JOURNAL OF THE

It was decided in the Yeas 177

Baker (LA)	Ganske	Nethercutt
Ballenger	Gekas	Neumann
Barr	Gilchrest	Ney
Barrett (NE)	Gillmor	Norwood
Bartlett	Goodling	Nussle
Barton	Goss	Oxley
Bass	Graham	Packard
Bateman	Greenwood	Parker
Bereuter	Gunderson	Paxon
Bilbray	Gutknecht	Petri
Bilirakis	Hall (TX)	Pickett
Bliley	Hancock	Pombo
Blute	Hansen	Porter
Boehlert	Hastert	Portman
Boehner		
Bonilla	Hastings (WA)	Pryce Quillen
Bono	Hayworth Hefley	
Brewster		Quinn
	Heineman	Radanovich
Brownback	Herger	Ramstad
Bryant (TN)	Hilleary	Regula
Bunn	Hoekstra	Riggs
Bunning	Hoke	Roberts
Burr	Horn	Rogers
Burton	Hostettler	Rohrabacher
Buyer	Houghton	Ros-Lehtinen
Callahan	Hunter	Roukema
Calvert	Hutchinson	Royce
Camp	Hyde	Salmon
Canady	Inglis	Sanford
Cardin	Istook	Saxton
Castle	Johnson (CT)	Scarborough
Chabot	Johnson, Sam	Schaefer
Chambliss	Jones	Schiff
Chenoweth	Kasich	Seastrand
Christensen	Kelly	Sensenbrenner
Chrysler	Kim	Shadegg
Clinger	King	Shaw
Coble	Kingston	Shays
Coburn	Klug	Shuster
Collins (GA)	Knollenberg	Skeen
Combest	Kolbe	Smith (MI)
Cooley	LaHood	Smith (NJ)
Cox	Largent	Smith (TX)
Crane	Latham	Smith (WA)
Crapo	LaTourette	Solomon
Cremeans	Lazio	Souder
Cubin	Leach	Spence
Cunningham	Lewis (CA)	Stearns
Deal	Lewis (KY)	Stockman
DeLay	Lightfoot	Stump
Diaz-Balart	Linder	Talent
Dickey	Livingston	Tate
Doolittle	LoBiondo	Tauzin
Dornan		
Dreier	Longley Lowey	Taylor (NC) Thomas
Duncan	Lucas	Thornberry
Dunn	Manzullo	Tiahrt
Ehrlich	Martini	Torkildsen
Emerson		
	McCollum	Upton
English	McCrery	Vucanovich Waldholtz
Ensign	McHale	
Everett	McHugh	Walker
Ewing	McInnis	Walsh
Fawell	McIntosh	Wamp
Fields (TX)	McKeon	Weller
Flanagan	Metcalf	White
Foley	Meyers	Whitfield
Forbes	Mica	Wicker
Fowler	Miller (FL)	Wolf
Franks (CT)	Molinari	Young (AK)
Franks (NJ)	Moorhead	Young (FL)
Frelinghuysen	Moran	Zeliff
Frisa	Morella	Zimmer
Funderburk	Myers	
Gallegly	Myrick	
NOT VOTING—11		
Condit	McDade	Rangel
Flake	McKinney	Roth
Gibbons	Meek	Weldon (PA)
Jefferson	Olver	,, cidon (i A)

Jefferson Olver

¶39.11 RECORDED VOTE

After some further time.

A recorded vote by electronic device was ordered in the Committee of the Whole on the following amendment submitted by Mr. BRYANT:

So the amendment was not agreed to.

