

Goss	Martini	Saxton
Graham	Mascara	Scarborough
Green	Matsui	Schaefer
Greenwood	McCollum	Schiff
Gutierrez	McCrery	Schumer
Gutknecht	McDade	Seastrand
Hancock	McDemott	Sensenbrenner
Hansen	McHugh	Shadegg
Hastert	McInnis	Shaw
Hastings (FL)	McKeon	Shays
Hastings (WA)	McKinney	Shuster
Hayworth	Menendez	Skeen
Hefley	Metcalf	Skelton
Heineman	Meyers	Smith (NJ)
Herger	Mfume	Smith (TX)
Hilleary	Mica	Smith (WA)
Hilliard	Miller (CA)	Solomon
Hinchee	Miller (FL)	Souder
Hobson	Mineta	Spence
Hoekstra	Mink	Stearns
Holden	Moakley	Stockman
Hostettler	Molinari	Stokes
Houghton	Mollohan	Studds
Hunter	Moorhead	Stump
Hutchinson	Myers	Stupak
Hyde	Myrick	Talent
Inglis	Nadler	Tate
Istook	Neal	Taylor (NC)
Johnson (SD)	Nethercutt	Tejeda
Johnson, E. B.	Neumann	Thomas
Johnson, Sam	Ney	Thompson
Johnston	Norwood	Thornberry
Jones	Nussle	Thurman
Kanjorski	Obey	Tiahrt
Kasich	Owens	Torkildsen
Kelly	Oxley	Torres
Kennedy (RI)	Packard	Towns
Kildee	Parker	Traficant
Kim	Pastor	Tucker
King	Paxon	Velazquez
Kingston	Payne (NJ)	Vucanovich
Klink	Pelosi	Waldholtz
Knollenberg	Petri	Walker
Kolbe	Pickett	Walsh
LaHood	Pombo	Wamp
Lantos	Porter	Ward
Largent	Portman	Waters
Latham	Pryce	Watts (OK)
LaTourette	Quillen	Waxman
Lazio	Radanovich	Weldon (FL)
Leach	Rahall	Weldon (PA)
Levin	Ramstad	Weller
Lewis (CA)	Reed	White
Lewis (GA)	Regula	Whitfield
Lewis (KY)	Reynolds	Wicker
Lightfoot	Riggs	Williams
Linder	Rivers	Wilson
Livingston	Roberts	Wise
LoBiondo	Rogers	Wolf
Lofgren	Rohrabacher	Woolsey
Longley	Ros-Lehtinen	Wyden
Lowe	Roth	Yates
Lucas	Roybal-Allard	Young (AK)
Maloney	Royce	Young (FL)
Manton	Rush	Zeliff
Manzullo	Salmon	Zimmer
Markey	Sanders	
Martinez	Sanford	

ANSWERED "PRESENT"—1

Kaptur

NOT VOTING—9

Berman	Klecza	Serrano
Bono	McIntosh	Smith (MI)
Hoke	Rangel	Torricelli

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to.

After some further time,

69.14 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. NEUMANN:

Strike out all after the resolving clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as

required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,056,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,057,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,096,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,138,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000: \$1,187,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001: \$1,240,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: \$1,300,500,000,000.

and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$13,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: -\$26,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: -\$38,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: -\$48,100,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000: -\$57,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001: -\$70,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: -\$80,500,000,000.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insurance within the recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$101,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$105,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$110,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$115,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000: \$120,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001: \$125,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: \$130,900,000,000.

(2) The appropriate levels of total new budget authority are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,219,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,236,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,251,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,253,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000: \$1,275,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001: \$1,312,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: \$1,359,600,000,000.

(3) The appropriate levels of total budget outlays are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,238,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$1,245,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$1,251,200,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$1,233,400,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000: \$1,260,700,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001: \$1,302,800,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: \$1,352,400,000,000.

(4) The amounts of the deficits are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$182,100,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$188,300,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$154,900,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$94,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000: \$73,500,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001: \$62,100,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: \$51,900,000,000.

(5) The appropriate levels of the public debt are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$5,214,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997: \$5,470,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1998: \$5,697,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1999: \$5,896,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2000: \$6,081,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2001: \$6,157,000,000,000.
- Fiscal year 2002: \$6,216,000,000,000.

