
BCFC

Business Coalition
for Fair Competition




www.governmentcompetition.org

112th Congress

Key Vote Scorecard

2011 - 2012



Description of Key Senate Votes

- 1. Motion to Waive McConnell Amendment to FAA Air Transportation Modernization and Safety Improvement Act.**

Repealed the previously enacted government-run healthcare law.
S. 223 – February 2, 2011, Roll Call Vote #9, rejected 47-51.
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 2. Snowe Amendment to Economic Development Revitalization Act of 2011**

Reformed the regulatory process to ensure the private sector, including small business, is free to compete and create jobs.
S. 782 – June 9, 2011, Roll Call Vote #87, rejected 53-46. (60 votes required)
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 3. Motion to Proceed to Teachers and First Responders Back to Work Act of 2011**

Provided \$35 billion to state and local governments, including government performance of commercial activities.
S. 1723 – October 20, 2011, Roll Call Vote #177, rejected 50-50.
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 4. Vitter Amendment to Deny Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Bailout**

Limited taxpayer liability for the government sponsored enterprises (GSE) of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to a total of \$200 billion.
S. 2112 – October 20, 2011, Roll Call Vote #179, rejected 41-57.
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 5. Menendez Amendment to Renew and Increase Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Mortgages**

Increased the allowable limits on government backed mortgages to over \$700,000.
S. 2112 – October 20, 2011, Roll Call Vote #180, passed 60-38.
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 6. Motion to Proceed to a Resolution Disapproving FCC Regulating the Internet and Broadband Industry Practices**

Disapproved Federal Communications Commission regulation of the Internet and the broadband industry.
S. J. Res. 6 – November 10, 2011, Roll Call Vote #200, rejected 46-52.
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 7. Bingaman Amendment to Penalize States Use of Public Private Partnerships (P3s)**

Penalized states for entering into P3s by removing such highways from state allocations.
S. 1813 – March 13, 2012, Roll Call Vote #37, passed 50-47.
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 8. Paul Amendment on Monopolies to 21st Century Postal Service Act of 2012**

Ended the mailbox use monopoly by the USPS to allow market competition.
S. 1789 – April 24, 2012, Roll Call Vote #76, rejected 35-64.
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 9. Paul Amendment on Alternative Methods of Delivery to 21st Century Postal Service Act of 2012.**

Established a pilot program to test alternative methods for the private sector to compete with the USPS at the local level for the delivery of postal services.
S. 1789 – April 25, 2012 Roll Call Vote #78, rejected 35-64.
A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.
- 10. Passage of 21st Century Postal Service Act of 2012**

Expanded the number of markets USPS could enter in direct competition with the private sector.
S. 1789 – April 25, 2012, Roll Call Vote #82, passed 62-37.
A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

Description of Key House Votes

1. Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011

[Duncan \(SC\) amendment eliminated the Legal Services Corporation \(LSC\).](#)

H.R. 1 – February 16, 2011, Roll Call Vote #54, rejected 171-259.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

2. Defunding National Public Radio Act

[Lamborn legislation prohibited Federal funding of National Public Radio and the use of Federal funds to acquire radio content.](#)

H.R. #1076 – March 17, 2011, Roll Call Vote #192, passed 228-192.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

3. National Defense Authorization Act of 2012

[Cole Amendment prevented the Executive Branch from requiring companies to disclose their political contributions as a condition of winning government contracts. There was no such equivalent provision for government employee entities or unions engaged in commercial activities.](#)

S. 1540 – May 25, 2011, Roll Call Vote #347, passed 261-163.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

4. Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2012

[Sessions amendment removed restrictions on OMB Circular A-76 public-private cost competitions within DoD.](#)

H.R. 2219 – July 6, 2011, Roll Call Vote #501, passed 217-204.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

5. Civilian Property Realignment Act of 2011

[Denham legislation reduced the size of government and ensured savings by selling or redeveloping high value federally-owned properties, consolidating federal space, maximizing the utilization rates of space, and streamlining the disposal of unneeded federal real property assets.](#)

H.R. 1734 – February 7, 2012, Roll Call Vote #38, passed 259-164.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

6. Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2013

[Huizenga amendment removed restrictions on OMB Circular A-76 public-private cost competitions within the Bureau of Prisons.](#)

H.R. 5326 – May 8, 2012, Roll Call Vote #215, rejected 199-211.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

7. National Defense Authorization Act of 2012

[Coffman amendment lifted the moratorium on OMB Circular A-76 public-private cost competitions within the DoD.](#)

H.R. 4310 – May 18, 2012, Roll Call Vote #272, rejected 209-211.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

8. Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2013

[Grimm amendment removed language restoring fair and open competition and government neutrality toward government contractors’ labor relations on DoD construction projects.](#)

H.R. 5854 – May 31, 2012, Roll Call Vote #302, passed 218-198.

A “no” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

9. Repeal of Obamacare Act

[Cantor legislation repealed the previously enacted government-run healthcare law.](#)

H.R. 6079 – July 12, 2012, Roll Call Vote #460, passed 244-185.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

10. Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2013

[Amash amendment removed restrictions on OMB Circular A-76 public-private cost competitions within DoD.](#)

H.R. 5856 – July 18, 2012, Roll Call Vote #478, rejected 186-233.

A “yes” vote was a (+) for the BCFC position.

	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<u>California</u>											
31 Becerra	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
32 Chu	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33 Bass, Karen	0%	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-
34 Roybal-Allard	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
35 Waters	10%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
36 Hahn*	0%	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	-	-	-	DNV
37 Richardson	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
38 Napolitano	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
39 Sánchez, Linda T.	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
40 Royce	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
41 Lewis, Jerry	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	+	-
42 Miller, Gary	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
43 Baca	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
44 Calvert	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
45 Bono Mack	100%	+	+	+	DNV	+	+	+	+	+	+
46 Rohrabacher	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
47 Sanchez, Loretta	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-
48 Campbell	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
49 Issa	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
50 Bilbray	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
51 Filner	0%	-	-	DNV	-	-	DNV	DNV	-	-	DNV
52 Hunter	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
53 Davis, Susan	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Colorado</u>											
1 DeGette	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Polis	1%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	DNV
3 Tipton	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Gardner	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Lamborn	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Coffman	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
7 Perlmutter	10%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Connecticut</u>											
1 Larson	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Courtney	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 DeLauro	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Himes	10%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
5 Murphy, Christopher	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Delaware</u>											
AL Carney	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Florida</u>											
1 Miller, Jeff	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Southerland	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Brown, Corrine	10%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Crenshaw	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Nugent	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Stearns	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Mica	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Webster	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Bilirakis	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
10 Young, C.W. Bill	89%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	+	+
11 Castor	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Ross, Dennis	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
13 Buchanan	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
14 Mack	100%	+	+	+	DNV	+	+	+	DNV	+	+

	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<u>Florida</u>											
15 Posey	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16 Rooney	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
17 Wilson, Frederica	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Ros-Lehtinen	67%	-	+	+	+	+	-	DNV	-	+	+
19 Deutch	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20 Wasserman Schultz	0%	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21 Diaz-Balart	70%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
22 West	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
23 Hastings, Alcee	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24 Adams	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
25 Rivera	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<u>Georgia</u>											
1 Kingston	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Bishop, Sanford	10%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Westmoreland	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Johnson, Hank	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Lewis, John	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Price, Tom	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Woodall	90%	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Scott, Austin	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
9 Graves, Tom	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
10 Broun	90%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
11 Gingrey	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12 Barrow	20%	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
13 Scott, David	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Hawaii</u>											
1 Hanabusa	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Hirono	0%	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	DNV
<u>Idaho</u>											
1 Labrador	100%	+	DNV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Simpson	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<u>Illinois</u>											
1 Rush	0%	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-
2 Jackson, Jesse	0%	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	DNV
3 Lipinski	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 Gutierrez	10%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Quigley	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 Roskam	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Davis, Danny	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 Walsh	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
9 Schakowsky	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Dold	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
11 Kinzinger	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
12 Costello	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-
13 Biggert	80%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
14 Hultgren	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
15 Johnson, Timothy	60%	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
16 Manzullo	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
17 Schilling	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
18 Schock	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
19 Shimkus	50%	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
<u>Indiana</u>											
1 Visclosky	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Donnelly	1%	-	-	-	-	+	DNV	-	-	-	-

	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
<u>New Hampshire</u>												
1	Guinta	88%	-	+	+	DNV	+	+	+	DNV	+	+
2	Bass, Charles	70%	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
<u>New Jersey</u>												
1	Andrews	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	LoBiondo	50%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
3	Runyan	60%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
4	Smith, Chris	40%	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
5	Garrett	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6	Pallone	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Lance	70%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
8	Pascrell	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-
9	Rothman	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-
10	Vacancy	N/A	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV
11	Frelinghuysen	89%	-	+	DNV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12	Holt	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Sires	0%	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-
<u>New Mexico</u>												
1	Heinrich	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Pearce	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3	Luján	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>New York</u>												
1	Bishop, Timothy	10%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
2	Israel	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	King, Pete	70%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-
4	McCarthy, Carolyn	0%	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Ackerman	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Meeks	10%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Crowley	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Nadler	0%	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Turner, Robert*	67%	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	+	-	+	+	+	-
10	Towns	0%	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Clarke, Yvette	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Velázquez	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-
13	Grimm	40%	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
14	Maloney	10%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
15	Rangel	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Serrano	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Engel	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Lowey	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Hayworth	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20	Gibson	40%	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
21	Tonko	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Hinchey	10%	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
23	Owens	30%	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
24	Hanna	78%	-	-	+	+	DNV	+	+	+	+	+
25	Buerkle	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
26	Hochul*	14%	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
27	Higgins	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Slaughter	0%	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	-
29	Reed	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<u>North Carolina</u>												
1	Butterfield	0%	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-
2	Ellmers	100%	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	+	+	+	+
3	Jones	67%	+	+	-	-	+	DNV	-	+	+	+

