

Connecticut: 2000

Issued April 2003

Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics

PHC-2-8

2000 Census of Population and Housing



U S C E N S U S B U R E A U

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

U.S. Department of Commerce
Economics and Statistics Administration
U.S. CENSUS BUREAU

United States
Census
2000


Connecticut: 2000

Issued April 2003

Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics

PHC-2-8

2000 Census of Population and Housing



U.S. Department of Commerce

Donald L. Evans,

Secretary

Samuel W. Bodman,

Deputy Secretary

Economics and Statistics Administration

Kathleen B. Cooper,

Under Secretary for

Economic Affairs

U.S. CENSUS BUREAU

Charles Louis Kincannon,

Director

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Census Bureau,
2000 Census of
Population and Housing,
*Summary Social, Economic,
and Housing Characteristics*,
PHC-2-8, Connecticut
Washington, DC,
2003



**Economics
and Statistics
Administration**

Kathleen B. Cooper,
Under Secretary
for Economic Affairs



U.S. CENSUS BUREAU
Charles Louis Kincannon,
Director

Hermann Habermann,
Deputy Director and
Chief Operating Officer

Nancy A. Potok,
Principal Associate Director
and Chief Financial Officer

Vacant,
Principal Associate
Director for Programs

Preston Jay Waite,
Associate Director
for Decennial Census

Nancy M. Gordon,
Associate Director
for Demographic Programs

Cynthia Z.F. Clark,
Associate Director
for Methodology and
Standards

Marvin D. Raines,
Associate Director
for Field Operations

Arnold A. Jackson,
Assistant Director
for Decennial Census

CONTENTS

List of Statistical Tables	v
How to Use This Census Report	I-1
Table Finding Guide	II-1
User Notes	III-1
Statistical Tables (For a detailed list of statistical tables, see page v)	1
Appendixes	
A Geographic Terms and Concepts	*
B Definitions of Subject Characteristics	*
C Data Collection and Processing Procedures	*
D Questionnaire	*
E Data Products and User Assistance	*
F Maps	F-1
G Accuracy of the Data	G-1
H Acknowledgments	*

* Appendix may be found in the separate volume, PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*, in print and on the Internet at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-a.pdf>.

LIST OF
STATISTICAL
TABLES

Table no.	Title	Page
1.	Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	1
2.	Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	5
3.	Education and Veteran Status: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	9
4.	Education and Veteran Status: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	13
5.	Disability Status: 2000. State, County, County Subdivision, Place	17
6.	Disability Status: 2000. State, County, Place and County Subdivision	21
7.	Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	25
8.	Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	29
9.	Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	33
10.	Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	37
11.	Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	41
12.	Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	45
13.	Household Income in 1999: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	49
14.	Household Income in 1999: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	53
15.	Poverty Status in 1999: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	57
16.	Poverty Status in 1999: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	61
17.	Units in Structure: 2000 State, County, County Subdivision, Place	65
18.	Units in Structure: 2000 State, County, Place and County Subdivision	69
19.	Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000. State, County, County Subdivision, Place	73
20.	Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000. State, County, Place and County Subdivision	77

21.	Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000	81
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
22.	Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000	85
	State, County, Place and County Subdivision	
23.	Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000	89
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
24.	Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000	93
	State, County, Place and County Subdivision	
25.	Home Value: 2000.	97
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
26.	Home Value: 2000.	101
	State, County, Place and County Subdivision	
27.	Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000	105
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
28.	Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000	109
	State, County, Place and County Subdivision	
29.	Gross Rent: 2000	113
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
30.	Gross Rent: 2000	117
	State, County, Place and County Subdivision	
31.	Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000	121
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place	
32.	Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000	125
	State, County, Place and County Subdivision	
33.	Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000	129
	American Indian Area, County	
34.	Education and Veteran Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000	130
	American Indian Area, County	
35.	Disability Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000.	131
	American Indian Area, County	
36.	Employment Status and Journey to Work for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000	132
	American Indian Area, County	
37.	Work Status and Income in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000	133
	American Indian Area, County	
38.	Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000.	134
	American Indian Area, County	
39.	Income in 1999 of Households With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.	135
	American Indian Area, County	
40.	Poverty Status in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000	136
	American Indian Area, County	
41.	Units in Structure: 2000	137
	American Indian Area, County	
42.	Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000.	138
	American Indian Area, County	

43.	Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.	139
	American Indian Area, County	
44.	Year Householder Moved Into Unit for Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.	140
	American Indian Area, County	
45.	Home Value of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.	141
	American Indian Area, County	
46.	Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.	142
	American Indian Area, County	
47.	Gross Rent of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000.	143
	American Indian Area, County	
48.	Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000	144
	American Indian Area, County	
49.	Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000.	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
50.	Education and Veteran Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
51.	Disability Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
52.	Employment Status and Journey to Work for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
53.	Work Status and Income in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
54.	Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000.	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
55.	Income in 1999 of Households With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
56.	Poverty Status in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
57.	Units in Structure: 2000	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	
58.	Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000.	*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County	

59.	Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
60.	Year Householder Moved Into Unit for Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
61.	Home Value of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
62.	Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
63.	Gross Rent of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
64.	Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000.		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		
65.	Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000	147	
	State, County, County Subdivision, Place		
66.	Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000	149	
	State, County, Place and County Subdivision		
67.	Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000	151	
	American Indian Area, County		
68.	Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000		*
	Hawaiian Home Land, County		

* Table was omitted because there were no qualifying geographic areas.

How to Use This Census Report

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	I-1
How to Find Geographic Areas and Subject Matter Data.....	I-2
How to Use the Statistical Tables	I-2
Graphics	I-4
User Notes	I-5
Appendixes	I-5

INTRODUCTION

Data from Census 2000 are presented in three printed report series:

1. PHC-1, *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*
2. PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*
3. PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*

The data from Census 2000 were derived from a limited number of basic questions asked of the entire population and about every housing unit (referred to as the 100-percent questions, found on the “short form”), and from additional questions asked of a sample of the population and housing units (referred to as the sample questions, found on the “long form”).

The PHC-1, *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*, report series provides data based on the 100-percent questions. The subjects are age, Hispanic or Latino origin, household relationship, race, sex, tenure (owner- or renter-occupied), and vacancy characteristics. Land area measurements and population density also are provided. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-1 series.

The PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*, report series provides sample data based on both the 100-percent and the sample questions. Sample subjects include place of birth; residence in 1995; language; educational attainment and school enrollment; veteran status; disability status; employment status; journey to work; work status, earnings, income, and poverty status in 1999; physical housing characteristics; units in structure; fuel and equipment characteristics; owner and renter household characteristics, such as year owner moved into unit; home value; contract and gross rent; and mortgage and rental cost characteristics. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-5 series.

The PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series provides Census 2000 and historical comparisons of the 100-percent population and housing unit counts. It provides land and water area measurements, and population density. The user notes section documents geographic changes over the past decade. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-2 series.

In each series, there is one report for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, plus a United States summary report. Many tables in the United States summary reports include data for Puerto Rico.

HOW TO FIND GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND SUBJECT MATTER DATA

This report includes a table finding guide to assist the user in locating those statistical tables that contain the desired data. The table finding guide lists alphabetically, by geographic area, the subjects shown in this report. To determine which tables in this report show data for a particular topic, find the subject in the lefthand column of the table finding guide and then look across the columns using the headings at the top for the desired type of geographic area. Figure I-1 is an example of a table finding guide.

The table finding guide does not include cross-classifications of subject-matter items. Additional information to locate data within specific reports is provided in the headnote at the top of the table finding guide and in the footnotes at the bottom of the guide.

HOW TO USE THE STATISTICAL TABLES

Parts of a Statistical Table

The census data included in printed reports are arranged in tables. Each table includes four major parts: (1) heading, (2) boxhead, (3) stub, and (4) data field. A typical census report table is illustrated in Figure I-2.

The heading consists of the table number, title, and headnote. The table number indicates the position of the table within the report, while the title is a brief statement indicating the subjects and time reference of the data presented in the table. The headnote is enclosed in brackets and is located under the title. It contains statements that qualify, explain, or provide information pertaining to the entire table.

The boxhead is under the heading. This portion of the table, which contains the individual column heads or captions, describes the data in each vertical column. In the boxhead of many tables, a spanner appears across and above two or more column heads or across two or more lower spanners. The purpose of a spanner is to classify or qualify items below it or separate the table into identifiable blocks in terms of major aspects of the data.

Figure I-1.

Table Finding Guide

SUBJECTS BY TYPE OF GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND TABLE NUMBER

Subjects covered in this guide are shown on the left side, and types of geographic areas are shown at the top. Table numbers shown in **bold** indicate that either all or part of the table is presented for the American Indian and Alaska Native population in American Indian and Alaska Native areas, or for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander population in Hawaiian home lands. See PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes* for a description of area classifications (Appendix A) and for definitions and explanations of subject characteristics (Appendix B).

Subject	The state ¹	County ²	Place		County subdivision		American Indian and Alaska Native area ⁴	Hawaiian home land ⁵
			By county and county subdivision	Alphabetically for the state	By county	Alphabetically for the state		
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS								
Disability status	5,6	5,6	5	6	5	6	35	51
Earnings in 1999	11,12	11,12	11	12	11	12	38	54
Educational attainment	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	34	50
Employment status	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	36	52
Full-time, year-round workers in 1999	9,10,11,12	9,10,11,12	9,11	10,12	9,11	10,12	37,38	53,54
Household income in 1999	13,14	13,14	13	14	13	14	39	55
Income in 1999	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	37	53
Journey to work	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	36	52
Language spoken at home and ability to speak English	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
Nativity	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
Place of birth	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
Poverty status in 1999	15,16	15,16	15	16	15	16	40	56
Residence in 1995	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
School enrollment and type of school	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	34	50
Veteran status	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	34	50
Work status in 1999	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	37	53
HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS								
Bedrooms	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Gross rent	29,30	29,30	29	30	29	30	47	63
House heating fuel	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	-	-
Kitchen facilities	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Mortgage status	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	48	64
Occupancy characteristics	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	44	60
Owner cost characteristics	27,28,31,32	27,28,31,32	27,31	28,32	27,31	28,32	46,48	62,64
Plumbing facilities	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Rental cost characteristics	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	48	64
Rooms	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Telephone service available	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	43	59
Tenure	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	-	-
Units in structure	17,18,23,24	17,18,23,24	17,23	18,24	17,23	18,24	41	57
Value of home	25,26	25,26	25	26	25	26	45	61
Vehicles available	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	43	59
Year householder moved into unit	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	44	60
Year structure built	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58

Figure I-2.

PARTS OF A STATISTICAL TABLE

Table number and title: Table 6. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000

Headnote: (For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text.)

Spanner: Selected combinations of two races

Column head: Race alone or in combination with one or more other races

Boxhead: State, County, Place and (in Selected States) County Subdivision

Stubhead: The State

Table structure: Columns include White (Black or African American), White American Indian and Alaska Native, Black or African American Indian and Alaska Native, White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, and Some other race.

Table content: Lists 67 Alabama counties and 17 places, with population counts for various racial categories. Example rows include Autauga County (41,188 White, 77 Black, 13 American Indian, 35,588 Black or African American, 7,549 American Indian and Alaska Native, 410 Asian, 297 Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, 32 Some other race).

Page number and state name: 16 Alabama

Report title: Summary Population and Housing Characteristics U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000

The stub is located at the left edge of the table. It includes a listing of line or row captions or descriptions. At the top of the stub is the stubhead. The stubhead is considered to be an extension of the table title and usually shows generic geographic area designations and restrictions.

In the stub, several features are used to help the user better understand the contents of the table. Usually, a block of data lines is preceded by a sidehead. The sidehead, similar to a spanner, describes and classifies the stub entries following it. The use of indentation in a stub indicates the relationship of one data line to another. Indented data lines represent subcategories that, in most instances, sum to a total. Occasionally

in tables, it is desirable to show one or more single-line subcategories that do not sum to the total.

The data field is that part of the statistical table that contains the data. It extends from the bottom of the boxhead to the bottom of the table and from the right of the stub to the right edge of the page.

Both geographic and subject-matter terms appear in tables. It is important to read the definitions of the terms used in the tables because census terms often are defined in special ways that reflect the manner in which the questions were asked and the data were tabulated. Definitions of geographic terms are provided in PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*, Appendix A. Census tables often include derived measures such as medians, means, percentages, and ratios. These and other subject-matter terms are defined in Appendix B of the same report.

Symbols and Geographic Abbreviations

The following symbols are used in the tables and explanations of subjects covered in Census 2000 reports:

- A dash “-” represents zero or a derived measure that rounds to less than 0.1.
- (X) means not applicable. In the 1990 and earlier decennial census reports, three dots “...” meant not applicable.

-
- (NA) means not available.
 - The superscript prefix “r” indicates that the count has been revised since the publication of the 1990 census reports, or that the area was erroneously omitted or not shown in the correct geographic relationship in the 1990 census reports. This symbol appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series.
 - A dagger “†” next to the name of a geographic area indicates that there has been a geographic change (for example, an annexation or detachment, a new incorporation, or a name change) since the information was published for the 1990 census for that area. This symbol appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series. The geographic change information for the entities in a state is shown in the “User Notes” section of the Census 2000 PHC-3 report for that state.
 - A plus sign “+” is appended to the lower bound of the highest interval when the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A minus sign “-” is appended to the upper bound of the lowest interval when the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution. For more information on medians, see the section on “Derived Measures” in Appendix B.
 - A minus sign “-” preceding a figure denotes decrease. The minus sign appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series.

The following geographic abbreviations and terms may be used in the tables in this report:

- A “(part)” next to the name of a geographic area in a hierarchical presentation indicates that the geographic entity is located only partially in the superior geographic entity. For example, a “(part)” next to a place name in a county subdivision-place hierarchy indicates that the place is located in more than one county subdivision. (Places also may be “split” by county, congressional district, urban/rural, metropolitan area, voting district, and other geographic boundaries, depending on the presentation.) Other geographic entities also can be “split” by a higher level entity. The exception is a tabulation block, which is unique within all geographic entities in census products.
- ANVSA is Alaska Native village statistical area.
- ANRC is Alaska Native Regional Corporation.
- CCD is census county division.
- CDP is census designated place.
- CMSA is consolidated metropolitan statistical area.
- MA is metropolitan area.
- MSA is metropolitan statistical area.
- OTSA is Oklahoma tribal statistical area.
- PMSA is primary metropolitan statistical area.
- SDAISA is state designated American Indian statistical area.
- TDSA is tribal designated statistical area.
- UT is unorganized territory.

GRAPHICS

Charts, statistical maps, and other graphic summaries are included in some Census 2000 reports.

USER NOTES

User notes include corrections, errata, and related explanatory information. This section appears directly before the statistical tables in census reports. It presents information about unique characteristics of the report and changes or corrections made too late to be reflected in the text or tables themselves. However, sometimes this information becomes available too late to be reflected even in the user notes. Census 2000 user updates are available on the Census Bureau's Internet site at www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html. To receive notification of user notes, subscribe to the Census Product Update (<http://www.census.gov/mp/www/cpu.html>), a biweekly e-mail newsletter available from the Customer Services Center of the Marketing Services Office at the U.S. Census Bureau, or contact the Customer Services Center directly on 301-763-INFO (4636) or at webmaster@census.gov.

APPENDIXES

Appendixes A through E and Appendix H, described below, are found in the separate printed volume, PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*, or on the Internet at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-a.pdf>. Appendixes F and G are included in this report.

Appendix A, Geographic Terms and Concepts. Provides definitions of the types of geographic areas and related information used in census products.

Appendix B, Definitions of Subject Characteristics. Contains definitions for the subject-matter terms used in census products, including explanations of derived measures, limitations of the data, and comparability with previous censuses. The subjects are listed alphabetically. Population characteristics are defined first, followed by the definitions of the housing subjects.

Appendix C, Data Collection and Processing Procedures. Explains the enumeration and residence rules used in counting the population and housing units in the United States and Puerto Rico. It also describes the major components of the operational plan for Census 2000, and includes a glossary of terms.

Appendix D, Questionnaire. Presents a facsimile of the Census 2000 questionnaire used to collect the data in this report.

Appendix E, Data Products and User Assistance. Summarizes the Census 2000 data products by describing the information available in printed reports and through electronic media such as CD-ROM, DVD, and the Internet. It also describes Census 2000 maps and other geographic products, reference materials, and sources of assistance.

Appendix F, Maps. Contains maps depicting the geographic areas shown in this report.

Appendix G, Accuracy of the Data. Provides information on confidentiality of the data, imputation of housing unit status and population counts, sources of errors in the data, and editing of unacceptable data.

Appendix H, Acknowledgments. Lists many of the U.S. Census Bureau staff who participated in the various activities of Census 2000.

Table Finding Guide

SUBJECTS BY TYPE OF GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND TABLE NUMBER

Subjects covered in this guide are shown on the left side, and types of geographic areas are shown at the top. Table numbers shown in **bold** indicate that either all or part of the table is presented for the American Indian and Alaska Native population in American Indian and Alaska Native areas, or for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander population in Hawaiian home lands. See PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes* for a description of area classifications (Appendix A) and for definitions and explanations of subject characteristics (Appendix B).

Subject	The state ¹	County ²	Place		County subdivision		American Indian and Alaska Native area ⁴	Hawaiian home land ⁵
			By county and county subdivision	Alphabetically for the state	By county	Alphabetically for the state		
POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS								
Disability status	5,6	5,6	5	6	5	6	35	51
Earnings in 1999	11,12	11,12	11	12	11	12	38	54
Educational attainment	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	34	50
Employment status	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	36	52
Full-time, year-round workers in 1999	9,10,11,12	9,10,11,12	9,11	10,12	9,11	10,12	37,38	53,54
Household income in 1999	13,14	13,14	13	14	13	14	39	55
Income in 1999	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	37	53
Journey to work	7,8	7,8	7	8	7	8	36	52
Language spoken at home and ability to speak English	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
Nativity	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
Place of birth	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
Poverty status in 1999	15,16	15,16	15	16	15	16	40	56
Residence in 1995	1,2	1,2	1	2	1	2	33	49
School enrollment and type of school	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	34	50
Veteran status	3,4	3,4	3	4	3	4	34	50
Work status in 1999	9,10	9,10	9	10	9	10	37	53
HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS								
Bedrooms	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Gross rent	29,30	29,30	29	30	29	30	47	63
House heating fuel	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	—	—
Kitchen facilities	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Mortgage status	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	48	64
Occupancy characteristics	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	44	60
Owner cost characteristics	27,28,31,32	27,28,31,32	27,31	28,32	27,31	28,32	46,48	62,64
Plumbing facilities	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Rental cost characteristics	31,32	31,32	31	32	31	32	48	64
Rooms	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58
Telephone service available	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	43	59
Tenure	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	—	—
Units in structure	17,18,23,24	17,18,23,24	17,23	18,24	17,23	18,24	41	57
Value of home	25,26	25,26	25	26	25	26	45	61
Vehicles available	21,22	21,22	21	22	21	22	43	59
Year householder moved into unit	23,24	23,24	23	24	23	24	44	60
Year structure built	19,20	19,20	19	20	19	20	42	58

See footnotes on next page.

¹State, District of Columbia, or Puerto Rico.

²Parish in Louisiana; city and borough, municipality, borough, or census area in Alaska; and municipio in Puerto Rico; in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia, one or more cities are independent of counties and are treated as statistical equivalents of counties; the entire District of Columbia, which has no counties, is treated as a county equivalent.

³County subdivisions within the state are shown alphabetically with places for the following 12 states: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

⁴American Indian and Alaska Native areas include state and federal American Indian Reservations; Oklahoma tribal statistical areas (OTSAs); tribal designated statistical areas (TDSAs) (federal areas); state designated American Indian statistical areas (SDAISAs) (state areas); Alaska Native village statistical areas (ANVSAs); and Alaska Native Regional Corporations (ANRCs).

⁵Tables for these areas appear only in the report for Hawaii.

User Notes

Additional information concerning this Census 2000 product and its source file, Summary File 3, may become available after this report is published. This information, called Notes and Errata, is available in portable document format (PDF) on the U.S. Census Bureau's Internet site at <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>. To receive notification of user notes, subscribe to the Census Product Update (<http://www.census.gov/mp/www/cpu.html>), a biweekly e-mail newsletter available from the Customer Services Center of the Marketing Services Office at the U.S. Census Bureau, or contact the Customer Services Center directly on 301-763-INFO (4636) or at webmaster@census.gov.

ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS OF THE DATA

Data Note 1

The user should note that there are limitations to many of these data. Please refer to the text for further explanations on the limitations of the data. See Appendix G of this report and the text found in PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes*.

Data Note 2

The Census Bureau is aware there may be a problem in the Census 2000 employment status data for people enumerated in group quarters. The problem may cause the labor force data for places, particularly those with high concentrations of people in group quarters (such as college towns with large dormitory populations) to overstate the number in the labor force, the number unemployed, and the percent unemployed, and to understate the number of employed. For more information, see the Census 2000 Notes and Errata document at the following Census Bureau Internet site: <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/notes/errata.pdf>.

Data Note 3

Estimated population and housing unit totals based on tabulations from only the sample questionnaires (sample tabulations) may differ from the official counts as tabulated from every census questionnaire (100-percent tabulations). Such differences result, in part, because the sample tabulations are based on information from a sample of households rather than from all households (sampling error). Differences also can occur because the interview situation (length of questionnaire, effect of the interviewer, etc.) and the processing rules differ between the 100-percent and sample tabulations. These types of differences are referred to as nonsampling error. (For more information, see Appendix G.)

The 100-percent data are the official counts and should be used as the source of information on population and housing items collected on the 100-percent questionnaire, such as age, race, Hispanic or Latino origin, and tenure. This is especially appropriate when the primary focus is on counts of the population or housing units for small areas. For estimates of the number of people and housing units by characteristics asked only on a sample basis (such as education, labor force status, income in 1999, or year structure built), the sample estimates should be used within the context of the error associated with them.

Additional information on comparing sample estimates with corresponding 100-percent values is available on the Census Bureau's Internet site at <http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2002/sf3compnote.html>.

Data Note 4

Median incomes for nonfamily households by race were calculated from a 38-category income distribution rather than the standard 39-category income distribution. The 38-category distribution collapsed the two highest categories (\$175,000 - \$199,999 and \$200,000 and over) into a single category of \$175,000 and over.

Data Note 5

Users may find slight differences in the Occupants Per Room calculations between those found in this report and those found in the Census 2000 Demographic Profile. "Occupants per room" is obtained by dividing the number of people in each occupied housing unit by the number of rooms in the unit. This report, based on Summary File 3, correctly uses a topcode value of "10 rooms" for those occupied housing units with "9 or more rooms." In the Demographic Profile, an incorrect topcode value of "9 rooms" was used.

Table 1. Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
The State	3 405 565	63.9	369 967	10.9	48.7	3 184 514	41.8	108 362	29.3	475 551	42.7
Fairfield County	882 567	54.4	149 038	16.9	42.2	819 251	42.7	35 792	29.3	160 042	46.8
Bethel town	18 067	57.8	2 303	12.7	55.8	16 860	37.1	563	21.8	1 918	38.7
Bethel CDP	9 063	62.5	1 342	14.8	50.4	8 418	44.6	344	28.8	1 135	43.6
Bridgeport town	139 529	63.4	28 638	20.5	37.6	128 328	49.8	12 532	33.8	43 327	52.7
Bridgeport city	139 529	63.4	28 638	20.5	37.6	128 328	49.8	12 532	33.8	43 327	52.7
Brookfield town	15 664	47.0	1 278	8.2	48.0	14 682	36.2	209	7.2	1 281	26.5
Danbury town	74 848	54.5	20 241	27.0	30.6	69 942	50.3	3 923	28.5	20 345	61.3
Danbury city	74 848	54.5	20 241	27.0	30.6	69 942	50.3	3 923	28.5	20 345	61.3
Darien town	19 607	38.0	2 063	10.5	37.1	17 580	35.4	418	22.0	1 591	26.8
Darien CDP	19 607	38.0	2 063	10.5	37.1	17 580	35.4	418	22.0	1 591	26.8
Easton town	7 272	58.6	737	10.1	61.2	6 710	34.1	185	10.8	721	30.9
Fairfield town	57 340	53.1	5 169	9.0	58.2	53 207	42.8	771	25.0	5 699	28.9
Greenwich town	61 101	37.0	11 601	19.0	41.8	56 872	42.6	2 061	28.4	9 829	36.6
Monroe town	19 247	66.8	1 360	7.1	69.3	17 768	33.9	356	13.5	1 516	30.7
New Canaan town	19 395	34.3	1 785	9.2	50.9	17 817	39.4	306	15.7	1 644	23.5
New Fairfield town	13 953	37.0	1 091	7.8	74.1	12 852	36.9	248	14.5	1 022	20.1
Newtown town	25 031	53.9	1 466	5.9	46.7	23 034	41.0	177	17.5	1 654	23.0
Newtown borough	1 843	53.8	106	5.8	66.0	1 707	36.1	12	16.7	107	17.8
Norwalk town	82 951	58.8	16 800	20.3	43.0	77 456	43.3	3 594	26.1	17 175	51.4
Norwalk city	82 951	58.8	16 800	20.3	43.0	77 456	43.3	3 594	26.1	17 175	51.4
Redding town	8 270	45.7	809	9.8	40.3	7 663	39.8	157	33.1	521	34.9
Georgetown CDP (part)	364	49.7	42	11.5	31.0	318	46.9	7	-	37	37.8
Ridgefield town	23 643	31.1	2 271	9.6	48.0	21 693	41.7	362	21.3	1 586	21.9
Ridgefield CDP	7 257	32.6	828	11.4	51.3	6 724	43.6	200	11.0	552	23.4
Shelton town	38 101	76.3	3 608	9.5	63.1	35 749	34.9	854	25.5	4 390	35.8
Shelton city	38 101	76.3	3 608	9.5	63.1	35 749	34.9	854	25.5	4 390	35.8
Sherman town	3 827	42.3	294	7.7	72.1	3 590	35.5	12	-	227	27.8
Stamford town	117 083	53.5	34 670	29.6	37.2	109 214	46.4	6 440	28.9	31 670	49.8
Stamford city	117 083	53.5	34 670	29.6	37.2	109 214	46.4	6 440	28.9	31 670	49.8
Stratford town	49 976	75.7	4 429	8.9	60.0	47 080	33.3	1 140	35.1	5 710	37.3
Stratford CDP	49 976	75.7	4 429	8.9	60.0	47 080	33.3	1 140	35.1	5 710	37.3
Trumbull town	34 243	65.1	3 281	9.6	65.0	31 890	35.2	544	22.1	3 997	34.5
Trumbull CDP	34 243	65.1	3 281	9.6	65.0	31 890	35.2	544	22.1	3 997	34.5
Weston town	10 037	31.6	821	8.2	58.8	9 206	39.3	91	37.4	650	19.8
Georgetown CDP (part)	144	52.9	25	17.4	-	132	56.8	-	(X)	-	(X)
Westport town	25 749	31.4	2 758	10.7	55.5	23 842	36.0	549	27.1	2 218	22.9
Westport CDP	25 749	31.4	2 758	10.7	55.5	23 842	36.0	549	27.1	2 218	22.9
Wilton town	17 633	35.1	1 565	8.9	54.5	16 216	42.6	300	34.0	1 351	21.9
Georgetown CDP (part)	1 137	50.6	90	7.9	8.9	1 036	35.8	33	-	42	14.3
Hartford County	857 183	65.2	100 693	11.7	54.4	802 702	42.0	33 823	29.8	140 366	43.0
Avon town	15 832	51.2	1 171	7.4	68.9	14 820	43.7	224	12.1	1 147	28.3
Berlin town	18 215	84.5	1 560	8.6	71.6	17 205	29.1	387	19.1	2 125	36.8
Kensington CDP	8 508	85.7	933	11.0	67.0	8 154	29.3	227	27.8	1 156	40.1
Bloomfield town	19 587	61.8	3 513	17.9	61.6	18 609	36.8	294	20.7	1 501	28.8
Blue Hills CDP	3 084	65.4	805	26.1	61.4	2 913	34.7	75	-	257	40.1
Bristol town	60 062	75.2	4 672	7.8	56.0	56 303	43.2	1 264	20.7	7 610	37.3
Bristol city	60 062	75.2	4 672	7.8	56.0	56 303	43.2	1 264	20.7	7 610	37.3
Burlington town	8 190	72.2	390	4.8	59.7	7 563	33.7	96	25.0	459	17.4
Canton town	8 840	67.0	456	5.2	38.4	8 263	40.8	80	36.3	313	28.4
Canton Valley CDP	1 634	65.4	53	3.2	41.5	1 486	43.9	4	100.0	50	74.0
Collinsville CDP	2 605	68.7	152	5.8	46.7	2 463	45.6	50	50.0	145	27.6
East Granby town	4 745	63.8	160	3.4	63.1	4 436	38.5	73	30.1	230	20.4
East Hartford town	49 575	68.3	7 445	15.0	52.1	46 464	42.4	2 482	26.1	9 616	45.4
East Hartford CDP	49 575	68.3	7 445	15.0	52.1	46 464	42.4	2 482	26.1	9 616	45.4
East Windsor town	9 818	66.9	396	4.0	57.6	9 266	44.6	157	12.7	616	39.6
Broad Brook CDP	3 498	66.6	98	2.8	60.2	3 283	46.8	71	-	183	35.5
Enfield town	45 212	56.4	2 191	4.8	50.3	42 688	36.5	466	30.0	3 970	30.7
Hazardville CDP	4 871	58.3	96	2.0	70.8	4 610	32.9	29	-	265	21.5
Sherwood Manor CDP	5 659	49.7	281	5.0	67.6	5 337	26.5	49	10.2	343	16.6
Southwood Acres CDP	8 202	58.5	359	4.4	42.9	7 667	25.4	53	56.6	475	29.5
Thompsonville CDP	8 013	59.9	648	8.1	32.6	7 440	50.7	89	53.9	831	46.7
Farmington town	23 641	66.7	2 807	11.9	65.8	22 286	43.1	667	25.8	3 138	35.1
Glastonbury town	31 876	62.3	2 396	7.5	59.8	29 622	38.8	774	21.7	2 480	29.7
Glastonbury Center CDP	7 106	59.3	436	6.1	61.0	6 737	37.8	165	14.5	481	36.4
Wethersfield CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Granby town	10 347	57.3	392	3.8	61.5	9 638	37.2	209	16.3	464	26.1
North Granby CDP	1 724	48.8	74	4.3	64.9	1 603	40.0	16	-	121	41.3
Salmon Brook CDP	2 489	58.8	79	3.2	50.6	2 310	42.6	33	51.5	111	23.4
Hartford town	121 578	54.5	22 671	18.6	44.4	111 380	54.5	13 042	34.5	38 790	51.5
Hartford city	121 578	54.5	22 671	18.6	44.4	111 380	54.5	13 042	34.5	38 790	51.5
Hartland town	2 012	72.4	68	3.4	60.3	1 900	24.0	9	22.2	78	19.2
Manchester town	54 740	66.2	4 650	8.5	48.3	51 355	47.9	1 357	24.2	5 700	33.8
Central Manchester CDP	30 647	67.5	2 139	7.0	49.6	28 774	47.4	837	26.0	2 837	35.5
Marlborough town	5 709	68.3	313	5.5	74.4	5 319	34.0	73	16.4	288	26.7
Terramuggus CDP	1 084	63.9	38	3.5	28.9	995	43.8	24	-	64	7.8
New Britain town	71 538	64.2	12 863	18.0	44.0	67 095	49.0	6 020	32.6	23 121	50.4
New Britain city	71 538	64.2	12 863	18.0	44.0	67 095	49.0	6 020	32.6	23 121	50.4
Newington town	29 306	75.2	3 853	13.1	65.1	27 749	33.3	716	27.4	4 935	41.2
Newington CDP	29 306	75.2	3 853	13.1	65.1	27 749	33.3	716	27.4	4 935	41.2
Plainville town	17 328	78.0	2 003	11.6	61.2	16 454	36.4	325	26.2	2 732	37.0
Rocky Hill town	17 942	72.3	2 197	12.2	62.9	17 060	43.4	375	30.7	2 598	35.1
Simsbury town	23 234	50.6	1 500	6.5	66.9	21 573	38.8	311	11.9	1 507	28.5
Simsbury Center CDP	5 622	49.7	355	6.3	67.6	5 216	38.9	38	31.6	314	25.8
Tariffville CDP	1 332	55.4	105	7.9	46.7	1 252	40.0	32	21.9	113	46.0
Weatogue CDP	2 720	50.7	182	6.7	57.1	2 527	36.5	21	-	210	33.8
West Simsbury CDP	2 297	48.8	110	4.8	80.9	2 114	41.5	43	-	153	27.5
Southington town	39 728	78.2	2 620	6.6	65.0	37 296	34.1	417	25.4	3 426	32.8
South Windsor town	24 471	69.7	2 417	9.9	64.0	22 909	32.9	500	32.0	2 608	30.3
Suffield town	13 552	50.9	615	4.5	64.6	12 834	40.5	136	28.7	1 312	30.6
Suffield Depot CDP	1 480	50.0	53	3.6	84.9	1 389	49.3	15	-	78	-

Table 1. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
Hartford County—Con.											
West Hartford town	63 589	62.4	9 382	14.8	61.4	59 869	39.8	1 871	23.9	11 079	39.6
West Hartford CDP	63 589	62.4	9 382	14.8	61.4	59 869	39.8	1 871	23.9	11 079	39.6
Wethersfield town	26 236	76.2	3 808	14.5	66.2	24 905	33.2	733	21.3	4 573	43.8
Wethersfield CDP (part)	26 236	76.2	3 808	14.5	66.2	24 905	33.2	733	21.3	4 573	43.8
Windsor town	28 237	63.3	3 324	11.8	65.4	26 499	35.5	549	28.6	2 870	30.2
Windsor Locks town	12 043	71.0	860	7.1	46.5	11 342	37.2	216	38.9	1 080	40.2
Windsor Locks CDP	12 043	71.0	860	7.1	46.5	11 342	37.2	216	38.9	1 080	40.2
Litchfield County											
Barkhamsted town	3 494	69.2	152	4.4	47.4	3 291	35.5	17	23.5	167	31.1
Bethlehem town	3 422	76.2	120	3.5	83.3	3 254	28.5	-	(X)	107	20.6
Bethlehem Village CDP	2 071	75.1	74	3.6	86.5	1 968	26.0	-	(X)	62	8.1
Bridgewater town	1 824	51.4	68	3.7	54.4	1 747	31.8	9	22.2	84	13.1
Canaan town	1 081	57.9	43	4.0	74.4	1 024	28.4	6	-	41	24.4
Colebrook town	1 471	74.0	73	5.0	60.3	1 376	30.5	14	-	51	7.8
Cornwall town	1 434	52.2	88	6.1	65.9	1 366	38.0	13	23.1	78	7.7
Goshen town	2 697	67.7	80	3.0	93.8	2 556	34.5	-	(X)	94	24.5
Harwinton town	5 283	78.4	186	3.5	75.3	4 989	30.1	99	32.3	214	20.1
Northwest Harwinton CDP	3 309	80.4	141	4.3	67.4	3 108	28.5	92	27.2	150	28.7
Kent town	2 858	54.7	231	8.1	35.5	2 675	36.6	-	(X)	179	18.4
Litchfield town	8 316	70.0	334	4.0	63.5	7 909	33.4	106	21.7	396	21.2
Bantam borough	804	68.8	38	4.7	44.7	772	43.4	20	50.0	50	26.0
Litchfield borough	1 319	57.2	47	3.6	66.0	1 258	37.4	6	-	63	39.7
Morris town	2 301	79.5	87	3.8	78.2	2 173	26.0	23	-	73	28.8
New Hartford town	6 088	73.2	264	4.3	63.6	5 691	29.9	11	-	165	26.1
New Hartford Center CDP	985	74.9	21	2.1	52.4	944	16.4	-	(X)	11	-
New Milford town	27 121	52.9	1 769	6.5	52.2	25 207	43.2	307	26.4	1 701	34.7
New Milford CDP	6 633	59.3	584	8.8	37.5	6 226	56.2	125	33.6	508	40.7
Norfolk town	1 660	67.9	87	5.2	56.3	1 555	33.8	14	-	81	17.3
North Canaan town	3 350	59.1	136	4.1	34.6	3 188	37.3	58	29.3	176	44.9
Canaan CDP	1 262	56.3	109	8.6	23.9	1 197	50.3	43	20.9	117	46.2
Plymouth town	11 634	82.6	396	3.4	60.1	10 904	33.7	76	47.4	769	33.4
Terryville CDP	5 445	83.2	167	3.1	65.9	5 109	34.7	39	56.4	328	35.1
Roxbury town	2 136	58.6	93	4.4	72.0	2 034	34.9	30	-	121	2.5
Salisbury town	3 977	39.5	198	5.0	53.5	3 805	44.2	62	16.1	174	41.4
Sharon town	2 968	51.2	89	3.0	52.8	2 857	39.2	52	11.5	119	30.3
Thomaston town	7 503	84.5	224	3.0	71.4	7 054	33.4	74	41.9	298	25.5
Torrington town	35 202	77.3	2 075	5.9	58.1	33 109	39.0	574	31.5	3 021	40.3
Torrington city	35 202	77.3	2 075	5.9	58.1	33 109	39.0	574	31.5	3 021	40.3
Warren town	1 254	62.3	34	2.7	79.4	1 187	26.9	12	66.7	22	18.2
Washington town	3 596	58.4	285	7.9	39.3	3 456	35.3	42	-	224	49.6
New Preston CDP	1 157	63.9	68	5.9	47.1	1 108	35.0	15	-	74	10.8
Watertown town	21 661	83.0	1 613	7.4	76.1	20 427	31.2	377	41.6	2 232	38.4
Oakville CDP	8 611	86.2	815	9.5	68.5	8 103	30.8	200	28.0	990	42.3
Winchester town	10 664	75.2	643	6.0	56.3	10 071	38.7	133	-	635	55.1
Winsted CDP	7 254	76.0	541	7.5	55.1	6 841	44.3	125	-	469	60.3
Woodbury town	9 198	65.5	530	5.8	50.6	8 662	36.8	78	33.3	576	24.0
Woodbury Center CDP	1 323	65.2	73	5.5	24.7	1 227	50.5	-	(X)	37	21.6
Middlesex County											
Chester town	3 743	70.5	165	4.4	61.8	3 507	36.0	14	-	179	6.1
Chester Center CDP	1 659	65.9	84	5.1	45.2	1 559	32.5	10	-	113	5.3
Clinton town	13 094	67.6	676	5.2	46.0	12 258	37.9	223	32.3	703	35.6
Clinton CDP	3 531	65.9	221	6.3	54.3	3 267	45.4	108	29.6	288	46.5
Cromwell town	12 871	72.4	1 100	8.5	65.4	12 201	41.4	252	2.4	1 372	34.3
Deep River town	4 610	70.0	71	1.5	70.4	4 357	40.1	26	-	258	30.6
Deep River Center CDP	2 402	67.7	31	1.3	80.6	2 265	45.9	12	-	133	21.8
Durham town	6 627	77.8	251	3.8	61.4	6 171	29.6	27	33.3	352	27.0
Durham CDP	2 795	76.3	78	2.8	92.3	2 610	24.4	8	-	136	14.0
East Haddam town	8 333	67.4	218	2.6	76.1	7 774	40.5	66	-	387	30.2
Moodus CDP	1 381	68.5	64	4.6	85.9	1 311	49.0	9	-	115	6.1
East Hampton town	13 352	62.5	498	3.7	48.4	12 660	42.9	66	19.7	831	15.3
East Hampton CDP	2 257	71.9	96	4.3	50.0	2 089	35.3	31	-	102	17.6
Lake Pocotopaug CDP	3 133	75.1	133	4.2	54.1	2 977	40.9	7	-	159	29.6
Essex town	6 505	55.2	330	5.1	67.6	6 098	43.6	25	-	349	32.4
Essex Village CDP	2 550	46.1	192	7.5	64.6	2 424	47.9	-	(X)	161	35.4
Haddam town	7 157	74.1	216	3.0	60.6	6 735	29.0	51	11.8	279	7.9
Higganum CDP	1 698	76.0	101	5.9	58.4	1 603	34.9	14	-	49	30.6
Killingworth town	6 018	70.0	204	3.4	47.1	5 604	30.8	31	-	140	17.9
Middlefield town	4 203	80.3	96	2.3	81.3	3 964	27.0	17	29.4	252	15.1
Middletown town	43 167	67.5	4 137	9.6	50.9	40 363	50.5	826	20.2	5 305	42.8
Middletown city	43 167	67.5	4 137	9.6	50.9	40 363	50.5	826	20.2	5 305	42.8
Old Saybrook town	10 367	63.4	506	4.9	55.3	9 786	33.4	73	-	523	30.0
Fenwick borough	51	65.3	2	3.9	100.0	49	26.5	-	(X)	-	(X)
Old Saybrook Center CDP	1 825	61.8	95	5.2	54.7	1 750	36.5	-	(X)	67	-
Saybrook Manor CDP	1 144	67.7	30	2.6	70.0	1 101	34.8	-	(X)	49	28.6
Portland town	8 732	75.2	383	4.4	75.2	8 125	36.2	174	31.6	505	35.6
Portland CDP	5 553	74.1	307	5.5	70.7	5 195	41.4	127	37.0	398	32.7
Westbrook town	6 292	68.2	379	6.0	69.1	5 942	42.3	58	50.0	424	22.9
Westbrook Center CDP	2 196	67.9	210	9.6	60.5	2 116	45.2	16	-	275	18.2
New Haven County											
Ansonia town	18 554	82.5	2 059	11.1	55.9	17 303	38.9	482	25.9	2 625	41.4
Ansonia city	18 554	82.5	2 059	11.1	55.9	17 303	38.9	482	25.9	2 625	41.4
Beacon Falls town	5 246	78.8	521	9.9	50.1	4 897	45.3	113	88.5	496	39.1
Bethany town	5 040	74.8	362	7.2	61.6	4 713	26.1	100	10.0	408	49.5
Branford town	28 683	73.7	2 014	7.0	57.1	27 156	40.4	245	22.9	2 209	35.5
Branford Center CDP	5 720	70.6	577	10.1	37.6	5 369	53.0	54	22.2	538	37.9
East Haven CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Cheshire town	28 463	69.1	1 815	6.4	68.2	26 889	35.7	425	24.2	2 340	34.0
Cheshire Village CDP	5 757	67.2	337	5.9	69.1	5 437	33.6	99	34.3	343	49.6
Derby town	12 391	81.2	1 512	12.2	46.6	11 708	44.4	436	16.7	2 035	50.7