(6) The appropriate levels of total Federal credit activity for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001 are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New direct loan obligations, \$18,200,000,000.
 - (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$170,600,000,000.
- Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New direct loan obligations, \$17,200,000,000.
 - (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$167,800,000,000.

Fiscal year 1998:

- (A) New direct loan obligations, \$16,200,000,000.
- (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$165,000,000,000.

Fiscal year 1999:

- (A) New direct loan obligations, \$15,200,000,000.
- (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$162,200,000,000.

Fiscal year 2000:

- (A) New direct loan obligations, \$14,200,000,000.
- (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2001:

- (A) New direct loan obligations, \$14,200,000,000.
- (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New direct loan obligations, \$14,200,000,000.
- (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,400,000,000.

Fiscal year 2002:

- (A) New direct loan obligations, \$14,200,000,000.
- (B) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$159,400,000,000.

SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.

The Congress determines and declares that the appropriate levels of new budget authority, budget outlays, new direct loan obligations, new primary loan guarantee commitments, and new secondary loan guarantee commitments for fiscal years 1996 through 2002 for each major functional category are:

- (1) National Defense (050):
 - Fiscal year 1996:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$261,200,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 - (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1997:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 - (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1998:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 - (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 1999:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 - (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2000:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$260,000,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 - (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2001:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$265,000,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$263,000,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 - (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.
 - (E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

- Fiscal year 2002:
 - (A) New budget authority, \$270,000,000,000.
 - (B) Outlays, \$270,000,000,000.
 - (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 - (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(B) Outlays, —\$31,300,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1997:

(A) New budget authority, —\$31,200,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, —\$31,200,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1998:

(A) New budget authority, —\$31,900,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, —\$31,900,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 1999:

(A) New budget authority, —\$35,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, —\$35,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2000:

(A) New budget authority, —\$36,800,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, —\$36,800,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2001:

(A) New budget authority, —\$35,600,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, —\$35,600,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

Fiscal year 2002:

(A) New budget authority, —\$37,700,000,000.
 (B) Outlays, —\$37,700,000,000.
 (C) New direct loan obligations, \$0.
 (D) New primary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

(E) New secondary loan guarantee commitments, \$0.

SEC. 4. RECONCILIATION.

(a) Not later than July 14, 1995, the House committees named in subsections (b) through (o) of this section shall submit their recommendations to the House Budget Committee. After receiving those recommendations, the House Budget Committee shall report to the House a reconciliation bill or resolution or both carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

(b) The House Committee on Agriculture shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$6,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$6,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$11,500,000,000 in budget authority and \$11,500,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$14,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$14,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$17,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$17,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$19,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$19,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$21,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$21,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$23,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$23,600,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(c) The House Committee on Banking and Financial Services shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$800,000,000 in

budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$800,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(d) The House Committee on Commerce shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$19,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$19,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$36,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$37,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$55,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$56,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$80,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$79,700,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$100,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$100,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$124,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$124,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$148,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$148,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(e) The House Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,500,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,500,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$2,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$2,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$3,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$3,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,300,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(f) The House Committee on Government Reform and Oversight shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$2,600,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,600,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,900,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(g) The House Committee on International Relations shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(h) The House Committee on the Judiciary shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$750,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996,

\$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$1,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(i) The House Committee on National Security shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(j) The House Committee on Resources shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$4,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$4,100,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$5,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,800,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$5,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$5,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$3,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$4,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$4,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$3,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,400,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$3,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$3,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(k) The House Committee on Science shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(l) The House Committee on Small Business shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$0 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(m) The House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$5,000,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$8,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$8,500,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$8,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$9,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$9,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$9,800,000,000 in budget authority and \$0 in fiscal year 2002.

(n) The House Committee on Veterans' Affairs shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction that provide direct spending sufficient to reduce budget authority and outlays as follows: \$1,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,000,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1996, \$1,200,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1997, \$1,300,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1998, \$1,900,000,000 in budget authority and \$1,900,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 1999, \$2,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,200,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2000, \$2,100,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,300,000,000 in outlays in fiscal year 2001, and \$2,400,000,000 in budget authority and \$2,600,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(o) The House Committee on Ways and Means shall report changes in laws within its jurisdiction sufficient to reduce the deficit, as follows: \$45,300,000,000 in fiscal year 1996, \$32,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1997, \$39,300,000,000 in fiscal year 1998, \$52,000,000,000 in fiscal year 1999, \$66,700,000,000 in fiscal year 2000, \$82,100,000,000 in fiscal year 2001, and \$97,400,000,000 in fiscal year 2002.

