

Department of Defense

Report on 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Implementation

September 2011

Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment)

Preparation of this report/study cost the Department of Defense a total of approximately \$201,380 for the 2011 Fiscal Year.

Table of Contents

Volume I	
Introduction	5
Installation Detail	
Army	21
Navy/Marine Corps	345
Air Force	489
Defense Agencies	517
Volume II	
Appendices	
Appendix 1: Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Issues	
Appendix 2: Additional (Non-DERP) Environmental Remediation Issues	
Appendix 3: Section 2907, BRAC Act of 1990	

Introduction

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 amended the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510) to authorize a round of closures and realignments in 2005. The Commission forwarded its report and recommendations to the President on September 8, 2005. On September 15, 2005, the President approved the Commission's recommendations and forwarded its report to Congress. Since Congress did not enact a joint resolution of disapproval within the review period provided by the BRAC Act, on November 9, 2005, the Department became legally obligated to close and realign all installations recommended for closure and realignment in the Commission's report. In accordance with the BRAC Act, the Department is completing actions to implement the recommendations.

This report addresses reporting requirements specified in Section 2907 of the BRAC Act, as amended by Section 2831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (P.L. 109-163) and Section 2711 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (P.L. 110-417).

As was the case in prior BRAC rounds, the Fiscal Year 2012 budget justification material submitted separately by the Comptroller was developed to satisfy the requirements of paragraphs (1) and (2) of Section 2907 of the BRAC Act. The DoD Component justification material provided detailed information by realignment and closure package, broken out by one-time implementation costs, anticipated revenues from land sales, and expected savings.

Section 2831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 amended section 2907 by adding new reporting requirements. The amended section 2907 is provided in Appendix 3. This report provides the information required by paragraphs three through seven. Specifically, this report provides:

- a description of the closure actions already carried out at each BRAC 2005 installation and the current status of the closure of the installation, including whether—
 - a redevelopment authority has been recognized by the Secretary of Defense for the installation;
 - the screening of property at the installation for other Federal use has been completed; and
 - a redevelopment plan has been agreed to by the redevelopment authority for the installation;
- a description of redevelopment plans for each BRAC 2005 installation;
- the quantity of property remaining to be disposed of at each BRAC 2005 installation as part of its closure;
- the quantity of property already disposed of at each BRAC 2005 installation;

- a list of the Federal agencies that have requested property during the screening process for each BRAC 2005 installation, including the date of transfer or anticipated transfer of the property to such agencies, the acreage involved in such transfers, and an explanation for any delays in such transfers;
- a list of known environmental remediation issues at each BRAC 2005 installation, including the acreage affected by these issues, an estimate of the cost to complete such environmental remediation, and the plans (and timelines) to address such environmental remediation; and
- an estimate of the date for the completion of all closure actions at each BRAC 2005 installation.

BRAC 2005 affects hundreds of locations across the Nation through 24 major closures, 24 major realignments, and 765 lesser actions. The large number of transformational recommendations, particularly recommendations to establish joint operations, present significant implementation challenges. To meet these challenges, the Department developed Business Plans that laid out the requisite actions, timing of those actions, and the costs and savings associated with implementing each recommendation. Completing implementation of these plans has been the primary activity over the past year.

In addition, the Department has completed screening for property transfer to other federal agencies, recognized Local Redevelopment Authorities, and worked with communities impacted by both closure and growth. Most data reported for each installation data contained in this report is as of December 1, 2010. Environmental remediation cost to complete data is consistent with the FY12 budget submission to Congress.

Report Organization

Installations impacted by BRAC 2005 recommendations (including leased installations) are provided by Military Department by state, and Defense Agency. For each closing installation the report provides individual installations summaries consisting of:

- The BRAC Commission number and title for all recommendations affecting the installation.
- A top level description of the closure actions completed.
- Whether a local redevelopment authority (LRA) has been recognized by the Secretary of Defense. A LRA may be recognized to prepare a redevelopment plan when there is surplus property to be disposed (32 CFR Part 174).
- Whether the screening of property at the installation for other Federal Agency use has been completed.

- Whether a redevelopment plan has been agreed to by the redevelopment authority. The deadline for submittal of a redevelopment plan by a LRA may be extended through the Director of the Office of Economic Adjustment (32 CFR Part 176).
- If a redevelopment plan does exist, its description. For this section there are three types of descriptions:
 - A description of the redevelopment plan.
 - No Surplus Property. This indicates that because there is no surplus property available for disposal, no redevelopment plan is necessary. This response also includes installations that have property excess to DoD, but the property is slated for transfer to another federal agency, and is therefore, unavailable to the community. In addition, this description applies to leased locations and other types of property arrangements where the property is not owned by DoD and as such cannot be transferred by DoD.
 - Pending. The plan has not been finalized and, therefore, a description cannot be provided.
- The total quantity of property remaining to be disposed. This section reflects the Government Owned acreage reported by the Military Departments that has been screened through a formal "Notice of Availability" under the General Services Administration-delegated disposal authorities across the components of the Department of Defense and determined as "excess" to the Department's needs. This data reflects what was reported by the Military Departments as of September 30, 2010. Where the "excess" determination has not been finalized, the acreage published in the component's "Notice of Availability" as of date of the publication of report is represented.
- The quantity of property already disposed. This will be shown as "none" for most installations.
- A list of the Federal Agency(s) that have requested property during the screening process, including the date of anticipated transfer, the acreage involved, and an explanation of any delays to transfer. Federal Agency(s) have expressed a request for property by either a Notice of Intent or a GSA Form 1334/DD 1354 application. A Notice of Intent request is not a binding agreement and subsequent reports will reflect only GSA Form 1334/DD 1354 application requests.
- The overall estimated completion date for all closure actions at the installation. This is the last date of the last action necessary to complete the closure. This equates to the date on which all functions have transferred from the installation and activities cease except for caretaker actions. It does not include time necessary for environmental restoration or property disposal. Note: the Department's Business Plans track implementation at the recommendation level which often affects more than one installation. The plans contain an overall completion date. This date is the projected point in time in which all actions (e.g. personnel performing a function are moved, construction at receiving installations) in the Business Plan are completed.

• If an installation has environmental remediation issues, those are detailed at Appendices 1 and 2.

Environmental Remediation Issues and Cost to Complete

Appendix 1 provides the list of known environmental remediation issues at each installation closed by BRAC 2005 that are part of the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) as defined by DoD's 2001 Management Guidance for the DERP. The data presented for each installation includes the known environmental remediation issues to be addressed under the DERP, an estimate of the cost to complete for DERP eligible environmental restoration activities, the number of acres affected by remediation issues, the plans and timelines for addressing these remediation issues, the affected media, remedy types, and contaminant types identified for prioritization ranking purposes

Below is a Department-level summary of the estimated cost to complete for all known environmental remediation actions for the DERP sites at installations impacted by the 2005 BRAC round.

BRAC Category	Cost-to-Complete (\$ Millions)
BRAC Round 2005	\$414.5

The DERP cost-to-complete for closing installations is funded through the BRAC account. Cost-to-complete estimates are based on the best-available information at the time of their development and represent bottom-up, site level aggregations. Additionally, the cost-to-complete estimates address all site-level DERP requirements at the entire installation.

Appendix 2 provides the list of known environmental remediation issues at each affected installation affected by the BRAC 2005 actions that are not included in the DERP, as defined by the *DERP Management Guidance*. For each issue Appendix 2 includes the type of remediation issue; its description; acreage affected; an estimate of the cost to complete; a description of the plans to address each issue; and timelines for addressing each remediation issue in terms of estimated start and completion dates. The types of "non-DERP" environmental remediation issues are categorized as follows:

- Operational Ranges
- Asbestos
- Landfill Closure
- Tank Closure
- Building Decontamination (DECON)
- Radiological Decontamination
- Permits to Site Closeouts
- Notice of Violation

Other

Definitions for each of these categories are located in Appendix 2.

Volume 1 Table of Contents

State	Installation Name	Page
Army		21
Alabama		23
	Abbott USARC, Tuskegee	25
	AMSA Tuscaloosa	26
	Anderson USARC, Troy	27
	Faith Wing USARC, Anniston	28
	Finnell AFRC, Tuscaloosa	29
	Flowers USARC, Decatur	30
	Gary USARC, Enterprise	31
	SCREWS USARC Montgomery	32
	·	
Aukanasa	Wright USARC	33
Arkansas	Ctone LICADO Dine Diviff	35
	Stone USARC, Pine Bluff	37
	USARC ECS-15, Barling	38
	USARC AMSA Malvern	39
	USARC Arkadelphia	40
	USARC Camden	41
	USARC El Dorado	42
	USARC Hot Springs	43
	USARC Jonesboro	44
	USARC Pond	45
Arizona		47
	Allen Hall AFRC, Tucson	49
	Deer Valley USAR No. 2, Phoenix	50
	Ft Huachuca, USAR AMS	51
California		53
	Desiderio USARC Pasadena	55
	Hall USARC Mountain View	56
	Hazard Park USARC, Los Angeles	57
	Richey USARC, San Jose	58
	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	59
	Schroeder Hall USARC, Long Beach	61
	USARC Moffett Field	62
Connecticut		63
	OMS Middletown	65
	OMS New Haven	66
	SGT Libby USARC, New Haven	67
	USAR AMSA No. 69, Milford	68
	USAR AMSA Windsor Locks	69
	USARC Middletown	70
District of Columbia	Co. W. Co. Hill diloto Hill	71
District of Columbia	Walter Reed Medical Center	73
Delaware	Traiter Recuired Michigan Conten	75 75
Dolumuio	Kirkwood USARC, Newark	77
	USARC / OMS Newark	78
Georgia	JOANO / CIVIO NOWAIN	79
Coorgia		10

	Army-AAFES Atlanta Distribution Center	81
	Ft Gillem	82
	Ft McPherson	84
	USARC Columbus	86
Hawaii		87
	Kunieda USARC, Hilo	89
lowa		91
	AFRC Cedar Rapids	93
	Battalion HQ Recruiting Battalion	94
	MEPS Des Moines	95
	USARC / AMSA Middletown	96
	USARC Muscatine	97
Illinois		99
	AFRC Waukegan	101
	USARC Centralia	102
	USARC Fairfield	103
	USARC Marion	104
Indiana	1 - f#- 110 A D O	105
	Lafayette USARC	107
	Newport Depot	108
17	USARC Lafeyette	109
Kansas	Managa Awar Amana witi na Dhana	111
I/ 4 1	Kansas Army Ammunition Plans	113
Kentucky	LICADO Lacciacida	115
	USARC Louisville	117
	USARC Maysville	118
	USARC No. 2 Paducah USARC Paducah	119
		120
Lavisiana	USARC Richmond	121
Louisiana	Deharta USADC Patan Dauga	123 125
	Roberts USARC, Baton Rouge	125
	USARC Bossier City	127
Massachusetts	USARC Shreveport	127 129
Massachusells	MacArthur LICADC Springfield	131
	MacArthur USARC, Springfield	132
	USAR ECS 65, Ayers Westover AFRC, Chicopee	133
Maryland	Westover Ar NC, Chicopee	135
Waiyialiu	NGA Dalecarlia Site, Bethesda	137
	NGA Sumner Site, Bethesda	138
	PFC Flair AFRC / OMS Frederick	139
Michigan	THO HAIL ALTO FOUND FIEDERICK	141
Michigan	Parisan USARC, Lansing	143
	USARC AMSA No. 135 Battle Creek	144
Minnesota	CONTROL INDICATION TO DALLIE CIECK	145
miniosota	USARC Cambridge	147
	USARC Faribault	148
Missouri		149
mosouri	USARC Greentop	151
	USARC Jefferson Barracks	152
Mississippi	23. II CO CONTOCON DUNIAGIO	153
oiooippi		100

	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	155 157
Montana	USARC Vicksburg	157 159
Wortana	AMSA No. 75 Missoula	161
	Galt Hall USARC, Great Falls	162
	Vueve Hall USARC, Missoula	163
North Carolina	vacro Haii Gerii (e, imiceedia	165
Tierun euremiu	Niven USARC, Albermarle	167
	Rhodes AFRC, Wilmington	168
North Dakota		169
	Johnson USARC, Fargo	171
Nebraska	·	173
	USARC Columbus	175
	USARC Hastings	176
	USARC Kearney	177
	USARC McCook	178
	USARC Wymore	179
New Jersey		181
	Brittin USARC Camden	183
	Camp Kilmer	184
	Ft Monmouth	185
New Mexico		187
	Jenkins AFRC Albuquerque	189
New York		191
	AFRC Amityville	193
	Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepsie	194
	Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway	195
	McDonald USARC, Jamaica	196
	Muller USARC Bronx	197
	Roosevelt USARC Uniondale	198
	USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls USARC Ft Hamilton	199 200
		200
Ohio	USARC Stewart-Newburgh	201
Onio	Ft Hayes USARC Columbus	205
	Parrott USARC Kenton	206
	Scouten USARC, Mansfield	207
	USARC Springfield	208
	USARC Whitehall	209
Oklahoma	CONTROL VIIII CHAIR	211
	Ashworth USARC Muskogee	213
	Burris USARC, Chickasha	214
	Farr USARC, Antlers	215
	Floyd Parker USARC, McAlester	216
	Ft Sill 1st USARC	217
	Ft Sill 3 rd USARC	218
	Ft Sill 5 th USARC	219
	Ft Sill 6 th USARC	220
	Ft Sill, ECS	221
	Keathley USARC, Lawton	222
	Krowse USARC, Oklahoma City	223

	Perez USARC, Oklahoma City Robbins USARC, Enid	224 225
	Roush USARC, Clinton	226
	Smalley USARC, Norman	227
	Twaddle USAR, Oklahoma City	228
	USARC Broken Arrow	229
Oregon		231
	Sears USARC, Portland	233
	Sharff USARC, Portland	234
Pennsylvania		235
	AFRC Philadelphia	237
	Charles Kelly Support Center	238
	Germantown USARC, Philadelphia	239
	Musselman USARC, Norristown	240
	North Penn USARC, Norristown	241
	Reese USARC	242
	Serrenti USARC, Scranton	243
	USAR OMS Bethlehem	244
	USAR OMS Bloomsburg	245
	USAR OMS Chester	246
	USAR OMS Philadelphia	247
	USAR OMS Scranton	248
	USAR OMS Wilkes-Barre	249
	USAR Williamsport	250
	USARC Bloomsburg	251
	USARC Horsham	252
	USARC Lewisburg	253
	USARC Wilkes-Barre	254
	USARC Williamsport	255
	Wilson-Kramer USARC, Bethlehem	256
Puerto Rico		257
	Lavergne USARC, Bayamon	259
Rhode Island		261
	Harwood USARC Providence	263
	USAR OMS Warwick	264
	USAR Bristol	265
	USARC Warwick	266
South Carolina		267
_	AFRC Rock Hill	269
Tennessee	AEDO IC	271
	AFRC Kingsport	273
	Bonney Oaks USARC, Chattanooga	274
	Guery USARC, Chattanooga	275
	USAR AMSA Kingsport	276
	USAR OMS Kingsport	277
	USARC Clarksville	278
_	USARC Kingsport	279
Texas	B HOADO 515	281
	Benavidez USARC, El Paso	283
	Grimes USARC, Abilene	284
	Hanby-Hayden USARC, Mesquite	285

	Herzog USARC, Dallas	286
	Lone Star AAP	287
	Miller USARC, Huntsville	289
	Muchert USARC, Dallas	290
	·	
	Rathjen USARC, Brownsville	291
	Segura USARC	292
	Tharp USARC	293
	USAR ECS McGregor Range	294
	USARC Alice	295
	USARC Boswell	296
	USARC Callaghan	297
	USARC Ft Bliss	298
	USARC Lufkin	299
	USARC Marshall	300
	USARC NAS Kingsville	301
	USARC No. 2 Perimeter Park	302
	USARC No. 3 Houston	303
	USARC Pasadena	304
	USARC Round Rock	305
	USARC San Marcos	306
	USARC Tyler	307
	USARC Wichita Falls	308
	Watts-Guillot USARC	309
Virginia		311
	Ft Monroe	313
Vermont	1 timelines	315
Verillonic		
	Courcelle Brothers IISADC Dutland	317
	Courcelle Brothers USARC, Rutland	317
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland	318
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester	318 319
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin	318 319 320
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester	318 319
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin	318 319 320
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester	318 319 320 321 323
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton	318 319 320 321 323 325
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane	318 319 320 321 323 325 326
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331
Washington	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335
Wisconsin	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336
	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337
Wisconsin	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Bias USARC, Huntington	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339
Wisconsin	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Huntington Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339 340
Wisconsin	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Clson USARC, Huntington Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont Elkins USARC/OMS, Beverly	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339 340 341
Wisconsin	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Huntington Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339 340
Wisconsin	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Clson USARC, Huntington Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont Elkins USARC/OMS, Beverly	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339 340 341
Wisconsin West Virginia	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Clson USARC, Huntington Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont Elkins USARC/OMS, Beverly	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339 340 341 342 345
Wisconsin West Virginia	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Bias USARC, Huntington Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont Elkins USARC/OMS, Ripley	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339 340 341 342 345 347
Wisconsin West Virginia	USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland USAR OMS Chester USARC Berlin USARC Chester Ft Lawton Mann Hall USARC, Spokane Oswald USARC, Everett USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane Vancouver Barracks Wagenaar USARC, Pasco Walker USARC, Spokane O'Connell USARC, Madison Olson USARC, Madison Clson USARC, Huntington Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont Elkins USARC/OMS, Beverly	318 319 320 321 323 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 333 335 336 337 339 340 341 342 345

	NRD HQ Montgomery	351
California		353
	Navy Broadway Complex	355
	NMCRC Encino	356
	NMCRC Los Angeles	357
Florida	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	359
	NRC St Petersburg	361
Georgia	3	363
	Inspector-Instructor Rome	365
	NAS Atlanta	366
	NSCS Athens	367
lowa		369
	NRC Cedar Rapids	371
	NRC Dubuque	372
	NRC Sioux City	373
Idaho	Three clouds city	375
	NRC Pocatello	377
Illinois	THI CO I COULDING	379
	NRC Forest Park	381
Indiana	THI CO T GLOCK T WINC	383
maiana	NMCRC Grissom ARB, Peru	385
	NRC Evansville	386
	NRD HQ Indianapolis	387
Kentucky	THE TIE MEIGHAPONE	389
Romany	NRC Lexington	391
Louisiana	THI CO LEXINGIENT	393
Louidiana	NMCRC Baton Rouge	395
Maryland	Timerice Batem Reage	397
	21491 Great Mills Road, Lexington Park	399
	21535 Pacific Drive Lexington Park	400
	NRC Adelphi	401
Maine	Tit to 7 tabipin	403
- Industry	NAS Brunswick, ME	405
Michigan		407
_	NRF Marquette	409
Minnesota		411
	NRC Duluth	413
Missouri		415
	MCSA Kansas City	417
	NRC Cape Girardeau	418
	NRD HQ Kansas City	419
Mississippi		421
согострр.	NS Pascagoula	423
North Carolina		425
	NRC Asheville	427
Nebraska		429
	NRC Lincoln	431
	NRD HQ Omaha	432
New Jersey		433
,,	Inspector-Instructor West Trenton	435
New York		437

	NRC Glens Falls	439
	NRC Horseheads	440
	NRC Watertown	441
	NRD HQ Buffalo	442
Ohio		443
	NMCRC Akron	445
	NRC Cleveland	446
Oklahoma		447
	NMCRC Tulsa	449
Oregon		451
	NRC Central Point	453
Pennsylvania		455
	ENGFLDACT NORTHEAST Lester	457
	NAS JRB Willow Grove	458
	NAVCRANECEN Lester	460
	NMCRC Reading	461
South Carolina		463
	SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM Charleston	465
Texas		467
	NRC Lubbock	469
	NRC Orange	470
	NS Ingleside	471
Virginia		473
	Crystal Park 3, Arlington	475
	Crystal Square 3, Arlington	476
Washington		477
	NMCRC Tacoma	479
Wisconsin		481
	NMCRC Madison	483
	NRC La Crosse	484
West Virginia	NIN 10 TO 11 III	485
	NMCRC Moundsville	487
Air Force		489
Alaska	0.1 A: 1.501	491
	Galena Airport FOL	493
A	Kulis AGS	494
Arizona	AEDI Mara Otta	495
California	AFRL Mesa City	497
California	Onimula AEC	499
Taura	Onizuka AFS	501
Texas	Drooks City Doos	503
Virginia	Brooks City-Base	505 507
Virginia	1501 Wilson Poulovard, Arlington	
	1501 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington 1560 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	509 510
	1919 South Eads Street, Arlington	510 511
	Arlington Plaza, Arlington	512
Wisconsin	Annigion Flaza, Annigion	512 513
WISCOIISIII	Gen Mitchell IAP ARS	515
Defense Agencies	Cell Milloriell IAI AINO	517
Defense Contract Manage	gement Agency (DCMA)	51 <i>7</i> 519
- Deletise Cultial Nalla	genient Agency (DolviA)	313

	Metro Park 3, 6350 Walker Lane, Alexandria	521
	Metro Park 4, 6359 Walker Lane, Alexandria	522
Defense Commissary Agency		523
zoronos commissary rigono	300 AFCOMS Way, San Antonio	525
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	526
	5151 Bonney Road, Virginia Beach	
	5258 Oaklawn Boulevard, Hopewell	527
Defense Finance and Accour		529
	DFAS - Oakland	531
	DFAS – San Bernadino	533
	DFAS – San Diego	535
	DFAS - Seaside	536
	DFAS – Denver, Co	538
	•	
	DFAS – NAS Pensacola	539
	DFAS - Orlando	540
	DFAS – Pensacola Saufley Field	541
	DFAS – NS Pearl Harbor, Ford Island	542
	DFAS – Rock Island Arsenal	543
	DFAS - Lexington	544
	DFAS – NAS Patuxent River	545
	DFAS – Kansas City	546
	•	
	DFAS – St. Louis	547
	Offutt AFB – DFAS site	548
	DFAS - Dayton	549
	DFAS – Ft Sill	550
	DFAS - Charleston	551
	DFAS – San Antonio	552
	DFAS – NS Norfolk	554
Defense Intelligence Agency		555
Belefied intelligence Agency	1801 South Bell Street, Arlington	557
D-f	1919 South Eads Street, Arlington	558
Defense Information Systems	_	559
	1010 Gause Boulevard	561
	5600 Columbia Pike, Falls Church	562
	Logicon Building, Arlington	563
	Skyline Place (Skyline 7), Falls Church	564
Defense Media Activity (DMA		565
	601 North Fairfax Street	567
DoD Office of Hearings and A		569
Dob Office of Hearings and F		
	21820 Burbank Boulevard, Woodland Hills	571
Defense Security Service (DS		573
	938 Elkridge Landing Road, Linthicum	575
	1340 Braddock Place Alexandria – DSS	576
Missile Defense Agency (MD	A)	577
	Suffolk Building, Falls Church – MDA	579
National Geospatial Agency	(NGA)	581
	Bldg 213 South East Federal Center	583
	Washington	
	8510 Newington Building, Newington	584
	8520 Newington Building, Newington	585
	8530 Newington Building, Newington	586
	Reston 1	587

	Reston 2	588
	Reston 3	589
Washington Headquarters Se	rvice (WHS)	591
	1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington	593
	1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	595
	1515 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	597
	1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington	599
	1901 North Beauregard, Arlington	601
	4850 Mark Center Drive, Arlington	603
	Crown Ridge Building, 4035 Ridgetop, Arlington	605
	North Tower 2800 Crystal Drive Arlington	607
	Presidential Towers, Arlington	609

Army

Alabama

Abbott USARC, Tuskegee, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. ECP is complete. Army Conveyance Team has met to start transfer actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Complete Recreation

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.69

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

AMSA Tuscaloosa, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site visits. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. ECP is complete. (Note: This installation is collocated with Finnell USARC, Tuscaloosa, AL.)

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

See Finnell AFRC, Tuscaloosa, AL

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Anderson USARC, Troy, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Property reverted back to the city of Troy Alabama during August 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Faith Wing USARC, Anniston, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site visits. Fed to Fed transfer approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.17

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland Security - (FEMA)	Nov 1 2011	2.17

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Finnell AFRC, Tuscaloosa, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site visits. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Redevelopment plan is being revised.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.01

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Flowers USARC, Decatur, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Permit termination actions are ongoing.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Gary USARC, Enterprise, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Property reverted back to the city of Enterprise Alabama during August 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

SCREWS USARC Montgomery, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
11	RC Transformation in Alabama	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site visits. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. ECP is complete. Sponsorship letter has been issued by the Department of Education to the LRA.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Educational Public Benefit Conveyance to local community college

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.8

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Wright USARC, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
11	RC Transformation in Alabama	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site visits. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. ECP is complete. Property reverts to city of Mobile, AL.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Arkansas

Stone USARC, Pine Bluff, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination action on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR ECS-15, Barling, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Property disposal actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 39.32

Quantity of property already disposed:

39.32

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC AMSA, Malvern, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Arkadelphia, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA, ECP and terminate lease are ongoing.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Camden, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to finalize disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Property disposal and lease termination actions are on-going. Reuse plan is pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Complete and likely use by the local airport commission

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 1.9

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC El Dorado, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Complete and likely reuse as city facilities

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.8

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Hot Springs, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete Disposal NEPA and ECP continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Jonesboro, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete Disposal NEPA and ECP continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.45

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Pond, AR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
13	Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Business Plan has been approved. Completed NEPA negotiations. Property will be conveyed to the Veterans Administration as a Fed to Fed transfer.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

VA is in discussion with Army to acquire property. Completion of redevelopment plan is awaiting that decision.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.5

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Nov 1 2009	3.5

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Arizona

Allen Hall AFRC, Tucson, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
12	Reserve Component Transformation in Arizona

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Recreation

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.56

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Deer Valley USAR No. 2, Phoenix, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
12	Reserve Component Transformation in Arizona

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete Disposal NEPA, ECP, and terminate lease continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Huachuca, USAR AMS, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
12	Reserve Component Transformation in Arizona

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete Disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Property will be retained by Ft Huachuca, AZ.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

California

Desiderio USARC, Pasadena, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete Disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Army Conveyance Team has convened.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

100% PBC. Self-help housing, recreation, open space and parkland with a community center.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.1

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Hall USARC, Mountain View, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. VA Fed to Fed transfer is approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.06

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Dec 1 2010	7.06

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Hazard Park USARC, Los Angeles, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Lease terminated in February 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Richey USARC, San Jose, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete Disposal NEPA and ECP continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law Enforcement and Emergency Services

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 8.6

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
151	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, CA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Business Plan approved. Site visits conduced in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010. Completed NEPA negotiations. Disposal NEPA underway. ECP underway. Reuse Plan has been approved. Installation closed 31 March 2010. Lease signed with LRA 1 April 2010. Follow-on action for clearance and relocation to Rock Island Arsenal of Armys metal parts mission contractor complete 30 September 2010. Actions for clearance of personal property via MOA and bill of sale in progress. Environmental clean-up activities include ground water, PCBs from decrepitation of galbestos sliding and roofing, removal of equipment and storm water run-off from waste water treatment plant. Real property estimates complete and parcels have been offered for sale. Transfer of operating permits for facility utilities partially complete; will conclude when property completes transfer.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed use, primarily light industrial and business park, with a focus on "green" industry and retention of existing ARMS tenants.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 172.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Schroeder Hall USARC, Long Beach, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review. ACT has convened

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The redevelopment plan was completed in December, 2007. The plan proposes to use the property for a police substation, and will accommodate homeless needs off-site.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Moffett Field, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
14	Reserve Component Transformation in California

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Closure of AFRC is expected to be completed by February 2011 by terminating permit and reverting facility control back to installation.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Connecticut

OMS Middletown, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. The facility was closed in June 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

OMS New Haven, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property disposal and lease termination actions are ongoing.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

SGT Libby USARC, New Haven, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Middletown AFRC awarded 15 October 2009. Disposal NEPA underway. ECP completed. Reuse Plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending. Estimated to be submitted by 30 November 2010.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.15

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR AMSA No. 69, Milford, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Middletown AFRC awarded 15 October 2009. Disposal NEPA underway. ECP completed. Property disposal and lease termination action ongoing.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Town Public Health Department and Affordable Senior Housing

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.6

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR AMSA Windsor Locks, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
52	USAR Command and Control New England

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Lease termination actions are ongoing.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

USARC Middletown, CT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
15	Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. The facility was closed in June 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Fire Training School, fire station, and emergency operations center.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 23.7

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

District of Columbia

Walter Reed Medical Center, DC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
169	Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, MD

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Attended Walter Reed Garrison BRAC Implementation Planning Conferences. Completed multiple site visits and detailed planning sessions to review Main Post closure actions, MILCON design reviews and unit relocations to Ft Belvoir, Ft Detrick, Ft Sam Houston, Forest Glen Annex, Dover AFB, Aberdeen Proving Ground, and Bethesda, MD. Developed and submitted updated Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan update is under review and in coordination with other services and OSD Basing. General Services Administration has rescinded its request for 34 acres. The Department of State is accepting the entire 52 acres identified for Fed to Fed transfer. Disposal NEPA is underway. ECP was completed 18 December 2006. CERFA was signed 5 February 2007. Original REC is being replaced with and EA due to a partial transfer out of Federal control via the Local Reuse Authority process. Significant environmental action includes decommissioning of NRC license. Reuse planning efforts with Local Reuse Authority and State Dept property recipients continue. LRA has been granted an extension for Reuse Plan submission until 5 March 2011. Section 106 consultation with State Historic Preservation Office is ongoing. Command and control of Forest Glen Annex transferred from WRAMC to Fort Detrick on 1 October 2008. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. BRAC construction at WRNMMC (Bethesda) and Ft Belvoir hospitals are progressing on schedule. MILCON contracts continue to be awarded on time and are on schedule. Most recent contracts awarded have been for Armed Forces Medical Examiner at Dover, and Medical museum at Forest Glen. The LRA requested more time to complete their reuse plan per BRAC law. OEA recommended the LRA, Department of State and the Department of the Army reach an agreement on the revised boundaries prior to submitting official extension request.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? No Description of redevelopment plan:

On 8/7/09, the Department of State released its interest in 62.5 acres, making it available to the LRA for redevelopment. The LRA immediately began its base redevelopment planning efforts.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 113.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of State	Sep 14 2011	79.0
General Services Administration	Sep 14 2011	34.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Delaware

Kirkwood USARC, Newark, DE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
16	Reserve Component Transformation in Delaware

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP has been completed.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Storm water management, recreation and other public uses

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 9.13

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 30 2011

USARC / OMS Newark, DE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
16	Reserve Component Transformation in Delaware

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP has been completed. Installation is co-located with Kirkwood USARC, Newark. Reuse Plan approved by HUD.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 30 2011

Georgia

Army-AAFES Atlanta Distribution Center, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
2	Fort Gillem, Georgia

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

The AAFES Atlanta Distribution Center (ADC) is moving forward to clear the 19 buildings currently occupied at Fort Gillem. Ten buildings have been vacated. Buildings 305 & 308 will be the last buildings vacated since they provide mission critical communications and logistics capabilities which are required until the ADC is closed in January 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 30 2011

Ft Gillem, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
2	Fort Gillem, Georgia
62	Naval Air Station Atlanta, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning, site orientation visit and synchronization meetings. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation which as has been approved by OSD. Closure NEPA completed March 2008. Environmental Condition of Property (ECP) completed. Consultation with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) on historic property Programmatic Agreement is complete. BRAC MILCON at gaining installations is planned and underway: First Army HQ renovation completion date at Rock Island Arsenal is April 2011. 2nd Recruiting Brigade construction and move to Redstone Arsenal was completed in April 2009. 52d EOD Group personnel relocated to Fort Campbell into interim space in July 2009; new MILCON at Fort Campbell will be completed June 2011. 81st RRC Equipment Concentration Site (ECS) at Fort Benning has estimated construction completion date of June 2011. MILCON for 3rd CID at Hunter Army Airfield (discretionary move) is scheduled for construction completion in June 2011. The closing of the AAFES Atlanta Distribution Center (ADC) is in progress, with expected completion date of January 2011. The contiguous Gillem enclave will be established as a sub-installation of Fort Gordon by July 2011. The three MILCON projects for the enclave are in progress. The Communication Network Facility was completed in August 2010. The renovation of a facility for the Georgia Army National Guard will be complete in August 2011. The Force Protection project for the enclave will be complete in March 2011. No Fed to Fed transfers are planned since FEMA has withdrawn an earlier request for real property.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed use residential, commercial, light industrial, institutional use and a business park. The LRA has decided to request a Fair Market Value EDC for 722 acres.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 1189.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Ft McPherson, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
3	Fort McPherson, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted synchronization meetings. Closure NEPA changed to Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and completed June 2009. Consultation with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) on historic property Programmatic Agreement is complete. Environmental Condition of Property (ECP) completed. Fed to Fed transfer approved for Department of Veterans Affairs. There are no BRAC MILCON projects at Fort McPherson. BRAC MILCON at gaining installations is planned and underway: The five new FORSCOM/USARC facilities at Fort Bragg are either already complete or have a construction completion date of June 2011. The FORSCOM Band Training Facility at Fort Bragg has a construction completion date of June 2011. The temporary swing space facilities for FORSCOM/USARC at Fort Bragg are either already complete or will be complete in March 2011. The construction of the new ARCENT C2F at Shaw AFB will be complete in May 2011. The renovation of a building for ACA and NETCOM at Fort Eustis will be complete in May 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed use with an emphasis on a Bioscience Research Center on a 173.22 acre EDC parcel and Army public sale of the remaining acreage.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

487.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Oct 1 2011	7.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

USARC Columbus, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
17	Reserve Component Transformation in Georgia

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan approved. ECP has been complete. Reuse Plan received and approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

A PBC for a West Central Georgia Law Enforcement Academy activity.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.2

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 1 2011

Hawaii

Kunieda USARC, Hilo, HI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
18	Reserve Component Transformation in Hawaii

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property reverts to City of Hilo, HI.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2011

Iowa

AFRC Cedar Rapids, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse Plan has been submitted to HUD for approval/disapproval.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 6.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Battalion HQ Recruiting Battalion, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility closed in December 2008 and units relocated to new facility.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 1 2008

MEPS Des Moines, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility closed in December 2008 and units relocated to new facility.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 1 2008

USARC / AMSA Middletown, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 11 2011

USARC Muscatine, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
21	Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Disposal will be a lease termination.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 11 2011

Illinois

AFRC Waukegan, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in August 2010. Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 10 2010

USARC Centralia, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse Plan currently under review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public health.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.32

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 1 2011

USARC Fairfield, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in April 2009. Facility has been transferred to the city of Fairfield, IL.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.09

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.09

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 1 2009

USARC Marion, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
19	Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.82

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 1 2011

Indiana

Lafayette USARC, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
20	Reserve Component Transformation in Indiana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 11 2011

Newport Depot, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
154	Newport Chemical Depot, IN	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Revised and submitted an updated Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Conducted multiple site visits. Completion of chemical demilitarization mission operation in November 2009 IAW treaty obligations. NECD was declared surplus in the Federal Register with an effective date of 14 November 2008. Closure was 18 July 2010 and reassigned to Rock Island Arsenal, IL on 19 July 2010. The LRA was officially recognized 7 Apr 2006 by the OEA and has been authorized OEA grant funding to initiate their reuse plan. The NECDRA reuse plan was approved 7 Apr 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Industrial, commercial, agricultural/forestry and conversation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

7115.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

102.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Jul 18 2010 Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

USARC Lafeyette, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
20	Reserve Component Transformation in Indiana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal of the USARC will be a lease termination.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 11 2011

Kansas

Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, KS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
155	Kansas Army Ammo Plant, KS

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. ECP was finalized 30 November 2006. Reuse plan was submitted to HUD 9 September 2007. HUD approved Reuse Plan on January 2008. Completed Final Draft RCRA Facility Assessment April 2008. Installation closed 31 December 2008. Site visits conducted in 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. Caretaker Contract executed for the period of January 2009 thru June 2011. Transferred 2,600 acres via EDC to redevelopment authority February 2010. Transferred 1,000 acres to Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks via an Army Compatible Use Buffer Exchange (ACUB) Program in July and November 2010. Currently projecting second phase EDC transfer to redevelopment authority by December 2011. Negotiating sale of ~4,000 acres to commercial ammo producer in same December 2011 timeframe.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed uses for energetics, munitions storage, industrial and manufacturing, transportation, warehousing, energy park, conservation, agriculture, office/business park, public education, training, and housing

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

10,145.6

Quantity of property already disposed:

3,581.4

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Delay of phase II EDC transfer may occur due to environmental cleanup issues with state and federal environmental regulators

Known environmental remediation issues:

See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2008

Kentucky

USARC Louisville, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
55	USAR Command and Control Southeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Conducted site orientation visit. Business Plan approved. ECP complete. Reuse plan has been received and is under review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Kentucky National Guard

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 1 2011

USARC Maysville, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility has been closed and lease terminated in October 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 15 2006

USARC No. 2, Paducah, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. Reuse plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.72

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 1 2011

USARC Paducah, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. ECP complete. Reuse plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Homeless assistance services at Paducah ARC #2 and parks and recreation uses at Paducah Memorial ARC.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.62

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2010

USARC Richmond, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
22	Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. Lease extended until March 2010 due to information systems not installed in new construction. Expected completion is mid February 2010. With the completion of the new \$36.114M BRAC Armed Forces Reserve Center on Blue Grass Army Depot, the lease for the Richmond (USARC), KY, has been cancelled, eliminating a 2.2 acre parcel from the Army inventory.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 15 2010

Louisiana

Roberts USARC, Baton Rouge, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
23	Reserve Component Transformation in Louisanna

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. Lease termination actions continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 1 2011

USARC Bossier City, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
23	Reserve Component Transformation in Louisanna

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. Transfer to USAF has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

USARC Shreveport, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
23	Reserve Component Transformation in Louisanna

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. Lease termination actions continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 15 2011

Massachusetts

MacArthur USARC, Springfield, MA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
52	USAR Command and Control New England

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 15 2011

USAR ECS 65, Ayers, MA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number Title	
25	Reserve Component Transformation in Massachusetts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property will be retained by Devens Reserve Forces Training Area.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Westover AFRC, Chicopee, MA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
52	USAR Command and Control New England

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Fed to Fed transfer has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 15 2011

Maryland

NGA Dalecarlia Site, Bethesda, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Property will revert back to US Army Corps of Engineers. Efforts to develop conveyance strategy are ongoing. The property is part of USACEs Washington Aqueduct and is not excess to DoD needs. USACE is exploring post-BRAC uses of the property.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

NGA Sumner Site, Bethesda, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business Plan for NGA move from these locations has been approved. Installation will be disposed via fed-to-fed transfer and no LRA has been established. Department of the Army has been in contact with the State of Maryland BRAC office and Montgomery County officials to keep them updated on developments. Office of the Director of National Intelligence has submitted an application for the property that has been approved by DASA(I&H). Projected closure date is August 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 39.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 39.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Director of National	Sep 15 2011	39.0
Intelligence		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendix 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

PFC Flair AFRC/OMS Frederick, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
24	Reserve Component Transformation in Maryland

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property will be retained by Ft Detrick, MD.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 4 2010

Michigan

Parisan USARC, Lansing, MI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
26	Reserve Component Transformation in Michigan

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 11 2011

USARC AMSA No. 135 Battle Creek, MI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
26	Reserve Component Transformation in Michigan

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. AMSA #135 is attached to the Reserve Center that is not closing under BRAC but will be closed by USARC. USARC will dispose the AMSA when they dispose the USARC.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.89

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 11 2011

Minnesota

USARC Cambridge, MN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
27	Reserve Component Transformation in Minnesota

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility has been closed and facility transferred to the city of Cambridge, MN.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.12

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 9 2009

USARC Faribault, MN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
27	Reserve Component Transformation in Minnesota

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse Plan has been submitted.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement use by Rice County, MN.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 6.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 10 2010

Missouri

USARC Greentop, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
28	Reserve Component Transformation in Missouri

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 11 2011

USARC Jefferson Barracks, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
28	Reserve Component Transformation in Missouri

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Record of Environmental Consideration is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 11 2011

Mississippi

Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, MS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
157	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, MS

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Conducted site visits. NEPA document level changed from EA to REC on 17 May 2006. REC received 25 May 2006. ECP final completed 30 November 06. Signed CERFA Letter, 30 November 2006. Completing extension of Facility Use Contract through 1st quarter FY12. Army has Permit with NASA for use of land at SSC thru 2027 with 50-year extension option. AMC equipment movement complete. Majority of excess equipment has been disposed of by base transition staff. Negotiations with NASA over permit reversion and transfer of facilities culminated in an initial transfer agreement 22 November 2010. Environmental action at Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) complete. Environmental action at Spin Launch site (RI/FS) ongoing. Installation was closed on 31 July 2009. Site visits conducted in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 (latest April 2011). Target date for reversion back to NASA is 30 June 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4214.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 30 2009

USARC Vicksburg, MS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
11	RC Transformation in Alabama

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility has been closed and the lease terminated in May 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 8 2008

Montana

AMSA No. 75 Missoula, MT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
29	Reserve Component Transformation in Montana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Collocated with Vueve Hall USARC, Missoula. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. LRA has not been established. Currently working with the Forest Service to complete Fed to Fed transfer.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 10 2010

Galt Hall USARC, Great Falls, MT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
29	Reserve Component Transformation in Montana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to terminate lease continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 11 2011

Vueve Hall USARC, Missoula, MT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
29	Reserve Component Transformation in Montana

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. LRA has not been established. Currently working with the Forest Service to complete Fed to Fed transfer.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community and state declined LRA recognition

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 16.32

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Forest Service	Dec 31,2011	16.32

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 10 2010

North Carolina

Niven USARC, Albermarle, NC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
35	Reserve Component Transformation in North Carolina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in May 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

Centralization of operations for the city"s park and recreation department for administration, recreation programming and parks facility maintenance operations. The plan also includes a homeless use (office and storage space).

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.44

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.44

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 1 2007

Rhodes AFRC, Wilmington, NC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
35	Reserve Component Transformation in North Carolina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. Reuse Plan was rejected and returned to the LRA for modification.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Permanent supportive homeless housing

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.26

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 21 2011

North Dakota

Johnson USARC, Fargo, ND

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
36	Reserve Component Transformation in North Dakota

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Nebraska

USARC Columbus, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

USARC Hastings, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility is closed and permit has been terminated in August 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 9 2009

USARC Kearney, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility is closed and permit has been terminated in March 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 9 2009

USARC McCook, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 11 2011

USARC Wymore, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
30	Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 1 2011

New Jersey

Brittin USARC Camden, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
32	Reserve Component Transformation in New Jersey

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Homeless provider/Veterans Administration

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.7

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Camp Kilmer, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in October 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Homeless housing, recreation, township public works center, education.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 24.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Ft Monmouth, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
5	Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Army conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Completed site orientation visits and conducted project review boards to determine prioritization of military projects. FMNJ ECP site investigation completed September 2008. FMNJ disposal NEPA completed with FNSI signed February 2010. Initial personnel movement to Aberdeen Proving Ground occurred in November 2007. Army completed construction on the Phase 1 C4ISR facilities and expects completion of the Phase 2 C4ISR facilities by 3rd Qtr FY11. Advance moves include over 2,500 positions from Ft Monmouth to Aberdeen Proving Ground through September 2010. Ft Belvoir facilities received 185 of 466 PEO EIS positions by September 2010. West Point USMAPS construction underway and relocation of USMAPS mission will occur in May 2011 from Fort Monmouth with completion of barracks and dining facilities at West Point. Prepared Naval Base Point Loma site and moved the Joint Program Executive Office - Joint Tactical Radio System (JPEO JTRS) from Fort Monmouth, NJ, to Naval Base Point Loma (Fleet ASW Training Center), San Diego, CA. Report to Congress addressing concerns that Ft Monmouth closure and resulting relocations would disrupt critical operations submitted 28 December 2007. Consolidated PM Acquisition, Logistics and Technology Enterprise Systems and Services (ALTESS) facility at 2511 Jefferson Davis Hwy, Arlington, VA in January 2009 (20 positions).

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

The redevelopment plan was submitted to HUD in September 2008 and awaits HUD's response. Overall plan incorporates three separate plans, one for Tinton Falls, Eatontown and Oceanport.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

1126.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

New Mexico

Jenkins AFRC Albuquerque, NM

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
33	Reserve Component Tansformation in New Mexico

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

New York

AFRC Amityville, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has be approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

The LRA submitted the redevelopment plan on 30 September 2010.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 15.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Carpenter USARC, Poughkeepie, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in September 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public works and parking

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Fort Tilden USARC, Far Rockaway, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 10.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of the Interior - (NPS)	Sep 1 2011	10.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

McDonald USARC, Jamaica, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in September 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Muller USARC Bronx, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? No Description of redevelopment plan:

The Muller LRA has contracted with a consulting firm to complete its redevelopment plan. An initial draft of the redevelopment plan was provided to OEA for review in December 2009. In March 2010, an extension was granted to the Muller LRA.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.9

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Roosevelt USARC Uniondale, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit with LRA. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending - The estimated submittal date is March 31, 2010.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.3

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC / AMSA Niagara Falls, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Mixed commercial and industrial usage.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 21.87

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Ft Hamilton, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property will be retained by Ft Hamilton.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Stewart-Newburgh, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
34	Reserve Component Transformation in New York

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse Plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Dual use: Police Station/Academy and Highway Department use.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 16.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ohio

Ft Hayes USARC Columbus, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Fort Hayes Metropolitan Education Campus (FHMEC)

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 10.78

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Parrott USARC Kenton, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in March 2007 and has been transferred to the city of Kenton, OH.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency management

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 4.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Scouten USARC, Mansfield, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. LRA has submitted the Reuse Plan to HUD for approval/disapproval.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Homeless

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Springfield, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency communications center and public safety training.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Whitehall, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
37	Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community recreation center

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Oklahoma

Ashworth USARC Muskogee, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Burris USARC, Chickasha, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Actions to complete Disposal NEPA, ECP and terminate facility lease continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Farr USARC, Antlers, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in November 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Floyd Parker USARC, McAlester, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 1st USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property will be retained by Ft Sill.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 3rd USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property will be retained by Ft Sill.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 5th USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Business Plan approved. Site visit conducted. Property retained by Ft Sill.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill 6th USARC, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Business Plan approved. Site visit conducted. Property retained by Ft Sill.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ft Sill, ECS, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Initiated NEPA negotiations. Construction NEPA underway. Property will be retained by Ft Sill.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Keathley USARC, Lawton, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination action on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Krowse USARC, Oklahoma City, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Perez USARC, Oklahoma City, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Robbins USARC, Enid, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Roush USARC, Clinton, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in September 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Variety of city and public uses.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.75

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Smalley USARC, Norman, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement use by the City of Norman, OK.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.24

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Twaddle USAR, Oklahoma City, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
56	USAR Command and Control Southwest

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. USAF Fed to Fed transfer has been approved. Twaddle units are realigning to Oklahoma City and Ft Sill AFRCs. Twaddle will transfer after Ft. Sill AFRC is completed.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Broken Arrow, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Permit termination actions are ongoing.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Oregon

Sears USARC, Portland, OR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
39	Reserve Component Transformation in Oregon

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. HUD is reviewing Reuse Plan.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Sharff ARC Oregon Army National Guard/Sears ARC emergency management

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Sharff USARC, Portland, OR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
39	Reserve Component Transformation in Oregon

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending - DoD granted LRA extension for submittal of redevelopment plan.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Pennsylvania

AFRC Philadelphia, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse plan completed and approved by HUD. This AFRC is collocated with USAR OMS Philadelphia and includes 9 acres of property. Reuse plan approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement, police training & emergency management (backup 911/operations center).

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 9.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Charles Kelly Support Center, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
53	USAR Command and Control Northeast

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in September 2009. Reuse plan completed. Disposals have started with the USACE transfer in November 2010. PBCs and sales will occur starting in 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

Complete and likely reuse include recreation and SF housing for the Main Post and Site 62. The Neville Island site will be for industrial reuse

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 146.41

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Transportation -	Aug 1 2011	17.0
(FAA)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Germantown USARC, Philadelphia, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Musselman USARC, Norristown, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Educational Public Benefit Conveyance to the Norristown Area School District

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.45

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

North Penn USARC, Norristown, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Public park and recreation

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 19.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Reese USARC, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse plan is complete. This AFRC is collocated with USAR OMS Chester and includes 5 acres of property. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community/youth center

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Serrenti USARC, Scranton, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Disposal and lease termination actions are ongoing. Property is co-located with USAR Scranton.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

LRA Redevelopment Plan Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 1.93

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Bethlehem, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with Wilson-Kramer USARC, Bethlehem. Closure will be delayed due to sink holes developing at the Allentown-Bethlehem AFRC construction site. Delays associated with filling the sink holes and redesigning the foundations have delayed completion until July 31 2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Bloomsburg, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with USARC Bloomsburg. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Chester, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with W. Reese USARC/OMS, Chester. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Philadelphia, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with Philadelphia Memorial USARC. Reuse plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Scranton, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with Serrenti USARC, Scranton.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Wilkes-Barre, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Installation is collocated with USARC Wilkes-Barre.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency Services, Law Enforcement

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Williamsport, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with USARC Williamsport.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Bloomsburg, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with USAR OMS Bloomsburg.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

The Redevelopment Plan recommends the property be utilized for Veteran's use.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Horsham, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in May 2009

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Elementary school and school bus parking/repair

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Lewisburg, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency management communications center with capabilties to maintain emerency vehicles

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 10.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Wilkes Barre, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with USAR OMS Wilkes-Barre.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Williamsport, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with USAR OMS Williamsport.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education, parks and recreation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 6.59

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Wilson-Kramer USARC, Bethlehem, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
40	Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review. Closure will be delayed due to sink holes developing at the Allentown-Bethlehem AFRC construction site. Delays associated with filling the sink holes and redesigning the foundations have delayed completion until July 31 2012.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Police, fire and health training

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Puerto Rico

Lavergne USARC, Bayamon, PR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
41	Reserve Component Transformation in Puerto Rico

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed September 2007 and subsequently transferred to the city of Bayamon, PR.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Emergency Management Response Center

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.15

Quantity of property already disposed: 7.15

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Rhode Island

Harwood USARC, Providence, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Fed to Fed transfer approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Joe the corresping of preparty for other Endered Agency use been completed?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.76

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Sep 1 2011	2.76

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Warwick, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning, Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with USARC Warwick.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Bristol, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Recreation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.3

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Warwick, RI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
42	Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Collocated with USAR OMS Warwick.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending. Estimated submission is May 18, 2010.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.2

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

South Carolina

AFRC Rock Hill, SC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
35	Reserve Component Transformation in North Carolina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility is closed and property has reverted back to the city of Rock Hill, SC.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Tennessee

AFRC Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Property reverts to TNARNG upon permit termination on April 30, 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Bonney Oaks USARC, Chattanooga, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. LRA is being re-established and has not yet completed redevelopment plan which may delay property transfer.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 1.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Guery USARC, Chattanooga, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. ECP is complete. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR AMSA Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in September 2005 and lease has been terminated.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Property reverts to TNARNG upon permit termination.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Clarksville, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Efforts continue to terminate lease.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Kingsport, TN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
43	Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Property reverts to TNARNG upon permit termination on April 30, 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Texas

Benavidez USARC, El Paso, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Conducted site orientation visit. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property will be retained by Ft Bliss, TX.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Grimes USARC, Abilene, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse Plan approved by HUD.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Region XIV Education Services Center

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 9.25

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Hanby-Hayden USARC, Mesquite, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Lease terminated 30 Sep 10. Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Effort to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Herzog USARC, Dallas, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. VA Fed to Fed transfer approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.51

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Dec 1 2009	5.51

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Lone Star AAP, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
162	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, TX

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Installation on ACSIM Priority List for Early Transfer Action. Business Plan has been approved. NEPA negotiations are complete and ECP was finalized 30 November 2006. Disposal NEPA document (EA) initial completion 28 November 2007. Initial public comment period completed 28 November 2007. No-Cost Facilities Use Contract expired 30 September 2009. Installation was closed on 30 September 2009. No-Cost Caretaker Contract executed 1 October 2009. Modification to NEPA document was required based on modification of reuse plan footprint. NEPA document was modified and resubmitted for public comment during October 2008. Decision document completed September 2009. Programmatic Agreement with Caddo Native American nation completed July 2010. Site visits conducted in 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. Early Transfer of portion of installation (~8800 acres) via EDC to redevelopment authority completed 1 September 2010. A transfer of 5,500 acres to a commercial ammunition producer via Negotiated Sale also completed 1 September 2010. Remaining ~1,300 acres will transfer after remediation complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Yes

15,546

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Description of redevelopment plan:

Multi-modal warehouse/distribution center, with rail access and foreign trade zone designation. LRA is responsible for both Red River AD and Lone Star AAp redevelopment Plan.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

Quantity of property already disposed: 14,292

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Miller USARC, Huntsville, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Muchert USARC, Dallas, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Recreation

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.19

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Rathjen USARC, Brownsville, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination action on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Segura USARC, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination action on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Tharp USARC, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning, Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community and state declined LRA recognition

4.13 Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR ECS McGregor Range, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Property will be retained by Ft Bliss.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Alice, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in May 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Joint emergency management training center and emergency operations center.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.53

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Boswell, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Fed to Fed transfer approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Light industrial, office, and R&D

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 8.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Oct 1 2009	8.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Callaghan, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse Plan has been approved. Army Conveyance Team (ACT) has convened.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

R&D and applied research

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Ft Bliss, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Property will be retained by Ft Bliss.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Lufkin, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Marshall, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Complete with a military history museum and educational reuse options

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.78

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC NAS Kingsville, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Property retained by NAS Kingsville.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC No. 2 Perimeter Park, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

USARC #2 - law enforcement USARC #3 - Fire Department for training, warehousing, maintenance, and office space

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 6.07

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC No. 3 Houston, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.53

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Pasadena, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Round Rock, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC San Marcos, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Tyler, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Lease termination actions are on-going.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Wichita Falls, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
38	Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

PBC for a city park maintenance facility.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 2.82

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Watts-Guillot USARC, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
44	Reserve Component Transformation in Texas

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. NEPA negotiations are complete. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA and ECP continue. Reuse Plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education Conveyance to Texarkana College.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Virginia

Ft Monroe, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
8	Fort Monroe, Virginia

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning, Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visits and BRAC planning conferences. Fed to Fed transfer of Bethel Reservoir completed July 2006 (for 499 Acres). The Reuse Plan for Fort Monroe (564.5 acres) was submitted by Fort Monroe Federal Area Development Authority and approved by the Governor, Commonwealth of Virginia (COV). The Reuse Homeless Accommodation Sufficiency Review was submitted to HUD and approved July 2010. Disposal and NEPA actions are progressing on schedule, the Army advanced from an EA (Environmental Assessment) to Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process to accommodate the Reuse plan. The Final EIS and Record of Decision were completed August 2010. The Army team continued to sponsor monthly Environmental Clean Up coordination meetings with Virginia Department of Environmental Quality throughout 2010. The Army awarded a contract to perform Environmental and Munitions Explosives of Concern (MEC) Remedial Investigations (RI). RI work started October 2008 and provided base wide investigations throughout FY09 and FY10 to achieve Environmental and Munitions actions required for BRAC closure. Army sponsored guarterly Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) community meetings to promote public awareness of BRAC Environmental and MEC actions throughout 2008, 2009 and 2010. The Fort Monroe Programmatic Agreement was completed 6 May 2009, achieving consultation and compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106 requirements. PA public meetings were held in Hampton, Richmond, VA and Washington DC. The PA was approved by the Governor of Virginia and all signatory parties. All supporting MILCON construction necessary to receive realigning units moving from Fort Monroe is underway and proceeding on schedule at Fort Knox, KY and Fort Eustis, VA (2 construction project were awarded FY09, and 5 construction projects were award in FY10, with all projects on schedule). Fort Monroe closure property disposal planning with the Commonwealth of Virginia was initiated May 2010 and continued throughout 2010. Projected Fort Monroe closure property disposal is an Economic Development Conveyance (EDC) with the Commonwealth of Virginia, Fort Monroe Development Authority (FMA). In 2010 all BRAC actions continued to progress on schedule, supporting Fort Monroe closure by 14 September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Description of redevelopment plan:

Yes

Complete. Likely uses include limited mixed-use development, recreation, historic culture, tourism & residential

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

284.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendices 1 and 2

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendices 1 and 2

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendices 1 and 2

Vermont

Courcelle Brothers USARC, Rutland, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Recreation

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.45

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR AMSA No. 160, Rutland, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Business Plan approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USAR OMS Chester, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Installation is collocated with USARC Chester. Reuse plan pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency		Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
	None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Berlin, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts continue to complete disposal NEPA. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

USARC Chester, VT

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
45	Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Installation is collocated with USAR OMS Chester.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

1**56 :** 165

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Use compatible with current zoning, residential with limited business use.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

3.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Washington

Ft Lawton, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
54	USAR Command and Control Northwest

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Completed NEPA negotiations. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. LRA has submitted a Reuse Plan to HUD.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Market rate residential; Homeless housing; Self-help housing by Habitat for Humanity; Open space and parks.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 46.47

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Aug 31 2011	8.13

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Mann Hall USARC, Spokane, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse plan has been approved.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 30 2010

Oswald USARC, Everett, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Reuse plan has been submitted for review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Shelter and service center for victims of domestic violence and their children

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 11 2011

USARC AMSA No. 80, Spokane, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. LRA has submitted reuse plan for approval.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 10 2010

Vancouver Barracks, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
54	USAR Command and Control Northwest

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. January 2008, Corps of Engineers were given Right of Entry to evaluate a second site for construction of the Armed Forces Reserve Center. Due to land acquisition, the project has slipped from FY07 to FY09. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Property will revert to the Bureau of Land Management who in turn will transfer to the National Parks Service.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 52.79

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Wagenaar USARC, Pasco, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. LRA has submitted reuse plan for approval/non-approval.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Small business incubator and other job generating uses / Port Public Benefit Conveyance

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 11 2011

Walker USARC, Spokane, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
46	Reserve Component Transformation in Washington

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning, Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Disposal Environmental Assessment is complete. Currently there is not an LRA for this installation.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? No

Description of redevelopment plan:

Community and state declined LRA recognition

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 10.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 10 2010

Wisconsin

O'Connell USARC, Madison, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
48	Reserve Component Transformation in Wisconsin

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plan has been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2010

Olson USARC, Madison, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
48	Reserve Component Transformation in Wisconsin

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. HUD is reviewing Reuse Plan.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Retail, commercial, residential and extension of existing street.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.5

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2010

West Virginia

Bias USARC, Huntington, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action complete. Facility was closed in November 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 5.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 5.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Sep 1 2011	5.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 30 2010

Colburn USARC/OMS, Fairmont, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse plan is pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Homeless

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 4.25

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Elkins USARC/OMS, Beverly, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

conducted BRAC Implementation Planning, Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete. Reuse plan is pending Federal Organization and HQ review.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

State police barracks

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

4.33

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Kuhl USARC/OMS, Ripley, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
47	Reserve Component Transformation in West Virgina

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

conducted BRAC Implementation Planning. Developed and submitted Business Plan to facilitate budget allocation. Business Plans have been approved. Conducted site orientation visit. Conducted Project Review Board to determine prioritization of military projects. Efforts to complete disposal NEPA continue. ECP is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Navy/ Marine Corps

Alabama

NMCRC Mobile, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Navy reserve component closed. Relocation of USMC reserve component to new AFRC Mobile by U.S. Army complete. Lease terminated on August 14, 2010. Action completed in 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 14 2010

NRC Tuscaloosa, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. In-permit with Army terminated in Sep 2006. Action complete in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

NRD HQ Montgomery, AL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
74	Navy Recruiting Districts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRD closed. No DoN real estate action required; Army lease. Action complete in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

California

Navy Broadway Complex, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
192	Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, CA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

On November 22, 2006, the Navy entered into a long term lease that provides for the redevelopment of the Navy Broadway Complex under the authority granted by section 2732 of Public Law 99-661, the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1987. Accordingly, the Commission recommendation to close the Broadway Complex if the Navy did not enter into such a lease on or before January 1, 2007, has no further effect. All action on this recommendation is complete.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 22 2006

NMCRC Encino, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NMCRC closed - In-permit terminated and facilities transferred to Army in Jun 08. Action completed in 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 6 2008

NMCRC Los Angeles, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Navy leasing 22.93 acres from the Port of Los Angeles under a lease that expires 21 Sep 2029 and plans to terminate the lease in 2011 and relocate all personnel to the Armed Forces Reserve Center (AFRC) Bell, CA. Relocation of personnel complete. Termination of lease pending.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 1 2010

Florida

NRC St Petersburg, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
76	Navy Reserve Centers	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. Property transferred to U.S. Coast Guard in Nov 2007. Action completed in 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.81

Quantity of property already disposed: 3.81

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland	Nov 15 2007	3.8
Security - (Coast Guard)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 15 2007

Georgia

Inspector-Instructor Rome, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Relocation of I&I Rome to NMCRC Atlanta at Dobbins Air Force Base complete. Lease terminated March 23, 2010. Action completed in 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 23 2010

NAS Atlanta, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
62	Naval Air Station Atlanta, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Relocation of USN and USMC reserve aviation assets pending completion of BRAC construction at NAS JRB New Orleans, NAS JRB Fort Worth and Robins AFB. Relocation of RIA 14 to Fort Gillem pending completion of BRAC construction. In September 2009 NAS Atlanta property transferred to the Department of the Army for use by the Georgia Army National Guard.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2011

NSCS Athens, GA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
63	Navy Supply Corps School Athens, GA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Relocation of the Navy Supply Corps School to NS Newport, RI is pending completion of BRAC construction; last class graduated at Athens on October 29, 2010. Department of Education approved a public benefit conveyance for the entire property to the University of Georgia. NEPA Finding of No Significant Impact issued September 28, 2010. NSCS Athens scheduled to close and convey in March 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

University of Georgia will convert the Navy school into medical school campus via a Department of Education PBC.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 58.45

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2011

Iowa

NRC Cedar Rapds, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. In-permit with Army terminated in Sep 2006. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

NRC Dubuque, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2007. DD Form 1354 transferred land lease and Navy improvements to Army Reserves on 2 Aug 07.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 23 2007

NRC Sioux City, IA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. In-permit with Army terminated in Jan 2007. Action completed in 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 1 2007

Idaho

NRC Pocatello, ID

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - In-permit with Army terminated in Sep 2006. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

Illinois

NRC Forest Park, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2007. DD Form 1354 transferred land and improvements to Army Reserves on 23 Aug 07.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 2 2007

Indiana

NMCRC Grissom ARB, Peru, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NMCRC closed - Action completed in 2007. Permit terminated with Air Force 5 Jan 07.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 5 2007

NRC Evansville, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2006. Permit with Army terminated on 31 Aug 06.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

NRD HQ Indianapolis, IN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
74	Navy Recruiting Districts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRD closed. No DoN real estate action required; Army lease. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2006

Kentucky

NRC Lexington, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
76	Navy Reserve Centers	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. In-permit with the Army terminated in Sep 2006. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

Louisiana

NMCRC Baton Rouge, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Navy reserve component closed. Relocation of USMC reserve component pending completion of BRAC construction at new AFRC Baton Rouge by U.S. Army in accordance with BRAC 73.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Maryland

21491 Great Mills Road, Lexington Park, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business plan approved. NAVAIR requires use of property through Aug 2011. Current lease effective through Aug 2011. NAVFAC Washington responsible for lease expiration/termination.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

21535 Pacific Drive, Lexington Park, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NAVAIR vacated this property in September 2009 in accordance with lease terms. Action completed in 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2009

NRC Adelphi, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2007. DD Form 1354 transferred land and improvements to Army for use by ARNG on 12/31/2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2007

Maine

NAS Brunswick, ME

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
65	Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Implementation LRA, Mid-Coast Regional Redevelopment Authority, has been formed. Reuse plan approved by HUD on 9 Apr 2009. Environmental Impact Statement expected to be complete in early 2011. Facilities being constructed at Naval Air Station Jacksonville for relocation of aircraft, dedicated personnel, equipment, and support. Completion of facilities and initial relocations completed in Spring 2009. Completed property transfers to the Army and Coast Guard. Reverted 0.23 acres iat the Small Rake Station n accordance with the terms of the deed. Assigned 0.23 acres at the Sabino Hill Rake Station to DOF for parks and recreation public benefit conveyance to the Town of Phippsburg.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Airport, economic development/job creation, education, housing and recreation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

Quantity of property already disposed: 11.43

3355.4

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland Security - (Coast Guard)	Sep 28 2009	11.2
Department of Transportation - (FAA)	Sep 15 2011	10.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

405

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Michigan

NRF Marquette, MI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRF closed - Action completed in 2007. Lease expired on 31 Mar 07.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2007

Minnesota

NRC Duluth, MN

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. Closure and realignment actions completed in 2008. In December 2008, Department of Education withdrew its request for assignment of the property. Disposal actions pending.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Education

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 1.52

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 14 2008

Missouri

MCSA Kansas City, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
64	Naval Support Activity New Orleans, LA
66	Marine Corps Support Activity Kansas City, MO

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Relocation of the Mobilization Command to NSA New Orleans began in April 2009. Marine Corps facility at Federal City estimated ready for occupancy summer 2011. LRA reuse plan submitted to HUD in March 2009. Leased property was transferred to Department of the Army on January 27, 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Light industrial, education and recreation.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 27.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland	TBD	0.0
Security - (FEMA)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

NRC Cape Girardeau, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. Lease with City of Cape Girardeau transferred to Army in Dec 2007. Action completed in 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2007

NRD HQ Kansas City, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
74	Navy Recruiting Districts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRD closed. No DoN real estate action required; Army lease. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

Mississippi

NS Pascagoula, MS

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
67	Naval Station Pascagoula, MS

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Operational closure of NS Pascagoula main site and relocation of ships and squadron to NS Mayport are complete. Main base reverted to State of Mississippi in June 2007. In December 2008 the Sandhill Housing area was transferred to the Air Force. Lakeside Manor housing area still being used to support pre-commissioning units due to damage in the area from Hurrican Katrina. LRA reuse plan for Lakeside was approved by HUD in November 2008. NEPA Finding of No Signficant Impact issued November 2, 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Main Base reverted as a corporate center with Northrop Grumman as the anchor tenant. Lakeside Manor property for park and recreation, and public sale for housing.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 33.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

North Carolina

NRC Asheville, NC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. Lease with Buncombe County, NC terminated in Sep 2006. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2006

Nebraska

NRC Lincoln, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. Action completed in 2007. Lease with Lincoln City Airport Authority terminated in Dec 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2007

NRD HQ Omaha, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
74	Navy Recruiting Districts	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRD closed. No DoN real estate action required; Army lease. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

New Jersey

Inspector-Instructor West Trenton, NJ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

LRA reuse plan submitted to HUD on 29 Apr 08. Facilities at Fort Dix under construction.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Industrial

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 8.24

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

New York

NRC Glens Falls, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2006. Land lease with City of Glens Falls terminated 31 Dec 06. Improvements turned over to City.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRC Horseheads, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2006. Permit with Army terminated on 30 Sep 06.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRC Watertown, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2006. Permit with Army to terminate on 31 Dec 06.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

NRD HQ Buffalo, NY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
74	Navy Recruiting Districts

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRD closed. No DoN real estate action required; Army lease. Action completed in 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

No Surplus Property

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.25

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Ohio

NMCRC Akron, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Construction of new facilities at AFRC Akron awarded in FY10. Reuse Plan resubmitted to HUD in Aug 2008. HUD and LRA working to complete the Homeless Assistance Submission.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law Enforcement

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 3.43

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NRC Cleveland, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2007. DD Form 1354 transferred (reverted) land and improvements to USCG on 28 Dec 07.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Homeland	Dec 28 2007	0.75
Security - (Coast Guard)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Oklahoma

NMCRC Tulsa, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Navy reserve component closed. Relocation of USMC reserve component pending completion of BRAC construction at new AFRC Broken Arrow by U.S. Army. LRA Reuse Plan approved by HUD in August 2007. NEPA Finding of No Significant Impact issued September 2009. Department of Homeland Security and Department of Justice have approved public benefit conveyances for transfer of the property to the City of Broken Arrow, OK.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Law enforcement, public safety and possibly other public uses and activities.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 11.4

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Oregon

NRC Central Point, OR

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
76	Navy Reserve Centers	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Lease terminated with Medford School District in Sep 2006. Action completed in 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Pennsylvania

ENGFLDACT NORTHEAST Lester, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
72	Engineering Field Division/Activity

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

EFANE closed - Action completed in 2006. Realignment with NAVFAC MidLant completed in accordance with BRAC 72. Real property lease expired Sep 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NAS JRB Willow Grove, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
68	Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, PA and Cambria Regional	
	Airport, Johnstown, PA	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Legislation enacted to transfer to Air Force for future conveyance to Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as the Horsham Joint Interagency Installation (HJII). In November 2009, Commonwealth decided in not to pursue the HJII. Construction of facilities to receive relocation of aircraft, equipment, and personnel at McGuire AFB and Ft. Dix, NJ initiated in 2008. September 2009 completed transfer of 18 acres to the Air Force for use by the Army in accordance with BRAC Recommendation #40. Reuse Plan for offsite housing areas being reviewed by HUD. Surplus Determination for Main Base issued in September 2010; LRA is preparing reuse plan.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

Shenandoah Woods Housing site (51 acres), retain as residential but reduce density to reflect the character of surrounding community. Jacksonville Road Housing site (2.5 acres), convert singles family houses to office space. Main Base, TBD

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 1096.3

Quantity of property already disposed: 3.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Transportation - (FAA)	Dec 31 2010	3.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

NAVCRANECEN Lester, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
72	Engineering Field Division/Activity

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action completed in 2006. Navy Crane Center relocated to Norfolk Naval Shipyard, VA. Lease expired Sep 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

NMCRC Reading, PA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Construction of new facilities in Lehigh Valley awarded in FY10. Reuse Plan submitted to HUD in April 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

LRA Redevelopment Plan Pending

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 7.05

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

South Carolina

SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM Charleston, SC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
72	Engineering Field Division/Activity	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Action completed in 2008. SOUTHNAVFECENGCOM disestablished and incorporated into NAVFAC SE in Jacksonville, FL.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Texas

NRC Lubbock, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed. Action completed in 2008. Permit wiith Texas Air National Guard terminated 31 Mar 08.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2008

NRC Orange, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business plan approved and actions are being implemented. LRA recognized. Reuse Plan approved by HUD January 2008. Completed transfer of property for Port public benefit conveyance in March 2009. Action completed in 2009.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Yes

Description of redevelopment plan:

Orange County Navigation and Port District industrial park

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 13.73

Quantity of property already disposed: 13.73

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2009

NS Ingleside, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
71	Naval Station Ingleside, TX and Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, TX	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NS Ingleside closed on April 30, 2010. Mine Warfare assets from NS Ingleside has been relocated to San Diego, CA. The Dredge Spoils Site and the Main Base reverted to the Port of Corpus Christi Authority in September 2008 and April 2010, respectively. LRA for the EMR Facility recognized. Reuse Plan approved by HUD March 2009. In July 2010, LRA requested Navy to sell the EMR facility.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? Yes Description of redevelopment plan:

The preferred reuse is a Marine Business Park. The property is considered to be ideally suited for a multi-user business park that emphasizes, but not limited to, marine light industrial and service uses due to its water front access.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 155.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 30 2010

Virginia

Crystal Park 3, Arlington - Navy, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business plan approved and actions are being implemented. Majority of Navy personnel relocated by BRAC 149 will go to Arlington Service Center in coordination with DISA departure under BRAC 140. NAVFAC in conjunction with WHS and GSA are responsible for lease actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Crystal Square 3, Arlington - Navy, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
149	Relocate Miscellaneous Depart of the Navy Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business plan approved and actions are being implemented. Majority of Navy personnel relocated by BRAC 149 will go to Arlington Service Center in coordination with DISA departure under BRAC 140. NAVFAC in conjunction with WHS and GSA are responsible for lease actions.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Washington

NMCRC Tacoma, WA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
76	Navy Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NMCRC Tacoma UIC operationally closed September 2007 and all reserve units relocated as of Sep 17, 2010. LRA formed and adopted a redevelopment plan. Plan was approved by HUD Jul 2008. Planned disposal is throught a public benefit conveyance to the Port of Tacoma for port related use.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Port-related development

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 8.99

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
BIA - Puyallup Tribe	TBD	0.0

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 17 2010

Wisconsin

NMCRC Madison, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Units will relocate to new facilities after construction of new facilities at Armed Force Reserve Center, Madison. The Navy will terminate leases with City of Madison & State of WI upon completion of relocations.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

None

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

NRC La Crosse, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

NRC closed - Action completed in 2007. Land lease with City of LaCrosse expired on 31 Dec 07 with abandonment of Navy improvements.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Dec 31 2007

West Virginia

NMCRC Moundsville, WV

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
73	Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Navy vacated center, Marines remain until 2011 pending upgrades to existing Pittsburgh center. Actions planned are to transfer land and improvements to ARNG.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Feb 28 2011

Air Force

Alaska

Galena Airport FOL, AK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
195	Galena Forward Operating Location (FOL), AK

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

As of 30 Sep 08, Alert mission was transferred to Eielson AFB and the Forward Operating Location at Galena, Alaska, was officially closed IAW date specified in Air Force Program Action Directive. Terminated leases and returned reserved lands to the City of Galena for a total of 62 acres; implemented ongoing aggressive environmental cleanup program. Continue to work with Alaska Department of Transportation to satisfy environmental requirements to transfer remaining 100 acres. Galena Economic Development Committee prepared re-use plan. No LRA designated. Remaining actions - Continue to work with AK DOT to transfer remaining ~100 acres and obtain sufficient delineation of contamination to initiate Performance Based Remediation Contract in FY13.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

This is a lease termination.

Known environmental remediation issues:See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 30 2008

Kulis AGS, AK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
80	Kulis Air Guard Station AK, and Elmendorf AFB, AK

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Construction projects previously reported for BRAC 2005 directed actions associated with Kulis AGS AK are underway with expected completion 3QTR FY11. Remaining actions are ongoing, i.e., refine, validate and finalize requirements such as: equipment/furnishings, communications, information technology, and infrastructure requirements; removal/transportation of things from lease space (equipment/furnishings); and identify remaining fiscal requirements and closeout procedures. Air National Guard has ongoing actions associated with lease termination at Kulis. Remaining actions - ANG complete property restoration by satisfying state request to demo 24 facilities as provided for in the lease. Property transfer considered complete when lease is terminated.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 1 2011

Arizona

AFRL Mesa City, AZ

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
187	Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Construction projects previously reported at receiving locations associated with this closure are completed or underway and are on schedule for completion to support transfer activities planned for July 2011. Remaining actions are ongoing, i.e., refine, validate and finalize requirements such as: equipment/furnishings, communications, information technology, and infrastructure requirements; removal/transportation of property from lease space (equipment/furnishings); and identify remaining fiscal requirements and closeout procedures. Actions completed for transfer of property include HUD approval of Redevelopment Plan/Homeless Submission, and completion of ECP/EA update, boundary survey and FOSL. Ongoing actions include completion of LRA Interim Lease, LRA EDC application, FOST, and quitclaim deed.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Specialized Research in High Technology

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 6.5

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None	None

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

497

California

Onizuka AFS, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
84	Onizuka AFS, CA

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Onizuka AFS missions and associated equipment relocated to Vandenberg AFB are complete as of 30 September 2010. Actions ongoing to transfer Onizuka to the City of Sunnyvale (LRA) or public sale: completed actions - removed paint shop, updated cultural/historic facility report, created transition team and deal team to facilitate complex transfer. Remaining actions - decommission power plant, survey, appraisal, MOA, FOST, Deed. Property transfer to VA and homeless providers with remainder transfer through PBC or Public Sale. VA request for additional acreage to satisfy force protection, parking, and future growth is pending.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Commercial or Public Benefit Conveyance use along with a Verteran"s Affairs enclave

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 20.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Veterans Affairs	Sep 15 2011	1.53

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Acreage affected by remediation issues: See Appendix 1

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Texas

Brooks City-Base, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
170	Brooks City-Base, TX

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

The Air Force is proceeding with planning actions to close Brooks City-Base, TX and relocate tenants to gaining locations as directed in BRAC Law, i.e., Randolph AFB, TX, Wright Patterson AFB, OH, Lackland AFB, TX, Ft Sam Houston, TX, and Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD. Remaining construction projects previously reported are underway and on schedule for completion 3QTR FY2011. Remaining actions are ongoing, i.e., refine, validate and finalize requirements such as: equipment/furnishings, communications, information technology, and infrastructure requirements; removal/transportation of things from lease space (equipment/furnishings); and identify remaining fiscal requirements and closeout procedures. Brooks City-Base personal property transfer agreement with LRA signed October 1, 2008 and implementation is underway. Lease termination planning ongoing, i.e., obtain MOA signature, update ECP, develop access agreement for post lease termination access to clean up any environmental issues.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Known environmental remediation issues: See Appendix 1

See Appendix 1 Acreage affected by remediation issues:

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: See Appendix 1 Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: See Appendix 1

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Virginia

1501 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for facility closure actions at this location. The Air Force continues to validate requirements and realignment actions to relocate Air Force personnel from this leased facility to Andrews AFB, MD as directed in BRAC 2005 law. Military construction beneficial occupancy date is February 2011. Personnel moves from leased locations expected to begin in late March or early April 2011. The Air Force continues to refine, validate and finalize requirements for the new facility such as: equipment/furnishings, communications, information technology, and infrastructure requirements; removal/transportation of things from lease space (equipment/furnishings) to Andrews AFB; and identify remaining fiscal requirements and closeout procedures.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

1560 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for actual closure of this facility. The Air Force does not lease or occupy space at this location. No action required by the Air Force.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federa	l Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
N	lone	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 15 2005

1919 South Eads Street, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for facility closure actions at this location. The Air Force continues to validate requirements and realignment actions to relocate Air Force personnel from this leased facility to Andrews AFB, MD as directed in BRAC 2005 law. Military construction beneficial occupancy date is February 2011. Personnel moves from leased locations expected to begin in late March or early April 2011. The Air Force continues to refine, validate and finalize requirements for the new facility such as: equipment/furnishings, communications, information technology, and infrastructure requirements; removal/transportation of things from lease space (equipment/furnishings) to Andrews AFB; and identify remaining fiscal requirements and closeout procedures.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

Arlington Plaza, Arlington - Air Force, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
129	Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard
	Headquarters Leased Locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Washington Headquarters Service is responsible for facility closure actions at this location. The Air Force continues to validate requirements and realignment actions to relocate Air Force personnel from this leased facility to Andrews AFB, MD as directed in BRAC 2005 law. Military construction beneficial occupancy date is February 2011. Personnel moves from leased locations expected to begin in late March or early April 2011. The Air Force continues to refine, validate and finalize requirements for the new facility such as: equipment/furnishings, communications, information technology, and infrastructure requirements; removal/transportation of things from lease space (equipment/furnishings) to Andrews AFB; and identify remaining fiscal requirements and closeout procedures.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2011

Wisconsin

Gen Mitchell IAP ARS, WI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
97	Key Field Air Guard Station, MS
117	General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Transferred property to General Mitchell International Airport, 26 July 2010. Completed NEPA, MOA OWS removal, site survey, property appraisal, personal property inventory, updated ECP, and licensed facilities to property recipient to allow them to move forward with redevelopment. Remaining actions - closeout utility Miscellaneous Obligation Reimbursement Document.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? Yes

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? Yes

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

Airport uses.

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 102.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
Department of Transportation -	Jul 26 2010	102.0
(FAA)		

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 26 2010

Defense Agencies

Defense Contract Management Agecny (DCMA)

Metro Park 3, 6350 Walker Lane, Alexandria - DCMA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased	
	locations	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Participated in BRAC implementation planning for recommendations that affect this location. Business plan for recommendation #133 developed and approved by Infrastructure Steering Group. Coordinated with Army and WHS on timing of lease termination. Reevaluated number of personnel required to move from leased location and associated costs and savings.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Metro Park 4, 6359 Walker Lane, Alexandria - DCMA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased	
	locations	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Participated in BRAC implementation planning for recommendations that affect this location. Business plan for recommendation #133 developed and approved by Infrastructure Steering Group. Coordinated with Army and WHS on timing of lease termination. Reevaluated number of personnel required to move from leased location and associated costs and savings.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Defense Commissary Agency (DECA)

300 AFCOMS Way, San Antonio, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
139	Consolidate Defense Commisary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional, and	
	Hopewell, VA, Offices	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business plan approved and closure action completed 30 Oct 06.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 30 2006

5151 Bonney Road, Virginia Beach, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
139	Consolidate Defense Commisary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional, and	
	Hopewell, VA, Offices	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business plan approved and closure action completed 3 Oct 06.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Oct 3 2006

5258 Oaklawn Boulevard, Hopewell, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
139	Consolidate Defense Commisary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional, and	
	Hopewell, VA, Offices	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Business Plan for additional wing on existing building approved. Construction of new wing started in Aug 2008, project is on schedule to be completed in Aug 2010 Construction and facility truned over to Hq DeCA on Jan 2010, installaiton of fixtures completed Aug 13, 2010. Move in stated in Aug 1, 2010 in a phase approach to allow for rehab of other HQ DeCA facilities -on track to be completed in Sept 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS)

DFAS - Oakland, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, Oakland closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - San Bernardino, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, San Bernardino closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - San Diego, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, San Diego closed in March 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2008

DFAS - Seaside, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, Seaside closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - Denver, CO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Denver closed in March 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2010

DFAS - NAS Pensacola, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS- NAS Pensacola closed in May, 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: May 31 2008

DFAS - Orlando, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities. business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Orlando closed in August 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0 Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Aug 31 2007 Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

DFAS - Pensacola Saufley Field, FL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Pensacola Saufley Field closed in November 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 20 2010

DFAS - NS Pearl Harbor, Ford Island, HI

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, NS Pearl Harbor, Ford Island closed in March 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

140110

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Mar 31 2007

DFAS - Rock Island Arsenal, IL

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Rock Island Arsenal closed in June 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2008

DFAS - Lexington, KY

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Lexington closed in June 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2006

DFAS - NAS Patuxent River, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, NAS Patuxent River closed in November 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Nov 30 2010

DFAS - Kansas City, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Kansas City closed in August 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2008

DFAS - St. Louis, MO

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, St. Louis closed in June 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2007

Offutt AFB - DFAS site, NE

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. The DFAS Site at Offut Air Force Base closed in April 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Apr 30 2008

DFAS - Dayton, OH

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Dayton closed in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 15 2007

DFAS - Ft Sill, OK

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, Lawton (Fort Sill) closed in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 24 2007

DFAS - Charleston, SC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. DFAS, Charleston closed in January 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 31 2008

DFAS - San Antonio, TX

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

An initial site survey visit was conducted in November 2005. The purpose of the survey was to develop a site profile for DFAS locations. The visit consisted of data collection for business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for workload and workforce transition to the enduring sites. DFAS planned for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Union officials for sites closing in FY06 were notified in December 2005. Voluntary Early Retirement Act (VERA) / Voluntary Separation Incentive Program (VSIP) windows and employee registration in the Priority Placement Program (PPP) were scheduled for February 2006. Human Resources scheduled Reduction in Force (RIF) notices to be issued in March 2006. DFAS, San Antonio closed in August 2006.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 31 2006

DFAS - NS Norfolk, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
145	Defense Finance and Accounting Service

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DFAS continued planning for site closure and mission realignment. DFAS conducted an All Hands briefing in December 2005 to inform employees about the BRAC closure schedule, impacts to employees, and upcoming events. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. An initial site assessment was conducted in December 2005. The assessment included the collection of data for facilities, business processes, workforce size, and systems applications. This information will be used for planning workload migration to the enduring sites. Human Resources surveyed employees to identify those who are willing to relocate to enduring sites. DFAS, NS Norfolk closed in January 2007.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None.

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 31 2007

Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA)

1801 South Bell Street, Arlington - CIFA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD	
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

All DIA (former CIFA) activities at this location have vacated and are temporarily staged at other locations, awaiting completion of the Military Departmental Investigative Agency facility at Marine Corps Base Quantico, Virginia. Closure is being coordinated with Washington Headquarters Services, who is the DoD real property manager for this installation.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 1 2011

1919 South Eads Street, Arlington - CIFA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD	
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Commercial leased property vacated on 30 August 2005, prior to BRAC Recommendation 131 becoming statute. No further DIA or former CIFA presence remains.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federa	l Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
N	lone	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Aug 30 2005

Defense Infromation Systems Agency (DISA)

1010 Gause Boulevard, LA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR
	D&A Capability

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

The DISA Continuity of Operations and Test Facility (DCTF) located at 1010 Gause Blvd, Slidell, LA, was closed and returned to the city of Slidell on 20 January 2007. 100% of the Slidell workforce were placed in new positions or retired from the Federal Government. The city accepted capital improvements made to the facility during DISA's occupation and also accepted excess personal property.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jan 20 2007

5600 Columbia Pike, Falls Church - DISA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR
	D&A Capability

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

The RFP for DISA's new facility at Ft Meade was released on 11 Oct 2007. The design/build contract was awarded on 29 Feb 2008. Construction on the new facility began on 11 September 2008. Movement of personnel from this location is expected to be complete by July 2011. Restoration will be complete and the property turned over to the lessor by 7 July 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 31 2011

Logicon Building, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR
	D&A Capability

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DISA personnel have vacated this property as of 30 September 2008, and the lease was terminated in June 2009. Personnel have been temporarily relocated to the Arlington Service Center until the new facility at Ft Meade is ready. The RFP for DISA's new facility at Ft Meade was released on 11 October 2007. The design/build contract was awarded on 29 Feb 2008. Construction on the new facility began on 11 September 2008.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 1 2009

Skyline Place (Skyline 7), Falls Church - DISA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
140	Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR	
	D&A Capability	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

The RFP for DISA's new facility at Ft Meade was released on 11 Oct 2007. The design/build contract was awarded on 29 Feb 2008. Construction on the new facility began on 11 September 2008. Movement of personnel from this location is expected to be complete by July 2011. Restoration will be complete and the property turned over to the lessor by 1 September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jul 31 2011

Defense Media Activity (DMA)

601 North Fairfax St, Alexandria - AFIS, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
141	Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and	
	Publications	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

The new Defense Media Activity was formed on 1 Oct 2008 in advance of the BRAC move.

The new facility construction at Fort Meade is on track and will be completed mid-FY2011.

This leased facility closure is on track for early September 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 1 2011

DoD Office of Hearings and Appeals (DOHA)

21820 Burbank Boulevard, Woodland Hills, CA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
130	Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

This office is on track to close when lease expires. GSA Los Angeles, CA has been notified of the BRAC move. Individual positions will be moved to DOHA's Arlington, VA office but will be domiciled in CA on a alternative work schedule agreement to reduce agency travel costs.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 1 2011

Defense Security Service (DSS)

938 Elkridge Landing Road, Linthicum, MD

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD	
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DSS submitted a Business Plan update to ACSIM in Apr 2010. DSS has no real property to dispose of, and therefore no remediation actions are necessary. We continue to plan for the realignment of DISCO to Ft Meade schedulded to begin July 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

1340 Braddock Place, Alexandria - DSS, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
131	Co-locate Military Department Investigation Agencies with DoD	
	Counterintelligence and Security Agency	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

DSS submitted a Business Plan update to Headquarters Marine Corps in Feb 2010. DSS has no real property to dispose of, and therefore no remediation actions are necessary. We continue to plan for the realignment of DSS HQ at 1340 Braddock Place to MCB Quantico schedulded to begin May 2011.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Missile Defense Agency (MDA)

Suffolk Building, Falls Church - MDA, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
134	Co-locate Missile and Space Defense Agencies

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

In response to the 2005 Defense Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Commission recommendations, MDA was directed to realign several MDA functions currently being conducted in the National Capital Region (NCR) to other Government facilities. The Headquarters functions currently in FOB2 and the Suffolk Building slated to remain in the NCR will be consolidated in a Headquarters Command Center (HQCC) located at Fort Belvoir, VA, while the other mission and mission support activities will be relocated to the Von Braun (VB) complex on Redstone Arsenal in Huntsville, AL. Design-build contracts have been awarded for both of the BRAC-directed construction projects. A \$38.5 million HQCC contract was awarded to Foulger-Pratt Construction of Rockville, MD. A \$221 million contract was awarded to Archer Western Contractors of Atlanta to design and build the third and largest phase of the Von Braun complex on Redstone Arsenal. When complete in 2011, the new facility will be the largest building on Redstone Arsenal and able to accommodate approximately 2,650 occupants. Construction on the HQCC is approximately 81% complete, and the VBIII facility is about 85% complete. As these efforts are underway, MDA continues to realign functions performed in the NCR to facilities in Huntsville. To date, MDA has transitioned or established more than 2,000 of the planned 2,248 BRAC positions to Huntsville/Redstone Arsenal. To accommodate these early realignments, MDA leveraged the recently completed Von Braun II facility and other office space available in Huntsville and on Redstone Arsenal. The remainder of our BRAC positions going to Redstone Arsenal will realign before the September 15, 2011 deadline.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

National Geo-Spatial Intelligence Agency (NGA)

Bldg 213 South East Federal Center Washington, DC

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC implementation planning. Construction contract awarded on 10 August 2007. Groundbreaking ceremony held on 25 September 2007. Active IT and New Campus East Deployment Planning and Execution contracts awarded on 22 February 2008 and 9 April 2008 respectively. Steel signing ceremony held on 3 September 2008 with placement of the first major steel going into the main office building. Turnover of the Central Utility Plant (CUP) and the Technology Center was completed in July 2009. Working issues with all Ft Belvoir Working Groups. Consolidation of NGA East facilities and operations is on schedule for completion by 15 September 2011 as directed. Final Business Plan for Comm 168 submitted through OSD Basing Director October 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0 Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Sep 14 2011 Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

8510 Newington Building, Newington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC implementation planning. Construction contract awarded on 10 August 2007. Groundbreaking ceremony held on 25 September 2007. Active IT and New Campus East Deployment Planning and Execution contracts awarded on 22 February 2008 and 9 April 2008 respectively. Steel signing ceremony held on 3 September 2008 with placement of the first major steel going into the main office building. Turnover of the Central Utility Plant (CUP) and the Technology Center was completed in July 2009. Working issues with all Ft Belvoir Working Groups. Consolidation of NGA East facilities and operations is on schedule for completion by 15 September 2011 as directed. Final Business Plan for Comm 168 submitted through OSD Basing Director October 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

8520 Newington Building, Newington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC implementation planning. Construction contract awarded on 10 August 2007. Groundbreaking ceremony held on 25 September 2007. Active IT and New Campus East Deployment Planning and Execution contracts awarded on 22 February 2008 and 9 April 2008 respectively. Steel signing ceremony held on 3 September 2008 with placement of the first major steel going into the main office building. Turnover of the Central Utility Plant (CUP) and the Technology Center was completed in July 2009. Working issues with all Ft Belvoir Working Groups. Consolidation of NGA East facilities and operations is on schedule for completion by 15 September 2011 as directed. Final Business Plan for Comm 168 submitted through OSD Basing Director October 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority? No

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

0.0 Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation:

None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Sep 14 2011 Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

8530 Newington Building, Newington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC implementation planning. Construction contract awarded on 10 August 2007. Groundbreaking ceremony held on 25 September 2007. Active IT and New Campus East Deployment Planning and Execution contracts awarded on 22 February 2008 and 9 April 2008 respectively. Steel signing ceremony held on 3 September 2008 with placement of the first major steel going into the main office building. Turnover of the Central Utility Plant (CUP) and the Technology Center was completed in July 2009. Working issues with all Ft Belvoir Working Groups. Consolidation of NGA East facilities and operations is on schedule for completion by 15 September 2011 as directed. Final Business Plan for Comm 168 submitted through OSD Basing Director October 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 14 2011

Reston 1, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC implementation planning. Construction contract awarded on 10 August 2007. Groundbreaking ceremony held on 25 September 2007. Active IT and New Campus East Deployment Planning and Execution contracts awarded on 22 February 2008 and 9 April 2008 respectively. Steel signing ceremony held on 3 September 2008 with placement of the first major steel going into the main office building. Turnover of the Central Utility Plant (CUP) and the Technology Center was completed in July 2009. Working issues with all Ft Belvoir Working Groups. Consolidation of NGA East facilities and operations is on schedule for completion by 15 September 2011 as directed. Final Business Plan for Comm 168 submitted through OSD Basing Director October 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Jun 30 2011

Reston 2, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC implementation planning. Construction contract awarded on 10 August 2007. Groundbreaking ceremony held on 25 September 2007. Active IT and New Campus East Deployment Planning and Execution contracts awarded on 22 February 2008 and 9 April 2008 respectively. Steel signing ceremony held on 3 September 2008 with placement of the first major steel going into the main office building. Turnover of the Central Utility Plant (CUP) and the Technology Center was completed in July 2009. Working issues with all Ft Belvoir Working Groups. Consolidation of NGA East facilities and operations is on schedule for completion by 15 September 2011 as directed. Final Business Plan for Comm 168 submitted through OSD Basing Director October 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Reston 3, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
168	National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Conducted BRAC implementation planning. Construction contract awarded on 10 August 2007. Groundbreaking ceremony held on 25 September 2007. Active IT and New Campus East Deployment Planning and Execution contracts awarded on 22 February 2008 and 9 April 2008 respectively. Steel signing ceremony held on 3 September 2008 with placement of the first major steel going into the main office building. Turnover of the Central Utility Plant (CUP) and the Technology Center was completed in July 2009. Working issues with all Ft Belvoir Working Groups. Consolidation of NGA East facilities and operations is on schedule for completion by 15 September 2011 as directed. Final Business Plan for Comm 168 submitted through OSD Basing Director October 2010.

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense? No

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed: 0.0

Quantity of property already disposed: 0.0

Federal Agencies requesting property during screening process; and if applicable, the anticipated transfer date and the amount of property to be transferred:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions: Sep 15 2011

Washington Headquarters Service (WHS)

1010 North Glebe Road, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased	
	locations	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

1500 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title	
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased	
	locations	

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

1515 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Title
-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased ations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

1901 North Beauregard, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

4850 Mark Center Drive, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased
	locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% design and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?

Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed?

No property to transfer

Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?

No Description of redevelopment plan:

None

Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:

0.0

Quantity of property already disposed:

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

None

Known environmental remediation issues: None

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

Crown Ridge Building, 4035 Ridgetop, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased locations

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?	No
Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer	
Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?	No
Description of redevelopment plan: None	
Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:	0.0
Quantity of property already disposed:	0.0

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Known environmental remediation issues:

None

Acreage affected by remediation issues:

None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

North Tower 2800 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title		
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased locations		

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25 November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	See Below
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site through a formal site selection process. The Department of the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4, 2008. Site preparation and construction began on December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than 1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and 86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?	No
Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer	
Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?	No
Description of redevelopment plan: None	
Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:	0.0
Quantity of property already disposed:	0.0

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Explanation for any delays in transfer of property:

Known environmental remediation issues:

None

Acreage affected by remediation issues:

None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:

Presidental Towers, Arlington, VA

Commission recommendation(s) affected by this installation:

Number	Title		
133	Co-locate Miscellaneous DoD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased locations		

Closure or realignment actions taken as of December 1, 2010:

Component	Description	Complete Date
WHS	The Department of the Army signed a contract on 25	See Below
	November 2008 with Duke Realty to construct an	
	Administrative Complex for 6,409 personnel relocating with	
	elements of OSD and WHS organizations, Defense	
	Agencies and Field Activities under BRAC #133 at the	
	Mark Center in Alexandria, VA. This location was selected	
	by the Department of the Army for the BRAC #133 site	
	through a formal site selection process. The Department of	
	the Army completed purchase of the land on December 4,	
	2008. Site preparation and construction began on	
	December 23, 2008. The complex will contain more than	
	1.7 million gross square feet of office space, including two	
	multi story office towers, parking garages, separate delivery	
	and inspection facilities, and a transportation center. The	
	design build/build-to-suit project, now 100% designed and	
	86% construction complete is on schedule. The project is	
	being designed to the "LEED Gold" standard.	

Has a local redevelopment authority been recognized by the Secretary of Defense?	No
Has the screening of property for other Federal Agency use been completed? No property to transfer	
Has a redevelopment plan been agreed to by the redevelopment authority?	No
Description of redevelopment plan: None	
Quantity of property remaining to be disposed:	0.0
Quantity of property already disposed:	0.0

Federal Agency	Anticipated Transfer Date	Acres to be Transferred
None	None None	

Acreage affected by remediation issues: None

Estimated cost to complete environmental remediation: None

Plans and timelines to address environmental remediation: None

Estimated completion date for all closure or realignment actions:



Department of Defense

Report on 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Implementation

Volume II

September 2011

Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations and Environment)

Appendix I

Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Issues

The Department of Defense (DoD) manages approximately 29 million acres of land, as well as thousands of military facilities and former defense properties throughout the United States and abroad to support its national security mission. This built and natural infrastructure provides DoD with the capability to organize, train, and equip the nation's military to perform its mission. In cooperation with communities and regulators, DoD's environmental programs sustain, restore, and modernize its infrastructure to maintain readiness and protect human health and the environment.

Through the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP), DoD addresses environmental contamination resulting from military activities. The DERP applies the environmental restoration process to all contaminated sites as set forth by the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) and its implementing regulation, the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan. CERCLA is the primary legal authority governing cleanup activities at all DoD installations and properties, including base realignment and closure (BRAC) installations. The DERP has three program categories to address environmental restoration at active and BRAC installations, and Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) properties:

- The Installation Restoration Program (IRP) addresses the release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants on DoD installations and properties.
- The Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP) addresses environmental health and safety hazards from unexploded ordnance, discarded military munitions, and munitions constituents at locations other than operational ranges.
- The Building Demolition/Debris Removal (BD/DR) Program provides for the
 demolition and removal of unsafe buildings and structures at facilities or sites that meet
 specified criteria. Due to the small size of the program, BD/DR sites are included in IRP
 site counts. The BD/DR program will not be discussed separately from the IRP in this
 report.

Enactment of Public Law No. 110-417, the "Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010," has led to changes in the 2908 reporting requirements. Beginning with fiscal year (FY) 2009, DoD must only report BRAC 2005 closures. Prior to FY2009, both closures and realignments were reported.

Environmental Restoration Process

DoD addresses contamination at BRAC installations within the DERP in the same manner that it addresses contamination at active installations and FUDS properties. DoD monitors cleanup progress at sites by aligning cleanup status with three key stages in the CERCLA process: (1) investigation completed, underway, or planned, (2) cleanup completed, underway, or planned, and (3) long-term management (LTM) completed, underway, or planned. This process is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Environmental Restoration Process

- 1. Investigation Completed, Underway, or Planned When environmental contamination is suspected at a site, DoD begins the investigation process. DoD collects data to assess the nature and extent of contamination and the potential risks posed by the contamination through cleanup phases. These phases include the preliminary assessment (PA), site inspection (SI), and remedial investigation (RI). Using the investigation data, DoD then conducts a feasibility study (FS) to examine the merits of various cleanup options and to determine the best strategy for remediation. The completion milestone for the investigation phase is documented in a Record of Decision (ROD) or an equivalent decision document for the site. In this document, DoD records the results of its investigation, the need for site remediation (if required), the selected remediation strategy, and the remedial objectives for the site. Interim remedial actions (IRAs) are interim removal or remedial actions undertaken prior to the selection of the final remedy as a part of the larger remedy.
- 2. Cleanup Completed, Underway, or Planned If investigation results indicate that remediation is required, a site progresses to the cleanup stage, and DoD begins to implement the selected remedy for the site. The cleanup phases comprise the remedial design (RD) and remedial action construction (RA-C), and may include remedial action operation (RA-O). DoD continues cleanup or arranges for the operation of the remedy until the remedial objectives for the site required under the ROD, or equivalent document, are met. Two key milestones included in this stage are:

- a. Remedy in Place (RIP) RIP is a milestone within the cleanup phase which indicates that cleanup systems required by the ROD are constructed and operational.
- b. Response Complete (RC) A site achieves RC when the site has reached the agreed upon cleanup standards. This stage marks the end of the cleanup process at the site.
- 3. Long-term Management completed, underway, or planned After a site achieves RC, it may still require LTM activities to ensure the implemented remedy remains protective of human health and the environment.

Installation Data Template

This appendix provides information for all the BRAC 2005 closing installations in DoD's DERP program with ongoing environmental activities. Data in this appendix are provided at the installation level for reporting purposes. Figure 2 depicts the data template for each installation.






Figure 2: Installation Template


Installation Data Template Sections



This graphic depicts the introductory information for each installation. The template provides the installation's name, the state where it is located, the responsible Military Service, and its FFID. The FFID is unique to the installation and its accompanying data to enable thorough tracking of information from year to year.



DoD tracks site progress by environmental restoration phase (e.g., investigation, cleanup, long-term management) and demonstrates progress at these sites as they move from investigation through the cleanup phases to complete the environmental restoration requirements. This installation-level summary provides the total number of DERP sites at the installation and breaks that number down by DERP category (IRP or MMRP). It also shows the number of sites in each category that have achieved RC. Lastly, this section also lists the number of acres impacted by environmental contamination.



This field provides the cost to complete (CTC) estimates for all remaining environmental actions at the installation. CTC estimates are the anticipated funds needed to complete cleanup at IRP sites and Munitions Response Sites (MRSs). DoD uses CTC estimates for several purposes, including planning, programming, budgeting and execution, as well as for reporting future program requirements. These amounts exclude program management and other support costs not directly attributable to specific sites.

Continued funding at sites that have achieved RC may be required to complete LTM actions specified in the site's decision document or requested by the lead regulatory agency. These costs are typically for activities such as conducting periodic monitoring and five-year reviews to ensure a site's cleanup actions have been protective of human health and the environment.

D Phase and Milestone Schedules

Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	202
PA	1990	2003	4															
SI	1990	2007	<															
RIFS	1990	2010	4															
Study Completion		2010	•															
IRA	1990	1995	4										- 0		3			
RD	1996	2010	<															
RA-C	1995	2011	4										- 1					
Remedy in Place		2011		••														
RA-O	1998	2015	4								-						-	
Response Complete		2015						•••										
LTM	2000	2020	<														- 1	

Provides the beginning and end date by FY for each phase, and the FY for each milestone at the installation.


Cleanup activities often span multiple FY's, making it is necessary for DoD to track a cleanup action's status across multiple fiscal years. Phases within the investigation and cleanup stages may occur simultaneously or overlap across fiscal years.


On this section of the table, the phases and milestones of the cleanup process are identified along the y-axis, while the fiscal year start and end dates for the phases and milestones are on the x-axis. Anticipated milestones are highlighted according to the year in which they are expected to occur; those phases and milestones occurring prior to the FYs listed are shown with an arrow indicating the milestone has occurred in a previous year. These years may be estimated or actual years, depending on the phase and the installation's cleanup timeline. For both the phases and milestones, the start and end date are recorded in the Phase FY column.

Expected timelines for addressing DERP environmental cleanup are provided for the three major restoration milestones:

- ✓ Study Completion (SC) MMRP or IRP sites where investigation of the environmental contamination is beginning or still underway
- ✓ RIP –The point at which the selected cleanup systems are constructed and operational
- ✓ RC The point at which the site has achieved the agreed upon cleanup standards, indicating that cleanup is complete.

For each milestone, the end date is graphically depicted with one dot for the SC milestone, two dots for the RIP milestone, and three dots for the RC milestone.





Data provided in this section identifies the various types of known environmental remediation issues found at the installation to be addressed under the DERP, as well as contaminants identified and the media affected. If remedies have been selected for the various cleanup actions, they are also included in this section. In some instances an installation may use a combination of remedies to address a contaminant within a specific medium, or the same remedy type may be applied to various site types.

Volume II – Appendix I Table of Contents

State	Installation Name	Page
Army		15
California		17
	Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	19
Connecticut	Tuvorbanity uning runnianiden Flank	21
Commodicat	Middletown USARC	23
	Windsor Locks USARC	24
District of Columbia	Willuson Locks OSAING	25
District of Columbia	Maltar Dood Army Madical Contar	
0	Walter Reed Army Medical Center	27
Georgia	F 10"	29
	Fort Gillem	31
	Fort McPherson	32
Indiana		35
	Newport Chemical Depot	37
Kansas		39
	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	41
Massachusetts		43
	Arthur MacArthur USARC	45
Mississippi		47
	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	49
New Jersey	modestpp://www.y.rumam.com/	51
How doisey	Fort Monmouth	53
	Sgt J.W. Joyce Kilmer USARC	54
Rhode Island	Sgt 3.W. Joyce Killilei OSAKO	5 5
Kiloue Islaliu	Quinta-Gamelin USARC	57
T	Quinta-Gamelin USARC	
Texas		59
	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	61
Virginia		63
	Fort Monroe	65
Washington		67
	Fort Lawton USAR Complex	69
	Vancouver Barracks	70
Navy		73
California		75
	Los Angeles NMCRC	77
Georgia		79
3	Athens NAVSCSCOL	81
Maine	7 1110110 7 11 11 00 00 00 1	83
mamo	Brunswick NAS	85
Missouri	Branowick (W.C.	87
Missouri	Kansas City MCSA	89
Nebraska	Ransas City MCCA	91
INCUIASNA	Lincoln NRC	
Now York	LIHCOIH INRU	93
New York	Matartana NDO	95
	Watertown NRC	97
Pennsylvania		99
	Willow Grove NAS	101

Texas		103
	Lubbock NMCRC	105
Washington		107
-	Tacoma NMCRC	109
Air Force		111
Alaska		113
	Galena FOL	115
California		117
	Onizuka Air Force Base	119
Texas		121
	Brooks City-Base	123
Wisconsin		125
	General Mitchell Air Force Base	127

Army

California

Installatio	n Name:	Riverba	nk Arr	ny Am	munitio	n Plar	nt							Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my		
Installatio	n FFID:	CA9213	82075	900												State	: Ca	liforn	ia	
Sites Sites RC									s:	37	1			,	Cost-I	to-Coi	mplet	e (\$K)	: \$	51,405
Phase FY P										e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase					<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA			1980	2003	∢															
SI			1981	2008	◀															

Site Types:

Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Ground Water, Landfill, Pistol Range, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Waste Treatment Plant

Affected Media:

RIFS

IRA

RD

RA-C

RA-O

LTM

Not Reported

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Capping, Other

Study Completion

Remedy in Place

Response Complete

1984 1994 **-----**

1987 1995 **-----**

1993 1998 **-----**

2014 2002 2016 -----

2008 4 • 1990 1991 **1990 1991 1990 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991**

2008 **◀ • •** 1995 2014 **◄ • • •**

Contaminants:

Not Reported

Connecticut

Installation Name: Middletown USARC	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID: CT121042277000	State:	Connecticut
Sites BC		

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	12	12
IRP:	12	12
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$0

		Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase		Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA		1989	1992	4															
SI		1990	1996	∢															
RIFS		2001	2008	∢															
	Study Completion		2008	⋖・															
IRA																			
RD																			
RA-C		1990	2008	∢															
	Remedy in Place		2008	◀ ••															
RA-O																			
	Response Complete		2008	◀ •••															
LTM																			

Site Types:

Contaminated Ground Water, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Leach Field, Washrack, Storage Area, Soil Contamination After Tank Removal, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Unknown Site Type

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, Other

Contaminants:

Not Reported

	Installation Name	\A/id	امما	a LICA	DC									NA:II:4	on S	ervice		2014			
	Installation Name:	vvinasor	LOCK	S USA	RC					_				IVIIII	ary S	ervice	Ar	шу			
	Installation FFID:	CT12104	42258	700												State	: Co	nnect	icut		
										_											
	Sites	Sites F	₹C																		
	Total: 26	26	-1			Δffe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-1	o-Cor	molete	e (\$K)		\$0	
	IRP: 26 MMRP: 0	26 0	-			7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 10.00										· (+: ·)		Ψ	
	WIWINF.	U																			
			Phas	se FY										Sched							
	Phase		Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA		1989	1993	∢																
	SI		1992	1993	∢																
	RIFS		2003	2008	∢																
	Study Con	npletion		2008	⋖・																
	IRA																				
	RD																				
	RA-C			1994																	
	Remedy i	in Place		2008	◀••																
	RA-O																				
	Response Co	omplete		2008	◀ •••																
	LTM																				
Site Typ	es:																				
	l Pit and Dry Well, Oil/	/Water S	eparat	tor, Sto	rage Are	a, Soi	il Con	tamina	ition A	fter Ta	ank Re	emova	ıl, Unc	dergro	und St	orage	Tank	s, Unk	nown	Site Type	е
					_																
Affected	d Media:																				
Not Rep	orted																				
Remedi	es:																				
Waste F	Removal - Soils, Waste	e Remova	al - Dr	ums, T	anks, Bu	ılk Coı	ntaine	ers													
Contam	in a mata a																				

Not Reported

District of Columbia

	Installation Name:	Walter F	Reed A	Army M	Medical C	enter								Milit	ary S	ervice	: Arı	my			
	Installation FFID:	DC3211	62115	600												State	: Dis	strict	of Col	umbia	l
	_																				
	Sites	Sites F	RC																		
	Total: 7 IRP: 7	7	-1			Affe	ected	Acres	: 🗀	0					Cost-t	o-Coi	nplete	e (\$K)	:	\$0	l
	MMRP: 0	0																			•
			Phas	se FY					Phase	e. Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	bv FY					
	Phase		Beg		<= 2010	2011	2012											2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA		1990	2007																	
	SI		1992	1998	∢																
	RIFS		1996	2008	∢																
	Study Cor	mpletion		2008	∢•																
	IRA		1994	2008	4																
	RD																				
	RA-C			2007																	
	Remedy	in Place		2008																	
	RA-O				∢																
	Response C	Complete		2008	∢ •••																
	LTM																				
Site Typ	es:																				
Contami	nated Ground Water,	, Storage	Area,	Spill S	ite Area,	Unde	rgrour	nd Stor	age T	anks											
Affected																					
Not Repo	orted																				
Remedie	es:																				
	I, Ground Water Trea	atment, Fi	ree Pro	oduct F	Recovery	, Wast	te Rer	noval	- Drun	ns, Ta	nks, B	ulk Co	ontain	ers							
Contami	inants:																				
Not Rep	orted																				

Georgia

Installation Name:	Fort Gillem	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	GA421402004600	State:	Georgia

	Sites	Sites RC			
Total:	16	0	255 1 2 2	-	
IRP:	15	0	Affected Acres:	375	Cost-to-Complete (\$K)
MMRP:	1	0			

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA	1980	2007	4															
SI	1982	2012	4															
RIFS	1993	2013	4															
Study Completion		2013				•												
IRA	1993	2013	∢															
RD	2000	2013	∢															
RA-C	2003	2013	∢															
Remedy in Place		2013				••												
RA-O	2010	2015																
Response Complete		2015						•••										
LTM	2011	2039																▶

Site Types:

Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Pesticide Shop, Washrack, Small Arms Range, Sewage Treatment Plant, Underground Storage Tanks, Waste Treatment Plant, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Soil, Surface Water

Remedies:

Alternate Water Supply/Water Supply Treatment, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Natural Attenuation,,bioremediation, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, Other, In Situ Soil Treatment

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols

\$8,536

Installation Name:	Fort McPherson	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	GA421402056500	State:	Georgia
211			

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	17	11
IRP:	13	8
MMRP:	4	3

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$391

	Phas	se FY						Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY										
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA	1988	2009	4															
SI	1988	2011	4															
RIFS	1992	2014	4															
Study Completion		2014					•											
IRA	1990	1996	∢															
RD	1994	1998	∢															
RA-C	1994	1999	∢															
Remedy in Place		2014					••											
RA-O	1996	2011	4															
Response Complete		2014					•••											
LTM	2011	2022																

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Fill, Contaminated Ground Water, Surface Disposal Area, Firing Range, Oil/Water Separator, Small Arms Range, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Groundwater

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Free Product Recovery, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers

Contaminants:

Halogenated VOCs

Indiana

Installation Name:	Newport Chemical Depot	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	IN521382227200	State:	Indiana

	Sites	Sites RC						
Total:	19	16						
IRP:	17	15						
MMRP:	2	1						

Affected Acres: 0 Cost-to-Complete (\$K):

	Phase FY		·															
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA	1978	2007	4															
SI	1978	2011	4															
RIFS	1987	2011	∢															
Study Completion		2011		•														
IRA	2010	2011																
RD	1998	2005	4															
RA-C	1999	2006	∢															
Remedy in Place		2011		••														
RA-O																		
Response Complete		2011		•••														
LTM	2001	2019	4															

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Building Demolition/Debris Removal, Chemical Disposal, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Bioremediation, Composting, Capping, Other, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

Halogenated SVOCs, Halogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides

\$1,819

Kansas

Installation Name:	Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	KS721382046700	State:	Kansas

	Sites	Sites RC				
Total:	29	15				
IRP:	28	15	Affected Acres:	386	Cost-to-Complete (\$K):	\$6,015
MMRP:	1	0				

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA	1987	2003	4															
SI	1987	2008	∢															
RIFS	1991	2011	∢															
Study Completion		2011		•														
IRA	1992	1998	∢															
RD	1996	2004	∢															
RA-C	2001	2013	∢															
Remedy in Place		2013				••												
RA-O	2004	2038	∢															▶
Response Complete		2038																••• ▶
LTM	2007	2009	∢															

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Surface Disposal Area, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Oil/Water Separator, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area, Unknown Site Type

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Sediment, Soil, Surface Water

Remedies:

Incineration, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Natural Attenuation, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, Solidification/Stabilization, Thermal Desorption

Contaminants:

Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Polychlorinatedbiphenyls (PCBs)

Massachusetts

	Installa	tion Name:	Arthur M	lacAr	thur U	SARC									Milit	ary S	ervice	Arı	my			
	Installa	tion FFID:	MA1210	42588	500												State	: Ma	ssach	nusett	s	
	Total: IRP: MMRP:	Sites	Sites F	€C			Affe	ected	Acres		0	1			(Cost-I	to-Co	mplete	∍ (\$K)	:	\$504	
					se FY					Phase	_											
	Phase					<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA				2007																	
	SI				2011																	
	RIFS	Cturdu Con			2012																	
	IRA	Study Cor	npietion		2012			•														
	RD																					
	RA-C																					
		Remedy	in Place		2012			••														
	RA-O	•																				
		Response C	omplete		2012			•••														
	LTM																					
Site Typ	es:																					
Surface	Disposa	ıl Area																				
Affected	d Media:	:																				
Not Rep	orted																					
Remedie	es:																					
Not Rep	orted																					
Contam																						
Not Rep	orted																					

Mississippi

	Installa	tion Name:	Mississi	ppi A	rmy Aı	nmunitio	n Pla	nt							Milit	ary S	ervice	: Arı	my			
	Installa	tion FFID:	MS4213	82296	600												State	: Mis	ssissi	ppi		
	Total: IRP: MMRP:	Sites 47 45 2	Sites F 46 45	RC			Affe	ected	Acres	: <u> </u>	0	1			,	Cost-f	o-Coi	mplete	e (\$K)	:	\$0	
				Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY					
	Phase					<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA				2004	∢ ······																
	SI				2008	◀																
	RIFS				2012																	
		Study Cor	mpletion		2012			•														
	IRA																					
	RD																					
	RA-C	Domodu	in Diago		2042																	
	RA-O	Remedy	in Place		2012			••														
		Response C	`omnlete		2012			222														
	LTM	Nesponse C	ompiete		2012																	
Site Typ																						
Impound	dment/La	uildings, Surf agoon, Spill S Jnexploded N	Site Area,	Above	Groui	nd Storag																ance
Affected	d Media:																					
Not Rep	orted																					

Remedies: Not Reported

Contaminants:
Not Reported

New Jersey

Installation Name:	Fort Monmouth	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	NJ221382059700	State:	New Jersey

	Sites	Sites RC		
Total:	56	44		0 11 0 11 (0)
IRP:	55	43	Affected Acres: 1	Cost-to-Complete (\$K):
MMRP:	1	1		

	Phas	e FY				Phase	e. Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	bv FY			
Phase			<= 2010	2011	2012									2023	2024	2025=>
PA		2003														
SI	1990	2007	∢													
RIFS	1990	2010	∢													
Study Completion		2010	•													
IRA	1990	1995	∢													
RD	1996	2010	4													
RA-C	1995	2011	∢													
Remedy in Place		2011		••												
RA-O	1998	2015	∢													
Response Complete		2015					•••									
LTM	2000	2020	∢													

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Fill, Surface Disposal Area, Leach Field, Industrial Discharge, Incinerator, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Pistol Range, Pesticide Shop, Storage Area, Small Arms Range, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Drainage Controls, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater, Dual-Phase Extraction, Free Product Recovery, Air Sparging, Carbon Adsorption, Natural Attenuation, Bioremediation - In Situ, Soil Vapor Treatment, Other, In Situ Soil Treatment, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Metals

\$17,318

	Installation Name: Sgt J.V	/. Joyc	e Kilm	er USAR	C				l				Milit	tary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installation FFID: NJ2210	04NJ00	200												State	: Ne	ew Jer	sey		
	Sites Sites Total: 16 15 IRP: 16 15 MMRP: 0 0				Affe	ected	Acres	s:	0					Cost-l	:o-Cor	mplet	e (\$K)):	\$290	
		Phas	se FY					Phas	e, Co	st and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY					
	Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012										2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA		2005																	
	SI			∢																
	RIFS		2011																	
	Study Completion	1	2011		•															
	IRA	2008	2010	4																
	RD																			
	RA-C																			
	Remedy in Place	9	2011		••															
	RA-O																			
	Response Complete	9	2011		•••															
	LTM																			
Site Typ	es:																			
	Disposal Area, Drainage Dito	h, Stor	age Ar	ea, Abov	e Grou	und S	torage	Tank	, Und	ergrou	nd Sto	orage	Tanks	, Unkr	own S	Site T	vpe			
	, ,			,																
Affected	d Media:																			
Soil																				
Remedi	es:																			
Remova	ıl																			
Contam	inants:																			
Polynuc	lear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)																		

Rhode Island

																		_				
	Installa	ition Name:	Quinta-0	Gamel	in US	ARC									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	my			
	Installa	ntion FFID:	RI12104	1AP0	700												State	: Rh	ode I	sland		
																		_				
	Tatal	Sites	Sites F	RC																		
	Total: IRP:	4	3	-1			Affe	ected	Acres	: 🗀	0					Cost-	to-Co	mplet	e (\$K)	: :	\$579	
	MMRP:		0																			
				Phas	se FY					Phase	e. Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	bv FY	,				
	Phase			Beg		<= 2010	2011	2012											2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA					∢																
	SI				2011																	
	RIFS			2011	2012																	
		Study Co	mpletion		2012			•														
	IRA																					
	RD																					
	RA-C	Domodu	in Place		2012			••														
	RA-O	Keilleuy	III Flace		2012																	
		Response C	Complete		2012			•••														
	LTM	- Tool																				
Site Typ	as:																					
		Area, Under	around S	torage	Tanks	 3																
			9																			
Affected	d Media	:																				
Not Rep	orted																					
Remedie																						
Not Rep	orted																					
Contam	inantor																					
Not Rep																						
Inor Keb	ioi i c u																					

Texas

Installation Name:	Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	TX621382183100	State:	Texas

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	48	46
IRP:	47	46
MMRP:	1	0

	Phas	se FY					Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY												
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
PA	1978	2008	4																
SI	1978	2002	∢																
RIFS	1987	2012	∢																
Study Completion		2012			•														
IRA	1982	1995	∢																
RD	1995	2013	∢																
RA-C	1988	2014	∢																
Remedy in Place		2014					••												
RA-O	2002	2036	∢															▶	
Response Complete		2037																••• 🕨	
LTM	1996	2037	∢															▶	

Site Types:

Burn Area, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Surface Disposal Area, Drainage Ditch, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Firing Range, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Storage Area, Surface Impoundment/Lagoon, Plating Shop, Spill Site Area, Underground Tank Farm, Waste Lines, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area

Affected Media:

Not Reported

Remedies:

Fence or Other Site Access Control Measures, Removal, Waste Removal - Soils, Natural Attenuation, Containment, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers

Contaminants:

Not Reported

\$6,685

Virginia

Installation Name:	Fort Monroe	Military Service:	Army
Installation FFID:	VA321372060300	State:	Virginia

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	33	6
IRP:	17	6
MMRP:	16	0

Affected Acres: 0

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$72,750

	Phas	Phase FY																
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA	1979	2007	∢															
SI	1979	2011	∢															
RIFS	2007	2014	∢															
Study Completion		2014					•											
IRA																		
RD	2010	2014																
RA-C	2010	2016																
Remedy in Place		2016							••									
RA-O																		
Response Complete		2016							•••									
LTM	2011	2045																▶

Site Types:

Burn Area, Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Sediments, Industrial Discharge, Landfill, Maintenance Yard, Storage Area, Soil Contamination After Tank Removal, Small Arms Range, Unexploded Munitions and Ordnance Area

Affected Media:

Soil, Sediment

Remedies:

Institutional Controls, Removal, Capping, Other

Contaminants:

BTEX, Explosives and Propellants, Halogenated SVOCs, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Washington

	Installation Name:	e: Fort Lawton USAR Complex												Milit	: Ar	mv									
	ilistaliation Name.	OIL Law	ton o	SAR (Joinplex									IVIIII	ary o	31 VICC	. ^!	Ailiy							
	Installation FFID:	WA0210	4WA0	1300						State:							: Wa	shing							
	Sites Total: 16 IRP: 13 MMRP: 3	16 16 13 13					ected	Acres	:	0 Cost-to-Com								e (\$K)							
		Phase FY Phase											se, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY												
	Phase				<= 2010	2011	2012			2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2								2023	2024	2025->					
	PA			2004	<= <u>Z</u> 010	2011	2012	2013	2017	2013	2010	2017	2010	2013	2020	2021	2022	2023	2027	2025->					
	SI			2010	4																				
			2006	2010																					
	RIFS	mlatia n		0040																					
	Study Com	pietion		2010	•																				
	IRA																								
	RD																								
	RA-C																								
	Remedy ii	n Place		2010	••																				
	RA-O																								
	Response Co	mplete		2010	•••																				
	LTM																								
Site Typ	es:																								
	r Separator, Storage A	Area, Sm	all Arı	ms Rai	nge, Und	ergro	und S	torage	Tank	s, Unk	nown	Site T	ype												
Affected	Media:																								
Not Rep	orted																								
_																									
Remedie																									
Not Rep	orted																								
Contami	inants:																								
Not Rep	orted																								

	Installation Name	: Vancou	ver Ba	rracks	\$									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Ar	Army					
	Installation FFID:	WA0214	102092	2400											: Wa	Washington							
	Sites	Sites I	RC																				
	Total: 7	5	_			Λ ff c	octod	Acres		3					Coetd	to-Co	mplet	ر (دلا)	. 6	1,080			
	IRP: 2 MMRP: 5	3	-1			Alle	cieu	ACIES	_	<u>ა</u>	-			`	CUSI-	10-00	iiibie	e (φr\)		1,000			
	IVIIVIRP. 5	3																					
					tone																		
	Phase		Beg			2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>			
	PA			2004																			
	SI			2006	∢																		
	RIFS	Pammlatian		2011																			
	IRA	Completion		2011		•																	
	RD		2011	2012																			
	RA-C			2012																			
		dy in Place		2012			••																
	RA-O																						
		e Complete		2012			•••																
	LTM	'																					
Site Typ	es:																						
Contami	nated Buildings, W	/ashrack, S	mall A	rms Ra	ange, Une	explod	ed Mu	unition	s and	Ordna	ance A	rea											
Affected																							
Not Repo	orted																						
Remedie	es:																						
Other																							
Contami	inants:																						
Not Rep	orted																						

Navy

California

Installation Name: Los Ang	geles l	NMCR	С									Milit	ary Se	ervice	: Na	vy		
Installation FFID: CA9170	02316	600												State	Ca	liforni	ia	
Sites Sites Formal Sites Sit	RC			Affe	ected	Acres	:	0	1			(Cost-t	o-Coi	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$360
	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	st and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules I	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA																		
SI	1998	2004	∢															
RIFS																		
Study Completion		2004	⋖ •															
IRA																		
RD																		
RA-C																		
Remedy in Place		2004	◀ ••															
RA-O	2004	2005	∢															

Site Types:

Underground Storage Tanks

LTM

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Incineration, Free Product Recovery, Soil Vapor Treatment

Response Complete

2010 •••

2006 2015

Contaminants:

BTEX, Explosives and Propellants, Halogenated SVOCs, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Polychlorinatedbiphenyls (PCBs), Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Georgia

	Installation Name	e: Athens	NAVS	csco	L									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Na	vy			
	Installation FFID	: GA4170	02471	300												State	: Ge	orgia			
																	_				
	Sites		₹C																		
	Total: 1 IRP: 1	1	_			Affe	ected	Acres	: 🗀	0					Cost-	to-Co	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$0	
	MMRP: 0	0																			
			Phas	se FY					Phase	e. Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	bv FY	,				
	Phase				<= 2010	2011	2012											2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA		Ŭ																		
	SI		1988	1988	∢																
	RIFS			1993																	
		Completion		1993																	
	IRA			2001	∢																
	RD			2000																	
	RA-C	ales in Diago		2000																	
	RA-O	dy in Place		2001 2002																	
		e Complete			∢																
	LTM	c complete		2002																	
Cito Turo																					
Site Typ	es: ound Storage Tan	ke																			
Ondergi	Juliu Storage Tari	INO																			
Affected	Media:																				
Groundy																					
Remedie	es:																				
Ground V	Water Treatment,	Other																			
_																					
Contami																					
BTEX, N	lonhalogenated V	OCs																			

Maine

Installation Name:	Brunswick NAS	Military Service:	Navy
Installation FFID:	ME117002201800	State:	Maine

	Sites	Sites RC				
Total:	28	15				
IRP:	25	15	Affected Acres:	0	Cost-to-Complete (\$K):	\$45,393
MMRP:	3	0				

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY	•			
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA																		
SI	1981	2011	∢															
RIFS	1985	2015	∢															
Study Completion		2015						•										
IRA	1993	1998	∢															
RD	1992	2013	∢															
RA-C	1993	2016	∢															
Remedy in Place		2017								••								
RA-O	1995	2041	∢															▶
Response Complete		2041																••• 🕨
LTM	2001	2007	∢															

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Surface Disposal Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area, Unknown Site Type

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Sediment, Soil, Surface Water

Remedies:

Ground Water Treatment, Air Sparging, Long-Term Monitoring, Bioremediation, Bioventing, Bioremediation - In Situ, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers, Other, Waste Removal - Solids (non-soil)

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Phenols, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Missouri

	Installa	tion Name:	Kansas	City N	/ICSA										Mili	tary S	ervice	e: Na	ıvy			
	Installat	tion FFID:	MO7170	06738	3600												State	e: Mi	ssour	ri		1
	Total: IRP: MMRP:	Sites 1	Sites R				Affe	ected	Acres		0							mplete			\$807	
				Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	stone	Scher	dules	by FY	1				
	Phase			Beg		<= 2010	2011	2012			_								2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA													=		=	=	=				
	SI			2000	2001	∢																
	RIFS				2002	∢																
		Study Co.	mpletion		2002	⋖・																
	IRA																					
	RD			لــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				<u> </u>								<u> </u>	ldash	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
	RA-C																	<u> </u>				
		Remedy	y in Place		2007																	
	RA-O				2032																>	
		Response C	Complete		2032																••• ▶	
	LTM																					
Site Typ																						
Contami	inated Gr	round Water																				
Affected	d Media:																					
Not Rep	orted																					
Remedie	es:																					
Not Rep																						
Contam																						
Not Rep	orted																					

Nebraska

Installation FFID: Sites Sites RC	Installation Na	lame: Lincoln	NRC											Mili	tary Se	ervice	e: Na	avy			
Total: 2 2 2				2600		_	_												172		
Total: 2 2 2	IllStallation Fi	NET IT	JZJUZ	500												State	INC	Diash	.a		
Total: 2 2 2	Si	ites Sites F	RC																		
Phase FY Phase, Cost and Milestone Schedules by FY	Total:	2 2				Λ.ff	estad	Aero							Cost	to Co	manlot	- (¢K		***	
Phase FY						Alle	Cleu	Acres		U					C051-1	0-60	mpier	θ (ΦΙΛ)		\$0	
Phase Beg End <= 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025⇒> PA SI 1988 1988	WIWIRP:	0 0																			
PA SI 1988 1988 4 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •																					
SI 1988 1988 1988			Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	. 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	. 2023	2024	2025=>	
RIFS				ليبا			<u> </u>	\blacksquare	\sqsubseteq	\blacksquare		\sqsubseteq	—	<u> </u>	\sqsubseteq	<u> </u>	—	\blacksquare	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Study Completion 1988			1988	1988	√	=	#=	#	#==	otag		igspace	#		#=	#	#==	 	 '	≠=="	
IRA RD RA-C Remedy in Place RS-O Response Complete LTM Site Types: Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:		i O milation		1220		=	#	lacksquare	=	=			=	#	=	=	#		#		
RD RA-C Remedy in Place 1988 RA-O Response Complete LTM Site Types: Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:		ly Completion		1988	◀•																
RA-C Remedy in Place RA-O Response Complete LTM Site Types: Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:			H	igwdapprox igwedge			-	-	-				-		-			一			
Remedy in Place 1988 RA-O			\longrightarrow																		
RA-O Response Complete LTM Site Types: Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:		emedy in Place		1988	4.0																
Response Complete LTM Site Types: Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:		mouy																			
Site Types: Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:		onse Complete		2007	◀ ••••																
Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:																					
Contaminated Buildings Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:	Site Types:																				
Affected Media: Not Reported Remedies:		ıs																			
Not Reported Remedies:	-																				
Remedies:	Affected Media:																				
	Not Reported																				
Not Reported	Not Reported																				
Contaminants:																					
Not Reported	Not Reported																				

New York

																		_				
	Installat	ion Name:	Waterto	wn NF	२८										Milit	ary S	ervice	: Na	vy			
	Installat	ion FFID:	NY2170	02224	700												State	: Ne	w Yoı	'k		
	Total: IRP:	Sites	Sites F	RC			Affe	ected	Acres	:	0					Cost-	to-Co	mpleto	e (\$K)	:	\$0	
	MMRP:	0	0																			
				Phas	se FY									tone								
	Phase			Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA																					
	SI				1994																	
	RIFS	0: 1 0		1994	1996																	
	ID 4	Study Co	mpletion		1996	∢•																
	IRA RD																					
	RA-C																					
	NA-C	Remedy	in Place		1996	∢••																
	RA-O	Romody	m r racc		1000	4 00																
		Response C	omplete		1997	◀ •••																
	LTM	•		1996	1997	∢																
Site Typ	98.																					
		rage Tanks																				
J. G. G.																						
Affected	d Media:																					
Soil																						
Remedi	es:																					
Long-Te		oring																				
Contam	inants:																					
Nonhalo	genated	VOCs, Poly	nuclear A	romat	ic Hydi	rocarbons	s (PAI	ls)														

Pennsylvania

Installation Name: Willow Grove NAS Military Service: Navy

Installation FFID: PA317002231200 State: Pennsylvania

 Sites
 Sites RC

 Total:
 14
 10

 IRP:
 14
 10

 MMRP:
 0
 0

Affected Acres: 0

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$17,475

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone \$	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA																		
SI	1987	1993	4															
RIFS	1991	2013	4															
Study Completion		2013				•												
IRA	1989	1996	4															
RD	1997	2014	4															
RA-C	1989	2015	4															
Remedy in Place		2015						••										
RA-O	1999	2028	∢															▶
Response Complete		2028																••• 🕨
LTM	2010	2043																▶

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Firing Range, Landfill, Storage Area, Spill Site Area, Underground Tank Farm, Underground Storage Tanks

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Sediment, Soil, Surface Water

Remedies:

Waste Removal - Soils, Ground Water Treatment, Free Product Recovery, Capping, Waste Removal - Drums, Tanks, Bulk Containers

Contaminants:

BTEX, Explosives and Propellants, Halogenated SVOCs, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Pesticides, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), Radioactive Materials

Texas

Installation Name: Lubbock	k NMCR	₹C										Mili	tary S	ervic	e: Na	avv			
Installation FFID: TX61700					_										e: Tex			_	
ilistaliation FFID.	122000	, O												State		Kas			
Sites Sites F	₹C																		
Total: 1 0				A SS O	at a d) oros		_					Coat	To Co	- Joseph	(¢V		^^	
IRP: 1 0				Ame	cteu	Acres		0					Cost-	0-60	mplete	∌ (⊅IV)		\$0	
MMRP: 0 0																			
	Phase													by FY					
Phase	Beg E	End <= 2	2010 20	011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
PA			=	\equiv															
		1990		=		igspace		\models		 	 	\models	\models	—	₩	—	#	 '	
RIFS	1991 1													=	₩		=		
Study Completion	1:	l993 ⋖	•																
IRA RD	\vdash	-	-	-											#	_	\vdash		
RD RA-C	1993 1	1995 <		\exists															
RA-C Remedy in Place		1995	••																
RA-O		-																	
Response Complete	2	2011	•	•••															
LTM																			
Site Types:																			
Underground Storage Tanks																			
5				_															
Affected Media:																			
Not Reported																			
Remedies:																			
Waste Removal - Soils																			
Contaminants:																			
Not Reported																			

Washington

	Installation Name:	Tacoma N	NMCF	₹C										Milit	ary S	ervice	∷ Na	ıvy			
	Installation FFID:	WA01700	ງ2341	500												State	: Wa	ashin	gton		
	Sites Total: 1 IRP: 1 MMRP: 0	Sites R0	C			Affe	ected	Acres		0					Cost-t	to-Coi	mplete	e (\$K)): 	\$0	
			Phase							e, Cos											
	Phase	Ī	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	
	PA																				
	SI	1	1995	1995	∢																
	RIFS																				
	Study Com			1995																	
	IRA	1	1994	1994	∢	<u> </u>	_	₩	igspace	<u> </u>											
	RD		_				_	 	igspace	<u> </u>											
	RA-C			1990				=													
	Remedy i	n Place		1995	◀ ••																
	RA-O																				
	Response Co	omplete		1995	◀ •••																
	LTM																				
Site Typ	es:																				
Above G	Ground Storage Tank																				
Affected	d Media:																				
Not Rep																					
Remedi	es:																				
Other	<u> </u>																				
Contam	inants:																				
Not Rep																					

Air Force

Alaska

Installation Name:	Galena FOL	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	AK057302865500	State:	Alaska

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	28	10
IRP:	27	10
MMRP:	1	0

Affected Acres: 162

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$65,643

		se FY									tone							
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA	1985	2008	4															
SI	1986	2009	∢															
RIFS	1990	2012	∢															
Study Completion	n	2012			•													
IRA	1998	1998	∢															
RD	2012	2013																
RA-C	2001	2013	∢															
Remedy in Plac	e	2013				••												
RA-O	2013	2020																
Response Comple	e	2020											•••					
LTM	2014	2999																▶

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Contaminated Buildings, Contaminated Ground Water, Contaminated Sediments, Building Demolition/Debris Removal, Landfill, Spill Site Area, Sewage Treatment Plant, Above Ground Storage Tank, Underground Storage Tanks, Explosive Ordnance Disposal Area

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Soil

Remedies:

Ground Water Treatment, Soil Vapor Extraction, Excavation, Land Farming, and Bio-Reactor for TCE

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Petroleum

California

Installation Name: Onizu	ıka Air F	orce S	Station									Milit	ary S	ervice	: Aiı	r Forc	e	
Installation FFID: CA95	7112573	3600												State	: Ca	liforn	ia	
	s RC																	
	5 5			Affe	ected	Acres	: 🗀	0					Cost-	to-Co	mplet	e (\$K)	:	\$0
	0																	
	Pha	se FY					Phase	a Cos	t and	Milos	tone	Sched	عماييا	hy FY				
Phase			<= 2010	2011	2012			_								2023	2024	2025=>
PA		1985		-														
SI		1985																
RIFS	1983	1985	∢ ······															
Study Completi	on	1985	⋖ •															
IRA	1983	1988	∢															
RD		1985																
RA-C		1985																
Remedy in Pla			◀ ••															
RA-O		1985																
Response Comple	ete	1989	◀ •••															
LTM																		
Site Types:																		
Surface Disposal Area, Spill Site Ar	ea																	
Affected Media:																		
Groundwater, Sediment, Soil, Surfa	ce Wate	r																
Remedies:																		
Removal																		
Contaminants:																		
Not Reported																		

Texas

Installation Name: Brooks	City-Ba	ase						ı				Milit	ary S	ervice	: Aiı	r Forc	e		
																			4
Installation FFID: TX6571	724303	00						l						State	Te	xas			Д.,
011	20																		
Sites Sites	RC																		
Total: 18 17 IRP: 18 17	_			Affe	ected	Acres	:	145					Cost-	to-Coi	mplet	e (\$K)	\$	2,173	1
MMRP: 0 0	_															- (_,	i e
	Phase	e FY					Phase	e, Co	st and	Miles	tone	Sched	dules	by FY					
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>	'
PA	1985		∢																
SI	1985	2002	∢																1
RIFS	1987		∢																
Study Completion		2002	4 ٠																
IRA			·																
RD	1994	1999	∢																
RA-C	1994		∢																
Remedy in Place		2002	4																
RA-O	1995		√																
Response Complete		2020											•••						
LTM	1990		∢															>	
	1990	2333																	
Site Types:																			
Fire/Crash Training Area, Disposal Pit	and Dr	y Well,	, Landfill	, Oil/V	Vater	Separa	ator, F	OL (F	Petrole	um/Oi	l/Lubr	icants) Line:	s, Stor	age A	rea, S	pill Sit	ie Area, '	Waste
Treatment Plant																			
Affected Media:																			
Groundwater																			
Remedies:																			
Not Reported																			
Contaminants:																			

Halogenated VOCs

Wisconsin

Installation Name:	General Mitchell Air Force Base	Military Service:	Air Force
Installation FFID:	WI557122429900	State:	Wisconsin

	Sites	Sites RC
Total:	10	10
IRP:	10	10
MMRP:	0	0

Affected Acres:

Cost-to-Complete (\$K): \$0

	Phas	se FY					Phase	e, Cos	t and	Miles	tone	Sched	lules	by FY				
Phase	Beg	End	<= 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025=>
PA	1984	1995	4															
SI	1987	1999	∢															
RIFS	1989	1996	∢															
Study Completion		1999	⋖ •															
IRA																		
RD																		
RA-C	1996	1997	∢															
Remedy in Place		1999	⋖••															
RA-O	1998	2004	∢															
Response Complete		2004	◀ •••															
LTM	1997	2004	∢															

Site Types:

Fire/Crash Training Area, Disposal Pit and Dry Well, Landfill, Spill Site Area

Affected Media:

Groundwater, Sediment, Soil, Surface Water

Remedies:

Bioremediation - In Situ Groundwater

Contaminants:

BTEX, Halogenated VOCs, Non-halogenated SVOCs, Nonhalogenated VOCs, Metals, Miscellaneous Inorganic Elements and Compounds (Misc. Organics), Phenols, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Appendix II

Non-Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) Issues

Appendix 2

Non-DERP Environmental Remediation Issues

This appendix provides details of known environmental remediation issues at installations affected by BRAC 2005 actions that are not included in the Defense Environmental Remediation Program (DERP). Currently, 9 Army and 6 Air Force installations have environmental remediation issues that are not included in DERP. For each installation that has non-DERP remediation issues a description of those issues is proved that includes the type of remediation issue; its description; acreage affected; an estimate of the cost-to-complete; a description of the plans to address each issue; and timelines for addressing each remediation issue in terms of estimated start and completion dates. (Note: For certain types of issues "acreage affected" is not relevant and therefore identified as "NA").

The types of non-DERP environmental remediation issues included are as follows:

Operational Ranges: For operational ranges at installations subject to the BRAC 05 decisions, the Departmental may need to undertake appropriate remediation actions consistent with the proposed use of the area. An operational range is defined as a range under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the Secretary of a military department, that is a designated land or water area set aside, managed, and used to conduct research on, develop, test, and evaluate military munitions and explosives, other ordnance, or weapon systems, or to train military personnel in their use and handling.

Asbestos: Includes both friable and nonfriable asbestos-related cleanup.

Landfill Closure: Equipment expected to be installed and facilities expected to be constructed (based on the landfill closure plan) near or after the time that the landfill stops accepting additional waste, as well as the costs of the initial cover (capping) to be applied when the landfill stops accepting additional waste. Landfill closures are operated under the provisions of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Tank Closure: RCRA corrective actions associated with contamination produced by above or below ground storage tanks and/or activities associated with a "clean close" of a tank.

Building DECON: Actions associated with the decontamination of any building or other permanent or temporary structure.

Radiological DECON: Includes actions for the decommissioning and decontamination of any location for radiological materials subject to regulation by the NRC or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

Permits to Site Closeouts: Includes actions associated with the closure and post-closure care of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities (TSDFs).

Notice of Violation: Includes actions associated with all unresolved Notices of Violation (e.g., Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) and water discharges pursuant to National Pollution Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES) permits).

Other: Includes any other form of environmental cleanup not addressed in other categories.

Volume II - Appendix II Table of Contents

State/ Installation Name	Page
Army	135
California	
Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant	139
District of Columbia	
Walter Reed Medical Center	143
Georgia	
Ft Gillem	147
Ft McPherson	148
Indiana	
Newport Depot	151
Kansas	
Kansas Army Ammunition Plant	155
Mississippi	
Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	159
Texas Lone Star AAP	163
Utah	103
	407
Deseret Depot	167
Virginia	
Ft Monroe	171
Air Force	173
Alaska	
Galena Airport FOL	177
Kulis AGS	178
California	
Onizuka AFS	181
Texas	
Brooks City-Base	185
Wisconsin	
Gen Mitchell IAP ARS	189

Army

California

Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Permits to Site Closeout	RCRA B Permit Closure	0.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Nov 2009	Oct 2010
Totals		0	\$0		Nov 2009	Oct 2010

District of Columbia

Walter Reed Medical Center

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)		-	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Building DECON	Rad Decommissioning-Main Post	NA	\$13896	Closure underway.	Oct 2010	Mar 2013
Radiological DECON	Rad Decommissioning-Forest Glen	NA	\$0	Closure underway.	Oct 2008	Sep 2011
Totals		0	\$13896		Oct 2008	Mar 2013

Georgia

Ft Gillem

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS		ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Tank Closure	Close leaking underground storage tanks.	2.0	\$0	Complete tank closure by FY15.	Oct 2009	Sep 2015
Tank Closure	Close non-leaking underground storage tanks.	6.0	\$0	Complete tank closure by FY15.	Oct 2009	Sep 2015
Totals		8	\$0		Oct 2009	Sep 2015

Ft McPherson

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Radiological DECON	Conduct radiological decommissioning efforts.	NA	\$0	No longer required	N/A	N/A
Tank Closure	Close leaking underground storage tanks.	2.0	\$0	Complete tank closure by FY15.	Oct 2009	Sep 2015
Operational Ranges	Investigate and remediate a small arms range.	2.0	\$129	Closure underway.	Jan 2010	Dec 2015
Tank Closure	Close non-leaking underground storage tanks.	6.0	\$0	Complete tank closure by FY15.	Oct 2009	Sep 2015
Totals		10	\$129		Oct 2009	Dec 2015

Indiana

Newport Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Remediate Shop Soil Contamination	1.0	\$74	Closure underway.	Aug 2010	Feb 2011
Other	Drums Along North Patrol Road	0.2	\$32	Closure underway.	Aug 2010	Feb 2011
Other	Batteries Along Railroad Bed	0.1	\$22	Closure underway.	Sep 2010	Dec 2011
Other	Building 401A	0.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
9	Structural Decon of Explosives Building	NA	\$0	Closure underway.	Sep 2008	Jan 2011
Asbestos	Asbestos Burial Sites	NA	\$0	Closure complete.	Jan 2010	Dec 2010
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks.	1.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Jan 2008	Dec 2010
Totals		502.3	\$128		Jan 2008	Dec 2011

Kansas

Kansas Army Ammunition Plant

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE		START	COMPLETE
			(\$K)		DATE	DATE
Operational Ranges	Close operational ranges (Burn Pads).		\$37358	Closure underway.	Jun 2009	Jul 2020
Building DECON	Explosive decontamination of buildings.	NA	\$8468	Closure underway.	Oct 2009	Sep 2013
Other	Investigater and remediate Hazardous Waste Storage Igloos	18.0	\$594	Closure underway.	Sep 2010	Sep 2013
Asbestos	Survey/Abatement of asbestos.	NA	\$508	Work underway.	Apr 2010	Sep 2013
Landfill Closure	Close operational landfills.	12.0	\$634	Closure underway.	Nov 2008	Jul 2039
Tank Closure	Above ground storage tank closure.	45.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Feb 2009	Sep 2010
Other	Investigate and remediate PBC contaminated soils.	100.0	\$91	Fund requirement in FY11.	Mar 2011	Sep 2012
Other	Investigate and remediate hazardous material/waste site.	36.0	\$1037	Work underway.	Jun 2009	Sep 2013
Operational	Investigate and remediate a	1.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2009	Dec 2010
Ranges	pistol range.					
Other	Investigate and remediate an explosive waste incinerator.	2.5	\$0	Investigation/remediation of explosive waste incinerator has begun and all funds now obligated.	Mar 2009	Mar 2011
Other	Contaminated Waste Processor	4.0	\$0	Investigation/remediation of contaminated waste processor has begun and all funds now obligated.	Feb 2009	Mar 2011
Other	Investigate and remediate Igloo Storage Areas 1700 & 1900.	583.0	\$401	Work underway.	Dec 2009	Sep 2014
Other	Investigate and remediate Production Area Building Foundation.	0.0	\$1233	Work underway.	Feb 2009	Dec 2013
Other	Investigate and remediate Production Area Outfall Sumps.	0.0	\$120	Work underway.	Feb 2009	Dec 2012
Other	Survey and remediation of igloo storage area (Areas 1500 & 1600).		\$489	Work underway.	Oct 2009	Dec 2014
Totals		1405.5	\$50933		Nov 2008	Jul 2039

Mississippi

Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE		START	COMPLETE
			(\$K)		DATE	DATE
Other	Projectile Metal Parts	62.0	\$0	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2012
Totals		62	\$0		Mar 2010	Sep 2012

Texas

Lone Star AAP

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Landfill Closure	Close operational landfills.	66.0	\$1057	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2042
Other	High Explosives Demolition Ground	157.0	\$37649	Fund closure requirements in FY12.	Feb 2012	Sep 2046
Other	RCRA Storage Area T-4-2	1.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	XX Test Area	52.0	\$5841	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2044
Other	3 Container Storage Areas	3.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	5 Pinkwater Treatment Plant Sites	5.0	\$1155	Closure underway.	Feb 2009	Sep 2015
Other	28 Load Line Sites	1846.0	\$2590	Closure underway.	Feb 2009	Sep 2015
Other	Lead Treatment Plant	1.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Chrome Waste Water Treatment Facility	1.0	\$385	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Area BB RR Service Area	50.0	\$24	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Salvage Yard	10.0	\$380	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Inert Storage and Maintenance Area	5.0	\$431	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	Building I-39 Yard	5.0	\$0	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Dec 2012
Other	2 Hazardous Waste Areas	2.0	\$253	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Dec 2015
Other	NRC License	0.0	\$0	Closure complete.	Mar 2010	Dec 2010
Other	Old Boston Road Landfill	18.0	\$7764	Fund closure requirements in FY12.	Jan 2012	Sep 2016
Other	4 Igloos	1954.0	\$69	Closure underway.	Mar 2010	Sep 2015
Other	High Explosives Burning Ground	25.0	\$9768	Fund closure requirements in FY12 through FY15.	Feb 2012	Jun 2045
Totals		4201	\$67366		Feb 2009	Sep 2046

Utah

Deseret Depot

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE	COST TO	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	ESTIMATED	ESTIMATED
		AFFECTED	COMPLETE		START	COMPLETE
			(\$K)		DATE	DATE
Other	Unexploded OB/OD	1.0	\$4474	Closure Underway.	Aug 1987	Dec 2014
Totals		1	\$4474		Aug 1987	Dec 2014

Virginia

Ft Monroe

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)		ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Asbestos	Survey/Abatement of asbestos.	NA	\$507	Fund requirements in FY15.	Jan 2015	Oct 2015
Tank Closure	Close underground storage tanks.	0.2	\$570	Fund closure requirements in FY11.	May 2011	Oct 2011
Other	Lead-based paint survey.	200.0	\$472	Fund requirement in FY15.	Jan 2015	Oct 2015
Totals		400.2	\$1549		May 2011	Oct 2015

Air Force

Alaska

Galena Airport FOL

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Investigations of contamination, remedial actions, and documentation	162.0		Investigate, install remediation, operate remediation systems, mandatory five year reviews and documentation	Sep 2008	Jan 2038
Totals		162	\$52.32		Sep 2008	Jan 2038

Kulis AGS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS		ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Complete close out of petroleum and hazardous waste sites	101.5	·	Complete close out actions and documentation.	Oct 2008	Sep 2010
Totals		101.5	\$300		Oct 2008	Sep 2010

California

Onizuka AFS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	-	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Other	Historic evaluation survey and report, and environmental documentation of conditions.	20.0	· ·	Execute plans to address issues.	Oct 2008	Sep 2011
Totals		20	\$76		Oct 2008	Sep 2011

Texas

Brooks City-Base

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE		COMPLETE (\$K)		START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
Permits to Site Closeout	EIS/EBS (Final Environmental Condition Document)	11.0	\$234	Prepare Supplemental EBS and update VSIs for all facilities, prepare other environmental documents that may be required.	Oct 2008	Nov 2011
Radiological DECON	Radiological operating sites require DECON	NA	\$6695	B1193 is major cost driver since to remove the equipment requires the roof be removed and then replaced afterwards. Nine smaller facilities will have the equipment removed and the radiological work areas DECON.		Mar 2012
Permits to Site Closeout	Solid and hazardous waste was generated at base	11.0	\$1809	Identify, manage and dispose of solid and hazardous wastes generated by mission activities. (Project reduced by \$293K in FY08 to add to funded accelerated IRP site requirements to reduce site closure time from 2020 to 2011.)	Aug 2010	Nov 2011
Tank Closure	UST at AAFES gas station	0.5	\$119	Remove UST at AAFES gas station, investigate and remediate as needed.	Oct 2009	Aug 2011
Asbestos	Asbestos, radon and PCB at various sites.	NA	\$9748	Investigate ACM, radon, and PCB in historic area and all mission-related facilities as needed and remediate as necessary. Asbestos is expected to dominate this effort.	Oct 2007	Mar 2012
Totals		22.5	\$18605		Oct 2007	Mar 2012

Wisconsin

Gen Mitchell IAP ARS

ISSUE TYPE	DESCRIPTION OF ISSUE	ACREAGE AFFECTED	COST TO COMPLETE (\$K)	DESCRIPTION OF PLANS	_	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
	Site close outs, facilities corrective actions such as asbestos removal and documentation	102.0		Contract actions being implemented for execution.	Sep 2008	Feb 2010
Totals		102	\$1.55		Sep 2008	Feb 2010

Appendix III Section 2907 Law

Appendix 3

Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Public Law 101-510, as Amended

SEC. 2907. REPORTS

As part of the budget request for fiscal year 2007 and for each fiscal year thereafter for the Department of Defense, the Secretary shall transmit to the congressional defense committees of Congress:

- (1) a schedule of the closure actions to be carried out under this part in the fiscal year for which the request is made and an estimate of the total expenditures required and cost savings to be achieved by each such closure and of the time period in which these savings are to be achieved in each case, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such actions;
- (2) a description of the military installations, including those under construction and those planned for construction, to which functions are to be transferred as a result of such closures, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such transfers;
- (3) a description of the closure actions already carried out at each military installation since the date of the installation's approval for closure under this part and the current status of the closure of the installation, including whether
 - (A) a redevelopment authority has been recognized by the Secretary for the installation:
 - (B) the screening of property at the installation for other Federal use has been completed; and
 - (C) a redevelopment plan has been agreed to by the redevelopment authority for the installation;
- (4) a description of redevelopment plans for military installations approved for closure under this part, the quantity of property remaining to be disposed of at each installation as part of its closure, and the quantity of property already disposed of at each installation;
- (5) a list of the Federal agencies that have requested property during the screening process for each military installation approved for closure under this part, including the date of transfer or anticipated transfer of the property to such agencies, the acreage involved in such transfers, and an explanation for any delays in such transfers;
- (6) a list of known environmental remediation issues at each military installation approved for closure under this part, including the acreage affected by these issues, an estimate of the cost to complete such environmental remediation, and the plans (and timelines) to address such environmental remediation; and
- (7) an estimate of the date for the completion of all closure actions at each military installation approved for closure under this part.