	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<u>North Carolina</u>											
4 Price, David	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Foyx	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Coble	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
7 McIntyre	30%	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
8 Kissell	20%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
9 Myrick	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
10 McHenry	100%	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	+	+	+	+
11 Shuler	10%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Watt	0%	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Miller, Brad	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>North Dakota</u>											
AL Berg	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<u>Ohio</u>											
1 Chabot	90%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
2 Schmidt	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
3 Turner, Michael	60%	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
4 Jordan	100%	+	DNV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Latta	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Johnson, Bill	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
7 Austria	80%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
8 Boehner	N/A	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV
9 Kaptur	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Kucinich	0%	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-
11 Fudge	1%	-	DNV	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 Tiberi	70%	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
13 Sutton	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 LaTourette	30%	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
15 Stivers	89%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV
16 Renacci	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
17 Ryan, Tim	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Gibbs	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<u>Oklahoma</u>											
1 Sullivan	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
2 Boren	44%	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	DNV
3 Lucas	70%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+
4 Cole	70%	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
5 Lankford	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<u>Oregon</u>											
1 Bonamici*	0%	DNV	DNV	DNV	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Walden	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Blumenauer	0%	-	-	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 DeFazio	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Schrader	10%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Pennsylvania</u>											
1 Brady, Robert	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Fattah	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 Kelly	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Altmire	10%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 Thompson, Glenn	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Gerlach	70%	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
7 Meehan	40%	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
8 Fitzpatrick	50%	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-
9 Shuster	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
10 Marino	90%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+

	%	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
<u>Pennsylvania</u>											
11 Barletta	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12 Critz	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13 Schwartz	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 Doyle	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV	-	-
15 Dent	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
16 Pitts	80%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
17 Holden	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Murphy, Tim	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
19 Platts	50%	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-
<u>Rhode Island</u>											
1 Cicilline	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 Langevin	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>South Carolina</u>											
1 Scott, Tim	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Wilson, Joe	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Duncan, Jeff	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 Gowdy	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Mulvaney	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6 Clyburn	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>South Dakota</u>											
AL Noem	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<u>Tennessee</u>											
1 Roe	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Duncan, John	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3 Fleischmann	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
4 DesJarlais	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Cooper	20%	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
6 Black	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7 Blackburn	100%	DNV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
8 Fincher	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Cohen	0%	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<u>Texas</u>											
1 Gohmert	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2 Poe	90%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
3 Johnson, Sam	70%	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
4 Hall	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5 Hensarling	90%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
6 Barton	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
7 Culberson	78%	+	+	+	DNV	+	-	+	+	+	-
8 Brady, Kevin	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9 Green, Al	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 McCaul	90%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
11 Conaway	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
12 Granger	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
13 Thornberry	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
14 Paul	88%	+	+	+	+	DNV	DNV	-	+	+	+
15 Hinojosa	0%	-	DNV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 Reyes	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV
17 Flores	90%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
18 Jackson Lee, Sheila	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	DNV
19 Neugebauer	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
20 Gonzalez	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21 Smith, Lamar	90%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
22 Olson	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	DNV	+	+



About BCFC

Our mission is to advocate for public policies that promote the utilization of and reliance upon the private sector by government at all levels and eliminate unfair government-sponsored competition.

The Business Coalition for Fair Competition (BCFC) is a national coalition of businesses, associations, taxpayer organizations and think tanks that are committed to reducing all forms of unfair government created, sponsored and provided competition with the private sector. BCFC believes the free enterprise system is the most productive and efficient provider of goods and services and strongly supports the Federal government utilizing the private sector for commercially available products and services to the maximum extent possible.

The function of BCFC is to elevate the public's awareness of the issue of unfair government competition and to work proactively with legislators and executive branch officials to assure that private, for-profit firms continue to constitute the strength of the United States economic system and that competitive private enterprises remain the most productive, efficient, and effective sources of goods and services.



www.governmentcompetition.org

Published by the Business Coalition for Fair Competition.
Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.
