollohan

Moran

Murtha

Nadler

Oberstar

Neal

Obev

Ortiz

Orton

Waxman

Williams

Woolsey

Wyden

Wvnn

Wilson

Wise

Berman Kleczka Collins (IL) McNulty

Flake

Ford

Frost

Furse

Flanagan

Foglietta

Frank (MA)

Gejdenson

Gephardt

Gibbons

So the concurrent resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said concurrent resolution.

# ¶69.19 PERMISSION TO FILE REPORT

On motion of Mr. GILMAN, by unanimous consent, the Committee on International Relations was granted permission until midnight, Friday, May 19, 1995, to file a report on the bill (H.R. 1516) to consolidate the foreign affairs agencies of the United States; to authorize appropriations for the Department of State and related agencies for fiscal years 1996 and 1997; to responsibly reduce the authorizations of appropriations for United States foreign assistance programs for fiscal years 1996 and 1997, and for other purposes.

¶69.20 WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1158

Mr. DREIER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 151):

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 1158) making emergency supplemental appropriations for additional disaster assistance and making rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other purposes. All points of order against the conference report and against its consideration are waived. The conference report shall be considered as read.

When said resolution was considered. After debate.

On motion of Mr. DREIER, the previous question was ordered on the resolution to its adoption or rejection and under the operation thereof, the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

# ¶69.21 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

# ¶69.22 EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. LIVINGSTON, pursuant to House Resolution 151, called up the following conference report (Rept. No. 104-124):

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1158) "making emergency supplemental appropriations for additional disaster assistance and making rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert:

That the following sums are appropriated, out of any money in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, to provide emergency supplemental appropriations for additional disaster assistance, for anti-terrorism initiatives, for assistance in the recovery from the tragedy that occurred at Oklahoma City, and making rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other purposes,

## TITLE I—SUPPLEMENTALS AND RESCISSIONS

CHAPTER I

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FOOD AND DRUG AD-MINISTRATION, AND RELATED AGEN-CIES

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–330 and subsequently transferred to "Nutrition Initiatives" are transferred to the Agricultural Research Service.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

For an additional amount for salaries and expenses of the Food Safety and Inspection Service, \$9,082,000.

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for salaries and expenses of the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, \$5,000,000.

COMMODITY CREDIT CORPORATION FUND

#### FOOD FOR PROGRESS

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no funds of the Commodity Credit Corporation in excess of \$50,000,000 for fiscal year 1995 (exclusive of the cost of commodities in the fiscal year) may be used to carry out the Food for Progress Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1736o) with respect to commodities made available under section 416(b) of the Agricultural Act of 1949: Provided, That of this amount not more than \$20,000,000 may be used without regard to section 110(g) of the Food for Progress Act of 1985 (7 U.S.C. 1736o(g)). The additional costs resulting from this provision shall be financed from funds credited to the Corporation pursuant to section 426 of Public Law 103-465.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELEPHONE LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

The second paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103–330 (108 Stat. 2441) is amended by inserting before the period at the end, the following: ": Provided, That not withstanding section 305(d)(2) of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, borrower interest rates may exceed 7 per centum per year".

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM

The paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103–330 (108 Stat. 2441) is amended by inserting before the period at the end, the following: ": Provided further, That twenty per centum of any Commodity Supplemental Food Program funds carried over from fiscal year 1994 shall be available for administrative costs of the program".

# GENERAL PROVISION

Section 715 of Public Law 103–330 is amended by deleting "\$85,500,000" and by inserting "\$110,000,000". The additional costs resulting from this provision shall be financed from funds credited to the Commodity Credit Corporation pursuant to section 426 of Public Law 103–465.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–330, \$31,000 are rescinded: Provided, That none of the funds made available to the Department of Agriculture may be used to carry out activities under 7 U.S.C. 2257 without prior notification to the Committees on Appropriations.

ALTERNATIVE AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND COMMERCIALIZATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-330, \$1,500,000 are rescinded.

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-330 and other Acts, \$1,400,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That of balances available within this account, \$12,678,000 shall be available for a grant to Iowa State University for the construction of the National Swine Research Center.

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–330, \$1,051,000 are rescinded, including \$524,000 for contracts and grants for agricultural research under the Act of August 4, 1965, as amended (7 U.S.C. 4501(c)); and \$527,000 for necessary expenses of Cooperative State Research Service activities: Provided, That the amount of "\$9,917,000" available under this heading in Public Law 103–330 (108 Stat. 2441) for a program of capacity building grants to colleges eligible to receive funds under the Act of August 30, 1890, is amended to read "\$9,207,000".

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-330 and other Acts, \$2,184,000 are rescinded.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-330, \$2,000,000 are rescinded.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION AND FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-330, \$15,500,000 for the cost of section 515 rental housing loans are rescinded.

LOCAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING GRANTS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-330, \$1,750,000 are rescinded.

ALCOHOL FUELS CREDIT GUARANTEE PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 102-341, \$9,000,000 are rescinded.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELEPHONE LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-330, \$1,500,000 for the cost of 5 per centum rural telephone loans are rescinded.

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-111, \$20,000,000 are rescinded.

FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE

PUBLIC LAW 480 PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–330, \$40,000,000 for commodities supplied in connection with dispositions abroad, pursuant to title III of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended, are rescinded.

CHAPTER II

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RE-LATED AGENCIES

RELATED AGENCIES

NATIONAL BANKRUPTCY REVIEW COMMISSION

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For the National Bankruptcy Review Commission as authorized by Public Law 103-394, \$1,000,000 shall be made available until expended, to be derived by transfer from unobligated balances of the Working Capital Fund in the Department of Justice.

UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING OPERATIONS

For an additional amount for "International Broadcasting Operations", \$7,290,000, for transfer to the Board for International Broadcasting to remain available until expended.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

DRUG COURTS

(RECISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in title VIII of Public Law 103-317, \$22,100,000 are rescinded.

OUNCE OF PREVENTION COUNCIL

Under this heading in Public Law 103–317, after the word "grants", insert the following: "and administrative expenses". After the word "expended", insert the following: ": Provided, That the Council is authorized to accept, hold, administer, and use gifts, both real and personal, for the purpose of aiding or facilitating the work of the Council".

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the unobligated balances in the Working Capital Fund, \$5,500,000 are rescinded.

LEGAL ACTIVITIES

ASSETS FORFEITURE FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$1,000,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL PRISON SYSTEM

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–317, \$28,037,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL RESEARCH AND SERVICES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law  $103-317,\,\$17,000,000$  are rescinded.

INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY SERVICES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$16,300,000 are rescinded.

CONSTRUCTION OF RESEARCH FACILITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the unobligated balances available under this heading, \$30,000,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONS, RESEARCH AND FACILITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$24,200,000 are rescinded.

CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the unobligated balances available under this heading, \$15,000,000 are rescinded.

GOES SATELLITE CONTINGENCY FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the unobligated balances available under this heading, \$2,500,000 are rescinded.

TECHNOLOGY ADMINISTRATION

UNDER SECRETARY FOR TECHNOLOGY/OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY POLICY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–317, \$1,750,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE

NTIS REVOLVING FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, and from off-setting collections available in the revolving fund, \$1,000,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION

INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE GRANTS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$4,000,000 are rescinded.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

(RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Laws 103-75 and 102-368, \$5,250,000 are rescinded.

In addition, of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–317, \$25,000,000 are rescinded.

THE JUDICIARY

UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$1,000,000 are rescinded.

COURTS OF APPEALS, DISTRICT COURTS, AND OTHER JUDICIAL SERVICES

DEFENDER SERVICES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$9,500,000 are rescinded.

FEES OF JURORS AND COMMISSIONERS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

RELATED AGENCIES

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

BUSINESS LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–317, \$6,000,000 are rescinded: Provided, That funds appropriated for grants to the National Center for Genome Resources in Public Law 103–121 and Public Law 103–317 shall be available to provide consulting assistance, information, and related services, and shall be available for other purposes, notwithstanding the limitations in said public laws.

LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

PAYMENT TO THE LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

Public Law 104-6 is amended by adding after the word "rescinded" in the paragraph under the heading "Legal Services Corpora-tion, Payment to the Legal Services Cor-poration, (Rescission)" the following: ", of which \$4,802,000 are from funds made available for basic field programs; \$523,000 are from funds made available for Native American programs; \$1,071,000 are from funds made available for migrant programs; \$709,000 are from funds made available for law school clinics; \$31,000 are from funds made available for supplemental field programs; \$159,000 are from funds made available for regional training centers; \$2,691,000 are from funds made available for national support; \$2,212,000 are from funds made available for State support; \$785,000 are from funds made available for client initiatives; \$160,000 are from funds made available for the Clearinghouse; \$73,000 are from funds made available for computer assisted legal research regional centers; and \$1,784,000 are from funds made available for Corporation management and administration".

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ADMINISTRATION OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS

DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR PROGRAMS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$2,250,000 are rescinded.

ACQUISITION AND MAINTENANCE OF BUILDINGS ABROAD

(RESCISSION)

Of the unobligated balances available under this heading, \$30,000,000 are rescinded. INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND CONFERENCES

CONTRIBUTIONS FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACEKEEPING ACTIVITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law  $103-317,\,\$14,617,000$  are rescinded.

RELATED AGENCIES

ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT ACTIVITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–317, \$4,000,000 are rescinded, of which \$2,500,000 are from funds made available for activities related to the implementation of the Chemical Weapons Convention.

BOARD FOR INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING

ISRAEL RELAY STATION

(RESCISSION)

From unobligated balances available under this heading, \$2,000,000 are rescinded.

UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY

EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL EXCHANGE PROGRAMS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

RADIO CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading, \$16,000,000 are rescinded.

RADIO FREE ASIA

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-317, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

CHAPTER III

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CORPS OF ENGINEERS-CIVIL

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316 and prior years' Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts, \$10,000,000 are rescinded.

CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316 and prior years' Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts, \$60,000,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316, \$10,000,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316 and prior years' Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts, \$74,000,000 are rescinded.

ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

MATERIALS SUPPORT AND OTHER DEFENSE PROGRAMS

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316, and prior years' Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts, \$15,000,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316, \$20,000,000 are rescinded.

POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS

CONSTRUCTION, REHABILITATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316 and prior

years' Energy and Water Development Appropriations Acts, \$30,000,000 are rescinded.

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-316, \$10,000,000 are rescinded.

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law  $103-316,\ \$5,000,000$  are rescinded.

CHAPTER IV

FOREIGN OPERATIONS, EXPORT FINANCING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS

BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

DEBT RESTRUCTURING

DEBT RELIEF FOR JORDAN

For the cost, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended, of modifying direct loans to Jordan issued by the Export-Import Bank or by the Agency for International Development or by the Department of Defense, or for the cost of modifying: (1) concessional loans authorized under title I of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended, and (2) credits owed by Jordan to the Commodity Credit Corporation, as a result of the Corporation's status as a guarantor of credits in connection with export sales to Jordan; as authorized under subsection (a) under the heading, "Debt Relief for Jordan", in title VI of Public Law 103–306, \$275,000,000.

MULTILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND PROGRAMS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-306, \$15,000,000 are

BILATERAL ECONOMIC ASSISTANCE

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-306 and prior years' Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Acts, \$41,300,000 are rescinded.

POPULATION, DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-306 and prior years' Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Acts, \$19,000,000 are rescinded.

DEVELOPMENT FUND FOR AFRICA

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-306 and prior years' Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Acts, \$21,000,000 are rescinded.

DEBT RESTRUCTURING UNDER THE ENTERPRISE FOR THE AMERICAS INITIATIVE

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law  $102-391,\ \$2,400,000$  are rescinded.

ECONOMIC SUPPORT FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–87 and prior years' Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Acts (excluding funds earmarked or otherwise made available to the Camp David countries), \$25,000,000 are rescinded.

OPERATING EXPENSES OF THE AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-306 and prior years' Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Acts, \$2,000.000 are rescinded.

ASSISTANCE FOR THE NEW INDEPENDENT STATES OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–306 and prior years' Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Acts for programs or projects to or through the government of Russia, \$25,000,000 are rescinded.

MILITARY ASSISTANCE

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–306, \$3,000,000 are rescinded.

EXPORT ASSISTANCE

FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

TRADE AND DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-87 and Public Law 103-306 and prior years' Foreign Operations, Export Financing and Related Programs Appropriations Acts, \$4,000,000 are rescinded.

CHAPTER V

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT OF LANDS AND RESOURCES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$70,000 are rescinded, to be derived from amounts available for developing and finalizing Roswell Resource Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement and the Carlsbad Resource Management Plan Amendment/Environmental Impact Statement: *Provided*, That none of the funds made available in such Act or any other appropriations Act may be used for finalizing or implementing either such plan.

CONSTRUCTION AND ACCESS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, Public Law 103-138, and Public Law 103-381, \$900,000 are rescinded.

PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$2,500,000 are rescinded

LAND ACQUISITION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-381, Public Law 103-121, and Public Law 100-446, \$1,497,000 are rescinded.

UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading or the heading Construction and Anadromous Fish in Public Law 103–332, Public Law 103–211, Public Law 103–138, Public Law 103–75, Public Law 102–381, Public Law 102–154, Public Law 102–368, Public Law 101–512, Public Law 101–121, Public Law 100–446, and Public Law 100–202, \$12,415,000 are rescinded.

LAND ACQUISITION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under this heading in prior years, \$1,076,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL BIOLOGICAL SURVEY

RESEARCH, INVENTORIES, AND SURVEYS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, and Public Law 103–138, \$14,549,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332 and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under this heading in prior years, \$20,890,000 are rescinded.

URBAN PARK AND RECREATION FUND

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$7,480,000 are rescinded.

LAND ACQUISITION AND STATE ASSISTANCE

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332 and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under this heading in prior years, \$13,634,000 are rescinded

MINERALS MANAGEMENT SERVICE

ROYALTY AND OFFSHORE MINERALS MANAGEMENT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$514,000 are rescinded.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

OPERATION OF INDIAN PROGRAMS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$4,850,000 are rescinded: *Provided*. That the first provise under this heading in Public Law 103-332 is amended by striking "\$330,111,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "\$329,361,000".

CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332 and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under this heading in prior years, \$9,571,000 are rescinded.

INDIAN DIRECT LOAN PROGRAM ACCOUNT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$1,700,000 are rescinded

TERRITORIAL AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

ADMINISTRATION OF TERRITORIES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$1,938,000 are rescinded.

TRUST TERRITORY OF THE PACIFIC ISLANDS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 99-591, \$32,139,000 are rescinded.

COMPACT OF FREE ASSOCIATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$1,000,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

FOREST SERVICE

FOREST RESEARCH

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$6,000,000 are rescinded.

STATE AND PRIVATE FORESTRY

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332 and Public Law 103–138, \$7,800,000 are rescinded.

INTERNATIONAL FORESTRY

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$2,000,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$1,650,000, are rescinded

CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, Public Law 103–138 and Public Law 103–381, \$6,072,000 are rescinded: *Provided*, That the first proviso under this heading in Public Law 103–332 is amended by striking "1994" and inserting in lieu thereof "1995".

LAND ACQUISITION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, Public Law 103–138 and Public Law 102–381, \$1,429,000 are rescinded: Provided, That the Chief of the Forest Service shall not initiate any new purchases of private land in Washington County, Ohio and Lawrence County, Ohio during fiscal year 1995.

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

FOSSIL ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$18,100,000 are rescinded.

ENERGY CONSERVATION

(RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$35,928,000 are rescinded and of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–138 \$13,700,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

INDIAN EDUCATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$2,000,000 are rescinded.

OTHER RELATED AGENCIES

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENTS, NATIONAL ZOOLOGICAL PARK

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 102–381 and Public Law 103–138, \$1,000,000 are rescinded.

CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 102–154, Public Law 102–381, Public Law 102–338, and Public Law 103–332, \$11,512,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

REPAIR, RESTORATION AND RENOVATION OF BUILDINGS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$407,000 are rescinded.

JOHN F. KENNEDY CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS

CONSTRUCTION

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$3,000,000 are rescinded.

WOODROW WILSON INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR SCHOLARS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$1,000,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL EDUCATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE ARTS

GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103-332, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL ENDOWMENT FOR THE HUMANITIES

GRANTS AND ADMINISTRATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading in Public Law 103–332, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 501. No funds made available in any appropriations Act may be used by the Department of the Interior, including but not limited to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Biological Service, to search for the Alabama sturgeon in the Alabama River, the Cahaba River, the Tombigbee River or the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway in Alabama or Mississippi.

SEC. 502. (a) No funds available to the Forest Service may be used to implement Habitat Conservation Areas in the Tongass National Forest for species which have not been declared threatened or endangered pursuant to the Endangered Species Act, except that with respect to goshawks the Forest Service may impose interim Goshawk Habitat Conservation Areas not to exceed 300 acres per active nest consistent with the guidelines utilized in national forests in the continental United States.

(b) The Secretary shall notify Congress within 30 days of any timber sales which may be delayed or canceled due to the Goshawk Habitat Conservation Areas described in subsection (a).

SEC. 503. (a) As provided in subsection (b), an environmental impact statement prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of a subsistence evaluation prepared pursuant to the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act for a timber sale or offering to one party shall be deemed sufficient if the Forest Service sells the timber to an alternate buyer.

(b) The provision of this section shall apply to the timber specified in the Final Supplement to 1981-86 and 1986-90 Operating Period EIS ("1989 SEIS"), November 1989; in the

North and East Kuiu Final Environmental Impact Statement, January 1993; in the Southeast Chichagof Project Area Final Environmental Impact Statement, September 1992; and in the Kelp Bay Environmental Impact Statement, February 1992, and supplemental evaluations related thereto.

SEC. 504. (a) SCHEDULE FOR NEPA COMPLIANCE.—Each National Forest System unit shall establish and adhere to a schedule for the completion of National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) analysis and decisions on all allotments within the National Forest System unit for which NEPA analysis is needed. The schedule shall provide that not more than 20 percent of the allotments shall undergo NEPA analysis and decisions through fiscal year 1996.

(b) REISSUANCE PENDING NEPA COMPLIANCE.—Notwithstanding any other law, term grazing permits which expire or are waived before the NEPA analysis and decision pursuant to the schedule developed by individual Forest Service System units, shall be issued on the same terms and conditions and for the full term of the expired or waived permit. Upon completion of the scheduled NEPA analysis and decision for the allotment, the terms and conditions of existing grazing permits may be modified or reissued, if necessary to conform to such NEPA analysis.

(c) EXPIRED PERMITS.—This section shall only apply if a new term grazing permit has not been issued to replace an expired or waived term grazing permit solely because the analysis required by NEPA and other applicable laws has not been completed and also shall include permits that expired or were waived in 1994 and 1995 before the date of enactment of this Act.

# CHAPTER VI

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION

TRAINING AND EMPLOYMENT SERVICES

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$1,399,115,000 are rescinded, including \$10,000,000 for necessary expenses of construction, rehabilitation, and acquisition of new Job Corps centers, \$12,500,000 for the School-to-Work Opportunities Act, \$4,293,000 for section 401 of the Job Training Partnership Act, \$5,743,000 for section 402 of such Act, \$3,861,000 for servdelivery areas under section 101(a)(4)(A)(iii) of such Act, \$98,000,000 for carrying out title II, part A of such Act, \$272,010,000 for carrying out title II, part C of such Act, \$2,223,000 for the National Commission for Employment Policy and \$500,000 for the National Occupational Information Coordinating Committee: Provided, That service delivery areas may transfer up to 50 percent of the amounts allocated for program years 1994 and 1995 between the title II-B and title II-C programs authorized by the Job Training Partnership Act, if such transfers are approved by the Governor.

COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT FOR OLDER AMERICANS

#### (RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available in the first paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$11,263,000 are rescinded.

Of the funds made available in the second paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$3,177,000 are rescinded.

STATE UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE AND EMPLOYMENT SERVICE OPERATIONS

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$20,000,000 are rescinded, and amounts which may be expended from the Employment Security Administration account in the Unemployment Trust Fund are reduced from \$3,269,097,000 to \$3,201,397,000.

BUREAU OF LABOR STATISTICS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$700,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

HEALTH RESOURCES AND SERVICES

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$41,350,000 are rescinded.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

DISEASE CONTROL, RESEARCH, AND TRAINING

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$2,300,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

NATIONAL CENTER FOR RESEARCH RESOURCES

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333 for extramural facilities construction grants, \$10,000,000 are rescinded.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

# (RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$60,000,000 are rescinded.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HEALTH

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HEALTH

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$1,400,000 are rescinded.

AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE POLICY AND RESEARCH

HEALTH CARE POLICY AND RESEARCH

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the Federal funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$3,132,000 are rescinded.

HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION

#### PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

#### (RESCISSION)

Funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333 are reduced from \$2,207,135,000 to \$2,187,435,000, and funds transferred to this account as authorized by section 201(g) of the Social Security Act are reduced to the same amount.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

JOB OPPORTUNITIES AND BASIC SKILLS

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, there is rescinded an amount equal to the total of the funds within each State's limitation for fiscal year 1995 that are not necessary to pay such State's allowable claims for such fiscal year.

Section 403(k)(3)(E) of the Social Security Act (as amended by Public Law 100-485) is amended by adding before the "and": "reduced by an amount equal to the total of those funds that are within each State's limitation for fiscal year 1995 that are not necessary to pay such State's allowable claims for such fiscal year (except that such amount for such year shall be deemed to be \$1,300,000,000 for the purpose of determining the amount of the payment under subsection (1) to which each State is entitled),".

LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available in the third paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$319,204,000 are rescinded: Provided, That of the funds made available in the fourth paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$300,000,000 shall remain available until September 30, 1996.

STATE LEGALIZATION IMPACT-ASSISTANCE GRANTS

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available in the second paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$2,000,000 are rescinded.

COMMUNITY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

#### (RESCISSIONS)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law  $103-333,\ \$13,387,000$  are rescinded.

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333 and reserved by the Secretary pursuant to section 674(a)(1) of the Community Services Block Grant Act, \$1,900,000 are rescinded.

CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$8,400,000 are rescinded.

CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SERVICES PROGRAMS

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333 to be derived from the Violent Crime Reduction Trust Fund, \$25,900,000 are rescinded for carrying out the Community Schools Youth Services and Supervision Grant Program Act of 1994.

ADMINISTRATION ON AGING

## AGING SERVICES PROGRAMS

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$899,000 are rescinded.

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

### POLICY RESEARCH

## (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$4,018,000 are rescinded.

# DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### EDUCATION REFORM

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$104,030,000 are rescinded, including \$70,000,000 from funds made available for State and local education systemic improvement, and \$21,530,000 from funds made available for Federal activities under the Goals 2000: Educate America Act; and \$12,500,000 from funds made available under the School-to-Work Opportunities Act, including \$9,375,000 for National programs and \$3,125,000 for State grants and local partnerships.

EDUCATION FOR THE DISADVANTAGED

## (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$4,606,000 are rescinded from part E, section 1501 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS

## (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$402,940,000 are

rescinded as follows: from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, title II-B, 869,000,000, title IV, \$235,981,000, title V-C, \$16,000,000, title IX-B, \$3,000,000, title X-D, \$1,500,000, title X-G, \$1,185,000, section 10602, \$1,399,000, title XII, \$35,000,000, and title XIII-A, \$14,900,000; from the Higher Education Act, section 596, \$13,875,000; and from funds derived from the Violent Crime Reduction Trust Fund, \$11,100,000.

BILINGUAL AND IMMIGRANT EDUCATION

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$38,500,000 are rescinded from funding for title VII-A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

VOCATIONAL AND ADULT EDUCATION

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$90,607,000 are rescinded as follows: from the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act, title III-A, and III-B, \$43,888,000 and from title IV-A, IV-B and IV-C, \$23,434,000; from the Adult Education Act, part B-7, \$7,787,000 and part C, section 371, \$6,000,000; and from the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act, \$9,498,000.

STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$85,000,000 are rescinded from funding for the Higher Education Act, title IV, including \$65,000,000 from part A-1 and \$20,000,000 from part H-1: Provided, That of the funds remaining under this heading from Public Law 103–333, \$6,178,680,000 shall be for part A-1.

# HIGHER EDUCATION

## (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$54,672,000 are rescinded as follows: from amounts available for Public Law 99-498, \$500,000; the Higher Education Act, title IV-A, chapter 5, \$496,000, title IV-A-2, chapter 1, \$11,200,000, title V-C, subparts 1 and 3, \$16,175,000, title IX-B, \$10,100,000, title IX-C, \$942,000, title IX-E, \$3,520,000, title IX-G, \$1,698,000, title X-D, \$2,920,000, and title XI-A, \$3,000,000; Public Law 102-325, \$1,000,000; and the Excellence in Mathematics, Science, and Engineering Education Act of 1990, \$3,121,000: Provided, That in carrying out title IX-B, the remaining appropriations shall not be available for awards for doctoral study: Provided further, That the funds remaining for Public Law 99-498 shall be available only for native Alaskans.

## HOWARD UNIVERSITY

### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$1,800,000 are rescinded.

COLLEGE HOUSING AND ACADEMIC FACILITIES LOANS PROGRAM

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333 for the costs of

direct loans, as authorized under part C of title VII of the Higher Education Act, as amended, \$168,000 are rescinded, and the authority to subsidize gross loan obligations is repealed. In addition, \$264,000 appropriated for administrative expenses are rescinded.

EDUCATION RESEARCH, STATISTICS, AND  $\begin{array}{c} \text{IMPROVEMENT} \end{array}$ 

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$30,925,000 are rescinded as follows: from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, title III-A, \$17,500,000, title III-B, \$5,000,000, title III-B, \$1,125,000, title X-B, \$4,600,000 and title XIII-B, \$2,700,000: Provided, That of the amount made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, for title III-B, \$8,000,000 shall be reserved for additional projects that competed in the most recent competition for state-wide fiber-optics projects.

#### RELATED AGENCIES

CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–112, \$37,000,000 are rescinded. Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–333, \$55,000,000 are rescinded.

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

DUAL BENEFITS PAYMENTS ACCOUNT

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-333, \$7,000,000 are rescinded.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

FEDERAL DIRECT STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM SEC. 601. Section 458(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087h(a)) is amended—

- (1) by striking ''\$345,000,000'' and inserting ''\$284,000,000''; and
- (2) by striking "\$2,500,000,000" and inserting "\$2,439,000,000".

SEC. 602. None of the funds made available in any appropriations Act for fiscal year 1995 may be used by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration to promulgate or issue any proposed or final standard or guideline regarding ergonomic protection. Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the Occupational Safety and Health Administration from conducting any peer-reviewed risk assessment activity regarding ergonomics, including conducting peer reviews of the scientific basis for establishing any standard or guideline, direct or contracted research, or other activity necessary to fully establish the scientific basis for promulgating any standard or guideline or ergonomic protection.

## CHAPTER VII

# LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PAYMENTS TO WIDOWS AND HEIRS OF DECEASED MEMBERS OF CONGRESS

For payments to the family trust of Dean A. Gallo, late a Representative from the State of New Jersey, \$133,600.

JOINT ITEMS

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$460,000 are rescinded.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON PRINTING

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–283, \$238,137 are rescinded.

OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law  $103-283,\,\$650,000$  are rescinded.

CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–283, \$187,000 are rescinded.

ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL

CAPITOL BUILDING AND GROUNDS

SENATE OFFICE BUILDINGS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$850,000 are rescinded.

CAPITOL POWER PLANT

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$1,650,000 are rescinded.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

SEC. 701. Section 319 of the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act, 1990 (40 U.S.C. 162-1) is amended—

(1) by striking out "Office" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "office";

(2) in the second sentence of subsection (a)(2), by striking out "Commission" and inserting in lieu thereof "commission"; and

(3) in subparagraph (D) of paragraph (2) of subsection (a), by striking out "Administration" and all that follows through the end of the subparagraph, and inserting in lieu thereof "Oversight of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Rules and Administration of the Senate, the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate."

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

CONGRESSIONAL PRINTING AND BINDING

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$5,000,000 are rescinded.

OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$600,000 are rescinded.

BOTANIC GARDEN

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Of the funds made available until expended by transfer under this heading in Public Law 103–283, \$4,000,000 are rescinded.

Of the funds made available until expended by transfer under this heading in Public Law 103–283, \$3,000,000 shall be transferred to the appropriation "Architect of the Capitol, Capitol Buildings and Grounds, Capitol Complex Security Enhancements", and shall remain available until expended.

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$150,000 are rescinded.

BOOKS FOR THE BLIND AND PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$100,000 are rescinded.

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-283, \$2,617,000 are rescinded.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION

SEC. 702. The General Accounting Office may for such employees as it deems appropriate authorize a payment to employees who voluntarily separate before October 1, 1995, whether by retirement or resignation, which payment shall be paid in accordance with the provisions of section 5597(d) of title 5, United States Code.

CHAPTER VIII

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND RELATED AGENCIES

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

WORKING CAPITAL FUND

(RESCISSION)

The obligation authority under this heading in Public Law 103–331 is hereby reduced by \$6,000,000.

PAYMENTS TO AIR CARRIERS

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

Of the funds made available under this heading, \$5,300,000 are rescinded: Provided, That the Secretary shall not enter into any contracts for "Small Community Air Service" beyond September 30, 1995, which require compensation fixed and determined under subchapter II of chapter 417 of Title 49, United States Code (49 U.S.C. 41731-42) payable by the Department of Transportation.

COAST GUARD

OPERATING EXPENSES

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts provided under this heading in Public Law 103-331, \$,300,000 are rescinded.

Acquisition, Construction, and Improvements

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$35,314,000 are rescinded.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE AND RESTORATION

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$2,500,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATIONS

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$1,000,000 are rescinded.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$24,850,000 are rescinded.

RESEARCH ENGINEERING, AND DEVELOPMENT

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$7,500,000 are rescinded.

GRANTS-IN-AID FOR AIRPORTS

(AIRPORT AND AIRWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

Of the available contract authority balances under this account, \$2,094,000,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

LIMITATION ON GENERAL OPERATING EXPENSES

(RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

The obligation limitation under this heading in Public Law 103–331 is hereby reduced by \$54,550,000.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSIONS OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

The obligation limitation under this heading in Public Law 103–331 is hereby reduced by \$132,190,000, of which \$27,640,000 shall be deducted from amounts made available for the Applied Research and Technology Program authorized under section 307(e) of title 23, United States Code, and \$50,000,000 shall be deducted from the amounts available for the Congestion Pricing Pilot Program authorized under section 1002(b) of Public Law 102–240, and \$54,550,000 shall be deducted from the limitation on General Operating Expenses: Provided, That the amounts deducted from the aforementioned programs are rescinded.

FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS

EMERGENCY RELIEF PROGRAM

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION)

Of the amounts provided under this heading in Public Law 103–211, \$100,000,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Section 341 of Public Law 103–331 is amended by deleting "and received from the Delaware and Hudson Railroad," after "amended.".

NORTHEAST CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$9,707,000 are rescinded.

NATIONAL MAGNETIC LEVITATION PROTOTYPE
DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

Of the available balances of contract authority under this heading, \$250,000,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

TRANSIT PLANNING AND RESEARCH

(RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading, \$7,000,000 are rescinded.

DISCRETIONARY GRANTS

(LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

(RESCISSIONS OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

Notwithstanding section 313 of Public Law 103–331, the obligation limitations under this heading in the following Department of Transportation and Related Agencies Appropriations Acts are reduced by the following amounts:

Public Law 102-143, \$31,681,500, to be distributed as follows:

- (a) \$1,281,500 is rescinded from amounts made available for replacement, rehabilitation, and purchase of buses and related equipment and the construction of bus-related facilities: Provided, That the foregoing reduction shall be distributed according to the reductions identified in Senate Report 104-17, for which the obligation limitation in Public Law 102-143 was applied; and
- (b) \$30,400,000 is rescinded from accounts made available for new fixed guideway systems, to be distributed as follows:

\$1,000,000, Cleveland Dual Hub Corridor Project;

\$465,000, Kansas City-South LRT Project; \$950,000, San Diego Mid-Coast Extension Project;

\$17,100,000, Hawthorne-Warwick Commuter Rail Project;

\$375,000 New York Staten Island Midtown Ferry Project;

\$4,000,000, San Jose-Gilory Commuter Rail Project;

\$1,620,000, Seattle—Tacoma Commuter Rail Project; and

\$4,890,000, Detroit LRT Project.

Public Law 101-516, \$2,230,000, to be distributed as follows:

(a) \$2,230,000 is rescinded from amounts made available for new fixed guideway systems, for the Cleveland Dual Hub Corridor Project.

MASS TRANSIT CAPITAL FUND

(LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORIZATION)

(HIGHWAY TRUST FUND)

For an additional amount for liquidation of obligations incurred in carrying out 49 U.S.C. 5338(b), \$350,000,000, to be derived from the Highway Trust Fund and to remain available until expended.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

(INCLUDING RESCISSIONS)

SEC. 801. Of the funds provided in Public Law 103–331 for the Department of Transportation working capital fund (WCF), \$6,000,000 are rescinded, which limits fiscal year 1995 WCF obligational authority for elements of the Department of Transportation funded in Public Law 103–331 to no more than \$87,000,000.

SEC. 802. Of the total budgetary resources available to the Department of Transportation (excluding the Maritime Administration) during fiscal year 1995 for civilian and military compensation and benefits and other administrative expenses, \$15,000,000 are permanently canceled.

SEC. 803. Section 326 of Public Law 103–122 is hereby amended to delete the words "no previous Acts" each time they appear in that section.

CHAPTER IX

TREASURY, POSTAL SERVICE, AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Of the funds made available for the Federal Buildings Fund in Public Law 103–329, \$5,000,000 shall be made available by the General Services Administration to implement an agreement between the Food and Drug Administration and another entity for space, equipment and facilities related to seafood research.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

GOVERNMENT PAYMENT FOR ANNUITANTS, EMPLOYEE LIFE INSURANCE BENEFITS

For an additional amount for "Government payment for annuitants, employee life insurance," \$9,000,000 to remain available until expended.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

DEPARTMENTAL OFFICES

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

In the paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103–329, delete "of which not less than \$6,443,000 and 85 full-time equivalent positions shall be available for enforcement activities;".

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–329, \$100,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for "Salaries and expenses", \$11,000,000, to remain available until September 30, 1996.

In the paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103-329, delete "first-aid and emergency" and insert "short-term" before "medical services".

ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENTS, AND RELATED EXPENSES

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available for construction at the Davis-Monthan Training Center under Public Law 103-123, \$5,000,000 are rescinded. Of the funds made available for construction at the Davis-Monthan Training Center under Public Law 103-329, \$6,000,000 are rescinded: Provided, That \$1,000,000 of the remaining funds made available under Public Law 103-123 shall be used to initiate design and construction of a Burn Building at the Training Center in Glynco, Georgia.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT SERVICE

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-329, \$160,000 are rescinded.

BUREAU OF THE PUBLIC DEBT

ADMINISTERING THE PUBLIC DEBT

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-123, \$1,500,000 are rescinded.

UNITED STATES MINT

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

In the paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103-329, insert "not to exceed" after "of which".

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

# INFORMATION SYSTEMS

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-329, \$1,490,000 are rescinded.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISION—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

In the paragraph under this heading in Public Law 103-329, in section 3, after "\$119,000,000", insert "annually".

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT AND FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PRESIDENT

THE WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-329, \$171,000 are rescinded.

FEDERAL DRUG CONTROL PROGRAMS

SPECIAL FORFEITURE FUND

(INCLUDING RESCISSION AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

For activities authorized by Public Law 100-690, an additional amount of \$13,200,000,

to remain available until expended for transfer to the United States Customs Service, "Salaries and expenses" for carrying out border enforcement activities: Provided, That of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-329, \$13,200,000 are rescinded.

#### INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND

LIMITATIONS ON THE AVAILABILITY OF REVENUE

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Laws 101-136, 101-509, 102-27, 102–141, 102–393, 103–123, 103–329, \$580,412,000 are rescinded from the following projects in the following amounts:

Arizona:

Bullhead City, a grant to the Federal Aviation Administration for a runway protection zone, \$2,200,000

Lukeville, commercial lot expansion, \$1.219.000

Nogales, U.S. Border Patrol Sector, headquarters, \$2,000,000

Phoenix, U.S. Courthouse, \$12,137,000

San Luis, primary lane expansion and administrative office space, \$3,496,000

Sierra Vista, U.S. Magistrates office, \$1,000,000

California:

Menlo Park, United States Geological Survey, Office laboratory building, \$790,000

San Francisco, Federal Office Building, \$9,701.000

District of Columbia:

Central and West heating plants, \$5,000,000 Corps of Engineers, headquarters, \$37,618,000

General Services Administration, Southeast Federal Center, headquarters, \$25,000,000 U.S. Secret Service, headquarters,

\$9,316,000 Florida:

Tampa, U.S. Courthouse, \$5,994,000

Georgia:

Albany, U.S. Courthouse, \$87,000

Atlanta, Centers for Disease Control, site acquisition and improvement, \$25,890,000

Atlanta, Centers for Disease Control, \$24,110,000

Hawaii:

University of Hawaii-Hilo, Consolidation, \$12,000,000

Illinois:

Chicago, Social Security Administration District Office, \$2,130,000

Chicago, Federal Center, \$29,753,000

Chicago, John C. Kluczynski, Jr., Federal building, \$13,414,000

Maryland:

Avondale, De LaSalle building, \$16,671,000 Montgomery County, FDA consolidation, \$228,000,000

Woodlawn, SSA East High-Low building, \$17,292,000

Massachusetts:

Boston, Federal building-U.S. Courthouse, \$4,076,000 Nevada:

Reno, Federal building-U.S. Courthouse,

\$1,465,000 New Hampshire:

Concord, Federal building-U.S. Courthouse,

New Jersev: Newark, parking facility, \$8,500,000

New Mexico:

Santa Teresa, Border Station, \$4,004,000 North Dakota:

Fargo, Federal building-U.S. Courthouse, \$1,371,000

Ohio: Steubenville, U.S. Courthouse, \$2,820,000

Oregon:

Portland, U.S. Courthouse, \$5,000,000

Pennsylvania:

Philadelphia, Veterans Administration, \$1,276,000

Texas:

Ysleta, site acquisition and construction, \$1,727,000

United States Virgin Islands: Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, U.S. Courthouse Annex, \$2,184,000

Washington:

Seattle, U.S. Courthouse, \$10,949,000 Walla Walla, Corps of Engineers building, \$2,800,000

West Virginia:

Wheeling, Federal building and U.S. Courthouse, \$28,303,000

Nationwide:

Chlorofluorocarbons program, \$12,300,000 Energy program, \$15,300,000

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-329, \$1,396,000 are rescinded.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

# SALARIES AND EXPENSES

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-329, \$3,140,000 are rescinded.

# GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 901. Section 5545a of title 5, United States Code, is amended-

(1) in subsection (a)(2)—

(A) in the matter before subparagraph (A) by striking "is required to" and inserting in lieu thereof "who is required to"; and

(B) by inserting "and" immediately after subparagraph (E)(v); and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

(j) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, any Office of Inspector General which employs fewer than 5 criminal investigators may elect not to cover such criminal investigators under this section.'

SEC. 902. (a) Section 5545a of title 5, United States Code is amended by inserting at the appropriate place the following new sub-

"(i) The provisions of subsections (a)-(h) providing for availability pay shall apply to a pilot employed by the United States Customs Service who is a law enforcement officer as defined under section 5541(3). For the purposes of this section, section 5542(d) of this title, and section 13(a) (16) and (b) (30) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (29 U.S.C. 213 (a) (16) (b) (30)), such pilot shall be deemed to be a criminal investigator as defined in this section. The Office of Personnel Management may prescribe regulations to carry out this subsection.'

(b) The amendment made by subsection (a) of this section shall take effect on the first day of the first applicable pay period which begins on or after the 30th day following the

date of enactment of this Act. SEC. 903. Section 528 of Public Law 103-329 is amended by adding at the end a new proviso: "Provided further, That the amount set forth therefor in the budget estimates may be exceeded by no more than 5 percent in the event of emergency requirements.".

CHAPTER X

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOP-MENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

DISASTER RELIEF

For an additional amount for "Disaster Relief" for necessary expenses in carrying out the functions of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), \$3,350,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

DISASTER RELIEF EMERGENCY CONTINGENCY FUND

For necessary expenses in carrying out the functions of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.), \$3,350,000,000, to become available on October 1, 1995, and remain available until expended: Provided, That such amount shall be available only to the extent that an official budget request for a specific dollar amount, that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted by the President to Congress: Provided further, That such amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE FUND

(TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

Of the funds available from the National Flood Insurance Fund for activities under the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994, an additional amount not to exceed \$331,000 shall be transferred as needed to the "Salaries and expenses" appropriation for flood mitigation and flood insurance operations, and an additional amount not to exceed \$5,000,000 shall be transferred as needed to the "Emergency management planning and assistance" appropriation for flood mitigation expenses pursuant to the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994.

CORPORATIONS

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

### BANK ENTERPRISE ACT

For an additional amount for eligible activities authorized under the bank Enterprise Act of 1991 (as enacted as subtitle C of title II of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (Public Law 102–242)), \$36,000,000, to remain available until expended. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for purposes of administering the requirements of the Bank Enterprise Act, the Chairman of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation shall have all powers and rights of the Community Enterprise Assessment Credit Board under section 233 of the Bank Enterprise Act of 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

MEDICAL CARE

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–327, \$50,000,000 are rescinded: Provided, That section 509 of the general provisions carried in title V of Public Law 103–327 regarding personnel compensation and benefits expenditures shall not apply to the funds provided under this heading in such Act.

DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

CONSTRUCTION, MAJOR PROJECTS

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327 and prior years, \$31,000,000 are rescinded.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

HOUSING PROGRAMS

NATIONAL HOMEOWNERSHIP TRUST DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM

(RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$50,000,000 are rescinded.

ANNUAL CONTRIBUTIONS FOR ASSISTED HOUSING

## (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327 and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated this heading in prior \$5,031,400,000 are rescinded: Provided, That of the total rescinded under this heading, \$700,600,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for development or acquisition costs of public housing (including \$80,000,000 of funds for public housing for Indian families), except that such rescission shall not apply to funds for priority replacement housing for units demolished or disposed of (including units to be disposed of pursuant to a homeownership program under section 5(h) or title III of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended (hereinafter referred to as "the Act")) from the existing public housing inventory, as determined by the Secretary, or to funds related to litigation settlements or court orders, and the Secretary shall not be required to make any remaining funds available pursuant to section 213(d)((1)(A) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 and notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may recapture unobligated funds for development or acquisition costs of public housing (including public housing for Indians) irrespective of the length of time funds have been reserved or of any time extension previously granted by the Secretary; \$1,956,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for new incremental rental subsidy contracts under the section 8 existing housing certificate program (42 U.S.C. 1437(f) and the housing voucher program under section 8(o) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o)), excluding \$300,000,000 previously made available for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI), and

the remaining authority for such purposes shall be only for units necessary to provide housing assistance for residents to be relocated from existing Federally subsidized or assisted housing, for replacement housing for units demolished or disposed of (including units to be disposed of pursuant to a homeownership program under section 5(h) or title III of the United States Housing Act of 1937) from the public housing inventory, for funds related to litigation settlements or court orders, for amendments to contracts to permit continued assistance to participating families, or to enable public housing authorities to implement "mixed population" plans for developments housing primarily elderly residents; \$815,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for the modernization of existing public housing projects pursuant to section 14 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, and the Secretary shall take actions necessary to assure that such rescission is distributed among public housing authorities, as if such rescission occurred prior to the commencement of the fiscal year; \$22,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for special purpose grants; \$148,300,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for loan management set-asides; \$15,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for the family unification program; \$30,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for the housing opportunities for persons with AIDS program; \$34,200,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for lease adjustments; \$39,000,000 shall be from amounts previously made available under this head in Public Law 103-327, and previous Acts, which are recaptured (in addition to other sums which are, or may be recaptured); \$70,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for section 8 counseling; \$50,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for service coordinators; \$66,000,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for family investment centers; \$85,300,000 shall be from amounts earmarked for the lead-based paint hazard reduction program; and \$1,000,000,000 shall be from funds available for all new incremental units [including funds previously reserved or obligated and recaptured for the development or acquisition costs of public housing (including public housing for Indian families), incremental rental subsidy contracts under the section 8 existing housing certificate program (42 U.S.C. 1437f), and the housing voucher program under section 8(o) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o))] and non-incremental, unreserved balances: Provided further, That the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of the Congress a detailed operating plan of proposed funding levels for activities under this account within 30 days of enactment of this Act, and such funding levels shall not be subject to pre-existing earmarks or set-asides, notwithstanding any other provision of law.

### (DEFERRAL)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327 and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under this heading in prior years, \$405,900,000 of amounts earmarked for the preservation of low-income housing programs (excluding \$17,000,000 previously earmarked, plus an additional \$5,000,000, for preservation technical assistance grant funds pursuant to section 253 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, as amended) shall not become available for obligation until September 30, 1995: Provided, That, notwithstanding any other provision of law, pending the availability of such funds, the Department of Housing and Urban Development may suspend further processing of applicaASSISTANCE FOR THE RENEWAL OF EXPIRING SECTION 8 SUBSIDY CONTRACTS

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, and in prior years, \$1,177,000,000 are rescinded: Provided, That renewals of expiring section 8 contracts with funds provided under this heading in Public Law 103-327, and in prior years, may be for a term of two years. In renewing an annual contributions contract with a public housing agency administering the tenantbased existing housing certificate program (42 U.S.C. 1437f) or the housing voucher program under section 8(o) (42 U.S.C. 1437f(o)) of the United States Housing Act of 1937, as amended, the Secretary shall take into account the amount in the project reserve under the contract being renewed in determining the amount of budget authority to obligate under the renewed contract (the total amount available in all such project reserves is estimated to be \$427,000,000) and the Secretary may determine not to apply section 8(o)(6)(B) of the Act to renewals of housing vouchers during the remainder of fiscal year 1995.

YOUTHBUILD PROGRAM

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$10,000,000 are rescinded.

HOUSING COUNSELING ASSISTANCE

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$38,000,000 are rescinded.

FLEXIBLE SUBSIDY FUND

## (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327 and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under this heading in prior years, and excess rental changes, collections and other amount in the fund, \$8,000,000 are rescinded.

NEHEMIAH HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FUND

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds transferred to this revolving fund in prior years, \$10,500,000\$ are rescinded.HOMELESS ASSISTANCE

HOMELESS ASSISTANCE GRANTS

# (DEFERRAL)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$297,000,000 shall not become available for obligation until September 30, 1995.

### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 1001. (a) Section 14 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 is amended by adding at the end the following new sub-

"(q)(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a public housing agency may use modernization assistance provided under section 14 for any eligible activity related to public housing which is currently authorized by this Act or applicable appropriations Acts

for a public housing agency, including the demolition of existing units, for replacement housing, modernization activities related to the public housing portion of housing developments held in partnership, or cooperation with non-public housing entities, and for temporary relocation assistance, provided that the assistance provided to the public housing agency under section 14 is principally used for the physical improvement or replacement of public housing and for associated management improvements, except as otherwise approved by the Secretary, and provided the public housing agency consults with the appropriate local government offi-cials (or Indian tribal officials) and with tenants of the public housing developments. The public housing agency shall establish procedures for consultation with local government officials and tenants, and shall follow applicable regulatory procedures as determined by the Secretary.

(2) The authorization provided under this subsection shall not extend to the use of public housing modernization assistance for public housing operating assistance.'

(b) Subsection (a) shall be effective for assistance appropriated on or before the effective date of this Act.

SEC. 1002. (a) Section 18 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 is amended by—
(1) inserting "and" at the end of subsection

(b)(1);

- (2) striking all that follows after "Act" in subsection (b)(2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following: ", and the public housing agency provides for the payment of the relocation expenses of each tenant to be displaced, ensures that the rent paid by the tenant following relocation will not exceed the amount permitted under this Act and shall not commence demolition or disposition of any unit until the tenant of the unit is relocated."
  - (3) striking subsection (b)(3);
  - (4) striking "(1)" in subsection (c);
  - (5) striking subsection (c)(2);
- (6) inserting before the period at the end of subsection (d) the following: ", provided that nothing in this section shall prevent a public housing agency from consolidating occupancy within or among buildings of a public housing project, or among projects, or with other housing for the purpose of improving the living conditions of or providing more efficient services to its tenants"
- (7) striking "under section (b)(3)(A)" in each place it occurs in subsection (e);
- (8) redesignating existing subsection (f) as subsection (g); and
- (9) inserting a new subsection (f) as follows:
- "(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, replacement housing units for public housing units demolished may be build on the original public housing site or in the same neighborhood if the number of such replacement units is significantly fewer than the number of units demolished.
- (b) Section 304(g) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 is hereby repealed.
- (c) Section 5(h) of the United States Housing Act of 1937 is amended by striking the last sentence.
- (d) Subsections (a), (b), and (c) shall be effective for plans for the demolition, disposition or conversion to homeownership of public housing approved by the Secretary on or before September 30, 1995, provided that no application for replacement housing submitted by a public housing agency to implement a final order of a court issued, or a settlement approved by a court, before enactment of this Act, shall be affected by such amendments.

SEC. 1003. Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 is amended by adding the following new subsection:

"(z) TERMINATION OF SECTION 8 CONTRACTS AND REUSE OF RECAPTURED BUDGET AUTHOR-

"(1) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—The Secretary may reuse any budget authority, in whole or part, that is recaptured on account of termination of a housing assistance payments contract (other than a contract for tenant-based assistance) only for one or more of the following:

"(A) TENANT-BASED ASSISTANCE.—Pursuant to a contract with a public housing agency, to provide tenant-based assistance under this section to families occupying units formerly assisted under the terminated contract.

(B) PROJECT-BASED ASSISTANCE.—Pursuant to a contract with an owner, to attach assistance to one or more structures under this section, for relocation of families occupying units formerly assisted under the terminated contract.

(2) Families occupying units formerly ASSISTED UNDER TERMINATED CONTRACT.-Pursuant to paragraph (1), the Secretary shall first make available tenant- or projectbased assistance to families occupying units formerly assisted under the terminated contract. The Secretary shall provide projectbased assistance in instances only where the use of tenant-based assistance is determined to be infeasible by the Secretary.

"(3) Effective date.—This subsection shall be effective for actions initiated by the Secretary on or before September 30, 1995.".

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$500,000 are rescinded.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS FUND

PROGRAM ACCOUNT

## (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$124,000,000 are rescinded.

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAMS OPERATING EXPENSES

# (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$210,000,000 are rescinded: Provided, That none of the funds remaining for obligation during fiscal year 1995 may be used for national awards to Federal agencies

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$14,635,000 are

ABATEMENT, CONTROL, AND COMPLIANCE

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–327, \$9,806,805 are rescinded: *Provided*, That notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Environmental Protection Agency shall not be required to site a computer to support the regional acid deposition monitoring program in the Bay City, Michigan, vicinity.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 102-389 and Public Law 102-139 for the Center for Ecology Research and Training, \$83,000,000 are rescinded.

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE SUPERFUND

### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$100,000,000 are rescinded.

WATER INFRASTRUCTURE/STATE REVOLVING FUNDS

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327 and Public Law 103-124, \$1,302,200,000 are rescinded: Provided, That \$1,299,000,000 of this amount is to be derived from amounts appropriated for State revolving funds and \$3,200,000 is to be derived from amounts appropriated for making grants for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities specified in House Report 103-715.

### ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

SEC. 1004. None of the funds made available in any appropriations Act for fiscal year 1995 may be used by the Environmental Protection Agency to require any State to comply with the requirement of section 182 of the Clean Air Act by adopting or implementing a test-only or IM240 enhanced vehicle inspection and maintenance program, except that EPA may approve such a program if a State chooses to submit one to meet that requirement.

SEC. 1005. None of the funds made available in any appropriations Act for fiscal year 1995 may be used by the Environmental Protection Agency to impose or enforce any requirement that a State implement trip reduction measures to reduce vehicular emissions. Section 304 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7604) shall not apply with respect to any such requirement during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending September 30, 1995.

SEC. 1006. None of the funds made available in any appropriations Act for fiscal year 1995 may be used by the Environmental Protection Agency for listing or to list any additional facilities on the National Priorities List established by section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 9605), unless the Administrator receives a written request to propose for listing or to list a facility from the governor of the State in which the facility is located, or unless legislation to reauthorize CERCLA is enacted.

SEC. 1007. None of the funds made available in any Appropriations Act for fiscal year 1995 shall be spent by the Environmental Protection Agency to disapprove a state implementation plan (SIP) revision solely on the basis of the Agency's regulatory 50 percent discount for alternative test-and-repair inspection and maintenance programs. Notwithstanding any other provision of EPA's regulatory requirements, the EPA shall assign up to 100 percent credit when such State has provided data for the proposed inspection and maintenance system that demonstrates evidence that such credits are appropriate. The Environmental Protection Agency shall complete and present a technical assessment of the State's demonstration within 45 days after submittal by the State.

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

SCIENCE, AERONAUTICS AND TECHNOLOGY

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103–327 and any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under "Research and Development" in prior years, \$52,000,000 are rescinded.

CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 102–389, for the Consortium for International Earth Science Information Network, \$27,000,000 are rescinded; and of any unobligated balances from funds appropriated under this heading in prior years, \$7,000,000 are rescinded.

MISSION SUPPORT

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$32,000,000 are rescinded.

SPACE FLIGHT, CONTROL AND DATA COMMUNICATIONS

### (RESCISSION)

Of the available balances under this heading in previous fiscal years \$20,000,000 are rescinded.

ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

### (INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 1008. The Administrator shall acquire, for no more than \$35,000,000, a certain parcel of land, together with existing facilities, located on the site of the property referred to as the Clear Lake Development Facility, Clear Lake, Texas. The land and facilities in question comprise approximately 13 acres and include a Light Manufacturing Facility, an Avionics Development Facility, and an Assembly and Test Building which shall be modified for use as a Neutral Buoyancy Laboratory in support of human space flight activities.

SEC. 1009. Notwithstanding any other provision of law or regulation, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) shall convey, without reimbursement, to the State of Mississippi, all rights, title and interest of the United States in the property known as the Yellow Creek Facility and consisting of approximately 1,200 acres near the city of Iuka, Mississippi, including all improvements thereon and also including any personal property owned by NASA that is currently located on-site and which the

State of Mississippi requires to facilitate the transfer: Provided, That appropriated funds shall be used to effect this conveyance: Provided further, That \$10,000,000 in appropriated funds otherwise available to NASA shall be transferred to the State of Mississippi to be used in the transition of the facility: Provided further, That each Federal agency with prior contact to the site shall remain responsible for any and all environmental remediation made necessary as a result of its activities on the site: Provided further, That in consideration of this conveyance, NASA may require such other terms and conditions as the Administrator deems appropriate to protect the interests of the United States: Provided further, That the conveyance of the site and the transfer of the funds to the State of Mississippi shall occur not later than thirty days from the date of enactment of this Act.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

ACADEMIC RESEARCH INFRASTRUCTURE

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$131,867,000 are rescinded.

#### CORPORATIONS

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

FDIC AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAM

#### (RESCISSION)

Of the funds made available under this heading in Public Law 103-327, \$11,281,034 are rescinded.

TITLE II—GENERAL PROVISIONS

# SEC. 2001. EMERGENCY SALVAGE TIMBER SALE PROGRAM.

- (a) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:
- (1) The term "appropriate committees of Congress" means the Committee on Resources, the Committee on Agriculture, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.
- (2) The term "emergency period" means the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this section and ending on September 30, 1997.
- (3) The term "salvage timber sale" means a timber sale for which an important reason for entry includes the removal of disease- or insect-infested trees, dead, damaged, or down trees, or trees affected by fire or imminently susceptible to fire or insect attack. Such term also includes the removal of associated trees or trees lacking the characteristics of a healthy and viable ecosystem for the purpose of ecosystem improvement or rehabilitation, except that any such sale must include an identifiable salvage component of trees described in the first sentence.
- (4) The term "Secretary concerned" means—
- (A) the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to lands within the National Forest System; and
- (B) the Secretary of the Interior, with respect to Federal lands under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management.
- (b) COMPLETION OF SALVAGE TIMBER SALES.—
- (1) SALVAGE TIMBER SALES.—Using the expedited procedures provided in subsection

- (c), the Secretary concerned shall prepare, advertise, offer, and award contracts during the emergency period for salvage timber sales from Federal lands described in subsection (a)(4). During the emergency period, the Secretary concerned is to achieve, to the maximum extent feasible, a salvage timber sale volume level above the programmed level to reduce the backlogged volume of salvage timber. The preparation, advertisement, offering, and awarding of such contracts shall be performed notwithstanding any other provision of law, including a law under the authority of which any judicial order may be outstanding on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (2) USE OF SALVAGE SALE FUNDS.—To conduct salvage timber sales under this subsection, the Secretary concerned may use salvage sale funds otherwise available to the Secretary concerned.
- (3) SALES IN PREPARATION.—Any salvage timber sale in preparation on the date of the enactment of this Act shall be subject to the provisions of this section.

  (c) EXPEDITED PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY
- (c) EXPEDITED PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY SALVAGE TIMBER SALES.—
  - (1) SALE DOCUMENTATION —
- (A) PREPARATION.—For each salvage timber sale conducted under subsection (b), the Secretary concerned shall prepare a document that combines an environmental assessment under section 102(2) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(E)) (including regulations implementing such section) and a biological evaluation under section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1536(a)(2)) and other applicable Federal law and implementing regulations. At the sole discretion of the Secretary concerned and to the extent the Secretary concerned considers appropriate and feasible, the document prepared under this paragraph must consider the environmental effects of the salvage timber sale and consider the effect, if any, on threatened or endangered species.
- (B) USE OF EXISTING MATERIALS.—In lieu of preparing a new document under this paragraph, the Secretary concerned may use a document prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) before the date of the enactment of this Act, a biological evaluation written before such date, or information collected for such a document or evaluation if the document, evaluation, or information applies to the Federal lands covered by the proposed sale.
- (C) SCOPE AND CONTENT.—The scope and content of the documentation and information prepared, considered, and relied on under this paragraph is at the sole discretion of the Secretary concerned.
- (2) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Not later than August 30, 1995, the Secretary concerned shall submit a report to the appropriate committees of Congress on the implementation of this section. The report shall be updated and resubmitted to the appropriate committees of Congress every six months thereafter until the completion of all salvage timber sales conducted under subsection (b). Each report shall contain the following:
- (A) The volume of salvage timber sales sold and harvested, as of the date of the report, for each National Forest and each district of the Bureau of Land Management.
- (B) The available salvage volume contained in each National Forest and each district of the Bureau of Land Management.
- (C) A plan and schedule for an enhanced salvage timber sale program for fiscal years 1995, 1996, and 1997 using the authority provided by this section for salvage timber sales.
- (D) A description of any needed resources and personnel, including personnel reassign-

- ments, required to conduct an enhanced salvage timber sale program through fiscal year 1997.
- (E) A statement of the intentions of the Secretary concerned with respect to the salvage timber sale volume levels specified in the joint explanatory statement of managers accompanying the conference report on this Act
- (3) ADVANCEMENT OF SALES AUTHORIZED.— The Secretary concerned may begin salvage timber sales under subsection (b) intended for a subsequent fiscal year before the start of such fiscal year if the Secretary concerned determines that performance of such salvage timber sales will not interfere with salvage timber sales intended for a preceding fiscal year
- (4) DECISIONS.—The Secretary concerned shall design and select the specific salvage timber sales to be offered under subsection (b) on the basis of the analysis contained in the document or documents prepared pursuant to paragraph (1) to achieve, to the maximum extent feasible, a salvage timber sale volume level above the program level.
  - (5) SALE PREPARATION.-
- (A) USE OF AVAILABLE AUTHORITIES.—The Secretary concerned shall make use of all available authority, including the employment of private contractors and the use of expedited fire contracting procedures, to prepare and advertise salvage timber sales under subsection (b).

  (B) EXEMPTIONS.—The preparation, solici-
- (B) EXEMPTIONS.—The preparation, solicitation, and award of salvage timber sales under subsection (b) shall be exempt from—
- (i) the requirements of the Competition in Contracting Act (41 U.S.C. 253 et seq.) and the implementing regulations in the Federal Acquisition Regulation issued pursuant to section 25(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c)) and any departmental acquisition regulations; and
- (ii) the notice and publication requirements in section 18 of such Act (41 U.S.C. 416) and 8(e) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(e)) and the implementing regulations in the Federal Acquisition Regulations and any departmental acquisition regulations.
- (C) INCENTIVE PAYMENT RECIPIENTS; PORT.—The provisions of section 3(d)(1) of the Federal Workforce Restructuring Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-226: 5 U.S.C. 5597 note) shall not apply to any former employee of the Secretary concerned who received a voluntary separation incentive payment authorized by such Act and accepts employment pursuant to this paragraph. The Director of the Office of Personnel Management and the Secretary concerned shall provide a summary report to the appropriate committees of Congress, the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate regarding the number of incentive payment recipients who were rehired, their terms of reemployment, their job classifications, and an explanation, in the judgment of the agencies involved of how such reemployment without repayment of the incentive payments received is consistent with the original waiver provisions of such Act. This report shall not be conducted in a manner that would delay the rehiring of any former employees under this paragraph, or affect the normal confidentiality of Federal employees.
- (6) COST CONSIDERATIONS.—Salvage timber sales undertaken pursuant to this section shall not be precluded because the costs of such activities are likely to exceed the revenues derived from such activities.
- (7) EFFECT OF SALVAGE SALES.—The Secretary concerned shall not substitute salvage timber sales conducted under subsection (b) for planned non-salvage timber sales.
- (8) REFORESTATION OF SALVAGE TIMBER SALE PARCELS.—The Secretary concerned

- shall plan and implement reforestation of each parcel of land harvested under a salvage timber sale conducted under subsection (b) as expeditiously as possible after completion of the harvest on the parcel, but in no case later than any applicable restocking period required by law or regulation.
- (9) EFFECT ON JUDICIAL DECISIONS.—The Secretary concerned may conduct salvage timber sales under subsection (b) notwithstanding any decision, restraining order, or injunction issued by a United States court before the date of the enactment of this section.
- (d) DIRECTION TO COMPLETE TIMBER SALES ON LANDS COVERED BY OPTION 9.-Notwithstanding any other law (including a law under the authority of which any judicial order may be outstanding on or after the date of enactment of this Act), the Secretary concerned shall expeditiously prepare, offer, and award timber sale contracts on Federal lands described in the "Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl", signed by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture on April 13, 1994. The Secretary concerned may conduct timber sales under this subsection notwithstanding any decision, restraining order, or injunction issued by a United States court before the date of the enactment of this section. The issuance of any regulation pursuant to section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533(d)) to ease or reduce restrictions on non-Federal lands within the range of the northern spotted owl shall be deemed to satisfy the requirements of section 102(2c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2c)), given the analysis included in the Final Supplemental Impact Statement on the Management of the Habitat for Late Successional and Old Growth Forest Related Species Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl, prepared by the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Interior in 1994, which is, or may be, incorporated by reference in the administrative record of any such regulation. The issuance of any such regulation pursuant to section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533(d)) shall not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement under section 102(2c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2c)).
- (e) ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW.—Salvage timber sales conducted under subsection (b), timber sales conducted under subsection (d), and any decision of the Secretary concerned in connection with such sales, shall not be subject to administrative review.
  - (f) Judicial Review.—
- (1) PLACE AND TIME OF FILING.—A salvage timber sale to be conducted under subsection (b), and a timber sale to be conducted under subsection (d), shall be subject to judicial review only in the United States district court for the district in which the affected Federal lands are located. Any challenge to such sale must be filed in such district court within 15 days after the date of initial advertisement of the challenged sale. The Secretary concerned may not agree to, and a court may not grant, a waiver of the requirements of this paragraph.
- (2) EFFECT OF FILING ON AGENCY ACTION.—
  For 45 days after the date of the filing of a challenge to a salvage timber sale to be conducted under subsection (b) or a timber sale to be conducted under subsection (d), the Secretary concerned shall take no action to award the challenged sale.
- (3) PROHIBITION ON RESTRAINING ORDERS, PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS, AND RELIEF PENDING REVIEW.—No restraining order, preliminary injunction, or injunction pending appropriate that the control of the property of the property of the control of the property of

peal shall be issued by any court of the United States with respect to any decision to prepare, advertise, offer, award, or operate a salvage timber sale pursuant to subsection (b) or any decision to prepare, advertise, offer, award, or operate a timber sale pursuant to subsection (d). Section 705 of title 5, United States Code, shall not apply to any challenge to such a sale.

- (4) STANDARD OF REVIEW.—The courts shall have authority to enjoin permanently, order modification of, or void an individual salvage timber sale if it is determined by a review of the record that the decision to prepare, advertise, offer, award, or operate such sale was arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with applicable law (other than those laws specified in subsection (i)).
- (5) TIME FOR DECISION.—Civil actions filed under this subsection shall be assigned for hearing at the earliest possible date. The court shall render its final decision relative to any challenge within 45 days from the date such challenge is brought, unless the court determines that a longer period of time is required to satisfy the requirement of the United States Constitution. In order to reach a decision within 45 days, the district court may assign all or part of any such case or cases to one or more Special Masters, for prompt review and recommendations to the court.
- (6) PROCEDURES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the court may set rules governing the procedures of any proceeding brought under this subsection which set page limits on briefs and time limits on filing briefs and motions and other actions which are shorter than the limits specified in the Federal rules of civil or appellate procedure.
- (7) APPEAL.—Any appeal from the final decision of a district court in an action brought pursuant to this subsection shall be filed not later than 30 days after the date of decision.
- (g) EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN FEDERAL LANDS.—
- (1) EXCLUSION.—The Secretary concerned may not select, authorize, or undertake any salvage timber sale under subsection (b) with respect to lands described in paragraph (2).
- (2) DESCRIPTION OF EXCLUDED LANDS.—The lands referred to in paragraph (1) are as follows:
- $\mbox{(A)}$  Any area on Federal lands included in the National Wilderness Preservation System.
- (B) Any roadless area on Federal lands designated by Congress for wilderness study in Colorado or Montana.
- (C) Any roadless area on Federal lands recommended by the Forest Service or Bureau of Land Management for wilderness designation in its most recent land management plan in effect as of the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (D) Any area on Federal lands on which timber harvesting for any purpose is prohibited by statute.
- (h) RULEMAKING.—The Secretary concerned is not required to issue formal rules under section 553 of title 5, United States Code, to implement this section or carry out the authorities provided by this section.

  (i) EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.—The docu-
- (i) EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.—The documents and procedures required by this section for the preparation, advertisement, offering, awarding, and operation of any salvage timber sale subject to subsection (b) and any timber sale under subsection (d) shall be deemed to satisfy the requirements of all applicable Federal laws (and regulations implementing such laws) including but not limited to the following:
- (1) The Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.).

- (2) The Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.).
- (3) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).
- (4) The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).
- (5) The National Forest Management Act of 1976 (16 U.S.C. 472a et seq.).
- (6) The Multiple-Use Sustained-Yield Act of 1960 (16 U.S.C. 528 et seq.).
- (7) Other Federal environmental and natural resource laws.
  (j) EXPIRATION DATE.—The authority pro-
- (j) EXPIRATION DATE.—The authority provided by subsections (b) and (d) shall expire on September 30, 1997. The terms and conditions of this section shall continue in effect with respect to salvage timber sale contracts offered under subsection (b) and timber sale contracts offered under subsection (d) until the completion of performance of the contracts.
- (k) AWARD AND RELEASE OF PREVIOUSLY OFFERED AND UNAWARDED TIMBER SALE CONTRACTS —
- (1) AWARD AND RELEASE REQUIRED.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary concerned shall act to award, release, and permit to be completed in fiscal years 1995 and 1996, with no change in originally advertised terms, volumes, and bid prices, all timber sale contracts offered or awarded before that date in any unit of the National Forest System or district of the Bureau of Land Management subject to section 318 of Public Law 101-121 (103 Stat 745) The return of the bid bond of the high bidder shall not alter the responsibility of the Secretary concerned to comply with this paragraph.
- (2) THREATENED OR ENDANGERED BIRD SPECIES.—No sale unit shall be released or completed under this subsection if any threatened or endangered bird species is known to be nesting within the acreage that is the subject of the sale unit.
- (3) ALTERNATIVE OFFER IN CASE OF DELAY.—
  If for any reason a sale cannot be released and completed under the terms of this subsection within 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary concerned shall provide the purchaser an equal volume of timber, of like kind and value, which shall be subject to the terms of the original contract and shall not count against current allowable sale quantities.
- (l) EFFECT ON PLANS, POLICIES, AND ACTIVITIES.—Compliance with this section shall not require or permit any revisions, amendment, consultation, supplementation, or other administrative action in or for any land management plan, standard, guideline, policy, regional guide, or multi-forest plan because of implementation or impacts, site-specific or cumulative, of activities authorized or required by this section. No project decision shall be required to be halted or changed by such documents or guidance, implementation, or impacts.

