



- ▶ POLICY UPDATE.....1
- ▶ OPEN POLICY ISSUES...1-2
- ▶ SPOTLIGHT ON DATA
MIGRATION.....2-3
- ▶ TALKING POINTS3
- ▶ JOINT BASE STATUS
UPDATE.....3-4
- ▶ FROM THE JBPMO..... 4

Joint Basing Program Management Office

Monthly Newsletter

VISIT THE JBPMO ON DKO:
[HTTPS://WWW.US.ARMY.MIL/SUITE/PAGE/560093](https://www.us.army.mil/suite/page/560093)

Policy Update

No new joint basing policy was issued in May 2009.

Open Policy Issues

Airfield Operations Delineation

The Air Force proposed demarcation points between their Airfield Operations mission function and installation support areas of responsibility in the following:

- ▶ Installation Movement
- ▶ Security Services
- ▶ Supply Storage and Distribution (Non-Munitions).

The Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment) (DUSD(I&E)) is in the process of coordinating the Air Force proposal with the Senior Installations Management Group (SIMG).

Military Personnel (MILPERS) Clarification Memo

The MILPERS Supplemental Guidance introduced the MILPERS

categories Joint Base Integrated (JBI) Billets, Joint Base Supported Component Force Structure (JBSCFS) Billets, and Augmentees. On May 11, 2009, the Acting Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) (USD(P&R)) provided further clarification of these MILPERS categories to ensure the proper application of these positions at joint bases. DUSD(I&E) is expected to release a memo summarizing the Acting USD(P&R) input in the first week of June.

Civilian Personnel (CIVPERS) – Top-Line Human Resources (HR) Servicing Transfer and National Security Personnel System (NSPS) Transfer Management

The Components have requested clarification for the allocation of human resources servicing needed to meet the increase in HR workload as a result of transferred civilian personnel, as well as managing the NSPS Pay Pool transition. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) (OSD(P&R)) is preparing a memo for DUSD(I&E) signature to address these two issues, and is anticipated in mid June 2009.





Medical

The TRICARE Management Agency (TMA) and OSD(P&R) are working to develop business rules to guide decision-making during Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) development.

The business rules are being developed for three support areas:

- ▶Emergency Medical Services
- ▶Family Support Services
- ▶Preventative Medicine.

TMA completed adjudicating comments from OSD functional leads and the Joint Base Working Group (JBWG) and began the formal coordination process in May. Formal coordination is anticipated by the end of June 2009.

Military Personnel

DUSD(I&E) continues to work with OSD(P&R) to address the following action items:

- ▶Clarify the meaning of “consolidation” of the MILPERS function and develop Common Output Level Standards (COLS) as applicable. The MILPERS Sub-Working Group (SWG) has a meeting planned in June 2009 to begin determining a way ahead for developing COLS.
- ▶Review the Joint Duty experience credit issue for Deputy Joint Base

Commanders (DJBCs) and other appropriate positions. This is expected to be reviewed with a way ahead to be proposed in June 2009.

Spotlight on Data Migration

Data Migration Strategy

The Data Migration Strategy Memo was issued by the DUSD(I&E) on March 12, 2009. The purpose of the Strategy was to identify the applications that contain the information for regulatory reporting and operational needs of the joint base. The document identifies whether the data is located in Enterprise or non-Enterprise level systems. This delineation also assigns responsibility – Enterprise systems’ data migration will be coordinated at headquarters using the Data Migration Plan, and non-Enterprise systems’ data will be migrated at the joint base level using the Data Migration Framework.

Enterprise Systems: Data Migration Plan

A detailed Joint Base Data Migration Plan is being developed by Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Networks and Information Integration)/Department of Defense Chief Information Officer [OASD(NII)/DoD-CIO]. Each joint base will have their own unique plan that will define the tasks and schedule for data migration at that joint base. Although the bulk of the work will be conducted at the headquarters level, each Phase I joint base and their affected Components will need to provide functional input into the plan and assist the data migration team where necessary. The data migration team is currently on schedule to accomplish Phase I joint bases’ enterprise system data migration by

Full Operational Capability (FOC).