Table 1. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
New Haven County—Con.											
Derby town—Con.											
Derby city	12 391	81.2	1 512	12.2	46.6	11 708	44.4	436	16.7	2 035	50.7
East Haven town	28 189	86.0	1 998	7.1	56.8	26 561	33.7	472	18.2	2 752	41.8
East Haven CDP (part)	28 189	86.0	1 998	7.1	56.8	26 561	33.7	472	18.2	2 752	41.8
Guilford town	21 398	65.3	1 226	5.7	60.4	20 081	36.3	233	35.6	1 185	28.3
Guilford Center CDP	2 641	64.6	191	7.2	49.2	2 494	45.1	40	40.0	181	62.4
Hamden town	56 913	69.7	5 365	9.4	52.1	54 010	42.3	1 015	28.7	6 310	30.6
North Haven CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Madison town	17 858	54.4	867	4.9	66.1	16 673	40.0	164	22.0	792	26.3
Madison Center CDP	2 261	60.7	81	3.6	38.3	2 172	43.7	20	55.0	65	10.8
Meriden town	58 244	69.8	3 762	6.5	50.1	54 273	42.6	2 799	28.4	10 213	43.4
Meriden city	58 244	69.8	3 762	6.5	50.1	54 273	42.6	2 799	28.4	10 213	43.4
Middlebury town	6 531	77.1	425	6.5	72.5	6 169	34.1	101	-	481	29.5
Milford town	52 305	72.2	4 222	8.1	55.6	49 254	36.1	844	19.7	4 414	34.7
Milford city (balance)	50 602	72.2	4 100	8.1	56.0	47 629	36.0	829	19.8	4 285	35.0
Woodmont borough	1 703	72.7	122	7.2	44.3	1 625	40.4	15	13.3	129	24.8
Naugatuck town	30 989	77.8	3 511	11.3	47.0	28 850	38.9	1 061	20.7	4 050	39.6
Naugatuck borough	30 989	77.8	3 511	11.3	47.0	28 850	38.9	1 061	20.7	4 050	39.6
New Haven town	123 626	57.4	14 350	11.6	32.9	115 106	55.9	7 230	37.6	25 442	44.3
New Haven city	123 626	57.4	14 350	11.6	32.9	115 106	55.9	7 230	37.6	25 442	44.3
North Branford town	13 906	81.0	624	4.5	74.2	13 010	28.4	180	12.2	870	36.1
North Haven town	23 035	81.9	1 678	7.3	52.9	21 767	26.1	400	30.3	1 913	35.0
North Haven CDP (part)	23 035	81.9	1 678	7.3	52.9	21 767	26.1	400	30.3	1 913	35.0
Orange town	13 233	74.8	988	7.5	69.1	12 488	25.3	221	17.6	1 026	32.0
Orange CDP	13 233	74.8	988	7.5	69.1	12 488	25.3	221	17.6	1 026	32.0
Oxford town	9 821	79.6	405	4.1	47.2	9 182	29.7	115	37.4	470	25.3
Prospect town	8 707	84.1	494	5.7	79.6	8 137	25.2	94	17.0	683	36.0
Seymour town	15 454	81.1	1 224	7.9	44.2	14 493	35.5	307	17.9	1 324	39.1
Southbury town	18 567	54.8	1 001	5.4	71.6	17 629	41.0	132	15.2	1 041	25.9
Heritage Village CDP	3 458	23.8	265	7.7	91.7	3 401	44.7	-	(X)	251	20.3
Wallingford town	43 026	76.0	3 085	7.2	53.9	40 450	36.4	693	25.1	4 017	36.3
Wallingford Center CDP	17 524	75.2	1 814	10.4	45.9	16 528	42.6	453	26.5	2 404	39.9
Waterbury town	107 271	72.9	12 950	12.1	47.2	99 037	47.5	6 727	30.2	23 065	49.5
Waterbury city	107 271	72.9	12 950	12.1	47.2	99 037	47.5	6 727	30.2	23 065	49.5
West Haven town	52 360	78.0	5 953	11.4	44.4	49 163	42.7	1 463	24.1	7 457	43.7
West Haven city	52 360	78.0	5 953	11.4	44.4	49 163	42.7	1 463	24.1	7 457	43.7
Wolcott town	15 215	86.6	866	5.7	71.4	14 266	32.5	174	5.7	1 218	25.9
Woodbridge town	8 983	67.0	1 150	12.8	77.7	8 493	32.8	295	8.1	1 032	31.4
New London County											
Bozrah town	2 357	69.7	64	2.7	73.4	2 231	28.8	4	-	119	20.2
Colchester town	14 551	70.7	616	4.2	70.0	13 326	37.9	151	23.2	837	41.5
East Lyme town	18 118	57.3	960	5.3	51.6	17 167	44.7	258	32.2	1 610	27.4
Niantic CDP	3 173	58.5	102	3.2	37.3	2 979	38.0	29	20.7	190	45.3
Franklin town	1 835	77.5	40	2.2	67.5	1 731	25.5	17	64.7	62	14.5
Griswold town	10 807	69.1	286	2.6	65.0	10 214	39.4	107	48.6	522	31.8
Jewett City borough	3 087	69.4	127	4.1	54.3	2 940	57.4	31	25.8	203	39.4
Groton town	39 912	41.6	2 218	5.6	53.5	36 693	56.9	474	36.5	2 840	29.2
Conning Towers-Nautilus Park CDP	10 264	21.0	411	4.0	49.9	8 816	82.2	130	26.9	595	24.7
Groton city	10 067	50.2	635	6.3	58.7	9 253	58.1	123	59.3	884	34.4
Groton Long Point borough	678	49.8	44	6.5	36.4	635	50.2	4	-	33	15.2
Long Hill CDP	3 519	41.4	385	10.9	48.3	3 352	58.0	56	-	378	27.5
Mystic CDP (part)	1 303	42.8	94	7.2	29.8	1 259	46.4	4	100.0	63	23.8
Noank CDP	1 745	50.1	93	5.3	58.1	1 705	35.9	20	30.0	112	25.0
Old Mystic CDP	3 172	51.1	86	2.7	72.1	2 992	38.0	43	-	126	11.1
Poquonock Bridge CDP	1 539	50.4	138	9.0	40.6	1 449	53.0	57	64.9	213	48.8
Lebanon town	6 907	72.8	220	3.2	79.1	6 478	31.8	108	15.7	279	51.6
Ledyard town	14 682	43.9	651	4.4	64.5	13 761	40.0	119	17.6	739	28.4
Lisbon town	4 069	72.4	120	2.9	80.0	3 829	33.9	42	26.2	154	16.9
Lyme town	2 016	52.3	75	3.7	53.3	1 911	29.0	16	-	86	17.4
Montville town	18 546	64.7	880	4.7	59.8	17 559	43.8	258	36.8	1 376	33.7
Oxoboxo River CDP	2 894	66.2	161	5.6	59.6	2 705	45.2	60	61.7	222	25.2
New London town	25 671	51.8	2 498	9.7	40.7	23 964	59.5	1 307	34.0	4 356	40.1
New London city	25 671	51.8	2 498	9.7	40.7	23 964	59.5	1 307	34.0	4 356	40.1
North Stonington town	4 991	36.9	107	2.1	74.8	4 675	33.2	25	52.0	160	26.3
Norwich town	36 117	64.6	2 396	6.6	56.4	33 986	48.6	966	44.2	3 784	37.8
Norwich city	36 117	64.6	2 396	6.6	56.4	33 986	48.6	966	44.2	3 784	37.8
Old Lyme town	7 406	56.1	290	3.9	67.9	6 999	35.5	66	-	365	24.4
Preston town	4 688	67.3	164	3.5	75.0	4 470	37.2	58	22.4	262	24.0
Salem town	3 858	66.6	125	3.2	60.0	3 597	31.5	13	61.5	165	27.9
Sprague town	2 971	79.7	106	3.6	33.0	2 811	36.4	21	61.9	151	47.0
Stonington town	17 906	39.4	924	5.2	60.8	16 914	40.0	121	26.4	1 149	38.2
Mystic CDP (part)	2 704	38.5	113	4.2	45.1	2 591	46.2	-	(X)	100	53.0
Pawcatuck CDP	5 492	38.2	379	6.9	69.1	5 116	39.8	34	11.8	560	43.8
Stonington borough	1 033	40.5	63	6.1	69.8	1 010	51.0	11	36.4	85	23.5
Voluntown town	2 528	65.7	64	2.5	78.1	2 374	41.6	12	-	126	28.6
Waterford town	19 152	64.9	1 198	6.3	69.7	18 241	35.9	342	17.8	1 279	37.1
Central Waterford CDP	2 942	71.2	210	7.1	79.0	2 812	36.7	50	-	218	48.2
Tolland County											
Andover town	3 036	72.9	108	3.6	61.1	2 790	35.2	34	23.5	143	24.5
Bolton town	5 017	71.1	310	6.2	70.6	4 744	35.4	105	24.8	412	37.4
Columbia town	4 971	74.1	293	5.9	77.8	4 642	28.1	40	57.5	291	21.3
Coventry town	11 504	73.3	560	4.9	66.4	10 661	31.0	135	13.3	609	25.9
Coventry Lake CDP	2 841	73.4	111	3.9	65.8	2 695	35.4	16	-	161	33.5
South Coventry CDP	1 328	72.5	89	6.7	86.5	1 264	37.0	-	(X)	37	16.2
Ellington town	12 921	69.2	467	3.6	64.2	12 114	39.5	136	29.4	646	27.1
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	1 433	72.2	37	2.6	100.0	1 311	38.7	13	-	44	-
Hebron town	8 610	71.8	230	2.7	70.9	7 846	32.2	55	10.9	274	26.3
Mansfield town	20 720	61.2	2 382	11.5	37.1	20 163	58.8	326	15.3	3 287	26.8
Mansfield Center CDP	914	65.0	69	7.5	52.2	887	36.0	16	100.0	73	20.5
Storrs CDP	11 175	58.4	1 623	14.5	24.8	11 059	73.1	54	31.5	2 341	26.3

Table 1. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
Tolland County—Con.											
Somers town	10 417	52.0	507	4.9	54.6	9 973	36.6	72	9.7	1 314	31.2
Central Somers CDP	1 618	53.4	67	4.1	37.3	1 570	19.9	18	38.9	47	17.0
Stafford town	11 307	70.6	368	3.3	59.5	10 575	38.4	152	9.2	606	23.4
Tolland town	13 146	64.2	545	4.1	61.8	12 141	29.4	95	23.2	664	19.7
Union town	693	62.1	21	3.0	61.9	662	27.5	8	-	20	-
Vernon town	28 063	65.4	1 837	6.5	53.5	26 338	45.3	256	15.2	2 629	28.1
Rockville CDP	7 723	70.1	497	6.4	42.3	7 165	58.7	84	25.0	903	33.3
Willington town	5 959	68.8	383	6.4	37.6	5 659	41.7	44	31.8	500	29.8
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Windham County	109 091	63.6	4 668	4.3	53.9	102 452	41.1	2 167	28.1	9 802	34.3
Ashford town	4 098	70.0	173	4.2	83.8	3 852	39.4	26	-	287	13.2
Brooklyn town	7 173	64.4	261	3.6	64.4	6 800	39.8	53	66.0	535	33.3
East Brooklyn CDP	1 323	71.7	57	4.3	78.9	1 272	35.7	10	-	85	32.9
Canterbury town	4 692	73.0	65	1.4	66.2	4 442	26.7	23	26.1	202	18.3
Chaplin town	2 250	75.8	97	4.3	66.0	2 106	32.7	26	-	136	20.6
Eastford town	1 618	70.7	72	4.4	50.0	1 522	33.3	12	25.0	79	35.4
Hampton town	1 758	70.2	54	3.1	75.9	1 653	29.0	12	16.7	69	10.1
Killingly town	16 472	68.4	493	3.0	69.4	15 444	40.6	129	33.3	1 058	22.2
Danielson borough	4 170	72.8	166	4.0	57.8	3 864	48.3	37	29.7	369	35.8
Plainfield town	14 619	69.7	459	3.1	63.6	13 675	44.4	173	19.7	852	34.4
Moosup CDP	3 291	73.9	90	2.7	51.1	3 066	46.5	37	21.6	149	26.2
Plainfield Village CDP	2 634	72.1	121	4.6	50.4	2 486	54.1	16	-	163	38.0
Wauregan CDP	1 040	68.4	40	3.8	37.5	952	56.4	16	81.3	61	21.3
Pomfret town	3 798	59.2	94	2.5	23.4	3 580	41.9	55	25.5	107	33.6
Putnam town	9 002	63.6	303	3.4	59.7	8 481	42.7	71	-	794	33.8
Putnam District CDP	6 812	64.1	163	2.4	38.7	6 382	48.0	42	-	489	28.0
Scotland town	1 556	75.1	73	4.7	64.4	1 440	28.0	14	-	73	16.4
Sterling town	3 099	68.6	49	1.6	69.4	2 878	39.2	30	-	117	16.2
Thompson town	8 878	39.6	175	2.0	71.4	8 367	30.1	56	58.9	575	20.7
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	1 462	53.4	18	1.2	77.8	1 359	35.5	-	(X)	106	17.0
Quinebaug CDP	1 079	26.6	41	3.8	65.9	1 029	27.9	-	(X)	61	44.3
Windham town	22 857	62.9	2 094	9.2	39.9	21 390	50.6	1 430	30.6	4 611	43.2
South Windham CDP	1 318	68.1	97	7.4	73.2	1 221	34.8	6	-	117	21.4
Willimantic CDP	15 823	63.0	1 645	10.4	31.6	14 863	53.2	1 122	28.7	3 605	45.1
Woodstock town	7 221	52.7	206	2.9	69.4	6 822	38.9	57	-	307	24.8
South Woodstock CDP	1 142	57.3	33	2.9	66.7	1 077	49.3	17	-	48	27.1

Table 2. Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
The State	3 405 565	63.9	369 967	10.9	48.7	3 184 514	41.8	108 362	29.3	475 551	42.7
COUNTY											
Fairfield County	882 567	54.4	149 038	16.9	42.2	819 251	42.7	35 792	29.3	160 042	46.8
Hartford County	857 183	65.2	100 693	11.7	54.4	802 702	42.0	33 823	29.8	140 366	43.0
Litchfield County	182 193	70.2	9 898	5.4	59.9	171 567	36.3	2 187	28.2	11 798	35.2
Middlesex County	155 071	68.5	9 230	6.0	56.4	145 545	41.0	1 929	18.8	11 859	34.2
New Haven County	824 008	71.5	74 427	9.0	49.3	771 758	41.5	26 521	29.3	109 868	41.8
New London County	259 088	56.9	14 002	5.4	56.8	242 931	44.8	4 485	33.6	20 421	34.8
Tolland County	136 364	66.4	8 011	5.9	52.5	128 308	40.7	1 458	18.3	11 395	27.3
Windham County	109 091	63.6	4 668	4.3	53.9	102 452	41.1	2 167	28.1	9 802	34.3
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION											
Andover town, Tolland County	3 036	72.9	108	3.6	61.1	2 790	35.2	34	23.5	143	24.5
Ansonia city, New Haven County	18 554	82.2	2 059	11.1	55.9	17 303	38.9	482	25.9	2 625	41.4
Ansonia town, New Haven County	18 554	82.2	2 059	11.1	55.9	17 303	38.9	482	25.9	2 625	41.4
Ashford town, Windham County	4 098	70.0	1 733	4.2	83.8	3 852	39.4	26	-	287	13.2
Avon town, Hartford County	15 832	51.2	1 171	7.4	68.9	14 820	43.7	224	12.1	1 147	28.3
Bantam borough, Litchfield County	804	68.8	38	4.7	44.7	772	43.4	20	50.0	50	26.0
Barkhamsted town, Litchfield County	3 494	69.2	152	4.4	47.4	3 291	35.5	17	23.5	167	31.1
Beacon Falls town, New Haven County	5 246	78.8	521	9.9	50.1	4 897	45.3	113	88.5	496	39.1
Berlin town, Hartford County	18 215	84.5	1 560	8.6	71.6	17 205	29.1	387	19.1	2 125	36.8
Bethany town, New Haven County	5 040	74.8	362	7.2	61.6	4 713	26.1	100	10.0	408	49.5
Bethel town, Fairfield County	18 067	57.8	2 303	12.7	55.8	16 860	37.1	563	21.8	1 918	38.7
Bethel CDP, Fairfield County	9 063	62.5	1 342	14.8	50.4	8 418	44.6	344	28.8	1 135	43.6
Bethlehem town, Litchfield County	3 422	76.2	120	3.5	83.3	3 254	28.5	-	(X)	107	20.6
Bethlehem Village CDP, Litchfield County	2 071	75.1	74	3.6	86.5	1 968	26.0	-	(X)	62	8.1
Bloomfield town, Hartford County	19 587	61.8	3 513	17.9	61.6	18 609	36.8	294	20.7	1 501	28.8
Blue Hills CDP, Hartford County	3 084	65.4	805	26.1	61.4	2 913	34.7	75	-	257	40.1
Bolton town, Tolland County	5 017	71.1	310	6.2	70.6	4 744	35.4	105	24.8	412	37.4
Bozrah town, New London County	2 357	69.7	64	2.7	73.4	2 231	28.8	4	-	119	20.2
Branford town, New Haven County	28 683	73.7	2 014	7.0	57.1	27 156	40.4	245	22.9	2 209	35.5
Branford Center CDP, New Haven County	5 720	70.6	577	10.1	37.6	5 369	53.0	54	22.2	538	37.9
Bridgeport city, Fairfield County	139 529	63.4	28 638	20.5	37.6	128 328	49.8	12 532	33.8	43 327	52.7
Bridgeport town, Fairfield County	139 529	63.4	28 638	20.5	37.6	128 328	49.8	12 532	33.8	43 327	52.7
Bridgewater town, Litchfield County	1 824	51.4	68	3.7	54.4	1 747	31.8	9	22.2	84	13.1
Bristol city, Hartford County	60 062	75.2	4 672	7.8	56.0	56 303	43.2	1 264	20.7	7 610	37.3
Bristol town, Hartford County	60 062	75.2	4 672	7.8	56.0	56 303	43.2	1 264	20.7	7 610	37.3
Broad Brook CDP, Hartford County	3 498	66.6	98	2.8	60.2	3 283	46.8	71	-	183	35.5
Brookfield town, Fairfield County	15 664	47.0	1 278	8.2	48.0	14 682	36.2	209	7.2	1 281	26.5
Brooklyn town, Windham County	7 173	64.4	261	3.6	64.4	6 800	39.8	53	66.0	535	33.3
Burlington town, Hartford County	8 190	72.2	390	4.8	59.7	7 563	33.7	96	25.0	459	17.4
Canaan CDP, Litchfield County	1 262	56.3	109	8.6	23.9	1 197	50.3	43	20.9	117	46.2
Canaan town, Litchfield County	1 081	57.9	43	4.0	74.4	1 024	28.4	6	-	41	24.4
Canterbury town, Windham County	4 692	73.0	65	1.4	66.2	4 442	26.7	23	26.1	202	18.3
Canton town, Hartford County	8 840	67.0	456	5.2	38.4	8 263	40.8	80	36.3	313	28.4
Canton Valley CDP, Hartford County	1 634	65.4	53	3.2	41.5	1 486	43.9	4	100.0	50	74.0
Central Manchester CDP, Hartford County	30 647	67.5	2 139	7.0	49.6	28 774	47.4	837	26.0	2 837	35.5
Central Somers CDP, Tolland County	1 618	53.4	67	4.1	37.3	1 570	19.9	18	38.9	47	17.0
Central Waterford CDP, New London County	2 942	71.2	210	7.1	79.0	2 812	36.7	50	-	218	48.2
Chaplin town, Windham County	2 250	75.8	97	4.3	66.0	2 106	32.7	26	-	136	20.6
Cheshire town, New Haven County	28 463	69.1	1 815	6.4	68.2	26 889	35.7	425	24.2	2 340	34.0
Cheshire Village CDP, New Haven County	5 757	67.2	337	5.9	69.1	5 437	33.6	99	34.3	343	49.6
Chester town, Middlesex County	3 743	70.5	165	4.4	61.8	3 507	36.0	14	-	179	6.1
Chester Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 659	65.9	84	5.1	45.2	1 559	32.5	10	-	113	5.3
Clinton town, Middlesex County	13 094	67.6	676	5.2	46.0	12 258	37.9	223	32.3	703	35.6
Clinton CDP, Middlesex County	3 531	65.9	221	6.3	54.3	3 267	45.4	108	29.6	288	46.5
Colchester town, New London County	14 551	70.7	616	4.2	70.0	13 326	37.9	151	23.2	837	41.5
Colebrook town, Litchfield County	1 471	74.0	73	5.0	60.3	1 376	30.5	14	-	51	7.8
Collinsville CDP, Hartford County	2 605	68.7	152	5.8	46.7	2 463	45.6	50	50.0	145	27.6
Columbia town, Tolland County	4 971	74.1	293	5.9	77.8	4 642	28.1	40	57.5	291	21.3
Conning Towers-Nautilus Park CDP, New London County	10 264	21.0	411	4.0	49.9	8 816	82.2	130	26.9	595	24.7
Cornwall town, Litchfield County	1 434	52.2	88	6.1	65.9	1 366	38.0	13	23.1	78	7.7
Coventry town, Tolland County	11 504	73.3	560	4.9	66.4	10 661	31.0	135	13.3	609	25.9
Coventry Lake CDP, Tolland County	2 841	73.4	111	3.9	65.8	2 695	35.4	16	-	161	33.5
Cromwell town, Middlesex County	12 871	72.4	1 100	8.5	65.4	12 201	41.4	252	2.4	1 372	34.3
Crystal Lake CDP, Tolland County	1 433	72.2	37	2.6	100.0	1 311	38.7	13	-	44	-
Danbury city, Fairfield County	74 848	54.5	20 241	27.0	30.6	69 942	50.3	3 923	28.5	20 345	61.3
Danbury town, Fairfield County	74 848	54.5	20 241	27.0	30.6	69 942	50.3	3 923	28.5	20 345	61.3
Danielson borough, Windham County	4 170	72.8	166	4.0	57.8	3 864	48.3	37	29.7	369	35.8
Darien town, Fairfield County	19 607	38.0	2 063	10.5	37.1	17 580	35.4	418	22.0	1 591	26.8
Darien CDP, Fairfield County	19 607	38.0	2 063	10.5	37.1	17 580	35.4	418	22.0	1 591	26.8
Deep River town, Middlesex County	4 610	70.0	71	1.5	70.4	4 357	40.1	26	-	258	30.6
Deep River Center CDP, Middlesex County	2 402	67.7	31	1.3	80.6	2 265	45.9	12	-	133	21.8
Derby city, New Haven County	12 391	81.2	1 512	12.2	46.6	11 708	44.4	436	16.7	2 035	50.7
Derby town, New Haven County	12 391	81.2	1 512	12.2	46.6	11 708	44.4	436	16.7	2 035	50.7
Durham CDP, Middlesex County	2 795	76.3	78	2.8	92.3	2 610	24.4	8	-	136	14.0
Durham town, Middlesex County	6 627	77.8	251	3.8	61.4	6 171	29.6	27	33.3	352	27.0
East Brooklyn CDP, Windham County	1 323	71.7	57	4.3	78.9	1 272	35.7	10	-	85	32.9
Eastford town, Windham County	1 618	70.7	72	4.4	50.0	1 522	33.3	12	25.0	79	35.4
East Granby town, Hartford County	4 745	63.8	160	3.4	63.1	4 436	38.5	73	30.1	230	20.4
East Haddam town, Middlesex County	8 333	67.4	218	2.6	76.1	7 774	40.5	66	-	387	30.2
East Hampton CDP, Middlesex County	2 257	71.9	96	4.3	50.0	2 089	35.3	31	-	102	17.6
East Hampton town, Middlesex County	13 352	62.5	498	3.7	48.4	12 660	42.9	66	19.7	831	15.3

Table 2. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.											
East Hartford town, Hartford County	49 575	68.3	7 445	15.0	52.1	46 464	42.4	2 482	26.1	9 616	45.4
East Hartford CDP, Hartford County	49 575	68.3	7 445	15.0	52.1	46 464	42.4	2 482	26.1	9 616	45.4
East Haven town, New Haven County	28 189	86.0	1 998	7.1	56.8	26 561	33.7	472	18.2	2 752	41.8
East Haven CDP, New Haven County	28 189	86.0	1 998	7.1	56.8	26 561	33.7	472	18.2	2 752	41.8
East Lyme town, New London County	18 118	57.3	960	5.3	51.6	17 167	44.7	258	32.2	1 610	27.4
Easton town, Fairfield County	7 272	58.6	737	10.1	61.2	6 710	34.1	185	10.8	721	30.9
East Windsor town, Hartford County	9 818	66.9	396	4.0	57.6	9 266	44.6	157	12.7	616	39.6
Ellington town, Tolland County	12 921	69.2	467	3.6	64.2	12 114	39.5	136	29.4	646	27.1
Enfield town, Hartford County	45 212	56.4	2 191	4.8	50.3	42 688	36.5	466	30.0	3 970	30.7
Essex town, Middlesex County	6 505	55.2	330	5.1	67.6	6 098	43.6	25	-	349	32.4
Essex Village CDP, Middlesex County	2 550	46.1	192	7.5	64.6	2 424	47.9	-	(X)	161	35.4
Fairfield town, Fairfield County	57 340	53.1	5 169	9.0	58.2	53 207	42.8	771	25.0	5 699	28.9
Farmington town, Hartford County	23 641	66.7	2 807	11.9	65.8	22 286	43.1	667	25.8	3 138	35.1
Fenwick borough, Middlesex County	51	65.3	2	3.9	100.0	49	26.5	-	(X)	-	(X)
Franklin town, New London County	1 835	77.5	40	2.2	67.5	1 731	25.5	17	64.7	62	14.5
Georgetown CDP, Fairfield County	1 645	50.6	157	9.5	13.4	1 486	40.0	40	-	79	25.3
Glastonbury town, Hartford County	31 876	62.3	2 396	7.5	59.8	29 622	38.8	774	21.7	2 480	29.7
Glastonbury Center CDP, Hartford County	7 106	69.3	436	6.1	61.0	6 737	37.8	165	14.5	481	36.4
Goshen town, Litchfield County	2 697	67.7	80	3.0	93.8	2 556	34.5	-	(X)	94	24.5
Granby town, Hartford County	10 347	57.3	392	3.8	61.5	9 638	37.2	209	16.3	464	26.1
Greenwich town, Fairfield County	61 101	37.0	11 601	19.0	41.8	56 872	42.6	2 061	28.4	9 829	36.6
Griswold town, New London County	10 807	69.1	286	2.6	65.0	10 214	39.4	107	48.6	522	31.8
Groton city, New London County	10 067	50.2	635	6.3	58.7	9 253	58.1	123	59.3	884	34.4
Groton town, New London County	39 912	41.6	2 218	5.6	53.5	36 693	56.9	474	36.5	2 840	29.2
Groton Long Point borough, New London County	678	49.8	44	6.5	36.4	635	50.2	4	-	33	15.2
Guilford town, New Haven County	21 398	65.3	1 226	5.7	60.4	20 081	36.3	233	35.6	1 185	28.3
Guilford Center CDP, New Haven County	2 641	64.6	191	7.2	49.2	2 494	45.1	40	40.0	181	62.4
Haddam town, Middlesex County	7 157	74.1	216	3.0	60.6	6 735	29.0	51	11.8	279	7.9
Hamden town, New Haven County	56 913	69.7	5 365	9.4	52.1	54 010	42.3	1 015	28.7	6 310	30.6
Hampton town, Windham County	1 758	70.2	54	3.1	75.9	1 653	29.0	12	16.7	69	10.1
Hartford city, Hartford County	121 578	54.5	22 671	18.6	44.4	111 380	54.5	13 042	34.5	38 790	51.5
Hartford town, Hartford County	121 578	54.5	22 671	18.6	44.4	111 380	54.5	13 042	34.5	38 790	51.5
Hartland town, Hartford County	2 012	72.4	68	3.4	60.3	1 900	24.0	9	22.2	78	19.2
Harwinton town, Litchfield County	5 283	78.4	186	3.5	75.3	4 989	30.1	99	32.3	214	20.1
Hazardville CDP, Hartford County	4 871	58.3	96	2.0	70.8	4 610	32.9	29	-	265	21.5
Hebron town, Tolland County	8 610	71.8	230	2.7	70.9	7 846	32.2	55	10.9	274	26.3
Heritage Village CDP, New Haven County	3 458	23.8	265	7.7	91.7	3 401	44.7	-	(X)	251	20.3
Higganum CDP, Middlesex County	1 698	76.0	101	5.9	58.4	1 603	34.9	14	-	49	30.6
Jewett City borough, New London County	3 087	69.4	127	4.1	54.3	2 940	57.4	31	25.8	203	39.4
Kensington CDP, Hartford County	8 508	85.7	933	11.0	67.0	8 154	29.3	227	27.8	1 156	40.1
Kent town, Litchfield County	2 858	54.7	231	8.1	35.5	2 675	36.6	-	(X)	179	18.4
Killingly town, Windham County	16 472	68.4	493	3.0	69.4	15 444	40.6	129	33.3	1 058	22.2
Killingworth town, Middlesex County	6 018	70.0	204	3.4	47.1	5 604	30.8	31	-	140	17.9
Lake Pocotopaug CDP, Middlesex County	3 133	75.1	133	4.2	54.1	2 977	40.9	7	-	159	29.6
Lebanon town, New London County	6 907	72.8	220	3.2	79.1	6 478	31.8	108	15.7	279	51.6
Ledyard town, New London County	14 682	43.9	651	4.4	64.5	13 761	40.0	119	17.6	739	28.4
Lisbon town, New London County	4 069	72.4	120	2.9	80.0	3 829	33.9	42	26.2	154	16.9
Litchfield borough, Litchfield County	1 319	57.2	47	3.6	66.0	1 258	37.4	6	-	63	39.7
Litchfield town, Litchfield County	8 316	70.0	334	4.0	63.5	7 909	33.4	106	21.7	396	21.2
Long Hill CDP, New London County	3 519	41.4	385	10.9	48.3	3 352	58.0	56	-	378	27.5
Lyme town, New London County	2 016	52.3	75	3.7	53.3	1 911	29.0	16	-	86	17.4
Madison town, New Haven County	17 858	54.4	867	4.9	66.1	16 673	40.0	164	22.0	792	26.3
Madison Center CDP, New Haven County	2 261	60.7	81	3.6	38.3	2 172	43.7	20	55.0	65	10.8
Manchester town, Hartford County	54 740	66.2	4 650	8.5	48.3	51 355	47.9	1 357	24.2	5 700	33.8
Mansfield town, Tolland County	20 720	61.2	2 382	11.5	37.1	20 163	58.8	326	15.3	3 287	26.8
Mansfield Center CDP, Tolland County	914	65.0	69	7.5	52.2	887	36.0	16	100.0	73	20.5
Marlborough town, Hartford County	5 709	68.3	313	5.5	74.4	5 319	34.0	73	16.4	288	26.7
Meriden city, New Haven County	58 244	69.8	3 762	6.5	50.1	54 273	42.6	2 799	28.4	10 213	43.4
Meriden town, New Haven County	58 244	69.8	3 762	6.5	50.1	54 273	42.6	2 799	28.4	10 213	43.4
Middlebury town, New Haven County	6 531	77.1	425	6.5	72.5	6 169	34.1	101	-	481	29.5
Middlefield town, Middlesex County	4 203	80.3	96	2.3	81.3	3 964	27.0	17	29.4	252	15.1
Middletown city, Middlesex County	43 167	67.5	4 137	9.6	50.9	40 363	50.5	826	20.2	5 305	42.8
Middletown town, Middlesex County	43 167	67.5	4 137	9.6	50.9	40 363	50.5	826	20.2	5 305	42.8
Milford city, New Haven County	52 305	72.2	4 222	8.1	55.6	49 254	36.1	844	19.7	4 414	34.7
Milford city (balance)	50 602	72.2	4 100	8.1	56.0	47 629	36.0	829	19.8	4 285	35.0
Woodmont borough	1 703	72.7	122	7.2	44.3	1 625	40.4	15	13.3	129	24.8
Milford city (balance), New Haven County	50 602	72.2	4 100	8.1	56.0	47 629	36.0	829	19.8	4 285	35.0
Milford town, New Haven County	52 305	72.2	4 222	8.1	55.6	49 254	36.1	844	19.7	4 414	34.7
Monroe town, Fairfield County	19 247	66.8	1 360	7.1	69.3	17 768	33.9	356	13.5	1 516	30.7
Montville town, New London County	18 546	64.7	880	4.7	59.8	17 559	43.8	258	36.8	1 376	33.7
Moodus CDP, Middlesex County	1 381	68.5	64	4.6	85.9	1 311	49.0	9	-	115	6.1
Moosup CDP, Windham County	3 291	73.9	90	2.7	51.1	3 066	46.5	37	21.6	149	26.2
Morris town, Litchfield County	2 301	79.5	87	3.8	78.2	2 173	26.0	23	-	73	28.8
Mystic CDP, New London County	4 007	39.8	207	5.2	38.2	3 850	46.2	4	100.0	163	41.7
Naugatuck borough, New Haven County	30 989	77.8	3 511	11.3	47.0	28 850	38.9	1 061	20.7	4 050	39.6
Naugatuck town, New Haven County	30 989	77.8	3 511	11.3	47.0	28 850	38.9	1 061	20.7	4 050	39.6
New Britain city, Hartford County	71 538	64.2	12 863	18.0	44.0	67 095	49.0	6 020	32.6	23 121	50.4
New Britain town, Hartford County	71 538	64.2	12 863	18.0	44.0	67 095	49.0	6 020	32.6	23 121	50.4
New Canaan town, Fairfield County	19 395	34.3	1 785	9.2	50.9	17 817	39.4	306	15.7	1 644	23.5
New Fairfield town, Fairfield County	13 953	37.0	1 091	7.8	74.1	12 852	36.9	248	14.5	1 022	20.1
New Hartford town, Litchfield County	6 088	73.2	264	4.3	63.6	5 691	29.9	11	-	165	26.1
New Hartford Center CDP, Litchfield County	985	74.9	21	2.1	52.4	944	16.4	-	(X)	11	-
New Haven city, New Haven County	123 626	57.4	14 350	11.6	32.9	115 106	55.9	7 230	37.6	25 442	44.3

Table 2. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.											
New Haven town, New Haven County	123 626	57.4	14 350	11.6	32.9	115 106	55.9	7 230	37.6	25 442	44.3
Newington town, Hartford County	29 306	75.2	3 853	13.1	65.1	27 749	33.3	716	27.4	4 935	41.2
Newington CDP, Hartford County	29 306	75.2	3 853	13.1	65.1	27 749	33.3	716	27.4	4 935	41.2
New London city, New London County	25 671	51.8	2 498	9.7	40.7	23 964	59.5	1 307	34.0	4 356	40.1
New London town, New London County	25 671	51.8	2 498	9.7	40.7	23 964	59.5	1 307	34.0	4 356	40.1
New Milford CDP, Litchfield County	6 633	59.3	584	8.8	37.5	6 226	56.2	125	33.6	508	40.7
New Milford town, Litchfield County	27 121	52.9	1 769	6.5	52.2	25 207	43.2	307	26.4	1 701	34.7
New Preston CDP, Litchfield County	1 157	63.9	68	5.9	47.1	1 108	35.0	15	-	74	10.8
Newtown borough, Fairfield County	1 843	53.8	106	5.8	66.0	1 707	36.1	12	16.7	107	17.8
Newtown town, Fairfield County	25 031	53.9	1 466	5.9	46.7	23 034	41.0	177	17.5	1 654	23.0
Niantic CDP, New London County	3 173	58.5	102	3.2	37.3	2 979	38.0	29	20.7	190	45.3
Noank CDP, New London County	1 745	50.1	93	5.3	58.1	1 705	35.9	20	30.0	112	25.0
Norfolk town, Litchfield County	1 660	67.9	87	5.2	56.3	1 555	33.8	14	-	81	17.3
North Branford town, New Haven County	13 906	81.0	624	4.5	74.2	13 010	28.4	180	12.2	870	36.1
North Canaan town, Litchfield County	3 350	59.1	136	4.1	34.6	3 188	37.3	58	29.3	176	44.9
North Granby CDP, Hartford County	1 724	48.8	74	4.3	64.9	1 603	40.0	16	-	121	41.3
North Grosvenor Dale CDP, Windham County	1 462	53.4	18	1.2	77.8	1 359	35.5	-	(X)	106	17.0
North Haven town, New Haven County	23 035	81.9	1 678	7.3	52.9	21 767	26.1	400	30.3	1 913	35.0
North Haven CDP, New Haven County	23 035	81.9	1 678	7.3	52.9	21 767	26.1	400	30.3	1 913	35.0
North Stonington town, New London County	4 991	36.9	107	2.1	74.8	4 675	33.2	25	52.0	160	26.3
Northwest Harwinton CDP, Litchfield County	3 309	80.4	141	4.3	67.4	3 108	28.5	92	27.2	150	28.7
Norwalk city, Fairfield County	82 951	58.8	16 800	20.3	43.0	77 456	43.3	3 594	26.1	17 175	51.4
Norwalk town, Fairfield County	82 951	58.8	16 800	20.3	43.0	77 456	43.3	3 594	26.1	17 175	51.4
Norwich city, New London County	36 117	64.6	2 396	6.6	56.4	33 986	48.6	966	44.2	3 784	37.8
Norwich town, New London County	36 117	64.6	2 396	6.6	56.4	33 986	48.6	966	44.2	3 784	37.8
Oakville CDP, Litchfield County	8 611	86.2	815	9.5	68.5	8 103	30.8	200	28.0	990	42.3
Old Lyme town, New London County	7 406	56.1	290	3.9	67.9	6 999	35.5	66	-	365	24.4
Old Mystic CDP, New London County	3 172	51.1	86	2.7	72.1	2 992	38.0	43	-	126	11.1
Old Saybrook town, Middlesex County	10 367	63.4	506	4.9	55.3	9 786	33.4	73	-	523	30.0
Old Saybrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 825	61.8	95	5.2	54.7	1 750	36.5	-	(X)	67	-
Orange town, New Haven County	13 233	74.8	988	7.5	69.1	12 488	25.3	221	17.6	1 026	32.0
Orange CDP, New Haven County	13 233	74.8	988	7.5	69.1	12 488	25.3	221	17.6	1 026	32.0
Oxford town, New Haven County	9 821	79.6	405	4.1	47.2	9 182	29.7	115	37.4	470	25.3
Oxoboxo River CDP, New London County	2 894	66.2	161	5.6	59.6	2 705	45.2	60	61.7	222	25.2
Pawcatuck CDP, New London County	5 492	38.2	379	6.9	69.1	5 116	39.8	34	11.8	560	43.8
Plainfield town, Windham County	14 619	69.7	459	3.1	63.6	13 675	44.4	173	19.7	852	34.4
Plainfield Village CDP, Windham County	2 634	72.1	121	4.6	50.4	2 486	54.1	16	-	163	38.0
Plainville town, Hartford County	17 328	78.0	2 003	11.6	61.2	16 454	36.4	325	26.2	2 732	37.0
Plymouth town, Litchfield County	11 634	82.6	396	3.4	60.1	10 904	33.7	76	47.4	769	33.4
Pomfret town, Windham County	3 798	59.2	94	2.5	23.4	3 580	41.9	55	25.5	107	33.6
Poquonock Bridge CDP, New London County	1 539	50.4	138	9.0	40.6	1 449	53.0	57	64.9	213	48.8
Portland town, Middlesex County	8 732	75.2	383	4.4	75.2	8 125	36.2	174	31.6	505	35.6
Portland CDP, Middlesex County	5 553	74.1	307	5.5	70.7	5 195	41.4	127	37.0	398	32.7
Preston town, New London County	4 688	67.3	164	3.5	75.0	4 470	37.2	58	22.4	262	24.0
Prospect town, New Haven County	8 707	84.1	494	5.7	79.6	8 137	25.2	94	17.0	683	36.0
Putnam town, Windham County	9 002	63.6	303	3.4	59.7	8 481	42.7	71	-	794	33.8
Putnam District CDP, Windham County	6 812	64.1	163	2.4	38.7	6 382	48.0	42	-	489	28.0
Quinebaug CDP, Windham County	1 079	26.6	41	3.8	65.9	1 029	27.9	-	(X)	61	44.3
Redding town, Fairfield County	8 270	45.7	809	9.8	40.3	7 663	39.8	157	33.1	521	34.9
Ridgefield CDP, Fairfield County	7 257	32.6	828	11.4	51.3	6 724	43.6	200	11.0	552	23.4
Ridgefield town, Fairfield County	23 643	31.1	2 271	9.6	48.0	21 693	41.7	362	21.3	1 586	21.9
Rockville CDP, Tolland County	7 723	70.1	497	6.4	42.3	7 165	58.7	84	25.0	903	33.3
Rocky Hill town, Hartford County	17 942	72.3	2 197	12.2	62.9	17 060	43.4	375	30.7	2 598	35.1
Roxbury town, Litchfield County	2 136	58.6	93	4.4	72.0	2 034	34.9	30	-	121	2.5
Salem town, New London County	3 858	66.6	125	3.2	60.0	3 597	31.5	13	61.5	165	27.9
Salisbury town, Litchfield County	3 977	39.5	198	5.0	53.5	3 805	44.2	62	16.1	174	41.4
Salmon Brook CDP, Hartford County	2 489	58.8	79	3.2	50.6	2 310	42.6	33	51.5	111	23.4
Saybrook Manor CDP, Middlesex County	1 144	67.7	30	2.6	70.0	1 101	34.8	-	(X)	49	28.6
Scotland town, Windham County	1 556	75.1	73	4.7	64.4	1 440	28.0	14	-	73	16.4
Seymour town, New Haven County	15 454	81.1	1 224	7.9	44.2	14 493	35.5	307	17.9	1 324	39.1
Sharon town, Litchfield County	2 968	51.2	89	3.0	52.8	2 857	39.2	52	11.5	119	30.3
Shelton city, Fairfield County	38 101	76.3	3 608	9.5	63.1	35 749	34.9	854	25.5	4 390	35.8
Shelton town, Fairfield County	38 101	76.3	3 608	9.5	63.1	35 749	34.9	854	25.5	4 390	35.8
Sherman town, Fairfield County	3 827	42.3	294	7.7	72.1	3 590	35.5	12	-	227	27.8
Sherwood Manor CDP, Hartford County	5 659	49.7	281	5.0	67.6	5 337	26.5	49	10.2	343	16.6
Simsbury town, Hartford County	23 234	50.6	1 500	6.5	66.9	21 573	38.8	311	11.9	1 507	28.5
Simsbury Center CDP, Hartford County	5 622	49.7	355	6.3	67.6	5 216	38.9	38	31.6	314	25.8
Somers town, Tolland County	10 417	52.0	507	4.9	54.6	9 973	36.6	72	9.7	1 314	31.2
Southbury town, New Haven County	18 567	54.8	1 001	5.4	71.6	17 629	41.0	132	15.2	1 041	25.9
South Coventry CDP, Tolland County	1 328	72.5	89	6.7	86.5	1 264	37.0	-	(X)	37	16.2
Southington town, Hartford County	39 728	78.2	2 620	6.6	65.0	37 296	34.1	417	25.4	3 426	32.8
South Windham CDP, Windham County	1 318	68.1	97	7.4	73.2	1 221	34.8	6	-	117	21.4
South Windsor town, Hartford County	24 471	69.7	2 417	9.9	64.0	22 909	32.9	500	32.0	2 608	30.3
Southwood Acres CDP, Hartford County	8 202	58.5	359	4.4	42.9	7 667	25.4	53	56.6	475	29.5
South Woodstock CDP, Windham County	1 142	57.3	33	2.9	66.7	1 077	49.3	17	-	48	27.1
Sprague town, New London County	2 971	79.7	106	3.6	33.0	2 811	36.4	21	61.9	151	47.0
Stafford town, Tolland County	11 307	70.6	368	3.3	59.5	10 575	38.4	152	9.2	606	23.4
Stamford city, Fairfield County	117 083	53.5	34 670	29.6	37.2	109 214	46.4	6 440	28.9	31 670	49.8
Stamford town, Fairfield County	117 083	53.5	34 670	29.6	37.2	109 214	46.4	6 440	28.9	31 670	49.8
Sterling town, Windham County	3 099	68.6	49	1.6	69.4	2 878	39.2	30	-	117	16.2
Stonington borough, New London County	1 033	40.5	63	6.1	69.8	1 010	51.0	11	36.4	85	23.5
Stonington town, New London County	17 906	39.4	924	5.2	60.8	16 914	40.0	121	26.4	1 149	38.2
Stors CDP, Tolland County	11 175	58.4	1 623	14.5	24.8	11 059	73.1	54	31.5	2 341	26.3
Stratford town, Fairfield County	49 976	75.7	4 229	8.9	60.0	47 080	33.3	1 140	35.1	5 710	37.3