Page 4, insert the following after line 21 and redesignate the succeeding paragraph accordingly:

"(8) This subsection applies only to a claim brought against a small business concern as defined under section 3 of the Small Business

negative Nays 244 ¶39.12[Roll No. 206]

AYES-177 Abercrombie Furse Neal Baesler Gejdenson Oberstar Baldacci Gephardt Obev Barcia Gonzalez Olver Becerra Gordon Ortiz Beilenson Green Owens Bentsen Gutierrez Pallone Berman Hall (OH) Pastor Bevill Hamilton Payne (NJ) Bishop Harman Hastings (FL) Peterson (FL) Bonio Borski Peterson (MN) Hayes Boucher Hefner Pomeroy Hilliard Browder Poshard Brown (CA) Hinchey Rahall Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Holden Reed Reynolds Hover Bryant (TX) Jackson-Lee Richardson Jacobs Johnson (SD) Cardin Rivers Chapman Roemer Johnson, E.B. Clay Rose Clayton Johnston Roybal-Allard Kanjorski Clement Rush Clyburn Kaptur Sabo Coleman Collins (IL) Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI) Sanders Schroeder Collins (MI) Kennelly Schumer Conyers Costello Kildee Scott Kleczka Serrano Klink Skelton Coyne Cramer LaFalce Slaughter Danner Lantos Spratt de la Garza Laughlin Stark DeFazio Levin Stokes Lewis (GA) DeLauro Studds Dellums Lincoln Stupak Deutsch Lipinski Tanner Dicks Tejeda Lofgren Dingell Lowey Thompson Dixon Luther Thornton Doggett Maloney Thurman Dooley Manton Torres Markey Dovle Towns Duncan Martinez Traficant Durbin Mascara Tucker Edwards Velazguez Matsui Engel McCarthy Vento Ensign McDermott Visclosky Volkmer Eshoo McHale Evans Meehan Ward Farr Menendez Waters Watt (NC) Fattah Mfume Miller (CA) Fazio Waxman Fields (LA) Mineta Wilson Filner Mink Wise Foglietta Moakley Woolsey Ford Mollohan Wyden Frank (MA) Murtha

NOES

Nadler

Wynn

Yates

	NOES—244	
Ackerman	Calvert	Everett
Allard	Camp	Ewing
Archer	Canady	Fawell
Armey	Castle	Fields (TX)
Bachus	Chabot	Flanagan
Baker (CA)	Chambliss	Foley
Baker (LA)	Chenoweth	Forbes
Ballenger	Christensen	Fowler
Barr	Chrysler	Fox
Barrett (NE)	Clinger	Franks (CT)
Barrett (WI)	Coble	Franks (NJ)
Bartlett	Coburn	Frelinghuysen
Barton	Collins (GA)	Frisa
Bass	Combest	Funderburk
Bateman	Cooley	Gallegly
Bereuter	Crane	Ganske
Bilbray	Crapo	Gekas
Bilirakis	Cremeans	Geren
Bliley	Cubin	Gilchrest
Blute	Cunningham	Gillmor
Boehlert	Davis	Gilman
Boehner	Deal	Goodlatte
Bonilla	DeLay	Goodling
Bono	Diaz-Balart	Goss
Brewster	Dickey	Graham
Brownback	Doolittle	Greenwood
Bryant (TN)	Dornan	Gunderson
Bunn	Dreier	Gutknecht
Bunning	Dunn	Hall (TX)
Burr	Ehlers	Hancock
Burton	Ehrlich	Hansen
Buyer	Emerson	Hastert

Hayworth Hefley McNulty Metcalf Seastrand Sensenbrenner Heineman Meyers Shadegg Herger Hilleary Mica Miller (FL) Shaw Shays Shuster Hobson Minge Hoekstra Molinari Sisisky Skaggs Hoke Montgomery Horn Moorhead Skeen Smith (MI) Hostettler Moran Morella Smith (NJ) Houghton Hunter Myers Myrick Smith (TX) Hutchinson Smith (WA) Nethercutt Solomon Hyde Inglis Neumann Souder Ney Norwood Istook Spence Johnson (CT) Stearns Johnson, Sam Nussle Stenholm Jones Orton Stockman Kasich Oxley Stump Kelly Packard Talent Kim Parker Tate Tauzin King Paxon Payne (VA) Kingston Taylor (MS) Klug Knollenberg Petri Taylor (NC) Pickett Thomas Kolbe Pombo Thornberry LaHood Porter Tiahrt Largent Portman Torkildsen Latham Prvce Upton Quillen Vucanovich LaTourette Lazio Quinn Waldholtz Radanovich Leach Walker Lewis (CA) Ramstad Walsh Regula Lewis (KY) Wamp Watts (OK) Lightfoot Riggs Roberts Weldon (FL) Linder Livingston Rogers Weldon (PA) Rohrabacher Weller LoBiondo Longley Ros-Lehtinen White Lucas Roukema Whitfield Manzullo Wicker Rovce Martini Salmon Wolf McCollum Sanford Young (AK) McCrery Young (FL) Sawver McHugh Saxton Zeliff McInnis Scarborough Zimmer Schaefer McIntosh McKeon Schiff

NOT VOTING-13

Jefferson Andrews Roth Condit McDade Torricelli Cox McKinney Williams Flake Meek Gibbons Rangel

So the amendment was not agreed to. After some further time,

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, assumed the Chair.

When Mr. HOBSON, Chairman, pursuant to House Resolution 104, reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee.

The previous question having been ordered by said resolution.

The following amendment, reported from the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, was agreed to:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Attorney Accountability Act of 1995"

SEC. 2. AWARD OF COSTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES IN FEDERAL CIVIL DIVERSITY LITI-GATION AFTER AN OFFER OF SET-TLEMENT.

Section 1332 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the

'(e)(1) In any action over which the court has jurisdiction under this section, any party may, at any time not less than 10 days before trial, serve upon any adverse party a written offer to settle a claim or claims for money or property or to the effect specified in the offer, including a motion to dismiss all claims, and to enter into a stipulation dismissing the claim or claims or allowing judgment to be entered according to the

Hastings (WA)

English

terms of the offer. Any such offer, together with proof of service thereof, shall be filed with the clerk of the court.

(2) If the party receiving an offer under paragraph (1) serves written notice on the offeror that the offer is accepted, either party may then file with the clerk of the court the notice of acceptance, together with proof of service thereof.

"(3) The fact that an offer under paragraph (1) is made but not accepted does not preclude a subsequent offer under paragraph (1). Evidence of an offer is not admissible for any purpose except in proceedings to enforce a settlement, or to determine costs and expenses under this subsection.

"(4) At any time before judgment is entered, the court, upon its own motion or upon the motion of any party, may exempt from this subsection any claim that the court finds presents a question of law or fact that is novel and important and that substantially affects nonparties. If a claim is exempted from this subsection, all offers made by any party under paragraph (1) with respect to that claim shall be void and have no effect.

"(5) If all offers made by a party under paragraph (1) with respect to a claim or claims, including any motion to dismiss all claims, are not accepted and the judgment, verdict, or order finally issued (exclusive of costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees incurred after judgment or trial) in the action under this section is not more favorable to the offeree with respect to the claim or claims than the last such offer, the offeror may file with the court, within 10 days after the final judgment, verdict, or order is issued, a petition for payment of costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred with respect to the claim or claims from the date the last such offer was made or, if the offeree made an offer under this subsection, from the date the last such offer by the offeree was made.

"(6) If the court finds, pursuant to a petition filed under paragraph (5) with respect to a claim or claims, that the judgment, verdict, or order finally obtained is not more favorable to the offeree with respect to the claim or claims than the last offer, the court shall order the offeree to pay the offeror's costs and expenses, including attorneys' fees, incurred with respect to the claim or claims from the date the last offer was made or, if the offeree made an offer under this subsection from the date the last such offer by the offeree was made, unless the court finds that requiring the payment of such costs and expenses would be manifestly unjust.

'(7) Attorney's fees under paragraph (6) shall be a reasonable attorney's fee attributable to the claim or claims involved, calculated on the basis of an hourly rate which may not exceed that which the court considers acceptable in the community in which the attorney practices law, taking into account the attorney's qualifications and experience and the complexity of the case, except that the attorney's fees under paragraph (6) may not exceed-

"(A) the actual cost incurred by the offeree for an attorney's fee payable to an attorney for services in connection with the claim or claims; or

"(B) if no such cost was incurred by the offeree due to a contingency fee agreement, a reasonable cost that would have been incurred by the offeree for an attorney's noncontingent fee payable to an attorney for services in connection with the claim or claims.

'(8) This subsection does not apply to any claim seeking an equitable remedy. SEC. 3. HONESTY IN EVIDENCE.

Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence (28 U.S.C. App.) is amended-

- (1) by inserting "(a) In general.—" before "If", and
 - (2) by adding at the end the following:

'(b) Adequate basis for opinion.—Testimony in the form of an opinion by a witness that is based on scientific knowledge shall be inadmissible in evidence unless the court determines that such opinion-

"(1) is scientifically valid and reliable;

"(2) has a valid scientific connection to the fact it is offered to prove; and

(3) is sufficiently reliable so that the probative value of such evidence outweighs the dangers specified in rule 403.

(c) Disqualification.—Testimony by a witness who is qualified as described in subdivision (a) is inadmissible in evidence if the witness is entitled to receive any compensation contingent on the legal disposition of any claim with respect to which the testimony is offered.

(d) Scope.—Subdivision (b) does not apply to criminal proceedings.

SEC. 4. ATTORNEY ACCOUNTABILITY.

- (a) SANCTIONS.—Rule 11(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (28 U.S.C. App.) is amended-
- (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1) by striking "may" and inserting "shall";
 (2) in paragraph (1)(A)—

- (A) in the second sentence by striking ", but shall" and all that follows through "corrected"; and
- (B) in the third sentence by striking and inserting "shall"; and "mav
- (3) in paragraph (2) by striking "A sanction imposed" and all that follows through 'violation.'' and inserting the following: sanction imposed for a violation of this rule shall be sufficient to deter repetition of such conduct or comparable conduct by others similarly situated, and to compensate the parties that were injured by such conduct. Subject to the limitations in subparagraphs (A) and (B), the sanction may consist of an order to pay to the other party or parties the amount of the reasonable expenses incurred as a direct result of the filing of the pleading, motion, or other paper that is the subject of the violation, including a reasonable attorney's fee.
- (b) APPLICABILITY TO DISCOVERY.—Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is amended by striking subdivision (d).

SEC. 5. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.

- (a) Effective Date.—Subject to subsection (b), this Act and the amendments made by this Act shall take effect on the first day of the first month beginning more than 180 days after the date of the enactment
 - (b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.
- (1) The amendment made by section 2 shall apply only with respect to civil actions commenced after the effective date of this
- (2) The amendments made by section 3 shall apply only with respect to cases in which a trial begins after the effective date of this Act.

The bill, as amended, was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title.

Mr. CONYERS moved to recommit the bill to the Committee on the Judiciary with instructions to report the bill back to the House forthwith with the following amendment:

Strike section 2 of the bill, and insert the following:

SEC. 2. AWARD OF COSTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES IN FEDERAL CIVIL DIVERSITY LITI-GATION.

Section 1332 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the fol"(e) AWARDS OF FEES AND EXPENSES.

"(1) AUTHORITY TO AWARD FEES AND EX-PENSES.—In any action over which the court has jurisdiction under this section, if the court enters a final judgment against a party litigant on the basis of a motion to dismiss, motion for summary judgment, or a trial on the merits, the court shall, upon motion by the prevailing party, determine whether (A) the position of the losing party was not substantially justified, (B) imposing fees and expenses on the losing party or the losing party's attorney would be just, and (C) the cost of such fees and expenses to the prevailing party is substantially burdensome or unjust. If the court makes the determinations described in clauses (A), (B), and (C), the court shall award the prevailing party reasonable fees and other expenses incurred by that party. The determination of whether the position of the losing party was substantially justified shall be made on the basis of the record in the action for which fees and other expenses are sought, but the burden of persuasion shall be on the prevailing party.

(2) SECURITY FOR PAYMENT OF COSTS IN CLASS ACTIONS.—In any private action arising under this section that is certified as a class action under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court shall require an undertaking from the attorneys for the plaintiff class, the plaintiff class, or both, in such proportions and at such times as the court determines are just and equitable, for the payment of fees and expenses that may be awarded under paragraph (1).

(3) APPLICATION FOR FEES.—A party seeking an award of fees and other expenses shall, within 30 days of a final, nonappealable judgment in the action, submit to the court an application for fees and other expenses that verifies that the party is entitled to such an award under paragraph (1) and the amount sought, including an itemized statement from any attorney or expert witness representing or appearing on behalf of the party stating the actual time expended and the rate at which fees and other expenses are computed.