A data migration pilot is scheduled for Joint Base Andrews-Naval Air Facility (A-NAF) Washington to migrate as many functional areas as possible by mid June 2009. The majority of key functional areas for Joint Base A-NAF have been migrated already. The remaining ten functional areas are either currently being mapped or are in the final stages of preparation, which includes the collections of Data Element Dictionaries (DED), Data Definition Language (DDL), user manuals, and system administrative guides. Testing between the supporting and supported Components is a joint effort to ensure that all the data is valid and accurate, while also working with the corresponding supporting Component’s application once the migration has occurred. The methods and lessons learned from the pilot will be carried over to future joint base migrations.

Non-Enterprise Systems: Data Migration Framework

The Data Migration Framework was issued by the OASD(NII)/DoD-CIO on May 15, 2009 and is posted on DKO. The purpose of the Framework is to provide the joint bases with an outline of how to complete data migration for non-Enterprise systems. It is important to note that the Framework is a guide for the joint bases to use in migrating non-Enterprise system data where needed, and that each joint base is required to perform the migration of data from or to non-enterprise systems in accordance with the data migration tasks and schedule forwarded by DUSD(I&E) on March 12, 2009.

This Framework is intended to assist the joint bases with data migration for non-Enterprise systems. The data to be migrated, both in terms of systems and amount, is entirely up to the discretion of the supporting command. In other words, OSD is not mandating what non-Enterprise systems will be migrated, or how much of the data will be required to enable the operational

Missing Something?

If you are working through an issue that requires resolution from the JBPMO and it is not addressed in this newsletter, please bring it to our attention. ▶OSD: jointbasing@osd.mil ▶Army: armyjointbasing@conus.army.mil ▶Navy: ANND_CNICHO_Jointbasing@navy.mil
▶Air Force: af.jointbasing@pentagon.af.mil ▶Marine Corps: jbworkinggroup@usmc.mil



Spotlight on Data Migration, continued

needs of the supporting Component. The joint base determines whether non-Enterprise system data migration is necessary and/or advantageous. It is the role of the data migration team to provide guidance for the joint base to gather the information necessary to make a well-thought out decision before moving forward in the process. Finally, the supporting Component joint bases should be aware that data migration from or to non-enterprise systems is a supporting Component cost, per the March 12, 2009 DUSD(I&E) Data Migration Strategy memo.

Talking Points

Future Air Force Vacancy Announcements

Effective August 2009, the Air Force will begin announcing all Air Force vacancies DoD-wide. The Air Force will also inform applicants if a billet will shift to another Component's career program at a date in the future.

Contract Security Guard Issues

Several questions have arisen regarding contract security guard resourcing and policy in light of the

National Defense Authorization Act mandate to eliminate the use of contract guards by 2012. Reductions of contract guards are made at the DoD level; therefore, when one Component transfers contract guards to another Component as a result of Joint Basing, the total number of contract guards at the DoD level remains the same, and reductions have not been made. Therefore, each Component must make the DoD mandated contract guard reductions based on the baseline number of contract guards they retain after transfer from the supported Component to the supporting Component.

Secondly, Total Obligation Authority (TOA) transfers for contract security guards should include only current contract costs unless the supported Component converts to General Schedule (GS) positions prior to transfer.

Finally, supporting Component plans to terminate, at Joint Base FOC, existing supported Component's guard contracts prior to the end of the contracted performance period should minimize the potential for penalties and other avoidable costs. As with all supported Component installation support contracts, the supporting Component should coordinate with its own and supported Component procurement functionals to avoid negative effects from early termination of contracts.

Security Services COLS Clarification

COLS 1a states, "Provide 1st law enforcement patrol response within 15 minutes, and back-up patrol available for any response." Components should determine the number of patrols needed to provide coverage for the developed areas of the base, assuming a single incident and a 15 minute response radius for each patrol. Generally, installations should not see a plus up to meet this COLS.