Table 2. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Total population	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of total population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.											
Stratford CDP, Fairfield County	49 976	75.7	4 429	8.9	60.0	47 080	33.3	1 140	35.1	5 710	37.3
Suffield town, Hartford County	13 552	50.9	615	4.5	64.6	12 834	40.5	136	28.7	1 312	30.6
Suffield Depot CDP, Hartford County	1 480	50.0	53	3.6	84.9	1 389	49.3	15	-	78	-
Tariffville CDP, Hartford County	1 332	55.4	105	7.9	46.7	1 252	40.0	32	21.9	113	46.0
Terramuggus CDP, Hartford County	1 084	63.9	38	3.5	28.9	995	43.8	24	-	64	7.8
Terryville CDP, Litchfield County	5 445	83.2	167	3.1	65.9	5 109	34.7	39	56.4	328	35.1
Thomaston town, Litchfield County	7 503	84.5	224	3.0	71.4	7 054	33.4	74	41.9	298	25.5
Thompson town, Windham County	8 878	39.6	175	2.0	71.4	8 367	30.1	56	58.9	575	20.7
Thompsonville CDP, Hartford County	8 013	59.9	648	8.1	32.6	7 440	50.7	89	53.9	831	46.7
Tolland town, Tolland County	13 146	64.2	545	4.1	61.8	12 141	29.4	95	23.2	664	19.7
Torrington city, Litchfield County	35 202	77.3	2 075	5.9	58.1	33 109	39.0	574	31.5	3 021	40.3
Torrington town, Litchfield County	35 202	77.3	2 075	5.9	58.1	33 109	39.0	574	31.5	3 021	40.3
Trumbull town, Fairfield County	34 243	65.1	3 281	9.6	65.0	31 890	35.2	544	22.1	3 997	34.5
Trumbull CDP, Fairfield County	34 243	65.1	3 281	9.6	65.0	31 890	35.2	544	22.1	3 997	34.5
Union town, Tolland County	693	62.1	21	3.0	61.9	662	27.5	8	-	20	-
Vernon town, Tolland County	28 063	65.4	1 837	6.5	53.5	26 338	45.3	256	15.2	2 629	28.1
Voluntown town, New London County	2 528	65.7	64	2.5	78.1	2 374	41.6	12	-	126	28.6
Wallingford town, New Haven County	43 026	76.0	3 085	7.2	53.9	40 450	36.4	693	25.1	4 017	36.3
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	17 524	75.2	1 814	10.4	45.9	16 528	42.6	453	26.5	2 404	39.9
Warren town, Litchfield County	1 254	62.3	34	2.7	79.4	1 187	26.9	12	66.7	22	18.2
Washington town, Litchfield County	3 596	58.4	285	7.9	39.3	3 456	35.3	42	-	224	49.6
Waterbury city, New Haven County	107 271	72.9	12 950	12.1	47.2	99 037	47.5	6 727	30.2	23 065	49.5
Waterbury town, New Haven County	107 271	72.9	12 950	12.1	47.2	99 037	47.5	6 727	30.2	23 065	49.5
Waterford town, New London County	19 152	64.9	1 198	6.3	69.7	18 241	35.9	342	17.8	1 279	37.1
Watertown town, Litchfield County	21 661	83.0	1 613	7.4	76.1	20 427	31.2	377	41.6	2 232	38.4
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	1 040	68.4	40	3.8	37.5	952	56.4	16	81.3	61	21.3
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	2 720	50.7	182	6.7	57.1	2 527	36.5	21	-	210	33.8
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	6 292	68.2	379	6.0	69.1	5 942	42.3	58	50.0	424	22.9
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	2 196	67.9	210	9.6	60.5	2 116	45.2	16	-	275	18.2
West Hartford town, Hartford County	63 589	62.4	9 382	14.8	61.4	59 869	39.8	1 871	23.9	11 079	39.6
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	63 589	62.4	9 382	14.8	61.4	59 869	39.8	1 871	23.9	11 079	39.6
West Haven city, New Haven County	52 360	78.0	5 953	11.4	44.4	49 163	42.7	1 463	24.1	7 457	43.7
West Haven town, New Haven County	52 360	78.0	5 953	11.4	44.4	49 163	42.7	1 463	24.1	7 457	43.7
Weston town, Fairfield County	10 037	31.6	821	8.2	58.8	9 206	39.3	91	37.4	650	19.8
Westport town, Fairfield County	25 749	31.4	2 758	10.7	55.5	23 842	36.0	549	27.1	2 218	22.9
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	25 749	31.4	2 758	10.7	55.5	23 842	36.0	549	27.1	2 218	22.9
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	2 297	48.8	110	4.8	80.9	2 114	41.5	43	-	153	27.5
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	26 236	76.2	3 808	14.5	66.2	24 905	33.2	733	21.3	4 573	43.8
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	26 236	76.2	3 808	14.5	66.2	24 905	33.2	733	21.3	4 573	43.8
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	15 823	63.0	1 645	10.4	31.6	14 863	53.2	1 122	28.7	3 605	45.1
Willington town, Tolland County	5 959	68.8	383	6.4	37.6	5 659	41.7	44	31.8	500	29.8
Wilton town, Fairfield County	17 633	35.1	1 565	8.9	54.5	16 216	42.6	300	34.0	1 351	21.9
Winchester town, Litchfield County	10 664	75.2	643	6.0	56.3	10 071	38.7	133	-	635	55.1
Windham town, Windham County	22 857	62.9	2 094	9.2	39.9	21 390	50.6	1 430	30.6	4 611	43.2
Windsor town, Hartford County	28 237	63.3	3 324	11.8	65.4	26 499	35.5	549	28.6	2 870	30.2
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	12 043	71.0	860	7.1	46.5	11 342	37.2	216	38.9	1 080	40.2
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	12 043	71.0	860	7.1	46.5	11 342	37.2	216	38.9	1 080	40.2
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	7 254	76.0	541	7.5	55.1	6 841	44.3	125	-	469	60.3
Wolcott town, New Haven County	15 215	86.2	866	5.7	71.4	14 266	32.5	174	5.7	1 218	25.9
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	8 983	67.0	1 150	12.8	77.7	8 493	32.8	295	8.1	1 032	31.4
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	9 198	65.5	530	5.8	50.6	8 662	36.8	78	33.3	576	24.0
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	1 323	65.2	73	5.5	24.7	1 227	50.5	-	(X)	37	21.6
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	1 703	72.7	122	7.2	44.3	1 625	40.4	15	13.3	129	24.8
Woodstock town, Windham County	7 221	52.7	206	2.9	69.4	6 822	38.9	57	-	307	24.8

Table 3. Education and Veteran Status: 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
The State	115 886	590 771	10.2	204 212	169 277	12 580	7.4	2 295 617	84.0	31.4	310 069	131 881
Fairfield County	34 763	154 052	13.4	44 981	39 493	2 634	6.7	596 371	84.4	39.9	67 502	32 174
Bethel town	727	3 524	15.6	877	930	31	3.3	12 078	88.9	36.8	1 461	549
Bethel CDP	325	1 640	7.4	414	446	18	4.0	6 146	86.9	30.1	739	283
Bridgeport town	4 842	27 812	13.2	8 312	7 952	1 185	14.9	84 458	65.0	12.2	8 147	3 355
Bridgeport city	4 842	27 812	13.2	8 312	7 952	1 185	14.9	84 458	65.0	12.2	8 147	3 355
Brookfield town	588	3 143	6.1	650	674	10	1.5	10 624	93.4	43.9	1 343	503
Danbury town	2 385	10 773	11.9	4 753	3 811	409	10.7	51 223	77.0	27.1	5 083	2 093
Danbury city	2 385	10 773	11.9	4 753	3 811	409	10.7	51 223	77.0	27.1	5 083	2 093
Darien town	1 271	3 941	16.8	545	756	-	-	12 665	95.7	70.4	1 490	786
Darien CDP	1 271	3 941	16.8	545	756	-	-	12 665	95.7	70.4	1 490	786
Easton town	362	1 430	10.3	271	281	4	1.4	4 939	93.8	59.5	641	298
Fairfield town	2 415	8 733	16.9	5 699	3 756	43	1.1	38 158	91.6	52.2	4 865	2 711
Greenwich town	2 701	10 244	24.5	2 293	2 152	71	3.3	43 071	92.1	58.5	5 041	2 789
Monroe town	1 081	3 709	10.2	897	859	-	-	12 737	91.2	41.3	1 675	605
New Canaan town	1 133	4 156	20.5	592	845	-	-	12 789	96.7	71.6	1 710	885
New Fairfield town	686	2 752	9.7	487	575	33	5.7	9 111	93.7	40.8	1 165	359
Newtown town	1 117	4 946	9.7	946	1 030	49	4.8	16 765	92.8	49.8	1 992	654
Newtown borough	86	375	9.6	79	85	-	-	1 229	97.4	57.3	175	75
Norwalk town	2 822	12 060	11.9	4 457	2 989	315	10.5	58 885	82.8	34.2	6 206	2 836
Norwalk city	2 822	12 060	11.9	4 457	2 989	315	10.5	58 885	82.8	34.2	6 206	2 836
Redding town	343	1 677	14.4	230	274	-	-	5 670	96.9	63.1	689	236
Georgetown CDP (part)	31	45	-	20	7	-	-	259	87.3	40.9	24	12
Ridgefield town	1 085	5 005	7.4	650	904	-	-	15 667	96.0	65.9	1 752	840
Ridgefield CDP	303	1 397	5.0	246	356	-	-	5 005	94.1	62.2	550	297
Shelton town	1 320	6 222	14.7	1 874	1 578	48	3.0	27 013	87.3	29.9	3 675	1 703
Shelton city	1 320	6 222	14.7	1 874	1 578	48	3.0	27 013	87.3	29.9	3 675	1 703
Sherman town	162	750	15.7	145	161	7	4.3	2 688	94.3	42.3	407	181
Stamford town	3 873	17 566	12.6	5 660	4 269	332	7.8	82 886	82.2	39.6	7 286	4 080
Stamford city	3 873	17 566	12.6	5 660	4 269	332	7.8	82 886	82.2	39.6	7 286	4 080
Stratford town	1 576	8 401	12.4	2 389	2 167	75	3.5	35 472	83.2	25.7	5 504	2 715
Stratford CDP	1 576	8 401	12.4	2 389	2 167	75	3.5	35 472	83.2	25.7	5 504	2 715
Trumbull town	1 259	6 272	8.6	1 498	1 563	22	1.4	23 690	89.7	43.4	3 207	1 741
Trumbull CDP	1 259	6 272	8.6	1 498	1 563	22	1.4	23 690	89.7	43.4	3 207	1 741
Weston town	670	2 208	9.6	308	360	-	-	6 403	98.1	74.4	663	341
Georgetown CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	132	93.9	56.8	23	17
Westport town	1 414	4 844	12.6	941	835	-	-	17 864	96.4	69.4	2 188	1 334
Westport CDP	1 414	4 844	12.6	941	835	-	-	17 864	96.4	69.4	2 188	1 334
Wilton town	931	3 884	11.7	507	772	-	-	11 515	95.0	70.7	1 312	580
Georgetown CDP (part)	53	263	6.8	27	70	-	-	727	92.8	61.1	90	27
Hartford County	27 656	150 328	8.4	48 436	42 608	3 772	8.9	579 839	82.4	29.6	77 095	33 364
Avon town	606	2 991	8.2	696	702	20	2.8	11 113	95.4	62.0	1 615	761
Berlin town	613	3 189	7.2	862	814	9	1.1	12 739	87.3	30.8	1 952	897
Kensington CDP	278	1 543	4.7	500	390	-	-	5 932	85.1	25.9	919	422
Bloomfield town	571	3 020	13.5	1 203	889	30	3.4	14 347	84.2	30.8	1 997	912
Blue Hills CDP	74	578	1.4	183	182	10	5.5	2 096	72.8	8.9	212	77
Bristol town	1 762	9 696	10.7	2 550	2 637	171	6.5	41 867	80.8	16.2	6 149	2 436
Bristol city	1 762	9 696	10.7	2 550	2 637	171	6.5	41 867	80.8	16.2	6 149	2 436
Burlington town	273	1 636	6.3	488	413	18	4.4	5 469	93.8	43.5	731	211
Canton town	332	1 550	6.8	357	295	-	-	6 256	92.9	43.0	819	304
Canton Valley CDP	59	210	1.9	86	38	-	-	1 165	89.5	28.2	193	62
Collinsville CDP	79	419	5.3	105	70	-	-	1 892	89.7	36.7	256	111
East Granby town	143	878	7.3	258	185	-	-	3 299	93.0	37.2	426	174
East Hartford town	1 496	8 464	8.5	2 302	2 207	241	10.9	33 957	77.4	13.4	5 035	2 162
East Hartford CDP	1 496	8 464	8.5	2 302	2 207	241	10.9	33 957	77.4	13.4	5 035	2 162
East Windsor town	252	1 559	10.9	501	343	20	5.8	7 000	82.4	20.2	1 181	412
Broad Brook CDP	95	657	3.5	137	136	4	2.9	2 390	85.0	21.1	452	132
Enfield town	1 367	7 518	9.3	2 300	1 967	172	8.7	31 576	83.9	18.2	5 430	2 089
Hazardville CDP	186	831	11.6	218	169	7	4.1	3 524	83.2	14.7	721	337
Sherwood Manor CDP	163	810	11.1	243	274	-	-	4 083	87.9	19.7	788	388
Southwood Acres CDP	285	1 481	7.9	351	358	11	3.1	5 674	88.6	18.8	1 146	422
Thompsonville CDP	238	1 346	12.0	411	407	83	20.4	5 361	77.5	18.8	663	284
Farmington town	880	4 193	9.8	1 264	842	10	1.2	16 793	91.6	49.2	2 002	986
Glastonbury town	1 467	5 937	5.9	1 408	1 378	49	3.6	21 996	93.7	55.0	2 695	1 142
Glastonbury Center CDP	203	1 054	9.8	305	265	-	-	5 385	89.7	51.3	820	414
Wethersfield CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Granby town	436	1 924	4.8	388	444	9	2.0	7 179	92.9	51.8	1 119	399
North Granby CDP	60	404	9.7	121	98	-	-	1 124	94.4	53.6	217	52
Salmon Brook CDP	90	399	-	59	66	-	-	1 812	90.0	42.4	226	103
Hartford town	4 567	25 727	5.1	7 672	8 274	1 665	20.1	69 868	60.8	12.4	5 378	1 782
Hartford city	4 567	25 727	5.1	7 672	8 274	1 665	20.1	69 868	60.8	12.4	5 378	1 782
Hartland town	46	422	7.6	77	115	2	1.7	1 365	90.5	28.6	197	71
Manchester town	1 485	8 730	10.8	2 751	2 352	164	7.0	38 025	87.5	29.4	5 315	2 110
Central Manchester CDP	779	5 182	9.1	1 420	1 420	109	7.7	20 973	84.5	23.1	2 980	1 245
Marlborough town	253	1 052	7.5	216	173	-	-	3 897	91.9	44.3	518	131
Terramuggus CDP	53	220	10.9	17	26	-	-	713	92.3	36.5	81	19
New Britain town	1 882	12 669	11.2	5 882	4 370	619	14.2	44 987	69.0	16.6	5 224	2 749
New Britain city	1 882	12 669	11.2	5 882	4 370	619	14.2	44 987	69.0	16.6	5 224	2 749
Newington town	891	4 287	7.8	1 481	1 107	59	5.3	21 664	85.5	29.1	2 984	1 492
Newington CDP	891	4 287	7.8	1 481	1 107	59	5.3	21 664	85.5	29.1	2 984	1 492
Plainville town	417	2 749	4.8	846	614	43	7.0	12 494	84.0	20.2	1 894	727
Rocky Hill town	425	2 557	7.3	864	596	9	1.5	13 252	88.2	37.1	2 233	912
Simsbury town	1 071	4 901	9.6	780	1 088	9	0.8	15 534	94.5	61.4	2 208	935
Simsbury Center CDP	300	1 018	9.2	229	215	-	-	3 901	93.9	58.8	561	244
Tariffville CDP	45	237	2.5	41	77	4	5.2	924	89.6	42.1	138	39
Weatogue CDP	137	545	10.3	88	117	5	4.3	1 845	94.0	64.6	276	115
West Simsbury CDP	146	458	10.5	31	104	-	-	1 570	94.0	60.6	232	77
Southington town	1 199	6 740	8.1	2 028	1 871	73	3.9	27 942	86.0	27.2	4 277	1 812
South Windsor town	899	4 840	5.1									

Table 3. Education and Veteran Status: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
Hartford County—Con.												
West Hartford town—Con.												
West Hartford CDP	2 152	9 870	11.9	6 345	4 082	116	2.8	43 454	90.3	53.0	5 199	2 906
Wethersfield town	706	3 775	9.4	1 075	974	48	4.9	19 759	83.6	33.3	2 792	1 642
Wethersfield CDP (part)	706	3 775	9.4	1 075	974	48	4.9	19 759	83.6	33.3	2 792	1 642
Windsor town	767	4 911	10.1	1 437	1 465	101	6.9	19 532	87.7	30.9	2 714	1 140
Windsor Locks town	312	2 071	2.5	511	491	17	3.5	8 464	86.4	17.2	1 517	688
Windsor Locks CDP	312	2 071	2.5	511	491	17	3.5	8 464	86.4	17.2	1 517	688
Litchfield County	5 766	32 080	9.8	7 392	8 090	435	5.4	127 305	85.9	27.5	19 103	7 634
Barkhamsted town	78	639	8.1	161	119	-	-	2 467	92.7	36.4	357	137
Bethlehem town	143	607	12.0	187	146	11	7.5	2 426	90.6	35.3	349	110
Bethlehem Village CDP	74	345	7.2	73	86	7	8.1	1 496	88.4	34.4	282	89
Bridgewater town	45	315	24.1	63	86	2	2.3	1 327	93.3	48.2	186	72
Canaan town	44	175	5.1	14	60	3	5.0	763	91.5	33.0	94	39
Colebrook town	55	250	8.4	68	61	4	6.6	1 045	90.2	33.5	159	69
Cornwall town	36	255	10.2	50	45	-	-	1 042	94.8	47.4	144	78
Goshen town	74	458	11.8	80	116	-	-	1 959	90.0	32.4	396	167
Harwinton town	163	998	3.9	187	277	6	2.2	3 681	92.3	33.0	568	228
Northwest Harwinton CDP	94	593	3.4	130	161	-	-	2 364	90.9	26.0	387	178
Kent town	116	437	8.7	54	109	-	-	2 066	93.0	42.0	235	126
Litchfield town	256	1 490	14.2	330	349	40	11.5	5 899	89.8	35.9	1 008	440
Bantam borough	27	174	-	15	38	2	5.3	550	78.2	14.7	83	37
Litchfield borough	32	228	8.8	39	33	-	-	988	95.0	49.5	160	76
Morris town	86	413	8.0	52	69	-	-	1 631	84.6	25.3	225	90
New Hartford town	235	1 193	7.3	230	216	5	2.3	4 207	88.1	42.8	641	185
New Hartford Center CDP	26	161	6.8	29	42	-	-	754	81.8	30.2	149	29
New Milford town	1 017	5 012	5.5	1 014	1 247	61	4.9	18 154	90.5	30.5	2 434	718
New Milford CDP	253	1 074	4.1	302	329	17	5.2	4 434	86.3	21.7	621	209
Norfolk town	73	282	19.1	65	76	-	-	1 182	91.3	37.1	202	77
North Canaan town	44	610	0.8	112	186	9	4.8	2 393	84.2	20.8	409	177
Canaan CDP	13	219	-	46	70	9	12.9	936	85.8	18.6	173	72
Plymouth town	417	2 107	6.5	495	484	17	3.5	7 886	81.4	13.9	1 180	395
Terryville CDP	177	923	3.8	220	213	9	4.2	3 715	78.2	13.6	561	259
Roxbury town	61	376	8.0	77	94	-	-	1 595	96.2	46.6	232	92
Salisbury town	101	687	23.1	139	176	8	4.5	2 952	89.4	45.3	508	249
Sharon town	51	510	33.1	74	116	20	17.2	2 201	90.2	36.3	419	171
Thomaston town	249	1 332	7.3	456	258	-	-	5 131	87.1	18.5	793	330
Torrington town	974	5 714	6.6	1 274	1 588	176	11.1	24 916	78.4	15.7	3 668	1 648
Torrington city	974	5 714	6.6	1 274	1 588	176	11.1	24 916	78.4	15.7	3 668	1 648
Warren town	31	209	14.8	26	45	6	8.5	904	91.9	34.5	129	47
Washington town	71	718	22.1	234	196	4	2.0	2 451	90.9	41.5	383	213
New Preston CDP	33	264	11.7	28	65	-	-	797	86.3	32.2	113	64
Watertown town	656	3 947	14.2	1 104	1 070	42	3.9	14 922	83.8	25.0	2 212	959
Oakville CDP	225	1 634	6.5	377	436	42	9.6	5 886	78.3	16.0	812	324
Winchester town	334	1 791	16.0	443	479	13	2.7	7 468	78.7	17.4	1 279	483
Winsted CDP	224	1 242	16.3	250	279	13	4.7	5 042	73.8	14.1	848	342
Woodbury town	356	1 555	6.5	403	396	8	2.0	6 637	90.2	41.8	893	334
Woodbury Center CDP	59	217	-	66	66	8	12.1	940	86.5	35.4	109	55
Middlesex County	5 026	24 869	8.7	9 945	7 196	399	5.5	108 106	88.7	33.8	16 191	6 550
Chester town	88	574	7.5	125	140	5	3.6	2 760	88.0	35.5	432	202
Chester Center CDP	41	276	6.9	67	45	-	-	1 221	87.1	36.0	186	90
Clinton town	515	2 285	6.0	534	679	35	5.2	9 026	92.9	33.3	1 410	527
Clinton CDP	158	566	0.9	169	199	13	6.5	2 416	92.3	27.6	381	153
Cromwell town	314	2 073	10.6	603	543	35	6.4	9 527	87.0	33.1	1 393	614
Deep River town	204	802	8.4	191	152	-	-	3 244	89.6	32.3	551	255
Deep River Center CDP	74	347	4.6	77	41	-	-	1 754	91.6	29.4	319	141
Durham town	307	1 343	3.2	336	343	49	14.3	4 392	91.6	39.0	640	210
Durham CDP	99	511	-	157	133	16	12.0	1 917	89.1	35.8	321	149
East Haddam town	249	1 507	5.5	370	406	5	1.2	5 788	90.5	30.4	1 153	341
Moodus CDP	28	281	11.7	64	40	-	-	965	88.4	28.7	244	66
East Hampton town	443	2 053	3.8	2 913	1 617	-	-	7 443	91.3	30.2	1 117	307
East Hampton CDP	154	419	7.9	80	159	-	-	1 494	85.9	31.0	233	67
Lake Pocotopaug CDP	79	564	1.2	142	157	-	-	2 217	94.3	28.9	346	135
Essex town	185	913	14.0	210	151	-	-	4 918	94.3	51.5	764	365
Essex Village CDP	28	356	21.3	83	63	-	-	2 001	97.7	63.6	325	166
Haddam town	221	1 274	2.4	286	289	33	11.4	5 041	91.5	33.6	802	267
Higganum CDP	49	243	5.8	70	55	7	12.7	1 252	89.0	39.5	285	130
Killingworth town	193	1 160	6.5	228	201	5	2.5	4 199	92.8	48.5	647	260
Middlefield town	113	760	2.4	209	201	22	10.9	2 963	87.2	26.4	482	219
Middletown town	1 383	6 105	14.3	2 882	1 498	177	11.8	30 480	83.6	30.4	3 790	1 527
Middletown city	1 383	6 105	14.3	2 882	1 498	177	11.8	30 480	83.6	30.4	3 790	1 527
Old Saybrook town	340	1 562	14.5	342	354	-	-	7 667	92.7	38.2	1 359	703
Fenwick borough	-	6	100.0	3	-	-	(X)	43	90.7	46.5	9	5
Old Saybrook Center CDP	32	226	19.9	64	43	-	-	1 395	91.1	38.6	307	163
Saybrook Manor CDP	28	174	-	52	24	-	-	887	91.0	30.3	186	104
Portland town	254	1 509	6.3	389	374	21	5.6	6 091	88.1	32.4	926	412
Portland CDP	135	906	6.1	266	264	21	8.0	3 914	85.3	28.0	596	296
Westbrook town	217	949	4.6	327	248	12	4.8	4 567	91.3	31.4	725	341
Westbrook Center CDP	35	276	2.5	149	65	4	6.2	1 690	88.0	32.5	275	145
New Haven County	26 868	143 221	10.8	55 307	42 794	3 398	7.9	551 642	83.0	27.6	73 863	33 194
Ansonia town	470	3 095	15.3	915	790	48	6.1	12 535	82.2	15.0	1 768	772
Ansonia city	470	3 095	15.3	915	790	48	6.1	12 535	82.2	15.0	1 768	772
Beacon Falls town	212	883	11.8	327	243	38	15.6	3 607	86.7	27.1	501	183
Bethany town	231	1 012	10.4	301	244	-	-	3 424	95.8	48.0	443	223
Branford town	803	4 158	11.9	1 474	1 025	20	2.0	21 298	90.6	38.7	3 119	1 509
Branford Center CDP	156	616	14.4	399	162	8	4.9	4 398	84.9	31.7	637	295
East Haven CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Cheshire town	1 126	5 477	10.4	1 468	1 792	370	20.6	19 137	92.4	47.8	2 518	1 032
Cheshire Village CDP	247	959	13.9	283	196	-	-	4 195	93.8	49.4	548	319
Derby town	381	1 757	9.7	586	409	30	7.3	8 762	78.6	17.8	1 135	550
Derby city	381	1 757	9.7	586	409	30	7.3	8 762	78.6	17.8	1 135	550

Table 3. Education and Veteran Status: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over	
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
New Haven County—Con.												
East Haven town	749	4 623	7.8	1 420	1 281	87	6.8	19 944	81.5	17.1	2 863	1 442
East Haven CDP (part)	749	4 623	7.8	1 420	1 281	87	6.8	19 944	81.5	17.1	2 863	1 442
Guilford town	852	3 891	7.2	925	1 025	33	3.2	15 016	94.9	50.0	2 022	834
Guilford Center CDP	104	347	6.6	147	89	5	5.6	1 985	93.5	45.8	302	181
Hamden town	1 584	8 600	16.1	6 989	3 788	107	2.8	38 141	88.5	36.5	5 170	2 652
North Haven CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Madison town	868	3 510	12.9	700	916	19	2.1	12 173	96.6	57.2	1 669	815
Madison Center CDP	70	388	11.3	118	128	-	-	1 637	94.3	51.6	231	135
Meriden town	1 981	10 428	8.6	2 534	2 583	303	11.7	38 504	77.6	16.4	5 706	2 305
Meriden city	1 981	10 428	8.6	2 534	2 583	303	11.7	38 504	77.6	16.4	5 706	2 305
Middlebury town	256	1 125	4.1	236	317	10	3.2	4 662	91.9	41.5	661	313
Milford town	1 512	8 297	14.8	2 465	2 121	122	5.8	37 480	88.6	29.3	5 451	2 392
Milford city (balance)	1 467	8 031	14.8	2 365	2 067	114	5.5	36 231	88.4	29.1	5 295	2 331
Woodmont borough	45	266	12.4	100	54	8	14.8	1 249	93.2	34.9	156	61
Naugatuck town	1 034	5 954	8.3	1 606	1 701	79	4.6	20 451	83.0	19.0	2 880	1 047
Naugatuck borough	1 034	5 954	8.3	1 606	1 701	79	4.6	20 451	83.0	19.0	2 880	1 047
New Haven town	3 605	22 655	9.5	17 115	9 366	938	10.0	72 171	73.6	27.1	6 896	2 978
New Haven city	3 605	22 655	9.5	17 115	9 366	938	10.0	72 171	73.6	27.1	6 896	2 978
North Branford town	443	2 625	4.3	650	638	16	2.5	9 482	89.2	27.8	1 322	665
North Haven town	784	3 787	7.6	1 146	951	126	13.2	16 486	86.8	32.3	2 498	1 361
North Haven CDP (part)	784	3 787	7.6	1 146	951	126	13.2	16 486	86.8	32.3	2 498	1 361
Orange town	522	2 277	9.4	503	576	22	3.8	9 434	92.6	45.6	1 319	782
Orange CDP	522	2 277	9.4	503	576	22	3.8	9 434	92.6	45.6	1 319	782
Oxford town	399	1 981	7.9	438	570	11	1.9	6 563	92.3	32.2	978	248
Prospect town	249	1 566	15.4	523	385	4	1.0	6 015	87.1	22.8	1 001	393
Seymour town	419	2 702	4.5	775	795	16	2.0	10 811	85.0	19.4	1 578	785
Southbury town	568	3 023	6.1	595	658	41	6.2	13 727	89.2	42.5	2 376	1 263
Heritage Village CDP	16	50	-	64	36	-	-	3 336	96.2	38.4	820	673
Wallingford town	1 437	7 219	7.8	2 112	1 958	74	3.8	30 198	86.4	28.8	4 112	1 793
Wallingford Center CDP	520	2 814	7.2	956	778	52	6.7	12 334	80.5	22.4	1 653	774
Waterbury town	3 803	19 409	16.0	4 665	5 178	735	14.2	69 791	71.7	13.9	8 750	3 785
Waterbury city	3 803	19 409	16.0	4 665	5 178	735	14.2	69 791	71.7	13.9	8 750	3 785
West Haven town	1 693	8 335	11.3	3 867	2 455	135	5.5	35 341	81.0	19.1	4 839	2 049
West Haven city	1 693	8 335	11.3	3 867	2 455	135	5.5	35 341	81.0	19.1	4 839	2 049
Wolcott town	598	2 899	4.9	653	650	7	1.1	10 350	87.8	19.3	1 489	586
Woodbridge town	289	1 933	10.2	319	379	7	1.8	6 139	94.4	60.9	799	437
New London County	8 397	44 217	8.0	14 440	13 209	940	7.1	173 910	86.0	26.2	31 973	10 733
Bozrah town	46	400	9.0	104	99	-	-	1 669	87.8	18.7	305	116
Colchester town	534	2 858	5.7	558	565	4	0.7	9 576	89.4	31.6	1 252	391
East Lyme town	639	3 211	5.5	1 196	698	25	3.6	12 991	89.5	35.4	2 364	793
Niantic CDP	124	403	5.5	108	116	-	-	2 381	89.0	30.2	434	151
Franklin town	70	308	10.1	94	74	2	2.7	1 282	89.1	22.9	222	85
Griswold town	245	2 119	4.9	367	473	36	7.6	7 283	83.1	15.1	1 418	402
Jewett City borough	59	589	3.1	110	155	-	-	2 025	81.3	11.7	392	118
Groton town	1 529	5 928	10.1	1 838	1 919	164	8.5	25 503	88.0	26.0	4 796	1 471
Conning Towers-Nautilus Park CDP	568	1 773	7.4	461	774	90	11.6	4 746	90.6	14.0	746	108
Groton city	383	1 420	10.6	437	387	32	8.3	6 308	89.5	18.8	1 191	266
Groton Long Point borough	25	96	6.3	20	28	2	7.1	513	98.4	62.6	89	34
Long Hill CDP	70	397	5.3	232	90	-	-	2 640	79.1	24.0	496	182
Mystic CDP (part)	34	177	18.1	81	21	-	-	998	94.4	57.8	181	72
Noank CDP	43	196	21.4	91	62	-	-	1 411	89.6	47.1	297	156
Old Mystic CDP	130	542	14.8	133	170	-	-	2 186	91.9	28.7	509	115
Poquonock Bridge CDP	53	320	3.1	29	110	26	23.6	934	73.3	7.0	167	58
Lebanon town	242	1 296	8.3	332	447	48	10.7	4 592	87.8	26.3	732	208
Ledyard town	392	3 132	4.1	542	932	53	5.7	9 510	93.4	32.8	2 241	517
Lisbon town	95	767	3.4	248	199	11	5.5	2 765	83.2	19.6	440	122
Lyme town	54	286	9.1	64	53	-	-	1 544	94.8	55.5	328	163
Montville town	467	3 306	6.7	719	982	115	11.7	12 591	83.9	17.6	2 526	680
Oxoboxo River CDP	99	420	7.1	86	91	13	14.3	2 040	81.5	15.1	427	173
New London town	856	3 894	10.7	3 413	2 093	108	5.2	15 348	78.4	19.6	2 647	953
New London city	856	3 894	10.7	3 413	2 093	108	5.2	15 348	78.4	19.6	2 647	953
North Stonington town	146	920	8.9	164	277	7	2.5	3 425	91.0	29.3	627	198
Norwich town	1 180	6 123	12.0	1 611	1 815	264	14.5	24 125	79.4	18.9	4 424	1 454
Norwich city	1 180	6 123	12.0	1 611	1 815	264	14.5	24 125	79.4	18.9	4 424	1 454
Old Lyme town	269	1 259	10.1	178	264	15	5.7	5 412	93.5	44.9	1 031	519
Preston town	115	792	7.6	226	233	22	9.4	3 370	85.5	22.6	698	230
Salem town	160	883	4.2	169	199	-	-	2 475	93.5	40.7	419	74
Sprague town	102	539	9.3	116	148	16	10.8	2 010	83.5	14.6	413	118
Stonington town	558	2 649	8.3	947	674	21	3.1	13 102	88.2	34.6	2 190	972
Mystic CDP (part)	62	333	5.4	170	68	-	-	2 103	90.7	43.3	324	160
Pawcatuck CDP	214	822	6.8	293	255	8	3.1	3 797	80.1	20.8	579	277
Stonington borough	18	111	24.3	55	13	-	-	836	94.0	51.7	108	54
Voluntown town	75	477	8.6	125	130	4	3.1	1 714	86.1	16.9	333	97
Waterford town	623	3 070	4.9	1 429	935	25	2.7	13 623	86.8	28.1	2 567	1 170
Central Waterford CDP	99	445	3.6	72	152	-	-	2 207	80.2	15.8	402	196
Tolland County	4 189	22 210	5.1	16 790	9 564	322	3.4	87 202	89.2	32.8	12 856	4 401
Andover town	156	499	1.6	125	91	-	-	2 081	93.4	34.0	334	90
Bolton town	200	957	7.8	278	236	9	3.8	3 428	94.0	36.7	529	190
Columbia town	201	976	7.9	265	227	3	1.3	3 415	94.1	36.0	472	146
Coventry town	378	2 182	7.3	625	546	16	2.9	7 692	91.7	28.2	1 102	294
Coventry Lake CDP	81	556	5.0	202	162	-	-	1 856	91.4	21.3	228	43
South Coventry CDP	6	278	5.4	63	38	-	-	924	89.8	25.5	193	72
Ellington town	405	2 309	4.7	732	604	10	1.7	8 796	91.8	32.1	1 276	451
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	51	278	12.2	49	47	-	-	952	88.1	27.6	168	67
Hebron town	392	1 701	2.9	423	414	7	1.7	5 629	93.8	43.0	681	169
Mansfield town	370	2 076	3.2	9 902	4 305	28	0.7	8 680	91.3	54.1	1 219	568
Mansfield Center CDP	16	115	-	123	12	-	-	662	89.4	46.7	86	32
Storrs CDP	93	332	1.5	9 009	3 880	-	-	2 251	92.5	68.1	349	223
Somers town	238	1 707	5.9	722	490	75	15.3	7 276	83.6	23.0	1 143	356
Central Somers CDP	29	337	6.8	90	87	9	10.3	1 157	81.6	25.7	197	100
Stafford town	334	2 079	6.9	328</								

Table 3. Education and Veteran Status: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
Tolland County—Con.												
Tolland town	562	2 499	4.6	755	662	22	3.3	8 726	93.3	42.5	1 179	392
Union town	21	112	6.3	19	25	-	-	516	89.3	26.4	81	22
Vernon town	806	4 227	4.4	1 532	1 086	127	11.7	19 629	85.8	26.8	3 171	1 181
Rockville CDP	229	1 224	5.5	378	375	98	26.1	4 898	80.1	13.3	806	185
Willington town	126	886	2.9	1 084	293	14	4.8	3 642	87.6	34.1	479	122
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Windham County	3 221	19 794	9.1	6 921	6 323	680	10.8	71 242	79.6	19.0	11 486	3 831
Ashford town	145	730	3.7	291	165	18	10.9	2 698	87.2	34.8	377	107
Brooklyn town	113	1 328	9.9	479	346	35	10.1	5 012	78.9	19.9	795	243
East Brooklyn CDP	22	235	-	48	38	12	31.6	934	62.2	4.0	166	96
Canterbury town	85	1 001	7.7	198	300	-	-	3 133	84.4	18.4	581	148
Chaplin town	94	383	2.6	112	126	28	22.2	1 516	84.0	20.3	179	47
Eastford town	64	300	8.3	53	103	9	8.7	1 100	88.1	30.4	174	63
Hampton town	57	331	8.2	54	79	-	-	1 217	89.5	30.6	194	66
Killingly town	489	3 028	9.1	581	912	174	19.1	10 904	75.2	12.7	1 844	683
Danielson borough	101	803	4.1	116	303	73	24.1	2 601	77.2	11.8	357	120
Plainfield town	485	2 845	8.2	573	782	82	10.5	9 527	76.7	10.2	1 729	426
Moosup CDP	112	599	10.0	112	138	20	14.5	2 088	72.4	11.1	439	115
Plainfield Village CDP	62	496	2.2	90	163	28	17.2	1 698	68.9	4.1	281	71
Wauregan CDP	55	283	4.6	12	90	6	6.7	564	73.0	9.6	105	25
Pomfret town	110	707	11.7	204	185	17	9.2	2 536	90.7	35.5	382	118
Putnam town	265	1 511	9.7	347	516	21	4.1	6 170	78.3	16.8	1 070	396
Putnam District CDP	175	1 240	6.0	270	418	16	3.8	4 483	77.7	15.3	790	302
Scotland town	37	288	6.3	71	79	7	8.9	1 024	87.7	22.0	132	38
Sterling town	106	614	10.7	117	168	7	4.2	1 992	79.8	12.0	327	67
Thompson town	273	1 683	14.9	399	457	46	10.1	6 032	80.2	17.4	1 129	427
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	70	253	25.3	26	88	20	22.7	910	61.5	7.8	154	61
Quinebaug CDP	29	141	4.3	38	34	-	-	824	80.5	11.8	197	91
Windham town	700	3 595	7.0	3 151	1 788	236	13.2	13 446	73.9	19.0	1 873	779
South Windham CDP	55	129	29.5	19	55	9	16.4	978	87.7	24.0	241	85
Willimantic CDP	442	2 496	4.8	2 849	1 504	201	13.4	8 686	70.3	18.7	1 096	474
Woodstock town	198	1 450	12.1	291	317	-	-	4 935	91.7	32.8	700	223
South Woodstock CDP	23	224	4.5	39	51	-	-	791	87.9	22.4	102	43

