If you have issues viewing or accessing this file, please contact us at NCJRS.gov.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics



School Crime

A National Crime Victimization Survey Report

3-645

Bureau of Justice Statistics reports

(Revised October 1991)

Call toll-free 800-732-3277 (local 301-251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists. or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850.

BJS maintains the following mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports (new) ٥
- 0 Drugs and crime data (new) 0
- Justice spending & employment White-collar crime
- National Crime Survey (annual) ٥
- ۰ Corrections (annual)
- 0 Courts (annual)
- 0 Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy
- Federal statistics (annual)
- BJS bulletins and special reports
- (approximately twice a month) Sourcebook of Criminal Justice 0 Statistics (annual)

Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (toll-free 1-800-999-0960).

National Crime Victimization Survey

- The Nation's two crime measures: Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705, 4/90 Criminal victimization in the U.S.:
- 1973-88 trends, NCJ-129392, 7/91 1989 (final), NCJ-129391, 6/91 1988 (final), NCJ-122024, 10/90
- BJS special reports Handguri crime victims, NC.I-123559, 7/90 Black victims, NCJ-122562, 4/90

Hispanic victims, NCJ-120507, 1/90 The redesigned National Crime Survey: Selected new data, NCJ-114746, 1/89 selected new data, NCJ-114/46, 1/89 Motor vehicle theft, NCJ-10978, 3/88 Elderly victims, NCJ-107676, 11/87 Violent crime trends, NCJ-107217, 11/87 Robbery victims, NCJ-104638, 4/87 Violent crime by strangers and non-strangers, NCJ-103702, 1/87 Preventing domestic violaced acainst

- Preventing domestic violence against women, NCJ-102037, 8/86 Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438,
- 3/86 The use of weapons in committing crimes,

NCJ-99643, 1/86

- Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432. 12/85
- The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84
- BJS bulletins

Criminal victimization 1990, NCJ-130234, 10/91 Crime and the Nation's households, 1990,

NCJ-130302, 8/91 The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/85

Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/85 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81 BJS technical reports

- New directions for the NCS, NCJ-115571. 3/89 Series crimes: Report of a field test,
- NCJ 104615, 4/87

School crime, NCJ-131645, 9/91 Teenage victims of crime, NCJ-128129, 5/91 Female victims of violent crime, NCJ-127187, 1/91

Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ-111457, 3/89

- The seasonality of crime victimization, NCJ-111033, 6/88
- Crime and older Americans Information package, NCJ-104569, 5/87, \$10 Victimization and fear of crime: World
- perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85, \$9.15
- The National Crime Survey: Working papers, Current and historical perspectives, vol. I,
- NCJ-75374, 8/82 Methodology studies, vol. II, NCJ-90307, 12/84

Corrections

- BJS bulletins and special reports Capital punishment 1990, NCJ-131648, 9/91 Prisoners in 1990, NCJ-129198, 5/91 Women in prison, NCJ-127991, 4/91
- Violent State prison inmates and their victims, NCJ-124133, 7/90 Prison rule violators, NCJ-120344, 12/89 Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983, Violatora prisoners released in 1983,
- NCJ-116261, 4/89
- Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1986, NCJ-111940, 7/88
- Time served in prison and on parole, 1984, NCJ-108544, 12/87
- Profile of State prison inmates, 1986, NCJ-109926, 1/88 Imprisonment in four countries.
- NCJ-103967, 2/87
- Population density in State prisons, NCJ-103204, 12/86 State and Federal prisoners, 1925-85,
- NCJ-102494, 11/86 Prison admissions and releases, 1983,
- NCJ-100582, 3/86 The prevalence of imprisonment.
- NCJ-93657, 7/85

Prisoners at midyear 1991 (press release), 10/91

- Correctional populations in the United States: 1989, NCJ-1304 5, 10/91 1988, NCJ-124280, 3/91 Race of prisoners admitted to State and
- Federal institutions, 1926-86, NCJ-125618, 6/91
- National corrections reporting program, 1985, NCJ-123522, 12/90 Historical statistics on prisoners in State and
- Federal institutions, yearend 1925-86, NCJ-111098, 6/88
- 1984 census of State adult correctional facilities, NCJ-105585, 7/87
- Census of jails and survey of jail inmates BJS bulletins and special reports Drugs and jail inmates, NCJ-130836, 8/91 Jail inmates, 1990, NCJ-129756, 6/91
 - Profile of jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-129097, 4/91
 - Jail inmates, 1989, NCJ-123264, 6/90 Population density in local jails, 1988, NCJ-122299, 3/90
 - Census of local jails, 1988 (BJS bulletin), NCJ-121101, 2/90 Jail inmates, 1987, NCJ-114319, 12/88
 - Drunk driving, NCJ-109945, 2/88 Jail Inmates, 1986, NCJ-107123, 10/87
- Census of local jails 1988:
- Summary and methodology, vol. I, NCJ-127992, 3/91
- Data for individual jails in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. II-V, NCJ-130759-130762, 9/91
- NGJ-130739-130762-1301 Census of local jalls, 1983: Data for individual jalls, Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. I-IV, NCJ-112796, 11/88 Selected findings, methodology, summary tables, vol. V, NCJ-112796, 11/88
- Parole and probation
- **B**IS bulletins
- Probation and parole: 1989, NCJ-125833, 11/90 1988, NCJ-119970, 11/89
- BJS special reports
- Recidivism of young parolees, NCJ-104916, 5/87

Children in custody

Census of public and private juvenile detention, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ-114065, 6/89 Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (special report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

Law enforcement management

BJS bulletins and special reports Police departments in large citles, 1987, NCJ-119220, 8/89

Drugs & crime data

NCJ-122582. 5/90

NCJ-122715, 4/90

Computer crime

NCJ-100461, 4/86

\$11 50

10/91

คลื่อ

General

7/91

Electronic fund transfer: fraud, NCJ-96666, 3/85

and crime, NCJ-92650, 2/84

Federal justice statistics

1988, NCJ-130474, 10/91 1986, NCJ-125617, 1/91 1985, NCJ-123560, 8/90

Electronic fund transfer systems fraud,

Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81,

Compendium of Federal justice statistics:

Federal criminal case processing, 1980-89,

The Federal civil justice system (BJS

bulletin), NCJ-104769, 8/87

Federal offenses and offenders

BJS bulletins and special reports

NCJ-118798, 9/89

BJS national update: Oct. '91, NCJ-131778, 10/91 July '91, NCJ-129863, 7/91

NCJ-130580, 9/91

NCJ-127855, 3/91

5/90

4/89

10/85

with preliminary data for 1990, NCJ-130526,

BJS special reports Immigration offenses, NCJ-124546, 8/90

Drug law viciators, 1980-86, NCJ-111763,

Pretrial release and detention: The Bail

Reform Act of 1984, NCJ-109929, 2/88 White-collar crime, NCJ-106876, 9/87

BJS telephone contacts, '91, NCJ-130133,

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ-129861, 6/91 Tracking offenders, 1987, NCJ-125315, 10/90 Criminal cases in five States, 1983-86,

International crime rates, NCJ-110776, 5/88

Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1990,

Attorney General's program for improving the Nation's criminal history records and

Nation's criminal instory records and identifying felons who attempt to purchase firearms, NCJ-128131, 3/91 BJS data report, 1989, NCJ-121514, 1/91 Publications of BJS, 1985-89; Microtiche library, PRO30014, 5/90, \$190

Bibliography, TBO030013, 5/90, \$17.50

Publications of BJS, 1971-84: Microfiche library, PRO30012, 10/86, \$203 Bibliography, TBO30012, 10/86, \$17.50

1990 directory of automated criminal justice

information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections; 2, Courts; 3, Law enforcement; 4, Probation

and parole; 5, Prosecution; NCJ-122226-30,

BJS annual report, fiscal 1988, NCJ-115749,

Report to the Nation on crime and justice:

Technical appendix, NCJ-112011, 8/88

Criminal justice microcomputer guide and software catalog, NCJ-112178, 8/88

National survey of crime severity, NCJ-96017,

Second edition, NCJ-105506, 6/88

See order form

on last page

BJS program application kit, fiscal 1991, NCJ-128413, 3/91

Violent crime in the United States,

Federal criminal cases, 1980-87, NCJ-118311, 7/89

BJS special reports

1/90

Drugs and crime facts, 1990, NCJ-128662, 8/91

State drug resources: A national directory,

Drugs and crime facts, 1989, NCJ-121022,

Federal drug data for national policy,

Profile of state and local law enforcement agencies, NCJ-113949, 3/89

Expenditure and employment

- BJS bulletins Justice expenditure and employment: 1988, NCJ-123132, 7/90
- Anti-drug abuse formula grants: Justice variable pass through data, 1988 (BJS technical report), NCJ-120070, 3/90
- Justice expenditure and employment: 1988 (full report), NCJ-125619, 8/91 1985 (full report), NCJ-106356, 8/89
 - Extracts, 1984, 1985, 1986, NCJ-124139, 8/91

Courts

- BJS bulletins
- Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1988, NCJ-127202, 2/91 Felony sentences in State courts, 1988,
- NCJ-126923, 12/90 Criminal defense for the poor, 1986,
- NCJ-112919, 9/88
- State felony courts and felony laws, NCJ-106273, 8/87 The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends
- NCJ-96381, 2/85 Case filings in State courts 1983, NCJ-95111, 10/84
- BJS special reports
- Felony case processing in State courts, 1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90
- Felony case-processing time, NCJ-101985, 8/86
- Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions, NCJ-97681, 6/85
- Felons sentenced to probation in State courts, 1986, NCJ-124944, 11/90
- Felony defendants in large urban counties, 1988, NCJ-122385, 4/90
- Profile of felons convicted in State courts,
- 1986, NCJ-120021, 1/90 Sentencing outcomes in 28 felony courts, NCJ-105743, 8/87

Felony laws of the 50 States and the District of Columbia, 1986, NCJ-105066, 2/88, \$14.60 State court model statistical dictionary:

Compendium of State privacy and security

1989 overview, NCJ-121157, 5/90

1987 overview, NCJ-121107, 9/88 1989 full report (1, 500 pages, microfiche \$2, hard copy \$145), NCJ-121158, 9/90

Criminal justice information policy: Forensic DNA analysis: Issues, NCJ-128567,

Statutes requiring use of criminal history

record information, NCJ-129896, 6/91

BJS/SEARCH conference proceedings: Criminal justice in the 1990's: The future

Juvenile and adult records: One system, one record?, NCJ-114947, 1/90 Open vs. confidential records,

Survey of criminal history information systems, NCJ-125620, 3/91

Original records of entry, NCJ-125626,

of information management,

Strategies for improving data quality,

Juvenile records and recordkeeping

systems, NCJ-112815, 11/88 Automated fingerprint identification

Public access to criminal history record information, NCJ-111458, 11/88

Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850.

systems: Technology and policy issues,

NCJ-121697, 5/90

NCJ-113560, 1/88

NCJ-115339, 5/89

NCJ-104342, 4/87

12/86

The prosecution of felony arrests: 1987, NCJ-124140, 9/90

Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85 1st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

Privacy and security

legislation

6/91

12/90

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics



131645

School Crime A National Crime Victimization Survey Report

By Lisa D. Bastian and Bruce M. Taylor, Ph.D. *BJS Statisticians*

September 1991, NCJ-131645

131645

J.S. Department of Justice National Institute of Justice

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the National Institute of Justice.

Permission to reproduce this constituent material has been granted by

Public Domain/QJP/BJS

U.S. Department of Justice

to the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS).

Further reproduction outside of the NCJRS system requires permission of the second owner.

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics

Steven D. Dillingham, Ph.D. Director

Acknowledgments. This report was written by Lisa D. Bastian and Bruce M. Taylor of the Bureau of Justice Statistics. Thomas Hester edited the report. Lawrence A. Greenfeld provided initial editorial and statistical guidance. Marilyn Marbrook administered its publication, assisted by Jayne Pugh, Tina Dorsey, and Yvonne Boston. BJS reports are produced under the direction of Michael W. Agopian, Deputy Director for Data Analysis.

The Assistant Attorney General is responsible for matters of administration and management with respect to the Office of Justice Program agencies: Bureau of Justice Statistics, Bureau of Justice Assistance, National Institute of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and Office for Victims of Crime. The Assistant Attorney General further establishes policies and priorities consistent with the statutory purposes of the OJP agencies and the priorities of the Department of Justice.

Data utilized in this report are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan, 1-800-999-0950. The data set is archived as the NCVS School Crime Supplement (ICPSR 9394).

The prevalence of crime in our Nation's schools concerns us all. In addition to the costs to the victims and their families, crimes at school disrupt education and may have longer lasting effects on society than crime committed elsewhere. Any consideration of a response to crime at school must begin with an accurate, national accounting of the extent of such crime and a description of its likely victims.

This report summarizes the responses collected by the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) in a special supplement during the first half of 1989. The data represent an estimated 21.6 million students, ages 12 to 19. As do other NCVS reports, this analysis accounts for crime experienced by different groups males and females; blacks and whites; Hispanics and non-Hispanics; central city, suburban, and rural residents. It also accounts for selected characteristics of schools and students: public and private, grade levels, and security measures.

This study asked students for their perceptions regarding such crime issues as the following: How difficult were drugs or alcohol to obtain at school? How prevalent were street gangs in school? How fearful were students of being attacked at school?

The questionnaire used for the supplement, reprinted on pages 15 to 18, also gathered information on the school environment, victimization, and the efforts to avoid becoming a victim.

This report provides an excellent overview of crime concerns that the students reported. We encourage other researchers to explore the data further. The computerized files are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data at the University of Michigan.

Steven D. Dillingham, Ph.D. Director

Table of contents

Introduction	1	Tables		17. Students fearing an attack or avoid- ing areas inside or outside of school, by
Victimization	1	1. Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by personal		gang presence at school 8
Profile of student characteristics	2	and family characteristics	1	18. Students fearing attack, by location and whether victimized by violent crime
Profile of school characteristics	3	2. Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by selected		during previous 6 months 9
Drugs and alcohol in school	3	school characteristics	2	 Students avoiding places at school out of fear, by victimization during
Drugs	3	 Availability of drugs or alcohol at school, by type of drug 	3	previous 6 months 9
Drug prevention	5	4. Availability of drugs, by selected		20. Students avoiding places inside or outside school out of fear of crime 9
Drug education classes		student characteristics	4	21. Students avoiding places at school
	6	5. Availability of drugs, by selected school characteristics	4	out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by selected student characteristics 10
Alcohol	6	6. Victimization of students, by avail-		22. Students avoiding places out of fear,
Gangs	8	ability of alcohol or drugs at school	5	or ever fearing an attack, by location, race, and Hispanic origin 11
Fear of crime at school	9	7. Students ever fearing an attack, by availability of drugs at school	e .	
Objects for self-protection	12		5	23. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by school characteristics 11
School security measures	12	 Drug prevention measures, by availability of drugs at school 	5	
Methodology	14	 Types of drug prevention measure taken at schools 	s 5	24. Students ever fearing crime or avoid- ing areas outside school, by mode of transportation to and from school 12
Questionnaire	15	10. Attendance at drug education cla during the previous 6 months, by select student and school characteristics		25. Students reporting that they had taken something to school to protect themselves 12
		11. Availability of alcohol at school, by selected student characteristics	7	26. Security measures taken at school, by selected student characteristics 12
		12. Availability of alcohol, by selected school characteristics	3 7	27. Victimization of students at school,by school security measures13

28. Students ever fearing attackat school, by school securitymeasures13

29. Disciplinary actions that students reported, by infractions 13

16. Students reporting gang presence at school, by selected student

15. Victimization of students, by gang

13. Students ever fearing an attack,

14. Students reporting gangs at school

7

8

8

8

by availability of alcohol at school

characteristics

presence at school

and attacks on teachers

Introduction

An estimated 9% of students, ages 12 to 19, were crime victims in or around their school over a 6-month period: 2% reported experiencing one or more violent crimes and 7% reported at least one property crime. Violent crime is largely composed of simple assaults. These crimes involve attacks without weapons

Table 1. Students reporting at least one victimization at school,

and may result in minor injury, such as cuts or bruises. Violent crimes can also include aggravated assaults, robberles, and rapes.

Fifteen percent of the students said their school had gangs, and 16% claimed that a student had attacked or threatened a teacher at their school.

Among public school students 9% reported drugs as impossible to obtain at school; among private school students, 36% reported drugs to be impossible to obtain at school.

These findings are based on a nationally representative sample survey of more than 10,000 youth who were interviewed from January through June of 1989 and who attended school at any time during the 6 months before the interview.¹ The School Crime Supplement (SĆS) was conducted as an enhancement of the National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS), an ongoing household survey that gathers information on the victimization of household members age 12 or older. The survey asks only about crimes that have occurred during the 6 months before the interview.

Other findings from the SCS include the following:

Victimization

• Nine percent of both male and female students had experienced a victimization at school (table 1).

• Students of different races experienced about the same amount of violent or property victimization in and around their schools. However, Hispanic students were less likely than non-Hispanics to have sustained a property crime.

• For crimes at school, the students older than age 17 were generally less likely to be victims than were younger students.

¹SCS responses were collected only from those respondents attending a primary or secondary school which advanced them toward a high school diploma.

Student	Total number		of students victimizatio	s on at school		
charecteristic	ofstudents	Total	Violent	Property		
Sex						
Male	11,166,316	9%	2%	7%		
Female	10,387,776	9	2	8		
Race						
White	17,306,626	9%	2%	7%		
Black	3,449,488	8	2	7		
Other	797,978	10	2.	8		
Hispanic origin						
Hispanic	2,026,968	7%	3%	5%		
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	9	2	8		
Not ascertained	74,428	3"	,	3*		
Age						
12	3,220,891	9%	2%	7%		
13	3,318,714	10	2	8		
14	3,264,574	11	2	9		
15	3,214,109	9	3	7		
16	3,275,002	. 9	2	7		
17	3,273,628	8	1	7		
18	1,755,825	5	1.	4		
19	231,348	2*		2*		
Number of times family						
moved in last 5 years						
None	18,905,538	8%	2%	7%		
Once	845,345	9	2*	7		
Twice	610,312	13	3"	11		
3 or more	1,141,555	15	6 .	9		
Not ascertained	51,343	5*	5*	_		
Family Income						
Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	8%	2%	6%		
\$7,500-\$9,999	791,086	4	1*	3		
\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	9	3	7		
\$15,000-\$24,999	3,772,445	8	1	8		
		8	2	7		
\$25,000 - \$29,999	1,845,313		2	•		
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,798,448	10		8		
\$50,000 and over Not ascertained	3,498,382 1,983,849	11 7	2	9 · 5		
Diana of workships						
Place of residence	E 040 004	4 004	0.01	60/		
Central city	5,816,321	10%	2%	8%		
Suburbs	10,089,207	9	2	7		
Nonmetropolitan area	5,648,564	8	1	7.		
*Estimate is based on 10	or fewer sample	cases; see .	Methodolog	<i><i>уу</i>.</i>		
Less than 0.5%.						

• Students living in families that had moved three or more times in the preceding 5 years were nearly twice as likely to have experienced a criminal victimization as students who had moved no more than once. The frequent movers were 3 times more likely to suffer a violent victimization than students who had moved less often.

Table 2. Students reporting at least one victimization at school, by selected school characteristics

School	Total number		of students victimization			
characteristic	ofstudents	Total	Violent	Property	·	
Type of school						
Public	19,264,643	9%	2%	8%		
Private	1,873,077	7	. 1*	6		
Notascertained	416,372	6	3*	4*		
Grade in school						
6th	1,817,511	10%	3%	8%		
7th	3,170,126	-9	2	8		
8th	3,258,506	9	2	8		
9th	3,390,701	11	3	9		
10th	3,082,441	9	2	7		
11th	3,223,624	8	2	7		
12th	3,171,810	6	1	5		
Other	439,364	5	3*	3*		

*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer cases; see *Methodology*.

Profile of student characteristics

Student	Students r in SCS sur	epresented vey	Student	Students represented in SCS survey			
characteristic	Number	Percent	characteristic	Number	Percent		
Sex			Number of times				
Male	11,166,316	52%	family moved				
Female	10,387,776	48	in last 5 years				
	• •		None	18,905,538	88%		
Race			Once	845,345	4		
White	17,306,626	80%	Twice	610,312	3		
Black	3,449,488	16	Three or more	1,141,555	5		
Other	797,978	4	Not ascertained	51,343	• ••		
Hispanic origin			Family income				
Hispanic	2,026,968	9%	Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	9%		
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	90	\$7.500-\$9.999	791,086	4		
Notascertained	74,428		\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	8		
			\$15,000-\$24,999	3,772,445	18		
Age			\$25,000-\$29,999	1,845,313	9		
12	3,220,891	15%	\$30,000-\$49,999	5,798,448	27		
13	3,318,714	15	\$50,000 and over	3,498,382	16		
14	3,264,574	15	Notascertained	1,983,849	9		
15	3,214,109	15					
16	3,275,002	15	Place of residence				
17	3,273,628	15	Central city	5,816,321	27%		
18	1,755,825	8	Suburbs	10,029,207	47		
19	231,348	1	Nonmetropolitan area	5,648,564	26		
			Number of students	21,554,092			

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding.

--Less than 0.5%.

• Victimization by violent crime at school had no consistent relation to income levels of the victims' families. For property crime, however, students in families with annual incomes of \$50,000 or more were more likely to be victimized than were students whose families earned less than \$10,000 a year.

• Public school students (9%) were more likely to be crime victims than private school students (7%) (table 2).

• High school seniors were the least likely students to be crime victims. Ninth grade students were more likely to be crime victims than were students in all higher grades.

• An estimated 21.6 million youth ages 12 to 19 were enrolled in the Nation's public and private schools between January and June, 1989. About 52% of these students were male, and 48% were female. Approximately 80% were white; 16%, black; and 4%, from other racial groups. ("Other race" includes Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Native Americans.) About 9% of students indicated they were Hispanic.

• Households of 88% of students had not moved for at least 5 years before the interview.

• Approximately 13% of students were in families that earned less than \$10,000 annually, and 16% were in families earning at least \$50,000.

• About 27% of students lived in central cities, 47% in suburbs, and 26% in nonmetropolitan areas. These residential areas are based on Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) classifications. Suburbs are inside an MSA, but not in a central area, and nonmetropolitan areas are all outside MSA's.

Percent of students reporting that Drugs									
or alcoho! at school	Total	Easy	Hard	Impossible	Not known	Drug not known			
Alcohol	100%	31%	31%	16%	22%	1%			
Marijuana	100	30	27	16	25	1			
Cocaine	100	11	33	25	31	1			
Crack	100	9	29	28	32	2			
Uppers/downers	100	20	26	17	31	5			
Other drugs	100	14	27	19	37	3			

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. The total number of students represented was 21,554,092.

Profile of school characteristics

	Students re	presented
School	in SCS sur	/ey
characteristic	Number	Percent
Type of school	10 001 010	
Public	19,264,643	89%
Private	1,873,077	9
Not accertained	416,372	2
Grade in school		
6th	1,817,511	8%
7th	3,170,126	15
8th	3,258,506	15
9th	3,390,701	16
10th	3,082,441	14
11th	3,223,624	15
12th	3,171,819	15
Other	439,364	2
Students' reports of sc	thool	
security measures		
Students cannot leave	a	
grounds atlunch	16,159,308	75%
Student spends day	1011001000	
in same classroom	1,745,291	8
Teachers monitor hall		-
at class changes*	14.034.906	. 71
Hall patrols present		
during day	13,937,237	65
Visitors required to		
report to office	19,707,748	91
Safe to store		
valuables in locker	9,329,368	43
Number of students	21,554,092	
112,1120, 01 010000110	- 100-100E	

• Eighty-nine percent of students attended public schools, while 9% were in private schools. The remaining 2% did not provide information on the nature of support for their schools.

• The number of students was evenly distributed among grades from 7th to 12th, approximately 15% for each grade. Only 8% of the students were sixth graders because many students in that grade were younger than age 12, the minimum for NCVS respondents.

• Of the school security practices measured by the SCS, the most common was requiring visitors to report to the school office (91%), followed by restricting students to school grounds during lunch (75%), placing teachers in halls during class changes (71%), and patrolling the halls at other times (65%).

• Slightly more than 4 of 10 students believed that valuables were safe in their lockers.

Drugs and alcohol in school

Drugs

• In the first half of 1989 about 30% of the students interviewed believed that marijuana was easy to obtain at school (table 3). In comparison, 9% said crack was easy to obtain, and 11% claimed cocaine to be readily available.

• Overall, students most frequently reported that drugs of any type were hard or impossible to obtain at school. Approximately 58% of students said cocaine and crack were hard or impossible to obtain at school.

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. *Students who did not change classes were excluded.

	Total	Pei	centofstude	nts reporting		
Student characteristic	number ofst <u>udents</u>	Total	Available	Not available	Notknown ifavailable	
Sex						
Male	10,593,314	100%	69%	12%	19%	
Female	9,776,470	100	66	11	22	
Race						
White	16,417,105	100%	69%	11%	20%	
Black	3,223,708	100	67	11	22	
Other	728,971	100	58	18	24	
Hispanic origin	· · · · ·					
Hispanic	1,884,679	100%`	64%	12%	24%	
Non-Hispanic	18,410,678	100	68	11	20	
Notascertained	74,428	100	52	31	16	
Age						
12	2,888,982	100%	53%	24%	23%	
13	3,078,909	100	60	19	21	
14	3,055,401	100	64	13	24	
15	3,086,095	100	70	7	23	
16	3,168,628	100	76	6	18	
17	3,150,323	100	77	6	17	
18	1,721,111	100	78	6	16	
19	220,336	100	78	5	17	
Family income						
Lessthan \$15,000	4,328,990	100%	67%	13%	20%	
\$15,000-\$29,999	5,291,904	100	68	11	21	
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,551,030	100	68	11	21	
\$50,000 or more	3,321,663	100	70	11	18	
Notascertained	1,876,197	100	66	13	21	
Location of residence						
Central city	5,418,166	100%	66%	13%	21%	
Suburbs	9,640,427	100	67	11	22	
Nonmetropolitan area	5,311,191	100	71	11	18	

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. "Available" includes students who said drugs were easy or hard to get at school; "not available" includes those saying drugs were impossible to get at school.

	Total	Pe	rcentofstud	ents reportir	ng drugs		
School characteristic	number of students	Total	Available	Not available	Notknown ifavailable	 	
Type of school							
Public	18,215,207	100%	70%	9%	21%		
Private	1,747,408	100	52	36	13		
Not known	407,170	100	66	8	26		
Grade level							
6th	1,627,384	100%	50%	26%	24%		
7th	2,918,290	100	61	17	22		
8th	3,034,895	100	60	20	21		
9th	3,236,182	100	69	7.	24		
10th	2,966,953	100	73	7	20		
11th	3,104,712	100	79	5	16		
12th	3,105,428	100	78	6	16		
Other	375,940	100	44	26	30		
Gangs							
Present	3,155,169	100%	78%	6%	16%		
Not present	16,065,729	100	66	13	20		
Not known	1,148,887	100	62	3	34		

÷ ...

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

• A larger proportion of males than of females know whether drugs were available in school (table 4). Among those students who knew whether someone could obtain drugs at their school, the same proportions of males and females reported that drugs were available.

• Although similar proportions of black (67%) and white (69%) students claimed that drugs were available at school, these students were significantly more likely than persons belonging to other racial groups (58%) to say drugs could be obtained.

• Generally, the older the student (from ages 12 to 15), the more likely he or she was to say that drugs were available at school. However, among those who knew whether a person could obtain drugs at their school, students for each age between 15 and 19 were about equally likely (58%) to have said drugs were available.

• Students' reporting of the availability of drugs in school did not vary significantly by ethnicity or levels of family income.

• Among students who knew whether a person could obtain drugs at their school, no measurable differences distinguished reports of drug availability by central city (84%), suburban (86%), and nonmetropolitan area (86%) students. Central city and suburban students were more likely than nonmetropolitan area students to say that they did not know about the availability of drugs.

• Public school students were more likely than private school students to say drugs were available (70% versus 52%) (table 5).

• About half of the sixth graders reported that drugs were available, compared to three-fourths or more of the students in grades 10 to 12.

 Students who said a person would find drugs easy to obtain were generally more likely to have been victims than students who said someone would find drugs either hard or impossible to obtain (table 6).

Table 6. V				
avallability	or alcono	or ar	រពិន នេ	SCHOOL
	Total	Percer		
	number		ents vic	
availability	ofstudents	Total	/iolent_	Property
Alcohol				
Easy	6,637,706	11%	2%	9%
Hard	6,712,646	9	2	7
Impossible	3,407,854	8	2	7
	4,673,642	8	2	6
Marijuana				
Easy	6,568,766	11%	3%	9%
Hard	5,918,567	8	1	7
	3,494,543	8	2	7
Notknown		8	2	.6
Cocaine				
Easy	2,297,249	11%	4%	9%
Hard	7,034,616	10	2	9% 8
Impossible		9	2	7
Notknown		8	2	6
Noticioni	0,000,000	U		Ū
Crack				
Easy	1,862,226	12%	4%	9%
Hard	6,338,322	9	2	7
Impossible	6,018,289	10	2	8
Notknown	6,988,776	8	2	6
Uppere/dow	ners			
Easy	4,399,177	12%	3%	10%
Hard	5,555,802	8	1	7
Impossible		8	2	6
Notknown	6,760,441	8	2	6
Otherdrugs				
Easy	2,992,401	13%	4%	10%
Hard	5,895,744	8	1	
	4,019,868	8	- i .	7
Notknown		8	2	7 7 7 7
Note: The c	ategory "dru	a not kni	own"	
has been ex		g		

by availability of drugs at school Percent of students ever fearing an attack Total Going to Drugs number At and from atschool ofstudents school school Available 13,846,874 25% 16% Notavailable 2,363,931 13 10 Notknown ifavailable 4,158,980 17 12 Note: Cases in which the types of drugs were not known to the respondent were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

Table 7. Students ever fearing an attack,

 Comparing students who said someone could obtain drugs at their school with those in schools where drugs were impossible to obtain, the students in schools with drugs available were twice as likely to fear an attack at school (25% versus 13%) and 1.5 times as likely to fear an attack going to or from school (16% versus 10%) (table 7).

Drug prevention

 Students attending schools in which drugs were available were more likely than students in schools without drugs to indicate that their schools were taking some action to prevent drug use (91% versus 74%) (table 8).

 Nearly a fourth of students in schools where they said drugs were not available reported that their schools had taken no preventative measures.

 Students most frequently said hall patrols were used as a drug prevention measure at school (71%). Other common strategies to prevent drug use included locker searches (46%) and restroom checks (43%) (table 9).

	ig prevention					gs at	801109	I		
			Percent of students reporting school drug prevention measures							
Drugs at school	Total number of students	Total	No action	Some action taken	Not known					
Available Notavailable Notknown	13,846,874 2,363,931	100% 100	9% 24	91% 74	1% 1					
ifavailable	4,158,980	100	11	86	3					

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which the respondent did not know the types of drugs were excluded. See table 4 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

Table 9. Types of drug prevention measures taken at schools							
Drugprevention measures	Percent of students reporting						
Locker searches	46%						
Security guards	25						
Hall patrols	71						
Restroom checks	43						
Other	10						
No action taken	11						

Note: Detail does not total 100% because respondents may have reported more than one measure.

Drug education classes

• White students (40%) were more likely than black students (36%) to have attended drug education classes (table 10).

• Forty-four percent of students in nonmetropolitan areas said that in the previous 6 months they had attended drug education classes at school. These students were more likely than students from suburban areas (40%) to have attended such classes, and central city students (35%) were the least likely to have attended drug education classes. About the same proportions of public and private school students had attended drug education classes, although a larger proportion of those in public schools said that a person could obtain drugs at their school.

• Sixth graders, while the least likely to feel that someone could obtain drugs at their schools, were the most likely to have attended drug education classes (56%). Ninth through twelfth graders were the least likely to have attended drug education classes but claimed, in the largest proportions, that drugs were obtainable at school (see tables 5 and 10). • Students saying drugs were not available in their school were more likely to have attended drug education classes than students in schools where a person could obtain drugs (44% versus 40%).

Alcohol

• Roughly equal proportions of students said that alcohol was easy or hard to obtain at school (31%) (table 3).

 Students who reported that alcohol was easy to obtain were more likely to have been victims of property crimes than students who claimed that alcohol was hard or impossible to obtain (table 6).

Student and school	Total number	Percent of students who had attended drug education classes during the previous 6 months							
characteristic	ofstudents	Total	Yes	No			1		
_									
Sex Male	11,067,277	100%	39%	61%					
Female	10,288,418	100 %	40	60					
Race									
White	17,148,439	100%	40%	60%					
Black	3,416,622	100	36	64					
Other	790,634	100	39	61					
	,			- • .					
Hispanic origin									
Hispanic	2,014,518	100%	38%	62%					
Non-Hispanic	19,268,603	100	40	60					
Not ascertained	72,575	100	45	55					
Location of residence						:			
Central city	5,775,761	100%	35%	65%					
Suburbs	9,979,126	100	40	60					
Nonmetropolitan area	5,600,808	100	44	56					
Type of school									
Public	19,104,156	100%	39%	61%					
Private	1,852,175	100	41	59					
Not known	399,364	100	42	58					
		•							
Grado level									
6th	1,797,134	103%	56%	44%					
7th	3,144,651	100	48	52					
8th	3,213,531	100	47	53					
9th	3,374,698	100	36	64					
10th	3,061,084	100	35	65					
11th	3,188,797	100	33	67					
12th Other	3,154,843 420,956	100	27 43	73 57					
Otter	420,850	100	40	57					
Drug availability									
Available	13,751,166	100%	40%	60%					
Notavallable	2,343,943	100	44	56					
Not known	4,095,275	100	35	65					
Not known Note: Detail may not to								 	

Table 10. Attendance at drug education classes during the previous 6 months,

	Total	Pe	rcent of stude	ents reportin	galcohol		
Student characteristic	number of students	Total	Available	Not available	Notknown if available		
cnaracteristic	orstudents	Total	Available	available	II AVAIIADIO	 ·	
Sex							
Male	11,101,022	100%	63%	16%	20%		
Female	10,330,826	100	61	15	23		
Race							
White	17,212,097	100%	63%	16%	21%		
Black	3,421,773	100	60	15	26		
Other	797,978	100	54	21	25		
U III							
Hispanic origin							
Hispanic	2,007,971	100%	56%	18%	26%		
Non-Hispanic	19,349,450	100	63	16	21		
Not ascertained	74,428	100	46	31	23		
Age							
12	3,191,908	100%	45%	28%	27%		
13	3,292,209	100	54	21	25		
14	3.232.719	100	59	15	25		
15	3,203,049	100	65	11	24		
16	3,270,114	100	70	.11	19		
17	3,262,485	100	74	11	15		
18	1,749,721	100	74	12	14		
19	229.644	100	66	11	24		
19	2201044	100	00	• •	67		
Family income							
Less than \$15,000	4,615,648	100%	58%	18%	24%		
\$15,000-\$29,999	5,594,006	100	63	15	22		
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,774,766	100	64	15	22		
\$50,000 or more	3,486,562	100	65	17	18		
Not ascertained	1,960,866	100	62	16	23		
Location of residence							
Central city	5,770,121	100%	58%	18%	24%		
Suburbs	10,046,860	100	62	15	23		
Nonmetropolitan area		100	67	15	18		

Note: Detail may not total 100% because of rounding. Cases in which alcohol was not known to the respondent were excluded. "Available" includes students who said alcohol was easy or hard to get at school; "not available" includes those saying alcohol was impossible to get at school.

Table 12. Availability of alcohol, by selected school characteristics

	Total	Pe	centofstude	nts reporting	galcohol		,
School characteristic	number of students	Total	Available	Not available	Notknown ifavailable		
Type of school							
Public	19,151,251	100%	03%	14%	23%		
Private	1,866,688	100	52	36	12		
Notknown	413,910	100	61	10	29		
Grade level							
6th	1,803,734	100%	42%	30%	28%		
7th	3,143,322	100	54	20	26		
8th	3,242,654	100	54	22	24		
9th	3,369,959	100	65	10	25		
10th	3,066,172	100	68	12	20		
11th	3,208,228	100	73	10	17		
12th	3,171,819	100	75	12	13		
Other	425,960	100	36	32	32		

Note: Detail may not 短龍 100% because of rounding. Cases in which alcohol was not known

to the respondent were excluded. See table 11 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

Findings on the availability of alcohol in school resemble the findings on drug availability with a few exceptions:

• Non-Hispanics were more likely than Hispanics to report that a person could obtain alcohol at school (63% versus 56%) (table 11).

• Students whose families earned under \$15,000 a year were less likely than students in families with higher incomes to say that a person could obtain alcohol at school.

 Among students who knew whether or not a person could obtain alcohol in their school, suburban (80%) and nonmetropolitan area (82%) students were more likely than urban (76%) students to have claimed that alcohol was available in their schools.

• The higher their grade level, the more likely the students were to report that alcohol was available at their school (table 12).

• Students who reported alcohol to be available at school were more likely to fear attack than students who reported alcohol as being unavailable (table 13).

Table 13. Students ever fearing an attack, by availability of alcohol at school

			f students ng an attac <u>k</u>
Alcohol atschool	Total number of students	At school	Going to and from school
Available Notavailable	13,350,352 3,407,854	24% 17	16% 12
Notknown ifavailable	4,673,642	19	14

Note: Cases in which alcohol was not known to the respondent were excluded. See table 11 for definitions of "available" and "not available."

Gangs

• Seventy-nine percent of students said no gangs existed at their schools; 15% reported gangs, while another 5% were not sure whether gangs existed at their schools (table 14).

	Total number of students	Percent of students reporting
reet gangs at school		
Present	3,300,826	15%
Notpresent	17,041,519	79
Notknown or not	1	_
ascertained	1,211,747	5
quency of fights ween gang member	-1	
Never Never	1,678,041	37%
Once or twice a year	843,607	19
One or twice a month	743,649	16
Once or twice a week	337,868	7
Almostevery day	219,516	5
Notascertained	689,894	15
acks or threats teachers		
Yes	3,468,631	16%
10	15,639,976	73
lotknown or not		
ascertained	2,445,485	11
te: Percentage distr % because of round cludes cases in white t there were no gan	ling. ch the student i	
able 15. Victimizat / gang presence a		nts,
Total	Percent of st	
number	reporting vic	timization
	s Total Violer	

8

8

2

• Of those students who said there were or could be gangs at their school, 37% reported that the gang members never fought at school. An additional 19% claimed that gang members fought once or twice a year, while 12% said that members fought once or twice a week or even every day.

Table 16. Students presence at school student characterist	, by selecte	
Student characteristic	Total number of students	Percentof students re- porting gangs
Sex		
Male Female	11,166,316 10,387,776	16% 15
Race		
White	17,306,626	14%
Black	3,449,488	20
Other	797,978	25
Hispanic origin		
Hispanic	2,026,968	32%
Non-Hispanic	\$9,452,697	14
Notascertained	74,428	12 *
Age		
12	3,220,891	12%
13	3,318,714	15
14	3,264,574	18
15	3,214,109	16
16	3,275,002	16
17	3,273,628	15
18 19	1,755,825	14
19	231,348	17
Family income		
Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	17%
\$7,500-\$9,999	791,086	21
\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	21
\$15,000-\$24,999 \$25,000-\$29,999	3,772,445 1,845,313	18 16
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,798,448	13
\$50,000 and over	3,498,382	11
Not ascertained	1,983,849	16
Diana - Caraldan		
Place of residence Central city	5,816,321	25%
Suburbs	10,089,207	14
Nonmetropolitan area		8
*Estimate is based on see <i>Methodology</i> .	10 or fewer c	8565;

	udents fearing utside of scho		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			Percen	ofstudents				
	Total	Ever fear	ing an attack					
	number		Going to and	Avoidin	gareas			
Gangs	ofstudents	Atschool	from school	Inside school	Outside school	·	<u> </u>	
Present	3,300,826	35%	24%	13%	8%			
Notpresent	17,041,519	18	12	3	2			
Notknown	1,211,747	34	31	8	4			

 Sixteen percent of respondents claimed that a student had attacked or threatened a teacher at their school in the 6 months before the interview. Nearly three-fourths said no attacks or threats against teachers had occurred at their schools, and an additional 11% did not know.

• Among all students, the 15% who reported the presence of gangs were more likely than students from schools without gangs to be victims of some type of crime (12% versus 8%) (table 15).

• A higher percentage of black students (20%) than white students (14%) said their school had gangs (table 16). A relatively high proportion of Hispanics (32%), compared to non-Hispanics (14%), attended schools with gangs.

• Overall, about the same percentage of students of different ages reported gangs at school, except that the schools of students age 12 were somewhat less likely to have gangs than the schools of students ages 14 to 17.

• Students in households with an income below \$30,000 a year were more likely to attend a school that had gangs than were students in families with higher annual incomes.

• Students in central cities were the most likely to report gangs at their schools (25%); nonmetropolitan area students the least (8%).

• Students at schools with gangs were about twice as likely as students from schools without gangs to be afraid of attack, both at school and on the way to or from school (table 17).

• Students at schools with gangs were more likely to avoid areas inside the school, such as restrooms or hallways, than areas outside the school building.

• Seventy-eight percent of students at schools with gangs reported that a person could obtain drugs at school, compared to 66% of students at schools without gangs (table 5).

Notpresent 17,041,519

1,211,747

Notknown

Fear of crime at school

 Victims of violent crimes were about 3 times as likely as nonvictims to report they were afraid of being attacked at school (53% versus 19%) (table 18).

The overwhelming majority of students who had not been victimized reported no fear of attack, either at school (81%) or on the way to and from school (87%).

 Seventy-four percent of violent crime victims said that they never feared attack

Table 18. Students fearing attack, by location and whether victimized by violent crime during previous 6 months

Location of feared	Total	Pe	rcentofstu	idents feari	ng an atta	ck i		
attack and whether victim of violent crime	number of students	Total	Never	Almost never	Some- times	Most times	 	
Atschool								
Violent crime								
victims	430,819	100%	47%	28%	18%	7%		
Nonvictims	16,672,027	100	81	15	4			
in travel								
to and from school Violent crime								
victims	430,819	100%	74%	15%	8%	3%*		
Nonvictims	16,643,909	100	87	10	3			

Note: Percentages may not total 100% because of rounding. The category "not ascertained" has been excluded on each fear variable.

Table 19. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, by victimization during previous 6 months

Total

number

1,927,162

430,819

21,123,273

1,574,354

19,979,738

19,626,931

of students out of fear

10%

6

Type of victimization

Any victimization Yes

at school

No

No

No

Any violent victimization Yes

Any property victimization Yes

*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology. -Less than 0.5%.

g places at imization	Table 20. Students avoiding places inside or outside school out of fear of crime					
Percent of students ever avoiding places at school	Place avoided	Percent of students avoiding places out of fear of crime				
out of fear	Shortcut	1.5%				
	Inside school					
12%	Entrance	1.3%				
5	Hallways	2.1				
and the second second	Cafeteria	1.6				
	Restroom	2.7				
25%	Other places	1.1				
5	Outside school					
1997 - A.	Parking lot	1.3%				
1	Other places	1.7				

on the way to and from school; 47% never feared attack at school.

• Students who had been robbed or assaulted during the previous 6 months were more likely to avoid certain places at school out of fear of attack or harm (25%) than those who had experienced a theft or attempted theft (10%). About 5% of those who had not been victimized reported staying away from places at school to avoid attacks (table 19).

 Six percent of students indicated they avoided some place in or around their school because they thought someone might attack or harm them there (table 20). School restrooms (3%) were most often mentioned as a place students avoided, followed by school hallways (2%).

• About the same percentage of male and female students feared an attack at school and avoided certain places because of that fear (table 21). However, female students expressed more fear of attack going to and from school than did male students. • About the same percentage of black students, white students, and students of other races such as Asians and American Indians reported being afraid of attack at school and avoiding a place out of fear. However, more black students (21%) and students of other races (18%) feared attack going to and from school than did white students (13%).

• Hispanic students were more likely than non-Hispanics to indicate fear of attack both at school and going to and from school. Compared to non-Hispanic students, Hispanics were more likely to have avoided at least one place at school out of fear of being hurt.

• Younger students were more likely than older students to fear attack at school or going to and from school. Also, younger students were more likely to avoid certain places than were older students — 12year-olds being twice as likely to report such action as 18-year-olds.

• Students whose families had moved twice or more during the previous 5 years were more likely to report being afraid of attack at school than were students who had moved less frequently.

• Generally, students from families with low incomes were the most likely to be afraid of attacks at school and to avoid places because of that fear.

• Students in central cities were more likely than suburban students to indicate that they at least occasionally feared attack at school and that they avoided certain places out of fear. Central city students were also more likely to fear attack going to and from school than were either suburban or nonmetropolitan area students.

Table 21. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by selected student characteristics

			ercentofstude		-		
	Total	Avoiding	<u>Ever learin</u>	g an attack			
Student	number	places at		Going to and			
characteristic	ofstudents	school	At school	from school			
-							
Sex	44 400 040	00/	000/	1 40/			
Male	11,166,316	6%	22%	14%			
Female	10,387,776	. 6	21	16			
Race							
White	17,306,626	6%	22%	13%			
Black	3,449,488	7	22	21			
Other	797.978	6	22	18			
		_					
Hispanio origin							
Hispanic	2,026,968	8%	26%	22%			
Non-Hispanic	19,452,697	6	21	14			
Notascertained	74,428	14*	23*	19*			
Age							
12	3,220,891	8%	27%	18%			
13	3,318,714	7	27	17			
13	3,264,574	7	24	15			
15		6	21	13			
	3,214,109		20	14			
16	3,275,002	5					
17	3,273,628	4	17	12			
18	1,755,825	4	13	10			
19	231,348	8*	20	15			
Number of times							
family moved							
in last 5 years							
None	18,905,538	6%	21%	15%			
Once	845.345	5	18	11			
Twice	610,312	8	27	16			
3 or more	1,141,555	6	26	16			
Not ascertained		7	24*	14"			
Not ascertained	51,343	1	24-	14-			
Family income							
Less than \$7,500	2,041,418	8%	24%	18%			
\$7,500-\$9,999	791,086	9	25	18			
\$10,000-\$14,999	1,823,150	8	25	19			
\$15,000-\$24,999	3,772,445	6	23	15			
\$25,000-\$29,999	1,845,313	6	21	15			
\$30,000-\$49,999	5,798,448	5	21	13			
\$50,000 or more	3,498,382	4	19	11			
Not ascertained	1,983,849	5	18	16			
Place of residence		1					
Central city	5,816,321	8%	24%	19%			
Suburbs	10,089,207	5	20	12			
Nonmetroplitan area	5,648,564	6	22	13			
•	· ·						

		P	ercent of stud	lents		
Location,	Total	Avoiding	Ever fearin	ig an attack		
race, and Hispanic origin	number ofstudents	places at school	At school	Going to and from school		
Central city					 	
Race						
White	3,769,413	7%	25%	18%		
Black	1,766,798	8	22	24		
Other	280,111	11	20	18		
Hispanic origin						
Hispanic	964,145	10%	28%	26%		
Non-Hispanic	4,826,185	7	23	18		
Not ascertained	25,992	11*	7*	7*		
Suburbs						
Race						
White	8,776,228	5%	20%	12%		
Black	919,265	4	21	15		
Other	393,714	4	20	19		
Hispanic origin						
Hispanic	783,655	6%	23%	21%		
Non-Hispanic	9,278,865	5	20	12		
Not ascertained	26,687	21	38*	33*		
Nonmetropolitan are	88					
Race						
White	4,760,985	6%	21%	12%		
Black	763,425	9	24	20		
Other	124,154	4	32	13*		
Hispanic origin						
Hispanic	279,168	6%	24%	13%		
Non-Hispanic	5,347,647	6	22	14		
Not ascertained	21,749	9*	23*	16*		

*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology.

Table 23. Students avoiding places at school out of fear, or ever fearing an attack, by school characteristics

			Percent of stu	Idents		
	Total	Avoiding	Everfear	ring an attack		
School characteristic	number of students	places at school	Atschool	Going to and from school	 	
Type of school						
Public	19,264,643	6%	22%	15%		
Private	1,873,077	3	13	14		
Not ascertained	416,372	7	30	16		
Grade in school						
6th	1,817,511	8%	25%	18%		
7th	3,170,126	9	29	18		
8th	3,258,506	6	25	17		
9th	3,390,701	7	22	13		
10th	3,082,441	5	22	14		
11th	3,223,624	4	16	13		
12th	3,171,819	4	15	11		
Other	439,364	7	21	15		

• Black students in the central city were more likely to harbor fear of attack going to and from school (24%) than were suburban blacks (15%) (table 22). Similarly, white students in the central city were more likely to fear such an attack (18%) than were suburban whites (12%).

• Among students in the central city, blacks (24%) were more likely than whites (18%) to fear an attack going to and from school. Suburban students of different races reported about the same level of fear.

• Public school students (22%) were substantially more likely than students in private schools (13%) to indicate some level of fear of attack at school (table 23). Students in public schools were also twice as likely as private school students to avoid certain places at school out of fear.

• Students going to and from school by car were the least likely of all students and those using public transit the most likely — to claim they had feared attack going to and from school (table 24). Students who walked were more likely to fear attack going to and from school, to avoid the shortest route to school, and to stay away from places outside the school building out of fear than were students using other modes of transportation except public transit.

Objects for self-protection

 Two percent of students had taken something to school to protect themselves from attack or harm at least once

during a 6-month period (table 25). Objects for protection could have included weapons like a gun, knife, or brass knuckles, or things that could be used as weapons --- razor blades, spiked

Table 24. Students ever fearing crime or avoiding areas outside school, by mode of transportation to and from school

Transportation number attack going to Shortest to and from school of students and from school route	ng out of fear Places outside school building	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Walking 2,725,213 25% 4%	6%	
School bus 7,965,766 13 1	3	
Public transportation 808,325 31 4	6	
Car 7,257,804 8 1	2	
Other, including		
combined modes 2,757,608 20 2	3	
Not ascertained 39,376	-	

jewelry, and other objects capable of hurting an assailant.

• Students in central cities (3%) were more likely than those in the suburbs (2%) to report taking to school something that could be used as a weapon; students in nonmetropolitan areas (1%) were the least likely to arm themselves with objects for protection. Males (3%) were slightly more likely than females (1%) to take such objects to school.

School security measures

 The SCS asked students whether teachers stood in doorways and monitored hallways during class changes, whether anyone patrolled hallways, and whether visitors were required to report to the school office. Black students were

had taken something to school to protect themselves			Total number of students		Percent of stu- dents reporting	Total	Percent of students reporting		
		Percent of students who	Student characteristic	who changed classrooms*	teachers monitor class changes	number of students	Hall patrols during day	Visitor sign-in	_
7	otal	had taken a weapon or	Sex						
	umber	object to school	Male	10,179,574	70%	11,166,316	65%	91%	
	fstudents	for protection	Female	9,629,228	72	10,387,776	65	92	
			Race						
Sex		_ \	White	15,926,642	70%	17,306,626	63%	91%	
	1,166,316	3%	Black	3,161,172	70%	3,449,488	63% 74	91‰ 95	
Female 1	0,387,776	1			79 51		66		
			Other	720,988	51	797,978	66	90	
Race			Hispanic origin						
	7,306,626	2%	Hispanic	1,827,924	68%	2,026,968	72%	92%	
	3,449,488	2	Non-Hispanic	17,916,970	71	19,452,697	64	91	
Other	797,978	2	Notascertained	63,907	64	74,428	66	100	
lispanic origin									
	2,026,968	2%	Age	0.070.115	7.00				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12	2,372,119	74%	3,220,891	51%	88%	
	9,452,697	2	13	3,007,975	79	3,318,714	60	91	
Notascertained	74,428	·	14	3,101,059	74	3,264,574	64	92	
			15	3,113,560	69	3,214,109	70	93	
Place of residence			16	3,166,217	67	3,275,002	71	93	
Central city	5,816,321	3%	17	3,198,295	67	3,273,628	70	92	
Suburbs	0,089,207	2	18	1,647,956	66	1,755,825	69	92	
Nonmetroplitan area		1	19	201,619	68	231,348	71	90	
			Family Income						
			Less than \$7,500	1,824,165	76%	2,041,418	67%	93%	
			\$7,500-\$9,999	702,516	77	791,086	69	95	
			\$10,000-\$14,999	1,615,366	75	1,823,150	63	92	
			\$15,000-\$24,999	3,463,960	72	3,772,445	66	91	
			\$25,000-\$29,999	1,714,809	73	1,845,313	64	91	
			\$30,000-\$49,999	5,352,099	72	5,798,448	64	91	
			\$50,000-\$49,999 \$50,000 and over		60		62	90	
			Notascertained	3,306,509 1,829,377	70	3,498,382 1,983,849	66	90	
				,,,					
			Place of residence						
			Central city	5,217,390	71%	5,816,321	69%	91%	
			Suburbs	9,380,062	68	10,089,207	63	.91	
			Nonmetropolitan area		77	5,648,564	62	92	

more likely than students of other racial groups to report that their schools took such security measures (table 26).

• Hispanic students were more likely than non-Hispanics to attend schools where halls were patrolled.

• Teacher monitors were less common in schools attended by students age 15 or older. However, these older students

Table 27. V at school,				ures
School security measure	Total number of students	Percent o reporting Total V		ation
Teacher hall monitors Yes No	14,034,906 5,676,190	9% 10	2% 2	8% 8
Otherhali monitors Yes No	13,937,237 7,456,759		2% 2	8% 6
Visitor sign-in Yes No	19,707,768 765,387		2% 2*	7% 7
Open schoo! Yes No Only certain grades	4,416,242 16,159,308	9	2% 2 3*	8% 7 8
Note: The ca security mea				ach

*Estimate is based on 10 or fewer sample cases; see Methodology.

were more likely than other students to indicate that nonteachers patrolled their halls.

• Students whose annual family income was less than \$15,000 were the most likely to attend schools where teachers monitored class changes and visitors had to sign in. Students whose families earned less than \$30,000 annually reported school hall patrols more frequently than other students.

• Students from nonmetropolitan areas were the most likely to attend schools using teacher monitors, and suburban students the least likely. Students from

		Percent of students
School	Total	ever fearing
security	number	an attack
measure	of students	atschool
Teacher monit Yes	ors 14.034,906	23%
No	5,676,190	20
Other hail mon	litors	
Yes	13,937,237	24%
No	7,456,759	18
Visitorsign-in		
Yes	19,707,768	22%
No	765,387	20

security measure has been excluded.

urban centers were more likely to have their halls patrolled than students from other areas.

• Violent crime occurred about as frequently in schools using security measures like hall monitors as in schools without such measures, according to student reports (table 27).

• Students in schools using hall monitors or patrols as a security measure were more likely to fear an attack than those attending schools without monitors or patrols (table 28).

• Two-thirds of all students reported that those caught fighting or drunk at school could be suspended (table 29). Approximately 38% indicated that students who cut class could also be suspended and/or given detention. By comparison, a fourth of the survey participants said students who were disrespectful to teachers could be suspended. Students were most likely to be sent to the principal's office and/or given detention for being disrespectful.

	Percent of students reporting what happens to a student caught						
Disciplinary action	Not respect- ing a teacher	Fighting	Drunk at school	Cutting class		 :	
Nothing	2%	· '		1%			
Disciplined by a teacher	19	5	2	5			
Sent to the principal's office	52	44	28	30			
Parents are notified	21	26	27	25			
Detention	38	20	5	39			
Suspension	25	66	67	38			
Other	10	9	17	11			
Notknown	3	2	15	8			

Note: Detail will not total to 100% because respondents may have reported more than one action for each infraction. The number of students represented was 21,554,092. --Less than 0.5%.

Table 29. Disciplinary actions that students reported, by infractions

The National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS) collects data on crime from a nationally representative sample of households (47,000 in 1990). When a household is selected for inclusion in the sample, household members age 12 or older are interviewed every 6 months for 3 years. During each interview information is obtained about the personal victimizations, if any, experienced by the interviewee in the 6 months preceding the interview. One member, generally over age 18, is also designated the household respondent, from whom information is obtained about all crimes committed against the household during the preceding 6 months.

The NCVS measures both attempted and completed incidents of the violent crimes of rape, robbery, and aggravated and simple assault; personal thefts with and without contact; and the household crimes of burglary, household larceny, and motor vehicle theft.

This report presents data collected in interviews conducted from January through June of 1989 as a supplement to the regular NCVS data collection program. It focuses on personal crimes of violence and theft that were committed inside a school building or on school property only.

The eligible respondents for this School Crime Supplement (SCS) were those household members who were between the ages of 12 and 19, had attended school at any time during the 6 months preceding the interview, and were enrolled in a school which would advance them towards the eventual receipt of a high school diploma. The number of valid respondents for the supplement was 10,449.

The tables that deal with crime measure victimization in terms of prevalence rather than incidence. Thus, victimization is measured in terms of how widespread it is among survey respondents rather than in terms of how frequently all victims had been victimized during the measurement period. Each individual who has been the victim of a violent crime, for example, is counted once in the violent crime index even if he or she has been victimized multiple times, each in separate violent incidents.

Unweighted counts of crimes occurring at school, from the NCVS survey instrument, reveal a slight underreporting of these crimes in the SCS. The supplement is administered to eligible respondents only after completion of their entire NCS interview. Thus, some students may forget to report to the supplement all victimizations occurring at school that were previously noted in the NCVS interview. In order to obtain the most complete count of crimes occurring at school and their characteristics, the authors chose to tally crimes committed against eligible SCS respondents by using NCVS victimizations of these respondents which were reported to have occurred at school.

In this report, nonvictims are those valid respondents who did not report any victimizations on either the NCVS questionnaire or the SCS.

Estimation procedures

An *incident* is a specific criminal act involving one or more victims, while a *victimization* refers to the criminal act as it affects a single victim. Therefore, because personal crimes may involve more than one victim, the number of victimizations is determined by the number of victims of the crime. This number is calculated by the application of a victim-weight, using standard NCVS weighting procedures, which results in a national estimate of victimization.

The data in this report have been weighted by a special "school crime" weight. This weight differs from the victim-weight in that incoming (first interview) as well as continuing household rotation groups are used in its computation. For a detailed description of NCVS estimation procedures, see appendix III of **Criminal Victimization in the United States, 1989** (NCJ-129391).

Reliability of comparisons

All comparisons made in this report were tested to determine whether the differences between groups were statistically significant. The comparisons presented are significant at the 95% confidence level or above, except where qualified by phrases such as, "somewhat" or "some evidence", which indicate significance at the 90% confidence level only. Statistical significance at the 95% confidence level requires that the estimated difference between the values being compared is greater than twice the standard error of this difference.

Tables also note when estimates are based on 10 or fewer sample cases; standaro errors cannot be computed accurately for such estimates. It is particularly inadvisable to compare these with other estimates based on a small sample size.

Definition of terms

Hall monitors: Hall monitors other than teachers such as security guards, principals, or other students.

Inside areas: Inside areas include hallways, stairs, cafeterias, restrooms, and any other areas inside of the school building.

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA): A county or group of counties containing at least one city or combined cities of 50,000 or more inhabitants and adjacent counties which are metropolitan in character and are economically and socially integrated with the central city. The MSA is named after the central city (or cities) contained in it. Boundaries are drawn by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

Open school: A school in which students are permitted to leave the school grounds during lunch.

Other drugs: Other illegal drugs such as heroine, LSD, PCP, and unspecified drugs which may be available at school.

Other race: Includes Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Native Americans.

Outside areas: Outside areas include entrances into the school, parking lots, and any other areas on school grounds.

Property crime: In this report, this crime category comprises personal larceny, with or without contact, and motor vehicle theft.

Violent crime: Includes the crimes of rape, robbery, and simple and aggravated assault.

	OMB No. 1121-0139: Approval Expires 12/31/89
We estimate that it will take from 5 to 15 minutes to complete this interview, with 10 minutes being the average time. If you have any comments regarding these estimates or any other aspect of this survey, send them to the Associate Director for Management Services, Room 2027, Bureau of the Census, Washington, DC 20233; or to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget,	NOTICE Your report to the Census Bureau is confidential by law (U.S. Code 42, Sections 3789g and 3735). All identifiable information will be used only by persons engaged in and for the purposes of the survey, and may not be disclosed or released to others for any purposes. FORM SCS-1 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Washington, DC 20503.	FORM SCS-1 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS (11-22-88) ACTIVIDA AS COLLECTING AGENT FOR THE BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS
P Sample Control number G PSU Segment CK 2 J Image: Second secon	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE NATIONAL CRIME SURVEY SCHOOL CRIME SUPPLEMENT
A. Field representative code B. Respondent 1000 1001 Line No. 1002 Age	Name
C. Type of interview	D. Reason for noninterview
	1004 1 INCS noninterview
2 Telephone – Self SKIP TO ITEM 1,	SCS noninterview
3 🛄 Personal — Proxy 🥻 SECTION E	2 □ Refused 3 □ Not available
4 🗌 Telephone — Proxy	4 Physically or mentally unable and
5 🗆 Noninterview — FILL ITEM D	no proxy available
FIELD REPRESENTATIVE - Read introduction -	
Now I have some additional ques	tions about your school activities.
E Screen Questio	ns For Supplement
1. Were you attending school at any time PGM 3 during the last six months?	1005 1 LIYES
	No – END INTERVIEW
2. In what month did your current	1006 1 🗋 August
school year begin?	2 🖸 September
	3 C/ther — Specify
2 Didwwy attend as he al far all af the last	
Did you attend school for all of the last six months?	1007 1 Yes - SKIP to question 5
4. How many months were you in school	
during the last six months?	2 Two months 3 Three months
	$5 \square$ Five months
5. What grade are you in school?	
o v what grade are you in schoolt	1009 1 L Sixtn 2 Seventh
	3 🗆 Eighth
	4 🗋 Ninth
	1 5 🗋 Tenth
	6 Eleventh
	I 7 ☐ Twelfth B ☐ Other Specify
	s College level – END INTERVIEW
P P	ntal Questions
6a. What is the name of your school?	
	l
	1010
b. In what city, county, and state is your school	per construction to the second construction of t
located?	City
	County
	1012 State
7. Is your school public or private?	
to your concerption of privator	1013 1 L Public
8. What grades are taught in your school?	
or maar grades are rangin in your schoold	Grades:
	to
	1015
9. How far away from your home is the school you attend?	1016 1 Less than 1 mile
attonut	2 □ 1 − 5 miles 3 □ 6 − 10 miles
READ THE CATEGORIES.	$4 \square 11 - 25$ miles
	$5 \square \text{ More than 25 miles}$
	6 Don't know how far
. Kaina and a second	

	F. Environmental Qu	estions	- Continued
10.	How do you get to school most of the time?	1017	1 Walk 2 School bus 3 Public bus, subway, train 4 Car 5 Bicycle, motorbike, or motorcycle 6 Some other way - Specify 7
11.	How do you get home from school most of the time?	1018	1 🗌 Walk 2 🗌 School bus 3 🗌 Public bus, subway, train 4 🗌 Car 5 🗋 Bicycle, motorbike, or motorcycle 6 🗋 Some other way — Specify 7
	Are most students at your school allowed to leave the school grounds to eat lunch?	1019	1 ☐ Yes 2 ☐ No 3 ☐ Only certain grades 4 ☐ Don't know
13.	In the past six months, have you participated in or attended any extra-curricular school activities?	1020	1 🗆 Yes 2 🗌 No
	Do you spend most of the schoolday in the same classroom?	1021	1 □ Yes — <i>SKIP to question</i> 16 2 □ No
	During class changes, do teachers stand in the doorways and monitor the halls?	1022	1 🛛 Yes 2 🗋 No
16.	Does anyone (else) patrol the hallways during school hours?	1023	1 □ Yes 2 □ No
	Are visitors to your school required to report to the school office?	1024	1 □ Yes 2 □ No 3 □ Don't know
18.	ls it safe to store money or valuables in your locker at school?	1025	1 Yes 2 No 3 Don't have lockers 4 Don't know
	What happens to a student who gets caught doing the following things in your school? READ THE ANSWER CATEGORIES IF NECESSARY. Mark (X) all that apply. Being disrespectful to teachers?	1026	 Nothing Student disciplined by teacher Student sent to the principal's office Student's parents are notified Detention Suspension Other - Specify -
Ь	Fighting with other students?	1027	8 🗆 Don't know
	Mark (X) all that apply.	* * 	 2 Student disciplined by teacher 3 Student sent to the principal's office 4 Student's parents are notified 5 Detention 6 Suspension 7 Other - Specify 7
-		 	8 Don't know
C.	Drinking or being drunk at school? Mark (X) all that apply.	*	 1 Nothing 2 Student disciplined by teacher 3 Student sent to the principal's office 4 Student's parents are notified 5 Detention 6 Suspension 7 Other - Specify 7
-			a □ Don't know
d.	Cutting classes? Mark (X) all that apply.	*	 Nothing Student disciplined by teacher Student sent to the principal's office Student's parents are notified Detention Suspension Other - Specify -
			B Don't know

	F. Environmental Qu	estions	- C	ontinu	leq			
	Have you attended any drug education classes in your school during the last six months?	1030	2]Yes]No]Don't	know			
	Does your school try to prevent students from having drugs or alcohol in school in any of the following ways? READ THE CATEGORIES. Mark (X) all that apply.	1031 * 	2 [3 [4 [] Secu] Teacl halls] Surpr	and sc ise res	ards principal pa hool ground stroom chec	ls	
		1			r — Spe ol take	ecify Is no preven	tative a	tion
1	The following question refers to the availability of drugs and alcohol in school. Tell me if you don't know what any of these items are.	/ / / / / /					:	
	How easy or hard is it for someone to get the following things at your school? READ THE CATEGORIES.	1 1 1	Easy	H	lard	Impossible	DK	Don't know dru
	Alcoholic beverages	1032	10		2	з 🗌	4	5
Ь.	Marijuana	1033	1		2	3	4	5
c.	Cocaine	1034	1		2	3 🗌	4	5
d.	Crack	1035	1		2	3	4	5
θ.	Uppers/downers	1036	10		2	3	4	5
f.	Other illegal drugs	1037	10		2	3	4	5
23.	Are there any street gangs at your school?	1038	2] Yes] No —] Don't		o question 21	5	
	How often do street gang members fight with each other at school?	1039	2 [_ 3 [_ 4 [_] Once] Once] Once	or twic or twic	ost never e a year e a month e a week y day	· ·	
	In the last six months, did a student attack or threaten to attack a teacher in your school?	1040	2]Yes]No]Don't	know			· · ·
	G. Victi	mizatio	n		:			
	READ THE FOLLOWING — The following questions are about crimes that may have happened to you at school. By "at school" we mean in the school building, on the school grounds, or on a school bus. Be sure to include crimes you have told me about earlier in this	PGM 4						
26a.	interview. During the past six months, did anyone take money or things DIRECTLY FROM YOU by force, weapons, or threats at school ?	1041]Yes]No —	SKIP to	o question 27	7 _a	4
b.	How many times did this happen during the last six months?	1042			Num	ber of times		
	How many of these times was your total loss worth more than \$10?	1043			Num	ber of times		
	During the last six months, did anyone steal something from your desk, locker, or some other place at school, (other than any incidents just mentioned)?	1044]Yes]No —	SKIP to	o question 28	3a	
b.	How many times did this happen during the last six months?	1045			Num	ber of times		

School Crime 17

ORM SCS-1 (11-22-88)

G. Victimizatio	on – Continued
8a. (Other than the incidents just mentioned), did anyone physically attack you at school during the last six months?	1047 1 🗆 Yes 2 🗆 No — SKIP to question 29
b. How הימחץ times did this happen?	1048 Number of times
C. Did you go to a doctor as a result of (this/any of these) attacks?	1049 1 Yes 2 No — SKIP to question 28e
HECK ITEM A. If attacked only once, SKIP to question 28e.	
d. How many times did you receive injuries in any of these attacks at school that led to a visit to the doctor?	Number of times
e.(1) If 28b is one time, ASK — Was that incident an attack or just a fight?	
(2) If 28b is more than one, ASK — How many of these (fill in number from 28b) attacks were just fights?	0 Incident was an attack
H. Avo	oidance
9a. Did you stay at home any time during the last six months because you thought someone might attack or harm you at school?	1052 1 □ Yes 2 □ No — <i>SKIP to question 30</i>
b. How many times did you stay at home because you thought someone might attack or harm you at school?	1053 Number of times
 Did you STAY AWAY from any of the following places because you thought someone might attack or harm you there? READ THE CATEGORIES. 	1. 1. 1.
a. The shortest route to school?	1054 1 Yes 2 No
b. The entrances into the school?	1055 1 Yes 2 No
C. Any hallways or stairs in school?	1056 1 Yes 2 No
d. Parts of the school cafeteria?	1057 1 Yes 2 No
e. Any school restrooms?	1058 1 Yes 2 No
f. Other places inside the school building?	1059 1 🗆 Yes 2 🗆 No
g. School parking lot?	1060 1 🗆 Yes 2 🗆 No
h. Other places on school grounds?	1061 1 Yes 2 No
	1062 1 Yes 2 No
 How often are you afraid that someone will attack or harm you at school? 	1063 1 🗋 Never 2 🗋 Almost never
	3 Sometimes 4 Most of the time
2. How often are you afraid that someone will attack or harm you on the way to and from school?	1064 1 Never 2 Almost never 3 Sometimes 4 Most of the time
3. During the last six months how often did you bring something to school to protect yourself from being attacked or harmed?	1065 1 □ Never - SKIP to Check Item B 2 □ Almost never 3 □ Sometimes 4 □ Most of the time
 What did you bring to school to protect yourself from being attacked or harmed? 	1066 1 Gun * 2 Knife
Mark (X) all that apply.	i 3 🗆 Brass knuckles 4 🗆 Razor blade
	5 🖸 Spiked jewelry
	s □ Mace 7 □ Nunchucks
	a □ Something else − Specify 7
 Did bringing the weapon to school make you feel any safer? 	1067 1 🗌 Yes 2 🗋 No
HECK ITEM B	Yes - END SUPPLEMENT

Bureau of Justice Statistics reports

(Revised October 1991)

Call toil-free 800-732-3277 (local 301-251-5500) to order BJS reports, to be added to one of the BJS mailing lists, or to speak to a reference specialist in statistics at the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, National Criminal Justice Reference Service, Box 6000, Rockville, MD 20850.

BJS maintains the following mailing lists:

- Law enforcement reports (new) . Drugs and crime data (new)
- Justice spending & employment
- White-collar crime
- National Crime Survey (annual)
- Corrections (annual) .
- Courts (annual)
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and
- information policy Federal statistics (annual)
- BJS bulletins and special reports (approximately twice a month) Sourcebook of Criminal Justice
- Statistics (annual)

Single copies of reports are free; use NCJ number to order. Postage and handling are charged for bulk orders of single reports. For single copies of multiple titles, up to 10 titles are free; 11-40 titles \$10; more than 40, \$20; libraries call for special rates.

Public-use tapes of BJS data sets and other criminal justice data are available from the National Archive of Criminal Justice Data (formerly CJAIN), P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, Mi 48105 (toll-free 1-800-999-0960).

National Crime Victimization Survey

- The Nation's two crime measures: Uniform Crime Reports and the National Crime Survey, NCJ-122705, 4/90
- Criminal victimization in the U.S.: 1973-88 trends, NCJ-129392, 7/91 1989 (final), NCJ-129391, 6/91 1988 (final), NCJ-122024, 10/90
- BJS special reports

Handgun crime victims, NCJ-123559, 7/90 Black victims, NCJ-122562, 4/90 Hispanic victims, NCJ-120507, 1/90

- The redesigned National Crime Survey: Selected new data, NCJ-114746, 1/89 Motor vehicle theft, NCJ-109978, 3/88 Elderly victims, NCJ-107676, 11/87 Violent crime trends, NCJ-107778, 11/87 Robbery victims, NCJ-104638, 4/87
- Violent crime by strangers and non-strangers, NCJ-103702, 1/87 Preventing domestic violence against
- women, NCJ-102037, 8/86 Crime prevention measures, NCJ-100438, 3/86
- The use of weapons in committing crimes, NCJ-99643, 1/86
- Reporting crimes to the police, NCJ-99432, 12/85
- The economic cost of crime to victims, NCJ-93450, 4/84

BJS bulletins

Criminal victimization 1990, NCJ-130234, 10/91 Crime and the Nation's households, 1990. NCJ-130302, 8/91

The crime of rape, NCJ-96777, 3/85 Household burglary, NCJ-96021, 1/85 Measuring crime, NCJ-75710, 2/81

- BJS technical reports
 - New directions for the NCS, NCJ-115571, 3/89
 - Series crimes: Report of a field test, NCJ-104615, 4/87

School crime, NCJ-131645, 9/91 Teenage victims of crime, NCJ-128129, 5/91 Female victims of violent crime, NCJ-127187, 1/91

Redesign of the National Crime Survey, NCJ-111457, 3/89 The seasonality of crime victimization,

- NCJ-111033, 6/88 Crime and older Americans information
- package, NCJ-104569, 5/87, \$10 Victimization and lear of crime: World perspectives, NCJ-93872, 1/85, \$9.15
- The National Crime Survey: Working papers, Current and historical perspectives, vol. I,
- NCJ-75374, 8/82 Methodology studies, vol. II, NCJ-90307, 12/84

Corrections

- BJS bulletins and special reports Capital punishment 1990, NCJ-131648, 9/91 Prisoners in 1990, NCJ-129198, 5/91
- Women In prison, NCJ-127991, 4/91 Violent State prison inmates and their victims, NCJ-124133, 7/90
- Prison rule violators, NCJ-120344, 12/89 Recidivism of prisoners released in 1983, NCJ-116261, 4/89
- Drug use and crime: State prison inmate survey, 1986, NCJ-111940, 7/88
- Time served in prison and on parole, 1984, NCJ-108544, 12/87 Profile of State prison inmates, 1986,
- NCJ-109926, 1/88 Imprisonment in four countries, NCJ-103967, 2/87
- Population density in State prisons, NCJ-103204, 12/86
- State and Federal prisoners, 1925-85,
- NCJ-102494, 11/86 Prison admissions and releases, 1983.
- NCJ-100582, 3/86
- The prevalence of imprisonment, NCJ-93657, 7/85
- Prisoners at midyear 1991 (press release), 10/91
- Correctional populations in the United States: 1989, NCJ-130445, 10/91 1988, NCJ-124280, 3/91
- Race of prisoners admitted to State and Federal Institutions, 1926-86, NCJ-125618, 6/91
- National corrections reporting program, 1985, NCJ-123522, 12/90
- Historical statistics on prisoners in State and Federal Institutions, yearend 1925-86, NCJ-111098, 6/88
- 1984 census of State adult correctional facilities, NCJ-105585, 7/87
- Census of jails and survey of jall inmates
- BJS bulletins and special reports Drugs and jail Inmates, NCJ-130836, 8/91 Jail Inmates, 1990, NCJ-129756, 6/91 Profile of jail Inmates, 1989, NCJ-129097,
- Jali inmates, 1989, NCJ-123264, 6/90 Population density in local jails, 1988, NCJ-122299, 3/90
- Census of local jails, 1988 (BJS bulletin),
- NCJ-121101, 2/90 Jali Inmates, 1987, NCJ-114319, 12/88 Drunk driving, NCJ-109945, 2/88 Jail Inmates, 1986, NCJ-107123, 10/87
- Census of local jails 1988:
- Summary and methodology, vol. I, NCJ-127992, 3/91
- Data for Individual jails in the Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. II-V, NCJ-130759-130762, 9/91
- Census of local jails, 1983: Data for individual jails, Northeast, Midwest, South, West, vols. I-IV, NCJ-112796-9, 11/88
 - Selected findings, methodology, summary tables, vol. V, NCJ-112796, 11/88
- Parole and probation
- **BJS** bulletins
- Probation and parole: 1989, NCJ-125833, 11/90 1988, NCJ-119970, 11/89
- BJS special reports
- Recidivism of young parolees, NCJ-104916, 5/87

Children in custody

Census of public and private juvanile detention, correctional, and shelter facilities, 1975-85, NCJ-114065, 6/89 Survey of youth in custody, 1987 (special report), NCJ-113365, 9/88

Law enforcement management

Drugs & crime data

NCJ-122582, 5/90

Computer crime

NCJ-100461, 4/86

\$11.50

10/91

Electronic fund transfer: fraud, NCJ-96666, 3/85

and crime, NCJ-92650, 2/84

Federal justice statistics

1988, NCJ-130474, 10/91 1986, NCJ-125617, 1/91

1985, NCJ-123560, 8/90

Electronic fund transfer systems fraud,

Expert witness manual, NCJ-77927, 9/81,

Compendium of Federal justice statistics:

Federal criminal case processing, 1980-89

The Federal civil justice system (BJS bulletin), NCJ-104769, 8/87

Federal offenses and offenders

NCJ-118311, 7/89

BJS special reports

6/88

General

7/91

NCJ-118798, 9/89

BJS national update:

NCJ-130580, 9/91

NCJ-128413, 3/91

NCJ-127855, 3/91

with preliminary data for 1990, NCJ-130526,

Immigration offenses, NCJ-124546, 8/90 Federal criminal cases, 1980-87,

Drug law violators, 1980-86, NCJ-111763,

Pretrial release and detention: The Bail

Reform Act of 1984, NCJ-109929, 2/88 White-collar crime, NCJ-106876, 9/87

Tracking offenders, 1988, NCJ-129861, 6/91 Tracking offenders, 1987, NCJ-125315, 10/90 Criminal cases in five States, 1983-86,

International crime rates, NCJ-110776, 5/88

Oct. '91, NCJ-131778, 10/91 July '91, NCJ-129863, 7/91 Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics, 1990,

Attorney General's program for improving the Nation's criminal history records and

Nation's criminal history records and identifying felons who attempt to purchase firearms, NCJ-128131, 3/91 BJS data report, 1989, NCJ-121514, 1/91 Publications of BJS, 1985-89: Microfiche library, PRO30014, 5/90, \$190 Bibliography, TBO030013, 5/90, \$17.50

Microfiche library, PRO30012, 10/86, \$203 Bibliography, TBO30012, 10/86, \$17.50

1990 directory of automated criminal justice

Information systems, Vol. 1, Corrections; 2, Courts: 3. Law enforcement: 4. Probation

and parole; 5, Prosecution; NCJ-122226-30,

BJS annual report, fiscal 1988, NCJ-115749,

Report to the Nation on crime and justice:

Technical appendix, NCJ-112011, 8/88

Criminal justice microcomputer guide and software catalog, NCJ-112178, 8/88

National survey of crime severity, NCJ-96017,

Second edition, NCJ-105506, 6/88

See order form

on last page

BJS program application kit, fiscal 1991,

Violent crime in the United States,

Publications of BJS, 1971-84:

5/90

4/89

10/85

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1991 312-318/50023

BJS bulletins and special reports BJS telephone contacts, '91, NCJ-130133,

BJS special reports

Drugs and crime facts, 1990, NCJ-128662. 8/91

State drug resources: A national directory,

Drugs and crime facts, 1989, NCJ-121022,

Federal drug data for national policy, NCJ-122715, 4/90

- BJS bulletins and special reports Police departments in large cities, 1987, NCJ-119220, 8/89
- Profile of state and local law enforcement agencies, NCJ-113949, 3/89

Expenditure and employment

- BJS bulletins Justice expenditure and employment: 1988, NCJ-123132, 7/90
- Anti-drug abuse formula grants: Justice variable pass-through data, 1988 (BJS technical report), NCJ-120070, 3/90
- teennical report), NCJ-120070, 3/90 Justice expenditure and employment: 1988 (full report), NCJ-125619, 8/91 1985 (full report), NCJ-106356, 8/89 Extracts, 1984, 1985, 1986, NCJ-124139, 8/91

Courts

- **BJS bulletins**
- Pretrial release of felony defendants, 1988, NCJ-127202, 2/91
- Felony sentences in State courts, 1988, NCJ-126923, 12/90 Criminal defense for the poor, 1986,
- NCJ-112919, 9/88
- State felony courts and felony laws, NCJ-106273, 8/87 The growth of appeals: 1973-83 trends, NCJ-96381, 2/85
- Case filings in State courts 1983,
- NCJ-95111, 10/84
- BJS special reports
- Felony case processing in State courts, 1986, NCJ-121753, 2/90 Felony case-processing time, NCJ-101985,
- 8/85
- Felony sentencing in 18 local jurisdictions, NCJ-97681, 6/85
- Felons sentenced to probation in State courts, 1986, NCJ-124944, 11/90 Felony defendants in large urban countles,
- 1988, NCJ-122385, 4/90 Profile of felons convicted in State courts, 1936, NCJ-120021, 1/90

Sentencing outcomes in 28 felony courts, NCJ-105743, 8/87

1987, NCJ-124140, 9/90 Felony laws of the 50 States and the District

of Columbia, 1986, NCJ-105066, 2/88, \$14.60 State court model statistical dictionary:

Compendium of State privacy and security

1989 overview, NCJ-121157, 5/90

1987 overview, NCJ-111097, 9/88

Criminal justice information policy: Forensic DNA analysis: Issues, NCJ-128567,

Statutes requiring use of criminal history record information, NCJ-129896, 6/91 Survey of criminal history information

BJS/SEARCH conference proceedings: Criminal justice in the 1990's: The future

of Information management, NCJ-121697, 5/90 Juvenile and adult records: One system,

Original records of entry, NCJ-125626,

one record?, NCJ-114947, 1/90 Open vs. confidential records,

Strategies for improving data quality, NCJ-115339, 5/89

systems, NCJ-112815, 11/88

Information, NCJ-111458, 11/88 Juvenile records and recordkeeping

Automated fingerprint identification systems: Technology and policy issues,

Criminal justice "hot" files, NCJ-101850.

NCJ-113560, 1/88

NCJ-104342, 4/87

12/86

1989 full report (1, 500 pages, microfiche \$2, hard copy \$145),

The prosecution of felony arrests:

Supplement, NCJ-98326, 9/85

1st edition, NCJ-62320, 9/80

Privacy and security

NCJ-121158, 9/90

systems, NCJ-125620, 3/91

legislation:

6/91

12/90

Please put me on the mailing list for-

- Law enforcement reports—national data on State and local police and sheriffs' departments: operations, equipment, personnel, salaries, spending, policies, programs
- □ Federal statistics—data describing Federal case processing, from investigation through prosecution, adjudication, and corrections
- Drugs and crime data—sentencing and time served by drug offenders, drug use at time of crime by jail inmates and State prisoners, and other quality data on drugs, crime, and law enforcement
- Justice expenditure and employment reports—annual spending and staffing by Federal/State/local governments and by function (police, courts, etc.)

- White-collar crime—data on the processing of Federal white-collar crime cases
- Privacy and security of criminal history information and information policy—new legislation; maintaining and releasing intelligence and investigative records; data quality issues
- □ Juvenile corrections reports juveniles in custody in public and private detention and correctional facilities
- BJS bulletins and special reports timely reports of the most current justice data
- Prosecution and adjudication in State courts—case processing from prosecution through court disposition, State felony laws, felony sentencing, criminal defense

- Corrections reports—results of sample surveys and censuses of jails, prisons, parole, probation, and other corrections data
- National Crime Survey reports—the only regular national survey of crime victims
- Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics (annual)—broad-based data from 150+ sources (400+ tables, 100+ figures, subject index, annotated bibliography, addresses of sources)
- □ Send me a form to sign up for NCJ Reports (free 6 times a year), which abstracts both private and government criminal justice publications and lists upcoming conferences and training sessions in the field.

To be added to any BJS mailing list, please copy or cut out this page, fill in, fold, stamp, and mail to the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS.

You will receive an annual renewal card. If you do not return it, we must drop you from the mailing list.

To order copies of **recent BJS reports**, check here □ and circle items you want to receive on other side of this sheet. Name: Title: Organization:

Street or box:

City, State, Zip: Daytime phone number: Criminal justice interest:

Put your organization and title here if you used home address above:

- FOLD. SEAL WITH TAPE, AND STAMP -

U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Statistics Washington, D.C. 20531

Place 1 st-class stamp here

Justice Statistics Clearinghouse/NCJRS U.S. Department of Justice Box 6000 Rockville, MD 20850 U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs *Office for Victims of Crime*



The National Victims Resource Center

The National Victims Resource Center (NVRC) is a national clearinghouse for victims information funded by the Office for Victims of Crime, U.S. Department of Justice. Like the Justice Statistics Clearinghouse, sponsored by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), the NVRC is one of several information resources maintained by the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS). When you contact the NVRC, information specialists will provide you with access to the following resources:

More than 7,000 victim-related books and articles covering child physical and sexual abuse, victims services, domestic violence, victim-witness programs, and violent crime included in the NCJRS data base.

National victimization.

Federally sponsored victim-related research studies.

Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of people to contact for information and assistance.

Information on State victims compensation programs funded by the Office for Victims of Crime.

Learn About Victims Issues and Programs

From the clearinghouse you can get free publications, borrow hard-to-find publications, and buy selected videotapes. The NVRC information specialists can also conduct data base searches designed especially for your needs.

The NVRC is the single most comprehensive source of victim information: it can refer victims to programs that help soften the blow, ease their recovery from trauma, and educate them about the aftermath of crime.

OVC, NVRC information is now available on the NCJRS Electronic Bulletin Board. Call for additional details.

For your convenience, we now offer a tollfree telephone number.

If we can be of assistance, call us at

(800) 627-NVRC (6872) or (301) 251-5525 or 251-5519,

or write

provides vital information

National Victims Resource Center Box 6000-AJE Rockville, MD 20850