(4) ALLOCATION AND SIZE OF AWARD.—The

court, in its discretion, may-

(A) determine whether the amount to be awarded pursuant to this subsection shall be awarded against the losing party, its attorney, or both; and

(B) reduce the amount to be awarded pursuant to this subsection, or deny an award, to the extent that the prevailing party during the course of the proceedings engaged in conduct that unduly and unreasonably protracted the final resolution of the action.

(5) AWARD IN DISCOVERY PROCEEDINGS.—In adjudicating any motion for an order compelling discovery or any motion for a protective order made in any action over which the court has jurisdiction under this section, the court shall award the prevailing party reasonable fees and other expenses incurred by the party in bringing or defending against the motion, including reasonable attorneys' fees, unless the court finds that special circumstances make an award unjust.

(6) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to limit or impair the discretion of the court to award costs pursuant to other provisions of law.

"(7) PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE OF PROC-ESS.—In any action to which this subsection applies, a court shall not permit a plaintiff to withdraw from or voluntarily dismiss such action if the court determines that such withdrawal or dismissal is taken for purposes of evasion of the requirements of this subsection.

(8) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this subsection-

"(A) The term 'fees and other expenses' includes the reasonable expenses of expert witnesses, the reasonable cost of any study,

analysis, report, test, or project which is found by the court to be necessary for the preparation of the party's case, and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses. The amount of fees awarded under this subsection shall be based upon prevailing market rates for the kind and quality of services furnished.

(B) The term 'substantially justified' shall have the same meaning as in section 2412(d)(1) of title 28. United States Code.".

By unanimous consent, the previous question was ordered on the motion to recommit with instructions.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House recommit said bill with instructions?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, announced that the navs had it.

So the motion to recommit with instructions was not agreed to.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. CONYERS demanded a recorded vote on passage of said bill, which demand was supported by one-fifth of a quorum, so a recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic de-

It was decided in the Yeas 232 affirmative Nays 193

¶39.13[Roll No. 207] AYES-232

Allard Davis Herger de la Garza Hilleary Archer Armey Deal Hobson Bachus DeLay Hoekstra Baker (CA) Hoke Dickey Baker (LA) Doolittle Horn Hostettler Ballenger Dornan Barcia Dreier Houghton Barr Duncan Hunter Barrett (NE) Hutchinson Dunn Hyde Barton Emerson Inglis English Istook Bass Bereuter Ensign Johnson, Sam Bilbray Everett Jones Bilirakis Ewing Fawell Kasich Bliley Kelly Fields (TX) Blute Kim Boehlert Kingston Flanagan Klug Knollenberg Boehner Foley Bonilla Forbes Fowler Kolbe Bono Brewster LaHood Franks (CT) Brownback Largent Bryant (TN) Franks (NJ) Latham Bunn Frelinghuysen Leach Lewis (CA) Bunning Frisa Funderburk Burr Lewis (KY) Burton Gallegly Lightfoot Callahan Ganske Linder Calvert Gekas Livingston Camp Geren LoBiondo Canady Gilchrest Lucas Manzullo Castle Gillmor Chabot Gilman McCollum Chambliss McCrery Gingrich Chenoweth Goodlatte McHugȟ Christensen Goodling Goss McInnis McIntosh Chrysler Graham Clinger Coble Greenwood McNulty Coburn Gunderson Metcalf Collins (GA) Gutknecht Meyers Combest Hall (TX) Mica Miller (FL) Cooley Hancock Cox Hansen Minge Molinari Crane Hastert Hastings (WA) Montgomery Crapo Cremeans Hayworth Moorhead Cubin Hefley Morella Cunningham Heineman Mvers

Myrick Neumann Ney Norwood Nussle Ortiz Oxley Packard Parker Paxon Payne (VA) Peterson (MN) Petri Pombo Porter Portman Pryce Quillen Quinn Radanovich Ramstad Regula Riggs Roberts Rogers Rohrabacher Roukema

Abercrombie

Royce Salmon Tauzin Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Sanford Saxton Scarborough Thomas Thornberry Schaefer Tiahrt Torkildsen Schiff Seastrand Upton Sensenbrenner Vucanovich Shadegg Waldholtz Walker Shaw Walsh Shays Wamp Watts (OK) Shuster Skeen Smith (MI) Weldon (FL) Smith (NJ) Weldon (PA) Smith (TX) Weller Smith (WA) White Whitfield Solomon Wicker Souder Spence Wolf Young (AK) Stearns Stenholm Young (FL) Stockman Zeliff Stump Zimmer Talent Tate

NOES-193

Gonzalez

Obey Olver Ackerman Gordon Andrews Green Orton Baesler Gutierrez Owens Hall (OH) Pallone Baldacci Barrett (WI) Hamilton Pastor Payne (NJ) Bateman Harman Hastings (FL) Pelosi Becerra Peterson (FL) Beilenson Hayes Bentsen Hefner Pickett Hilliard Berman Pomeroy Bevill Hinchey Poshard Holden Rahall Bishop Bonio Hoyer Reed Borski Jackson-Lee Reynolds Boucher Jacobs Richardson Johnson (SD) Browder Rivers Brown (CA) Johnson, E. B. Roemer Ros-Lehtinen Brown (FL) Johnston Brown (OH) Kanjorski Rose Roybal-Allard Bryant (TX) Kaptur Kennedy (MA) Buyer Rush Cardin Kennedy (RI) Sabo Chapman Kennelly Sanders Kildee Clay Sawyer Clayton King Schroeder Kleczka Schumer Clement Clyburn Klink Scott Coleman LaFalce Serrano Collins (IL) Lantos Sisisky LaTourette Collins (MI) Skaggs Laughlin Skelton Conyers Slaughter Costello Lazio Levin Coyne Spratt Lewis (GA) Cramer Stark Stokes Lincoln Danner DeFazio Lipinski Studds DeLauro Lofgren Stupak Dellums Tanner Longley Deutsch Lowey Tejeda Thompson Thornton Diaz-Balart Luther Maloney Dicks Dingell Manton Thurman Dixon Markey Torres Doggett Martinez Torricelli Dooley Martini Towns Traficant Dovle Mascara Durbin Matsui Tucker Edwards McCarthy Velazquez McDermott Ehrlich Vento Engel McHale Visclosky Meehan Volkmer Eshoo Evans Menendez Ward Farr Mfume Waters Fattah Miller (CA) Watt (NC) Fazio Mineta Waxman Fields (LA) Williams Filner Moakley Wilson Foglietta Mollohan Wise Ford Moran Woolsey Frank (MA) Murtha Wyden Wynn Frost Nadler Neal Gejdenson Nethercutt

NOT VOTING-10

Oberstar

Gephardt

Condit

Flake

Gibbons

Jefferson

Johnson (CT) Rangel McDade Roth McKinney Meek

Ordered, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill. ¶39.14 PROVIDING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1058

> Mr. DERIER, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 105):

A motion to reconsider the vote

whereby the bill was passed was, by

unanimous consent, laid on the table.

So the bill was passed.

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1058) to reform Federal securities litigation, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Commerce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule for a period not to exceed eight hours. The bill shall be considered as read. During consideration of the bill for amendment, the Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may accord priority in recognition on the basis of whether the Member offering an amendment has caused it to be printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. Points of order under clause 7 of rule XVI against the amendments printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution are waived. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit.

When said resolution was considered. After debate,

On motion of Mr. DREIER, the previous question was ordered on the resolution to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House agree to said resolution?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. DICKEY, announced that the yeas had

Mr. FROST objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

¶39.15

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

Yeas 257 It was decided in the Nays 155 affirmative Answered present 1

[Roll No. 208]

YEAS-257 Barton Allard Boehlert Archer Boehner Bass Bateman Armey Bonilla Bachus Bereuter Brewster Browder Baker (CA) Baker (LA) Bevill Bilbray Brownback Ballenger Bilirakis Bryant (TN) Barr Bishop Bunn Barrett (NE) Bliley Bunning Blute