Table 4. Education and Veteran Status: 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over	
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
The State	115 886	590 771	10.2	204 212	169 277	12 580	7.4	2 295 617	84.0	31.4	310 069	131 881
COUNTY												
Fairfield County	34 763	154 052	13.4	44 981	39 493	2 634	6.7	596 371	84.4	39.9	67 502	32 174
Hartford County	27 656	150 328	8.4	48 436	42 608	3 772	8.9	579 839	82.4	29.6	77 095	33 364
Litchfield County	5 766	32 080	9.8	7 392	8 090	435	5.4	127 305	85.9	27.5	19 103	7 634
Middlesex County	5 026	24 869	8.7	9 945	7 196	399	5.5	108 106	88.7	33.8	16 191	6 550
New Haven County	26 868	143 221	10.8	55 307	42 794	3 398	7.9	551 642	83.0	27.6	73 863	33 194
New London County	8 397	44 217	8.0	14 440	13 209	940	7.1	173 910	86.0	26.2	31 973	10 733
Tolland County	4 189	22 210	5.1	16 790	9 564	322	3.4	87 202	89.2	32.8	12 856	4 401
Windham County	3 221	19 794	9.1	6 921	6 323	680	10.8	71 242	79.6	19.0	11 486	3 831
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION												
Andover town, Tolland County	156	499	1.6	125	91	-	-	2 081	93.4	34.0	334	90
Ansonia city, New Haven County	470	3 095	15.3	915	790	48	6.1	12 535	82.2	15.0	1 768	772
Ansonia town, New Haven County	470	3 095	15.3	915	790	48	6.1	12 535	82.2	15.0	1 768	772
Ashford town, Windham County	145	730	3.7	291	165	18	10.9	2 698	87.2	34.8	377	107
Avon town, Hartford County	606	2 991	8.2	696	702	20	2.8	11 113	95.4	62.0	1 615	761
Bantam borough, Litchfield County	27	174	-	15	38	2	5.3	550	78.2	14.7	83	37
Barkhamsted town, Litchfield County	78	639	8.1	161	119	-	-	2 467	92.7	36.4	357	137
Beacon Falls town, New Haven County	212	883	11.8	327	243	38	15.6	3 607	86.7	27.1	501	183
Berlin town, Hartford County	613	3 189	7.2	862	814	9	1.1	12 739	87.3	30.8	1 952	897
Bethany town, New Haven County	231	1 012	10.4	301	244	-	-	3 424	95.8	48.0	443	223
Bethel town, Fairfield County	727	3 524	15.6	877	930	31	3.3	12 078	88.9	36.8	1 461	549
Bethel CDP, Fairfield County	325	1 640	7.4	414	446	18	4.0	6 146	86.9	30.1	739	283
Bethlehem town, Litchfield County	143	607	12.0	187	146	11	7.5	2 426	90.6	35.3	349	110
Bethlehem Village CDP, Litchfield County	74	345	7.2	73	86	7	8.1	1 496	88.4	34.4	282	89
Bloomfield town, Hartford County	571	3 020	13.5	1 203	889	30	3.4	14 347	84.2	30.8	1 997	912
Blue Hills CDP, Hartford County	74	578	1.4	183	182	10	5.5	2 096	72.8	8.9	212	77
Bolton town, Tolland County	200	957	7.8	278	236	9	3.8	3 428	94.0	36.7	529	190
Bozrah town, New London County	46	400	9.0	104	99	-	-	1 669	87.8	18.7	305	116
Branford town, New Haven County	803	4 158	11.9	1 474	1 025	20	2.0	21 298	90.6	38.7	3 119	1 509
Bridford Center CDP, New Haven County	156	616	14.4	399	162	8	4.9	4 398	84.9	31.7	637	295
Bridgeport city, Fairfield County	4 842	27 812	13.2	8 312	7 952	1 185	14.9	84 458	65.0	12.2	8 147	3 355
Bridgeport town, Fairfield County	4 842	27 812	13.2	8 312	7 952	1 185	14.9	84 458	65.0	12.2	8 147	3 355
Bridgewater town, Litchfield County	45	315	24.1	63	86	2	2.3	1 327	93.3	48.2	186	72
Bristol city, Hartford County	1 762	9 696	10.7	2 550	2 637	171	6.5	41 867	80.8	16.2	6 149	2 436
Bristol town, Hartford County	1 762	9 696	10.7	2 550	2 637	171	6.5	41 867	80.8	16.2	6 149	2 436
Broad Brook CDP, Hartford County	95	657	3.5	137	136	4	2.9	2 390	85.0	21.1	452	132
Brookfield town, Fairfield County	588	3 143	6.1	650	674	10	1.5	10 624	93.4	43.9	1 343	503
Brooklyn town, Windham County	113	1 328	9.9	479	346	35	10.1	5 012	78.9	19.9	795	243
Burlington town, Hartford County	273	1 636	6.3	488	413	18	4.4	5 469	93.8	43.5	731	211
Canaan CDP, Litchfield County	13	219	-	46	70	9	12.9	936	85.8	18.6	173	72
Canaan town, Litchfield County	44	175	5.1	14	60	3	5.0	763	91.5	33.0	94	39
Canterbury town, Windham County	85	1 001	7.7	198	300	-	-	3 133	84.4	18.4	581	148
Canton town, Hartford County	332	1 550	6.8	357	295	-	-	6 256	92.9	43.0	819	304
Canton Valley CDP, Hartford County	59	210	1.9	86	38	-	-	1 165	89.5	28.2	193	62
Central Manchester CDP, Hartford County	779	5 182	9.1	1 420	1 420	109	7.7	20 973	84.5	23.1	2 980	1 245
Central Somers CDP, Tolland County	29	337	6.8	90	87	9	10.3	1 157	81.6	25.7	197	100
Central Waterford CDP, New London County	99	445	3.6	72	152	-	-	2 207	80.2	15.8	402	196
Chaplin town, Windham County	94	383	2.6	112	126	28	22.2	1 516	84.0	20.3	179	47
Cheshire town, New Haven County	1 247	5 477	10.4	1 468	1 792	370	20.6	19 137	92.4	47.8	2 518	1 032
Cheshire Village CDP, New Haven County	247	959	13.9	283	196	-	-	4 195	93.8	49.4	548	319
Chester town, Middlesex County	88	574	7.5	125	140	5	3.6	2 760	88.0	35.5	432	202
Chester Center CDP, Middlesex County	41	276	6.9	67	45	-	-	1 221	87.1	36.0	186	90
Clinton town, Middlesex County	515	2 285	6.0	534	679	35	5.2	9 026	92.9	33.3	1 410	527
Clinton CDP, Middlesex County	158	566	0.9	169	199	13	6.5	2 416	92.3	27.6	381	153
Colchester town, New London County	534	2 858	5.7	558	565	4	0.7	9 576	89.4	31.6	1 252	391
Colebrook town, Litchfield County	55	250	8.4	68	61	4	6.6	1 045	90.2	33.5	159	69
Collinsville CDP, Hartford County	79	419	5.3	105	70	-	-	1 892	89.7	36.7	256	111
Columbia town, Tolland County	201	976	7.9	265	227	3	1.3	3 415	94.1	36.0	472	146
Conning Towers-Nautilus Park CDP, New London County	568	1 773	7.4	461	774	90	11.6	4 746	90.6	14.0	746	108
Cornwall town, Litchfield County	36	255	10.2	50	45	-	-	1 042	94.8	47.4	144	78
Coventry town, Tolland County	378	2 182	7.3	625	546	16	2.9	7 692	91.7	28.2	1 102	294
Coventry Lake CDP, Tolland County	81	556	5.0	202	162	-	-	1 856	91.4	21.3	228	43
Cromwell town, Middlesex County	314	2 073	10.6	603	543	35	6.4	9 527	87.0	33.1	1 393	614
Crystal Lake CDP, Tolland County	51	278	12.2	49	47	-	-	952	88.1	27.6	168	67
Danbury city, Fairfield County	2 385	10 773	11.9	4 753	3 811	409	10.7	51 223	77.0	27.1	5 083	2 093
Danbury town, Fairfield County	2 385	10 773	11.9	4 753	3 811	409	10.7	51 223	77.0	27.1	5 083	2 093
Danielson borough, Windham County	101	803	4.1	116	303	73	24.1	2 601	77.2	11.8	357	120
Darien town, Fairfield County	1 271	3 941	16.8	545	756	-	-	12 665	95.7	70.4	1 490	786
Darien CDP, Fairfield County	1 271	3 941	16.8	545	756	-	-	12 665	95.7	70.4	1 490	786
Deep River town, Middlesex County	204	802	8.4	191	152	-	-	3 244	89.6	32.3	551	255
Deep River Center CDP, Middlesex County	74	347	4.6	77	41	-	-	1 754	91.6	29.4	319	141
Derby city, New Haven County	381	1 757	9.7	586	409	30	7.3	8 762	78.6	17.8	1 135	550
Derby town, New Haven County	381	1 757	9.7	586	409	30	7.3	8 762	78.6	17.8	1 135	550
Durham CDP, Middlesex County	99	511	-	157	133	16	12.0	1 917	89.1	35.8	321	149
Durham town, Middlesex County	307	1 343	3.2	336	343	49	14.3	4 392	91.6	39.0	640	210
East Brooklyn CDP, Windham County	22	235	-	48	38	12	31.6	934	62.2	4.0	166	96
Eastford town, Windham County	64	300	8.3	53	103	9	8.7	1 100	88.1	30.4	174	63
East Granby town, Hartford County	143	878	7.3	258	185	-	-	3 299	93.0	37.2	426	174
East Haddam town, Middlesex County	249	1 507	5.5	370	406	5	1.2	5 788	90.5	30.4	1 153	341
East Hampton CDP, Middlesex County	154	419	7.9	80	159	-	-	1 494	85.9	31.0	233	67
East Hampton town, Middlesex County	443	2 053	3.8	2 913	1 617	-	-	7 443	91.3	30.2	1 117	307
East Hartford town, Hartford County	1 496	8 464	8.5	2 302	2 207	241	10.9	33 957	77.4	13.4	5 035	2 162

Table 4. Education and Veteran Status: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over	
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.												
East Hartford CDP, Hartford County	1 496	8 464	8.5	2 302	2 207	241	10.9	33 957	77.4	13.4	5 035	2 162
East Haven town, New Haven County	749	4 623	7.8	1 420	1 281	87	6.8	19 944	81.5	17.1	2 863	1 442
East Haven CDP, New Haven County	749	4 623	7.8	1 420	1 281	87	6.8	19 944	81.5	17.1	2 863	1 442
East Lyme town, New London County	639	3 211	5.5	1 196	698	25	3.6	12 991	89.5	35.4	2 364	793
Easton town, Fairfield County	362	1 430	10.3	271	281	4	1.4	4 939	93.8	59.5	281	298
East Windsor town, Hartford County	252	1 559	10.9	501	343	20	5.8	7 000	82.4	20.2	1 181	412
Ellington town, Tolland County	405	2 309	4.7	732	604	10	1.7	8 796	91.8	32.1	1 796	451
Enfield town, Hartford County	1 367	7 518	9.3	2 300	1 967	172	8.7	31 576	83.9	18.2	5 430	2 089
Essex town, Middlesex County	185	913	14.0	210	151	-	-	4 918	94.3	51.5	151	365
Essex Village CDP, Middlesex County	28	356	21.3	83	63	-	-	2 001	97.7	63.6	325	166
Fairfield town, Fairfield County	2 415	8 733	16.9	5 699	3 756	43	1.1	38 158	91.6	52.2	4 865	2 711
Farmington town, Hartford County	880	4 193	9.8	1 264	842	10	1.2	16 793	91.6	49.2	2 002	986
Fenwick borough, Middlesex County	-	6	100.0	3	-	-	(X)	43	90.7	46.5	9	5
Franklin town, New London County	70	308	10.1	94	74	2	2.7	1 282	89.1	22.9	222	85
Georgetown CDP, Fairfield County	84	308	5.8	47	77	-	-	1 118	91.7	55.9	137	56
Glastonbury town, Hartford County	1 467	5 937	5.9	1 408	1 378	49	3.6	21 996	93.7	55.0	2 695	1 142
Glastonbury Center CDP, Hartford County	203	1 054	9.8	305	265	-	-	5 385	89.7	51.3	820	414
Goshen town, Litchfield County	74	458	11.8	80	116	-	-	1 959	90.0	32.4	396	167
Granby town, Hartford County	436	1 924	4.8	388	444	9	2.0	7 179	92.9	51.8	1 119	399
Greenwich town, Fairfield County	2 701	10 244	24.5	2 293	2 152	71	3.3	43 071	92.1	58.8	5 041	2 789
Griswold town, New London County	245	2 119	4.9	367	473	36	7.6	7 283	93.1	15.1	1 418	402
Groton city, New London County	383	1 420	10.6	437	387	32	8.3	6 308	89.5	18.8	1 191	266
Groton town, New London County	1 529	5 928	10.1	1 838	1 919	164	8.5	25 503	88.0	26.0	4 796	1 471
Groton Long Point borough, New London County	25	96	6.3	20	28	2	7.1	513	98.4	62.6	89	34
Guilford town, New Haven County	852	3 891	7.2	925	1 025	33	3.2	15 016	94.9	50.0	2 022	834
Guilford Center CDP, New Haven County	104	347	6.6	147	89	5	5.6	1 985	93.5	45.8	302	181
Haddam town, Middlesex County	221	1 274	2.4	286	289	33	11.4	5 041	91.5	33.6	802	267
Hamden town, New Haven County	1 584	8 600	16.1	6 989	3 788	107	2.8	38 141	88.5	36.5	5 170	2 652
Hampton town, Windham County	57	331	8.2	54	79	-	-	1 217	89.5	30.6	194	66
Hartford city, Hartford County	4 567	25 727	5.1	7 672	8 274	1 665	20.1	69 868	60.8	12.4	5 378	1 782
Hartford town, Hartford County	4 567	25 727	5.1	7 672	8 274	1 665	20.1	69 868	60.8	12.4	5 378	1 782
Hartland town, Hartford County	46	422	7.6	77	115	2	1.7	1 365	90.5	28.6	197	71
Harwinton town, Litchfield County	163	998	3.9	187	277	6	2.2	3 681	92.3	33.0	568	228
Hazardville CDP, Hartford County	186	831	11.6	218	169	7	4.1	3 524	83.2	14.7	721	337
Hebron town, Tolland County	392	1 701	2.9	423	414	7	1.7	5 629	93.8	43.0	681	169
Heritage Village CDP, New Haven County	16	50	-	64	36	-	-	3 336	96.2	38.4	820	673
Higganum CDP, Middlesex County	49	243	5.8	70	55	7	12.7	1 252	89.0	39.5	285	130
Jewett City borough, New London County	59	589	3.1	110	155	-	-	2 025	81.3	11.7	392	118
Kensington CDP, Hartford County	278	1 543	4.7	500	390	-	-	5 932	85.1	25.9	919	422
Kent town, Litchfield County	116	437	8.7	54	109	-	-	2 066	93.0	42.0	235	126
Killingly town, Windham County	489	3 028	9.1	581	912	174	19.1	10 904	75.2	12.7	1 844	683
Killingworth town, Middlesex County	193	1 160	6.5	228	201	5	2.5	4 199	92.8	48.5	647	260
Lake Pocotopaug CDP, Middlesex County	79	564	1.2	142	157	-	-	2 217	94.3	28.9	346	135
Lebanon town, New London County	242	1 296	8.3	332	447	48	10.7	4 592	87.8	26.3	732	208
Ledyard town, New London County	392	3 132	4.1	542	932	53	5.7	9 510	93.4	32.8	2 241	517
Lisbon town, New London County	95	767	3.4	248	199	11	5.5	2 765	83.2	19.6	440	122
Litchfield borough, Litchfield County	32	228	8.8	39	33	-	-	988	95.0	49.5	160	76
Litchfield town, Litchfield County	256	1 490	14.2	330	349	40	11.5	5 899	89.8	35.9	1 008	440
Long Hill CDP, New London County	70	397	5.3	232	90	-	-	2 640	79.1	24.0	496	182
Lyme town, New London County	54	286	9.1	64	53	-	-	1 544	94.8	55.5	328	163
Madison town, New Haven County	868	3 510	12.9	700	916	19	2.1	12 173	96.6	57.2	1 669	815
Madison Center CDP, New Haven County	70	388	11.3	118	128	-	-	1 637	94.3	51.6	231	135
Manchester town, Hartford County	1 485	8 730	10.8	2 751	2 352	164	7.0	38 025	87.5	29.4	5 315	2 110
Mansfield town, Tolland County	370	2 076	3.2	9 902	4 305	28	0.7	8 680	91.3	54.1	1 219	568
Mansfield Center CDP, Tolland County	16	115	-	123	12	-	-	662	89.4	46.7	86	32
Marlborough town, Hartford County	253	1 052	7.5	216	173	-	-	3 897	91.9	44.3	518	131
Meriden city, New Haven County	1 981	10 428	8.6	2 534	2 583	303	11.7	38 504	77.6	16.4	5 706	2 305
Meriden town, New Haven County	1 981	10 428	8.6	2 534	2 583	303	11.7	38 504	77.6	16.4	5 706	2 305
Middlebury town, New Haven County	256	1 125	4.1	236	317	10	3.2	4 662	91.9	41.5	661	313
Middlefield town, Middlesex County	113	760	2.4	209	201	22	10.9	2 963	87.2	26.4	482	219
Middletown city, Middlesex County	1 383	6 105	14.3	2 882	1 498	177	11.8	30 480	83.6	30.4	3 790	1 527
Middletown town, Middlesex County	1 383	6 105	14.3	2 882	1 498	177	11.8	30 480	83.6	30.4	3 790	1 527
Milford city, New Haven County	1 512	8 297	14.8	2 465	2 121	122	5.8	37 480	88.6	29.3	5 451	2 392
Milford city (balance)	1 467	8 031	14.8	2 365	2 067	114	5.5	36 231	88.4	29.1	5 295	2 331
Woodmont borough	45	266	12.4	100	54	8	14.8	1 249	93.2	34.9	156	61
Milford city (balance), New Haven County	1 467	8 031	14.8	2 365	2 067	114	5.5	36 231	88.4	29.1	5 295	2 331
Milford town, New Haven County	1 512	8 297	14.8	2 465	2 121	122	5.8	37 480	88.6	29.3	5 451	2 392
Monroe town, Fairfield County	1 081	3 709	10.2	897	859	-	-	12 737	91.2	41.3	1 675	605
Montville town, New London County	467	3 306	6.7	719	982	115	11.7	12 591	83.9	17.6	2 526	680
Moodus CDP, Middlesex County	28	281	11.7	64	40	-	-	965	88.4	28.7	244	66
Moosup CDP, Windham County	112	599	10.0	112	138	20	14.5	2 088	72.4	11.1	439	115
Morris town, Litchfield County	86	413	8.0	52	69	-	-	1 631	84.6	25.3	225	90
Mystic CDP, New London County	96	510	9.8	251	89	-	-	3 101	91.9	48.0	505	232
Naugatuck borough, New Haven County	1 034	5 954	8.3	1 606	1 701	79	4.6	20 451	83.0	19.0	2 880	1 047
Naugatuck town, New Haven County	1 034	5 954	8.3	1 606	1 701	79	4.6	20 451	83.0	19.0	2 880	1 047
New Britain city, Hartford County	1 882	12 669	11.2	5 882	4 370	619	14.2	44 987	69.0	16.6	5 224	2 749
New Britain town, Hartford County	1 882	12 669	11.2	5 882	4 370	619	14.2	44 987	69.0	16.6	5 224	2 749
New Canaan town, Fairfield County	1 133	4 156	20.5	592	845	-	-	12 789	96.7	71.6	1 710	885
New Fairfield town, Fairfield County	686	2 752	9.7	487	575	33	5.7	9 111	93.7	40.8	1 165	359
New Hartford town, Litchfield County	235	1 193	7.3	230	216	5	2.3	4 207	88.1	42.8	641	185
New Hartford Center CDP, Litchfield County	26	161	6.8	29	42	-	-	754	81.8	30.2	149	29
New Haven city, New Haven County	3 605	22 655	9.5	17 115	9 366	938	10.0	72 171	73.6	27.1	6 896	2 978
New Haven town, New Haven County	3 605	22 655	9.5	17 115	9 366	938	10.0	72 171	73.6	27.1	6 896	2 978
Newington town, Hartford County	891	4 287	7.8	1 481	1 107	59	5.3	21 664	85.5	29.1	2 984	1 492

Table 4. Education and Veteran Status: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over	
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.												
Newington CDP, Hartford County	891	4 287	7.8	1 481	1 107	59	5.3	21 664	85.5	29.1	2 984	1 492
New London city, New London County	856	3 894	10.7	3 413	2 093	108	5.2	15 348	78.4	19.6	2 647	953
New London town, New London County	856	3 894	10.7	3 413	2 093	108	5.2	15 348	78.4	19.6	2 647	953
New Milford CDP, Litchfield County	253	1 074	4.1	302	329	17	5.2	4 434	86.3	21.7	621	209
New Milford town, Litchfield County	1 017	5 012	5.5	1 014	1 247	61	4.9	18 154	90.5	30.5	2 434	718
New Preston CDP, Litchfield County	33	264	11.7	28	65	-	-	797	86.3	32.2	113	64
Newtown borough, Fairfield County	86	375	9.6	79	85	-	-	1 229	97.4	57.3	175	75
Newtown town, Fairfield County	1 117	4 946	9.7	946	1 030	49	4.8	16 765	92.8	49.8	1 992	654
Niantic CDP, New London County	124	403	5.5	108	116	-	-	2 381	89.0	30.2	434	151
Noank CDP, New London County	43	196	21.4	91	62	-	-	1 411	89.6	47.1	297	156
Norfolk town, Litchfield County	73	282	19.1	65	76	-	-	1 182	91.3	37.1	1 082	77
North Branford town, New Haven County	443	2 625	4.3	650	638	16	2.5	9 482	89.2	27.8	1 322	665
North Canaan town, Litchfield County	44	610	0.8	112	186	9	4.8	2 393	84.2	20.8	409	177
North Granby CDP, Hartford County	60	404	9.7	121	98	-	-	1 124	94.4	53.6	217	52
North Grosvenor Dale CDP, Windham County	70	253	25.3	26	88	20	22.7	910	61.5	7.8	154	61
North Haven town, New Haven County	784	3 787	7.6	1 146	951	126	13.2	16 486	86.8	32.3	2 498	1 361
North Haven CDP, New Haven County	784	3 787	7.6	1 146	951	126	13.2	16 486	86.8	32.3	2 498	1 361
North Stonington town, New London County	146	920	8.9	164	277	7	2.5	3 425	91.0	29.3	627	198
Northwest Harwinton CDP, Litchfield County	94	593	3.4	130	161	-	-	2 364	90.9	26.0	387	178
Norwalk city, Fairfield County	2 822	12 060	11.9	4 457	2 989	315	10.5	58 885	82.8	34.2	6 206	2 836
Norwalk town, Fairfield County	2 822	12 060	11.9	4 457	2 989	315	10.5	58 885	82.8	34.2	6 206	2 836
Norwich city, New London County	1 180	6 123	12.0	1 611	1 815	264	14.5	24 125	79.4	18.9	4 424	1 454
Norwich town, New London County	1 180	6 123	12.0	1 611	1 815	264	14.5	24 125	79.4	18.9	4 424	1 454
Oakville CDP, Litchfield County	225	1 634	6.5	377	436	42	9.6	5 886	78.3	16.0	812	324
Old Lyme town, New London County	269	1 259	10.1	178	264	15	5.7	5 412	93.5	44.9	1 031	519
Old Mystic CDP, New London County	130	542	14.8	133	170	-	-	2 186	91.9	28.7	509	115
Old Saybrook town, Middlesex County	340	1 562	14.5	342	354	-	-	7 667	92.7	38.2	1 359	703
Old Saybrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	32	226	19.9	64	43	-	-	1 395	91.1	38.6	307	163
Orange town, New Haven County	522	2 277	9.4	503	576	22	3.8	9 434	92.6	45.6	1 319	782
Orange CDP, New Haven County	522	2 277	9.4	503	576	22	3.8	9 434	92.6	45.6	1 319	782
Oxford town, New Haven County	399	1 981	7.9	438	570	11	1.9	6 563	92.3	32.2	978	248
Oxoboxo River CDP, New London County	99	420	7.1	86	91	13	14.3	2 040	81.5	15.1	427	173
Pawcatuck CDP, New London County	214	822	6.8	293	255	8	3.1	3 797	80.1	20.8	579	277
Plainfield town, Windham County	485	2 845	8.2	573	782	82	10.5	9 527	76.7	10.2	1 729	426
Plainfield Village CDP, Windham County	62	496	2.2	90	163	28	17.2	1 698	68.9	4.1	281	71
Plainville town, Hartford County	417	2 749	4.8	846	614	43	7.0	12 494	84.0	20.2	1 894	727
Plymouth town, Litchfield County	417	2 107	6.5	495	484	17	3.5	7 886	81.4	13.9	1 180	395
Pomfret town, Windham County	110	707	11.7	204	185	17	9.2	2 536	90.7	35.5	382	118
Poquonock Bridge CDP, New London County	53	320	3.1	29	110	26	23.6	934	73.3	7.0	167	58
Portland town, Middlesex County	254	1 509	6.3	389	374	21	5.6	6 091	88.1	32.4	926	412
Portland CDP, Middlesex County	135	906	6.1	266	264	21	8.0	3 914	85.3	28.0	596	296
Preston town, New London County	115	792	7.6	226	233	22	9.4	3 370	85.5	22.6	698	230
Prospect town, New Haven County	249	1 566	15.4	523	385	4	1.0	6 015	87.1	22.8	1 001	393
Putnam town, Windham County	265	1 511	9.7	347	516	21	4.1	6 170	78.3	16.8	1 070	396
Putnam District CDP, Windham County	175	1 240	6.0	270	418	16	3.8	4 483	77.7	15.3	790	302
Quinebaug CDP, Windham County	29	141	4.3	38	34	-	-	824	80.5	11.8	197	91
Redding town, Fairfield County	343	1 677	14.4	230	274	-	-	5 670	96.9	63.1	689	236
Ridgefield CDP, Fairfield County	303	1 397	5.0	246	356	-	-	5 005	94.1	62.2	550	297
Ridgefield town, Fairfield County	1 085	5 005	7.4	650	904	-	-	15 667	96.0	65.9	1 752	840
Rockville CDP, Tolland County	229	1 224	5.5	378	375	98	26.1	4 898	80.1	13.3	806	185
Rocky Hill town, Hartford County	425	2 557	7.3	864	596	9	1.5	13 252	88.2	37.1	2 233	912
Roxbury town, Litchfield County	61	376	8.0	77	94	-	-	1 595	96.2	46.6	232	92
Salem town, New London County	160	883	4.2	169	199	-	-	2 475	93.5	40.7	419	74
Salisbury town, Litchfield County	101	687	23.1	139	176	8	4.5	2 952	89.4	45.3	508	249
Salmon Brook CDP, Hartford County	90	399	-	59	66	-	-	1 812	90.0	42.4	226	103
Saybrook Manor CDP, Middlesex County	28	174	-	52	24	-	-	887	91.0	30.3	186	104
Scotland town, Windham County	37	288	6.3	71	79	7	8.9	1 024	87.7	22.0	132	38
Seymour town, New Haven County	419	2 702	4.5	775	795	16	2.0	10 811	85.0	19.4	1 578	785
Sharon town, Litchfield County	51	510	33.1	74	116	20	17.2	2 201	90.2	36.3	419	171
Shelton city, Fairfield County	1 320	6 222	14.7	1 874	1 578	48	3.0	27 013	87.3	29.9	3 675	1 703
Shelton town, Fairfield County	1 320	6 222	14.7	1 874	1 578	48	3.0	27 013	87.3	29.9	3 675	1 703
Sherman town, Fairfield County	162	750	15.7	145	161	7	4.3	2 688	94.3	42.3	407	181
Sherwood Manor CDP, Hartford County	163	810	11.1	243	274	-	-	4 083	87.9	19.7	788	388
Simsbury town, Hartford County	1 071	4 901	9.6	780	1 088	9	0.8	15 534	94.5	61.4	2 208	935
Simsbury Center CDP, Hartford County	300	1 018	9.2	229	215	-	-	3 901	93.9	58.8	561	244
Somers town, Tolland County	238	1 707	5.9	722	490	75	15.3	7 276	83.6	23.0	1 143	356
Southbury town, New Haven County	568	3 023	6.1	595	658	41	6.2	13 727	89.2	42.5	2 376	1 263
South Coventry CDP, Tolland County	6	278	5.4	63	38	-	-	924	89.8	25.5	193	72
Southington town, Hartford County	1 199	6 740	8.1	2 028	1 871	73	3.9	27 942	86.0	27.2	4 277	1 812
South Windham CDP, Windham County	55	129	29.5	19	55	9	16.4	978	87.7	24.0	241	85
South Windsor town, Hartford County	899	4 840	5.1	1 330	1 174	28	2.4	16 538	91.4	41.6	2 208	873
Southwood Acres CDP, Hartford County	285	1 481	7.9	351	358	11	3.1	5 674	88.6	18.8	1 146	422
South Woodstock CDP, Windham County	23	224	4.5	39	51	-	-	791	87.9	22.4	102	43
Sprague town, New London County	102	539	9.3	116	148	16	10.8	2 010	83.5	14.6	413	118
Stafford town, Tolland County	334	2 079	6.9	328	585	11	1.9	7 692	82.5	16.7	1 190	420
Stamford city, Fairfield County	3 873	17 566	12.6	5 660	4 269	332	7.8	82 886	82.2	39.6	7 286	4 080
Stamford town, Fairfield County	3 873	17 566	12.6	5 660	4 269	332	7.8	82 886	82.2	39.6	7 286	4 080
Sterling town, Windham County	106	614	10.7	117	168	7	4.2	1 992	79.8	12.0	397	67
Stonington borough, New London County	18	111	24.3	55	13	-	-	836	94.0	51.7	108	54
Stonington town, New London County	558	2 649	8.3	947	674	21	3.1	13 102	88.2	34.6	2 190	972
Storrs CDP, Tolland County	93	332	1.5	9 009	3 880	-	-	2 251	92.5	68.1	349	223
Stratford town, Fairfield County	1 576	8 401	12.4	2 389	2 167	75	3.5	35 472	83.2	25.7	5 504	2 715
Stratford CDP, Fairfield County	1 576	8 401	12.4	2 389	2 167	75	3.5	35 472	83.2	25.7	5 504	2 715
Suffield town, Hartford County	386	2 472	7.5	564	746	70	9.4	9 473	87.4	34.8	1 286	509
Suffield Depot CDP, Hartford County	67	305	5.2	73	61	19	31.1	966	91.8	45.0	124	54

Table 4. **Education and Veteran Status: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.												
Tariffville CDP, Hartford County	45	237	2.5	41	77	4	5.2	924	89.6	42.1	138	39
Terramuggus CDP, Hartford County	53	220	10.9	17	26	-	-	713	92.3	36.5	81	19
Terryville CDP, Litchfield County	177	923	3.8	220	213	9	4.2	3 715	78.2	13.6	561	259
Thomaston town, Litchfield County	249	1 332	7.3	456	258	-	-	5 131	87.1	18.5	793	330
Thompson town, Windham County	273	1 683	14.9	399	457	46	10.1	6 032	80.2	17.4	1 129	427
Thompsonville CDP, Hartford County	238	1 346	12.0	411	407	83	20.4	5 361	77.5	18.8	663	284
Tolland town, Tolland County	562	2 499	4.6	755	662	22	3.3	8 726	93.3	42.5	1 179	392
Torrington city, Litchfield County	974	5 714	6.6	1 274	1 588	176	11.1	24 916	78.4	15.7	3 668	1 648
Torrington town, Litchfield County	974	5 714	6.6	1 274	1 588	176	11.1	24 916	78.4	15.7	3 668	1 648
Trumbull town, Fairfield County	1 259	6 272	8.6	1 498	1 563	22	1.4	23 690	89.7	43.4	3 207	1 741
Trumbull CDP, Fairfield County	1 259	6 272	8.6	1 498	1 563	22	1.4	23 690	89.7	43.4	3 207	1 741
Union town, Tolland County	21	112	6.3	19	25	-	-	516	89.3	26.4	81	22
Vernon town, Tolland County	806	4 227	4.4	1 532	1 086	127	11.7	19 629	85.8	26.8	3 171	1 181
Voluntown town, New Haven County	75	477	8.6	125	130	4	3.1	1 714	86.1	16.9	333	97
Wallingford town, New London County	1 437	7 219	7.8	2 112	1 958	74	3.8	30 198	86.4	28.8	4 112	1 793
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	520	2 814	7.2	956	778	52	6.7	12 334	80.5	22.4	1 653	774
Warren town, Litchfield County	31	209	14.8	26	71	6	8.5	904	91.9	34.5	129	47
Washington town, Litchfield County	71	718	22.1	234	196	4	2.0	2 451	90.9	41.5	383	213
Waterbury city, New Haven County	3 803	19 409	16.0	4 665	5 178	735	14.2	69 791	71.7	13.9	8 750	3 785
Waterbury town, New Haven County	3 803	19 409	16.0	4 665	5 178	735	14.2	69 791	71.7	13.9	8 750	3 785
Waterford town, New London County	623	3 070	4.9	1 429	935	25	2.7	13 623	86.8	28.1	2 567	1 170
Watertown town, Litchfield County	656	3 947	14.2	1 104	1 070	42	3.9	14 922	83.8	25.0	2 212	959
Waregan CDP, Windham County	55	283	4.6	12	90	6	6.7	564	73.0	9.6	105	25
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	137	545	10.3	88	117	5	4.3	1 845	94.0	64.6	276	115
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	217	949	4.6	327	248	12	4.8	4 567	91.3	31.4	725	341
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	35	276	2.5	149	65	4	6.2	1 690	88.0	32.5	275	145
West Hartford town, Hartford County	2 152	9 870	11.9	6 345	4 082	116	2.8	43 454	90.3	53.0	5 199	2 906
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	2 152	9 870	11.9	6 345	4 082	116	2.8	43 454	90.3	53.0	5 199	2 906
West Haven city, New Haven County	1 693	8 335	11.3	3 867	2 455	135	5.5	35 341	81.0	19.1	4 839	2 049
West Haven town, New Haven County	1 693	8 335	11.3	3 867	2 455	135	5.5	35 341	81.0	19.1	4 839	2 049
Weston town, Fairfield County	670	2 208	9.6	308	360	-	-	6 403	98.1	74.4	663	341
Westport town, Fairfield County	1 414	4 844	12.6	941	835	-	-	17 864	96.4	69.4	2 188	1 334
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	1 414	4 844	12.6	941	835	-	-	17 864	96.4	69.4	2 188	1 334
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	146	458	10.5	31	104	-	-	1 570	94.0	60.6	232	77
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	706	3 775	9.4	1 075	974	48	4.9	19 759	83.6	33.3	2 792	1 642
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	706	3 775	9.4	1 075	974	48	4.9	19 759	83.6	33.3	2 792	1 642
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	442	2 496	4.8	2 849	1 504	201	13.4	8 686	70.3	18.7	1 096	474
Willington town, Tolland County	126	886	2.9	1 084	293	14	4.8	3 642	87.6	34.1	479	122
Wilton town, Fairfield County	931	3 884	11.7	507	772	-	-	11 515	95.0	70.7	1 312	580
Winchester town, Litchfield County	334	1 791	16.0	443	479	13	2.7	7 468	78.7	17.4	1 279	483
Windham town, Windham County	700	3 595	7.0	3 151	1 788	236	13.2	13 446	73.9	19.0	1 873	779
Windsor town, Hartford County	767	4 911	10.1	1 437	1 465	101	6.9	19 532	87.7	30.9	2 714	1 140
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	312	2 071	2.5	511	491	17	3.5	8 464	86.4	17.2	1 517	688
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	312	2 071	2.5	511	491	17	3.5	8 464	86.4	17.2	1 517	688
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	224	1 242	16.3	250	279	13	4.7	5 042	73.8	14.1	848	342
Wolcott town, New Haven County	598	2 899	4.9	653	650	7	1.1	10 350	87.8	19.3	1 489	586
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	289	1 933	10.2	319	379	7	1.8	6 139	94.4	60.9	799	437
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	356	1 555	6.5	403	396	8	2.0	6 637	90.2	41.8	893	334
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	59	217	-	66	66	8	12.1	940	86.5	35.4	109	55
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	45	266	12.4	100	54	8	14.8	1 249	93.2	34.9	156	61
Woodstock town, Windham County	198	1 450	12.1	291	317	-	-	4 935	91.7	32.8	700	223

Table 5. **Disability Status: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability							Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years			
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Total	Disability status		
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self-care				With a disability	No disability	
Tolland County—Con.														
Vernon town—Con.														
Rockville CDP	1 771	1 217	494	24.8	4.7	11.6	5.5	3.7	9.8	16.2	78.4	63.8	83.2	
Willington town	639	350	208	11.3	2.2	5.2	3.6	0.4	2.6	4.5	82.1	71.3	83.1	
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Windham County	19 394	13 227	4 945	19.3	3.8	8.4	5.5	2.4	6.9	11.6	78.8	61.6	82.9	
Ashford town	510	330	158	13.3	3.4	5.8	2.8	2.1	4.8	6.3	82.6	70.4	84.3	
Brooklyn town	986	646	289	16.0	3.0	6.6	4.9	1.9	7.6	7.8	81.3	61.6	85.1	
East Brooklyn CDP	325	197	128	25.6	4.8	11.5	6.1	3.8	13.9	12.2	70.4	51.7	76.9	
Canterbury town	706	517	129	15.9	3.1	6.6	5.1	1.4	3.8	10.3	81.7	66.0	85.0	
Chaplin town	322	202	94	15.3	3.9	7.6	5.3	2.5	4.1	7.1	79.4	53.9	83.4	
Eastford town	223	140	67	14.7	3.4	7.0	4.2	1.6	6.0	7.9	80.9	63.0	83.8	
Hampton town	235	157	64	14.2	3.2	6.5	2.6	1.6	4.5	7.7	80.7	57.3	84.3	
Killingly town	3 220	2 292	705	21.3	3.8	9.2	6.9	2.7	6.7	13.7	78.7	57.0	84.7	
Danielson borough	839	638	140	21.9	3.7	9.5	7.9	2.5	5.7	15.2	76.3	56.3	82.9	
Plainfield town	2 713	1 786	756	20.1	4.0	10.8	5.4	3.1	7.2	11.2	79.9	60.4	84.5	
Moosup CDP	634	412	177	20.8	3.5	11.4	5.6	3.0	5.6	9.9	78.7	56.5	84.3	
Plainfield Village CDP	452	293	123	19.4	3.3	11.4	8.0	3.3	8.5	9.8	72.2	36.3	80.1	
Wauregan CDP	152	85	55	16.0	3.8	8.8	3.9	2.1	10.0	9.1	84.7	60.6	88.3	
Pomfret town	503	327	157	14.1	3.5	6.9	3.2	1.5	6.4	8.2	82.0	58.0	85.8	
Putnam town	1 718	1 104	557	20.9	5.0	9.3	5.3	2.7	7.7	14.2	82.6	68.5	86.3	
Putnam District CDP	1 494	968	469	23.7	6.0	10.5	6.2	2.8	7.8	17.0	82.7	69.3	87.2	
Scotland town	208	123	64	14.5	2.9	6.3	4.7	1.5	3.2	7.5	84.2	69.5	86.1	
Sterling town	488	347	106	17.1	4.6	7.6	4.0	2.0	7.0	10.6	78.7	61.8	82.4	
Thompson town	2 052	1 458	459	24.5	4.0	7.7	5.2	2.1	6.9	19.0	81.3	76.1	83.1	
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	504	386	94	37.1	6.4	13.4	6.3	3.8	6.7	27.7	81.6	73.2	88.1	
Quinebaug CDP	243	175	68	23.6	5.5	5.4	1.1	2.6	7.6	21.6	78.9	89.6	74.9	
Windham town	4 510	3 155	1 041	21.4	4.0	9.4	6.9	2.6	8.8	11.9	71.0	52.5	76.1	
South Windham CDP	174	96	63	14.3	2.5	6.3	1.3	0.6	3.8	7.4	86.0	74.2	87.5	
Willimantic CDP	3 361	2 439	700	22.8	4.3	9.1	7.8	3.0	9.9	13.3	69.8	52.8	75.2	
Woodstock town	1 000	643	299	14.7	2.5	5.6	3.7	1.7	4.9	8.5	82.4	73.0	83.9	
South Woodstock CDP	185	102	75	17.2	4.7	10.0	2.9	2.0	7.4	8.3	84.2	67.7	87.1	

Table 6. **Disability Status: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability							Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years		
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Total	Disability status	
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self-care				With a disability	No disability
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.													
Thomaston town, Litchfield County	1 441	1 070	305	20.4	2.0	5.1	2.2	1.6	9.4	16.9	83.7	80.6	84.7
Thompson town, Windham County	2 052	1 458	459	24.5	4.0	7.7	5.2	2.1	6.9	19.0	81.3	76.1	83.1
Thompsonville CDP, Hartford County	1 541	1 106	383	21.4	4.3	6.5	4.5	2.2	6.9	17.3	77.9	64.4	81.6
Tolland town, Tolland County	1 100	689	354	9.1	1.9	4.0	2.2	1.1	3.8	5.6	82.1	67.7	83.4
Torrington city, Litchfield County	6 946	4 334	2 303	21.4	3.7	9.3	5.7	3.5	9.0	12.7	79.4	61.5	83.9
Torrington town, Litchfield County	6 946	4 334	2 303	21.4	3.7	9.3	5.7	3.5	9.0	12.7	79.4	61.5	83.9
Trumbull town, Fairfield County	4 170	2 192	1 735	13.3	2.7	5.1	3.0	1.7	5.8	7.1	78.5	65.1	80.1
Trumbull CDP, Fairfield County	4 170	2 192	1 735	13.3	2.7	5.1	3.0	1.7	5.8	7.1	78.5	65.1	80.1
Union town, Tolland County	62	42	20	9.4	2.3	6.0	2.0	1.8	3.6	4.8	85.4	64.3	87.5
Vernon town, Tolland County	4 974	3 185	1 535	19.1	3.3	8.4	4.5	2.6	7.1	12.1	81.7	64.1	85.5
Voluntown town, New London County	335	205	115	14.3	3.7	6.4	3.4	1.7	4.4	7.4	83.1	56.3	87.0
Wallingford town, New Haven County	5 879	3 541	2 107	14.8	2.9	6.1	3.0	1.7	6.0	8.3	82.5	70.2	84.4
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	2 779	1 803	884	17.1	3.5	7.2	3.6	1.9	6.1	10.0	80.8	66.1	83.9
Warren town, Litchfield County	108	55	53	9.1	2.9	4.5	2.3	1.3	3.6	5.1	78.1	66.7	79.0
Washington town, Litchfield County	419	200	170	12.4	5.1	4.5	3.0	0.8	2.2	4.1	81.8	78.8	82.1
Waterbury city, New Haven County	23 483	15 898	6 397	24.1	4.5	10.2	6.3	3.3	10.9	16.4	70.3	56.6	75.0
Waterbury town, New Haven County	23 483	15 898	6 397	24.1	4.5	10.2	6.3	3.3	10.9	16.4	70.3	56.6	75.0
Waterford town, New London County	2 948	1 657	1 033	16.6	3.2	7.2	5.4	2.5	7.0	8.4	77.4	63.3	79.8
Watertown town, Litchfield County	3 535	2 245	1 074	17.4	3.3	5.8	4.0	1.9	7.3	11.2	79.8	70.2	81.8
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	152	85	55	16.0	3.8	8.8	3.9	2.1	10.0	9.1	84.7	60.6	88.3
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	209	107	74	8.3	1.0	3.6	2.1	1.4	4.0	4.6	79.4	55.9	81.0
Westbrook CDP, Middlesex County	1 179	813	336	19.9	2.5	8.9	4.9	2.3	5.8	16.6	81.4	71.1	84.2
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	487	346	127	23.2	3.3	8.5	7.6	3.0	6.5	20.2	77.5	62.2	83.1
West Hartford town, Hartford County	9 193	4 847	3 873	15.7	3.5	6.5	4.6	2.2	6.6	7.5	76.6	61.6	78.8
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	9 193	4 847	3 873	15.7	3.5	6.5	4.6	2.2	6.6	7.5	76.6	61.6	78.8
West Haven city, New Haven County	9 877	6 509	2 988	20.3	4.1	8.1	4.5	2.3	8.5	13.0	77.7	64.9	80.8
West Haven town, New Haven County	9 877	6 509	2 988	20.3	4.1	8.1	4.5	2.3	8.5	13.0	77.7	64.9	80.8
Weston town, Fairfield County	546	298	174	5.9	1.2	2.2	1.9	1.2	2.7	2.5	74.3	63.5	74.9
Westport town, Fairfield County	2 494	1 428	831	10.5	2.2	3.9	2.9	1.5	4.2	6.7	73.4	75.0	73.2
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	2 494	1 428	831	10.5	2.2	3.9	2.9	1.5	4.2	6.7	73.4	75.0	73.2
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	153	93	60	7.9	1.6	4.2	1.7	1.0	4.6	4.9	73.2	62.1	74.1
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	3 888	1 914	1 823	15.8	3.4	6.9	3.3	2.3	7.4	7.5	80.1	61.9	82.6
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	3 888	1 914	1 823	15.8	3.4	6.9	3.3	2.3	7.4	7.5	80.1	61.9	82.6
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	3 361	2 439	700	22.8	4.3	9.1	7.8	3.0	9.9	13.3	69.8	52.8	75.2
Willington town, Tolland County	639	350	208	11.3	2.2	5.2	3.6	0.4	2.6	4.5	82.1	71.3	83.1
Wilton town, Fairfield County	1 368	846	412	8.6	1.2	2.7	2.3	1.3	3.3	5.7	74.6	77.8	74.3
Winchester town, Litchfield County	1 817	1 101	637	18.2	4.2	8.2	4.4	2.4	6.9	9.6	82.2	66.6	85.3
Windham town, Windham County	4 510	3 155	1 041	21.4	4.0	9.4	6.9	2.6	8.8	11.9	71.0	52.5	76.1
Windsor town, Hartford County	4 121	2 706	1 189	15.9	2.4	6.3	3.7	1.7	6.2	10.2	82.3	66.2	85.2
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	2 177	1 478	635	19.3	2.5	6.7	3.0	2.1	7.1	15.6	82.2	76.2	83.7
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	2 177	1 478	635	19.3	2.5	6.7	3.0	2.1	7.1	15.6	82.2	76.2	83.7
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	1 339	802	493	19.8	4.8	9.0	5.1	2.6	7.9	11.4	81.5	66.8	84.9
Wolcott CDP, New Haven County	2 241	1 376	767	15.8	3.3	6.3	4.1	2.0	6.0	9.3	83.4	65.9	86.3
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	816	368	383	9.8	2.0	4.0	2.5	2.2	6.3	4.8	81.7	69.8	82.6
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	1 220	817	359	14.1	2.2	6.4	3.2	1.4	3.9	8.7	82.1	71.3	83.8
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	209	108	93	17.0	2.6	11.8	4.4	1.3	5.6	10.6	76.8	45.4	81.8
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	297	231	64	18.3	1.8	6.5	2.0	0.9	6.9	15.6	86.7	85.1	87.1
Woodstock town, Windham County	1 000	643	299	14.7	2.5	5.6	3.7	1.7	4.9	8.5	82.4	73.0	83.9

Table 7. Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

Table with columns: State, County, County Subdivision, Place, Population 16 years and over, Labor force, Females with own children under 6 years, and Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force. Rows include 'The State' and various counties such as Fairfield, Hartford, and New Britain.