COLS 3c states, "Verify 100% of personnel, vehicles, cargo, and baggage." This COLS does not mandate a full inspection of all personnel, vehicles, cargo, and baggage entering an installation. Currently, installation security perform inspections based on a threat

analysis of the assets and location of the installation. For example, checking of personnel identification cards combined with random antiterrorism measures (RAMS) appropriate to the current Force Protection Condition (FPCON) normally fulfills this requirement for personnel, baggage, and cargo in non-commercial vehicles.

Housing Privatization

OSD Housing and Competitive Sourcing (H&CS) met with the Components to resolve several issues regarding the impact of Joint Basing on Housing Privatization. The areas addressed centered on: (1) housing reporting requirements (e.g., General/Flag Officer Quarters); (2) cost variations in reimbursed support services versus lease deal terms; and (3) ground lease and real estate oversight after transfer to the supporting Component. OSD H&CS will be presenting their results at the June 2, 2009 Senior Joint Base Working Group (SJBWG) meeting.

Revision to Signed MOAs

Paragraph 1 of the MOA requires the signed MOA to be reviewed pre-FOC. The intent of the review is to identify significant omissions or errors in the signed MOA that requires higher headquarters (HQ) attention. It is important that the identified error or omission is supported by published policy, COLS, and empirical facts, and warrants action by higher HQ.

Joint Base Status Update

PHASE I BASES

Cost and Performance Visibility Framework (CPVF) Update

Fielding and stand-up of the CPVF was completed at Phase I joint bases with subject matter expert training (SME) trainings held in April and May. Approximately 75-120 people were trained at each location.



Joint Base Status Update, continued

Generally, users found the CPVF Tool reasonably easy to use and navigate. The team collected questions to be used in a Frequently Asked Question list for the Joint Basing Program Management Office (JBPMO) CPVF page, and also collected suggestions from users for changes and enhancements.

Concurrently, the JBWG has been developing recommendations regarding the access, type of information, frequency, and process for reporting CPVF data above the Joint Base Partnership Council (JBPC). The recommendations are expected to be briefed at the June 23, 2009 SJBWG meeting.

PHASE II BASES

Joint Base Charleston

Final SJBWG coordination was due on May 8, 2009 and is anticipated to be complete by June 1, 2009.

Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam

Final SJBWG coordination was due on May 29, 2009 and is anticipated to be complete by June 19, 2009. The MOA will be submitted for Vice Chief of Staff (VCS) staffing on June 9, 2009.

Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson

The Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson

Intermediate Command Summit (ICS) coordinated MOA is due to the JBPMO on June 5, 2009.

Joint Base Lewis-McChord

The Joint Base Lewis-McChord ICS coordinated MOA is expected to be received by the JBPMO on May 29, 2009. Formal coordination is due on July 2, 2009.

Joint Base Langley-Eustis

The Joint Base Langley-Eustis MOA Workshop was on May 18-21, 2009. The Joint Base Langley-Eustis ICS coordinated MOA is due on June 19, 2009.

Joint Base Lackland-Sam Houston-Randolph

The Joint Base Lackland-Sam Houston-Randolph MOA was submitted on May 22, 2009. The MOA is currently undergoing OSD and Component HQ review/comment. The Joint Base Lackland-Sam Houston-Randolph MOA Workshop will be held June 9-12, 2009.

Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling

Joint Base Anacostia-Bolling reported to Commander Navy Installation Command (CNIC) that they have no potential variances or deviations for the MOA. The draft MOA is due to the JBPMO on June 19, 2009.

From the JBPMO

JBPMO Website

The JBPMO website is updated on a daily basis. The JBPMO emails weekly updates to the website to all members of the group. The following updates were made during the month of May:

►22 May: New files have been added to the Real Property Inventory Data Migration folder in the Program Management Office knowledge center.

►6 May: The Revised PB-14 (JB) Prior Year Data Call is saved in the 0 Instruction and Template folder of the

PB-14 knowledge center.

►1 May: The April JBPMO Newsletter is saved in the JBPMO Newsletters folder in the Program Management Office knowledge center.

Calendar Updates

SJBWG Meetings are typically scheduled monthly. An additional SJBWG meeting has been added to the JBPMO Calendar for June 23, 2009. The full joint basing calendar can be found on the calendar page of the JBPMO website.