SEC. 2002. No part of any appropriation contained in this Act shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year unless expressly so provided herein.

DOWNWARD ADJUSTMENTS IN DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS

SEC. 2003. Upon the enactment of this Act, the director of the Office of Management and Budget shall make downward adjustments in the discretionary spending limits (new budget authority and outlays) specified in section 601(a)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 for each of the fiscal years 1995 through 1998 by the aggregate amount of estimated reductions in new budget authority and outlays for discretionary programs resulting from the provisions of this Act (other than emergency appropriations) for such fiscal year, as calculated by the Director.

PROHIBITION ON USE OF SAVINGS TO OFFSET DEFICIT INCREASES RESULTING FROM DIRECT SPENDING OR RECEIPTS LEGISLATION

SEC. 2004. Reductions in outlays, and reductions in the discretionary spending limits specified in section 601(a)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, resulting from the enactment of this Act shall not be taken into account for purposes of section 252 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

SEC. 2005. July 27 of each year until the year 2003 is designated as "National Korean War Veterans Armistice Day", and the President is authorized and requested to issue a proclamation calling upon the people of the United States to observe such day with appropriate ceremonies and activities, and to urge the departments and agencies of the United States and interested organization, groups, and individuals to fly the American flag at halfstaff on July 27 of each year until the year 2003 in honor of the Americans who died as a result of their service in Korea.

DENIAL OF USE OF FUNDS FOR INDIVIDUALS NOT LAWFULLY WITHIN THE UNITED STATES

SEC. 2006. (a) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds made available in this Act may be used to provide any direct benefit or assistance to any individual in the United States when it is made known to the Federal entity or official to which the funds are made available that—

- (1) the individual is not lawfully within the United States; and
- (2) the benefit or assistance to be provided is other than search and rescue; emergency medical care; emergency mass care; emergency shelter; clearance of roads and construction of temporary bridges necessary to the performance of emergency tasks and essential community services; warning of further risks or hazards; dissemination of public information and assistance regarding health and safety measures; provision of food, water, medicine, and other essential needs, including movement of supplies or persons; or reduction of immediate threats to life, property, and public health and safety.
- (b) ACTIONS TO DETERMINE LAWFUL STATUS.—Each Federal entity or official receiving funds under this Act shall take reasonable actions to determine whether any individual who is seeking any benefit or assistance subject to the limitation established in subsection (a) is lawfully within the United States.
- (c) Nondiscrimination.—In the case of any filing, inquiry, or adjudication of an application for any benefit or assistance subject to the limitation established in subsection (a), no Federal entity or official (or their agent) may discriminate against any individual on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, age, or disability.

TITLE III

EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

ANTI-TERRORISM INITIATIVES

OKLAHOMA CITY RECOVERY

CHAPTER I

DEPARTMENTS OF COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY, AND RE-LATED AGENCIES

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

#### COUNTERTERRORISM FUND

hereby established is Counterterrorism Fund which shall remain available without fiscal year limitation. For necessary expenses, as determined by the Attorney General, \$34,220,000, to remain available until expended, is appropriated to the Counterterrorism Fund to reimburse any Department of Justice organization for the costs incurred in reestablishing the operational capability of an office or facility which has been damaged or destroyed as the result of the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City or any domestic or international terrorism event: Provided, That funds from this appropriation also may be used to reimburse the appropriation account of any Department of Justice agency engaged in, or providing support to, countering, investigating or prosecuting domestic or international terrorism, including payment of rewards in connection with these activities and to conduct a terrorism threat assessment of Federal agencies and their facilities: Provided further. That any amount obligated from appropriations under this heading may be used under the authorities available to the organization reimbursed from this appropriation: Provided further, That amounts in excess of the \$10,555,000 made available for extraordinary expenses incurred in the Oklahoma City bombing for fiscal year 1995, shall be available only after the Attorney General notifies the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate in accordance with Section 605 of Public Law 103-317: Provided further, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended: Provided further, That the amount not previously designated by the President as an emergency requirement shall be available only to the extent an official budget request, for a specific dollar amount that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement, as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted to Congress.

LEGAL ACTIVITIES

# SALARIES AND EXPENSES, UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

For an additional amount of expenses resulting from the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City and other anti-terrorism efforts, \$2,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended: Provided further, That the amount not previously designated by the President as an emergency requirement shall be available only to the extent an official budget request, for a specific dollar amount that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement, as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted to ConFEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for expenses resulting from the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City and other anti-terrorism efforts, including the establishment of a Domestic Counterterrorism Center, \$77,140,000, to remain available until expended: Provided, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended: Provided further, That the amount not previously designated by the President as an emergency requirement shall be available only to the extent an official budget request, for a specific dollar amount that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement, as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amend-

GENERAL PROVISIONS

ed, is transmitted to Congress.

SEC. 3001. Any funds made available to the Attorney General heretofore or hereafter in any Act shall not be subject to the spending limitations contained in 18 U.S.C., sections 3059 and 3072: Provided, That any reward of \$100,000 or more, up to a maximum of \$2,000,000, may not be made without the personal approval of the President or the Attorney General, and such approval may not be delegated.

SEC. 3002. Funds made available under this Act for this Title for the Department of Justice are subject to the standard notification procedures contained in Section 605 of Public Law 103–317.

# THE JUDICIARY

# COURT OF APPEALS, DISTRICT COURTS, AND OTHER JUDICIAL SERVICES

## COURT SECURITY

For an additional amount for "Court Secuto enhance security of judges and supritv'' port personnel, \$16,640,000, to remain available until expended, to be expended directly or transferred to the United States Marshals Service: Provided, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended: Provided further, That the amount not previously designated by the President as an emergency requirement shall be available only to the extent an official budget request, for a specific dollar amount that includes designation of the entire amount of the request as an emergency requirement, as defined in the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended, is transmitted to Congress.

CHAPTER II

# TREASURY, POSTAL SERVICE, AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO AND FIREARMS

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for emergency expenses of the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, and anti-terrorism efforts, including the

President's anti-terrorism initiative, \$34,823,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for the Federal response to the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, \$1,100,000\$, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for emergency expenses of the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, and other anti-terrorism efforts, including the President's antiterrorism initiative, \$6,675,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

UNITED STATES CUSTOMS SERVICE

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for emergency expenses resulting from the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, \$1,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

REAL PROPERTY ACTIVITIES

FEDERAL BUILDINGS FUND

# LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF REVENUE

The aggregate limitation on Federal Buildings Fund obligations established under this heading in Public Law 103-329 (as otherwise reduced pursuant to this Act) is hereby increased by \$66,800,000, of which \$40,400,000 shall remain available until expended for necessary expenses of real property management and related activities (including planning, design, construction, demolition, restoration, repairs, alterations, acquisition, installment acquisition payments, rental of space, building operations, maintenance, protection, moving of governmental agencies, and other activities) in response to the April 19, 1995, terrorist bombing attack at the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

In carrying out such activities, the Administrator of General Services may (among other actions) exchange, sell, lease, donate, or otherwise dispose of the site of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building (or a portion thereof) to the State of Oklahoma, to the

Nethercutt

City of Oklahoma City, or to any Oklahoma public trust that has the City of Oklahoma City as its beneficiary and is designated by the City to receive such property. Any such disposal shall not be subject to (1) the Public Buildings Act of 1959 (40 U.S.C. 601 et seq.); (2) the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 471 et seq.); or (3) any other Federal law establishing requirements or procedures for the disposal of Federal property: Provided, That these funds shall not be available for expenses in connection with the construction, repair, alteration, or acquisition project for which a prospectus, if required by the Public Buildings Act of 1959, as amended, has not been approved, except that necessary funds may be expended for required expenses in connection with the development of a proposed prospectus: Provided further, That for additional amounts, to remain available until expended and to be deposited into the Federal Buildings Fund, for emergency expenses resulting from the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City: for "Construction", Oklahoma, Oklahoma City, Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building, Demolition, \$2,300,000;' for "Minor Repairs and Alterations", \$3,300,000; for "Rental of Space" \$8,300,000, to be used to lease, furnish, and equip replacement space; and for "Buildings Operations", \$12,500,000: Provided further, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

#### CHAPTER III

DEPARTMENTS OF VETERANS AFFAIRS AND HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOP-MENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

> DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION

## SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for emergency expenses resulting from the bombing of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, \$3,200,000, to remain available through September 30, 1996: Provided, That the entire amount is designated by the Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

# SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For an additional amount for "Salaries and Expenses", \$3,523,000, to increase Federal, State and local preparedness for mitigating and responding to the consequences of terrorism: Provided, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND ASSISTANCE

For an additional amount for "Emergency Management Planning and Assistance \$3,477,000, to increase federal, state and local preparedness for mitigating and responding

to the consequences of terrorism: Provided, That the entire amount is designated by Congress as an emergency requirement pursuant to section 251(b)(2)(D)(i) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985, as amended.

This Act may be cited as the "Emergency Supplemental Appropriations for Additional Disaster Assistance, for Anti-terrorism Initiatives, for Assistance in the Recovery from the Tragedy that Occurred at Oklahoma City, and Rescissions Act, 1995'

And amend the title of the bill to read as follows:

Making emergency supplemental appropriations for additional disaster assistance, for antiterrorism initiatives, for assistance in the recovery from the tragedy that occurred at Oklahoma City, and making rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1995, and for other pur-

And the Senate agree to the same.

BOB LIVINGSTON, JOHN T. MYERS, RALPH REGULA, JERRY LEWIS, JOHN EDWARD PORTER, HAL ROGERS, Joe Skeen, FRANK R. WOLF, TOM DELAY, BARBARA F. VUCANOVICH, JIM LIGHTFOOT, S. CALLAHAN, RON PACKARD,

Managers on the Part of the House.

MARK O. HATFIELD, TED STEVENS, THAD COCHRAN, ARLEN SPECTER, PETE V. DOMENICI, P. GRAMM, C.S. BOND, SLADE GORTON, MITCH MCCONNELL, CONNIE MACK, CONRAD BURNS, RICHARD SHELBY, JIM JEFFORDS, JUDD GREGG, R.F. BENNETT, ROBERT C. BYRD, D.K. INOUYE, E.F. HOLLINGS, J. BENNETT JOHNSTON. PATRICK J. LEAHY. DALE BUMPERS. BARBARA A MIKIILSKI HARRY REID, BOB KERREY. HERR KOHL PATTY MURRAY,

Managers on the Part of the Senate.

When said conference report was considered.

After debate.

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered on the conference report to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House agree to said conference report?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WALKER, announced that pursuant to clause 7 of rule XV the yeas and nays were ordered, and the call was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the Yeas ...... 235 affirmative ...... Nays ..... 189

969.23[Roll No. 346] YEAS-235

Bachus Baker (CA) Allard Ballenger Archer Barr Armev Baker (LA) Barrett (NE)

Barton Bateman Bereuter Bilbray Bilirakis Bliley Goss Blute Boehlert Boehner Bonilla Bono Brownback Bryant (TN) Bunn Bunning Hayes Burr Burton Buyer Callahan Calvert Camp Canady Castle Hoke Chabot Chambliss Chenoweth Christensen Chrysler Clinger Hyde Coble Inglis Coburn Istook Collins (GA) Combest Condit Jones Cooley Cox Kelly Crane Kim Crapo Cremeans Cubin Cunningham Kolbe Davis Deal DeLay Diaz-Balart Dickey Lazio Dooley Leach Doolittle Dornan Dreier Duncan Dunn Ehlers Ehrlich Lucas Emerson English Ensign Everett Ewing Fawell Fields (TX) Flanagan Foley Forbes Fowler Fox Franks (CT) Franks (N.J) Frelinghuysen Funderburk Gallegly Ganske

Bartlett

Geren Gilchrest Neumann Gillmor Ney Norwood Nussle Gilman Gingrich Goodlatte Oxley Packard Parker Goodling Graham Paxon Greenwood Petri Gunderson Pombo Gutknecht Porter Hancock Portman Hansen Pryce Hastert Quinn Hastings (WA) Radanovich Ramstad Havworth Regula Hefley Heineman Riggs Roberts Rogers Rohrabacher Herger Hilleary Hobson Ros-Lehtinen Hoekstra Roth Roukema Royce Hostettler Salmon Houghton Sanford Hunter Saxton Hutchinson Schaefer Schiff Seastrand Sensenbrenner Johnson (CT) Shadegg Johnson, Sam Shaw Shavs Kasich Shuster Skeen Smith (MI) Kingston Smith (NJ) Klug Knollenberg Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Solomon LaHood Spence Stearns Largent Latham Stockman Laughlin Stump Talent Tate Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Tauzin Taylor (MS) Lightfoot Taylor (NC) Linder Thomas Livingston Thornberry LoBiondo Tiahrt Torkildsen Longley Upton Manzullo Visclosky Vucanovich Martini McCollum Waldholtz McCrery McDade Walker Walsh McHugh Wamp Watts (OK) Weldon (PA) McInnis McIntosh McKeon Weller White Whitfield Metcalf Meyers Wicker Miller (FL) Wolf Young (AK) Molinari Montgomery Young (FL) Moorhead Zeliff Morella Zimmer

NAYS-189

Myrick

Doggett

Abercrombie Chapman Clay Clayton Ackerman Andrews Clement Baesler Baldacci Clyburn Coleman Barcia Barrett (WI) Collins (IL) Collins (MI) Becerra Beilenson Conyers Bentsen Costello Bevill Covne Bishop Cramer Bonio Danner de la Garza Borski Boucher DeFazio Brewster DeLauro Dellums Browder Brown (CA) Deutsch Brown (FL) Dicks Dingell Brown (OH) Bryant (TX) Dixon

Gekas

Cardin

Doyle Durbin Edwards Engel Eshoo Evans Farr Fattah Fazio Fields (LA) Filner Flake Foglietta Ford Frank (MA) Frost Furse Gejdenson Gephardt Gibbons Gonzalez Gordon

Green Gutierrez McDermott Sabo McHale Sanders Hall (OH) McKinney Sawver Hall (TX) Meehan Scarborough Hamilton Schroeder Meek Menendez Schumer Harman Hastings (FL) Mfume Scott Miller (CA) Hefner Serrano Hilliard Mineta Sisisky Hinchey Minge Mink Skaggs Skelton Holden Moakley Slaughter Hoyer Jackson-Lee Mollohan Souder Jefferson Moran Spratt Johnson (SD) Murtha Stark Johnson, E. B. Nadler Stokes Johnston Neal Studds Kanjorski Oberstar Stupak Kaptur Obev Tanner Kennedy (MA) Tejeda Kennedy (RI) Ortiz Thompson Kennelly Orton Thornton Owens Thurman Klink Pallone Torres Torricelli LaFalce Pastor Payne (VA) LaTourette Pelosi Traficant Peterson (MN) Velazquez Levin Lewis (GA) Pickett Vento Lincoln Pomerov Volkmer Lipinski Poshard Ward Lofgren Rahall Waters Lowey Watt (NC) Rangel Luther Reed Waxman Reynolds Maloney Williams Manton Richardson Wilson Rivers Markey Wise Martinez Roemer Woolsey Wyden Mascara Rose Matsui Roybal-Allard Wynn McCarthy Rush

#### NOT VOTING-11

Berman McNulty Stenholm Jacobs Payne (NJ) Tucker King Peterson (FL) Weldon (FL) Kleczka Quillen

So the conference report was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said conference report was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered,* That the Clerk notify the Senate thereof.