Table 7. **Employment Status and Journey to Work: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 16 years and over												Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force
	Labor force										Females with own children under 6 years		
	Percent in labor force		Civilian labor force		Workers						Number	Percent in labor force	
	Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Means of transportation to work							
						Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- por- tion					
Total	Female	Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- por- tion	Number	Percent in labor force		
Tolland County	108 302	54 247	69.8	66.4	75 534	3.7	71 434	91.0	8.5	1.3	6 827	66.9	6 162
Andover town	2 283	1 147	79.5	74.5	1 816	2.2	1 721	95.5	6.9	0.8	234	71.4	215
Bolton town	3 862	1 961	74.4	69.4	2 870	2.0	2 810	93.5	5.3	0.5	232	73.3	242
Columbia town	3 808	1 938	72.7	66.4	2 770	3.1	2 640	94.8	9.2	0.5	247	71.7	267
Coventry town	8 747	4 385	74.0	65.2	6 473	3.8	6 120	95.3	6.9	0.8	667	60.0	527
Coventry Lake CDP	2 210	1 066	76.5	69.7	1 690	6.5	1 555	98.1	7.3	0.5	166	72.3	150
South Coventry CDP	1 024	541	61.9	48.8	634	3.9	609	93.9	9.9	-	71	19.7	23
Ellington town	10 041	5 111	76.2	70.3	7 634	3.0	7 291	96.2	6.5	1.1	714	63.3	593
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	1 041	531	73.4	67.6	764	-	744	96.2	13.0	0.7	90	74.4	106
Hebron town	6 291	3 214	78.9	72.1	4 961	2.2	4 720	93.8	8.2	2.0	647	68.8	606
Mansfield town	18 286	9 335	61.8	62.8	11 265	8.5	10 020	68.7	8.2	2.7	533	55.5	369
Mansfield Center CDP	764	392	65.4	54.6	500	1.0	490	93.3	9.2	2.0	41	39.0	16
Storrs CDP	10 783	5 645	58.7	61.2	6 313	14.7	5 199	46.6	8.8	4.3	117	60.7	94
Somers town	8 512	3 138	50.1	62.4	4 252	3.0	4 073	94.1	8.5	0.4	370	57.0	281
Central Somers CDP	1 288	616	67.8	62.7	873	1.7	858	93.4	6.9	0.6	36	52.8	26
Stafford town	8 774	4 491	71.8	66.3	6 287	2.9	5 922	95.3	10.9	-	688	75.1	680
Tolland town	9 799	5 060	74.5	67.6	7 290	2.4	7 030	95.2	7.7	0.8	865	72.3	878
Union town	560	249	75.5	71.9	423	2.1	408	95.1	9.1	0.5	28	78.6	23
Vernon town	22 462	11 854	70.6	64.5	15 833	2.9	15 198	94.2	10.3	2.0	1 304	70.3	1 306
Rockville CDP	6 003	3 100	70.2	64.8	4 198	3.0	4 025	90.4	15.3	3.0	420	77.9	450
Willington town	4 877	2 364	75.0	71.3	3 660	2.8	3 481	93.3	9.6	0.4	298	56.0	175
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
Windham County	84 741	43 687	69.2	64.4	58 489	5.8	54 037	93.7	12.9	0.6	5 663	72.0	5 375
Ashford town	3 156	1 598	74.3	69.8	2 334	2.2	2 253	94.6	10.2	0.8	223	68.2	206
Brooklyn town	5 699	2 745	61.7	62.6	3 498	4.0	3 313	96.1	10.1	0.6	335	75.8	285
East Brooklyn CDP	1 061	607	53.1	44.5	563	4.3	539	93.1	14.5	-	39	64.1	49
Canterbury town	3 616	1 805	73.8	68.9	2 660	3.9	2 550	96.8	9.4	-	224	76.3	232
Chaplin town	1 770	882	73.3	67.5	1 294	5.3	1 212	97.6	10.5	-	115	85.2	118
Eastford town	1 260	625	72.1	65.8	906	5.2	846	88.5	11.1	0.8	79	68.4	85
Hampton town	1 348	686	73.4	70.3	989	3.2	938	89.6	11.0	0.2	88	72.7	81
Killingly town	12 767	6 657	69.1	63.6	8 808	5.7	8 180	94.6	13.7	0.4	845	72.1	898
Danielson borough	3 177	1 650	70.4	63.3	2 227	7.8	2 018	91.9	16.5	0.8	233	78.5	256
Plainfield town	11 157	5 849	71.4	66.1	7 919	5.8	7 347	95.9	13.4	0.3	885	74.1	794
Moosup CDP	2 508	1 330	71.7	70.3	1 784	8.5	1 622	96.1	14.0	-	193	80.3	187
Plainfield Village CDP	2 048	1 126	62.2	53.1	1 274	5.7	1 159	97.2	15.2	-	132	65.2	112
Waughegan CDP	709	390	80.5	79.7	571	7.5	518	86.7	13.5	-	76	100.0	106
Pomfret town	2 889	1 499	71.1	66.5	2 049	3.0	1 909	86.5	4.8	0.3	245	64.5	155
Putnam town	7 188	3 787	67.8	63.7	4 856	4.6	4 598	96.1	10.6	0.1	390	72.6	426
Putnam District CDP	5 350	2 837	68.0	64.2	3 616	4.6	3 430	96.6	10.6	-	301	68.4	320
Scotland town	1 160	574	76.6	72.6	883	1.7	852	94.1	9.7	0.2	88	68.2	105
Sterling town	2 321	1 128	75.2	69.9	1 724	4.2	1 642	91.2	12.8	0.2	197	72.1	178
Thompson town	6 913	3 542	71.1	65.6	4 917	4.2	4 600	95.9	10.9	0.4	468	75.6	456
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	1 112	585	70.8	66.8	787	6.6	705	91.6	17.9	-	92	95.7	134
Quinebaug CDP	896	462	60.3	53.2	540	0.6	514	97.7	2.9	-	47	34.0	19
Windham town	17 950	9 468	65.1	59.7	11 681	11.0	10 041	89.8	18.5	1.8	1 136	70.0	1 067
South Windham CDP	1 103	552	75.8	72.1	836	2.5	815	91.8	11.0	0.4	95	85.3	97
Willimantic CDP	12 472	6 525	65.3	61.3	8 149	12.7	6 811	87.5	20.4	2.5	767	69.9	706
Woodstock town	5 547	2 842	71.6	65.7	3 971	3.2	3 756	92.6	13.7	0.3	345	65.8	289
South Woodstock CDP	893	422	69.5	69.7	621	1.6	595	91.3	8.4	-	44	70.5	41

Table 9. **Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
Windham County	32 016	30 103	27 033	23 726	22 014	15 055	45 115	52 490	24 506	20 443
Ashford town	1 298	1 252	1 133	988	909	585	55 000	61 693	30 329	26 104
Brooklyn town	2 074	1 847	1 807	1 514	1 531	884	49 756	60 208	23 063	20 359
East Brooklyn CDP	300	309	247	251	208	156	25 813	34 265	13 884	15 093
Canterbury town	1 484	1 313	1 280	1 060	1 061	695	55 547	65 095	25 000	22 317
Chaplin town	711	658	640	540	526	334	51 602	55 263	27 431	22 101
Eastford town	500	446	454	357	354	210	57 159	62 031	27 250	25 364
Hampton town	523	517	441	412	368	251	54 464	66 339	33 750	25 344
Killingly town	4 759	4 625	3 956	3 781	3 209	2 531	41 087	46 645	24 937	19 779
Danielson borough	1 167	1 195	920	938	717	575	31 969	40 990	22 697	16 042
Plainfield town	4 216	4 037	3 726	3 186	3 097	2 161	42 851	47 447	22 216	18 706
Moosup CDP	869	1 024	736	781	578	518	40 000	43 164	20 981	16 827
Plainfield Village CDP	717	628	619	441	491	280	33 268	40 081	20 391	14 836
Wauregan CDP	265	292	260	225	227	170	33 846	30 795	21 607	15 311
Pomfret town	1 131	1 082	968	859	894	507	57 938	64 650	29 777	26 029
Putnam town	2 651	2 510	2 289	2 006	1 902	1 311	43 010	53 460	25 140	20 597
Putnam District CDP	1 948	1 857	1 684	1 463	1 395	936	38 979	48 750	22 292	19 229
Scotland town	499	434	454	369	395	207	56 848	60 147	40 278	22 573
Sterling town	969	853	849	693	724	418	49 167	52 202	33 854	19 679
Thompson town	2 645	2 341	2 324	1 971	1 937	1 201	46 065	53 088	22 147	21 003
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	409	368	311	276	275	171	38 850	38 214	14 821	16 409
Quinebaug CDP	284	266	237	236	195	141	37 614	51 667	19 917	20 615
Windham town	6 300	6 211	4 773	4 398	3 551	2 716	35 087	42 023	21 374	16 978
South Windham CDP	435	415	395	363	335	256	51 282	52 434	46 979	24 113
Willimantic CDP	4 361	4 383	3 194	2 957	2 269	1 652	30 155	38 427	19 601	15 727
Woodstock town	2 256	1 977	1 939	1 592	1 556	1 044	55 313	65 574	29 548	25 331
South Woodstock CDP	362	281	302	229	247	165	37 458	44 821	16 548	21 239

Table 10. **Work Status and Income in 1999: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.										
Trumbull town, Fairfield County	9 847	8 345	8 450	6 448	7 133	3 957	79 507	88 290	32 500	34 931
Trumbull CDP, Fairfield County	9 847	8 345	8 450	6 448	7 133	3 957	79 507	88 290	32 500	34 931
Union town, Tolland County	255	191	222	152	183	107	58 214	65 417	44 375	27 900
Vernon town, Tolland County	8 573	8 173	7 382	6 748	6 315	4 455	47 816	59 599	32 417	25 150
Voluntown town, New London County	812	663	701	541	628	344	56 802	61 618	27 500	23 707
Wallingford town, New Haven County	12 866	11 808	11 108	9 628	9 417	6 109	57 308	68 327	32 519	25 947
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	5 244	4 789	4 451	3 867	3 685	2 558	45 827	56 328	29 211	22 724
Warren town, Litchfield County	423	328	358	251	293	148	62 798	66 563	38 125	36 801
Washington town, Litchfield County	1 069	1 014	905	758	672	499	65 288	80 745	27 986	37 215
Waterbury city, New Haven County	26 653	26 877	21 756	21 150	17 633	14 077	34 285	42 300	20 622	17 701
Waterbury town, New Haven County	26 653	26 877	21 756	21 150	17 633	14 077	34 285	42 300	20 622	17 701
Waterford town, New London County	5 573	5 130	4 624	3 830	3 676	2 216	56 407	65 659	32 444	26 807
Watertown town, Litchfield County	6 533	6 038	5 687	4 726	4 828	2 729	59 420	68 761	30 164	26 044
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	265	292	260	225	227	170	33 846	30 795	21 607	15 311
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	880	665	812	536	670	351	88 654	93 761	42 500	39 652
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	1 876	1 722	1 661	1 325	1 335	877	57 531	71 344	25 787	28 680
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	606	621	512	491	393	313	45 385	59 375	23 649	29 866
West Hartford town, Hartford County	17 934	17 423	14 265	13 464	11 666	7 993	61 665	77 865	36 351	33 468
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	17 934	17 423	14 265	13 464	11 666	7 993	61 665	77 865	36 351	33 468
West Haven city, New Haven County	14 983	14 827	12 915	12 219	10 525	8 031	42 393	51 631	28 741	21 121
West Haven town, New Haven County	14 983	14 827	12 915	12 219	10 525	8 031	42 393	51 631	28 741	21 121
Weston town, Fairfield County	2 853	2 122	2 517	1 621	2 111	902	146 697	162 032	63 250	74 817
Westport town, Fairfield County	7 275	5 853	6 409	4 372	5 373	2 860	119 872	152 894	47 279	73 664
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	7 275	5 853	6 409	4 372	5 373	2 860	119 872	152 894	47 279	73 664
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	602	461	558	318	499	154	95 023	107 463	29 167	39 887
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	7 019	6 963	6 117	5 761	5 117	3 755	53 289	68 154	29 770	28 930
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	7 019	6 963	6 117	5 761	5 117	3 755	53 289	68 154	29 770	28 930
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	4 361	4 383	3 194	2 957	2 269	1 652	30 155	38 427	19 601	15 727
Willington town, Tolland County	2 204	1 800	1 747	1 428	1 301	928	51 690	70 684	26 483	27 062
Wilton town, Fairfield County	4 915	4 020	4 270	3 009	3 664	1 859	141 428	158 415	55 795	65 806
Winchester town, Litchfield County	3 218	3 064	2 844	2 521	2 282	1 536	46 671	57 866	25 151	22 589
Windham town, Windham County	6 300	6 211	4 773	4 398	3 551	2 716	35 087	42 023	21 374	16 978
Windsor town, Hartford County	8 206	8 104	7 177	7 006	6 104	4 783	64 137	73 064	35 610	27 633
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	3 594	3 204	3 226	2 708	2 585	1 820	48 837	59 054	32 201	23 079
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	3 594	3 204	3 226	2 708	2 585	1 820	48 837	59 054	32 201	23 079
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	2 127	1 962	1 858	1 655	1 485	1 009	40 202	51 044	22 813	19 804
Wolcott town, New Haven County	4 514	4 323	4 015	3 575	3 374	2 152	61 376	67 582	32 957	25 018
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	2 694	2 136	2 404	1 733	2 006	1 000	102 121	111 729	35 192	49 049
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	2 868	2 691	2 519	2 168	2 135	1 278	68 322	82 641	41 100	37 903
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	340	404	290	309	237	171	51 136	65 227	44 000	30 277
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	580	537	519	474	446	340	61 473	73 281	50 433	32 126
Woodstock town, Windham County	2 256	1 977	1 939	1 592	1 556	1 044	55 313	65 574	29 548	25 331

Table 11. **Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Male						Female					
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999			
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more
Windham County	22 005	38 319	4 687	11 021	5 422	875	15 020	26 745	6 585	6 781	1 524	130
Ashford town	909	42 117	101	465	302	41	585	31 942	146	347	81	11
Brooklyn town	1 531	39 246	290	679	513	49	884	28 889	281	523	80	-
East Brooklyn CDP	208	27 500	65	111	23	9	156	23 182	94	56	6	-
Canterbury town	1 061	41 521	190	528	322	21	695	28 672	276	313	91	15
Chaplin town	526	39 300	80	294	141	11	329	30 430	106	207	16	-
Eastford town	354	45 000	51	156	126	21	210	31 964	60	114	30	6
Hampton town	368	44 688	64	166	120	18	249	32 337	70	137	38	4
Killingly town	3 200	35 367	907	1 522	659	112	2 520	24 600	1 299	986	219	16
Danielson borough	717	31 315	287	285	145	-	575	23 988	296	234	45	-
Plainfield town	3 097	36 785	766	1 583	661	87	2 161	24 026	1 160	871	123	7
Moosup CDP	578	38 750	143	296	133	6	518	20 581	378	119	21	-
Plainfield Village CDP	491	29 219	170	245	62	14	280	23 261	172	103	5	-
Wauregan CDP	227	33 224	88	100	39	-	170	24 821	86	78	6	-
Pomfret town	894	43 333	147	384	242	121	507	28 901	197	207	103	-
Putnam town	1 902	37 390	354	1 055	425	68	1 311	26 558	572	603	136	-
Putnam District CDP	1 395	36 844	296	779	282	38	936	26 080	433	434	69	-
Scotland town	395	40 871	36	246	103	10	207	29 830	64	117	20	6
Sterling town	724	39 792	105	415	193	11	418	26 167	195	177	37	9
Thompson town	1 937	38 949	366	1 032	459	80	1 201	26 504	497	585	104	15
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	275	33 906	97	126	48	4	171	25 372	80	91	-	-
Quinebaug CDP	195	37 292	37	97	61	-	141	29 375	43	76	17	5
Windham town	3 551	32 742	1 018	1 763	683	87	2 716	25 703	1 304	1 152	253	7
South Windham CDP	335	35 428	61	195	79	-	256	31 944	70	160	26	-
Willimantic CDP	2 269	30 697	799	1 090	320	60	1 652	23 297	888	663	94	7
Woodstock town	1 556	46 017	212	733	473	138	1 027	30 222	358	442	193	34
South Woodstock CDP	247	38 083	57	130	55	5	165	26 140	67	73	25	-

Table 12. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Male						Female					
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999			
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.												
Trumbull town, Fairfield County	7 126	62 201	646	1 863	2 728	1 889	3 957	41 384	540	1 991	1 207	219
Trumbull CDP, Fairfield County	7 126	62 201	646	1 863	2 728	1 889	3 957	41 384	540	1 991	1 207	219
Union town, Tolland County	183	48 021	23	78	68	14	107	35 469	33	49	24	1
Vernon town, Tolland County	6 315	43 620	957	2 853	2 210	295	4 455	31 515	1 385	2 314	719	37
Voluntown town, New London County	628	42 647	91	300	211	26	344	27 368	136	168	40	-
Wallingford town, New Haven County	9 417	47 017	1 091	3 971	3 677	678	6 099	34 074	1 460	3 452	1 105	82
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	3 685	39 841	596	1 901	1 057	131	2 558	30 821	775	1 461	315	7
Warren town, Litchfield County	293	50 469	40	102	124	27	148	35 250	35	79	30	4
Washington town, Litchfield County	672	51 610	66	232	269	105	499	35 337	150	196	111	42
Waterbury city, New Haven County	17 633	35 486	4 225	9 133	3 857	418	14 070	27 428	5 689	6 768	1 516	97
Waterbury town, New Haven County	17 633	35 486	4 225	9 133	3 857	418	14 070	27 428	5 689	6 768	1 516	97
Waterford town, New London County	3 676	47 312	498	1 476	1 363	339	2 209	32 046	645	1 053	462	49
Watertown town, Litchfield County	4 828	47 097	660	1 943	1 901	324	2 729	31 822	809	1 352	529	39
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	227	33 224	88	100	39	-	170	24 821	86	78	6	-
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	670	66 071	36	173	285	176	351	46 635	60	127	142	22
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	1 335	46 889	217	480	512	126	877	32 227	272	422	183	-
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	393	40 707	102	149	118	24	313	31 250	88	155	70	-
West Hartford town, Hartford County	11 659	52 450	1 237	3 979	4 160	2 283	7 981	39 051	1 561	3 728	2 256	436
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	11 659	52 450	1 237	3 979	4 160	2 283	7 981	39 051	1 561	3 728	2 256	436
West Haven city, New Haven County	10 525	38 024	2 042	5 543	2 639	301	8 025	30 610	2 656	4 237	1 065	67
West Haven town, New Haven County	10 525	38 024	2 042	5 543	2 639	301	8 025	30 610	2 656	4 237	1 065	67
Weston town, Fairfield County	2 111	100 000+	97	180	432	1 402	902	55 956	102	233	346	221
Westport town, Fairfield County	5 373	100 000+	282	776	1 085	3 230	2 860	53 269	291	904	1 004	661
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	5 373	100 000+	282	776	1 085	3 230	2 860	53 269	291	904	1 004	661
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	499	100 000+	8	71	155	265	154	48 750	36	41	66	11
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	5 117	43 998	632	2 291	1 676	518	3 755	37 443	754	2 047	839	115
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	5 117	43 998	632	2 291	1 676	518	3 755	37 443	754	2 047	839	115
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	2 269	30 697	799	1 090	320	60	1 652	23 297	888	663	94	7
Willington town, Tolland County	1 301	41 250	206	658	336	101	928	36 310	207	542	151	28
Wilton town, Fairfield County	3 664	100 000+	236	389	821	2 218	1 859	61 611	165	520	677	497
Winchester town, Litchfield County	2 275	41 076	484	1 089	633	69	1 531	28 058	573	745	173	40
Windham town, Windham County	3 551	32 742	1 018	1 763	683	87	2 716	25 703	1 304	1 152	253	7
Windsor town, Hartford County	6 104	45 443	732	2 696	2 192	484	4 783	37 476	970	2 670	969	174
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	2 585	41 179	363	1 381	749	92	1 820	33 641	408	1 122	272	18
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	2 585	41 179	363	1 381	749	92	1 820	33 641	408	1 122	272	18
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	1 478	37 837	347	711	402	18	1 009	25 990	453	478	59	19
Wolcott town, New Haven County	3 374	45 682	474	1 487	1 275	138	2 152	31 964	562	1 239	317	34
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	2 006	75 965	197	373	652	784	990	41 731	153	489	253	95
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	2 135	53 246	206	736	840	353	1 278	35 298	410	519	314	35
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	237	50 625	23	93	83	38	171	40 729	59	45	67	-
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	446	41 842	88	180	149	29	340	41 354	37	168	112	23
Woodstock town, Windham County	1 556	46 017	212	733	473	138	1 027	30 222	358	442	193	34

Table 13. Household Income in 1999: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G.
For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total households	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
			Windham County—Con.								
Chaplin town	855	51 602	19	120	268	232	143	65	-	8	8.5
Eastford town	615	57 159	25	91	149	167	77	68	19	19	17.2
Hampton town	670	54 464	25	98	179	145	106	76	30	11	17.5
Killingly town	6 374	41 087	538	1 233	2 121	1 239	706	365	93	79	8.4
Danielson borough	1 678	31 969	196	430	558	285	163	39	7	-	2.7
Plainfield town	5 448	42 851	381	1 027	1 781	1 271	610	283	40	55	6.9
Moosup CDP	1 284	40 000	94	334	404	302	115	35	-	-	2.7
Plainfield Village CDP	947	33 268	95	233	384	129	73	27	-	6	3.5
Waugrean CDP	378	33 846	15	115	120	87	22	19	-	-	5.0
Pomfret town	1 442	57 938	59	196	313	409	201	176	65	23	18.3
Putnam town	3 662	43 010	291	759	1 062	877	381	230	22	40	8.0
Putnam District CDP	2 865	38 979	278	669	867	618	252	154	10	17	6.3
Scotland town	566	56 848	19	48	131	213	92	33	13	7	9.5
Sterling town	1 120	49 167	54	163	357	317	139	69	18	3	8.0
Thompson town	3 499	46 065	200	737	976	817	483	203	65	18	8.2
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	555	38 850	54	126	195	115	39	26	-	-	4.7
Quinebaug CDP	479	37 614	35	127	129	107	56	17	8	-	5.2
Windham town	8 313	35 087	1 140	1 896	2 496	1 556	679	398	61	87	6.6
South Windham CDP	528	51 282	14	35	195	170	59	44	-	11	10.4
Willimantic CDP	5 574	30 155	892	1 414	1 639	949	376	209	32	63	5.5
Woodstock town	2 758	55 313	112	366	749	662	436	250	124	59	15.7
South Woodstock CDP	479	37 458	35	103	174	108	31	10	5	13	5.8

Table 14. Household Income in 1999: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Total households	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.											
Vernon town, Tolland County	12 290	47 816	658	2 097	3 618	2 864	1 571	1 131	251	100	12.1
Voluntown town, New London County	954	56 802	49	97	244	261	162	115	12	14	14.8
Wallingford town, New Haven County	16 744	57 308	690	2 404	4 052	3 765	2 809	2 112	614	298	18.1
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	7 338	45 827	477	1 369	2 144	1 582	1 001	526	144	95	10.4
Warren town, Litchfield County	495	62 798	15	55	106	134	75	59	21	30	22.2
Washington town, Litchfield County	1 404	65 288	62	198	260	245	249	202	113	75	27.8
Waterbury city, New Haven County	42 655	34 285	5 694	10 318	12 528	7 576	3 508	2 298	406	327	7.1
Waterbury town, New Haven County	42 655	34 285	5 694	10 318	12 528	7 576	3 508	2 298	406	327	7.1
Waterford town, New London County	7 534	56 047	242	1 089	1 962	1 874	975	925	296	171	18.5
Watertown town, Litchfield County	8 051	59 420	344	886	2 094	1 852	1 345	1 139	173	218	19.0
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	378	33 846	15	115	120	87	22	19	-	-	5.0
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	955	88 654	12	36	116	212	174	190	96	119	42.4
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	2 620	57 531	140	464	564	529	385	375	93	70	20.5
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 066	45 385	83	261	235	197	120	110	18	42	15.9
West Hartford town, Hartford County	24 584	61 665	1 174	3 095	5 528	4 964	3 509	3 279	1 370	1 665	25.7
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	24 584	61 665	1 174	3 095	5 528	4 964	3 509	3 279	1 370	1 665	25.7
West Haven city, New Haven County	21 105	42 393	1 736	4 067	6 391	4 528	2 296	1 690	221	176	9.9
West Haven town, New Haven County	21 105	42 393	1 736	4 067	6 391	4 528	2 296	1 690	221	176	9.9
Weston town, Fairfield County	3 327	146 697	26	128	276	392	320	556	410	1 219	65.7
Westport town, Fairfield County	9 565	119 872	177	599	1 280	990	865	1 591	1 165	2 898	59.1
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	9 565	119 872	177	599	1 280	990	865	1 591	1 165	2 898	59.1
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	757	95 023	15	40	137	139	58	144	112	112	48.6
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	11 210	53 289	646	1 622	2 857	2 492	1 559	1 384	329	321	18.1
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	11 210	53 289	646	1 622	2 857	2 492	1 559	1 384	329	321	18.1
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	5 574	30 155	892	1 414	1 639	949	376	209	32	63	5.5
Willington town, Tolland County	2 352	51 690	189	332	607	508	386	268	20	42	14.0
Wilton town, Fairfield County	5 898	141 428	91	242	633	562	527	1 025	849	1 969	65.2
Winchester town, Litchfield County	4 373	46 671	316	822	1 159	1 038	640	289	45	64	9.1
Windham town, Windham County	8 313	35 087	1 140	1 896	2 496	1 556	679	398	61	87	6.6
Windsor town, Hartford County	10 569	64 137	436	1 074	2 397	2 495	1 882	1 588	423	274	21.6
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	4 936	48 837	230	722	1 556	1 103	790	475	44	16	10.8
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	4 936	48 837	230	722	1 556	1 103	790	475	44	16	10.8
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	3 045	40 202	285	642	913	673	345	155	13	19	6.1
Wolcott town, New Haven County	5 411	61 376	204	508	1 256	1 431	1 017	773	145	77	18.4
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	3 113	102 121	88	142	425	452	408	629	391	578	51.3
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	3 710	68 322	157	323	820	776	640	523	184	287	26.8
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	604	51 136	30	49	208	178	51	44	7	37	14.6
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	727	61 473	17	74	173	190	133	93	15	32	19.3
Woodstock town, Windham County	2 758	55 313	112	366	749	662	436	250	124	59	15.7

Table 15. **Poverty Status in 1999: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
Tolland County	125 224	6 952	5.6	1 428	4.6	1 017	4.4	686	5.2	1 005	2.9	418	17.2
Andover town	3 027	75	2.5	23	2.8	23	4.0	11	4.8	16	1.8	10	15.2
Bolton town	5 017	131	2.6	18	1.4	-	-	34	5.9	36	2.5	6	10.3
Columbia town	4 971	207	4.2	78	6.0	59	6.1	28	5.3	27	1.8	22	40.0
Coventry town	11 467	424	3.7	89	2.9	84	3.7	36	3.8	64	2.0	41	17.4
Coventry Lake CDP	2 841	150	5.3	34	4.7	34	5.8	10	4.5	25	3.4	25	30.5
South Coventry CDP	1 328	106	8.0	18	5.5	18	6.8	21	11.1	21	5.6	9	20.5
Ellington town	12 880	468	3.6	134	4.1	110	4.5	49	3.9	95	2.7	45	28.8
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	1 421	112	7.9	54	13.2	48	16.8	5	2.8	24	5.6	14	77.8
Hebron town	8 610	122	1.4	6	0.2	6	0.3	23	4.5	14	0.6	7	8.9
Mansfield town	12 689	1 805	14.2	182	6.7	130	6.0	96	5.5	147	4.7	29	11.8
Mansfield Center CDP	831	60	7.2	20	12.7	10	7.6	-	-	6	2.9	-	-
Storrs CDP	3 754	1 259	33.5	25	5.4	18	5.2	53	8.2	65	10.0	4	11.4
Somers town	8 069	370	4.6	70	3.3	54	3.2	39	3.8	86	3.7	14	11.2
Central Somers CDP	1 618	110	6.8	42	11.1	36	10.9	18	7.5	19	4.0	9	28.1
Stafford town	11 134	607	5.5	223	7.8	131	6.2	97	7.4	134	4.3	68	22.6
Tolland town	12 971	290	2.2	69	1.9	64	2.4	33	3.5	40	1.1	5	3.3
Union town	691	24	3.5	9	5.9	7	5.7	-	-	4	2.0	2	66.7
Vernon town	27 756	1 641	5.9	478	7.9	307	7.1	225	6.1	300	4.1	162	19.2
Rockville CDP	7 677	991	12.9	421	23.7	268	21.6	62	7.1	183	10.5	124	32.9
Willington town	5 942	788	13.3	49	4.0	42	4.5	15	3.1	42	2.9	7	6.1
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Windham County	105 237	8 948	8.5	2 754	10.3	1 799	8.9	878	7.1	1 626	5.7	812	24.7
Ashford town	4 081	241	5.9	65	6.1	57	7.0	28	8.7	37	3.4	17	25.0
Brooklyn town	6 541	382	5.8	103	6.2	65	5.0	27	3.2	78	4.2	34	20.0
East Brooklyn CDP	1 323	205	15.5	53	18.0	37	15.2	20	6.5	40	11.3	17	35.4
Canterbury town	4 687	210	4.5	50	4.2	39	4.1	44	10.0	47	3.5	15	16.3
Chaplin town	2 234	64	2.9	5	0.9	-	-	20	11.0	18	2.9	-	-
Eastford town	1 610	96	6.0	41	10.0	25	7.9	8	3.7	20	4.4	8	38.1
Hampton town	1 749	61	3.5	6	1.4	6	1.8	12	6.0	13	2.7	-	-
Killingly town	16 069	1 401	8.7	316	7.9	204	6.9	204	10.4	268	6.2	124	22.3
Danielson borough	4 116	541	13.1	131	11.8	57	7.1	66	13.8	97	9.8	61	34.7
Plainfield town	14 371	1 005	7.0	310	8.2	202	7.1	84	5.6	213	5.4	149	27.9
Moosup CDP	3 285	348	10.6	148	17.8	75	12.4	6	1.5	86	9.8	74	37.4
Plainfield Village CDP	2 436	225	9.2	82	13.1	72	15.0	-	-	48	7.5	38	33.0
Waugrean CDP	1 033	83	8.0	22	6.2	12	4.5	-	-	10	3.6	10	12.7
Pomfret town	3 798	157	4.1	27	2.7	18	2.3	37	8.9	18	1.7	-	-
Putnam town	8 764	671	7.7	320	15.1	225	14.1	58	4.4	109	4.8	86	27.9
Putnam District CDP	6 733	628	9.3	298	17.3	203	15.8	58	5.6	103	6.1	80	27.9
Scotland town	1 551	73	4.7	20	4.7	8	2.6	15	10.9	17	4.0	9	36.0
Sterling town	3 083	185	6.0	37	4.3	37	5.9	3	1.3	32	3.8	13	15.9
Thompson town	8 848	479	5.4	124	5.7	57	3.4	43	3.6	69	2.8	27	15.5
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	1 457	159	10.9	47	12.1	13	4.5	14	8.0	26	6.7	13	16.0
Quinebaug CDP	1 072	44	4.1	-	-	-	-	12	4.8	-	-	-	-
Windham town	20 630	3 617	17.5	1 229	23.9	788	21.1	248	9.6	647	12.7	327	31.9
South Windham CDP	1 318	39	3.0	10	4.1	10	6.8	-	-	7	1.8	7	16.7
Willimantic CDP	13 829	2 738	19.8	905	25.7	648	24.9	215	12.6	464	14.6	212	29.5
Woodstock town	7 221	306	4.2	101	5.3	68	4.5	47	5.2	40	1.9	3	2.7
South Woodstock CDP	1 142	40	3.5	-	-	-	-	19	9.9	-	-	-	-

Table 17. **Units in Structure: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
Windham County—Con.										
Eastford town	705	572	7	47	23	3	-	-	47	6
Hampton town	695	628	2	29	-	-	-	-	36	-
Killingly town	6 909	4 039	231	1 482	562	91	185	12	291	16
Danielson borough	1 895	637	59	836	308	28	27	-	-	-
Plainfield town	5 676	3 697	58	1 184	299	111	93	59	170	5
Moosup CDP	1 342	651	33	408	172	31	39	8	-	-
Plainfield Village CDP	982	420	-	354	61	56	42	8	41	-
Wauregan CDP	410	124	6	258	22	-	-	-	-	-
Pomfret town	1 503	1 123	11	158	26	23	70	-	92	-
Putnam town	3 955	1 990	142	1 358	255	72	59	79	-	-
Putnam District CDP	3 166	1 279	125	1 305	247	72	59	79	-	-
Scotland town	577	508	10	26	-	-	-	-	33	-
Sterling town	1 193	928	10	140	15	-	-	-	100	-
Thompson town	3 710	2 816	39	567	65	48	36	6	133	-
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	649	279	7	291	36	7	29	-	-	-
Quinebaug CDP	477	361	8	24	-	-	-	-	84	-
Windham town	8 926	3 919	212	2 553	1 084	422	187	221	328	-
South Windham CDP	582	490	7	71	14	-	-	-	-	-
Willimantic CDP	6 021	2 018	200	2 355	794	270	180	204	-	-
Woodstock town	3 044	2 587	155	163	85	24	8	-	22	-
South Woodstock CDP	528	322	35	93	46	24	8	-	-	-

Table 18. **Units in Structure: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.										
Wallingford town, New Haven County	17 306	10 731	1 024	2 988	573	438	478	805	263	6
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	7 727	3 548	243	2 510	250	298	343	529	6	-
Warren town, Litchfield County	650	621	9	13	2	3	-	2	-	-
Washington town, Litchfield County	1 764	1 545	83	99	37	-	-	-	-	-
Waterbury city, New Haven County	46 827	16 920	1 986	15 477	4 565	3 019	1 829	2 972	33	26
Waterbury town, New Haven County	46 827	16 920	1 986	15 477	4 565	3 019	1 829	2 972	33	26
Waterford town, New London County	7 986	6 762	266	399	164	144	82	85	71	13
Watertown town, Litchfield County	8 298	6 301	284	1 231	329	63	55	22	13	-
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	410	124	6	258	22	-	-	-	-	-
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	1 072	864	38	30	110	13	10	7	-	-
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	3 460	2 777	29	274	80	10	26	-	253	11
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 681	1 228	16	248	53	10	26	-	100	-
West Hartford town, Hartford County	25 332	17 036	761	3 335	599	948	1 458	1 178	17	-
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	25 332	17 036	761	3 335	599	948	1 458	1 178	17	-
West Haven city, New Haven County	22 336	10 524	995	4 842	1 062	1 277	2 057	1 534	45	-
West Haven town, New Haven County	22 336	10 524	995	4 842	1 062	1 277	2 057	1 534	45	-
Weston town, Fairfield County	3 532	3 463	62	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Westport town, Fairfield County	10 065	8 755	408	530	139	55	78	19	81	-
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	10 065	8 755	408	530	139	55	78	19	81	-
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	750	696	16	7	-	-	24	-	7	-
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	11 451	8 347	589	1 081	128	220	482	598	6	-
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	11 451	8 347	589	1 081	128	220	482	598	6	-
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	6 021	2 018	200	2 355	794	270	180	204	-	-
Wilmington town, Tolland County	2 429	1 537	91	151	61	191	76	261	61	-
Wilton town, Fairfield County	6 113	5 403	238	110	96	21	108	137	-	-
Winchester town, Litchfield County	4 922	2 932	146	1 169	303	164	112	81	15	-
Windham town, Windham County	8 926	3 919	212	2 553	1 084	422	187	221	328	-
Windsor town, Hartford County	10 900	8 404	611	1 109	287	136	100	246	7	-
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	5 101	3 563	355	517	141	234	152	134	5	-
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	5 101	3 563	355	517	141	234	152	134	5	-
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	3 342	1 551	87	1 099	261	157	112	60	15	-
Wolcott town, New Haven County	5 544	4 861	110	253	213	48	51	-	8	-
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	3 189	2 920	32	132	-	-	14	85	6	-
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	3 869	2 622	438	390	167	121	112	19	-	-
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	663	337	9	176	25	41	75	-	-	-
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	825	538	42	91	40	63	43	8	-	-
Woodstock town, Windham County	3 044	2 587	155	163	85	24	8	-	22	-

