

(n) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$2,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,600,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(o) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the deficit, as follows: \$45,300,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$32,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$39,300,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, \$52,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, \$66,700,000,000 in fiscal year 2000, \$82,100,000,000 in fiscal year 2001, and \$97,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(p) For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 and the term "new budget authority" has the meaning given to such term in section 3(2) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING SOCIAL SECURITY.

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that legislation should be enacted that:

(1) Prohibits the use of the surplus funds collected as part of the social security payroll tax from being used to balance the budget or reduce the deficit.

(2) Starting in 1996, sets aside these surplus funds to preserve and protect the social security system.

(3) Establishes a bipartisan commission to oversee the protection of these surplus funds, the primary purpose of which is to establish a safe and secure mechanism to preserve these funds.

(4) Provides that as the Federal debt is repaid, the social security funds that are currently part of the \$4,900,000,000,000 Federal debt as well as interest on these funds shall also be repaid and set aside under the mechanism established under paragraphs (2) and (3).

SEC. 6. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING DEBT REPAYMENT.

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that:

(1) The Congress has a basic moral and ethical responsibility to future generations to repay the Federal debt. The Congress should enact a plan that not only balances the budget but also institutes a regimen for paying off the Federal debt.

(2) After the budget is balanced, spending should be allowed to grow at a rate slower than expected revenues so that a surplus is created which can be used to begin paying off the debt.

(3) Such a plan should be enacted into law so that this generation can save our children and grandchildren from the crushing burdens of the Federal debt.

It was decided in the { Yeas 89 negative } { Nays 342

¶69.15 [Roll No. 343] AYES—89

- Allard Brownback Chabot
Baker (CA) Bryant (TN) Chenoweth
Bartlett Burr Christensen
Barton Burton Chrysler

- Coburn
Combest
Condit
Cooley
Cox
Crane
Crapo
Cubin
Diaz-Balart
Doolittle
Dreier
Duncan
Ehlers
Ensign
Fawell
Foley
Forbes
Ford
Fox
Frisa
Funderburk
Geren
Gilchrest
Goodlatte
Goss
Graham

- Abercrombie
Ackerman
Andrews
Archer
Armey
Bachus
Baesler
Baker (LA)
Baldacci
Ballenger
Barcia
Barr
Barrett (NE)
Barrett (WI)
Bass
Bateman
Becerra
Beilenson
Bentsen
Bereuter
Bevill
Bilbray
Bilirakis
Bishop
Biley
Blute
Boehlert
Boehner
Bonilla
Bonior
Borski
Boucher
Brewster
Browder
Brown (CA)
Brown (FL)
Brown (OH)
Bryant (TX)
Bunn
Bunning
Buyer
Callahan
Calvert
Camp
Canady
Cardin
Castle
Chambliss
Chapman
Clay
Clayton
Clement
Clinger
Clyburn
Coble
Coleman
Collins (GA)
Collins (IL)
Collins (MI)
Conyers
Costello
Coyne
Cramer
Creameans
Cunningham
Danner
Davis
de la Garza
Deal
DeFazio
DeLauro
DeLay

NOES—342

- Dellums
Deutsch
Dickey
Dicks
Dingell
Dixon
Doggett
Dooley
Dornan
Doyle
Dunn
Durbin
Edwards
Ehrlich
Emerson
Engel
English
Eshoo
Evans
Everett
Ewing
Farr
Fattah
Fazio
Fields (LA)
Fields (TX)
Filner
Flake
Flanagan
Foglietta
Fowler
Frank (MA)
Franks (CT)
Franks (NJ)
Frelinghuysen
Furse
Gallegly
Ganske
Gejdenson
Gekas
Gephardt
Gibbons
Gillmor
Gilman
Gonzalez
Goodling
Gordon
Green
Greenwood
Gunderson
Gutierrez
Hall (OH)
Hall (TX)
Hamilton
Harman
Hastert
Hastings (FL)
Hastings (WA)
Hayes
Hefley
Hefner
Heineman
Herger
Hilliard
Hinchey
Hobson
Hoke
Holden
Horn
Houghton
Hoyer

- Gutknecht
Hancock
Hansen
Hayworth
Hilleary
Hoekstra
Hostettler
Istook
Johnson, Sam
Jones
Kingston
Klug
Largent
Manzullo
McInnis
McIntosh
Metcalfe
Mica
Moorhead
Myers
Neumann
Norwood
Petri
Pombo
Quillen
Rohrabacher

- Hunter
Hutchinson
Hyde
Inglis
Jackson-Lee
Jacobs
Jefferson
Johnson (CT)
Johnson (SD)
Johnson, E. B.
Johnston
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kasich
Kelly
Kennedy (MA)
Kennedy (RI)
Kennelly
Kildee
Kim
King
Klinton
Knollenberg
Kolbe
LaFalce
LaHood
Lantos
Latham
LaTourette
Laughlin
Lazio
Leach
Levin
Lewis (CA)
Lewis (GA)
Lewis (KY)
Frost
Lightfoot
Lincoln
Linder
Lipinski
Livingston
LoBiondo
Lofgren
Longley
Lowey
Lucas
Luther
Maloney
Manton
Markey
Martinez
Martini
Mascara
Matsui
McCarthy
McCullum
McCrery
McDade
McDermott
McHale
McHugh
McKeon
McKinney
McNulty
Meehan
Meek
Menendez
Meyers
Mfume
Miller (CA)
Miller (FL)
Mineta

- Minge
Mink
Moakley
Molinari
Mollohan
Montgomery
Moran
Morella
Murtha
Myrick
Nadler
Neal
Nethercutt
Ney
Nussle
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ortiz
Orten
Owens
Oxley
Packard
Pallone
Parker
Pastor
Paxon
Payne (NJ)
Payne (VA)
Pelosi
Peterson (FL)
Peterson (MN)
Pickett
Pomeroy
Porter
Portman
Poshard
Pryce
Quinn
Radanovich
Rahall
Ramstad

- Rangel
Reed
Regula
Reynolds
Richardson
Riggs
Rivers
Roberts
Roemer
Rogers
Rose
Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sabo
Sanders
Sawyer
Saxton
Schiff
Schroeder
Schumer
Scott
Serrano
Shadegg
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skeen
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (NJ)
Smith (TX)
Spence
Spratt
Stark
Stearns
Stenholm
Stokes
Studds
Stump

- Stupak
Talent
Tanner
Tate
Taylor (NC)
Tejeda
Thomas
Thompson
Thornton
Thurman
Torres
Torricelli
Towns
Traficant
Tucker
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Volkmer
Vucanovich
Walker
Walsh
Ward
Waters
Watt (NC)
Watts (OK)
Waxman
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Weller
Whitfield
Wicker
Williams
Wilson
Wise
Wolf
Woolsey
Wyden
Wynn
Yates
Young (AK)
Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—3

- Berman Bono Kleczka

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

¶69.16 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,060,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$1,113,500,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$1,199,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$1,290,530,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$1,361,430,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$1,495,274,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$1,576,520,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$17,800,000,000.
Fiscal year 1997: \$30,000,000,000.
Fiscal year 1998: \$64,600,000,000.
Fiscal year 1999: \$103,130,000,000.
Fiscal year 2000: \$115,930,000,000.
Fiscal year 2001: \$183,774,000,000.
Fiscal year 2002: \$195,520,000,000.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insur-

ance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$103,800,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1997: \$109,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$114,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$120,700,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$126,900,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$133,600,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$140,400,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,305,645,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1997: \$1,351,766,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$1,418,293,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$1,477,601,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$1,554,772,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,635,012,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$1,705,270,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$1,310,531,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1997: \$1,360,603,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$1,406,588,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$1,473,786,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$1,532,385,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$1,586,550,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$1,657,024,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$249,731,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1997: \$247,103,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$206,988,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$183,256,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$170,955,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$99,830,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$80,504,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996: \$5,195,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1997: \$5,516,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1998: \$5,810,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 1999: \$6,100,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2000: \$6,374,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2001: \$6,614,000,000,000.
 Fiscal year 2002: \$6,806,000,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001 are as follows:

Fiscal year 1996:
 (A) New direct loan obligations, \$37,600,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$193,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$40,200,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,900,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$42,300,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$185,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$45,700,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$183,300,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$45,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$184,700,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$45,800,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$186,100,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New direct loan obligations, \$46,100,000,000.

(B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$187,600,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget author-

ity, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

(1) National Defense (050):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$226,800,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$252,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$215,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$242,400,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$220,500,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$236,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$223,600,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$239,300,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$230,100,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$244,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$250,867,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$244,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$250,947,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$244,100,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,700,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(2) International Affairs (150):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,462,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,689,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$18,629,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$17,540,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$19,106,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$18,248,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$19,443,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,940,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,943,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,940,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,943,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,940,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,947,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,942,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$19,420,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$18,752,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$22,140,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,596,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$21,951,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,596,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$21,955,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$19,596,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$5,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$18,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(3) General Science, Space, and Technology (250):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$16,447,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,840,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,829,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,427,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,203,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,349,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,355,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,194,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$15,355,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$15,349,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,943,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,940,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,947,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,942,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$39,515,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$35,429,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$41,038,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$36,590,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$42,677,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$37,965,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$44,360,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,519,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$43,327,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,519,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$42,389,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$39,519,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(9) Community and Regional Development (450):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,780,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,325,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$10,749,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,540,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,181,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,599,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$11,658,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,226,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$12,062,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,486,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,374,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,573,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,468,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$12,661,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$2,700,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$1,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(10) Education, Training, Employment, and Social Services (500):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$61,801,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$59,939,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$13,600,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$62,853,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$62,114,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$16,300,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,900,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$64,937,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$62,732,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$19,100,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,200,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$67,323,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$64,894,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$21,800,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$14,300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$69,809,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$67,238,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$21,900,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,000,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$71,016,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$68,366,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$22,000,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$15,800,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$73,011,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$70,366,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$22,200,000,000.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$16,600,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(11) Health (550):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$128,956,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$127,946,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$140,941,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$140,282,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$154,227,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$153,746,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$168,335,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$167,729,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$183,031,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$182,276,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$198,841,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$198,036,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$215,541,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$214,736,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$300,000,000.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(12) Medicare (570):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$184,200,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$181,900,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$202,300,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$200,700,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$221,100,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$219,400,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(17) General Government (800):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,674,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$14,170,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,796,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$14,125,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,855,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,980,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,796,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,582,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,625,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,974,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,625,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$13,964,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$13,625,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(18) Net Interest (900):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$295,828,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$295,828,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$304,289,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$304,289,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$308,696,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$308,696,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$314,655,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$314,655,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$319,862,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$319,862,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$320,646,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$320,646,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$323,331,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$323,331,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(19) Allowances (920):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$-1,258,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-1,195,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):

Fiscal year 1996:

(A) New budget authority, \$-31,293,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-31,293,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, \$-35,961,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-35,961,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, \$-37,148,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-37,148,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, \$-38,127,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-38,127,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, \$-40,276,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-40,276,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, \$-41,614,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-41,614,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, \$-42,937,000,000.

(B) Outlays, \$-42,937,000,000.

(C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.

(D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

(a) Not later than September 1, 1995, the House committees named in subsections (b) through (o) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Budget Committee. After receiving those recommendations, the House Budget Committee shall report to the House a reconciliation bill or resolution or both carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

(b) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,061,600,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(d) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority

and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$5,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,100,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(h) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$43,000,000 in budget authority and \$43,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(j) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,250,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,250,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(l) The House Committee on Small Business shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$14,285,000 in budget authority and \$14,285,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(m) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,340,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,340,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,336,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,336,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,336,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(o) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to increase revenues, as follows: \$17,800,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$30,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1997,

\$64,600,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, \$103,130,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, \$115,930,000,000 in fiscal year 2000, \$183,774,000,000 in fiscal year 2001, and \$195,520,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(p) For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 and the term "new budget authority" has the meaning given to such term in section 3(2) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

It was decided in the negative } Yeas 56
Nays 367
Answered present 1

¶69.17 [Roll No. 344] AYES—56

- Becerra Frank (MA) Payne (NJ)
Bonior Gonzalez Rangel
Brown (FL) Green Reynolds
Clay Hastings (FL) Sabo
Clayton Hilliard Sanders
Clyburn Hinchey Scott
Collins (IL) Jackson-Lee Serrano
Collins (MI) Johnson, E. B. Stark
Conyers Lewis (GA) Stokes
Coyne Martinez Thompson
DeFazio McDermott Torres
Dellums McKinney Tucker
Dixon Meek Velazquez
Engel Mfume Waters
Fattah Miller (CA) Watt (NC)
Fields (LA) Mink Woolsey
Filner Nadler Wynn
Foglietta Oberstar Yates
Ford Owens