¶69.24 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT— NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO NUCLEAR AND BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WALKER, laid before the House a message from the President, which was read as follows:

To the Congress of the United States:

On November 14, 1994, in light of the dangers of the proliferation of nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons and their means of delivery ("weapons of mass destruction"), I issued Executive Order No. 12938 and declared a national emergency under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.).

As I described in the report transmitting Executive Order No. 12938, the new Executive order consolidated the functions of and revoked Executive Order No. 12735 of November 16, 1990, which declared a national emergency with respect to the proliferation of chemical and biological weapons, and Executive Order No. 12930 of September 29, 1994, which declared a national emergency with respect to nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons, and their means of delivery. The new Executive order also expanded certain existing authorities

in order to strengthen the U.S. ability to respond to proliferation problems.

The following report is made pursuant to section 204 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act and section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act regarding activities taken and money spent pursuant to the emergency declaration. Additional information on nuclear, missile, and/or chemical and biological weapons (CBW) nonproliferation efforts is contained in the annual report on the proliferation of missiles and essential components of nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons, provided to the Congress pursuant to section 1097 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190), also known as the "Nonproliferation Report," and the annual report provided to the Congress pursuant to section 308 of the Chemical and Biological Weapons Control and Warfare Elimination Act of 1991 (Public Law 102-182).

The three export control regulations issued under the Enhanced Proliferation Control Initiative (EPCI) are fully in force and continue to be used to control the export of items with potential use in chemical or biological weapons or unmanned delivery systems for weapons of mass destruction.

In the 6 months since I issued Executive Order No. 12938, the number of countries that have ratified the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) has reached 27 (out of 159 signatory countries). I am urging the Senate to give its advice and consent to ratification as soon as possible. The CWC is a critical element of U.S. nonproliferation policy that will significantly enhance our security and that of our friends and allies. I believe that U.S. ratification will help to encourage the ratification process in other countries and, ultimately, the CWC's entry into force.

The United States actively participates in the CWC Preparatory Commission in The Hague, the deliberative body drafting administrative and implementing procedures for the CWC. Last month, this body accepted the U.S. offer of an information management system for the future Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons that will implement the CWC. The United States also is playing a leading role in developing a training program for international inspectors.

The United States strongly supports international efforts to strengthen the 1972 Biological and Toxin Weapons Convention (BWC). In January 1995, the Ad Hoc Group mandated by the September 1994 BWC Special Conference to draft a legally binding instrument to strengthen the effectiveness and improve the implementation of the BWC held its first meeting. The Group agreed on a program of work and schedule of substantive meetings, the first of which will occur in July 1995. The United States is pressing for completion of the Ad Hoc Group's work and consideration of the legally binding instrument by the next BWC Review Conference in 1996.

The United States maintained its active participation in the 29-member Australia Group (AG), which now includes the Czech Republic, Poland, Slovakia, and Romania. The AG reaffirmed in December the members' collective belief that full adherence to the CWC and the BWC provides the only means to achieve a permanent global ban on CBW, and that all states adhering to these Conventions have an obligation to ensure that their national activities support these goals.

The AG also reiterated its conviction that harmonized AG export licensing measures are consistent with, and indeed actively support, the requirement under Article I of the CWC that States Parties never assist, in any way, the manufacture of chemical weapons. These measures also are consistent with the undertaking in Article XI of the CWC to facilitate the fullest possible exchange of chemical materials and related information for purposes not prohibited by the Convention, as they focus solely on preventing assistance to activities banned under the CWC. Similarly, such efforts also support existing nonproliferation obligations under the BWC.

The United States Government determined that three foreign nationals (Luciano Moscatelli, Manfred Felber, and Gerhard Merz) had engaged in chemical weapons proliferation activities that required the imposition of sanctions against them, effective on November 19, 1994. Similar determinations were made against three foreign companies (Asian Ways Limited, Mainway International, and Worldco) effective on February 18, 1995, and imposed sanctions against them. Additional information on these determinations is contained in a classified report to the Congress, provided pursuant to the Chemical and Biological Weapons Control and Warfare Elimination Act of 1991. The United States Government continues to monitor closely activities that may be subject to CBW sanctions provisions.

The United States continued to control vigilantly U.S. exports that could make a contribution to unmanned delivery systems for weapons of mass destruction, exercising restraint in considering all such transfers consistent with the Guidelines of the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR). The MTCR Partners shared information not only with each other but with other possible supplier, consumer, and transshipment states about proliferation problems and also stressed the importance of implementing effective export control systems.

The United States initiated unilateral efforts and coordinated with MTCR Partners in multilateral efforts, aimed at combatting missile proliferation by nonmembers and at encouraging nonmembers to adopt responsible export behavior and to adhere to the MTCR Guidelines. On October 4, 1994, the United States and China signed a Joint Statement on Missile Nonproliferation in which China reiterated

its 1992 commitment to the MTCR Guidelines and agreed to ban the export of ground-to-ground MTCR-class missiles. In 1995, the United States met bilaterally with Ukraine in January, and with Russia in April, to discuss missile nonproliferation and the implementation of the MTCR Guidelines. In May 1995, the United States will participate with other MTCR Partners in a regime approach to Ukraine to discuss missile nonproliferation and to share information about the MTCR.

The United States actively encouraged its MTCR Partners and fellow AG participants to adopt "catch-all" provisions, similar to that of the United States and EPCI, for items not subject to specific export controls. Austria, Germany, Norway, and the United Kingdom actually have such provisions in place. The European Union (EU) issued a directive in 1994 calling on member countries to adopt "catch-all" controls. These controls will be implemented July 1, 1995. In line with this harmonization move, several countries, including European States that are not actually members of the EU, have adopted or are considering putting similar provisions in place.

The United States has continued to pursue this Administration's nuclear nonproliferation goals. More than 170 nations joined in the indefinite, unconditional extension of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) on May 11, 1995. This historic decision strengthens the security of all countries, nuclear weapons states and nonweapons states

alike.

South Africa joined the Nuclear Suppliers Group (NSG), increasing NSG membership to 31 countries. The NSG held a plenary in Helsinki, April 5-7, 1995, which focused on membership issues and the NSG's relationship to the NPT Conference. A separate, dualuse consultation meeting agreed upon 32 changes to the dual-use list.

Pursuant to section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act, I report that there were no expenses directly attributable to the exercise of authorities conferred by the declaration of the national emergency in Executive Order No. 12938 during the period from November 14, 1994, through May 14, 1995.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, May 18, 1995.

By unanimous consent, the message was referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed (H. Doc. 104–76).

¶69.25 ADJOURNMENT OVER

On motion of Mr. ARMEY, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12 o'clock noon on Monday, May 22, 1995.

 $\P69.26$  Hour of Meeting

On motion of Mr. ARMEY, by unanimous consent,

Ordered, That when the House adjourns on Monday, May 22, 1995, it adjourn to meet at 10:30 a.m. for "morn-

ing hour debates' on Tuesday, May 23, 1995.

 $\P 69.27$  CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS DISPENSED WITH

On motion of Mr. ARMEY, by unanimous consent.

Ordered, That business in order for consideration on Wednesday, May 24, 1995, under clause 7, rule XXIV, the Calendar Wednesday rule, be dispensed with.

¶69.28 MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT— NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO IRAN

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. WALKER, laid before the House a message from the President, which was read as follows:

To the Congress of the United States:

I hereby report to the Congress on developments since the last Presidential report on November 18, 1994, concerning the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order No. 12170 of November 14, 1979, and matters relating to Executive Order No. 12613 of October 29, 1987. This report is submitted pursuant to section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, 50 U.S.C. 1703(c), and section 505(c) of the International Security and Development Cooperation Act of 1985, 22 U.S.C. 2349aa-9(c). This report covers events through April 18, 1995. It discusses only matters concerning the national emergency with respect to Iran that was declared in Executive Order No. 12170 and matters relating to Executive Order No. 12613. Matters relating to the March 15, 1995, Executive Order regarding a ban on investment in the petroleum sector, and the May 6, 1995, Executive Order regarding new trade sanctions, will be covered in separate reports. My last report, dated November 18, 1994, covered events through October 18, 1994.

1. There have been no amendments to the Iranian Transactions Regulations, 31 CFR Part 560, or to the Iranian Assets Control Regulations, 31 CFR Part

535, since the last report.

2. The Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") of the Department of the Treasury continues to process applications for import licenses under the Iranian Transactions Regulations. However, a substantial majority of such applications are determined to be ineligible for licensing and, consequently, are denied.

During the reporting period, the U.S. Customs Service has continued to effect numerous seizures of Iranian-origin merchandise, primarily carpets, for violation of the import prohibitions of the Iranian Transactions Regulations. OFAC and Customs Service investigations of these violations have resulted in forfeiture actions and the imposition of civil monetary penalties. Additional forfeiture and civil penalty actions are under review.

3. The Iran-United States Claims Tribunal (the "Tribunal"), established at

The Hague pursuant to the Algiers Accords, continues to make progress in arbitrating the claims before it. However, since my last report, the Tribunal has not rendered any awards although payments were received by claimants in late November for awards rendered during the prior reporting period. Thus, the total number of awards remains at 557. Of this total, 373 have been awards in favor of American claimants. Two hundred twenty-five (225) of these were awards on agreed terms, authorizing and approving payment of settlements negotiated by the parties, and 150 were decisions adjudicated on the merits. The Tribunal has issued 38 decisions dismissing claims on the merits and 85 decisions dismissing claims for jurisdictional reasons. Of the 59 remaining awards, three approved the withdrawal of cases and 56 were in favor of Iranian claimants. As of April 18, 1995, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York reported that the value of awards to successful American claimants for the Security Account held by the NV Settlement Bank stood at \$2,365,160,410.39.

Iran has not replenished the Security Account since October 8, 1992, and the Account has remained continuously below the balance of \$500 million required by the Algiers Accords since November 5, 1992. As of April 10, 1995, the total amount in the Security Account was \$191,219,759.23, and the total amount in the Interest Account was \$24,959,218.79.

The United States continues to pursue Case A/28, filed in September 1993, to require Iran to meet its obligations under the Algiers Accords to replenish the Security Account. Iran has yet to file its Statement of Defense in that case.

4. The Department of State continues to present United States Government claims against Iran, in coordination with concerned government agencies, and to respond to claims brought against the United States by Iran.

On April 18, 1995, the United States filed the first of two parts of its consolidated submission on the merits in Case B/61. Case B/61 involves a claim by Iran for compensation with respect to primarily military equipment that Iran alleges it did not receive. The equipment was purchased pursuant to commercial contracts with more than 50 private American companies. Iran alleges that it suffered direct losses and consequential damages in excess of \$2 billion in total because of the U.S. Government's refusal to allow the export of the equipment after January 19, 1981, in alleged contravention of the Algiers Accords. As directed by the Tribunal, the United States' submission addresses Iran's claims regarding both liability and compensation and damages.

5. The Foreign Claims Settlement Commission ("FSCS") on February 24, 1995, successfully completed its caseby-case review of the more than 3,000 so-called "small claims" against Iran arising out of the 1979 Islamic revolution. These "small claims" (of \$250,000

or less each) were originally filed before the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal, but were transferred to the FCSC pursuant to the May 13, 1990 Settlement Agreement between Iran and the United States.

The FCSC issued decisions on 3,066 claims for total awards of \$86,555,795. Of that amount, \$41,570,936 represented awards of principal and \$44,984,859 represented awards of interest. Although originally only \$50 million were available to pay these awards, the funds earned approximately \$9 million in interest over time, for a total settlement fund of more than \$59 million. Thus, all awardees will receive full payment on the principal amounts of their awards, with interest awards paid on a pro rata basis.

The FCSC's awards to individuals and corporations covered claims for both real and personal property seized by Iran. In addition, many claims arose out of commercial transactions, including contracts for the sale of goods and contracts for the supply of services such as teaching, medical treatment, data processing, and shipping. The FCSC is now working with the Department of the Treasury to facilitate final payment on all FCSC awards.