Table 19. **Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
Windham County—Con.										
Eastford town	705	47	147	1 973	28	394	5.7	90	18	18
Hampton town	695	43	210	1 967	7	449	6.1	54	3	7
Killingly town	6 909	214	2 042	1 959	274	3 076	5.3	964	35	63
Danielson borough	1 895	15	850	1 944	63	640	4.9	388	12	12
Plainfield town	5 676	274	1 867	1 962	145	2 640	5.4	559	26	4
Moosup CDP	1 342	9	665	1 941	55	494	5.0	188	9	-
Plainfield Village CDP	982	2	409	1 950	51	424	5.2	159	-	-
Waughegan CDP	410	6	295	1940-	-	159	5.2	28	7	-
Pomfret town	1 503	228	536	1 966	30	905	6.1	210	17	17
Putnam town	3 955	82	1 680	1 950	140	1 789	5.3	654	24	46
Putnam District CDP	3 166	27	1 502	1 943	140	1 283	5.1	606	24	46
Scotland town	577	84	132	1 976	19	357	5.9	43	4	4
Sterling town	1 193	151	304	1 976	22	604	5.5	83	8	16
Thompson town	3 710	195	1 176	1 960	94	2 080	5.8	377	27	13
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	649	20	399	1940-	22	289	5.3	97	8	8
Quinebaug CDP	477	24	107	1 967	-	259	5.6	8	-	-
Windham town	8 926	226	2 641	1 957	710	3 363	5.0	1 999	78	128
South Windham CDP	582	10	207	1 952	16	304	5.6	73	-	-
Willimantic CDP	6 021	61	2 152	1 951	576	1 968	4.8	1 620	78	120
Woodstock town	3 044	259	520	1 972	61	1 805	5.9	224	25	25
South Woodstock CDP	528	51	81	1 971	29	203	5.1	59	-	-

Table 20. **Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.										
Wallingford town, New Haven County	17 306	990	3 271	1 963	871	9 419	5.7	2 162	46	70
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	7 727	144	2 766	1 952	644	3 142	5.1	1 512	35	56
Warren town, Litchfield County	650	42	198	1 967	14	479	6.5	44	5	5
Washington town, Litchfield County	1 764	71	699	1 955	-	1 312	6.8	98	5	8
Waterbury city, New Haven County	46 827	992	13 952	1 956	3 110	15 624	4.9	8 760	633	812
Waterbury town, New Haven County	46 827	992	13 952	1 956	3 110	15 624	4.9	8 760	633	812
Waterford town, New London County	7 986	545	1 597	1 960	188	4 969	6.1	765	37	19
Watertown town, Litchfield County	8 298	368	1 689	1 961	159	5 210	6.0	748	9	18
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	410	6	295	1940-	-	159	5.2	28	7	-
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	1 072	42	63	1 969	7	828	7.5	75	-	-
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	3 460	230	637	1 964	110	1 793	5.6	380	24	27
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 681	72	374	1 957	91	641	5.1	285	24	27
West Hartford town, Hartford County	25 332	172	7 114	1 952	1 238	16 233	6.2	3 275	65	197
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	25 332	172	7 114	1 952	1 238	16 233	6.2	3 275	65	197
West Haven city, New Haven County	22 336	342	5 701	1 958	1 660	8 200	5.0	5 128	53	58
West Haven town, New Haven County	22 336	342	5 701	1 958	1 660	8 200	5.0	5 128	53	58
Weston town, Fairfield County	3 532	264	384	1 966	5	3 194	9.0+	104	-	-
Westport town, Fairfield County	10 065	407	2 348	1 957	267	8 152	7.7	594	26	18
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	10 065	407	2 348	1 957	267	8 152	7.7	594	26	18
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	750	65	70	1 969	8	644	8.0	52	-	-
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	11 451	315	1 951	1 960	485	6 820	6.0	1 444	29	29
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	11 451	315	1 951	1 960	485	6 820	6.0	1 444	29	29
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	6 021	61	2 152	1 951	576	1 968	4.8	1 620	78	120
Wilmington town, Tolland County	2 429	102	420	1 970	152	1 314	5.7	358	39	-
Wilton town, Fairfield County	6 113	369	943	1 967	108	5 232	8.1	289	21	12
Winchester town, Litchfield County	4 922	72	2 087	1 947	278	2 477	5.5	764	38	59
Windham town, Windham County	8 926	226	2 641	1 957	710	3 363	5.0	1 999	78	128
Windsor town, Hartford County	10 900	335	1 814	1 965	209	7 540	6.3	821	21	51
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	5 101	147	803	1 958	175	2 490	5.5	509	32	18
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	5 101	147	803	1 958	175	2 490	5.5	509	32	18
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	3 342	27	1 672	1940-	206	1 482	5.3	639	23	38
Wolcott town, New Haven County	5 544	479	551	1 964	55	3 350	5.9	356	31	12
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	3 189	229	501	1 963	29	2 697	7.7	156	-	12
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	3 869	239	687	1 970	98	2 458	6.3	382	8	-
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	663	9	248	1 954	18	263	4.6	113	-	-
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	825	23	430	1940-	23	422	5.6	109	-	-
Woodstock town, Windham County	3 044	259	520	1 972	61	1 805	5.9	224	25	25

Table 21. **Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units	House heating fuel					Vehicles available			Percent no telephone service available
		Utility gas	Electricity	Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	Coal or coke, wood, solar energy, or other fuel	None	None	1	2 or more	
Windham County—Con.										
Chaplin town	858	5	76	676	65	-	28	154	676	0.8
Eastford town	618	-	46	465	68	-	26	150	442	1.8
Hampton town	674	-	41	537	78	-	13	172	489	-
Killingly town	6 359	883	797	4 206	252	20	516	2 113	3 730	1.4
Danielson borough	1 738	560	292	794	31	8	208	715	815	2.0
Plainfield town	5 444	292	739	4 044	170	-	337	1 549	3 558	1.2
Moosup CDP	1 266	-	153	1 051	16	-	138	412	716	2.8
Plainfield Village CDP	944	210	190	517	10	-	86	345	513	2.2
Wauregan CDP	378	34	36	279	7	-	24	138	216	-
Pomfret town	1 433	-	110	1 203	48	-	57	410	966	0.4
Putnam town	3 683	793	496	2 115	83	14	474	1 246	1 963	0.4
Putnam District CDP	2 911	787	438	1 483	39	6	453	1 098	1 360	0.5
Scotland town	553	-	36	440	54	2	8	127	418	0.9
Sterling town	1 116	9	110	841	101	-	39	263	814	0.8
Thompson town	3 482	7	448	2 680	188	-	167	1 069	2 246	1.1
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	581	7	23	471	5	-	55	222	304	0.9
Quinebaug CDP	455	-	32	396	12	-	8	166	281	-
Windham town	8 342	1 644	1 082	5 102	143	46	1 254	3 203	3 885	2.4
South Windham CDP	527	12	41	422	25	-	24	149	354	-
Willimantic CDP	5 599	1 600	814	2 920	29	38	975	2 360	2 264	3.2
Woodstock town	2 754	-	437	1 896	280	-	62	849	1 843	-
South Woodstock CDP	476	-	169	284	14	-	24	163	289	-

Table 22. **Fuels and Equipment Characteristics: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Occupied housing units	House heating fuel					Vehicles available				Percent no telephone service available
		Utility gas	Electricity	Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.	Coal or coke, wood, solar energy, or other fuel	None	None	1	2 or more		
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.											
Vernon town, Tolland County	12 269	3 147	1 313	7 425	95	15	944	4 983	6 342	1.0	
Voluntown town, New London County	952	-	101	683	117	-	20	176	756	-	
Wallingford town, New Haven County	16 697	4 486	2 764	9 111	142	32	946	5 250	10 501	0.6	
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	7 341	2 790	1 332	3 069	37	23	599	2 930	3 812	0.9	
Warren town, Litchfield County	497	-	41	348	72	2	14	118	365	-	
Washington town, Litchfield County	1 416	4	109	1 152	85	-	23	404	989	-	
Waterbury city, New Haven County	42 622	15 010	10 922	15 563	209	156	8 294	17 774	16 554	2.9	
Waterbury town, New Haven County	42 622	15 010	10 922	15 563	209	156	8 294	17 774	16 554	2.9	
Waterford town, New London County	7 542	111	1 672	5 390	124	10	303	2 123	5 116	0.7	
Watertown town, Litchfield County	8 046	1 618	1 067	5 054	147	-	359	2 324	5 363	0.3	
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	378	34	36	279	7	-	24	138	216	-	
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	1 032	195	154	675	-	-	12	170	850	-	
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	2 605	106	511	1 688	49	-	164	842	1 599	0.4	
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 061	75	362	542	-	-	129	490	442	0.9	
West Hartford town, Hartford County	24 576	11 585	1 886	10 654	136	-	2 190	8 867	13 519	0.3	
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	24 576	11 585	1 886	10 654	136	-	2 190	8 867	13 519	0.3	
West Haven city, New Haven County	21 090	7 736	3 744	9 236	44	73	2 764	8 891	9 435	0.9	
West Haven town, New Haven County	21 090	7 736	3 744	9 236	44	73	2 764	8 891	9 435	0.9	
Weston town, Fairfield County	3 312	272	90	2 837	25	7	25	423	2 864	-	
Westport town, Fairfield County	9 586	1 507	576	7 264	61	7	244	2 205	7 137	0.2	
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	9 586	1 507	576	7 264	61	7	244	2 205	7 137	0.2	
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	739	114	16	585	-	-	25	115	599	-	
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	11 214	6 137	920	4 078	15	6	810	4 121	6 283	0.2	
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	11 214	6 137	920	4 078	15	6	810	4 121	6 283	0.2	
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	5 599	1 600	814	2 920	29	38	975	2 360	2 264	3.2	
Willington town, Tolland County	2 353	17	538	1 513	221	-	74	518	1 761	0.3	
Wilton town, Fairfield County	5 923	122	568	5 086	49	-	91	1 104	4 728	0.3	
Winchester town, Litchfield County	4 371	1 287	480	2 372	158	-	443	1 449	2 479	1.1	
Windham town, Windham County	8 342	1 644	1 082	5 102	143	46	1 254	3 203	3 885	2.4	
Windsor town, Hartford County	10 577	3 317	927	6 052	82	-	609	3 306	6 662	0.6	
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	4 935	1 635	350	2 836	39	13	268	1 713	2 954	0.6	
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	4 935	1 635	350	2 836	39	13	268	1 713	2 954	0.6	
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	3 083	1 186	327	1 458	50	-	398	1 129	1 556	1.6	
Wolcott town, New Haven County	5 414	215	619	4 045	137	-	252	1 477	3 685	0.6	
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	3 103	153	187	2 686	37	-	103	492	2 508	-	
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	3 715	34	923	2 540	149	10	122	1 041	2 552	0.3	
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	636	8	148	461	11	-	46	264	326	-	
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	767	423	93	243	-	-	55	295	417	0.7	
Woodstock town, Windham County	2 754	-	437	1 896	280	-	62	849	1 843	-	

Table 23. **Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units	Owner-occupied housing units					Renter-occupied housing units				
		Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 1 unit, detached or attached	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 5 or more units in structure
				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier	
Windham County	41 142	2.72	0.43	2 163	4 399	90.1	2.22	0.51	4 473	468	31.8
Ashford town	1 578	2.81	0.44	119	107	92.0	1.98	0.43	161	7	48.2
Brooklyn town	2 531	2.83	0.43	113	280	95.7	1.89	0.44	245	16	44.0
East Brooklyn CDP	658	2.18	0.33	7	65	86.3	1.88	0.49	98	16	60.9
Canterbury town	1 717	2.83	0.46	78	112	96.3	2.14	0.47	44	13	20.6
Chaplin town	858	2.78	0.45	38	110	91.7	2.04	0.45	65	-	12.6
Eastford town	618	2.71	0.43	29	67	93.1	2.27	0.52	24	10	14.6
Hampton town	674	2.72	0.42	34	83	95.3	1.78	0.39	22	-	-
Killingly town	6 359	2.74	0.45	279	669	86.1	2.14	0.49	830	54	30.4
Danielson borough	1 738	2.87	0.47	50	172	78.5	1.97	0.45	399	27	30.3
Plainfield town	5 444	2.78	0.45	340	555	89.6	2.37	0.53	536	79	27.6
Moosup CDP	1 266	2.81	0.45	48	104	88.3	2.40	0.56	189	46	38.3
Plainfield Village CDP	944	2.70	0.43	35	90	78.3	2.53	0.57	135	11	30.8
Wauregan CDP	378	2.97	0.52	24	23	82.7	2.62	0.50	100	-	9.2
Pomfret town	1 433	3.00	0.44	88	147	93.6	1.67	0.36	132	6	28.5
Putnam town	3 683	2.68	0.42	123	390	89.1	2.00	0.45	457	127	24.3
Putnam District CDP	2 911	2.65	0.42	70	261	84.4	2.01	0.46	437	120	25.5
Scotland town	553	2.86	0.45	21	79	92.1	2.53	0.50	26	-	-
Sterling town	1 116	2.93	0.50	76	104	91.2	2.07	0.43	55	9	5.0
Thompson town	3 482	2.60	0.41	208	568	90.7	2.33	0.51	180	48	20.1
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	581	2.40	0.38	-	85	81.7	2.64	0.59	96	13	23.1
Quinebaug CDP	455	2.27	0.39	41	107	79.2	3.56	0.57	11	-	-
Windham town	8 342	2.57	0.41	395	753	82.9	2.37	0.59	1 537	91	41.2
South Windham CDP	527	2.53	0.42	29	51	98.1	2.38	0.57	28	10	13.2
Willimantic CDP	5 599	2.69	0.42	204	445	82.0	2.31	0.58	1 287	63	38.7
Woodstock town	2 754	2.62	0.41	222	375	96.2	2.63	0.53	159	8	20.6
South Woodstock CDP	476	2.41	0.42	45	63	96.3	2.38	0.53	86	-	33.6

Table 24. **Owner and Renter Household Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Owner-occupied housing units						Renter-occupied housing units				
	Occupied housing units	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 1 unit, detached or attached	Average household size	Occupants per room	Year householder moved into unit		Percent 5 or more units in structure
				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier				1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier	
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.											
Trumbull town, Fairfield County	11 911	2.88	0.39	815	2 318	98.4	2.22	0.49	332	15	32.9
Trumbull CDP, Fairfield County	11 911	2.88	0.39	815	2 318	98.4	2.22	0.49	332	15	32.9
Union town, Tolland County	285	2.40	0.38	8	40	98.4	2.64	0.53	11	4	-
Vernon town, Tolland County	12 269	2.55	0.40	594	1 413	86.1	1.89	0.50	2 039	40	56.6
Voluntown town, New London County	952	2.76	0.45	94	70	98.3	2.15	0.51	60	5	7.1
Wallingford town, New Haven County	16 697	2.76	0.42	1 114	2 260	88.7	1.91	0.49	1 398	131	37.4
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	7 341	2.64	0.42	406	982	81.9	1.98	0.51	989	86	34.7
Warren town, Litchfield County	497	2.56	0.37	32	49	99.3	2.24	0.42	14	2	11.1
Washington town, Litchfield County	1 416	2.41	0.35	76	231	98.0	2.45	0.42	81	17	9.8
Waterbury city, New Haven County	42 622	2.66	0.45	1 941	4 900	77.9	2.29	0.55	7 048	757	43.0
Waterbury town, New Haven County	42 622	2.66	0.45	1 941	4 900	77.9	2.29	0.55	7 048	757	43.0
Waterford town, New London County	7 542	2.42	0.37	376	1 531	93.5	2.35	0.48	312	51	18.2
Watertown town, Litchfield County	8 046	2.87	0.43	512	1 405	91.6	1.92	0.44	429	137	20.8
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	378	2.97	0.52	24	23	82.7	2.62	0.50	100	-	9.2
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	1 032	2.80	0.36	70	73	97.8	1.82	0.41	73	-	67.8
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	2 605	2.64	0.41	196	340	86.2	1.72	0.41	198	-	13.1
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 061	2.30	0.39	68	98	80.7	1.68	0.42	108	-	15.5
West Hartford town, Hartford County	24 576	2.57	0.37	1 559	3 849	92.7	1.92	0.46	2 174	243	48.0
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	24 576	2.57	0.37	1 559	3 849	92.7	1.92	0.46	2 174	243	48.0
West Haven city, New Haven County	21 090	2.64	0.44	1 000	3 018	84.3	2.16	0.56	3 145	233	50.9
West Haven town, New Haven County	21 090	2.64	0.44	1 000	3 018	84.3	2.16	0.56	3 145	233	50.9
Weston town, Fairfield County	3 312	3.14	0.37	334	425	100.0	1.49	0.26	102	-	-
Westport town, Fairfield County	9 586	2.78	0.35	651	1 363	96.5	1.94	0.41	371	64	13.7
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	9 586	2.78	0.35	651	1 363	96.5	1.94	0.41	371	64	13.7
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	739	3.02	0.37	81	116	99.0	1.37	0.32	14	-	35.8
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	11 214	2.43	0.37	658	2 221	94.3	1.88	0.50	749	70	48.3
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	11 214	2.43	0.37	658	2 221	94.3	1.88	0.50	749	70	48.3
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	5 599	2.69	0.42	204	445	82.0	2.31	0.58	1 287	63	38.7
Willington town, Tolland County	2 353	2.72	0.41	110	222	95.8	2.15	0.55	408	-	70.6
Wilton town, Fairfield County	5 923	2.97	0.36	505	513	97.4	2.28	0.46	183	-	40.4
Winchester town, Litchfield County	4 371	2.63	0.41	203	591	83.7	2.03	0.49	521	60	33.4
Windham town, Windham County	8 342	2.57	0.41	395	753	82.9	2.37	0.59	1 537	91	41.2
Windsor town, Hartford County	10 577	2.76	0.41	617	1 324	95.8	2.00	0.46	670	75	28.1
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	4 935	2.51	0.42	362	1 082	93.0	2.18	0.51	381	24	39.2
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	4 935	2.51	0.42	362	1 082	93.0	2.18	0.51	381	24	39.2
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	3 083	2.59	0.40	124	433	77.3	2.00	0.49	468	52	35.6
Wolcott town, New Haven County	5 414	2.90	0.46	361	1 094	97.0	1.97	0.45	162	16	37.5
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	3 103	2.94	0.37	173	542	98.9	1.96	0.41	57	16	25.3
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	3 715	2.68	0.38	216	444	96.6	1.86	0.44	271	38	39.8
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	636	2.18	0.30	48	97	97.1	2.01	0.50	87	11	36.6
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	767	2.36	0.37	71	66	83.5	1.87	0.42	81	4	38.0
Woodstock town, Windham County	2 754	2.62	0.41	222	375	96.2	2.63	0.53	159	8	20.6

Table 25. Home Value: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

Table with columns: State, County, County Subdivision, Place, Specified owner-occupied housing units, and Value of home (Less than \$50,000 to \$500,000 or more, Median dollars). Rows include New Haven County, New London County, and Tolland County with various subdivisions and their corresponding housing units and home values.

Table 25. **Home Value: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home							
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more	Median (dollars)
Windham County—Con.									
Eastford town	370	2	53	159	104	36	16	-	139 200
Hampton town	421	3	63	217	86	50	2	-	129 400
Killingly town	3 178	49	1 162	1 608	260	91	8	-	109 100
Danielson borough	532	9	338	164	5	16	-	-	94 200
Plainfield town	3 160	45	1 286	1 450	291	76	5	7	106 900
Moosup CDP	534	-	294	168	72	-	-	-	97 700
Plainfield Village CDP	373	6	235	116	7	9	-	-	94 200
Wauregan CDP	115	-	52	58	5	-	-	-	103 700
Pomfret town	771	9	70	265	205	201	15	6	158 400
Putnam town	1 688	33	707	611	255	58	-	24	108 000
Putnam District CDP	1 141	33	596	399	87	9	-	17	98 100
Scotland town	361	5	44	218	78	14	2	-	133 100
Sterling town	667	4	167	415	73	4	-	4	116 600
Thompson town	2 270	13	656	1 090	345	123	43	-	119 400
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	231	-	77	141	13	-	-	-	112 000
Quinebaug CDP	312	-	87	123	66	24	12	-	124 000
Windham town	3 224	95	1 347	1 312	333	115	22	-	105 200
South Windham CDP	404	43	139	165	57	-	-	-	104 800
Willimantic CDP	1 712	52	989	501	119	37	14	-	95 000
Woodstock town	1 805	27	369	700	439	219	35	16	134 900
South Woodstock CDP	199	-	46	93	20	40	-	-	123 100

Table 26. **Home Value: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home							
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more	Median (dollars)
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.									
Wallingford town, New Haven County	10 450	73	770	3 450	3 288	2 358	470	41	161 900
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	3 291	59	361	1 444	1 084	272	64	7	144 000
Warren town, Litchfield County	335	-	9	55	98	108	42	23	203 700
Washington town, Litchfield County	873	12	36	84	220	229	205	87	235 500
Waterbury city, New Haven County	15 412	501	7 000	6 492	1 142	230	31	16	101 300
Waterbury town, New Haven County	15 412	501	7 000	6 492	1 142	230	31	16	101 300
Waterford town, New London County	5 732	33	573	2 131	1 606	861	414	114	153 000
Watertown town, Litchfield County	5 624	34	662	2 201	1 465	941	287	34	148 300
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	115	-	52	58	5	-	-	-	103 700
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	830	-	21	56	263	314	122	54	216 700
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	1 563	17	73	298	459	485	184	47	194 200
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	462	17	53	126	79	67	85	35	168 600
West Hartford town, Hartford County	15 979	53	1 031	4 305	4 532	3 854	1 669	535	176 400
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	15 979	53	1 031	4 305	4 532	3 854	1 669	535	176 400
West Haven city, New Haven County	9 586	87	2 796	4 795	1 572	219	109	8	118 600
West Haven town, New Haven County	9 586	87	2 796	4 795	1 572	219	109	8	118 600
Weston town, Fairfield County	2 885	8	7	5	6	125	711	2 023	633 900
Westport town, Fairfield County	7 483	16	35	16	83	346	2 084	4 903	625 800
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	7 483	16	35	16	83	346	2 084	4 903	625 800
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	650	5	-	34	103	244	214	50	275 800
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	8 052	13	678	2 871	2 161	1 981	307	41	159 300
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	8 052	13	678	2 871	2 161	1 981	307	41	159 300
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	1 712	52	989	501	119	37	14	-	95 000
Wilmington town, Tolland County	1 207	9	92	618	270	209	-	9	141 200
Wilton town, Fairfield County	4 904	-	6	23	49	281	1 674	2 871	561 100
Winchester town, Litchfield County	2 125	15	491	984	393	179	46	17	127 100
Windham town, Windham County	3 224	95	1 347	1 312	333	115	22	-	105 200
Windsor town, Hartford County	7 951	61	937	3 544	2 371	821	196	21	142 200
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	3 379	13	607	2 192	384	164	-	19	121 300
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	3 379	13	607	2 192	384	164	-	19	121 300
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	1 259	15	323	707	135	59	14	6	117 300
Wolcott town, New Haven County	4 449	-	326	2 238	1 109	643	133	-	143 400
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	2 587	16	32	86	264	742	1 161	286	319 700
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	2 334	8	237	197	415	847	542	88	235 000
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	221	-	8	9	65	66	73	-	250 700
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	438	3	14	137	130	82	30	42	179 900
Woodstock town, Windham County	1 805	27	369	700	439	219	35	16	134 900

Table 27. **Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs								
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more	
Windham County—Con.										
Eastford town	370	-	7	44	23	30	49	24	193	
Hampton town	421	3	10	42	27	31	41	67	200	
Killingly town	3 178	86	319	299	196	172	402	571	1 133	
Danielson borough	532	14	44	65	51	50	72	114	122	
Plainfield town	3 160	42	306	326	120	104	400	561	1 301	
Moosup CDP	534	-	103	71	26	8	101	69	156	
Plainfield Village CDP	373	-	16	57	6	28	65	31	170	
Wauregan CDP	115	-	6	17	-	-	26	18	48	
Pomfret town	771	5	19	81	39	11	52	81	483	
Putnam town	1 688	24	159	142	167	117	247	254	578	
Putnam District CDP	1 141	8	107	82	145	77	183	167	372	
Scotland town	361	5	8	31	15	14	19	55	214	
Sterling town	667	4	21	58	46	29	103	117	289	
Thompson town	2 270	40	341	262	101	72	304	377	773	
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	231	6	77	19	21	7	28	26	47	
Quinebaug CDP	312	-	94	60	-	6	46	20	86	
Windham town	3 224	64	291	372	222	158	410	572	1 135	
South Windham CDP	404	14	65	49	9	16	89	47	115	
Willimantic CDP	1 712	24	112	263	125	68	210	353	557	
Woodstock town	1 805	30	162	182	104	77	157	296	797	
South Woodstock CDP	199	10	18	46	-	-	16	37	72	

Table 28. **Selected Monthly Owner Costs: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs							
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.									
Wallingford town, New Haven County	10 450	30	236	953	1 027	533	694	744	6 233
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	3 291	23	124	383	342	170	254	298	1 697
Warren town, Litchfield County	335	-	10	33	20	13	25	32	202
Washington town, Litchfield County	873	6	24	63	92	57	64	75	492
Waterbury city, New Haven County	15 412	94	784	1 951	1 633	923	1 440	2 038	6 549
Waterbury town, New Haven County	15 412	94	784	1 951	1 633	923	1 440	2 038	6 549
Waterford town, New London County	5 732	90	822	828	413	199	448	511	2 421
Watertown town, Litchfield County	5 624	14	151	629	524	392	353	454	3 107
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	115	-	6	17	-	-	26	18	48
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	830	-	-	22	39	46	48	46	629
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	1 563	15	49	183	105	84	105	170	852
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	462	15	26	95	27	19	33	56	191
West Hartford town, Hartford County	15 979	-	142	471	1 333	1 399	1 780	1 447	9 407
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	15 979	-	142	471	1 333	1 399	1 780	1 447	9 407
West Haven city, New Haven County	9 586	34	79	244	832	1 021	1 038	1 003	5 335
West Haven town, New Haven County	9 586	34	79	244	832	1 021	1 038	1 003	5 335
Weston town, Fairfield County	2 885	-	11	35	12	36	198	144	2 449
Westport town, Fairfield County	7 483	22	-	55	175	262	479	492	5 998
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	7 483	22	-	55	175	262	479	492	5 998
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	650	-	-	10	6	25	81	34	494
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	8 052	25	113	810	995	819	787	616	3 887
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	8 052	25	113	810	995	819	787	616	3 887
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	1 712	24	112	263	125	68	210	353	557
Wilmington town, Tolland County	1 207	-	72	87	89	38	24	149	748
Wilton town, Fairfield County	4 904	-	6	6	66	121	384	317	4 004
Winchester town, Litchfield County	2 125	14	40	115	227	175	223	341	990
Windham town, Windham County	3 224	64	291	372	222	158	410	572	1 135
Windsor town, Hartford County	7 951	19	128	611	822	435	474	804	4 658
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	3 379	5	209	535	316	161	236	421	1 496
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	3 379	5	209	535	316	161	236	421	1 496
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	1 259	-	22	58	158	119	133	193	576
Wolcott town, New Haven County	4 449	8	84	487	366	193	340	432	2 539
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	2 587	5	15	11	62	89	276	215	1 914
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	2 334	-	32	70	135	159	151	225	1 562
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	221	-	-	-	43	24	31	11	112
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	438	4	-	13	30	4	26	12	349
Woodstock town, Windham County	1 805	30	162	182	104	77	157	296	797

Table 29. **Gross Rent: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more		
Windham County—Con.										
Eastford town	84	2	8	50	12	12	-	-	-	667
Hampton town	57	5	17	31	-	4	-	-	-	553
Killingly town	2 115	198	608	999	270	31	9	-	-	544
Danielson borough	972	40	340	519	73	-	-	-	-	528
Plainfield town	1 618	157	321	807	297	36	-	-	-	595
Moosup CDP	629	48	136	299	138	8	-	-	-	588
Plainfield Village CDP	446	60	102	215	60	9	-	-	-	604
Waugrean CDP	239	15	27	154	43	-	-	-	-	624
Pomfret town	300	6	55	179	51	9	-	-	-	593
Putnam town	1 506	247	591	566	97	-	5	-	-	482
Putnam District CDP	1 436	240	578	524	89	-	5	-	-	478
Scotland town	45	2	9	15	13	6	-	-	-	706
Sterling town	178	10	71	79	4	14	-	-	-	521
Thompson town	584	84	200	265	31	4	-	-	-	507
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	271	28	120	105	14	4	-	-	-	485
Quinebaug CDP	12	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	593
Windham town	4 158	580	1 270	1 670	527	111	-	-	-	534
South Windham CDP	89	-	20	42	22	5	-	-	-	656
Willimantic CDP	3 415	377	1 037	1 535	389	77	-	-	-	552
Woodstock town	363	12	41	214	52	36	8	-	-	648
South Woodstock CDP	207	-	41	141	8	9	8	-	-	601

Table 30. Gross Rent: 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

Table with columns: State/County/Place and County Subdivision, Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent, and Gross rent (Less than \$250, \$250 to \$499, \$500 to \$749, \$750 to \$999, \$1,000 to \$1,499, \$1,500 to \$1,999, \$2,000 or more, Median dollars).

Table 30. **Gross Rent: 2000**—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more		
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.										
Wallingford town, New Haven County	4 288	148	622	1 816	1 159	406	131	6	705	
Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	3 100	148	590	1 446	800	116	-	-	663	
Warren town, Litchfield County	44	-	7	5	16	10	6	-	914	
Washington town, Litchfield County	194	-	9	55	41	52	27	10	868	
Waterbury city, New Haven County	21 548	2 287	5 419	10 447	2 711	621	36	27	562	
Waterbury town, New Haven County	21 548	2 287	5 419	10 447	2 711	621	36	27	562	
Waterford town, New London County	989	72	103	314	307	189	4	-	753	
Watertown town, Litchfield County	1 502	121	270	639	304	168	-	-	646	
Wauregan CDP, Windham County	239	15	27	154	43	-	-	-	624	
Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	147	-	13	7	80	12	25	10	926	
Westbrook town, Middlesex County	621	65	115	186	136	110	9	-	675	
Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	449	35	89	143	97	85	-	-	679	
West Hartford town, Hartford County	6 634	334	560	2 407	2 282	722	155	174	751	
West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	6 634	334	560	2 407	2 282	722	155	174	751	
West Haven city, New Haven County	9 241	420	814	4 610	2 575	771	44	7	689	
West Haven town, New Haven County	9 241	420	814	4 610	2 575	771	44	7	689	
Weston town, Fairfield County	169	-	6	14	47	59	25	18	1 151	
Westport town, Fairfield County	1 247	32	92	108	159	367	122	367	1 302	
Westport CDP, Fairfield County	1 247	32	92	108	159	367	122	367	1 302	
West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	53	-	-	-	22	7	16	8	1 161	
Wethersfield town, Hartford County	2 355	231	258	865	617	357	19	8	722	
Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	2 355	231	258	865	617	357	19	8	722	
Willimantic CDP, Windham County	3 415	377	1 037	1 535	389	77	-	-	552	
Wilmington town, Tolland County	798	-	88	528	127	55	-	-	659	
Wilton town, Fairfield County	493	27	65	12	79	136	68	106	1 241	
Winchester town, Litchfield County	1 460	144	296	775	206	39	-	-	623	
Windham town, Windham County	4 158	580	1 270	1 670	527	111	-	-	534	
Windsor town, Hartford County	1 909	84	191	581	826	195	32	-	779	
Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	1 153	81	79	553	296	144	-	-	692	
Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	1 153	81	79	553	296	144	-	-	692	
Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	1 325	144	253	743	153	32	-	-	619	
Wolcott town, New Haven County	607	102	82	130	121	159	13	-	735	
Woodbridge town, New Haven County	289	9	13	61	74	79	17	36	964	
Woodbury town, Litchfield County	852	8	26	346	317	127	20	8	783	
Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	352	-	-	149	173	21	9	-	780	
Woodmont borough, New Haven County	210	-	4	80	38	68	11	9	865	
Woodstock town, Windham County	363	12	41	214	52	36	8	-	648	

Table 31. **Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Specified owner-occupied housing units								Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent		
	Total	With a mortgage				Not mortgaged			Total	With meals included in rent	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	With a second mortgage or home equity loan or both	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999			
Tolland County—Con.											
Coventry town—Con.											
Coventry Lake CDP	843	665	991	20.1	121	178	343	10.0	214	-	23.1
South Coventry CDP	306	250	1 340	20.3	33	56	463	16.3	176	-	36.4
Ellington town	3 129	2 431	1 345	21.1	583	698	465	12.1	1 492	12	21.1
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	442	342	1 232	21.1	45	100	412	17.9	41	-	21.0
Hebron town	2 420	2 033	1 445	20.0	394	387	435	13.8	296	-	20.6
Mansfield town	2 587	1 788	1 228	18.8	331	799	430	10.0	1 939	16	33.4
Mansfield Center CDP	214	138	1 287	19.8	33	76	340	12.5	100	-	27.2
Storrs CDP	393	241	1 198	16.2	35	152	458	10.0	1 030	16	46.6
Somers town	2 326	1 664	1 414	21.5	474	662	438	11.1	307	-	26.9
Central Somers CDP	467	352	1 365	24.6	100	115	339	11.6	102	-	27.2
Stafford town	2 665	1 997	1 172	21.2	502	668	372	12.5	1 003	17	20.6
Tolland town	3 931	3 155	1 392	20.5	752	776	439	10.2	262	-	28.2
Union town	158	116	1 107	21.1	18	42	408	10.0	28	-	14.5
Vernon town	5 789	4 144	1 240	22.0	1 178	1 645	447	13.1	5 278	69	23.8
Rockville CDP	757	530	1 160	25.2	187	227	457	18.0	2 388	52	25.0
Willington town	1 207	949	1 264	21.9	216	258	383	11.6	798	-	30.1
Crystal Lake CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Windham County	22 064	16 351	1 063	21.6	3 894	5 713	334	11.4	12 355	190	23.7
Ashford town	866	720	1 206	24.4	178	146	378	11.4	398	-	24.0
Brooklyn town	1 554	1 193	1 143	21.3	374	361	331	12.6	612	48	23.1
East Brooklyn CDP	215	128	913	24.7	58	87	330	14.1	358	23	19.7
Canterbury town	1 213	968	1 114	21.0	269	245	368	10.0	184	-	25.2
Chaplin town	516	409	1 063	20.8	87	107	373	11.0	153	-	19.3
Eastford town	370	259	1 212	22.7	66	111	421	11.0	84	-	21.8
Hampton town	421	306	1 120	21.9	51	115	410	11.3	57	-	18.9
Killingly town	3 178	2 382	976	20.7	687	796	302	10.7	2 115	62	23.8
Danielson borough	532	365	874	22.4	77	167	332	10.0	972	8	24.2
Plainfield town	3 160	2 450	1 030	23.3	508	710	315	12.1	1 618	-	24.3
Moosup CDP	534	342	944	22.8	89	192	296	12.1	629	-	24.9
Plainfield Village CDP	373	300	1 048	24.8	44	73	328	12.3	446	-	24.8
Wauregan CDP	115	98	894	23.1	5	17	361	22.1	239	-	23.8
Pomfret town	771	612	1 323	22.9	189	159	366	14.5	300	35	18.8
Putnam town	1 688	1 179	993	19.3	264	509	350	10.6	1 506	8	20.3
Putnam District CDP	1 141	782	984	20.0	160	359	382	11.7	1 436	8	20.6
Scotland town	361	298	1 128	21.5	74	63	374	10.0	45	-	18.9
Sterling town	667	543	1 030	22.4	100	124	369	16.8	178	-	22.3
Thompson town	2 270	1 542	1 001	21.1	299	728	297	10.6	584	6	21.9
North Grosvenor Dale CDP	231	109	961	18.5	28	122	259	10.0	271	-	22.0
Quinebaug CDP	312	161	1 026	19.3	13	151	291	11.8	12	-	50.0+
Windham town	3 224	2 165	1 021	20.6	533	1 059	358	11.9	4 158	31	26.3
South Windham CDP	404	259	953	19.4	80	145	292	10.0	89	-	16.9
Willimantic CDP	1 712	1 107	998	21.8	296	605	373	12.7	3 415	-	27.0
Woodstock town	1 805	1 325	1 142	21.6	215	480	325	10.6	363	-	22.5
South Woodstock CDP	199	125	1 088	19.0	26	74	316	11.9	207	-	24.4

Table 33. **Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	American Indian and Alaska Native population (one race)	Native population— Percent born in state of residence	Foreign-born population			Population 5 years and over		Speak a language other than English at home			
			Number	Percent of population	Percent naturalized citizens	Number	Percent living in different house in 1995	Population 5 to 17 years		Population 18 years and over	
								Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"	Number	Percent who speak English less than "very well"
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL											
All areas	217	55.8	2	0.9	100.0	164	36.6	1	-	5	40.0
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	217	55.8	2	0.9	100.0	164	36.6	1	-	5	40.0
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	217	55.8	2	0.9	100.0	164	36.6	1	-	5	40.0
New London County (part)	217	55.8	2	0.9	100.0	164	36.6	1	-	5	40.0
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE											
All areas	26	15.4	-	-	(X)	24	-	-	(X)	-	(X)
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fairfield County (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	26	15.4	-	-	(X)	24	-	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	26	15.4	-	-	(X)	24	-	-	(X)	-	(X)
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Litchfield County (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)

Table 34. Education and Veteran Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 3 years and over enrolled in school				Population 16 to 19 years			Population 25 years and over			Civilian veterans 18 years and over	
	Pre- primary school	Elementary or high school		College or graduate school	Total	Not enrolled in school and not high school graduate		Number	Percent high school graduate or higher	Percent with Bachelor's degree or higher	Total	65 years and over
		Number	Percent in private school			Number	Percent					
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL												
All areas	13	51	17.6	8	10	-	-	92	67.4	9.8	19	3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	13	51	17.6	8	10	-	-	92	67.4	9.8	19	3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	13	51	17.6	8	10	-	-	92	67.4	9.8	19	3
New London County (part)	13	51	17.6	8	10	-	-	92	67.4	9.8	19	3
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE												
All areas	2	7	-	5	2	-	-	15	86.7	13.3	4	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	2	7	-	5	2	-	-	15	86.7	13.3	4	-
New London County (part)	2	7	-	5	2	-	-	15	86.7	13.3	4	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	-	-

Table 35. **Disability Status for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Civilian noninstitutionalized population with a disability			Percent of civilian noninstitutionalized population with specified disability							Percent employed of civilian noninstitutionalized population 21 to 64 years		
	5 years and over	16 to 64 years	65 years and over	5 years and over					16 years and over, going outside the home	16 to 64 years, employment disability	Total	Disability status	
				Any disability	Sensory	Physical	Mental	Self- care				With a disability	No disability
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL													
All areas	29	12	15	17.7	-	10.4	9.1	2.4	7.9	9.6	61.5	66.7	60.6
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	29	12	15	17.7	-	10.4	9.1	2.4	7.9	9.6	61.5	66.7	60.6
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	29	12	15	17.7	-	10.4	9.1	2.4	7.9	9.6	61.5	66.7	60.6
New London County (part)	29	12	15	17.7	-	10.4	9.1	2.4	7.9	9.6	61.5	66.7	60.6
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE													
All areas	10	8	-	45.5	9.1	-	-	-	-	53.3	100.0	100.0	100.0
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	10	8	-	45.5	9.1	-	-	-	-	53.3	100.0	100.0	100.0
New London County (part)	10	8	-	45.5	9.1	-	-	-	-	53.3	100.0	100.0	100.0
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)

Table 36. Employment Status and Journey to Work for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 16 years and over												Own children under 6 years, all parents in family in labor force
	Total	Female	Labor force						Females with own children under 6 years				
			Percent in labor force		Civilian labor force		Workers		Number	Percent in labor force			
			Total	Female	Number	Percent un- employed	Number	Means of transportation to work					
						Percent using car, truck, or van	Percent in carpools	Percent using public trans- portation					
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL													
All areas	114	59	55.3	44.1	63	4.8	54	90.7	14.8	-	11	45.5	12
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	114	59	55.3	44.1	63	4.8	54	90.7	14.8	-	11	45.5	12
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	114	59	55.3	44.1	63	4.8	54	90.7	14.8	-	11	45.5	12
New London County (part)	114	59	55.3	44.1	63	4.8	54	90.7	14.8	-	11	45.5	12
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE													
All areas	17	4	88.2	100.0	13	-	15	100.0	40.0	-	2	100.0	2
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	17	4	88.2	100.0	13	-	15	100.0	40.0	-	2	100.0	2
New London County (part)	17	4	88.2	100.0	13	-	15	100.0	40.0	-	2	100.0	2
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	-	(X)	-

Table 37. Work Status and Income in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population 16 years and over, worked in 1999						Median income in 1999 (dollars)			Per capita income in 1999 (dollars)
	Total		Worked 40 or more weeks				Households	Families	Nonfamily households	
	Male	Female	Total		Full-time, year-round					
			Male	Female	Male	Female				
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL										
All areas	45	36	30	29	30	29	58 750	69 167	24 167	32 674
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	45	36	30	29	30	29	58 750	69 167	24 167	32 674
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	45	36	30	29	30	29	58 750	69 167	24 167	32 674
New London County (part)	45	36	30	29	30	29	58 750	69 167	24 167	32 674
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE										
All areas	13	4	9	4	7	4	45 250	177 361	29 375	26 546
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	13	4	9	4	7	4	45 250	177 361	29 375	26 546
New London County (part)	13	4	9	4	7	4	45 250	177 361	29 375	26 546
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 38. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Male						Female					
	Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999				Workers with earnings	Median earnings in 1999 (dollars)	Earnings in 1999			
			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more			\$1 to \$24,999 or less	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 or more
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL												
All areas	30	78 186	-	9	10	11	29	30 625	8	14	4	3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	30	78 186	-	9	10	11	29	30 625	8	14	4	3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	30	78 186	-	9	10	11	29	30 625	8	14	4	3
New London County (part)	30	78 186	-	9	10	11	29	30 625	8	14	4	3
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE												
All areas	7	45 750	-	7	-	-	4	65 000	-	2	-	2
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	7	45 750	-	7	-	-	4	65 000	-	2	-	2
New London County (part)	7	45 750	-	7	-	-	4	65 000	-	2	-	2
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 39. Income in 1999 of Households With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Households with American Indian and Alaska Native householder (one race)	Median income in 1999 (dollars)	Household income in 1999								Percent of households with income in 1999 of \$100,000 or more
			Less than \$10,000	\$10,000 to \$24,999	\$25,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$74,999	\$75,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL											
All areas	88	58 750	5	11	22	16	10	3	7	14	27.3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	88	58 750	5	11	22	16	10	3	7	14	27.3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	88	58 750	5	11	22	16	10	3	7	14	27.3
New London County (part)	88	58 750	5	11	22	16	10	3	7	14	27.3
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE											
All areas	13	45 250	4	-	7	-	-	-	2	-	15.4
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	13	45 250	4	-	7	-	-	-	2	-	15.4
New London County (part)	13	45 250	4	-	7	-	-	-	2	-	15.4
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)