NOES—367

- Abercrombie Christensen Forbes
Ackerman Chrysler Fowler
Allard Clement Fox
Andrews Clinger Franks (CT)
Armey Coble Franks (NJ)
Bachus Coburn Frelinghuysen
Baesler Coleman Mascara
Baker (CA) Collins (GA) Frost
Baker (LA) Combest Funderburk
Baldacci Condit Furse
Ballenger Cooley Gallegly
Barcia Costello Ganske
Barr Cox Gejdenson
Barrett (NE) Cramer Gekas
Barrett (WI) Crane Gephardt
Bartlett Crapo Geren
Barton Creameans Gibbons
Bass Cubin Gilchrist
Bateman Cunningham Gillmor
Beilenson Danner Gilman
Bentsen Davis Goodlatte
Bereuter de la Garza Goodling
Bevill Deal Gordon
Bilbray DeLauro Goss
Bilirakis DeLay Graham
Bliley Deutsch Greenwood
Blute Diaz-Balart Gunderson
Boehlert Dickey Gutierrez
Boehner Dicks Gutknecht
Bonilla Dingell Hall (OH)
Bono Doggett Hall (TX)
Borski Dooley Hamilton
Boucher Doolittle Hancock
Brewster Dornan Hansen
Browder Doyle Harman
Brown (CA) Dreier Hastert
Brown (OH) Duncan Hastings (WA)
Brownback Dunn Hayes
Bryant (TN) Durbin Hayworth
Bryant (TX) Edwards Hefley
Bunn Ehlers Hefner
Bunning Ehrlich Heineman
Burr Emerson Herger
Burton English Hilleary
Buyer Ensign Hobson
Callahan Eshoo Hoekstra
Calvert Evans Hoke
Camp Everett Holden
Canady Ewing Horn
Cardin Farr Hostettler
Castle Fawell Houghton
Chabot Fazio Hoyer
Chambliss Fields (TX) Hunter
Chapman Flanagan Hutchinson
Chenoweth Foley Hyde

- Inglis Mineta Seastrand
Istook Minge Sensenbrenner
Jacobs Moakley Shadegg
Jefferson Molinari Shaw
Johnson (CT) Montgomery Shays
Johnson (SD) Moorhead Shuster
Johnson, Sam Moran Sisisky
Johnston Morella Skaggs
Jones Murtha Skeen
Kanjorski Myers Skelton
Kaptur Myrick Slaughter
Kasich Neal Smith (MI)
Kelly Nethercutt Smith (NJ)
Kennedy (MA) Neumann Smith (TX)
Kennedy (RI) Ney Smith (WA)
Kennelly Norwood Solomon
Kildee Nussle Souder
Kim Obey Spence
King Olver Spratt
Kingston Ortiz Stearns
Klink Orton Stenholm
Klug Oxley Stockman
Knollenberg Packard Studds
Kolbe Pallone Stump
LaFalce Parker Stupak
LaHood Pastor Talent
Lantos Paxon Tanner
Largent Payne (VA) Tate
Latham Pelosi Tauzin
LaTourette Peterson (FL) Taylor (MS)
Laughlin Peterson (MN) Taylor (NC)
Lazio Petri Tejeda
Leach Pickett Thomas
Levin Pombo Thornberry
Lewis (CA) Pomeroy Thornton
Lewis (KY) Porter Thurman
Lightfoot Portman Tiahrt
Lincoln Poshard Torkildsen
Linder Pryce Torricelli
Lipinski Quillen Traficant
LoBiondo Quinn Upton
Lofgren Radanovich Vento
Longley Rahall Visclosky
Lowey Ramstad Volkmer
Lucas Reed Vucanovich
Luther Regula Waldholtz
Maloney Richardson Walker
Manton Riggs Walsh
Manzullo Rivers Wamp
Markey Roberts Ward
Martini Roemer Watts (OK)
Mascara Rogers Weldon (FL)
Matsui Rohrabacher Weldon (PA)
McCarthy Ros-Lehtinen Weller
McCollum Rose White
McCrery Roth Whitfield
McDade Roukema Wicker
McHale Roybal-Allard Williams
McHugh Royce Wilson
McInnis Salmon Wise
McIntosh Sanford Wolf
McKeon Sawyer Wyden
Meehan Saxton Young (AK)
Menendez Scarborough Young (FL)
Metcalf Schaefer Zeliff
Meyers Schiff Zimmer
Mica Schroeder
Miller (FL) Schumer

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

- Bishop
NOT VOTING—10

- Archer Livingston Towns
Berman McNulty Waxman
Flake Mollohan
Klecicka Rush

So the amendment was not agreed to. The SPEAKER resumed the Chair.

When Mr. SENSENBRENNER, Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 149, reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

Pursuant to House Resolution 149, the following amendment was considered as adopted:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring),

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including