6. The situation reviewed above continues to implicate important diplomatic, financial, and legal interests of the United States and its nationals and presents an unusual challenge to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. The Iranian Assets Control Regulations issued pursuant to Executive Order No. 12170 continue to play an important role in structuring our relationship with Iran and in enabling the United States to implement properly the Algiers Accords. Similarly, the Iranian Transactions Regulations issued pursuant to Executive Order No. 12613 continue to advance important objectives in combating international terrorism. I shall continue to exercise the powers at my disposal to deal with these problems and will continue to report periodically to the Congress on significant developments.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, *May 18, 1995.* 

By unanimous consent, the message was referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed (H. Doc. 104-77).

# ¶69.29 SENATE BILL REFERRED

A bill of the Senate of the following title was taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 534. An Act to amend the Solid Waste Disposal Act to provide authority for States to limit the interstate transportation of municipal solid waste, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Commerce.

# ¶69.30 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted—

To Mr. BONO, for today until 2:30 p.m.; and

To Mr. McNULTY, for today after 2 p.m.

And then,

#### ¶69.31 ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Mr. DORNAN, pursuant to the special order heretofore agreed to, at 8 o'clock and 14 minutes p.m., the House adjourned until 12 o'clock noon on Monday, May 22, 1995.

# ¶69.32 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. LEACH: Committee on Banking and Financial Services. H.R. 1062. A bill to enhance competition in the financial services industry by providing a prudential framework for the affiliation of banks, securities firms, and other financial service providers; with an amendment (Rept. No. 104–127, Pt. 1). Ordered to be printed.

# ¶69.33 TIME LIMITATION ON REFERRED BILL

Pursuant to clause 5 of rule *X* the following action was taken by the Speaker:

H.R. 1062. Referral to the Committee on Commerce extended for a period ending not later than June 16, 1995.

#### ¶69.34 PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 5 of rule X and clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. CASTLE (by request);

H.R. 1667. A bill to authorize U.S. contributions to the International Development Association, the Asian Development Bank, and the interest subsidy account of the enhanced structural adjustment facility of the International Monetary Fund; to the Committee on Banking and Financial Services.

By Ms. DANNER:

H.R. 1668. A bill to establish a program to control fraud and abuse in the Medicare Program, to increase the amount of civil monetary penalties which may be assessed against individuals and entities committing fraud against the Medicare Program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Commerce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. FURSE:

H.R. 1669. A bill to establish a science and mathematics early start grant program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.

By Mr. CLINGER (for himself, Mr. SPENCE, Mr. HORN, Mr. ZELIFF, Mr. BLUTE, Mr. DAVIS, Mr. SCARBOROUGH, Mr. LEWIS of Kentucky, Mr. TATE, Mr. TIAHRT, Mr. FLANAGAN, Mr. BASS, and Mr. CHAMBLISS):

and Mr. CHAMBLISS):
H.R. 1670. A bill to revise and streamline the acquisition laws of the Federal Government, to reorganize the mechanisms for resolving Federal procurement disputes, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight, and in addition to the Committees on National Security, and the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. FURSE:

H.R. 1671. A bill to provide for Federal budgetary savings through reducing the number of political appointees; to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

H.R. 1672. A bill to achieve budgetary savings by reducing the funding and scope of the stockpile stewardship program of the Department of Energy; to the Committee on National Security.

H.R. 1673. A bill to achieve budgetary savings by terminating certain Department of Defense programs; to the Committee on National Security.

H.R. 1674. A bill to achieve budgetary savings by reducing the amount which may be appropriated for the nuclear energy research and development activities of the Department of Energy; to the Committee on National Security, and in addition to the Committee on Science, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. YOUNG of Alaska (for himself, Mr. DINGELL, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. BREWSTER, Mr. DOOLITTLE, Mr. TAUZIN, Mr. PETE GEREN of Texas, Mr. GALLEGLY, Mr. HAYES, Mr. CALVERT, Mr. ORTIZ, Mrs. LINCOLN, Mr. HAYWORTH, Mr. CREMEANS, Mrs. CUBIN, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. SHADEGG, Mr. WATTS of Oklahoma, and Mr. THORNBERRY):

H.R. 1675. A bill to amend the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 to improve the management of the National Wildlife Refuge System, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Resources.

By Mr. JACOBS (for himself and Mr. CARDIN):

H.R. 1676. A bill to amend the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 to clarify that the expenses of administering the old age, survivors and disability insurance programs are not included in the budget of the U.S. Government, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Budget, and in addition to the Committees on Rules, and Ways and Means, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

By Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas (for herself, Mr. EDWARDS, Mr. MONTGOMERY, Mr. WATT of North Carolina, Ms. NORTON, Mr. CLYBURN, Mr. CONYERS, Mrs. MEEK of Florida, Ms. JACKSON-LEE, Mr. WILSON, Mr. LAUGHLIN, Mr. EVANS, Mr. FOX, Mr. HOUGHTON, Mr. ACKERMAN, Mr. LIPINSKI, Mr. TOWNS, Mr. UNDERWOOD, Mr. SANDERS, Mr. TUCKER, Mr. STEARNS, Mr. THOMPSON, Mrs. THURMAN, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. METCALF, Mr. ENGEL, Mr. CLAY, Mr. BONIOR, Ms. BROWN of Florida, Mr. DELLUMS, and Mr. HASTINGS of Florida):

H.R. 1677. A bill to waive the time limitation specified by law for the award of certain military decorations in order to allow the posthumous award of the Congressional Medal of Honor to Doris Miller for actions while a member of the Navy during World War II; to the Committee on National Security

By Mr. MARTINI:

H.R. 1678. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to apply section 1001 to all branches of Government; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. NĂDLER:

H.R. 1679. A bill to make an exception to the United States embargo on trade with Cuba for the export of medicines or medical supplies, instruments, or equipment, and for other purposes; to the Committee on International Relations. By Mr. ROBERTS (for himself, Mr. DE LA GARZA, Mr. EMERSON, and Mr.

H.R. 1680. A bill to amend the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. TATE (for himself and Mr. NETHERCUTT):

H.R. 1681. A bill to provide that certain regulations shall not take effect unless published in final form not later than 18 months after the date of publication of general notice of proposed rulemaking; to the Committee on the Judiciary

By Mr. WILLIAMS:

H.R. 1682. A bill to authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to use stewardship contracting in a demonstration program to restore and maintain the ecological integrity and productivity of forest ecosystems to insure that the land and resources are passed to future generations in better condition than they were found; to the Committee on Agriculture, and in addition to the Committee on Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. GILMAN (for himself and Mr. DORNAN):

H.J. Res. 89. Joint resolution prohibiting funds for diplomatic relations and further advancement of economic relations with the Socialist Republic of Vietnam [SRV] unless the President certifies to Congress that Vietnamese officials are being fully cooperative and forthcoming with efforts to account for the 2,205 Americans still missing and otherwise unaccounted for from the Vietnam War, as determined on the basis of all information available to the United States Government, and for other purposes; to the Committee on International Relations.

By Mr. SCHUMER:

H. Con. Res. 69. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the National Rifle Association should disavow and condemn the inflammatory and defamatory language used by its leadership and certain of its officers and employees to attack Federal law enforcement agencies and their employees; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CALVERT (for himself, Mr. YOUNG of Alaska, Mrs. CHENOWETH. Mr. RADANOVICH, Mr. GUTKNECHT, Mr. STEARNS Mr. BACHUS, and Mr. WELLER):

H. Con. Res. 70. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that members of the Screen Actors Guild should contribute funds to a private, self-sustaining endowment for the arts; to the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities.

By Ms. DELAURO:

H. Res. 153. Resolution expressing the sense of the Congress that the National Association of Radio Talk Show Hosts should not honor G. Gordon Liddy because of his use of hateful speech and its potential to inflame violence against law enforcement officers; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. LIPINSKI:

H Res 154 Resolution to amend clause 2(a) of House Rule XXIII to extend the length of time required before considering the report of a committee of conference; to the Committee on Rules.

# ¶69.35 MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII.

87. The SPEAKER presented a memorial of the Senate of the State of Hawaii, relative to the physical desecration of the U.S. flag; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

¶69.36 ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 26: Mr. HEINEMAN.

H.R. 43: Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. MAR-KEY, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, and Mr. SERRANO.

H.R. 60: Mr. ROHRABACHER.

H.R. 70: Mr. HAYES. H.R. 104: Mr. BILBRAY.

H.R. 159: Mr. BAKER of Louisiana. H.R. 218: Mr. PICKETT.

H.R. 246: Mr. MILLER of Florida and Mr. CANADY

H.R. 248: Mr. QUILLEN.

H.R. 329: Mr. CAMP, Mr. TALENT, and Mr. QUILLEN.

H.R. 373: Mr. DUNCAN.

H.R. 447: Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas, Mr. Stu-PAK, and Mr. HASTINGS of Florida.

H.R. 482: Mr. HOKE.

H.R. 739: Mr. WELLER.

H.R. 772: Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota, Mr. DIXON, Mr. MATSUI, and Mr. MFUME.

H.R. 789: Mr. LATOURETTE.

H.R. 820: Mr. QUINN, Mr. DICKEY, Mr. WATT of North Carolina, Mr. THORNTON, Mr. DOYLE, and Mr. FRANKS of Connecticut.

H.R. 833: Mr. FAZIO of California, Mr. KLUG, Mr. WARD, Mr. BRYANT of Texas, Mrs. JOHN-SON of Connecticut, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. STARK, and Mr. KOLBE.

H.R. 997: Mr. ISTOOK.

H.R. 1020: Mr. BROWDER, Mr. POSHARD, Ms. PRYCE, Mr. LAUGHLIN, Mr. TALENT, Mr. KLUG, Mr. COSTELLO, Mr. WELDON of Pennsylvania, and Mr. PAXON.

H.R. 1023: Mr. FARR and Mr. TALENT.

H.R. 1073: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, and Mr. CARDIN.

H.R. 1074: Mr. GENE GREEN of Texas, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, and Mr. CARDIN.

H.R. 1085: Mr. Coble.

H.R. 1103: Mr. CALVERT.

H.R. 1138: Mr. TATE.

H.R. 1210: Mr. PETRI.

H.R. 1220: Mr. BONO and Mr. RADANOVICH.

H.R. 1226: Mr. HOEKSTRA.

H.R. 1227: Mr. LINDER and Mr. HOEKSTRA.

H.R. 1235: Ms. RIVERS.

H.R. 1294: Mrs. LINCOLN.

H.R. 1363: Mr. WELLER, Mr. SKEEN, and Mr. WAMP.

H.R. 1423: Mr. McDermott, Mr. Pallone, Mr. SERRANO, Mr. HASTINGS of Florida, Mr. CUNNINGHAM, and Mr. BEILENSON:

H.R. 1447: Mr. ROMERO-BARCELO. H.R. 1448: Mr. KOLBE.

H.R. 1484: Mr. RAHALL, Mr. WARD, Mr. DUN-

CAN, Mr. GONZALEZ, and Mr. MARTINEZ.

H.R. 1496: Mr. SMITH of New Jersey

H.R. 1499: Mrs. Vucanovich, Mr. Quinn, Mr. SMITH of New Jersey, and Mr. SOLOMON.

H.R. 1533: Mr. DELAY and Mr. McIntosh.

H.R. 1535: Mr. McKinney, Mr. Markey, and Ms DELAURO

H.R. 1547: Mr. SERRANO.

H.R. 1556: Mr. FRISA and Mr. PAXON.

H.R. 1580: Mr. POMBO, Mr. HANSEN, Mr. GALLEGLY, and Mr. LAHOOD.

H.R. 1594: Mr. COBLE and Mrs. WALDHOLTZ.

H.R. 1597: Mr. ARCHER.

H.R. 1617: Mr. WELLER, Mr. HERGER, Mrs. SEASTRAND, and Mr. LINDER.

H.R. 1627: Mr. COBLE, Mr. McIntosh, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. BUNN of Oregon, and Mr. LAUGHLIN.

H.J. Res. 79: Mr. PORTMAN and Mr. CASTLE. H. Con. Res. 5: Mr. SOLOMON.

## MONDAY, MAY 22, 1995 (70)

## ¶70.1 DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The House was called to order by the SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KIM, who laid before the House the following communication:

WASHINGTON, DC.

May 22, 1995.

I hereby designate the Honorable JAY KIM to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day. NEWT GINGRICH,

Speaker of the House of Representatives.

## ¶70.2 APPROVAL OF THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. KIM, announced he had examined and approved the Journal of the proceedings of Thursday, May 18, 1995.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal was approved.

# ¶70.3 COMMUNICATIONS

Executive and other communications, pursuant to clause 2, rule XXIV, were referred as follows:

889. A letter from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting copies of international agreements, other than treaties, entered into by the United States, pursuant to 1 U.S.C. 112b(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

890. A letter from the Secretary of State. transmitting a letter expressing his concerns with regard to H.R. 1561, the American Overseas Interests Act; to the Committee on International Relations.

891. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of D.C. Act 11-51, "Toll Telecommunication Temporary Amendment Act of 1995,' pursuant to D.C. Code section 1-233(c) (1); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

892. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of D.C. Act 11-52, "Emergency Assistance Clarification Temporary Amendment Act of 1995," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 1-233(c) (1); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

893. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of D.C. Act 11-53, "Merit Personnel Early Out Retirement Revisions Temporary Amendment Act of 1995," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 1–233(c) (1); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

894. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of D.C. Act 11-54, "Revolving Credit Account Late Fee Act of 1995," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 1-233 (c) (1); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

895. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of D.C. Act 11–55, "Budget Implementation Exemption Temporary Amendment Act of 1995," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 1-233(c) (1); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

896. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting a copy of D.C. Act 11-56, "Foreign Trade Zones Act of 1995," pursuant to D.C. Code, section 1-233(c) (1); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

897. A letter from the Agency Freedom of Information Officer (1105), Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1994, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

898. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Trade Commission, transmitting a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1994, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.