Table 40. **Poverty Status in 1999 for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population for whom poverty status is determined									Families with income in 1999 below poverty level			
	Income in 1999 below poverty level									All families		Families with female householder, no husband present, with related children under 18 years	
	Total	All ages		Related children				Population 65 years and over		Number	Percent	Number	Percent
		Number	Percent	Under 18 years		5 to 17 years		Number	Percent				
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL													
All areas	217	13	6.0	7	6.2	3	5.0	-	-	2	3.2	2	33.3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	217	13	6.0	7	6.2	3	5.0	-	-	2	3.2	2	33.3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	217	13	6.0	7	6.2	3	5.0	-	-	2	3.2	2	33.3
New London County (part)	217	13	6.0	7	6.2	3	5.0	-	-	2	3.2	2	33.3
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE													
All areas	26	4	15.4	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	26	4	15.4	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
New London County (part)	26	4	15.4	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	(X)
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)

Table 41. **Units in Structure: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Total housing units	Units in structure								
		1 unit, detached	1 unit, attached	2 to 4 units	5 to 9 units	10 to 19 units	20 to 49 units	50 or more units	Mobile home	Boat, RV, van, etc.
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL										
All areas	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	96	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE										
All areas	24	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	24	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-
New London County (part)	24	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 42. **Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Total housing units	Year structure built			Rooms			No bedrooms or 1 bedroom	Lacking complete plumbing facilities	Lacking complete kitchen facilities
		1995 to March 2000	1939 or earlier	Median	1 or 2	6 or more	Median			
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL										
All areas	96	11	-	1 988	-	76	7.1	-	-	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	96	11	-	1 988	-	76	7.1	-	-	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	96	11	-	1 988	-	76	7.1	-	-	-
New London County (part)	96	11	-	1 988	-	76	7.1	-	-	-
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE										
All areas	24	6	-	1 988	6	8	4.8	6	2	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	24	6	-	1 988	6	8	4.8	6	2	-
New London County (part)	24	6	-	1 988	6	8	4.8	6	2	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 43. **Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Occupied housing units	Vehicles available		Percent no telephone service available
		None	1 or more	
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL				
All areas	88	6	82	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	88	6	82	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	88	6	82	-
New London County (part)	88	6	82	-
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	(X)
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	(X)
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE				
All areas	16	-	16	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	(X)
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	-	-	(X)
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	16	-	16	-
New London County (part)	16	-	16	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	(X)
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	(X)

Table 44. Year Householder Moved into Unit for Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Occupied housing units	Year householder moved into unit	
		1999 to March 2000	1969 or earlier
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL			
All areas	88	8	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	88	8	-
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	88	8	-
New London County (part)	88	8	-
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE			
All areas	16	-	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	16	-	-
New London County (part)	16	-	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-

Table 45. **Home Value of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Value of home								Median (dollars)
		Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 to \$99,999	\$100,000 to \$149,999	\$150,000 to \$199,999	\$200,000 to \$299,999	\$300,000 to \$499,999	\$500,000 or more		
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL										
All areas	86	-	15	21	8	22	16	4	195 800	
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	86	-	15	21	8	22	16	4	195 800	
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	86	-	15	21	8	22	16	4	195 800	
New London County (part)	86	-	15	21	8	22	16	4	195 800	
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE										
All areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 46. **Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner- occupied housing units	Selected monthly owner costs							
		Less than \$200	\$200 to \$299	\$300 to \$399	\$400 to \$499	\$500 to \$599	\$600 to \$799	\$800 to \$999	\$1,000 or more
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL									
All areas	86	-	1	9	2	2	13	13	46
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	86	-	1	9	2	2	13	13	46
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	86	-	1	9	2	2	13	13	46
New London County (part)	86	-	1	9	2	2	13	13	46
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE									
All areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 47. **Gross Rent of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	Gross rent							
		Less than \$250	\$250 to \$499	\$500 to \$749	\$750 to \$999	\$1,000 to \$1,499	\$1,500 to \$1,999	\$2,000 or more	Median (dollars)
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL									
All areas	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	850
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	850
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	850
New London County (part)	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	850
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE									
All areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 48. **Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With an American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Specified owner-occupied housing units							Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent	
	Total	With a mortgage			Not mortgaged			Total	Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999
		Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999	Total	Median selected monthly owner costs (dollars)	Median selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of household income in 1999		
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL									
All areas	86	68	1 132	33.8	18	500	12.5	2	27.5
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, CT	86	68	1 132	33.8	18	500	12.5	2	27.5
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	86	68	1 132	33.8	18	500	12.5	2	27.5
New London County (part)	86	68	1 132	33.8	18	500	12.5	2	27.5
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE									
All areas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fairfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New London County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Litchfield County (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 49. Place of Birth, Residence in 1995, and Language for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 50. Education and Veteran Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 51. Disability Status for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 52. Employment Status and Journey to Work for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 53. Work Status and Income in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 54. Earnings in 1999 of Full-Time, Year-Round Workers, by Sex for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 55. Income in 1999 of Households With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 56. Poverty Status in 1999 for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Population (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 57. Units in Structure: 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 58. Physical Housing Characteristics: 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 59. Selected Equipment Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 60. Year Householder Moved into Unit for Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 61. Home Value of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 62. Selected Monthly Owner Costs of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 63. Gross Rent of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 64. Selected Mortgage and Rental Cost Characteristics of Housing Units With a Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Householder (One Race): 2000

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Table 65. **Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000—Con.**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County County Subdivision Place	Population		Housing units		State County County Subdivision Place	Population		Housing units	
	100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample		100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample
New Haven County—Con.					New London County—Con.				
Meriden town—Con.					Sprague town	2 971	23.5	1 164	22.9
Middlebury town	58 244	13.2	24 631	13.3	Stonington town	17 906	16.0	8 591	17.2
Milford town	6 451	15.2	2 494	15.7	Mystic CDP (part)	2 713	15.1	1 263	16.2
Milford city (balance)	52 305	15.1	21 962	15.1	Pawcatuck CDP	5 474	12.7	2 598	12.4
Woodmont borough	50 594	14.8	21 145	14.7	Stonington borough	1 032	44.8	723	46.5
Naugatuck town	1 711	24.0	817	23.7	Voluntown town	2 528	24.9	1 091	24.4
Naugatuck borough	30 989	12.1	12 341	12.0	Waterford town	19 152	14.0	7 986	14.5
New Haven town	30 989	12.1	12 341	12.0	Central Waterford CDP	2 935	15.1	1 379	16.1
New Haven city	123 626	11.9	52 941	11.9					
North Branford town	123 626	11.9	52 941	11.9	Tolland County	136 364	14.3	51 570	14.0
North Haven town	13 906	11.5	5 246	11.5	Andover town	3 036	24.2	1 198	24.2
North Haven CDP (part)	23 035	11.0	8 773	11.3	Bolton town	5 017	16.0	1 969	15.6
Orange town	23 035	11.0	8 773	11.3	Columbia town	4 971	15.3	1 988	15.7
Orange CDP	13 233	14.8	4 870	15.1	Coventry town	11 504	14.0	4 486	13.7
Oxford town	13 233	14.8	4 870	15.1	Coventry Lake CDP	2 914	11.5	1 272	11.6
Prospect town	9 821	11.6	3 420	11.3	South Coventry CDP	1 381	11.2	576	12.3
Seymour town	8 707	14.8	3 094	15.5	Ellington town	12 921	13.9	5 417	13.7
Southbury town	15 454	11.0	6 356	10.9	Crystal Lake CDP (part)	1 459	16.3	634	15.8
Heritage Village CDP	18 567	12.5	7 799	12.3	Hebron town	8 610	11.9	3 110	11.5
Wallingford town	3 435	11.5	2 590	11.9	Mansfield town	20 720	14.7	5 481	14.6
Wallingford Center CDP	43 026	13.4	17 306	13.3	Mansfield Center CDP	973	15.9	382	15.7
Waterbury town	17 509	13.5	7 720	13.3	Storrs CDP	10 996	15.2	1 701	15.5
Waterbury city	107 271	13.2	46 827	13.1	Somers town	10 417	16.9	3 012	16.1
West Haven town	107 271	13.2	46 827	13.1	Central Somers CDP	1 626	18.5	645	16.7
West Haven city	52 360	12.5	22 336	12.5	Stafford town	11 307	12.7	4 616	12.6
Wolcott town	52 360	12.5	22 336	12.5	Tolland town	13 146	13.8	4 665	13.8
Woodbridge town	15 215	13.0	5 544	13.6	Union town	693	46.0	332	44.9
	8 983	15.5	3 189	16.0	Vernon town	28 063	13.2	12 867	13.0
					Rockville CDP	7 708	12.5	3 824	12.1
New London County	259 088	14.5	110 674	14.7	Willington town	5 959	11.9	2 429	11.6
Bozrah town	2 357	24.6	917	23.8	Crystal Lake CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Colchester town	14 551	13.3	5 407	13.0					
East Lyme town	18 118	12.6	7 459	12.1	Windham County	109 091	15.6	43 959	15.5
Niantic CDP	3 085	13.1	1 756	11.7	Ashford town	4 098	16.1	1 699	16.1
Franklin town	10 807	14.2	4 530	13.9	Brooklyn town	7 173	11.9	2 708	12.2
Griswold town	3 053	11.5	1 464	11.5	East Brooklyn CDP	1 473	11.5	690	12.8
Jewett City borough	39 907	14.7	16 817	15.0	Canterbury town	4 692	15.2	1 762	15.4
Groton town	10 241	13.3	2 822	12.6	Chaplin town	2 250	22.8	897	23.7
Conning Towers-Nautilus Park CDP	10 010	13.4	4 569	13.2	Eastford town	1 618	47.8	705	47.4
Groton Long Point borough	667	39.7	589	46.7	Hampton town	1 758	48.4	695	47.3
Long Hill CDP	3 534	12.7	1 924	11.0	Killingly town	16 472	12.4	6 909	12.6
Mystic CDP (part)	1 288	18.9	725	16.0	Danielson borough	4 265	11.5	1 859	11.9
Noank CDP	1 830	14.6	911	15.3	Plainfield town	14 619	14.5	5 676	14.3
Old Mystic CDP	3 205	15.2	1 249	16.1	Moosup CDP	3 237	11.8	1 321	11.7
Poquonock Bridge CDP	1 592	14.8	630	15.1	Plainfield Village CDP	2 638	16.0	1 007	15.8
Lebanon town	6 907	12.5	2 820	11.5	Wauregan CDP	1 085	14.6	410	14.9
Ledyard town	14 687	11.9	5 486	12.1	Pomfret town	3 798	15.3	1 503	16.2
Lisbon town	4 069	15.9	1 563	16.1	Putnam town	9 002	12.7	3 955	12.5
Lyme town	2 016	22.5	989	23.5	Putnam District CDP	6 746	11.8	3 119	11.6
Montville town	18 546	13.5	6 805	13.2	Scotland town	1 556	47.8	577	46.8
Oxoboxo River CDP	2 938	13.6	1 356	13.9	Sterling town	3 099	23.4	1 193	23.4
New London town	25 671	12.9	11 560	13.3	Thompson town	8 878	15.5	3 710	15.2
New London city	25 671	12.9	11 560	13.3	North Grosvenor Dale CDP	1 424	16.9	654	15.4
North Stonington town	4 991	15.7	2 052	16.0	Quinebaug CDP	1 122	14.5	476	15.1
Norwich town	36 117	13.7	16 600	13.4	Windham town	22 857	13.2	8 926	13.0
Norwich city	36 117	13.7	16 600	13.4	South Windham CDP	1 278	13.8	579	13.1
Old Lyme town	7 406	15.5	4 570	16.3	Willimantic CDP	15 823	13.8	6 026	13.6
Preston town	4 688	17.1	1 901	16.7	Woodstock town	7 221	12.0	3 044	12.0
Salem town	3 858	14.8	1 655	15.5	South Woodstock CDP	1 211	11.7	565	11.3

Table 66. Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000—Con.

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

State County Place and County Subdivision	Population		Housing units		State County Place and County Subdivision	Population		Housing units	
	100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample		100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.					PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—Con.				
Norfolk town, Litchfield County	1 660	42.6	871	43.5	Sprague town, New London County	2 971	23.5	1 164	22.9
North Branford town, New Haven County	13 906	11.5	5 246	11.5	Stafford town, Tolland County	11 307	12.7	4 616	12.6
North Canaan town, Litchfield County	3 350	15.3	1 444	15.3	Stamford city, Fairfield County	117 083	10.9	47 317	10.9
North Granby CDP, Hartford County	1 720	11.3	579	10.9	Stamford town, Fairfield County	117 083	10.9	47 317	10.9
North Grosvenor Dale CDP, Windham County	1 424	16.9	654	15.4	Sterling town, Windham County	3 099	23.4	1 193	23.4
North Haven town, New Haven County	23 035	11.0	8 773	11.3	Stonington borough, New London County	1 032	44.8	723	46.5
North Haven CDP, New Haven County	23 035	11.0	8 773	11.3	Stonington town, New London County	17 906	16.0	8 591	17.2
North Stonington town, New London County	4 991	15.7	2 052	16.0	Storrs CDP, Tolland County	10 996	15.2	1 701	15.5
Northwest Harwinton CDP, Litchfield County	3 242	15.9	1 296	16.0	Stratford town, Fairfield County	49 976	14.8	20 596	14.9
Norwalk city, Fairfield County	82 951	13.9	33 753	13.9	Stratford CDP, Fairfield County	49 976	14.8	20 596	14.9
Norwalk town, Fairfield County	82 951	13.9	33 753	13.9	Suffield town, Hartford County	13 552	14.6	4 853	14.1
Norwich city, New London County	36 117	13.7	16 600	13.4	Suffield Depot CDP, Hartford County	1 244	15.2	598	12.9
Norwich town, New London County	36 117	13.7	16 600	13.4	Tariffville CDP, Hartford County	1 371	16.8	638	15.7
Oakville CDP, Litchfield County	8 618	12.7	3 358	13.3	Terramuggus CDP, Hartford County	1 048	12.5	407	12.3
Old Lyme town, New London County	7 406	15.5	4 570	16.3	Terryville CDP, Litchfield County	5 360	15.0	2 312	14.2
Old Mystic CDP, New London County	3 205	15.2	1 249	16.1	Thomaston town, Litchfield County	7 503	15.2	3 014	15.4
Old Saybrook town, Middlesex County	10 367	13.7	5 357	12.8	Thompson town, Windham County	8 878	15.5	3 710	15.2
Old Saybrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	1 962	10.4	984	9.9	Thompsonville CDP, Hartford County	8 125	13.1	3 728	13.7
Orange town, New Haven County	13 233	14.8	4 870	15.1	Tolland town, Tolland County	13 146	13.8	4 665	13.8
Orange CDP, New Haven County	13 233	14.8	4 870	15.1	Torrington city, Litchfield County	35 202	14.1	16 147	14.2
Oxford town, New Haven County	9 821	11.6	3 420	11.3	Torrington town, Litchfield County	35 202	14.1	16 147	14.2
Oxoboxo River CDP, New London County	2 938	13.6	1 356	13.9	Trumbull town, Fairfield County	34 243	13.8	12 160	13.8
Pawcatuck CDP, New London County	5 474	12.7	2 598	12.4	Trumbull CDP, Fairfield County	34 243	13.8	12 160	13.8
Plainfield town, Windham County	14 619	14.5	5 676	14.3	Union town, Tolland County	693	46.0	332	44.9
Plainfield Village CDP, Windham County	2 638	16.0	1 007	15.8	Vernon town, Tolland County	28 063	13.2	12 867	13.0
Plainville town, Hartford County	17 328	13.4	7 707	13.4	Voluntown town, New London County	2 528	24.9	1 091	24.4
Plymouth town, Litchfield County	11 634	14.9	4 646	14.6	Wallingford town, New Haven County	43 026	13.4	17 306	13.3
Pomfret town, Windham County	3 798	15.3	1 503	16.2	Wallingford Center CDP, New Haven County	17 509	13.5	7 720	13.3
Poquonock Bridge CDP, New London County	1 592	14.8	630	15.1	Warren town, Litchfield County	1 254	45.5	650	45.1
Portland town, Middlesex County	8 732	16.6	3 528	16.5	Washington town, Litchfield County	3 596	15.8	1 764	14.6
Portland CDP, Middlesex County	5 534	16.5	2 286	16.5	Waterbury city, New Haven County	107 271	13.2	46 827	13.1
Preston town, New London County	4 688	17.1	1 901	16.7	Waterbury town, New Haven County	107 271	13.2	46 827	13.1
Prospect town, New Haven County	8 707	14.8	3 094	15.5	Waterbury town, New London County	19 152	14.0	7 986	14.5
Putnam town, Windham County	9 002	12.7	3 955	12.5	Watertown town, Litchfield County	21 661	11.8	8 298	12.0
Putnam District CDP, Windham County	6 746	11.8	3 119	11.6	Wauregan CDP, Windham County	1 085	14.6	410	14.9
Quinebaug CDP, Windham County	1 122	14.5	476	15.8	Weatogue CDP, Hartford County	2 805	15.8	1 031	15.2
Redding town, Fairfield County	8 270	16.6	3 086	16.4	Westbrook town, Middlesex County	6 292	11.9	3 460	11.7
Ridgefield CDP, Fairfield County	7 212	14.3	3 078	13.8	Westbrook Center CDP, Middlesex County	2 238	11.2	1 662	11.9
Ridgefield town, Fairfield County	23 643	15.3	8 877	14.9	West Hartford town, Hartford County	63 589	14.9	25 332	15.6
Rockville CDP, Tolland County	7 708	12.5	3 824	12.1	West Hartford CDP, Hartford County	63 589	14.9	25 332	15.6
Rocky Hill town, Hartford County	17 966	12.0	7 962	12.1	West Haven city, New Haven County	52 360	12.5	22 336	12.5
Roxbury town, Litchfield County	2 136	21.8	1 018	20.9	West Haven town, New Haven County	52 360	12.5	22 336	12.5
Salem town, New London County	3 858	14.8	1 655	15.5	Weston town, Fairfield County	10 037	15.3	3 532	15.1
Salisbury town, Litchfield County	3 977	15.2	2 410	15.8	Westport town, Fairfield County	25 749	14.2	10 065	14.4
Salmon Brook CDP, Hartford County	2 453	15.6	1 018	15.5	Westport CDP, Fairfield County	25 749	14.2	10 065	14.4
Saybrook Manor CDP, Middlesex County	1 133	16.8	1 027	16.6	West Simsbury CDP, Hartford County	2 395	14.2	763	15.1
Scotland town, Windham County	1 556	47.8	577	46.8	Wethersfield town, Hartford County	26 271	14.1	11 454	13.9
Seymour town, New Haven County	15 454	11.0	6 356	10.9	Wethersfield CDP, Hartford County	26 271	14.1	11 454	13.9
Sharon town, Litchfield County	2 968	15.9	1 617	16.1	Willimantic CDP, Windham County	15 823	13.8	6 026	13.6
Shelton city, Fairfield County	38 101	13.7	14 707	13.4	Wilmington town, Tolland County	5 959	11.9	2 429	11.6
Shelton town, Fairfield County	38 101	13.7	14 707	13.4	Wilton town, Fairfield County	17 633	13.6	6 113	13.7
Sherman town, Fairfield County	3 827	21.5	1 606	21.9	Winchester town, Litchfield County	10 664	14.0	4 922	14.0
Sherwood Manor CDP, Hartford County	5 689	16.5	2 230	16.8	Windham town, Windham County	22 857	13.2	8 926	13.0
Simsbury town, Hartford County	23 234	15.5	8 739	15.4	Windsor town, Hartford County	28 237	14.6	10 900	14.6
Simsbury Center CDP, Hartford County	5 603	15.5	2 285	15.6	Windsor Locks town, Hartford County	12 043	14.5	5 101	14.4
Somers town, Tolland County	10 417	16.9	3 012	16.1	Windsor Locks CDP, Hartford County	12 043	14.5	5 101	14.4
Southbury town, New Haven County	18 567	12.5	7 799	12.3	Winsted CDP, Litchfield County	7 321	13.4	3 370	13.2
South Coventry CDP, Tolland County	1 381	11.2	576	12.3	Wolcott town, New Haven County	15 215	13.0	5 544	13.6
Southington town, Hartford County	39 728	15.0	15 557	15.3	Woodbridge town, New Haven County	8 983	15.5	3 189	16.0
South Windham CDP, Windham County	1 278	13.8	579	13.1	Woodbury town, Litchfield County	9 198	11.6	3 869	11.4
South Windsor town, Hartford County	24 412	12.8	9 071	12.9	Woodbury Center CDP, Litchfield County	1 298	10.7	644	10.7
Southwood Acres CDP, Hartford County	8 067	16.5	3 018	16.0	Woodmont borough, New Haven County	1 711	24.0	817	23.7
South Woodstock CDP, Windham County	1 211	11.7	565	11.3	Woodstock town, Windham County	7 221	12.0	3 044	12.0

Table 67. **Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000**

[Data based on a sample (except Tables 65-68). For information on confidentiality protection, coverage, sampling error, and nonsampling error, see Appendix G. For location of definitions, see "How to Use This Census Report"]

American Indian Area County	Population		Housing units	
	100-percent count	Percent in sample	100-percent count	Percent in sample
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL				
All areas	327	27.8	115	31.3
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, CT	325	28.0	114	31.6
Mashantucket Pequot Reservation	315	28.9	111	32.4
New London County (part)	315	28.9	111	32.4
Mashantucket Pequot Off-Reservation Trust Land	10	-	3	-
New London County (part)	10	-	3	-
Mohegan Reservation, CT	2	-	1	-
New London County (part)	2	-	1	-
AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — STATE				
All areas	35	31.4	23	39.1
Golden Hill (state) Reservation, CT	-	(X)	-	(X)
Fairfield County (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)
New London County (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)
Paucatuck Eastern Pequot (state) Reservation, CT	26	42.3	19	47.4
New London County (part)	26	42.3	19	47.4
Schaghticoke (state) Reservation, CT	9	-	4	-
Litchfield County (part)	9	-	4	-

Table 68. **Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample: 2000**

[The above table was omitted because there were no qualifying areas.]

Appendixes

Several appendixes traditionally found in printed reports are now available in a separate volume. See PHC-2-A, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, Selected Appendixes* in print or on the Internet at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-a.pdf> for the following:


- Appendix A. Geographic Terms and Concepts
- Appendix B. Definitions of Subject Characteristics
- Appendix C. Data Collection and Processing Procedures
- Appendix D. Questionnaire
- Appendix E. Data Products and User Assistance
- Appendix H. Acknowledgments

This Appendix section contains:

- Appendix F. Maps
- Appendix G. Accuracy of the Data

Appendix F. Maps

Counties




County Subdivision Outline Map Legend and County Location Index

Map Legend

	CAMPO	American Indian Reservation (Federal)
	ZIA	Off-Reservation Trust Land
	TAMA	American Indian Reservation (State)
		State
	ERIE	County
	YORK	County Subdivision ¹
	COLUMBUS	Consolidated City ¹
	ROME	Incorporated Place ¹
	Zena	Census Designated Place ¹
	Lake Erie	Large River, Lake, Water Body, or Shoreline
	A fishhook joins contiguous and/or discontinuous parts of the same geographic entity	

Map Sections



¹ A "*" following a place name indicates that the place is coextensive with a separate county subdivision. The county subdivision name is shown only if different than the name of the place.


Note: All legal boundaries and names are as of January 1, 2000. Where state, county, and/or county subdivision boundaries coincide, the map shows the boundary symbol for the highest level of these geographic entities. The county boundary is always shown. Where a county subdivision boundary coincides with a place boundary, the map does not show the place boundary symbol. Any geographic entity name may include '(pt.)' if some portion of the entity extends beyond the limits of the map area displayed on the page, or if multiple discontinuous pieces of the entity have been discretely labeled on the page. A geographic entity name may include '(pts.)' if many discontinuous pieces exist for that entity that cannot be discretely labeled. The boundaries shown on this map are for Census Bureau statistical data collection and tabulation purposes only; their depiction and designation for statistical purposes does not constitute a determination of jurisdictional authority or rights of ownership or entitlement.

County Location Index

This list presents the reference coordinates for each county on the county subdivision outline map. Map section numbers refer to the county subdivision outline maps only.

COUNTY	MAP SEC	MAP REF
Fairfield.....	1	LX-BU
Hartford.....	1	MB-BR
Litchfield.....	1	LY-BR
Middlesex.....	1	MC-BT
New Haven.....	1	MA-BT
New London.....	1	MF-BT
Tolland.....	1	MD-BQ
Windham.....	1	MG-BR

American Indian Areas, Counties, County Subdivisions, and Places - Section 1



Appendix G.

Accuracy of the Data

INTRODUCTION

The data contained in this product are based on the Census 2000 sample. The data are estimates of the actual figures that would have been obtained from a complete count. Estimates derived from a sample are expected to be different from the 100-percent figures because they are subject to sampling and nonsampling errors. Sampling error in data arises from the selection of people and housing units included in the sample. Nonsampling error affects both sample and 100-percent data and is introduced as a result of errors that may occur during the data collection and processing phases of the census. This chapter provides a detailed discussion of both types of errors and a description of the estimation procedures.

MASTER ADDRESS FILE DEVELOPMENT

The majority of addresses in the country are in what is known for census purposes as Mailout/Mailback areas, which generally consist of city-style addresses. The original source of addresses on the Master Address File (MAF) for the Mailout/Mailback areas was the 1990 Census Address Control File (ACF). The first update to the ACF addresses is a United States Postal Service (USPS) Delivery Sequence File (DSF) of addresses. The November 1997, September 1998, November 1999, and April 2000 DSFs were incorporated into the MAF.

Until shortly before the census, the ACF addresses and the November 1997 and September 1998 residential DSF addresses constituted the MAF. These addresses were tested against Census Bureau geographic information to determine their location at the census block level. The geographic information is maintained in the Census Bureau's Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding Referencing (TIGER) system. When an address on the MAF can be uniquely matched to the address range in TIGER for a street segment that forms one of the boundaries of a particular block, the address is said to be *geocoded* to that block. Valid and geocoded addresses appeared on each address list used for a field operation.

The Block Canvass operation was the next major address list operation in the Mailout/Mailback areas for Census 2000. Between January and May 1999, there was a 100-percent canvass of every block in these areas. Every geocoded address was printed in a block-by-block address register. Block Canvassing listers identified each address as one of the following: a verified housing unit; a unit with corrections to the street name or directional; a delete; a duplicate, implying the unit exists elsewhere on the list with a different, unmatchable designation, such as a different street name or building name; uninhabitable; or nonresidential. Also, units that were deleted from one block and matched an added unit in another block were called a move.

A cooperative address list check with local governmental units throughout the country, called Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) 98, occurred in approximately the same time frame as Block Canvassing. In LUCA 98, the participating governmental units received an address list and were asked for input mostly on added units but also on deleted units and corrected street names or directionals. The outcome of this operation was similar to that of Block Canvassing; units were added to and deleted from blocks, and address corrections were made.

The Decennial Master Address File (DMAF), created in July 1999, was the file used for the main printing of the Census 2000 questionnaires. In Mailout/Mailback areas, the operations that had yielded housing units and their status before this initial printing stage were the ACF, the November 1997 DSF, the September 1998 DSF, LUCA 98, and Block Canvassing.

Updates to the DMAF followed the creation of the initial DMAF. Addresses were added by the November 1999, February 2000, and April 2000 DSFs. The LUCA 98 field verification and appeal processes were address update operations that occurred subsequent to the creation of the initial

DMAF. Units receiving a conflicting status from Block Canvassing and the LUCA 98 operation were sent for field verification by the Census Bureau; the results of the field verification were sent to the governmental units. The governmental unit could appeal the Census Bureau's findings for particular units at this stage. At an appeal, the Census Bureau and the governmental unit submit their evidence of the status of a housing unit for independent review. The Census Address List Appeals Office, a temporary Federal office established outside the Department of Commerce, administered the appeal process. The Director of the Appeals Office (or their designee) was responsible for issuing a written determination that was considered final. Both the field verification and the appeal process had the potential to change the status of a housing unit.

The New Construction operation was another cooperative effort with participating governmental units that added addresses before Census Day. This was a final operation in Mailout/Mailback areas that used governmental units' local knowledge to identify new housing units in February and March of 2000.

After Mailout/Mailback, the second most common method of questionnaire delivery was Update/Leave. Rather than obtaining addresses from the ACF and DSF, the address list for Update/Leave areas was constructed during a Census Bureau field operation called Address Listing. This was due to the fact that addresses in Update/Leave areas were primarily noncity-style. Census employees were sent to the field with maps of their assignment areas and were instructed to record the city-style address, noncity-style address or location description, or possibly some combination of the above, for every housing unit. In addition, the location of the unit was noted on the census map with what is known as a *map spot*. This operation took place in the fall of 1998.

After processing the Address Listing data, the Census Bureau could tabulate the number of housing units in each block. Because the housing units in these areas may have nonstandard mailing addresses and may be recorded in census files solely with a location description, the governmental units participating in the local review operation in these areas were sent lists of housing unit counts by block. This operation was called LUCA 99. When a LUCA 99 participant disagreed with a Census block count, the contested block was sent out for LUCA 99 recanvassing. Census employees were redeployed to make updates to the address list. In addition, there was a LUCA 99 appeal process for settling housing unit status discrepancies that could potentially add units to the address list. The LUCA 99 recanvassing and LUCA 99 appeal process took place at various times during the DMAF updating process. Although most of the LUCA 99 entities had their recanvassing results processed before creation of the initial DMAF, many did not. There were DMAF updates designed specifically for obtaining late recanvassing and appeal results. These updates to the census files occurred in time for USPS delivery of a questionnaire.

The last address list-building operation in the Update/Leave areas was the Update/Leave operation itself. This operation was responsible for having a census questionnaire hand-delivered at every housing unit. The MAF and the maps were updated during this process.

In the most remote regions of the country, housing units were listed at the same time people within them were enumerated for Census 2000. These operations, called List/Enumerate and Remote Alaska enumeration, were the only source of addresses in these regions. All housing units were map spotted at the time of enumeration.

In some other regions of the country where an address list had already been created, the Census Bureau determined that direct enumeration of the population would be more successful than mail-back of the forms. This operation was called Update/Enumerate. There were two types of Update/Enumerate areas – urban areas that were formerly Mailout/Mailback and rural areas that were formerly Update/Leave. The urban areas had passed through all the Mailout/Mailback operations up through the point of the creation of the initial DMAF, and the rural areas had passed through Address Listing, and sometimes LUCA 99, by the time of the creation of the initial DMAF. Because of these distinct paths, it was necessary to distinguish between the urban and rural Update/Enumerate areas.

Urban Update/Leave is another special enumeration that took place in areas where mail delivery was considered to be problematic. The addresses had passed through all the operations of the

Mailout/Mailback areas up through the creation of the initial DMAF, but enumerators visited the area during the census. As a result, additions, deletions and corrections to the address list were made.

People who do not receive a questionnaire at their house could submit a Be Counted Form, or they could call Telephone Questionnaire Assistance and have their information collected over the telephone. Addresses from these operations that did not match those already on the DMAF and that were geocoded to a census collection block in an area where census enumeration did not take place were visited in a Field Verification operation to determine if they existed. Verified addresses were added to the address list.

Follow-up operations provided additional information about housing units listed on the DMAF. In Nonresponse Followup (NRFU), enumerators followed up on units that had not returned a pre-addressed census form. These units could be enumerated, deemed vacant, or possibly deleted. At the same time, units that did not appear on the address list could be added and enumerated concurrently. Coverage Improvement Follow Up was designated for enumeration at addresses added by New Construction and the later Delivery Sequence Files, as well as a second check on NRFU vacant and deleted units. Adds were also possible. These operations occurred in the Mailout/Mailback, Update/Leave, and Urban Update/Leave areas.

SERVICE-BASED ENUMERATION

Service Based Enumeration was designed to account for people without a usual residence who use service facilities (i.e., shelters, soup kitchens and mobile food vans). Only people using the service facility on the interview day were enumerated. In addition, people enumerated in Targeted Non-Shelter Outdoor Locations (TNSOLS) and people without a usual residence that filed Be Counted Forms (BCF) augmented the count. **This component of the enumeration should *not* be interpreted as a complete count of the population without a usual residence.**

SAMPLE DESIGN

Every person and housing unit in the United States was asked basic demographic and housing questions (for example, race, age, and relationship to householder). A sample of these people and housing units was asked more detailed questions about items, such as income, occupation, and housing costs. The sampling unit for Census 2000 was the housing unit, including all occupants. There were four different housing unit sampling rates: 1-in-8, 1-in-6, 1-in-4, and 1-in-2 (designed for an overall average of about 1-in-6). The Census Bureau assigned these varying rates based on precensus occupied housing unit estimates of various geographic and statistical entities, such as incorporated places and interim census tracts. For people living in group quarters or enumerated at long form eligible service sites (shelters and soup kitchens), the sampling unit was the person and the sampling rate was 1-in-6.

The sample designation method for housing units depended on the data collection procedures. Approximately 95 percent of the population was enumerated by the mailback procedure. In these areas, the Census Bureau used the Decennial Master Address File (DMAF) to select electronically a probability sample. The questionnaires were either mailed or hand-delivered to selected addresses with instructions to complete and mail back the form.

The housing unit sampling rate varied by census block. Long Form Sampling Entities (LFSEs) were used to determine sampling rates in Census 2000 similarly to the way governmental units were used in the 1990 census sample design. LFSEs were:

- Counties and county equivalents (such as parishes in Louisiana).
- Cities.
- Incorporated places (including consolidated cities).
- Census designated places in Hawaii only.

-
- Minor civil divisions in certain states only (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin).
 - School districts (based on the 1995-1996 school year).
 - American Indian reservations.
 - Tribal jurisdiction statistical areas (replaced for Census 2000 by entities called Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Areas).
 - Alaska Native village statistical areas.

Size estimates for LFSEs were based on housing unit counts from the DMAF and occupancy rates from the 1990 census. If the smallest LFSE that included all or any part of a block had an estimated housing unit count of less than 800, the housing units in the block were sampled at a 1-in-2 rate. If the smallest LFSE that included all or any part of a block had an estimated housing unit count of 800 or more but less than 1,200, housing units in the block were sampled at a 1-in-4 rate. If a block was not in either of the two previous sampling rate categories, and was part of an interim census tract with 2,000 or more estimated housing units, the housing units in the block were sampled at a 1-in-8 rate. Housing units in all remaining blocks (those not assigned to 1-in-2, 1-in-4, or 1-in-8 rates) were sampled at a 1-in-6 rate.

In List/Enumerate areas (accounting for less than 0.5 percent of the housing units), each enumerator was given a blank address register with designated sample lines. Beginning about Census Day, the enumerator systematically canvassed an Assignment Area (AA) and listed all housing units in the address register in the order they were encountered. Completed questionnaires, including sample information for any housing unit listed on a designated sample line, were collected. If an AA contained any blocks that would qualify as above for a 1-in-2 or 1-in-4 rate, all households in the AA were sampled at 1-in-2. Housing units in all other AAs were sampled at 1-in-6.

Housing units in American Indian reservations, tribal jurisdiction statistical areas (replaced for Census 2000 by entities called Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Areas), and Alaska Native villages were sampled according to the same criteria as other LFSEs, except the sampling rates were based on the size of the American Indian and Alaska Native population in those areas as measured in the 1990 census. Trust lands were sampled at the highest rate of any part of their associated American Indian reservations. If the associated American Indian reservation was entirely outside the state containing the trust land, then the trust land was sampled at a 1-in-2 rate. All Remote Alaska assignment areas were sampled at a rate of 1-in-2. Housing units in Puerto Rico were sampled at a constant 1-in-6 rate in all blocks.

Variable sampling rates provide relatively more reliable estimates for small areas and decrease respondent burden in more densely populated areas while maintaining data reliability. When all sampling rates were taken into account across the Nation, approximately 1 out of every 6 housing units was included in the Census 2000 sample.

CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE DATA

The Census Bureau has modified or suppressed some data in this data release to protect confidentiality. Title 13 United States Code, Section 9, prohibits the Census Bureau from publishing results in which an individual can be identified. The Census Bureau's internal Disclosure Review Board sets the confidentiality rules for all data releases. A checklist approach is used to ensure that all potential risks to the confidentiality of the data are considered and addressed.

Title 13, United States Code. Title 13 of the United States Code authorizes the Census Bureau to conduct censuses and surveys. Section 9 of the same Title requires that any information collected from the public under the authority of Title 13 be maintained as confidential. Section 214 of Title 13 and Sections 3559 and 3571 of Title 18 of the United States Code provide for the imposition of penalties of up to 5 years in prison and up to \$250,000 in fines for wrongful disclosure of confidential census information.

Disclosure limitation. Disclosure limitation is the process for protecting the confidentiality of data. A disclosure of data occurs when someone can use published statistical information to identify an individual who provided information under a pledge of confidentiality. Using disclosure limitation procedures, the Census Bureau modifies or removes the characteristics that put confidential information at risk for disclosure. Although it may appear that a table shows information about a specific individual, the Census Bureau has taken steps to disguise the original data while making sure the results are still useful. The techniques used by the Census Bureau to protect confidentiality in tabulations vary, depending on the type of data.

Data swapping. Data swapping is a method of disclosure limitation designed to protect confidentiality in tables of frequency data (the number or percentage of the population with certain characteristics). Data swapping is done by editing the source data or exchanging records for a sample of cases when creating a table. A sample of households is selected and matched on a set of selected key variables with households in neighboring geographic areas that have similar characteristics (such as the same number of adults and the same number of children). Because the swap often occurs within a neighboring area, there is no effect on the marginal totals for the area or for totals that include data from multiple areas. Because of data swapping, users should not assume that tables with cells having a value of 1 or 2 reveal information about specific individuals. Data swapping procedures were first used in the 1990 census and were also used for Census 2000.

ERRORS IN THE DATA

Statistics in this data product are based on a sample. Therefore, they may differ somewhat from 100-percent figures that would have been obtained if all housing units, people within those housing units, and people living in group quarters had been enumerated using the same questionnaires, instructions, enumerators, and so forth. The sample estimate also would differ from other samples of housing units, people within those housing units, and people living in group quarters. The deviation of a sample estimate from the average of all possible samples is called the *sampling error*. The *standard error* of a sample estimate is a measure of the variation among the estimates from all possible samples. Thus, it measures the precision with which an estimate from a particular sample approximates the average result of all possible samples. The sample estimate and its estimated standard error permit the construction of interval estimates with prescribed confidence that the interval includes the average result of all possible samples. The method of calculating standard errors and confidence intervals for the data in this product appears in the section called “Calculation of Standard Errors.”

In addition to the variability that arises from the sampling procedures, both sample data and 100-percent data are subject to *nonsampling error*. Nonsampling error may be introduced during any of the various complex operations used to collect and process census data. For example, operations such as editing, reviewing, or handling questionnaires may introduce error into the data. A detailed discussion of the sources of nonsampling error is given in the section on “Nonsampling Error” in this chapter.

Nonsampling error may affect the data in two ways: errors that are introduced randomly will increase the variability of the data and, therefore, should be reflected in the standard error; errors that tend to be consistent in one direction will make both sample and 100-percent data biased in that direction. For example, if respondents consistently tend to underreport their incomes, then the resulting counts of households or families by income category will tend to be understated for the higher income categories and overstated for the lower income categories. Such biases are not reflected in the standard error.

Limitations of the Group Quarters Data

By definition, universes that include the total population include both the household population and the group quarters population. For example, the universe defined as the population 15 years and over includes all people 15 years and over in both households and group quarters.

In previous censuses and in Census 2000, allocation rates for demographic characteristics (such as age, sex, and race) of the group quarters population were similar to those for the total population. However, allocation rates for sample characteristics, such as school enrollment, educational attainment, income, and veteran status for the institutionalized and noninstitutionalized group quarters population have been substantially higher than those for the household population since at least the 1960 census. A review of the Census 2000 allocation rates for sample characteristics indicated that this trend continued.

Although allocation rates for sample characteristics are higher for the group quarters population, it is important to include the group quarters population in the total population universe. In most areas, the group quarters population represents a small proportion of the total population. As a result, the higher allocation rates associated with the group quarters population have minimal impact on the sample characteristics for the area of interest. In areas where the group quarters population represents a larger percentage of the total population, the Census Bureau cautions data users about the impact the higher allocation rates may have on the sample characteristics.

Calculation of Standard Errors

Totals and percentages. Tables A through C in this chapter contain the necessary information for calculating the standard errors of sample estimates in this data product. To calculate the standard error, it is necessary to know:

- The unadjusted standard error for the characteristic (given in Table A for estimated totals or Table B for estimated percentages) that would result under a simple random sample design of people, housing units, households, or families.
- The design factor for the particular characteristic estimated (given in Table C) based on the sample design and estimation techniques employed to produce long form data estimates.
- The number of people, housing units, households, or families in the publication area.
- The observed sampling rate.