(p) For purposes of this section, the term "direct spending" has the meaning given to such term in section 250(c)(8) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 and the term "new budget authority" has the meaning given to such term in section 3(2) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974.

SEC. 5. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING SOCIAL SECURITY.

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that legislation should be enacted that:

(1) Prohibits the use of the surplus funds collected as part of the social security payroll tax from being used to balance the budget or reduce the deficit.

(2) Starting in 1996, sets aside these surplus funds to preserve and protect the social security system.

(3) Establishes a bipartisan commission to oversee the protection of these surplus funds, the primary purpose of which is to establish a safe and secure mechanism to preserve these funds.

(4) Provides that as the Federal debt is repaid, the social security funds that are currently part of the \$4,900,000,000,000 Federal debt as well as interest on these funds shall also be repaid and set aside under the mechanism established under paragraphs (2) and (3).

SEC. 6. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING DEBT REPAYMENT.

It is the sense of the House of Representatives that:

(1) The Congress has a basic moral and ethical responsibility to future generations to repay the Federal debt. The Congress should enact a plan that not only balances the budget but also institutes a regimen for paying off the Federal debt.

(2) After the budget is balanced, spending should be allowed to grow at a rate slower than expected revenues so that a surplus is created which can be used to begin paying off the debt.

(3) Such a plan should be enacted into law so that this generation can save our children and grandchildren from the crushing burdens of the Federal debt.

It was decided in the { Yeas 89 negative } { Nays 342

¶69.15

[Roll No. 343]

AYES—89

- Allard, Baker (CA), Bartlett, Barton, Brownback, Bryant (TN), Burr, Burton, Chabot, Chenoweth, Christensen, Chrysler

- Coburn, Combest, Condit, Cooley, Cox, Crane, Crapo, Cubin, Diaz-Balart, Doollittle, Dreier, Duncan, Ehlers, Ensign, Fawell, Foley, Forbes, Ford, Fox, Frisa, Funderburk, Geren, Gilchrest, Goodlatte, Goss, Graham, Dellums, Deutsch, Dickey, Dicks, Dingell, Dixon, Doggett, Dooley, Dornan, Doyle, Dunn, Durbin, Edwards, Ehrlich, Emerson, Engel, English, Eshoo, Evans, Everett, Ewing, Farr, Fattah, Bishop, Fields (LA), Fields (TX), Filner, Flake, Flanagan, Foglietta, Fowler, Frank (MA), Franks (CT), Franks (NJ), Frelinghuysen, Furse, Gallegly, Ganske, Gejdenson, Gekas, Gephardt, Gibbons, Gillmor, Gilman, Gonzalez, Goodling, Gordon, Green, Greenwood, Gunderson, Gutierrez, Hall (OH), Hall (TX), Hamilton, Harman, Hastert, Hastings (FL), Hastings (WA), Hayes, Hefley, Hefner, Heineman, Herger, Hilliard, Hinchey, Hobson, Hoke, Holden, Horn, Houghton, Hoyer

NOES—342

- Abercrombie, Ackerman, Andrews, Archer, Arney, Bachus, Baesler, Baker (LA), Baldacci, Ballenger, Barcia, Barr, Barrett (NE), Barrett (WI), Bass, Bateman, Becerra, Beilenson, Bentsen, Bereuter, Bevill, Bilbray, Bilirakis, Bishop, Bilely, Blute, Boehlert, Boehner, Bonilla, Bonior, Borski, Boucher, Brewster, Browder, Brown (CA), Brown (FL), Brown (OH), Bryant (TX), Bunn, Bunning, Buyer, Callahan, Calvert, Camp, Canady, Cardin, Castle, Chambliss, Chapman, Clay, Clayton, Clement, Clinger, Clyburn, Coble, Coleman, Collins (GA), Collins (IL), Collins (MI), Conyers, Costello, Coyne, Cramer, Creameans, Cunningham, Danner, Davis, de la Garza, Deal, DeFazio, DeLauro, DeLay

- Gutknecht, Hancock, Hansen, Hayworth, Hilleary, Hoekstra, Hostettler, Istook, Johnson, Sam, Jones, Kingston, Klug, Largent, Manzullo, McClanis, McIntosh, Metcalf, Ford, Mica, Moorhead, Myers, Neumann, Norwood, Petri, Pombo, Quillen, Rohrabacher, Hunter, Hutchinson, Hyde, Inglis, Jackson-Lee, Jacobs, Jefferson, Johnson (CT), Johnson (SD), Johnson, E. B., Johnston, Kanjorski, Kaptur, Kasich, Kelly, Kennedy (MA), Kennedy (RI), Kennelly, Kildee, Kim, King, Klinski, Knollenberg, Kolbe, LaFalce, LaHood, Lantos, Latham, LaTourette, Laughlin, Lazio, Leach, Levin, Lewis (CA), Lewis (GA), Lewis (KY), Lightfoot, Lincoln, Linder, Lipinski, Livingston, LoBiondo, Lofgren, Longley, Lowey, Lucas, Luther, Maloney, Manton, Markey, Martinez, Martini, Mascara, Matsui, McCarthy, McCollum, McCrery, McDade, McDermott, McHale, McHugh, McKeon, McKinney, McNulty, Meehan, Meek, Menendez, Meyer, Mfume, Miller (CA), Miller (FL), Mineta

- Minge, Mink, Moakley, Molinari, Mollohan, Montgomery, Moran, Morella, Murtha, Myrick, Nadler, Neal, Nethercutt, Ney, Nussle, Oberstar, Obey, Oliver, Ortiz, Orton, Owens, Oxley, Packard, Pallone, Parker, Pastor, Paxon, Payne (NJ), Payne (VA), Pelosi, Peterson (FL), Peterson (MN), Pickett, Pomeroy, Porter, Portman, Poshard, Pryce, Quinn, Radanovich, Rahall, Ramstad, Rangel, Reed, Regula, Reynolds, Richardson, Riggs, Rivers, Roberts, Roemer, Rogers, Rose, Roukema, Roybal-Allard, Rush, Sabo, Sanders, Sawyer, Saxton, Schiff, Schroeder, Schumer, Scott, Serrano, Shadegg, Shaw, Shays, Shuster, Sisisky, Skaggs, Skeen, Skelton, Slaughter, Smith (NJ), Smith (TX), Spence, Spratt, Stark, Stearns, Stenholm, Stokes, Studds, Stump, Berman, Bono, Kleczka

- Stupak, Talent, Tanner, Tate, Taylor (NC), Tejeda, Thomas, Thompson, Thornton, Thurman, Torres, Torricelli, Towns, Traficant, Tucker, Velazquez, Vento, Visclosky, Volkmer, Vucanovich, Walker, Walsh, Ward, Waters, Watt (NC), Watts (OK), Waxman, Weldon (FL), Weldon (PA), Weller, Whitfield, Wicker, Williams, Wilson, Wise, Wolf, Woolsey, Wyden, Wynn, Yates, Young (AK), Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—3

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was not agreed to. After some further time,

¶69.16 RECORDED VOTE

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment in the nature of a substitute submitted by Mr. PAYNE of New Jersey:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.

The Congress determines and declares that this resolution is the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1996, including the appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, as required by section 301 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.

The following budgetary levels are appropriate for the fiscal years beginning on October 1, 1995, October 1, 1996, October 1, 1997, October 1, 1998, October 1, 1999, October 1, 2000, and October 1, 2001:

(1) The recommended levels of Federal revenues are as follows:

- Fiscal year 1996: \$1,060,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$1,113,500,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$1,199,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$1,290,530,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$1,361,430,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$1,495,274,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$1,576,520,000,000. and the amounts by which the aggregate levels of Federal revenues should be increased are as follows: Fiscal year 1996: \$17,800,000,000. Fiscal year 1997: \$30,000,000,000. Fiscal year 1998: \$64,600,000,000. Fiscal year 1999: \$103,130,000,000. Fiscal year 2000: \$115,930,000,000. Fiscal year 2001: \$183,774,000,000. Fiscal year 2002: \$195,520,000,000.

and the amounts for Federal Insurance Contributions Act revenues for hospital insur-