The design factor is the ratio of the estimated standard error to the standard error of a simple random sample. The design factors reflect the effects of the actual sample design and the complex ratio estimation procedure used for Census 2000. Percent-in-sample values are provided in Summary File 3. The percent of the population in sample is given in P4, Percent of the Population in Sample. Percent-in-sample values for housing units are provided in H4, Percent of Housing Units in Sample by Occupancy Status. Thus, observed sampling rates for housing units are provided separately for occupied and vacant housing units. Data users should use information in H2, Unweighted Sample Housing Units by Occupancy Status, to determine the most prevalent type of housing unit in a specific geography (occupied or vacant), and use its corresponding percent-in-sample value from H4. As a convenience to data users, a percent-in-sample value for total housing units is available in the Census Bureau's PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics* reports (see the Percent of Population and Housing Units in Sample tables). This percent-in-sample value combines occupied and vacant housing units to form a single percent-in-sample value for housing units.

Use the steps given below to calculate the standard error of an estimated total or percentage contained in this product. A percentage is defined here as a ratio of a numerator to a denominator where the numerator is a subset of the denominator. For example, the proportion of Black or African-American teachers is the ratio of Black or African-American teachers to all teachers.

1. Obtain the unadjusted standard error from Table A or B (or use the formula given below the table) for the estimated total or percentage, respectively.
2. Obtain the person or housing unit observed sampling rate (percent-in-sample) for the geographic area to which the estimate applies. Use the person observed sampling rate for population characteristics and the housing unit observed sampling rate for housing characteristics.

- Use Table C to obtain the appropriate design factor, based on the characteristic (Employment status, School enrollment, etc.) and the range containing the percent-in-sample value defined in step 2. Multiply the unadjusted standard error by this design factor.

The unadjusted standard errors of zero estimates or of very small estimated totals or percentages will approach zero. This is also the case for very large percentages or estimated totals that are close to the size of the publication areas to which they correspond. Nevertheless, these estimated totals and percentages are still subject to sampling and nonsampling variability, and an estimated standard error of zero (or a very small standard error) is not appropriate. For estimated percentages that are less than 2 or greater than 98, use the unadjusted standard errors in Table B that appear in the “2 or 98” row. For an estimated total that is less than 50 or within 50 of the total size of the publication area, use an unadjusted standard error of 16.

Examples using Tables A and B are given in the section titled “Using Tables to Compute Standard Errors and Confidence Intervals.”

Sums and differences. The standard errors estimated from Tables A and B are not directly applicable to sums of and differences between two sample estimates. To estimate the standard error of a sum or difference, the tables are to be used somewhat differently in the following three situations:

- For the sum of or difference between a sample estimate and a 100-percent value, use the standard error of the sample estimate. The complete count value is not subject to sampling error.
- For the sum of or difference between two sample estimates, the appropriate standard error is approximately the square root of the sum of the two individual standard errors squared; that is, for standard errors

$SE(\hat{X})$ and $SE(\hat{Y})$ of estimates \hat{X} and \hat{Y} , respectively:

$$SE(\hat{X} + \hat{Y}) = SE(\hat{X} - \hat{Y}) = \sqrt{[SE(\hat{X})]^2 + [SE(\hat{Y})]^2}$$

This method, however, will underestimate (overestimate) the standard error if the two items in a sum are highly positively (negatively) correlated or if the two items in a difference are highly negatively (positively) correlated. This method may also be used for the difference between (or sum of) sample estimates from two censuses or from a census sample and another survey. The standard error for estimates not based on the Census 2000 sample must be obtained from an appropriate source outside of this chapter.

- For the differences between two estimates, one of which is a subclass of the other, use the tables directly where the calculated difference is the estimate of interest. For example, to determine the estimate of non-Black or African-American teachers, subtract the estimate of Black or African-American teachers from the estimate of total teachers. To determine the standard error of the estimate of non-Black or African-American teachers, apply the above formula directly.

Ratios. Frequently, the statistic of interest is the ratio of two variables, where the numerator is not a subset of the denominator. An example is the ratio of students to teachers in public elementary schools. (Note that this method cannot be used to compute a standard error for a sample mean.) The standard error of the ratio between two sample estimates is estimated as follows:

- If the ratio is a proportion, then follow the procedure outlined for “Totals and percentages.”
- If the ratio is not a proportion, then approximate the standard error using the formula below.

$$SE\left(\frac{\hat{X}}{\hat{Y}}\right) = \left(\frac{\hat{X}}{\hat{Y}}\right) \sqrt{\frac{[SE(\hat{X})]^2}{\hat{X}^2} + \frac{[SE(\hat{Y})]^2}{\hat{Y}^2}}$$

Medians. The sampling variability of an estimated median depends on the form of the distribution and the size of its base. The reliability of an estimated median is approximated by constructing a confidence interval. Estimate the 68 percent confidence limits of a median based on sample data using the following procedure.

1. Obtain the appropriate (person or housing unit) observed sampling rate for the specific geographic area. Use this rate to locate the design factor for the characteristic of interest in Table C.
2. Obtain the frequency distribution for the selected variable. Cumulate these frequencies to yield the base.
3. Determine the standard error of the estimate of 50 percent from the distribution using the formula:

$$SE(50 \text{ percent}) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{\text{base}} \times 50^2 \times \text{Design Factor}}$$

4. Subtract from and add to 50 percent the standard error determined in step 3.

$$p_{\text{lower}} = 50 - SE(50 \text{ percent})$$

$$p_{\text{upper}} = 50 + SE(50 \text{ percent})$$

Find the category in the distribution containing p_{lower} and the category in the distribution containing p_{upper} . If p_{lower} and p_{upper} fall in the same category, follow these steps:

- Define A1 as the smallest value in that category.
- Define A2 to be the smallest value in the next (higher) category.
- Define C1 as the cumulative percent of units strictly less than A1.
- Define C2 as the cumulative percent of units strictly less than A2.

Use these values (A1, A2, C1, C2) in step 5 to obtain the Lower Bound and the Upper Bound.

If p_{lower} and p_{upper} are in different categories, follow the steps above twice—once for each category. In effect, users will interpolate twice to obtain the Lower and Upper Bounds as follows:

- First, for the category containing p_{lower} , define the values A1, A2, C1, and C2 as above. Use these values in step 5 to obtain the Lower Bound.
 - Second, for the category containing p_{upper} , define a new set of values for A1, A2, C1, and C2. Use these values in step 5 to obtain the Upper Bound.
5. Use the following formula and the values obtained in step 4 to form a confidence interval about the median:

$$\text{Bound} = \left[\frac{X - C1}{C2 - C1} \right] \times (A2 - A1) + A1$$

where X = p_{lower} (p_{upper}) for the Lower Bound (Upper Bound).

6. Divide the difference between the two points determined in step 5 by two to obtain the estimated standard error of the median:

$$SE(\text{median}) = \frac{\text{Upper Bound} - \text{Lower Bound}}{2} = \frac{(p_{\text{upper}} - p_{\text{lower}})}{C2 - C1} \times \frac{A2 - A1}{2}$$

Means. A mean is defined here as the average quantity of some characteristic (other than the number of people, housing units, households, or families) per person, housing unit, household, or family. For example, a mean could be the average annual income of females age 25 to 34. The standard error of a mean can be approximated by the formula below. Because of the approximation used in developing this formula, the estimated standard error of the mean obtained from this formula will generally underestimate the true standard error.

The formula for estimating the standard error of a mean, \bar{x} , is

$$SE(\bar{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{\text{base}} \times s^2 \times \text{Design Factor}}$$

where s^2 is the estimated population variance of the characteristic and the base is the total number of units in the population. The population variance, s^2 , may be estimated using data that has been grouped into intervals.

For this method, the range of values for the characteristic is divided into c intervals, where the lower and upper boundaries of interval j are L_j and U_j , respectively. Each person is placed into one of the c intervals such that the value of the characteristic is between L_j and U_j . The estimated population variance, s^2 , is then given by:

$$s^2 = \sum_{j=1}^c p_j m_j^2 - (\bar{x})^2$$

where p_j is the estimated proportion of persons in interval j (based on weighted data) and m_j is the midpoint of the j^{th} interval, calculated as:

$$m_j = \frac{L_j + U_j}{2}.$$

The most representative value of the characteristic in interval j is assumed to be the midpoint of the interval, m_j . If the c^{th} interval is open-ended, i.e., no upper interval boundary exists, then an approximate value for m_c is

$$m_c = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)L_c.$$

The estimated sample mean, \bar{x} , can be obtained using the following formula:

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{j=1}^c p_j m_j.$$

Confidence intervals. A sample estimate and its estimated standard error may be used to construct confidence intervals about the estimate. These intervals are ranges that will contain the average value of the estimated characteristic that results over all possible samples, with a known probability.

For example, if all possible samples that could result under the Census 2000 sample design were independently selected and surveyed under the same conditions, and if the estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated for each of these samples, then:

1. *68 percent confidence interval.* Approximately 68 percent of the intervals from one estimated standard error below the estimate to one estimated standard error above the estimate would contain the average result from all possible samples.
2. *90 percent confidence interval.* Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.645 times the estimated standard error below the estimate to 1.645 times the estimated standard error above the estimate would contain the average result from all possible samples.
3. *95 percent confidence interval.* Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two estimated standard errors below the estimate to two estimated standard errors above the estimate would contain the average result from all possible samples.

The average value of the estimated characteristic that could be derived from all possible samples either is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. Thus, the statement that the average value has a certain probability of falling between the limits of the calculated confidence interval cannot be made. Rather, one can say with a specified probability of confidence that the calculated confidence interval includes the average estimate from all possible samples (approximately the 100-percent value).

Confidence intervals also may be constructed for the ratio, sum of, or difference between two sample figures. First compute the ratio, sum, or difference. Next, obtain the standard error of the ratio, sum, or difference (using the formulas given earlier). Finally, form a confidence interval for this estimated ratio, sum, or difference as above. One can then say with specified confidence that this interval includes the ratio, sum, or difference that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

Calculating the confidence interval from the standard error. To calculate the lower and upper bounds of the 90 percent confidence interval around an estimate using the standard error, multiply the standard error by 1.645, then add and subtract the product from the estimate.

$$\text{Lower bound} = \text{Estimate} - (\text{Standard Error} \times 1.645)$$

$$\text{Upper bound} = \text{Estimate} + (\text{Standard Error} \times 1.645)$$

Limitations. Be careful when computing and interpreting confidence intervals. The estimated standard errors given in this chapter do not include all portions of the variability because of non-sampling error that may be present in the data. The standard errors reflect the effect of simple response variance, but not the effect of correlated errors introduced by enumerators, coders, or other field or processing personnel. Thus, the standard errors calculated represent a lower bound of the total error. As a result, confidence intervals formed using these estimated standard errors might not meet the stated levels of confidence (i.e., 68, 90, or 95 percent). Thus, be careful interpreting the data in this data product based on the estimated standard errors.

A standard sampling theory text should be helpful if the user needs more information about confidence intervals and nonsampling errors.

Zero or small estimates; very large estimates. The value of almost all Census 2000 characteristics is greater than or equal to zero by definition. The method given previously for calculating confidence intervals relies on large sample theory and may result in negative values for zero or small estimates, which are not admissible for most characteristics. In this case, the lower limit of the confidence interval is set to zero by default. A similar caution holds for estimates of totals that are close to the population total and for estimated proportions near one, where the upper limit of the confidence interval is set to its largest admissible value. In these situations, the level of confidence of the adjusted range of values is less than the prescribed confidence level.

Using Tables to Compute Standard Errors and Confidence Intervals

Example 1. Computing the standard error of a total. Suppose a particular data table shows that for City A 9,948 people out of all 15,888 people age 16 years and over were in the civilian labor force. The person observed sampling rate (percent-in-sample) in City A is 16.0 percent. The column in Table C that includes an observed sampling rate of 16.0 percent shows the design factor to be 1.1 for the population characteristic "Employment status."

The unadjusted standard error for the estimated total of 9,948 is computed using the formula given below Table A. Suppose that the total population of City A was 21,220. The formula for the unadjusted standard error, SE, is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SE}(9,948) &= \sqrt{5(9,948) \left(1 - \frac{9,948}{21,220}\right)} \\ &= 163 \text{ people.} \end{aligned}$$

The 5 in the above formula is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one; i.e., $5 = 6 - 1$. To find the standard error of the estimated 9,948 people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force, multiply the unadjusted standard error 163 by the design factor, 1.1, from Table C. This yields an estimated standard error of 179 for the total number of people 16 years and over in City A who were in the civilian labor force.

Example 2. Computing the standard error of a percentage. The estimated percent of people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force in City A is 62.6 percent ($= 9,948 \div$

15,888). Using the formula below Table B, the unadjusted standard error is approximately

$$\begin{aligned} SE(62.6) &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{15,888}\right)(62.6)(100 - 62.6)} \\ &= 0.86 \text{ percentage points.} \end{aligned}$$

Again, the 5 in the above formula is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one; i.e., $5 = 6 - 1$. The standard error for the estimated 62.6 percent of people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force is $0.86 \times 1.1 = 0.95$ percentage points.

Note that standard errors of percentages derived in this manner are approximate. Calculations can be expressed to several decimal places, but doing so would indicate more precision in the data than is justifiable. Final results should contain no more than two decimal places when the estimated standard error is one percentage point (i.e., 1.00) or more.

Example 3. Computing a confidence interval. In Example 1, the adjusted standard error of the 9,948 people 16 years and over in City A in the civilian labor force was 179. Thus, a 90 percent confidence interval for this estimated total is:

$$\begin{aligned} &[9,948 - 1.645(179)] \text{ to } [9,948 + 1.645(179)] \\ &\text{or } 9,654 \text{ to } 10,242. \end{aligned}$$

One can say, with about 90 percent confidence, that this interval includes the value that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

Example 4. Computing the standard error and confidence interval for the difference between two sample estimates. Suppose the number of people in City B age 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force was 9,314 and the total number of people 16 years and over was 16,666. The population size of City B was 25,225, resulting in a person percent-in-sample of 15.7. The range that includes an observed sampling rate of 15.7 in Table C shows the design factor to be 1.1 for "Employment status." Using the formula below Table A and the appropriate design factor, the estimated standard error for the total number of people 16 years and over in City B who were in the civilian labor force is 188 ($= 171 \times 1.1$). The estimated percentage of people 16 years and over who were in the civilian labor force is 55.9 percent. The unadjusted standard error determined using the formula provided at the bottom of Table B is 0.86 percentage points, and the approximate standard error of the percentage (55.9 percent) is $0.86 \times 1.1 = 0.95$ percentage points.

Suppose that one wished to obtain the standard error of the difference between City A and City B of the percentages of people who were 16 years and over and who were in the civilian labor force. The difference in the percentages for the two cities is:

$$62.6 - 55.9 = 6.7 \text{ percent.}$$

Using the above calculations and the adjusted standard error from Example 2:

$$\begin{aligned} SE(6.7) &= \sqrt{[SE(62.6)]^2 + [SE(55.9)]^2} = \sqrt{(0.95)^2 + (0.95)^2} \\ &= 1.34 \text{ percentage points.} \end{aligned}$$

The 90 percent confidence interval for the difference is formed as before:

$$\begin{aligned} &[6.70 - 1.645(1.34)] \text{ to } [6.70 + 1.645(1.34)] \\ &\text{or } 4.50 \text{ to } 8.90. \end{aligned}$$

One can say with 90 percent confidence that the interval includes the difference that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

Example 5. Computing the standard error and confidence interval for the ratio of two sample estimates. For reasonably large samples, ratio estimates are normally distributed, particularly for the census population. Therefore, if the standard error of a ratio estimate can be calculated, then a confidence interval can be formed about the ratio.

Suppose that one wished to obtain the standard error of the ratio of the estimate of people who were 16 years and over and who were in the civilian labor force in City A to the estimate of people who were 16 years and over and who were in the civilian labor force in City B. The ratio of the two estimates is:

$$\frac{9,948}{9,314} = 1.07$$

The standard error of this ratio is:

$$\begin{aligned} SE(1.07) &= \left(\frac{9,948}{9,314}\right) \sqrt{\frac{(179)^2}{(9,948)^2} + \frac{(188)^2}{(9,314)^2}} \\ &= 0.029. \end{aligned}$$

Using the results above, the 90 percent confidence interval for this ratio would be:

$$\begin{aligned} &[1.07 - 1.645(0.029)] \text{ to } [1.07 + 1.645(0.029)] \\ &\text{or } 1.02 \text{ to } 1.12. \end{aligned}$$

One can say with 90 percent confidence that the interval includes the ratio that would have been obtained by averaging the results from all possible samples.

Example 6. Computing the standard error and confidence interval of a median. The following example shows the steps for calculating an estimated standard error and confidence interval for the median housing value in City C.

1. The housing unit observed sampling rate in City C is 14.3. Suppose that the corresponding design factor in Table C for the housing characteristic "Value" is 1.2.
2. Obtain the frequency distribution for housing values in City C. The base is the sum of the frequencies (4,227).

Table 1. Frequency Distribution and Cumulative Totals for Housing Value

Housing value	Frequency	Cumulative sum	Cumulative per cent
Less than \$50,000.	1,548	1,548	36.62
\$50,000 to \$99,999.	820	2,368	56.02
\$100,000 to \$149,999.	752	3,120	73.81
\$150,000 to \$199,999.	524	3,644	86.21
\$200,000 to \$299,999.	300	3,944	93.30
\$300,000 to \$499,999.	248	4,192	99.17
\$500,000 or more.	35	4,227	100.00

3. Determine the standard error of the estimate of 50 percent from the distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} SE(50 \text{ percent}) &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{4,227} \times 50^2 \times 1.2} \\ &= 2.06 \end{aligned}$$

4. Calculate a confidence interval with bounds:

$$p_{\text{lower}} = 50 - 2.06 = 47.94$$

$$p_{\text{upper}} = 50 + 2.06 = 52.06$$

From the given distribution, the category with the cumulative percent first exceeding 47.94 percent is \$50,000 to \$99,999. Therefore, A1 = \$50,000. C1 is the cumulative percent of housing units with value less than \$50,000. As a result, C1 = 36.62 percent.

The category with the cumulative percent that first exceeds 52.06 percent is also \$50,000 to \$99,999. A2 is the smallest value in the next (higher) category, resulting in A2 = \$100,000. C2 is the cumulative percent of housing units with value less than \$100,000. Thus, C2 = 56.02 percent.

- Given the values obtained in earlier steps, calculate the Lower and Upper Bounds of the confidence interval about the median:

$$\text{Lower Bound} = \left[\frac{47.94 - 36.62}{56.02 - 36.62} \right] \times (\$100,000 - \$50,000) + \$50,000$$

$$\text{Upper Bound} = \left[\frac{52.06 - 36.62}{56.02 - 36.62} \right] \times (\$100,000 - \$50,000) + \$50,000$$

The confidence interval is \$79,175 to \$89,794.

- The estimated standard error of the median is

$$\text{SE}(\text{median}) = \frac{\$89,794 - \$79,175}{2} = \$5,310$$

Example 7. Computing the standard error of a mean. This example shows the steps for calculating the standard error for the average commuting time in City D. The frequency distribution is given in Table 2.

Table 2. Frequency Distribution for Travel Time to Work

Travel time to work	Frequency
Did not work at home:	776,619
Less than 5 minutes	14,602
5 to 9 minutes	69,066
10 to 14 minutes	107,161
15 to 19 minutes	138,187
20 to 24 minutes	139,726
25 to 29 minutes	52,879
30 to 34 minutes	120,636
35 to 39 minutes	19,751
40 to 44 minutes	25,791
45 to 59 minutes	50,322
60 to 89 minutes	29,178
90 or more minutes	9,320
Worked at home	19,986

- Cumulating the frequencies over the 12 categories for those who commuted to work (i.e., Did not work at home) yields the population count (base) of 776,619 workers age 16 years and over.
- Find the midpoint m_j for each of the 12 categories. Multiply each category's proportion p_j by the square of the midpoint and sum this product over all categories.

For example, the midpoint of category 1 "Less than 5 minutes" is

$$m_1 = \frac{0 + 5}{2} = 2.5 \text{ minutes}$$

while the midpoint of the 12th category "90 or more minutes" is

$$m_{12} = \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) 90 = 135 \text{ minutes.}$$

The proportion of units in the first category, p_1 , is

$$p_1 = \frac{14,602}{776,619} = 0.019.$$

Necessary products for the standard error calculation are given in Table 3 along with totals.

Table 3. Calculations for Travel Time to Work

Travel time to work	p_j	m_j	$p_j m_j^2$	$p_j m_j$
Did not work at home:				
Less than 5 minutes	0.019	2.5	0.119	0.048
5 to 9 minutes	0.089	7	4.361	0.623
10 to 14 minutes	0.138	12	19.872	1.656
15 to 19 minutes	0.178	17	51.442	3.026
20 to 24 minutes	0.180	22	87.120	3.960
25 to 29 minutes	0.068	27	49.572	1.836
30 to 34 minutes	0.155	32	158.720	4.960
35 to 39 minutes	0.025	37	34.225	0.925
40 to 44 minutes	0.033	42	58.212	1.386
45 to 59 minutes	0.065	52	175.760	3.380
60 to 89 minutes	0.038	74.5	210.910	2.831
90 or more minutes	0.012	135	218.700	1.620
		Total	1069.013	26.251

- To estimate the mean commuting time for people in City D, multiply each category's proportion by its midpoint and sum over all categories in the universe. Table 3 shows an estimated mean travel time to work, \bar{x} , of 26 minutes.
- Calculate the estimated population variance.

$$s^2 = 1069.013 - (26)^2$$

$$= 393.013$$

- In City D, the person observed sampling rate is 13.1 percent. Suppose the design factor for "Travel time to work" in City D, given in the "Less than 15 percent" percent-in-sample column of Table C, is 1.4. Use this information and the results from steps 1 through 4 to calculate an estimated standard error for the mean as:

$$SE(\bar{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{776,619} \times 393.013 \times 1.4}$$

$$= 0.07 \text{ minutes.}$$

ESTIMATION PROCEDURE

The estimates that appear in this product were obtained from an iterative ratio estimation procedure (iterative proportional fitting) resulting in the assignment of a weight to each sample person or housing unit record. For any given tabulation area, a characteristic total was estimated by summing the weights assigned to the people or housing units possessing the characteristic in the tabulation area. Estimates of family or household characteristics were based on the weight assigned to the family member designated as householder. Each sample person or housing unit record was assigned exactly one weight to be used to produce estimates of all characteristics. For example, if the weight given to a sample person or housing unit had the value 6, all characteristics of that person or housing unit would be tabulated with a weight of 6. The estimation procedure, however, did assign weights varying from person to person or housing unit to housing unit.

The estimation procedure used to assign the weights was performed in geographically defined *weighting areas*. Generally, weighting areas were formed of contiguous geographic units within counties. Weighting areas were required to have a minimum sample of 400 people. Also, weighting areas never crossed state or county boundaries. In small counties with a sample count below 400 people, the minimum sample size condition was relaxed to permit the entire county to become a weighting area.

People

Within a weighting area, the long form sample was ratio-adjusted to equal the 100-percent totals for certain data groups. There were four stages of ratio adjustment for people. The first stage used 21 household-type groups. The second stage used three groups with the following sampling rates: 1-in-2, 1-in-4, and less than 1-in-4. The third stage used the dichotomy householders/nonhouseholders and the fourth stage used 312 aggregate age-sex-race-Hispanic origin groups. The stages were defined as follows:

People

Stage I: Type of Household

Group	Family with own children under 18: Number of people in housing unit
1	2
2	3
3	4
4	5
5	6-7
6	8 or more
	Family without own children under 18:
7-12	2 through 8 or more
	All other housing units:
13	1
14-19	2 through 8 or more
20	People in group quarters
21	Service Based Enumerations

Stage II: Sampling Type

Group	
1	1-in-2
2	1-in-4
3	1-in-6 or 1-in-8

Stage III: Householder Status

Group	
1	Householder
2	Nonhouseholder

People—Con.

Stage IV: Age/Sex/Race/Hispanic origin

People of Hispanic origin: Black or African American: Male:

Group	Age
1	0-4
2	5-14
3	15-17
4	18-19
5	20-24
6	25-29
7	30-34
8	35-44
9	45-49
10	50-54
11	55-64
12	65-74
13	75+
14-26	Female: Same age categories as 1-13
27-52	American Indian or Alaska Native: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
53-78	Asian: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
79-104	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
105-130	White: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
131-156	Some Other Race: Same gender and age categories as 1-26
157-312	People not of Hispanic origin: Same race, gender, and age categories as 1-156

NOTE: Multiple race people were included in one of the six race groups for estimation purposes only. Subsequent tabulations were based on the full set of responses to the race item.

The ratio estimation procedure for people was conducted within a weighting area in four stages as follows:

Stage I.

1. Assign an initial weight to each sample person record approximately equal to the inverse of the observed sampling rate for the weighting area.
2. Prior to iterative proportional fitting, combine categories in each of the four estimation stages, if necessary, to increase the reliability of the ratio estimation procedure. For each stage, any group that did not meet certain criteria for the unweighted sample count or for the ratio of the 100-percent to the initially weighted sample count was combined with another group in the same stage according to a specified collapsing pattern. There was an additional criterion concerning the number of complete count people in each race/Hispanic origin category in the second estimation stage.
3. The initial weights underwent four stages of ratio adjustment applying the grouping procedures described above. At the first stage, the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the initial weights for each sample person was computed for each Stage I group. The initial weight assigned to each person in a group was then multiplied by the Stage I group ratio to produce an adjusted weight.

Stage II. The Stage I adjusted weights were again adjusted by the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the Stage I weights for sample people in each Stage II group.

Stage III. The Stage II weights were adjusted by the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the Stage II weights for sample people in each Stage III group.

Stage IV. The Stage III weights were adjusted by the ratio of the complete census count to the sum of the Stage III weights for sample people in each Stage IV group.

The four stages of ratio adjustment were repeated in the order given above until the predefined stopping criteria were met. The weights obtained from the final iteration of Stage IV were assigned to the sample person records. However, to avoid complications in rounding for tabulated data, only whole number weights were assigned. For example, if the final weight of the people in a particular group was 7.25, then 1/4 of the sample people in this group were randomly assigned a weight of 8, while the remaining 3/4 received a weight of 7.

Housing Units

The ratio estimation procedure for housing units was essentially the same as that for people, except that vacant housing units were treated separately. The occupied housing unit ratio estimation procedure was done in three stages. The first stage for occupied housing units used 19 household type groups while the second stage used three sampling type groups. The third stage used 24 race-Hispanic origin-tenure groups. The vacant housing unit ratio estimation procedure was done in a single stage with three groups. The stages for ratio estimation for housing units were as follows:

Occupied Housing Units

Stage I: Type of Household

	Family with own children under 18:
Group	Number of people in housing unit
1	2
2	3
3	4
4	5
5	6-7
6	8 or more
	Family without own children under 18:
7-12	2 through 8 or more
	All other housing units:
13	1
14-19	2 through 8 or more

Stage II: Sampling Type

Group	
1	1-in-2
2	1-in-4
3	1-in-6 or 1-in-8

Occupied Housing Units—Con.

Stage III: Race and Hispanic Origin of Householder/Tenure

Group	Owner: Hispanic origin:
1	Black or African American
2	American Indian or Alaska Native
3	Asian
4	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
5	White
6	Some Other Race
7-12	Owner: Not of Hispanic origin: Same race categories as 1-6
13-24	Renter: Same Hispanic origin and race categories as 1-12

Vacant Housing Units

Group	
1	Vacant for rent
2	Vacant for sale
3	Other vacant

The estimates produced by this estimation procedure realize some of the gains in sampling efficiency that would have resulted if the population had been stratified into the ratio-estimation groups before sampling and if the sampling rate had been applied independently to each group. The net effect is a reduction in both the standard error and the possible bias of most estimated characteristics to levels below what would have resulted from simply using the initial, unadjusted weight. A by-product of this estimation procedure is that the estimates from the sample will, for the most part, be consistent with the complete count figures for the population and housing unit groups used in the estimation procedure.

CONSISTENCY WITH COMPLETE COUNTS

As described earlier, Census 2000 long form data were collected on a sample basis. Cities and incorporated places were used to determine sampling rates to support estimates for these areas. As a result, each city, incorporated place, school district, and county had addresses selected in the long form sample.

To produce estimates from the long form data, weighting was performed at the weighting area level. In forming weighting areas, trade-offs between reliability, consistency of the estimates, and complexity of the implementation were considered. The decision was made to form weighting areas consisting of small geographic areas with at least 400 sample persons (or about 200 or more completed long forms) that do not cross county boundaries. No other boundary constraints were imposed. Thus, total population estimates from the long form data will agree with census counts reported in SF 1 and SF 2 for the weighting area, county, and other higher geographic areas obtained by combining either weighting areas or counties. Differences between long form estimates of characteristics in the SF 3 and their corresponding values in the SF 1 or SF 2 are particularly noticeable for small places, tracts, and block groups. Examples of these characteristics are the total number of people, the number of people reporting specific racial categories, and the number of housing units. The official values for items reported on the short form come from SF 1 and SF 2.

Because the weighting areas were formed at a smaller geographic level, any differential nonresponse to long form questionnaires by demographic groups or geographical areas included in a weighting area may introduce differences in complete counts (SF 1 and SF 2) and the SF 3 total population estimates. Also, an insufficient number of sample cases in the weighting matrix cells could lead to differences in SF 1, SF 2, and SF 3 population totals. Thus, differences between the census and SF 3 counts are typical and expected.

In 1990, separate tabulations were not prepared for small areas below a certain size. In contrast, Census 2000 tabulations are being prepared for all areas to maximize data availability. This approach may lead to a greater number of anomalous results than what may have been observed with tabulations released from the 1990 census. A similar phenomenon occurred in the 1990 census when weighting areas respected city and place boundaries. Census counts differed from the long form data estimates in small places. As expected, these differences were sometimes large.

The SF 1 tables provide the official census count of the number of people in an area. The SF 3 tables provide estimates of the proportion of people with specific characteristics, such as occupation, disability, or educational attainment. The total number of people in the SF 3 table is provided for use as the denominator, or base, for these proportions. Estimates in the SF 3 tables give the best estimates of the proportion of people with a particular characteristic, but the census count is the official count of how many people are in the area.

The SF 1 gives exact numbers even for very small groups and areas; whereas, SF 3 gives estimates for small groups and areas, such as tracts and small places, that are less exact. The goal of SF 3 is to identify large differences among areas or large changes over time. Estimates for small areas and small population groups often exhibit large changes from one census to the next, so having the capability to measure them is worthwhile.

NONSAMPLING ERROR

In any large-scale statistical operation, such as Census 2000, human and processing errors occur. These errors are commonly referred to as nonsampling errors. Such errors include: not enumerating every household or every person in the population, failing to obtain all required information from the respondents, obtaining incorrect or inconsistent information, and recording information incorrectly. In addition, errors can occur during the field review of the enumerators' work, during clerical handling of the census questionnaires, or during the electronic processing of the questionnaires.

While it is impossible to completely eliminate nonsampling error from an operation as large and complex as the decennial census, the Census Bureau attempts to control the sources of such error during the data collection and processing operations. The primary sources of nonsampling error and the programs instituted to control this error in Census 2000 are described below. The success of these programs, however, was contingent upon how well the instructions actually were carried out during the census. As part of the Census 2000 evaluation program, both the effects of these programs and the amount of error remaining after their application will be evaluated.

Types of Nonsampling Error

Nonresponse. Nonresponse to particular questions on the census questionnaire or the failure to obtain any information for a housing unit allows for the introduction of bias into the data because the characteristics of the nonrespondents have not been observed and may differ from those reported by respondents. As a result, any imputation procedure using respondent data may not completely reflect these differences either at the elemental level (individual person or housing unit) or on average. As part of the data processing, people and/or housing units for which sample data were not collected may have their data imputed to ensure a sufficient number of sample people/housing units in a given area. As a result, the imputation rates for some small geographic areas, such as tracts, are very high. The Census Bureau cautions data users about the impact the higher imputation rates may have on the sample characteristics. Some protection against the introduction of large biases is afforded by minimizing nonresponse. Characteristics for the nonresponses were imputed by using reported data for a person or housing unit with similar characteristics.

Respondent and enumerator error. The person answering the mail questionnaire for a household or responding to the questions posed by an enumerator could serve as a source of error, although the question wording was extensively tested in several experimental studies prior to the census. The mail respondent may overlook or misunderstand a question, or answer a question in a way that cannot be interpreted correctly by the data capture system. Also, the enumerator may:

misinterpret or otherwise incorrectly record information given by a respondent, fail to collect some of the information for a person or household, or collect data for households that were not designated as part of the sample. Enumerators were monitored carefully to minimize these types of field enumeration problems. Field staff was prepared for their tasks by using standardized training packages that included hands-on experience with census materials. A sample of the households interviewed by each enumerator was reinterviewed to control for the possibility of fabricated data being submitted by enumerators.

Processing error. The many phases involved in processing the census data represent potential sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the census questionnaires completed by enumerators included field review by the crew leader, check-in, and transmittal of completed questionnaires. No field reviews were done on the mail return questionnaires. Error may also be introduced by the misinterpretation of data by the data capture system, or the failure to capture all the information that the respondents or enumerators provided on the forms. Write-in entries go through coding operations, which may also be a source of processing error in the data. Many of the various field, coding, and computer operations undergo a number of quality control checks to help ensure their accurate application.

Reduction of Nonsampling Error

A number of techniques were implemented during the census planning and development stages to reduce various types of nonsampling errors. Quality assurance methods were used throughout the data collection and processing phases of the census to improve the quality of the data. In addition, the Census Bureau implemented a reinterview program to minimize errors in the data-collection phase for enumerator-filled questionnaires.

Several initiatives were implemented during Census 2000 to minimize the undercoverage of population and housing units and to reduce costs. These programs were developed based on experience from the 1990 decennial census and results from the Census 2000 testing cycle. They include:

- Be Counted questionnaires – unaddressed forms requesting all short form data and a few additional items – were available in public locations for people who believed they were not otherwise counted.
- An introductory letter was sent to all Mailout/Mailback addresses and many addresses in Update/Leave areas prior to the mailing of the census form. A reminder postcard was also sent to these addresses.
- Forms in Spanish and other languages were mailed to those who requested them by returning the introductory letter.
- A well-publicized, toll-free telephone number was available to answer questions about the census forms. Responses from people in households who received a short form could be taken over the telephone.
- Under the Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) program, local officials had the opportunity to address specific concerns about the accuracy and completeness of the Master Address File before mailings began.

Resolving Multiple Responses

There were multiple modes of response for Census 2000. Because there were various ways people could initiate their enumeration in the census, and the fact that some people would be enumerated during field followup, it was very likely that some people could be enumerated more than once. A special computer process was implemented to control this type of nonsampling error by resolving situations where more than one form was received from a particular housing unit, as designated by its identification (ID). The process consisted of several steps. IDs that had more

than one viable return were analyzed. Within each of these IDs, the person records on each return were compared with person records on the other return(s). People included on two or more different returns were marked as such, and only one of the person records was used in the creation of the household roster.

EDITING OF UNACCEPTABLE DATA

The objective of the processing operation was to produce a set of data that describes the population as accurately and clearly as possible. In a major change from past practice, the information on Census 2000 questionnaires generally was not edited for consistency, completeness, or acceptability during field data collection or data capture operations. Census crew leaders and local office clerks reviewed enumerator-filled questionnaires for adherence to specified procedures. Mail return questionnaires were not subjected to clerical review and households were not contacted, as in previous censuses, to collect data that were missing from census returns.

Most census questionnaires received by mail from respondents as well as those filled by enumerators were processed through a new contractor-built image scanning system that used optical mark and character recognition to convert the responses into computer files. The optical character recognition, or OCR, process used several pattern and context checks to estimate accuracy thresholds for each write-in field. The system also used “soft edits” on most interpreted numeric write-in responses to decide whether the field values read by the machine interpretation were acceptable. If the value read had a lower than acceptable accuracy threshold or was outside the soft edit range, the image of the item was displayed to a keyer who then entered the response.

To control the possible creation of erroneous people from questionnaires containing stray marks or completed incorrectly, the data capture system included an edit for the number of people indicated on each mail return and enumerator-filled questionnaire. If the edit failed, the questionnaire image was reviewed at a workstation by an operator. The operator identified erroneous person records and corrected OCR interpretation errors in the population count field.

At Census Bureau headquarters, the mail response data records were subjected to a computer edit that identified households exhibiting a possible coverage problem and those with more than six household members – the maximum number of people who could be enumerated on a mail questionnaire. Attempts were made to contact these households on the telephone to correct the count inconsistency and to collect census data for those people for whom there was no room on the questionnaire.

Incomplete or inconsistent information on the questionnaire data records was assigned acceptable values using *imputation* procedures during the final automated edit of the collected data. As in previous censuses, the general procedure for changing unacceptable entries was to assign an entry for a person that was consistent with entries for people with similar characteristics. Assigning acceptable codes in place of blanks or unacceptable entries enhances the usefulness of the data.

Another way in which corrections were made during the computer editing process was *substitution*. Substitution assigned a full set of characteristics for people in a household. If there was an indication that a household was occupied by a specified number of people but the questionnaire contained no information for people within the household, or the occupants were not listed on the questionnaire, the Census Bureau selected a previously accepted household of the same size and substituted its full set of characteristics for this household.

Table A. Unadjusted Standard Error for Estimated Totals
 [Based on a 1-in-6 simple random sample]

Estimated total ¹	Size of publication area ²													
	500	1,000	2,500	5,000	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	250,000	500,000	1,000,000	5,000,000	10,000,000	25,000,000
50	15	15	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
100	20	21	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
250	25	31	34	34	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
500	-	35	45	47	49	49	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
1,000	-	-	55	63	67	69	70	70	71	71	71	71	71	71
2,500	-	-	-	79	97	106	109	110	111	112	112	112	112	112
5,000	-	-	-	-	112	141	150	154	157	157	158	158	158	158
10,000	-	-	-	-	-	173	200	212	219	221	222	223	223	224
15,000	-	-	-	-	-	173	229	252	266	270	272	273	274	274
25,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	250	306	335	345	349	353	353	353
75,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	306	512	565	589	608	610	611
100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	548	632	671	700	704	706
250,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	791	968	1090	1104	1112
500,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1118	1500	1541	1565
1,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2000	2121	2191
5,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3536	4472
10,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5477

¹For estimated totals larger than 10,000,000, the standard error is somewhat larger than the table values. Use the formula given below to calculate the standard error.

$$SE(\hat{Y}) = \sqrt{5\hat{Y}\left(1 - \frac{\hat{Y}}{N}\right)}$$

N = Size of publication area

\hat{Y} = Estimate of characteristic total

The 5 in the above equation is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one, i.e., $5 = 6 - 1$.

²The total count of people, housing units, households, or families in the area if the estimated total is a person, housing unit, household, or family characteristic, respectively.

Table B. Unadjusted Standard Error in Percentage Points for Estimated Percentages
 [Based on a 1-in-6 simple random sample]

Estimated percentage	Base of estimated percentage ¹													
	500	750	1,000	1,500	2,500	5,000	7,500	10,000	25,000	50,000	100,000	250,000	500,000	
2 or 98	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
5 or 95	2.2	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
10 or 90	3.0	2.4	2.1	1.7	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
15 or 85	3.6	2.9	2.5	2.1	1.6	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
20 or 80	4.0	3.3	2.8	2.3	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
25 or 75	4.3	3.5	3.1	2.5	1.9	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
30 or 70	4.6	3.7	3.2	2.6	2.0	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1
35 or 65	4.8	3.9	3.4	2.8	2.1	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
50	5.0	4.1	3.5	2.9	2.2	1.6	1.3	1.1	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2

¹For a percentage and/or base of percentage not shown in the table, use the formula given below to calculate the standard error. Use this table only for proportions; that is, where the numerator is a subset of the denominator.

$$SE(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{5}{B}\right)\hat{p}(100 - \hat{p})}$$

B = Base of estimated percentage

\hat{p} = Estimated percentage

The 5 in the above equation is based on a 1-in-6 sample and is derived from the inverse of the sampling rate minus one, i.e., $5 = 6 - 1$.

Table C. Standard Error Design Factors—Connecticut

[Percent of people or housing units in sample]

Characteristic	Less than 15 percent	15 percent to less than 25 percent	25 percent to less than 35 percent	35 percent or more
POPULATION				
Age	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.5
Sex.....	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Race	2.1	1.7	1.0	0.7
Hispanic or Latino	2.1	1.7	1.1	0.8
Marital status.....	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.4
Household type and relationship	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.4
Disabled and employment disability	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.5
Ancestry.....	1.8	1.5	1.4	0.7
Place of birth	1.5	1.2	1.2	0.6
Citizenship status	1.6	1.3	1.2	0.6
Residence in 1995	1.9	1.7	1.6	0.8
Year of entry	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.5
Language spoken at home and ability to speak English	1.5	1.2	1.3	0.5
Educational attainment.....	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.5
School enrollment	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.5
Type of residence (urban/rural).....	1.4	1.2	1.4	0.7
Household type	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.4
Family type.....	2.1	1.8	1.7	0.8
Subfamily type and presence of children.....	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.5
Grandparent status and responsibility for grandchild.....	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.6
Employment status.....	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.4
Industry	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.5
Occupation.....	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.5
Class of worker	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.5
Usual hours worked per week and weeks worked in 1999	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.5
Number of workers in family in 1999	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.5
Place of work	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.5
Means of transportation to work	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.5
Travel time to work.....	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.5
Time leaving home to go to work.....	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.5
Private vehicle occupancy.....	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.5
Type of income in 1999.....	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.5
Household income in 1999.....	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.5
Family income in 1999	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.5
Poverty status in 1999 (persons).....	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.6
Poverty status in 1999 (families)	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.5
Military service and veteran status	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5
HOUSING				
Age of householder	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Race of householder.....	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.5
Hispanic or Latino householder.....	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.5
Type of residence (urban/rural).....	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4
Units in structure	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.5
Tenure	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.5
Occupancy status.....	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.4
Value.....	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Gross rent	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.5
Household income in 1999.....	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Year structure built.....	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.4
Rooms, bedrooms	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Kitchen facilities	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.4
Plumbing facilities	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.4
House heating fuel.....	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.5
Telephone service available.....	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.5
Vehicles available	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Year householder moved into unit.....	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.5
Mortgage status and monthly mortgage costs.....	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Mortgage status and selected monthly owner costs	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5
Gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999.....	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.5
Household income in 1999 by selected monthly owner costs as a percentage of income in 1999	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5

Connecticut: 2000 **2000 Census of Population and Housing**
Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics