




Comprehensive Oversight Plan for Southwest Asia and Surrounding Areas



**FY 2010 Update
February 2010**

Additional copies of this Oversight Plan can be obtained by contacting:

**Office of the Deputy Inspector General for Auditing
Attn: Corporate Planning Branch
Room 801
400 Army Navy Drive
Arlington, VA 22202-2884**

(703) 604-9142 (DSN 664-9142)

This plan is also available on our Web site at:

<http://www.dodig.mil/>

If you need additional information for the following agencies, please contact them directly.

**Inspector General, Department of State
(202) 663-0378 or (202) 284-2668 or 011-962-6590-6464 (Amman Jordan)
<http://www.oig.state.gov/>**

**Inspector General, U.S. Agency for International Development
(202) 712-1020
<http://www.usaid.gov/oig/>**

**U.S. Government Accountability Office
(202) 512-8974
<http://www.gao.gov>**

**Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction
(703) 428-1058
<http://www.sigir.mil>**

**Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction
(703) 602-3810
<http://www.sigar.mil>**

**U.S. Army Audit Agency
(703) 681-8178 or (571) 521-9494
<http://www.hqda.army.mil/aaaweb/default.htm>**

**Naval Audit Service
(202) 433-5757
<http://www.hq.navy.mil/NavalAudit/>**

**Air Force Audit Agency
(210) 536-5080 or (703) 696-7904
<http://www.afaaf.af.mil/>**

**Defense Contract Audit Agency
(703) 767-2236
<http://www.dcaa.mil>**

FOREWORD

The participating DoD and Federal oversight agencies are pleased to present the FY 2010 update to the Comprehensive Oversight Plan for Southwest Asia.

The Southwest Asia Joint Planning Group facilitated the compilation and issuance of the Comprehensive Oversight Plan for Southwest Asia in response to the FY 2008 National Defense Authorization Act. This plan incorporates the planned and ongoing oversight of the Inspectors General of the Department of Defense, Department of State, and the U.S. Agency for International Development; the Special Inspectors General for Iraq Reconstruction and Afghanistan Reconstruction; the Army Audit Agency; the Naval Audit Service; the Air Force Audit Agency; and the Defense Contract Audit Agency. Additionally, the FY 2010 update includes the Government Accountability Office ongoing oversight efforts related to Southwest Asia.

The FY 2010 edition is organized by six functional areas: Security; Governance, Rule of Law and Human Rights; Economic and Social Development; Contracting Oversight and Performance; Crosscutting Programs; and Cost Incurred Contract Audits. It includes oversight projects that directly impact efforts in Southwest Asia and surrounding areas. The projects may be conducted exclusively in theater, require travel into theater, or be conducted outside the theater such as solely in the Continental United States (CONUS).

REQUIRED OVERSIGHT. The initial Comprehensive Oversight Plan for Southwest Asia was issued in June 2008 and included the participating DoD and Federal oversight agencies planned and ongoing oversight efforts related to Section 842, of the FY 2008 National Defense Authorization Actⁱ. The Plan was updated in November 2008 and again in May 2009 to include the additional oversight requirements related to Section 852, of the FY 2009 National Defense Authorization Actⁱⁱ.

EXPANSION OF THE OVERSIGHT PLAN TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL AREAS SUPPORTING THE U.S. MISSION IN SOUTHWEST ASIA. We have expanded this oversight plan beyond the statutory mandate to show all of the audit, inspection, and evaluation work (oversight) for Iraq and Afghanistan, and the rest of the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility. This includes other key issue areas, such as asset accountability (a U.S. Central Command requested area of oversightⁱⁱⁱ), financial management, security, human rights, rule of law, and economical and social development. We continue to coordinate oversight plans through existing working groups and councils.

OTHER SECTION 842 REQUIRED OVERSIGHT. In October 2008, the Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction issued the oversight plan for audits of private security contractors, which was developed in consultation with other cognizant Inspectors General, as required by Section 842. The plan was updated in May 2009. The comprehensive plan for private security contractors can be found on the Web at <http://www.sigir.mil/audits/section842.aspx>.

Our Agencies continue to work together through the Southwest Asia Joint Planning Group to coordinate and provide effective oversight of the United States led efforts in Southwest Asia. This plan may be revised and updated as necessary. The next scheduled update to this plan will be the FY 2011 Update.

On behalf of the contributing members:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Joseph T. McDermott". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal line extending to the right from the end of the name.

Joseph T. McDermott, Chairman
Southwest Asia Joint Planning Group

Table of Contents

Foreword	i
PROJECTS BY CATEGORY	
SECURITY	1
Accountability and Maintenance of Equipment.....	1
Physical Security and Personnel Security	9
Training and Equipping National Security Forces	15
Warfare Support	20
GOVERNANCE, RULE OF LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS	27
Government Capacity Building.....	27
Anticorruption	31
Human Rights.....	32
Refugee Assistance.....	34
ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT	37
Agriculture and Counternarcotics	37
Education and Healthcare.....	39
Infrastructure	42
Reconstruction.....	44
CONTRACTING OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE	51
Equipment and Property	51
Contract Management	53
Funds Control	70
Services.....	78
CROSSCUTTING PROGRAMS	79
COST INCURRED CONTRACT AUDITS	91
DCAA.....	91
USAID Related Cost Incurred Audits	93
APPENDIX A. BREAKOUT OF FY 2010 ONGOING AND PLANNED	99
OVERSIGHT WITHIN FUNCTIONAL AREA	

Acronyms:

AAA	Army Audit Agency
AFAA	Air Force Audit Agency
DoD IG	Department of Defense Inspector General
DoS OIG	Department of State Office of Inspector General
GAO	Government Accountability Office
NAVAUDSVC	Naval Audit Service
SIGAR	Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction
SIGIR	Special Inspector General for Iraq Reconstruction
USAID OIG	United States Agency for International Development, Office of Inspector General

SECURITY

ACCOUNTABILITY AND MAINTENANCE OF EQUIPMENT

PROJECT	AGENCY	STATUS	COUNTRY
<p>Management and Accountability of Property Purchased at Regional Contracting Centers in Afghanistan (D2008-D000JC-0273.000). Objective: Evaluate the management and accountability of property purchased through the regional Contracting Centers in Bagram Airfield, Afghanistan. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether accountable property is properly recorded in the Theater Property Book Office at Bagram Air Field, Afghanistan. (Asset Accountability)</p>	DoD IG	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Supply and Equipment Support for Operations in Afghanistan (351395). Long-standing problems in the supply distribution system have impeded the ability of the Department of Defense to provide effective and timely logistics support to the warfighter. Key Questions: 1) What organizations have responsibility for moving all classes of equipment and supplies to/within/from the Afghanistan area of operations and what processes are in place to accomplish this task? 2) What challenges, if any, have affected the distribution of supplies and equipment to deployed forces in Afghanistan and to what extent have these challenges been addressed? 3) To what extent have lessons learned from Operation Iraqi Freedom and other previous operations been applied to operations in Afghanistan?</p>	GAO	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Transportation of Personnel and Cargo for Deployment and Redeployment in Afghanistan. Objective: Evaluate U.S. Central Command and U.S. Transportation Command efforts to overcome the challenges of transporting troops, support personnel, contractors, and equipment to and within Afghanistan for the deployment and redeployment efforts related to Operation Enduring Freedom.</p>	DoD IG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN

<p>Controls Over the Accountability and Disposition of Government Furnished Property in Iraq (D2009-D000JB-0307.000). This audit is being conducted in response to a U.S. Central Command request to focus oversight on asset accountability to ensure that U.S. funded assets are properly accounted for and that there is a process for the proper transfer, reset, or disposal of assets. Objective: Determine whether DoD has adequate controls over Government-furnished property, and whether policies and procedures exist for the proper transfer, reset, or disposal of Government-furnished property, and whether those policies and procedures are being executed adequately. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>DoD's Plans for the Drawdown and Reset of Property in Iraq (D2009-D000JB-0280.000). The DoD IG will evaluate DoD's plans for the drawdown and reset of DoD property in Iraq. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether: roles, responsibilities and lines of reporting are clearly defined and documented; the plans comprehensively address issues including property accountability, visibility, reset, and return; and realistic milestones have been established for the initiation and completion of drawdown and reset activities. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Intra-Theater (D2009-D00SPO-0310.000) Transportation Planning, Capabilities, and Execution for the Drawdown from Iraq. Objective: For the intra-theater portion of this joint announced effort is to determine whether U.S. Central Command and its subordinate and supporting organizations' intra-theater logistical planning and selected capabilities are adequate to support and manage the movement of cargo and equipment being drawn down from Iraq. The intra-theater review will be done in a four-phased approach to include recent brigade-sized intra-theater movement of cargo and equipment, Multi-National Force-Iraq intra-theater planning for the drawdown, evaluation of potential bottlenecks in the movement of cargo and equipment, the execution of the expedited drawdown period in 2010. This project is being done in coordination DoD IG project D2009-D000LC-0240.002, which is looking at the inter-theater aspects.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Review of Inter-Theater Transportation Planning, Capabilities, and Execution for the Drawdown from Iraq (D2009-D000LC-0240.002). The DoD OIG is conducting a multi-disciplined review of the transportation efforts for the drawdown of forces in Iraq. The inter-theater audit portion is the second in a series of reviews the DoD OIG Auditing is performing related to the relocation of personnel and assets during the Iraq drawdown. The first report, D-2010-025, focused on U.S. Transportation Command’s planning process. In this second review, the DoD OIG is identifying transportation issues as DoD assets transit from Iraq through Kuwait to their final destination; and the resolution of these issues by the U.S. Transportation Command and the U.S. Central Command. Specifically, DoD OIG will identify challenges that are being or will be encountered by the U.S. Transportation Command in ensuring DoD assets are properly transitioned through Kuwait ports for sealift and airport facilities for airlift. DoD OIG will also identify issues related to the transitioning of contractor assets that may impede or impact the transportation of DoD assets by the U.S. Transportation Command. This project is being done in coordination with the DoD OIG Special Plans and Operations project D2009-D00SPO-0310.000, which is looking at the intra-theater aspects.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Property Inventory and Accountability at Embassy Baghdad. Purpose: To evaluate the Department’s controls for inventorying, recording and safeguarding USG equipment and property in Iraq.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>DoD’s Planning for the Withdrawal of U.S. Forces from Iraq (351321). On November 17, 2008, the U.S. Government and the Government of Iraq signed a security agreement that dictates a withdrawal of US forces from Iraq by December 31, 2011. In light of this deadline, and based on previous GAO work, there are several complex issues that need to be addressed. Key Questions: 1) To what extent has DoD developed plans to manage the redeployment of U.S. forces and equipment and plans for the composition and role of forces that will remain in Iraq, and how has the security agreement affected these plans? 2) To what extent has DoD developed plans and processes for turning over U.S. bases to the Iraqis and managing contractors and contractor-managed equipment during withdrawal? 3) To what extent is DoD integrating withdrawal planning with evolving operational requirements?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Controls Over Shipping Container Accountability and Visibility-Iraq (A-2009-ALL-0593.000). Objectives: 1) Did command have adequate controls over the accountability and visibility of shipping containers to support responsible drawdown operations in Iraq? 2) Did command have adequate procedures to determine the condition of government owned/leased shipping containers to support responsible drawdown operations in Iraq? 3) Did command have adequate procedures to ensure contents of shipping containers were properly identified and packed to mitigate damage during transit to support responsible drawdown operations in Iraq?</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Follow-Up Audit on Sensitive Items Accountability and Control at Abu Ghraib (A-2010-ALL-0106.000). Objective: Determine if the recommendations from the prior audit were implemented and, if so, if the recommendations fixed the problem.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Controls Over the Disposition of Equipment at the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office at Camp Arifjan, Kuwait (D2010-D000JA-0054.000). The DoD IG is conducting this audit in support of the Commander, U.S. Central Command request to focus oversight on U.S.-funded assets to ensure that they are properly accounted for and that there is a process for their proper transfer, reset, or disposal. Objective: Evaluate whether adequate policies and procedures are in place to ensure the proper disposition of equipment at the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office at Camp Arifjan, Kuwait. Specifically, DoD OIG will evaluate whether adequate controls exist to ensure the proper receipt, inspection, coding, and disposition of equipment in accordance with applicable guidance. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Management of Operations in the Theater Retrograde, Camp Arifjan, Kuwait (D2010-D000JA-0055.000). The DoD IG is conducting this audit in support of the Commander, U.S. Central Command request to focus oversight on U.S.-funded assets to ensure that they are properly accounted for and that there is a process for their proper transfer, reset, or disposal. Objective: Determine whether DoD is effectively managing operations in the Theater Retrograde, Camp Arifjan, Kuwait. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether adequate policies and procedures are in place at the Retro Sort, General Supply Warehouse, and Theater Redistribution Center for proper reutilization and disposition of equipment. DoD OIG will also determine whether adequate resources are available to effectively process the current and anticipated volume of equipment at the Theater Retrograde during the drawdown of U.S. forces from Iraq. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Camp Buehring Fuel Farm Operations, Kuwait (A-2009-ALL-0590.000). Objective: Determine whether controls over fuel farm operations at Camp Buehring are adequate to ensure proper accountability and limit access at fuel points.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>

<p>Army Deferred Maintenance on the Abrams Tank Fleet as a Result of the Global War on Terror (D2008-D000FJ-0210.000). Objective: Determine the extent and causes of deferred maintenance on the Army Abrams tanks that were used in the Global War on Terror. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Evaluation of the Office of Foreign Missions' Custodial Properties Program. Purpose: To conduct a review of the Office of Foreign Missions' custodial property program, including Iranian and Somali assets, to ensure that OFM is fulfilling effectively its mandate to protect and preserve the properties and furnishings owned by other governments but currently controlled by the U.S. Government.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Non-Standard Equipment Sustainment (A-2009-ALM-0059.000). Objectives: 1) Did the Army have adequate visibility over the location and condition of non-standard equipment items? 2) Did the Army have an effective sustainment plan to ensure that repair sources and repair parts were available to sustain non-standard equipment?</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Communications Security Equipment Outside the Continental United States (N2009-NAA000-0077.000). Objective: Verify that internal controls over inventories of serialized Communications Security equipment maintained outside the continental United States are effectively controlled and managed. (Section 852)</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Followup on Internal Controls for Marine Corps Small Arms Shipments (N2008-NMC000-0133.001). Objective: Verify that the USMC and NSWC, Crane personnel took corrective actions as agreed to in response to the recommendations in audit report N2008-0008, and to verify the effectiveness of actions taken. (Section 852)</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>United States Marine Corps Equipment Accountability (N2010-NIA000-0038.000). Objective: Verify that USMC equipment is being efficiently and effectively managed, and to ensure that units in the field are accurately accounting for the equipment they are assigned. (Section 852)</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>United States Marine Corps Small Arms Accountability (N2010-NMC000-0044.000). Objective: Verify that the USMC's small arms program has adequate controls to ensure that small arms are accounted for and secured. (Section 852)</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Follow-up of Deployed Assets (F2009-FC4000-0024). Objective: Follow-up of Deployed Assets report F2007-0004-FC4000, January 26, 2007. Specifically, we will follow-up to determine if management took corrective action to a) adequately account for deployed assets and accurately report deployed status and locations of deployment in Air Force Systems; and b) assign personnel as accountable and responsible officials for equipment at deployed locations. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Controls over Classified/Sensitive Equipment in Southwest Asia (A-2010-ALL-0024.000). Objective: Determine if adequate management controls were in place in Southwest Asia to account for controlled cryptographic items and secure internet protocol router equipment (stored and in-transit). (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Retrograde Operations in Southwest Asia-Class VII Items (A-2010-ALL-0258.000). Objectives 1) Did the Army implement the recommendations from the prior audit and were corrective actions effective? 2) Evaluate the Army's capabilities and capacities to effectively reset equipment from Iraq as part of the Responsible Drawdown.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Retrograde Operations in Southwest Asia-Multi Class Supplies (A-2010-ALL-0260.000). Objectives: 1) Did the Army implement the recommendations from the prior audit and were corrective actions effective? 2) Evaluate the Army’s capabilities and capacities to effectively account for and redistribute supplies and materiel from Iraq as part of the Responsible Drawdown.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Army Sustainment Command Workloading of Field Level Maintenance Operations for Reset (A-2010-ALM-0053.000). Objective: Did Army Sustainment Command effectively assess capacity and capabilities to make effective assignment of maintenance workload decisions? (Section 852)</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Depot Reset Workload Management-Backlog (A-2010-ALM-0052.000). Objectives: Is depot reset workload effectively managed at pre-induction and post-completion at the depot level? Is there a backlog of assets and is it visible? (Section 852)</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Managing Equipment Reset-U.S Army, Pacific (A-2010-FFP-0188.000). Objective: Did Army activities in the Pacific have effective processes (accountability/storage, inspections, replacement/acquisition, and distribution) to manage Left Behind and Reset Equipment? (Section 852)</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Return of Assets from Southwest Asia - Continental United States (CONUS). (A-2010-ALL-0086.000). Objective: Determine if management controls over assets returned from Southwest Asia were adequate to ensure units only retained authorized quantities and properly reported excess materiel for redistribution. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Communications Security Equipment Provided to U.S. Industrial Firms Under Contract to the U.S. Navy (N2010-NAA000-0056.000). Objective: Verify that internal controls over inventories of Communications Security equipment provided to U.S. industrial firms under contract to the U.S. Navy are effectively controlled and managed. (Section 852)</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Aircraft Fuels Servicing Equipment (F2010-FC4000-0033). Objective: Determine whether aircraft fuels servicing equipment is effectively managed. Specifically, evaluate whether personnel properly identify and support refueling truck and support equipment requirements, and accurately account for these items. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Iraq Air Base Closure (F2010-FC4000-00XX). Objective: Evaluate the procedures and documentation applicable to base closure planning and execution. We will also evaluate guidance established to implement closure plans at the installation level including proper accounting for and transfer of assets, identification of cargo movement requirements, and disposition of unserviceable or unwanted assets.</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Small Arms and Light Weapons Management (F2010-FC4000-0028). Objective: Assess if property custodians properly manage small arms and light weapons. Specifically, assess if custodians accurately account for items, promptly report on-hand discrepancies, adequately store and protect items, and appropriately deploy small arms and weapons. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>U. S. Air Forces Central (AFCENT) Area of Responsibility Night Vision Goggles (F2010-FD3000-0034). Objective: Determine whether AFCENT personnel adequately manage night vision goggles in the U.S. Central Command Area of Responsibility. Specifically, assess whether USAFCENT officials adequately account for, maintain, and secure these highly pilferable commodities in a mission-ready status. (Asset Accountability)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

PHYSICAL SECURITY AND PERSONNEL SECURITY

<p>De-mining Programs in Afghanistan. Purpose: To evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of de-mining programs in Afghanistan.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Oversight of Private Security Contractors in Afghanistan. Objectives: 1) How much have USAID/Afghanistan’s partners spent for security services? 2) Has USAID/Afghanistan provided sufficient oversight of the provision of security services? 3) What security incidents have security firms reported?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Agencies’ Use of Contractors to Provide Security for Reconstruction Programs in Afghanistan (SIGAR-009A). Objectives: Describe the number and volume of contracts to provide security services in Afghanistan and the extent to which these contracts are for reconstruction activities, determine extent of audit oversight of these contracts by GAO and the Inspector General community, assess agencies’ supervision and management of the security contractors and personnel, and identify contractor capabilities and performance strengths and weaknesses.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Focused Contract Audit: Reconstruction Security Support Services from Global Strategies Group, Inc (SIGAR-017A) Examine task order for private security services to review the contract outcomes and costs, U.S. oversight of the contract, as well as evaluating the planning procedures USACE followed in preparing the contract request. Plan to also identify any private security subcontractors used by the prime contractor and if the same requirements in the prime contract were included in the subcontract.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Local Security Guard Program – Embassy Kabul. Purpose: To provide an overall review and summary of the requirements and provisions of the Kabul Embassy Security Force contract; objectives of the contracts and task orders, what indicators have been established to measure performance; and how the Department administered the contract to oversee contractor’s performance and costs of the contract. Assess the status of contract records, management controls, cost, and value of this contract to the mission of the US Department of State.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Defense Hotline Allegations Concerning Traumatic Brain Injury Research Integrity in Iraq (D2009-D00SPO-0242.000). Objectives: The assessment was initiated in response to allegations made to the Defense Hotline. The overall objective is to review the allegations made to the Defense Hotline concerning Traumatic Brain Injury research integrity in Iraq. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether DoD guidance regarding the performance of research on human subjects was violated, and whether research misconduct occurred in a DoD approved clinical research trial evaluating the treatment of mild traumatic brain injury.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Electrocutions in Iraq (IPO-2008-C-006). Objective: Follow up oversight work continues for investigations conducted by the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Multi-National Force—Iraq/Multi-National Corps—Iraq Fire Services Inspection and Training Program (D2009-D000JB-0181.000). Objective: To assess whether Multi-National Force—Iraq is meeting requirements for fire services inspection and training through the use of contracted services. Specifically, DoD OIG will review fire inspection reports to determine whether fire inspection personnel are properly performing fire safety reviews. DoD OIG will identify contracted personnel assigned as expeditionary fire fighters and review their training records to determine whether the training they completed met contract, DoD, and Army requirements. In addition, DoD OIG will assess the effectiveness of quality control and quality assurance provisions of contracts and task orders related to the Fire Services Inspection and Training Program.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>De-mining Programs in Iraq. Purpose: To evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of de-mining programs in Iraq.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Survey of Incidents Reported by Private Security Subcontractors. Objective: What types of security incidents have been reported by personal security firms contracted by USAID/Iraq’s implementing partners during the two-year period ending June 30, 2009?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Iraq Cost Sharing Arrangements (320638). Objective: Assess U.S. efforts to share or transfer security costs to Iraq by identifying 1) what mechanisms the United States is using to share or transfer security costs to the Iraqi government and what results these mechanisms have produced; 2) how foreign government support the presence of U.S. forces in their counties and the extent to which features of these arrangements could be applied to Iraq; and, 3) what financial resources Iraq has to pay for its reconstruction and security, the extent to which it has expended these resources, and what its budget surplus/deficit is.</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Force Protection - Security Badging (Kuwait) (A-2009-ALL-0133.000). Objectives: 1) Evaluate the effectiveness of management controls over the issuance of security badges at Area Support Group—Kuwait. Specifically, determine if procedures were adequate for issuing, accounting for and using security badges. 2) Evaluate the adequacy of procedures for safeguarding personal information contained in automated systems involved in the security badging process.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Requirements for Biometrics Equipment for Southwest Asia (A-2010-FFD-0121.000). Objectives: 1) Does the Army have an adequate strategy and processes to establish/validate quantitative requirements for biometrics equipment in support of operations in Southwest Asia? 2) Does the Army have an effective fielding plan for biometrics equipment for Southwest Asia? 3) Does the Army have accountability of biometrics equipment in Southwest Asia?</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>

<p>Review of Local Security Guard Program – Embassy Islamabad. Purpose: To provide an overall review and summary of the requirements and provisions of the Islamabad Embassy Security Force contract; objectives of the contracts and task orders, what indicators have been established to measure performance; and how the Department administered the contract to oversee the contractor’s performance and costs of the contract. Assess the status of contract records, management controls, cost, and value of this contract to the mission of the US Department of State.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Controls Over the Common Access Card in Non-Department of Defense Agencies (D2009-D000JA-0136.000). Objective: Determine whether controls over Common Access Cards provided to civilians and contractors working for non-Department of Defense agencies were in place and worked as intended.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Joint IED Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) Strategic Management (351230). Objective: DoD Joint IED Defeat Organization’s (JIEDDO) mission is to improve the U.S. military’s capability for defending against improvised explosive device (IED) attacks. GAO reviews have continued to raise concerns regarding JIEDDO’s ability to strategically manage and to achieve its objectives. Key Questions: 1) To what extent has JIEDDO made progress in developing a strategic plan? 2) To what extent has JIEDDO developed a process to identify, evaluate, select, and develop counter-IED initiatives? 3) To what extent has the Office of the Secretary of Defense provided direction and oversight for JIEDDO operations and activities?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Reporting of Safety Mishaps (N2008-NIA000-0055.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy’s current safety mishap reporting processes are efficient and effective.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Reporting of USMC Safety Mishaps (N2010-NIA000-0039.000). Objective: Verify that the USMC’s current safety mishap reporting processes provide complete, accurate, and readily accessible data for use in analyzing trends and decision making.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Security Manning Requirements at Navy Installations (N2009-NIA000-0115.000). Objectives: To verify that: 1) a strategy has been developed to address future security staffing challenges; 2) technology innovation to reduce or compliment manning requirements has been incorporated; and 3) the process to generate manning requirements at Navy installations is logical, considers varying risk levels at different installations, and is fully effective.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Review of Emergency Action Plan (EAP) of Embassies Baghdad, Kabul, and Islamabad. Purpose: To evaluate and assess the current status and effectiveness of the Emergency Action Plans for Embassies Baghdad, Kabul, and Islamabad to determine the reasonableness and their level of coordination and cooperation with the military commanders in-country.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Review of the Bureau of Diplomatic Security Armored Up Vehicle Procurement Program. Purpose: To provide an overall review and assessment of the requirements, costs, procurements, and accountability of the armored up vehicles (AUV) provided to high threat environments and Embassies within the Near Eastern Affairs and South and Central Asia Bureaus' geographical regions; review what studies and needs assessments were conducted by the Bureau of Diplomatic Security to determine the AUV requirements; and review what policies or guidance is in place to ensure efficient and effective distribution of AUVs.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Worldwide Personal Protective Services (WPPS) II Capping Report. Purpose: To provide an overall summary and update from the various audits and reviews conducted by the Department of State Office of Inspector General on the Worldwide Personal Protective Services (WPPS) II contract assessing the effectiveness and value of this contract to the mission of the US Department of State.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Emergency Preparedness and Continuity of Operations Planning (N2010-NMC000-0049.000). Objective: Verify that selected DON commands have developed effective Continuity of Operations Plans to ensure the ability to continue operations, provide essential services, protect people and resources, and preserve the chain of command during emergency situations.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Internal Controls Over the Personnel Security Function at Selected Activities (N2010-NMC000-0043.000). Objective: Verify that: 1) security clearance determinations for identified personnel at DON commands/activities are up-to-date and based on accurate account information; and 2) adequate internal controls are in place to ensure selected commands/activities are in compliance with established DON guidance.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Navy Operation Support Center Antiterrorism and Force Protection (N2010-NFO000-0106.000). Objective: Verify that Antiterrorism and Force Protection security requirements for stand-alone Navy Operational Support Centers are being met.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

TRAINING AND EQUIPPING NATIONAL SECURITY FORCES

<p>DoD Obligations and Expenditures of Funds Provided to DoS for the Training and Mentoring of the Afghan National Police (D2009-D000JB-0230.000). Objective: To review the status of Afghanistan Security Forces Fund money that the Department of Defense has provided to the Department of State for training of the Afghan National Police. Specifically, we will review a copy of the contract, appropriate task orders, statements of work, and any contract or task order modifications for training and mentoring to ensure they comply with all relevant Federal regulations and meet the needs of the Department of Defense. Further, we will review copies of contractor invoices to ensure that claimed costs are allowable and allocable, and reasonable for the services received.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
---	---------------	----------------	--------------------

<p>U.S. and Coalition Efforts to Develop the Medical Sustainment Capability of the Afghan National Security Forces (D2009-D00SPO-0115.000). Objectives: Determine whether U.S. government, coalition, Afghan Ministry of Defense, and Afghan Ministry of Interior goals, objectives, plans, and guidance to develop and sustain the current and projected Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) health care system are issued and operative; previous DoD, Office of the Inspector General, recommendations regarding developing and sustaining the ANSF health care system have been implemented (DoD IG Report No. SPO-2009-001, “Assessment of Arms, Ammunition, and Explosives Control and Accountability; Security Assistance; and Sustainment for the Afghan National Security Forces,” October 24, 2008); and ongoing efforts to develop an enduring health care system for ANSF are effective.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Afghanistan National Police Training and Mentoring Program (Joint w/DODIG). Purpose: To provide an overall review and summary of the requirements, provisions, and costs of the Afghanistan National Police Training and Mentoring Program contract; objectives of the contracts and task orders, what indicators have been established to measure performance; and how the State Department administered the contract to oversee DynCorp’s performance and costs of the contract. Assess the status of contract records, management controls, costs, and value of this contract to the mission of the US Departments of State and Defense.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Assessment of the Capabilities of the Afghanistan National Security Forces (SIGAR-012A). Objectives: Identify the procedures by which Afghanistan National Security Forces capabilities are measured and verified; assess the extent to which readiness assessment methods vary between and within the Afghanistan National Army and Afghanistan National Police; determine to what extent the capability rating system provides a reliable profile of Afghanistan National Security Forces capabilities; and identify the extent to which challenges have impeded the U.S. ability to assess Afghanistan National Security Forces capabilities.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Afghan National Security Forces Personnel Management (SIGAR-013A). Objectives: Describe the extent to which Afghan army and police personnel have been counted and validated; actions taken by the United States and international donors to support the development of Afghanistan National Security Forces personnel accounting systems; challenges associated with the completion and maintenance of routine systems for personnel accounting; and extent to which inaccurate personnel numbers have led to additional challenges, including the fraudulent collection of Afghanistan National Security Forces salaries.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>U.S. and Coalition Efforts to Develop the Logistics Sustainment Capability of the Afghan National Security Forces. Objectives: To assess whether: U.S. government, coalition, and Afghan Ministries of Defense and Interior goals, objectives, plans, and guidance to sustain the current and expanded Afghan National Security Force (ANSF) are issued and operative; ongoing efforts to develop an enduring logistics sustainment base for ANSF are effective; previous DoD, Office of the Inspector General, recommendations regarding ANSF logistics sustainment have been implemented; and the planning and operational implementation of efforts by U.S. forces to train, advise, and assist in the development of a casualty evacuation capability for ANSF are effective.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>U.S. Training Program for Afghanistan Female Police. Objective: Identify goals of U.S. and international efforts in building Afghanistan’s national female police force, identify nature and extent of U.S. funding for these activities, and assess progress made.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of the Program Management Practices for the Iraqi Police Training Program (Project 9028). Objective: Assess the program management practices used to develop the capabilities of the Iraqi police, judicial, and correctional personnel. The audit will also include reviewing program planning, objectives, and results including: management direction and oversight, costs to-date and future budget estimates, plans to transition the management of the program to the Department of State, and actions to involve the Government of Iraq in the program.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of the Sons of Iraq Program (Project 1004) SIGIR is still in the process of developing the objective for this review.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>U.S. Government Efforts to Develop the Logistics Sustainment Capability of the Iraq Security Forces (D2009-D00SPO-0286.000). Objectives: To assess whether: the planning and operational implementation of efforts by U.S. forces to train, advise, and assist in the development of an enduring logistics sustainability capability for the Iraq Security Forces (ISF) are effective; the planning and operational implementation of efforts by U.S. forces to train, advise, and assist in the development of a casualty evacuation capability for ISF are effective; and plans, training, preparations, and designated missions of the inbound U.S. advisory and assistance brigades and sustainment brigades to train, advise, and assist in the development of an enduring logistics sustainability capability for ISF are synchronized with in-country plans and operational assumptions and needs.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>U.S. Government Efforts to Transition the Security Assistance Mission Supporting the Government of Iraq from Department of Defense Authority to Department of State Authority (D2009-D00SPO-0287.000). Objectives: To assess whether: U.S. government goals, objectives, plans, and guidance are issued and operative for the transition of the Iraqi Security Assistance Mission (a component of the Multi-National Security Transition Command-Iraq) from Department of Defense authority to an office of security cooperation under Department of State authority; and ongoing U.S. forces efforts to provide security assistance to the Government of Iraq are adversely impacted by the drawdown plans of the Multi-National Force-Iraq and the implementation of those plans.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audits of Expenditures on Iraqi Security Forces. Objectives: Determine whether expenditures from the ISFF on the Iraqi Security Forces are being accurately tracked and reported. <i>SIGIR has an ongoing audit(s) of expenditures on the Iraqi Air Force, Navy, and Special Operations Forces.</i></p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Review of the Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL) Infrastructure Activities in Support of the Palestinian Authority (PA) Security Forces. Purpose: The primary purpose is to determine whether INL is effectively managing and overseeing the construction of National Security Forces training camps and garrisons. This review will assess whether comprehensive independent government cost estimates are being performed, the effectiveness of the Project Implementation Unit to manage the construction projects, and whether contractors are properly vetted.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

WARFARE SUPPORT

<p>U.S. Forces-Afghanistan Sharing of Tactical Counterintelligence and HUMINT with International Security Assistance Force. Objective: Assess the effectiveness of DoD sharing of tactical, force protection Human Intelligence and Counterintelligence information with International Security Assistance Force.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Army Prepositioned Stocks, Requirement Determination Processes (A-2010-ALL-0025.000). Objective: Determine if the Army’s requirement determination processes for prepositioned stocks support existing plans and the modular forces that draw equipment from Army Prepositioned Stocks.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Class III Fuel Procurement and Distribution in Southwest Asia (D2008-D000JC-0186.000). Objective: Determine whether fuel used for ground operations in Southwest Asia to support Operations Iraqi Freedom and Enduring Freedom is procured and distributed efficiently and effectively. Specifically, we will determine whether fuel is procured at fair and reasonable prices, whether fuel is distributed economically and efficiently to operational commands, and whether fuel supply points maintain accurate inventories.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Joint Task Force Guantanamo Inclusion of Mental Health Information in Intelligence Information Reports (D2009-DINT01-023.000). Objectives: To determine if Intelligence Information Reports published by Joint Task Force Guantanamo considered detainee mental health status as an indicator of reliability and if such information was made available for use by intelligence consumers for their determination of source reliability and accuracy of the information. The project will also review DoD guidance pertaining to the inclusion of source mental health information in intelligence reports and if DoD policy regarding privacy of medical information for intelligence purposes complies with existing law and DoD regulations.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Army Acquisition Actions in Response to the Threat to Light Tactical Wheeled Vehicles (D2009-D000AE-0007.000). Objective: Determine whether the Army effectively managed efforts to develop, test, and acquire armor solutions for light tactical wheeled vehicles. These solutions are needed in response to the threat to High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle variants and use in developing the next-generation vehicle for the Global War on Terror. In addition, we will determine whether DoD exercised adequate operational test and live fire test oversight of the Army’s High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle program.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Countermine and Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Systems Contracts (D2009-D000AE-0102.000). Objective: Determine whether DoD procurement efforts for countermine and improvised explosive device defeat systems for use in Iraq and Afghanistan were developed, awarded, and managed in accordance with Federal and Defense acquisition regulations. A series of reports are planned for this project.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>DoD Health Care Requirements for Contingency Operations (351393). Objective: DoD’s military health care professionals are needed to support combat contingency operations to treat injured military personnel and save lives. Key Questions: For current contingency combat operations, 1) to what extent have DoD and the services identified their wartime health care personnel requirements, 2) what challenges, if any, are DoD and the services encountering in meeting their wartime health care personnel requirements, and 3) to what extent do DoD and the services have or use the tools they need to meet their wartime health care personnel requirements?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Management of the Prepositioned Fleet at Combat Training Centers (A-2008-FFF-0044.000). Objectives: 1) Were pre-positioned fleets adequately configured? 2) Were rotational units effectively using the pre-positioned fleets? 3) Were maintenance costs for the pre-positioned fleets reasonable?</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Reserve Component Post Mobilization Training (A-2009-FFS-0075.000). Objectives: 1) Were post-mobilization training requirements completed prior to deployment for National Guard and Army Reserve units? 2) Was appropriate training provided based on the theater mission of deploying units?</p>	AAA	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Unit Training on Defeat Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs) (A-2008-FFF-0081.000). Objective: Were units conducting appropriate training to counter the improvised explosive devices threat?</p>	AAA	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Maintenance of U.S. Navy Aegis-Equipped Ships (N2009-NIA000-0116.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy’s Maintenance Program for U.S. Navy Aegis-equipped ships is effectively designed and implemented and has sufficient oversight to achieve the desired results.</p>	NAVAUD SVC	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Management and Implementation of the USMC Hearing Conservation Program (N2008-NFO000-0023.000). Objective: Verify that the management and implementation of the USMC hearing conservation program is effective in protecting the hearing of the USMC personnel.</p>	NAVAUD SVC	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Navy SEALs Accession Pipeline (N2009-NFO000-0031.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy’s plan for implementing the decision to increase the number of Navy SEALs is a reasonable approach in achieving the objective.</p>	NAVAUD SVC	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Post-Deployment Health Reassessment (PDHRA) Medical Information Management (N2008-NFO000-0016.000). Objective: Verify that DON is effectively recording and monitoring health assessment referrals.</p>	NAVAUD SVC	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Reserve Headquarters System Accuracy (N2008-NFO000-0113.000). Objectives: To verify that: 1) Reserve Headquarters System data is accurate, reliable, and supports current operations; and 2) Reserve Headquarters System is properly prepared to migrate to the Defense Integrated Military Human Resource System.</p>	NAVAUD SVC	ONGOING	SWA

<p>Joint Expeditionary Taskings (F2009-FD4000-0055). Objective: Evaluate the effectiveness of Air Force joint expeditionary taskings. Specifically, determine whether tasking requests are properly managed, selected Airmen are properly trained, and members are timely deployed to support Area of Responsibility taskings.</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Assessment of the Defense Intelligence Operations Coordination Center (DIOCC). Objective: Determine whether the national level JIOC organization (DIOCC) has the resources necessary to conduct its end state mission of coordinating collaboration and integration across the intelligence enterprise with major emphasis being on OCO mission support.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Follow-up of Health Care Provided by Military Treatment Facilities to Contractors in Southwest Asia. Objective: Determine whether controls for billing and collecting payment from contractors for health care provided by military treatment facilities in Southwest Asia are adequate.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Private Treatment Centers in Southwest Asia. Objective: Determine whether DoD is appropriately funding healthcare received by contractor personnel at private treatment centers in Southwest Asia. Also, we will determine whether DoD has adequate oversight and controls over contractors that manage private treatment centers in Southwest Asia.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Review the Medical Support of Staff Assigned to High Stress Posts. Purpose: To evaluate the before, during, and after medical and mental health care of staff assigned to high stress Posts.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Deployment Support Systems (A-2010-FFS-0180.000). Objectives: 1) Were procedures and controls for data entry, processing and output adequate to ensure the integrity and reliability of unit movement data for deployment planning and reporting? 2) Were the Army’s plans for future use of deployment support systems reasonable?</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Navy’s Educational and Developmental Intervention Service (N2010-NFO000-0070.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy’s Educational and Developmental Intervention Service is effective at providing education and medically related services to infants and toddlers with special needs at both CONUS and overseas locations.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Casualty Care (N2010-NFO000-0123.000). Objective: Verify that recent problems associated with the delivery of medical care to wounded warriors have been resolved, and that internal controls are in place to ensure the appropriate provision of care.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Individual Augmentee Integration Process (N2010-NFO000-0124.000). Objective: Verify that returning Individual Augmentees are receiving the support needed to reintegrate with family, community, and employers.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Navy Exceptional Family Member Program (N2010-NFO000-0069.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy Exceptional Family Member Program is effective in screening military members for assignments within CONUS and overseas.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Navy Hearing Conservation Program (N2010-NFO000-0064.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy Hearing Conservation Program is effectively managed and achieving desired results.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Navy Reserve Component’s Access to Military Medical Services (N2010-NFO000-0120.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy’s Reserve Component has sufficient and timely access to military medical services.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Navy SEAL Minority Representation (N2010-NFO000-0122.000). Objective: Verify that efforts to increase the number of minority SEALs are effective.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

Post-Deployment Health Reassessment Program Management (N2010-NFO000-0040.000). Objective: Verify that the Navy is effectively implementing and managing the Post-Deployment Health Reassessment Program.	NAVAUD SVC	PLANNED	SWA
--	-----------------------	----------------	------------

GOVERNANCE, RULE OF LAW, AND HUMAN RIGHTS

GOVERNMENT CAPACITY BUILDING

PROJECT	AGENCY	STATUS	COUNTRY
<p>Review of U.S. and Other Donor Efforts to Address and Build Afghanistan's Capacity to Address Corruption (SIGAR-007A). Objective: Review of oversight and anti-corruption capabilities and performance of the Afghan government, U.S. and donor efforts to strengthen the capabilities, and internal controls and accountability for U.S. funds used by selected Ministries. (Audit plan for identified anti-corruption programs to be audited over the next two fiscal years will be product)</p>	SIGAR	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Review of U.S. Assistance for the Preparation and Conduct of Presidential and Provincial Council Elections in Afghanistan (SIGAR-006A). Objectives: 1) Identify elections assistance funded through the U.S., as well as the amount of and extent of donor support and coordination, for the preparation of the upcoming elections in August 2009; 2) Assess the effectiveness of the preparation for elections overall, including such areas as voter registration, voter education, polling center security, ballot box integrity, and vote counting procedures; and 3) Identify strengths/weaknesses of the election process, after the election, and lessons learned for future elections. This review will produce several reports: one before the elections that will focus on preparation and coordination, and a second report after the elections take place, to focus on the conduct of the elections. Issued three reports on preparations for the elections and women's participation in the elections. Will issue a final report on the conduct of the elections, to include lessons learned and preparations for upcoming elections in 2010.</p>	SIGAR	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN

<p>Review of the Capabilities of Afghanistan’s High Office of Oversight (SIGAR-011A). Objectives: Examine capability and performance of High Office of Oversight, identify U.S. and donor assistance to strengthen the High Office of Oversight, and determine the effectiveness of U.S. and other donor assistance programs with the High Office of Oversight.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of U.S. and Other Donor Efforts to Address and Build Afghanistan’s Capacity to Address Corruption in the Provincial Governments (SIGAR-008A). Objective: Review of oversight and anti-corruption capabilities and performance of the Afghan provincial governments, U.S. and donor efforts to strengthen the capabilities, and internal controls and accountability for U.S. funds used to support these activities. (Audit plan for provincial audits of sub-national governances will be product.)</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of U.S. and other donor efforts to strengthen the capabilities of Afghanistan’s Control and Audit Office (SIGAR-019A). Objectives: Examine capability and performance of CAO, identify U.S. and donor assistance to strengthen the CAO, and determine the effectiveness of U.S. and other donor assistance programs with the CAO.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Public Diplomacy Programs in Afghanistan</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Support for Increased Electoral Participation in Afghanistan Activity. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Support for Increased Electoral Participation in Afghanistan Activity achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Support to the Electoral Process in Afghanistan Activity. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Support to the Electoral Process in Afghanistan Activity achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Controls and Accountability of U.S. funds for the Afghanistan National Solidarity Program. Objective: Review controls and accountability in place for U.S. funds used in the National Solidarity Program of the Ministry of Finance, identify nature of projects funded, and assess capabilities and progress of MoH to implement accountability procedures.</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>U.S. and other donor efforts to develop Afghanistan’s private sector.</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Review of U.S. and donor assistance to strengthen Afghanistan’s Major Crimes Task Force</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Review of U.S. and donor assistance to strengthen Independent Administrative Reform and Civil Service Commission (IARCSC)</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Review of U.S. and donor assistance to strengthen Internal Audit Offices of the Ministries of Education and of Higher Education</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Review of U.S. and other donor efforts to strengthen Anti-Corruption Unit of Afghanistan’s Attorney General’s Office</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Review of U.S. and other donor efforts to strengthen the capabilities of Afghanistan’s Management Information System (AFMIS)</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Review of U.S. and other donor efforts to strengthen the Internal Audit Office of the Ministry of Finance</p>	SIGAR	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Audit of the Department of State Management of Practices Related to the International Republican Institute and the National Democratic Institute Grants for Democracy Building (Project 9024). Objectives: Review the grant award and oversight process, the costs and funding sources, and the performance goals and evaluation process of grants to assist Iraq in building strong political parties, develop civil society, and support elections.</p>	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ

<p>Audit of the Use of the ISFF to Train, Equip, and Develop Iraqi Air Force, Navy, and Special Operations Forces (Project 9023). Objectives: Determine plans for training and equipping the Iraqi Air Force, Navy, and Special Operations Forces. The audit will also examine timelines for achieving goals and objectives; metrics for assessing progress; budget, expenditures, and obligations for implementing the train and equip programs; Government of Iraq’s contributions; and any bi-lateral agreements between the U.S. and the Government of Iraq.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Pakistan’s Capacity Building for the Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) Development Program. Objective: Has USAID/Pakistan’s Capacity Building for the Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) Development Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>U.S. Development Efforts in Pakistan’s Federally Administered Tribal Areas (320656). Development assistance is a key element of national power vital to U.S. efforts to address terrorism emanating from Pakistan’s Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA). The U.S. planned to provide an additional \$750 million in development assistance in the FATA from 2007-2011. Key Questions: 1) How much funding has been appropriated, obligated and expended for development assistance in the FATA? 2) To what extent do USAID’s planned assistance and efforts in the FATA align with Pakistan’s FATA Sustainable Development Plan? 3) To what extent has USAID been successful in accomplishing its Sustainable Development Plan-related goals? 4) What challenges, if any, do USAID and others working on behalf of the U.S. face in implementing development projects in the FATA, and what plans exist to mitigate these challenges?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>

<p>U.S. Security Assistance to Pakistan (320684). The Security Development Plan, implemented by the Department of Defense, is a key U.S. initiative to enhance Pakistan’s counterinsurgency capabilities in the tribal and frontier areas bordering Afghanistan. About \$500 million has been allocated for this initiative to date with efforts underway to greatly enhance future U.S. assistance to Pakistan. Key Questions: 1) How much funding has been appropriated, obligated, and expended under the plan? 2) What are the plan’s current and future objectives, and what has been accomplished to date? 3) What management and oversight controls are in place to ensure that this assistance is used as intended? 4) How are activities implemented under the plan coordinated with other U.S. efforts?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Public Diplomacy Programs in Pakistan</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>

ANTICORRUPTION

<p>Review of U.S. Assistance to Enhance Afghan Capacity to Protect Judges and Prosecutors (SIGAR-007A.2)</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Anti-Corruption Program Development in Afghanistan. Purpose: To evaluate and assess the current status and effectiveness of the Afghanistan anti-corruption training programs especially the development of the Afghanistan government system; to determine and evaluate the coordination and cooperation of previous and current anti-corruption training programs with other US government agencies.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Anti-Corruption Program Development in Iraq. Purpose: To evaluate and assess the current status and effectiveness of the Iraqi anti-corruption training programs especially the development of the sustainment Iraqi Inspector General system; to determine and evaluate the coordination and cooperation of previous and current anti-corruption training programs with other US government agencies.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Audit of USAID/Bangladesh’s Promoting Governance, Accountability, Transparency, and Integrity Program. Objective: Did USAID/Bangladesh’s Promoting Governance, Accountability, Transparency, and Integrity Program achieve its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
--	-----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

HUMAN RIGHTS

<p>Review of USAID/Afghanistan Contractors’ Compliance with the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008. Objectives: 1) Have USAID/Afghanistan and selected contractors established sufficient controls to prevent trafficking of persons? 2) Have selected USAID/Afghanistan contractors and subcontractors engaged in trafficking-of-persons practices?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Iraq’s Local Governance Program III Activities. Objective: Has USAID/Iraq’s Local Governance Program III achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Review of USAID/Iraq Contractors’ Compliance With the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act of 2008. Objectives: 1) Have USAID/Iraq and its contractors established sufficient controls to prevent trafficking of persons? 2) Have USAID/Iraq’s contractors and subcontractors engaged in trafficking-of-persons practices?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>DoD and DoD Contractor Efforts to Prevent Sexual Assault/Harassment Involving Contractor Employees Within Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom Areas of Operation (D2008-D000CE-0221.000). The DoD IG is performing this audit as a result of a congressional inquiry. Objective: Review whether contracts that support Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom Areas of Operation contain clauses that adequately address DoD policies regarding sexual assault/harassment of and by contractor personnel. DoD OIG will also determine whether either DoD or DoD contractors, or both, provided sexual assault/harassment awareness, prevention, and reporting training to DoD and contractor employees prior to their deployment to Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom Areas of Operation.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>DoD Combating Trafficking in Persons (CTIP) Program. Objective: Evaluate DoD compliance with CTIP statutes, executive direction, and department policy and review a sample of DoD contracts for compliance with the “Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000.”</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Review of Contracts Vulnerable to Trafficking in Persons (TIP) – Gulf. Purpose: To review the effectiveness of the Department and Embassies in monitoring Department-funded contracts to verify that contractors and subcontractors are not engaged in trafficking in persons activities; and are U.S. Embassies following the Department guidelines and policies to prevent trafficking and whether these guidelines and policies adequately prevent trafficking.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Review of Contracts Vulnerable to Trafficking in Persons (TIP) – Mediterranean. Purpose: To review the effectiveness of the Department and Embassies in monitoring Department-funded contracts to verify that contractors and subcontractors are not engaged in trafficking in persons activities; and are U.S. Embassies following the Department guidelines and policies to prevent trafficking and whether these guidelines and policies adequately prevent trafficking.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Review of Contracts Vulnerable to Trafficking in Persons (TIP). Purpose: Review of selected Department contracts in the Middle East Region to evaluate and assess the current status and effectiveness of US contractors complying with full disclosure in recruiting, hiring, and treatment of foreign workers employed by US contractors and subcontractors in the Middle East Region.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
--	-----------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

REFUGEE ASSISTANCE

<p>Review of Afghanistan Internally Displaced Persons. Purpose: To determine whether 1) U.S. government and international organizations have effectively met the protection, food, and other assistance needs of Afghanistan’s Internally Displaced Persons (IDP) populations, 2) whether the U.S. government has a coordinated and effectively managed program to protect and assist these persons and 3) account for the flow and use of funds provided to assist the Afghanistan IDP refugee populations.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Afghanistan Refugee. Purpose: To determine whether 1) U.S. government and international organizations have effectively met the protection, food, and other assistance needs of Afghanistan’s refugee populations, 2) whether the U.S. government has a coordinated and effectively managed program to protect and assist these refugees, and 3) account for the flow and use of funds for UNWRA to monitor the assistance provided to the Afghanistan refugee populations.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID Assistance to Internally Displaced Persons in Iraq. Objective: Has USAID Assistance to Internally Displaced Persons in Iraq achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Iraq Refugee and Special Immigrant Visa Employment in the U.S. (320694). About 15,000 Iraqi refugees were admitted to the United States in fiscal years 2007 - 2008. Some served the U.S. government in Iraq as translators, for example, and were granted special immigrant visas. The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 gave the Defense and State Departments the authority to jointly establish a temporary program to offer employment to Iraqi special immigrant visa holders as translators, interpreters, or cultural awareness instructors. Key Questions: 1) What federal offices require Arabic speakers or Iraqi expertise and to what extent have they hired Iraqi refugees? 2) What are the requirements for federal positions that require Arabic or knowledge of Iraq? 3) What challenges, if any, do Iraqi refugees face in obtaining U.S. government employment?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>U.S., Iraqi, and International Efforts to Address Challenges in Reintegrating Displaced Iraqis (320645). The UN reports that over 4.8 million Iraqis have been displaced, including about 2.8 million within Iraq. In April 2009, GAO issued a report on assistance to Iraqi refugees in Jordan and Syria. In anticipation of a growing number of returns from within and outside Iraq, the international community has encouraged Iraq to develop plans to reintegrate displaced Iraqis. This engagement will focus on challenges in reintegrating Iraqis returning from other countries and those displaced within Iraq. Key Questions: 1) What challenges do the United States, Iraq, and the international community face in reintegrating displaced Iraqis? 2) What actions have they taken to address these challenges? 3) What gaps remain and what more needs to be done to address these challenges?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Review of Pakistan Internally Displaced Persons. Purpose: To determine whether 1) U.S. government and international organizations have effectively met the protection, food, and other assistance needs of Pakistan’s Internally Displaced Persons (IDP) populations, 2) whether the U.S. government has a coordinated and effectively managed program to protect and assist these persons and 3) account for the flow and use of funds provided to assist the Pakistan IDP populations.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Review of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA) Management and Implementation of the 2008 and 2009 Emergency Appeal for West Bank and Gaza. Purpose: Overall objective is to determine whether UNRWA is effectively managing the Emergency Appeal program. Specific objectives included determining 1) how assistance requirements are calculated, 2) whether assistance is reaching intended targets, and 3) if program performance measures were established and achieved.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

AGRICULTURE AND COUNTERNARCOTICS

PROJECT	AGENCY	STATUS	COUNTRY
<p>Effectiveness of Counter Narcotics Programs in Afghanistan. Purpose: To assess U.S. efforts to plan and manage counter-narcotics activities in Afghanistan, including an analysis of 1) U.S. obligations and expenditures; 2) results of assistance projects; 3) assistance coordination mechanisms and strategy; and 4) major obstacles that affect the achievement of U.S. goals.</p>	DOS OIG	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Afghan Counternarcotics: Review of U.S. Eradication, Interdiction, Justice, & Public Diplomacy Pillars (320657). Since 2002, the United States has provided over \$1.5 billion to stem the production and trafficking of illicit drugs-primarily opiates-in Afghanistan. U.S. strategy focuses on eradication, interdiction, justice reform, alternative development and public information. This engagement will focus on the eradication, interdiction, and justice reform pillars. Key Questions: 1) How much U.S. funding has been appropriated, obligated, and expended in support of these counternarcotics programs in Afghanistan? 2) What were these programs designed to achieve and what has been accomplished? 3) To what extent have the agencies involved coordinated their programs with other U.S., Afghan, and international efforts? 4) What factors, if any, have limited program implementation and progress?</p>	GAO	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN

<p>USAID’s Afghan Agricultural and Alternative Development Programs in Afghanistan (320662). Since 2002, the U.S. has allocated over \$5.9 billion in development assistance to rebuild Afghanistan. Rebuilding Afghanistan’s rural economy is a key contributor to licit economic growth. USAID’s alternative development programs intend to accelerate economic growth and provide licit alternatives to poppy cultivation. Key Questions: 1) How much funding has been appropriated, allocated, obligated and expended towards the program? 2) To what extent has USAID been successful in meeting its program goals and objectives? 3) To what extent has USAID monitored and evaluated the program? 4) What challenges, if any, do USAID and others face in implementing alternative development the programs, and what plans exist to mitigate these challenges?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Afghanistan Vouchers for Increased Production in Agriculture Program. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Afghanistan Vouchers for Increased Production in Agriculture Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Alternative Development Program Expansion, South and West. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Alternative Development Program Expansion, South and West achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Review of U.S. and International Donor Programs to Assist Afghanistan’s Agriculture Sector. Objective: Conduct an overall assessment of U.S. and international programs to assist Afghanistan’s agriculture sector. Work may: 1) Identify U.S. and international goals for reconstruction and sustainment of Afghanistan’s agriculture sector; 2) Determine what performance metrics are used to determine if project milestones and outcomes are adequately tracked; 3) Assess progress and results of agriculture sector reconstruction efforts against the Afghanistan National Development Strategy and other criteria; 4) Assess coordination between U.S. and international agencies in agriculture sector reconstruction; and 5) Assess Afghan participation in decisions and implementation of reconstruction programs.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Iraq’s Agriculture Private Sector Development—Agribusiness Program. Objective: Has USAID/Iraq’s agribusiness program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Effectiveness of Counter Narcotics Programs in Pakistan. Purpose: To assess U.S. efforts to plan and manage counter-narcotics activities in Pakistan, including an analysis of 1) U.S. obligations and expenditures; 2) results of assistance projects; 3) assistance coordination mechanisms and strategy; and 4) major obstacles that affect the achievement of U.S. goals.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>

EDUCATION AND HEALTH CARE

<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Building Education Support Systems for Teachers Project. Objective: Is USAID/Afghanistan’s Building Education Support Systems for Teachers Project achieving its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
---	----------------------	----------------	--------------------

<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s School and Health Clinic Buildings Completed Under the Schools and Clinics Construction and Refurbishment Program. Objectives: 1) Are schools and health clinics being used for intended purposes? 2) What impact has the program had on provision of educational and health services?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Construction of Health and Education Facilities Program. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Construction of Health and Education Facilities Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Partnership for Community-Based Education in Afghanistan Program. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Partnership for Community-Based Education in Afghanistan Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Support to the American University of Afghanistan Activity. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Support to the American University of Afghanistan Activity achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of U.S. and International Assistance to Afghanistan’s Education Sector. Objective: Conduct an overall assessment of U.S. and international programs to assist Afghanistan’s education sector. Work may: 1) Identify U.S. and international goals for reconstruction and sustainment of Afghanistan’s education sector; 2) Determine what performance metrics are used to determine if project milestones and outcomes are adequately tracked; 3) Assess progress and results of education sector reconstruction efforts against the Afghanistan National Development Strategy and other criteria; 4) Assess coordination between U.S. and international agencies in education sector reconstruction; and 5) Assess Afghan participation in decisions and implementation of reconstruction programs.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Audit of USAID/Iraq’s Community Action Program III Activities. Objective: Has USAID/Iraq’s Community Action Program III achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Pakistan’s Primary Healthcare Revitalization, Integration, and Decentralization in Earthquake-Affected Areas (PRIDE). Objective: Has USAID/Pakistan’s Primary Healthcare Revitalization, Integration, and Decentralization in Earthquake-Affected Areas (PRIDE) program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Pakistan’s Family Advancement for Life and Health Program. Objective: Has USAID/Pakistan’s Family Advancement for Life and Health Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Pakistan’s Links to Learning: Education Support to Pakistan Program. Objective: Has USAID/Pakistan’s Links to Learning: Education Support to Pakistan (ED-LINKS) Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Pakistan’s Pre-Service Teacher Education Program. Objective: Has USAID/Pakistan’s Pre-Service Teachers Education Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of Selected Activities under USAID/Bangladesh’s Population and Health Program. Objective: Have selected activities under USAID/Bangladesh’s Population and Health Program achieved their main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Philippines’ Sustainable Health Improvements through Empowerment and Local Development (SHIELD) Project. Objective: Has USAID/Philippines’ SHIELD Project achieved its main objectives?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Audit of USAID/Nepal’s Education for Income Generation and Conflict Mitigation Program. Objective: Did USAID/Nepal’s Education for Income Generation and Conflict Mitigation Program achieve its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Philippines’ Education Quality and Access for Learning and Livelihood Skills Project. Objective: Did USAID/Philippines’ Education Quality and Access for Learning and Livelihood Skills project achieve its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Controls and Accountability of U.S. funds for the Basic Package of Health Services. Objective: Review controls and accountability in place for U.S. funds used in the Basic Package Health Services of the Ministry of Health, identify nature of projects funded, and assess capabilities and progress of MoH to implement accountability procedures.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>Afghanistan</p>

INFRASTRUCTURE

<p>Audit of Selected Activities Funded Under USAID/Afghanistan’s Infrastructure Rehabilitation Program—Power Sector. Objective: Were the main goals of USAID/Afghanistan’s Infrastructure and Rehabilitation Program achieved?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Water Sector in Afghanistan Energy Sector (320680). It is estimated that the USAID has spent over \$70 million to help develop Afghanistan’s rudimentary water sector. The U.S. Agriculture Assistance Strategy proposes \$200 million for FY 2010 for irrigation. Key Questions: 1) What are the U.S. government’s goals and investments for assisting with the development of Afghanistan’s water sector? 2) How are U.S. agencies managing U.S. water projects in Afghanistan, and what challenges, if any, affect project implementation? 3) To what extent and how do U.S. agencies coordinate their water projects with each other, bilateral and multilateral donors, and the Afghanistan government? 4) What is the U.S. government doing to ensure the long-term sustainability of water projects?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Review of U.S. and International Donor Programs to Assist Afghanistan’s Energy Sector (SIGAR-004A). Objective: Conduct an overall assessment of U.S. and international programs to rebuild Afghanistan’s energy sector. Work will: 1) Identify U.S. and international goals for reconstruction and sustainment of Afghanistan’s energy sector; 2) Determine what performance metrics are used to determine if project milestones and outcomes are adequately tracked; 3) Assess progress and results of energy sector reconstruction efforts against the Afghanistan National Development Strategy and other criteria; 4) Assess coordination between U.S. and international agencies in energy sector reconstruction; and 5) Assess Afghan participation in decisions and implementation of reconstruction programs.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Water, Agriculture, and Technology Transfer Program. Objective: Has USAID/Afghanistan’s Afghanistan Water, Agriculture, and Technology Transfer Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Information Assurance in Southwest Asia - Afghanistan (A-2010-ALL-0018.000). Objective: Determine if management controls over information systems were adequate to protect and defend the integrity, confidentiality and availability of information during contingency operations.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of the Sustainability of Selected USAID/Iraq Infrastructure Activities. Objective: Have USAID/Iraq’s completed infrastructure activities continued to provide the intended benefits?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Iraq’s Provincial Economic Growth Program. Objective: Has USAID/Iraq’s Provincial Economic Growth Program achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Review of Internal Management Controls of Funds Provided to Pakistan and the FATA. Purpose: To determine whether specific internal control procedures have been properly designed and put in place for the FATA program funds to include procedures for planning, organizing, directing, and controlling program operations, and the system put in place for measuring, reporting, and monitoring program performance.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Pakistan’s Federally Administered Tribal Areas Livelihood Development Program as Implemented by Academy for Educational Development. Objective: Has USAID/Pakistan’s Federally Administered Tribal Areas Livelihood Development Program, as administered by Academy for Educational Development, achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>

RECONSTRUCTION

<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Afghan Civilian Assistance Program. Objective: Were USAID/Afghanistan’s humanitarian assistance services provided through the Afghan Civilian Assistance Program achieving their main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Kabul Power Plant Construction</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Internal Controls Over Commander’s Emergency Response Program Payments Processed Through the Deployable Disbursing System in Afghanistan. Objective: Determine whether internal controls over Commander’s Emergency Response Program payments are adequate to ensure that payments are proper and supported and that funds are used for their intended purpose.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Afghanistan’s Human Resources and Logistical Support Activity. Objective: Have USAID/Afghanistan’s Human Resources and Logistical Support activities implemented by International Relief and Development achieved their main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Effectiveness of the Provincial Reconstruction Team Strategy and Programs.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Transportation Modes and Procedures for the Reliable Delivery of Reconstruction Goods and Supplies, Including Controls and Accountability. Objective: Identify controls and accountability in place for the transit of reconstruction goods and supplies from ports of entry to destinations in Afghanistan and determine effectiveness of contracts for transportation services. <i>(A possible joint review with the Department of Defense Inspector General)</i></p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Status of Reconstruction Funding for Afghanistan (U.S. and Other Donor Funding). Objective: Identify the sources and uses of funds, by appropriation account and reconstruction sectors, that have been allocated, obligated, and expended for Afghanistan reconstruction.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Contracting for U.S. Facilities in Iraq. Objective: Determine whether ongoing and planned contracts to build or refurbish U.S. facilities in Iraq are based on valid requirements and in consideration of the drawdown of U.S. forces from Iraq.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of the Commander’s Emergency Response Program Projects within the Baghdad International Airport Economic Zone (Project 9026). Objectives: Determine the outcomes in terms of final costs and completion times of project Baghdad International Airport Economic Zone. The audit will also determine the effectiveness of contracting process and oversight of the contracts, coordination of the projects with other reconstruction funds and programs, efforts to transition the completed projects to the Government of Iraq, and sustainment of the completed projects by the Government of Iraq.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Abu Ghraib Dairy</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Al Farabi School, Haditha	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Al Hadi Permanent Police Station	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Ba'quba General Hospital	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Basrah Modern Slaughter House	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Emergency Hospital in Zakho (Dahuk)	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Hamman Al Alil Division Training Center	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Hamman Al Alil Regional Training Center	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Penjween 18 Classroom School	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Rabeaa Point of Entry Screening Facility	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Renovation of the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ
Inspections of the Sustainment of Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Construction of the Al Qaim 33/11kV Electrical Mobile Substation	SIGIR	ONGOING	IRAQ

<p>Inspections of the Sustainment of Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Deaf, Mute and Blind Complex at Ba'qubah</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Inspections of the Sustainment of Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Haditha Dam Perimeter Security</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Inspections of the Sustainment of Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Humer Kwer Health Center</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Inspections of the Sustainment of Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Power Supply for the Balad Rooz Water Treatment Plant</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Inspections of the Sustainment of Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects: Thi Qar Bee Farm</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Assessments of In-Progress Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects. Objective: Assessment will continue to be made to provide real-time information on selected construction projects and to enable appropriate corrective action to be taken, if warranted. The objectives of the assessment will be to determine whether: project components were adequately designed prior to construction or installation; construction or rehabilitation is in compliance with the standards of the design; adequate quality management programs are being utilized; sustainability is addressed in the contract or task order for the project; and project results are or will be consistent with their original objectives.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Assessments of the Sustainment of Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Projects. Objective: Sustainment assessments of selected projects focus on whether the projects delivered to the Iraqis are operating at the capacity planned in the original contract or task order objective. To accomplish this, the assessments will determine whether the projects were at planned capacity when accepted by the U.S. government, when transferred to Iraqi operators, and at the time of a site assessment. In addition, the assessments determine whether sustainability is adequately planned for and is likely to continue.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Audits of Iraqi Funds Used by the U.S. to Pay for Iraq Reconstruction. Objectives: Determine whether U.S. agencies properly accounted for Iraqi funds that they obligated for reconstruction projects. SIGIR plans to conduct a series of audits to determine whether DoD and its components, as well as other U.S. agencies, have properly accounted for the Iraqi funds they received. SIGIR may also audit other funding sources.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audits of Plans to Transition Reconstruction Management Responsibilities from Reconstruction Organizations Scheduled to Close to Other U.S. Agencies. Objectives: Analyze plans for transitioning reconstruction management responsibilities from organizations established for Iraq reconstruction to other U.S. agencies as U.S. funding for reconstruction activities is reduced.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Evaluations of Reconstruction Accomplishments in Selected Sectors. Objectives: Determine the cost and outcome of U.S. efforts in selected reconstruction sectors across all funds. These audits will also assess U.S. efforts to transition completed projects to the Government of Iraq and determine whether these projects have been sustained and effectively used by the Government of Iraq. SIGIR will likely review several reconstruction sectors including (but not limited to) the electrical power sector, oil and gas sector, water sector, and the security sector.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Evaluations of Reconstruction Impact on Security and Stability. Objectives: Assess the impact of U.S. reconstruction projects and programs on security and stability in Iraq. SIGIR will plan and initiate several limited-scope evaluations in fiscal year 2010 in specific areas such as CERP large infrastructure projects, governance capacity building, and Provincial Reconstruction Team performance and effectiveness. These evaluations will build upon completed and ongoing audit work, quarterly reports, and various studies of lessons learned over the past six years; however, they will also require additional data collection, analysis, and reporting.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

Evaluations of the Application of SIGIR Lessons Learned to Iraq Reconstruction. Objectives: Determine whether lessons learned that were identified in SIGIR audits and the Lesson Learned series are being applied to ongoing reconstruction programs and projects. SIGIR will conduct individual evaluations based on each program.	SIGIR	PLANNED	IRAQ
--	--------------	----------------	-------------

CONTRACTING OVERSIGHT AND PERFORMANCE

EQUIPMENT AND PROPERTY

PROJECT	AGENCY	STATUS	COUNTRY
<p>Construction of New Kabul Compound Facilities for U.S. Forces-Afghanistan (D2009-D000JB-0241.000). Objective: Determine whether the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Afghanistan Engineering Division (USACE AED) and U.S. Forces-Afghanistan procured construction services and administered the Federal Acquisition Regulations and other applicable laws and regulations. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether U.S. Forces-Afghanistan selected the location for New Kabul Compound facilities using appropriate site-planning criteria; whether USACE AED properly monitored contractor performance during construction of facilities at the New Kabul Compound; and whether USACE AED has taken or should take recourse against the original prime contractor because of latent defects, negligence, or fraud.</p>	DoD IG	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Audit of U.S. Equipment Transferred to Iraq (USETTI) (A-2010-ALL-0312.000). Objectives: To determine whether the Theater’s process for transferring specific property to the government of Iraq, as part of responsible drawdown, conforms to DoD policies and Title X authority. (Asset Accountability)</p>	AAA	ONGOING	IRAQ
<p>Controls over Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP) - White Property (A-2008-ALL-0398.000). Objective: Determine if the Logistics Civil Augmentation Program contractor properly managed and accounted for government acquired property. (Asset Accountability)</p>	AAA	ONGOING	IRAQ

<p>Disposal of Army Equipment and Materiel in Iraq (A-2010-ALL-0311.000). Objective: To determine whether Army units are complying with Department of Army guidance on disposal of equipment and materiel to Defense Reutilization and Marketing Services and other sites in Iraq. (Asset Accountability)</p>	AAA	ONGOING	IRAQ
<p>Forward Operating Base Closures - Iraq. (A-2009-ALL-0354.000). Objective: Determine if processes and procedures for the closure of forward operating bases in Iraq are adequate. (Asset Accountability)</p>	AAA	ONGOING	IRAQ
<p>Forward Operating Base Closures-Property Transfers to Government of Iraq (A-2010-ALL-0232). Objectives: Evaluate the process for creating Forward Operating Base closure transfer packages, and determine whether the process can be streamlined. (Asset Accountability)</p>	AAA	ONGOING	IRAQ
<p>Audit of USAID/Iraq’s Nonexpendable Property. Objective: Has USAID/Iraq managed its nonexpendable property in accordance with Agency guidance?</p>	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
<p>Government Property Provided to Contractors - Kuwait Base Operations (A-2008-ALL-0204.000). Objective: Determine whether the Army had adequate management and visibility over government property provided to contractors for base support operations. (Asset Accountability)</p>	AAA	ONGOING	KUWAIT
<p>Marine Corps Fulfillment of Urgent Universal Need Statements for Laser Dazzlers (D2009-D000AE-0210.000). The Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps requested this audit in response to allegations of mismanagement in fulfilling Urgent Universal Need Statements for laser dazzlers. Objective: Determine whether acquisition managers in the United States Marine Corps effectively responded to the urgent needs of deployed Marines in acquiring a nonlethal laser dazzler capability in accordance with Federal and Defense acquisition regulations.</p>	DoD IG	ONGOING	SWA

<p>USMC Visibility of Equipment (N2009-NIA000-0065.000). Objective: Verify the validity of USMC equipment record data within legacy systems migrating to Global Combat Support System – USMC. (Section 852)</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Utilization of Navy Medical Assets (N2008-NFO000-0137.000). Objective: Verify that Department of Navy medical assets are being used effectively.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Mobile Air Traffic Control and Landing System (ATCALs) (F2009-FC2000-0471). Objective: Evaluate management of mobile Air Traffic Control and Landing System maintenance. Specifically, we will determine if Air Force personnel effectively acquired spare parts, timely performed preventative maintenance, and properly monitored contracted maintenance. (Section 852)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Whole Engine Repair Requirements Process (F2010-FC2000-0019). Objective: Assess whether Air Force personnel effectively managed the Depot Purchased Equipment Maintenance Whole Engine Repair Requirements process. Specifically, determine if personnel accurately identified, reviewed, programmed, budgeted, and executed whole engine repair requirements. (Section 852)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

<p>Maintenance of Electrical Wiring in Buildings at Kandahar Airfield, Afghanistan (D2010-D000JB-0078.000). The DoD OIG is determining whether the contractor properly maintains electrical wiring in buildings at Kandahar Airfield in accordance with provisions of the Logistics Civil Augmentation Program contract.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
---	---------------	----------------	--------------------

<p>DoD’s Review of Operational Contract Support in Afghanistan (351399). GAO has reported on the challenges DoD faces providing contract oversight and management in deployed locations like Iraq. Currently DoD uses over 72,000 contracts to provide services in Afghanistan. Key Questions: Determine 1) the extent to which the services and DoD have a process in place to estimate contract oversight requirements; 2) the extent to which DoD and the services have a process in place to determine if the Defense Contract Management Agency or the services will be responsible for specific contract oversight in Afghanistan; 3) the extent to which contract oversight lessons learned from Iraq are being applied in Afghanistan; and 4) the challenges, if any, the department faces in providing contract oversight in Afghanistan.</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Identification of Major Vendors for Reconstruction Contracts in Afghanistan</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Contractor Performance and Agency Oversight of U.S. Government Contracts in Afghanistan with Louis Berger Group (SIGAR-003A). Objective: Describe the number and volume of contracts that U.S. agencies have with the Louis Berger Group and assess the agencies oversight of the contractor and contractor performance, to include existing audit coverage and results.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Contractor Performance, Cost and Agency Oversight of U.S. Government Contracts in Afghanistan (Series of Contracts). Objective: Examine contract outcome, cost, and management oversight for program contract with USG agency. Developing plan for series of contract performance reviews that will be coordinated with other IGs and GAO. Will result in Audit Plan for series of focused contract audits</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Review of Agencies’ Management Oversight, Procedures, and Practices for Reconstruction Funds and Projects (SIGAR-002A). Objective: Conduct a broad assessment of how agencies are managing the reconstruction program. Work will include an examination of controls and accountability over funding flows, project development, project management organizations and staffing levels, performance metrics, and nature of funded activities. Previously reported on oversight at CSTC-A and currently reviewing oversight at USAID.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Focused Contract Audit: Construction of ANA 2/209th Headquarters Facilities in Kunduz (SIGAR-015A). Objectives: To examine two USACE construction contracts for Phases I and II of the U.S.-funded ANA 2/209th headquarters facilities in Kunduz to determine contract outcomes and cost, U.S. oversight of the contracts, and plans for ANA to sustain the infrastructure. Expect to identify the subcontractors and determine if any subcontractors were hired to provide personnel and/or site security and whether any Afghan subcontractors used were approved by the Afghan government to operate in Afghanistan at the time.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Focused Contract Audit: Construction of ANA Brigade Garrison in Farah Province (SIGAR-014A). Objectives: To examine two USACE construction contracts for Phases I and II of the U.S.-funded ANA Brigade Garrison in Farah Province to determine contract outcomes and cost, U.S. oversight of the contracts, and plans for ANA to sustain the infrastructure. Expect to identify the subcontractors and determine if any subcontractors were hired to provide personnel and/or site security and whether any Afghan subcontractors used were approved by the Afghan government to operate in Afghanistan at the time.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Focused Contract Audit: Construction of ANA Brigade Garrison in Gamberi (SIGAR-016A). Objectives: To examine four USACE construction contracts for Phases I, II, and III of the U.S.-funded ANA Brigade Garrison in Gamberi and for the Gamberi Range complex to determine contract outcomes and cost, U.S. oversight of the contracts, and plans for ANA to sustain the infrastructure. Expect to identify the subcontractors and determine if any subcontractors were hired to provide personnel and/or site security and whether any Afghan subcontractors used were approved by the Afghan government to operate in Afghanistan at the time.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Identification of Prime Vendor Contractors for Major U.S. Contracts for the Reconstruction of Afghanistan (SIGAR-018A). Objective: To document contractors that are the prime vendors on major U.S. contracts for the reconstruction of Afghanistan.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Construction Contract of Kandahar Police Facilities (SIGAR-008I). Objective: Review contract outcomes and cost, U.S. oversight of the contracts, and plans for Afghan National Army to sustain the infrastructure</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Contracting Operations at the Joint Contracting Command - Iraq/Afghanistan - Bagram (A-2008-ALL-0320.000). Objective: Determine if goods and services acquired under contract were properly justified, awarded, and administered.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Contracting Operations at the Joint Contracting Command - Iraq/Afghanistan – Regional Contracting Commands Kandahar & Salerno (Afghanistan) (A-2008-ALL-0401.000). Objective: Determine if goods and services acquired under contract were properly justified, awarded, and administered.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Contracting Operations at the Joint Contracting Command - Iraq/Afghanistan -Jalalbad (Afghanistan) (A-2009-ALL-0106.000). Objective: Determine if goods and services acquired under contract were properly justified, awarded, and administered.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Afghanistan National Army Vehicle Maintenance and Training Contract. Objective: Determine whether adequate quality assurance and quality control procedures exist for the Afghanistan National Army Vehicle and Maintenance Contract. Specifically, to determine whether additional warehouse space is required for PAE Government Services, Inc. to perform contractual tasks and whether PAE has submitted a fair and reasonable request for equitable adjustment for parts reimbursement.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Follow-up: Contracting for Non-tactical Vehicles in Support of Operation Enduring Freedom. Objective: Determine whether management implemented the recommendations provided to mitigate risk areas specific to the contracting for Non-tactical Vehicles in Afghanistan; and, to determine whether implementation of the recommendations fixed the problems identified.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Operations and Maintenance Costs for Embassy Kabul. Purpose: To evaluate and assess the current status and cost effectiveness of the operations and maintenance contract for Embassy Kabul; determine the requirements and provisions of the contract and task orders; if contract performance measures have been established and are being achieved; whether the contracts are being managed effectively; and is Embassy Kabul following the Department guidelines and policies to prevent trafficking in persons.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP) IV Operations in Support of Operation Enduring Freedom –Afghanistan (A-2010-ALL-0083.000). Objective: Determine if services awarded under LOGCAP IV in Afghanistan were managed in a reasonable and cost-effective manner.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Army’s Use of Award Fees on Contracts That Support the Global War on Terror (D2008-D000AE-0251.000). Objective: Determine whether Army award fees paid to contractors in support of the Global War on Terror are justified. Specifically, DoD OIG will review the procedures for awarding the fees and proper allocation of award fees on the contracts. .</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Contracting for Organizational and Direct Support Maintenance at Joint Base Balad, Iraq (D2009-D000JB-0254.000). Objective: Determine whether DoD is efficiently and effectively contracting for organizational and direct support maintenance at Joint Base Balad, Iraq. Specifically, DoD OIG will evaluate the contract requirements and statement of work, contractor workload and utilization data, and whether organic assets are available to perform the maintenance.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>DCMA Actions on Audits of Incurred Costs at DoD Contractors Involved in Iraq Reconstruction Activities-Phase 2. Objective: Review the actions that contracting officers took to resolve and disposition Defense Contract Audit Agency reports on incurred costs of defense contractors involved in Iraq reconstruction activities.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>International Oil Trading Company Contracts to Supply Fuel to U.S. Troops in Iraq (D2009-D000CH-0244.000). Objective: Review the Defense Energy Support Center’s decision to award the International Oil Trading Company a series of contracts for the delivery of fuel through Jordan to U.S. troops in Iraq. Specifically, the audit will determine whether prices paid were fair and reasonable, whether an exclusive supply arrangement had an impact on prices, and whether the fuel needs to be supplied through Jordan. DoD OIG will also include reviewing the internal controls related to the receipt, acceptance, invoicing, and payment processes and procedures for fuel and a review of the Defense Energy Support Center’s decision to award the International Oil Trading Company a series of contracts for the delivery of fuel through Jordan to U.S. troops in Iraq.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Maintenance and Support of the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle (D2009-D000CK-0100.000). Objective: Determine whether Mine Resistant Ambush Protected vehicle program and contracting officials are adequately supporting Resistant Ambush Protected vehicle maintenance requirements and appropriately awarding and administering maintenance contracts. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Baghdad Embassy Security Force (BESF). Purpose: To provide an overall review and summary of the requirements and provisions of the Baghdad Embassy Security Force contract; objectives of the contracts and task orders, what indicators have been established to measure performance; and how the Department administered the contract to oversee Triple Canopy’s performance and costs of the contract. Assess the status of contract records, management controls, cost, and value of this contract to the mission of the US Department of State.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>LOGCAP Task Order for Embassy Baghdad. Purpose: To evaluate and assess the Contractor’s compliance with contract terms and conditions, task order terms and conditions, and applicable laws and regulations.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Audit of DynCorp International Task Orders for the Iraqi Police Training Program (Project 9025). Objectives: to examine the award process for the current training task order, the requirements and costs for past and current task orders, and management oversight of the DynCorp funded efforts with an emphasis on vulnerabilities to fraud, waste, and abuse.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of Efforts Under a Stanley Baker Hill Contract to Address Deficiencies in U.S. Funded Health Projects (Project 9019). Objectives: To examine this task order including justification, costs, outcomes, and management oversight of the effort to identify, prioritize, correct, and manage facility and equipment deficiencies in Primary Healthcare Centers. <i>This is a follow-up audit to SIGIR 09-015.</i></p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of Outcome, Cost and Oversight of Contracts with Wamar International, Inc. for Program Activities in Iraq (Project 9007). Objectives: This audit will focus on contracts, delivery orders, and purchase orders with Wamar International, Inc. and will examine contract outcome, cost, and management oversight, with an emphasis on vulnerabilities to fraud, waste, and abuse.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of Outcome, Cost, and Oversight of Contracts with Wamar International, Inc. for Program Activities in Iraq: Armored Vehicles (Project 1005).</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Contract for Recycling and Disposing of Waste Materials at Camp Steeler, Iraq (A-2009-ALL-0571.000). Objectives: Determine if the contractor performed according to the terms, specifications, and conditions of the contract. Sub-objectives: Evaluate the adequacy of controls over 1) payments to the contractor; 2) delivery of material for recycling and costs associated with recycling; and 3) delivery and use of fuel.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Contracting Activities in Iraq During and after Force Drawdown (A-2010-ALC-0122.000). Objective: Does the Army have a workable plan for continuing contracting activities in Iraq during and after the force drawdown?</p>	AAA	ONGOING	IRAQ
<p>Life Support Contracts for U.S. Forces at Basra-Iraq (A-2010-ALL-0087.000). Objectives: 1) Determine if the transition of the LOGCAP contract to sustainment contracting was cost effective. 2) Determine if the requirements determination process led to contracts that supported the requesting unit's needs.</p>	AAA	ONGOING	IRAQ
<p>Realignment of Contractor Support in Response to Future Drawdown of Forces from Iraq. Objective: Review the planning and management of actions taken to adjust contractor support in response to the drawdown of U.S. forces from Iraq. Specifically, DoD OIG will evaluate the process and methodology used to determine de-scoping actions associated with the LOGCAP contract.</p>	DoD IG	PLANNED	IRAQ
<p>Audits of Private Security Contractors. Objectives: Determine the cost, outcome, and/or oversight for high-value or other contracts for private security in Iraq. These audits may include (but are not limited to) reviewing 1) high dollar value contracts; 2) vetting and training of private security contractors; 3) lessons learned on the use of private security contractors in Iraq. <i>SIGIR's Section 842 Audit Plan provides additional detail of its planned work as well as that of the Departments of Defense and State and U.S. Agency for International Development Inspectors General. Additional audits may be added to this plan over time.</i></p>	SIGIR	PLANNED	IRAQ
<p>Focused Contracts Audits of IRRF, ISFF, ESF, and CERP Contracts. Objectives: Determine the cost, outcome, and/or oversight of contracts used for the reconstruction of Iraq. SIGIR will continue to audit the largest reconstruction contracts and/or those determined to be most vulnerable to fraud, waste, and abuse to address its mandate to prepare a forensic audit.</p>	SIGIR	PLANNED	IRAQ

<p>Contracts Supporting Base Operations in Kuwait (D2009-D000AS-0266.000). Objective: Determine whether DoD properly managed and administered contracts supporting base operations in Kuwait. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether the contract management and administration complied with Federal and DoD policies.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Contracting Operations, U.S. Army Contracting Command (USACC), SWA-Kuwait (Phase II) (A-2007-ALL-0859.000). Objectives: 1) Determine if contracts for heavy lift services were adequately developed and effectively administered. 2) Determine if laundry services were effectively managed. 3) Determine if Defense Base Act insurance was properly administered on Kuwait contracts.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Follow-up Audit of Contracting Operations, U.S. Army Contracting Command (USACC) SWA-Kuwait (Phase I) (A-2008-ALL-0625.000). Objective: Evaluate the effectiveness of actions taken to improve Army contracting operations in Kuwait.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Housing Contracts - Area Support Group—Kuwait (A-2008-ALL-0403.000). Objectives: 1) Determine if the housing program in Kuwait was properly managed. 2) Determine if property or assets provided by the government and acquired by the contractor were adequately managed.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Review of Overseas Building Operation (OBO) Contract for Consulate Karachi (Pakistan) Classified New Office Annex (CNOX). Purpose: To determine whether the CNOX contracts were awarded competitively and whether OBO and its Project Coordination Office effectively oversaw and certified the construction in accordance with applicable requirements and standards.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>

<p>Review of Overseas Building Operation (OBO) Contract for Consulate Karachi (Pakistan) Unclassified New Office Annex (UNOX) and Staff Housing. Purpose: To determine whether the UNOX and staff housing contracts were awarded competitively and whether OBO and its Project Coordination Office effectively oversaw and certified the construction in accordance with applicable requirements and standards.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Review of Overseas Building Operation (OBO) Contract for Consulate Karachi (Pakistan) Unclassified New Office Building (NOB). Purpose: To determine whether the NOB contracts were awarded competitively and whether OBO and its Project Coordination Office effectively oversaw and certified the construction in accordance with applicable requirements and standards.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Army and Navy Small Boats Maintenance Contracts (D2009-D000AS-0163.000). Objective: Determine whether contracts providing ship repair and maintenance to the U.S. Army operations in Bahrain and Navy operations in Bahrain, Qatar, and United Arab Emirates were properly managed and administered. Specifically, DoD OIG will review competition, contract type, and contract oversight. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>QATAR</p>
<p>Deployment of the Standard Procurement System in the Joint Contracting Command Iraq/Afghanistan (D2009-D000FB-0112.000). Objective: Determine whether the deployment of the Standard Procurement System to include the Standard Procurement System Contingency in the Joint Contracting Command Iraq/Afghanistan, was properly planned and executed.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Acquisition of Ballistic Glass Contracts for the High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle Variants (D2008-D000CE-0187.000). Objective: Determine whether the award and administration process of the High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle ballistic glass contracts comply with the Federal Acquisition Regulation.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Air Cargo Transportation Contracts in Support of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom (D2009-D000LC-0237.000). Objective: Determine whether air cargo transportation contracts in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom are administered in accordance with applicable Federal and DoD regulations. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether the decision to use air transportation was justified, whether delivery orders were awarded in accordance with vendor selection criteria, and whether the cargo transported by air was delivered within required time frames.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Air Forces Central War Reserve Materiel Contract (D2009-D000AS-0264.000). Objective: Determine whether the Air Force officials awarded and are providing oversight of the Air Forces Central War Reserve Materiel contract (FA4890-08-C-0004) in accordance with Federal and DoD policies.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Army Decision Not to Withhold Funds on the Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP) III Contract (D2009-DIPOAI-0141.000). Objective: Review the Army’s decision not to withhold funds on the LOGCAP III contract to determine the appropriateness of the decision, including whether it complied with the Federal Acquisition Regulation. The Senate Armed Services Committee requested the review. As part of the project, we are also reviewing the appropriateness of the Army’s use of Resource Consultants, Inc. to perform cost and price analysis in support of LOGCAP III negotiation efforts.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Commercial Vendor Services Compliance with Federal Tax Reporting Requirements for Contractors Supporting Operations in Southwest Asia (D2009-D000FH-0292.000). Objective: Determine whether the Commercial Vendor Services in Iraq complied with federal tax reporting requirements for payments to contractors in support of operations in Southwest Asia for calendar years 2006 through 2008.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Contracting for Dismounted Counter Radio-Controlled Improvised Explosive Device Electronic Warfare Systems (D2009-D000AS-0092.000). Objective: Determine whether the Navy implemented adequate controls over contractor billing and oversight for the Dismounted Counter Radio-Controlled Improvised Explosive Device Electronic Warfare Systems.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Contracts for Spare Parts for Vehicle-mounted Small Arms in Support of the Global War on Terror (D2008-D000FD-0214.000). Objective: Determine whether DoD organizations used appropriate and effective contracting procedures to provide customers with the vehicle-mounted small arms spare parts needed to support the Global War on Terror. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Contracts Supporting the Broad Area Maritime Surveillance Program (D2009-D000AS-0247.000). Objective: Determine whether DoD officials properly managed and administered the contracts supporting the Broad Area Maritime Surveillance Program. Specifically, DoD OIG will determine whether the contract management and administration complied with Federal and DoD policies.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Defense Contract Management Agency Acquisition Workforce for Southwest Asia (D2008-D000AB-0266.000). Objective: Determine Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) requirements to support Southwest Asia contracting operations and the number of available DCMA civilian, military, foreign national, and support contractors supporting such operations. DoD OIG will also evaluate whether DCMA Acquisition workforce for Southwest Asia is adequately trained and certified.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>DoD Body Armor Contracts (D2008-D000CD-0256.000). The DoD IG is performing this project as a result of a Congressional request. Objective: Examine the contracts and contracting process for body armor and related test facilities. Specific objectives will include evaluating the background and qualifications of the contractors, the criteria for awarding the contracts, the quality assurance process, and any relationships that may exist between the contractors and Government officials. Our review of the quality assurance process will include reviewing the results of First Article Testing and Lot Acceptance Testing for the body armor contracts. A series of reports are planned.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Equipment Repair and Maintenance Contracts for Aircraft and Aircraft Components Supporting Coalition Forces in Iraq and Afghanistan (D2008-D000LH-0249.000). Objective: Determine whether equipment repair and maintenance contracts for aircraft and aircraft components supporting coalition forces in Iraq and Afghanistan are effective. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Material Purchases Made Through Partnership Agreements at Corpus Christi Army Depot (D2009-D000FI-0150.000). Objective: Evaluate material purchases made at Corpus Christi Army Depot through partnership agreements with private-sector firms. Specifically, we will determine whether the partnership agreements in place with original equipment manufacturers are effective in minimizing the cost of direct materials to the depot. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Material Unit Prices Charged to Corpus Christi Army Depot by Boeing Company in Fiscal Year 2008 (D2010-D000CH-0077.000). Objective: Determine whether the unit prices paid for material acquired through partnership agreements in Fiscal Year 2008 between Corpus Christi Army Depot and the Boeing Company were fair and reasonable and in accordance with the contract. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Material Unit Prices Charged to Corpus Christi Army Depot by Sikorski International in Fiscal Year 2008 (D2010-D000CH-0077.0001). Objective: Determine whether the unit prices paid for material acquired through partnership agreements in Fiscal Year 2008 between Corpus Christi Army Depot and Sikorski were fair and reasonable and in accordance with the contract. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Medical Prime Vendor Contracts in Support of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom (D2008-D000LF-0267.000). Objective: Determine whether terms and conditions for the Medical/Surgical Prime Vendor contracts were adequately developed and the administration of the contracts and delivery orders was effective.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Times and Material Contracts in Southwest Asia (D2009-D000CF-0095.000). Objective: Determine whether time and material contracts for Southwest Asia were awarded and administered in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan (120874). Objective: As required by the Fiscal Year 2008 National Defense Authorization Act, GAO will report on 1) the number and value of contracts active and awarded with performance in Iraq and/or Afghanistan; 2) the extent to which these contract were awarded using competitive procedures; 3) the number of contractor personnel, including those performing security functions, working on these contracts; 4) the number of contractor personnel killed or wounded while working on these contracts; and 5) the status of the agencies' efforts to implement a common database for tracking contracts and contractor personnel as agreed in the July 2008 Memorandum of Understanding.</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Iraq and Afghanistan Contractor Oversight (120812). Objective: Pursuant to the Fiscal Year 2008 National Defense Authorization Act, this engagement will focus on contracts awarded by the Department of Defense (DoD), the Department of State, and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) to contractors to perform contract administration or management of other contracts or grants for reconstruction or stabilization efforts in Iraq and Afghanistan. Key Questions: 1) What do DoD, State, and the U.S. Agency for International Development know about the extent to which they are hiring contractors to perform administration functions for other contracts and grants in Iraq and Afghanistan? 2) What factors contribute to decisions to use contractors to perform administration functions? 3) To what extent have the agencies established and implemented policies that facilitate the management of contractors performing administration functions for other contracts/grants?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Award Fee Determinations on LOGCAP III Task Order 0139 (A-2009-ALC-0535.000). Objective: Determine whether award fee determinations for Task Order 0139 were justified and consistent with applicable policies, regulations and contract provisions.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Contracts for Maintenance Support -LCMCs (A-2010-ALM-0008.000). Objectives: 1) Determine if contracts for maintenance services required equipment to be repaired in a timely, technically sound, and cost-effective manner. 2) Evaluate contract administration controls to determine if appropriate value is received for costs incurred. (Section 852)</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Joint Contracting Command-Iraq/Afghanistan Attestation (A-2009-ALC-0594.000). Objective: Attest to the methodology and procedures used by the Contract Closeout Task Force to close out the backlog of contracts awarded between FYs 2004 - 2008 by the JCC-I/A.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP) III, Contract Close-out (A-2009-ALC-0093.000). Objective: Did the Army have procedures and controls in place to effectively close-out the LOGCAP III contract to ensure proper payment of its legitimate liabilities and deobligations of unused funds?</p>	AAA	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Equipment Maintenance Contracts Awarded by LOGCOM Albany for Selected USMC Equipment (N2009-NAA000-0145.000). Objective: To verify that: 1) contracting process and contract administration procedures for depot maintenance contracts awarded by MARCORLOGCOM Albany for equipment used in Iraq and Afghanistan are effectively implemented to protect the USMC's interest, and 2) inventory procedures are effectively implemented to account for equipment at the depot maintenance and contractor facilities. (Section 852)</p>	NAVAUD SVC	ONGOING	SWA
<p>Payments for Coordination of DoD Freight Shipments. Objective: Determine whether the DoD has achieved cost savings or other benefits through the Defense Transportation Coordination Initiative's use of third party logistics to accomplish improved coordination of freight shipments in the continental United States and in support of overseas operations, including Southwest Asia.</p>	DoD IG	PLANNED	SWA
<p>Public Private Partnership Agreements at Anniston Army Depot. Objective: Evaluate the public private partnership agreements utilized at Anniston Army Depot. Specifically, we will determine whether the Anniston Army Depot partnership agreements are in compliance with statutory requirements and determine what internal control procedures are being used by Anniston Army Depot to measure the effectiveness of the partnership agreements. (Section 852)</p>	DoD IG	PLANNED	SWA
<p>Follow up Audit of Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP) III Audits - Southwest Asia (A-2010-ALL-0085.000). Objective: Determine if the Army implemented agreed to recommendations and corrected the problems identified in previous audits.</p>	AAA	PLANNED	SWA

<p>Equipment Maintenance Contracts Awarded by Logistics Command (LOGCOM) Barstow for Selected USMC Equipment (N2010-NAA000-0103.000). Objective: Verify that contracting process and contract administration procedures for depot maintenance contracts awarded by LOGCOM Barstow for equipment used in Iraq and Afghanistan are effectively implemented to protect the USMC's interest. (Section 852)</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Quality Assurance Over Contractor Repair (F2010-FC2000-0021). Objective: Evaluate whether Air Force personnel implemented effective quality assurance over depot maintenance repair contracts. Specifically, determine whether personnel established quality assurance programs, performed effective contract oversight, and completed assessments/reports as required. (Section 852)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Unmanned Aerial System Contract Maintenance (F2010-FC2000-0022). Objective: Assess whether Air Force personnel effectively manage contract maintenance requirements for unmanned aerial systems. Specifically, we will determine if personnel properly identify flying hour requirements and accurately collect and update information systems for maintenance actions. (Section 852)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

FUNDS CONTROL

<p>Review of the Use of Funds Earmarked for Afghan Women and Girls (SIGAR-010A). Objectives: Identify how the funds were used and the extent their use complied with legislative requirements, assess how agencies measure effectiveness of the programs, and assess plans for project sustainability.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Commanders Emergency Response Program (CERP)—Afghanistan (A-2009-ALL-0531.000). Objective: Determine if the CERP in Afghanistan had adequate internal controls in place to ensure commanders and unit personnel implemented the program properly.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>

<p>Review of Economic Support Funds (ESF) – Afghanistan (Financial Related Audit). Purpose: To trace the flow of funds from initial appropriations to their ultimate expenditures by award recipients, compare the flow of funds to determine consistency with reported funding allocations and/or uses, and identify related Department oversight controls.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Accountability and Controls for U.S. Funds for Salaries of Afghanistan’s Civil Servants</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Controls over Vendor Payments Phase II – Afghanistan (A-2010-ALL-0103.000). Objective: Determine if the Army has effective controls to ensure the accuracy of vendor payments for contingency operations in Southwest Asia.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Audit of Department of State Appropriation, Obligation, and Expenditure Transaction Data Related to Iraq Relief and Reconstruction (Project 9012). Objectives: The review will include data in the Department of State’s financial records as well as Department of State expenditure data that may be in Department of Defense financial data systems. The objective of the project is to conduct forensic analyses of the data to identify possible instances of fraud or abuse. This work could lead to one or more SIGIR follow-on efforts or products.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of the Status of the Iraq Security Forces Fund (Project 1003).</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of the U.S. Government Agency Procedures to Account for the Development Fund for Iraq (Project 1006).</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of the U.S. Institute of Peace’s Programs and Operations in Iraq (Project 1002).</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Audit of U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) Appropriation, Obligation, and Expenditure Transaction Data Related to Iraq Relief and Reconstruction (Project 9013). Objectives: Conduct forensic analyses of the data to identify possible instances of fraud or abuse. The review will include data in USAID’s financial records as well as USAID expenditure data that may be in Department of Defense financial data systems. This work could lead to one or more SIGIR follow-on efforts or products.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Examination of Department of Defense Appropriation, Obligation, and Expenditure Transaction Data Related to Iraq Relief and Reconstruction for Fiscal Years 2003-2008 (Project 9005). Objectives: Examine options for combining automated transaction data and data mining techniques to forensically review the appropriateness of expenditures for Iraq Relief and Reconstruction. This project could lead to one or more SIGIR follow-on efforts or products.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Commander’s Emergency Response Program-Iraq Summary (A-2008-ALL-0624.000). Objective: Determine whether the procedures, processes and guidance were sufficient to ensure deployed Commanders implemented the program and used emergency funds appropriately.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Multi-National Security Transition Command—Iraq (MNSTC-I) Iraqi Security Forces Fund (A-2009-ALL-0110.000). Objective: Evaluate command’s process for planning, budgeting and reviewing resources of the Iraqi Security Forces Fund.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Audits to Reconcile Major U.S. Appropriations Used to Fund Iraq Reconstruction. Objectives: Determine the final disposition of major reconstruction funds in terms of the amounts appropriated, obligated, expended, and expired. Audits will cover the Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund (IRRF), Iraq Security Forces Fund (ISFF), Economic Support Fund (ESF), Commander’s Emergency Response Program (CERP), and International Narcotics and Law Enforcement funds.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Forensic Audits of all Major Reconstruction Funds. Objectives: Identify anomalies in the transactional data for Iraq reconstruction program expenditures that may indicate fraud, waste, or abuse. SIGIR plans to conduct multiple interim forensic audits of the Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund (IRRF), Iraq Security Forces Fund (ISFF), Economic Support Fund (ESF), Commander’s Emergency Response Program (CERP), and the Development Fund for Iraq (DFI) managed by the Departments of Defense and State and the U.S. Agency for International Development during the next year to respond to a mandate to complete a forensic audit of all reconstruction funds. The results of the interim audits will be reported cumulatively, and a final report will encompass total reconstruction funds.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Improper Payments. Objectives: Determine whether contractor invoices may have included overcharges for goods and services to identify possible instances of fraud, waste, and abuse and internal control weaknesses that enabled these overcharges to occur. These audits will include, but are not limited to, Iraq Relief and Reconstruction Fund (IRRF), Iraq Security Forces Fund (ISFF) contracts SIGIR has previously audited and contracts identified through SIGIR’s forensic audit work.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Pakistan Security Assistance and Coalition Support Funds. Objective: In general, this project will assess whether security assistance and support funds are effectively managed. Additional research will identify specific objectives.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>

<p>Controls Over Unliquidated Obligations on Department of the Army Contracts Supporting the Global War on Terror (D2009-D000FC-0176.000). Objective: Determine whether the Department of the Army has established adequate controls over unliquidated obligations on Department of the Army contracts supporting the Global War on Terror. Specifically, we will determine whether unliquidated obligations are being properly accounted for and deobligated in a timely manner.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Fiscal Year 2008 Marine Corps Global War on Terror-Related Costs Processed through the Standard Accounting, Budgeting and Reporting System (D2009-D000FG-0183.000). Objective: Determine whether the Marine Corps accurately reported FY 2008 costs related to the Global War on Terror. DoD OIG will review whether Marine Corps documentation substantiates operation and maintenance obligations processed through the Standard Accounting, Budgeting and Reporting System.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Funds Appropriated for Afghanistan and Iraq Processed Through the Foreign Military Sales Trust Fund (D2007-D000FD-0198.001). This audit will continue our ongoing project on the subject. Objective: The overall objective remains to determine whether funds processed through Foreign Military Sales Trust Fund are being properly managed. Our sub-objectives are to determine whether funds transferred into the Foreign Military Sales Trust Fund are properly accounted for, used for intend purposes and properly reported in the DoD financial reports.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Overseas Contingency Operations Funding and Cost Reporting (351345). Since the September 11, 2001 attacks, Congress has provided the Department of Defense (DoD) with about \$893 billion, as of FY 2009, to support Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO), in addition to annual funding for DoD’s base budget. In February 2009 budget guidance, the President instructed DoD to shift OCO costs into the base budget. Also, for fiscal year 2009, DoD implemented a new OCO cost reporting format. Under the Comptroller General’s authority, GAO evaluated: 1) Changes to OCO funding guidance and their impact on DoD’s FY 2010 OCO budget; 2) Factors that could affect FY 2010 OCO estimates for reducing troop levels in Iraq and increasing troop levels in Afghanistan; and 3) DoD’s process for creating its monthly report on the cost of OCO to determine its reliability.</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Controls Over Vendor Payments - Southwest Asia (Phase II) (A-2009-ALL-0118.000). Objective: Determine if the Army has effective controls to ensure the accuracy of vendor payments for contingency operations in Southwest Asia.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Department of the Navy Acquisition Checks and Balances - Naval Support Activity, Bahrain and Dubai (N2008-NAA000-0123.000). Objective: Verify that Department of the Navy checks and balances for Bahrain and Dubai acquisitions are in place to detect, deter, and prevent fraud, waste, and abuse.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>U.S. Air Forces Central (AFCENT) Acquisition and Cross-Servicing Agreements (F2009-FD3000-0039). Objective: Determine whether AFCENT personnel effectively manage the Acquisition and Cross-Servicing Agreements program. Specifically, determine whether AFCENT personnel 1) properly identify forces providing support; 2) accurately identify and compute Acquisition and Cross-Servicing Agreements reimbursements; and 3) adequately complete documents to charge and collect reimbursements.</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>U.S. Air Forces Central (AFCENT) Area of Responsibility Office of Special Investigations Confidential Investigative Contingency Funds (F2009-FB1000-0480). Objective: Determine whether AFCENT Area of Responsibility Office of Special Investigations contingency funds are properly managed. Specifically, we will evaluate 1) accountability of cash and cash-related items; 2) authorization, approval, and support for contingency fund transactions; and 3) reporting and recording of contingency fund expenditures.</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>U.S. Air Forces Central (AFCENT) Commercial Tender Program (F2009-FD3000-0645). Objective: Determine whether Air Force personnel effectively and efficiently manage the commercial tender program within the United States Central Command Area of Responsibility. Specifically we will determine whether Air Force personnel 1) properly awarded commercial tenders, 2) accurately determined commercial tender cargo requirements, 3) properly processed commercial tender cargo, and 4) effectively managed commercial tender funding.</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Defense Finance and Accounting Service Support of Overseas Contingency Operations. Objective: Determine if the Defense Finance and Accounting Service controls in conjunction with the current level of Defense Finance and Accounting Service personnel assigned to support the mission in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Kuwait are adequate to ensure accurate and timely accounting and contract payments.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Review of Economic Support Funds (ESF) – West Bank (Financial Related). Purpose: To trace the flow of funds from initial appropriations to their ultimate expenditures by award recipients, compare the flow of funds to determine consistency with reported funding allocations and/or uses, and identify related Department oversight controls and identify related Department oversight controls.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Review of Middle East Partnership Initiative Funds (Financial Review). Purpose: To evaluate and assess the permissibility, allocation, and reasonableness of costs incurred under the Department’s cooperative agreement and sensitive grant awards to the Foundation for the Defense of Democracies and identify related Department oversight controls.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Controls Over Navy Cash and Vendor Payments Aboard U.S. Navy Ships (N2010-NFA000-0094.000). Objective: Verify that: 1) Navy cash transactions are accounted for and reported in accordance with established guidance; 2) husbanding agents and/or commercial vendors receive payments for services provided/received by U.S. Naval ships in a timely manner; and 3) management controls are in place to detect irregularities and acts of fraud, waste, and abuse.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Followup of DON Acquisition and Disbursing Checks and Balances at Camp Lemonier Djibouti, Africa (N2010-NAA000-0017.000). Objective: Verify that agreed to corrective actions identified in a prior DON OCONUS checks and balances for acquisition and disbursing operations audit report have been taken, or that alternative actions were taken by DON management that meet the intent of the recommendations.</p>	<p>NAVAUD SVC</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Aircraft Parts Repair and Modification Funding (F2010-FC2000-0020). Objective: Assess whether air logistics center program managers properly planed and funded for sustainment of aircraft parts. Specifically, determine if managers properly identified requirements and funding sources for modification, redesign, and manufacture of parts and support equipment. (Section 852)</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Patriot Express (F2010-FC4000-0026). Objective: Validate the use of Patriot Express travel. Specifically, determine if personnel use Patriot Express travel when available and properly justify purchasing commercial airline tickets instead of utilizing the Patriot Express.</p>	<p>AFAA</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

SERVICES

<p>Contract Administration of the Prime Vendor Program for Subsistence in Support of Operation Enduring Freedom (D2009-D000LD-0126.000). Objective: Evaluate the contract administration of the Prime Vendor Program for Subsistence in support of Operation Enduring Freedom. Specifically, we will review whether the assignment of Contracting Officer Representatives and execution of the quality assurance surveillance plans and procedures were effective for assessing contractor performance. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Logistics Civil Augmentation Program IV Support Contract (D2010-D000AS-0031.000). Objective: Determine whether the Logistics Civil Augmentation Program IV support contract was managed and administered in accordance with Federal and DoD guidance.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

CROSSCUTTING PROGRAMS

PROJECT	AGENCY	STATUS	COUNTRY
<p>U.S. European Command Civilian Staffing Procedures (D2009-D000JB-0109.000). We are performing this audit at the request of the Chief of Staff, U.S. European Command. Objective: Determine whether the U.S. European Command civilian staffing efforts provide adequate staffing to support their Operation Enduring Freedom activities. Specifically, we will determine whether staffing actions were performed in a timely manner and whether the staffing levels were affected by restrictions in the position announcements.</p>	DoD IG	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Joint Campaign Plan for Afghanistan (320723). The U.S. has updated its strategy for Afghanistan and approved increased troop levels. The U.S. campaign plan for Afghanistan will implement on the ground guidance to implement the strategy and identify the tasks of the authorized troops. The plan should also include information such as the phases of the campaign in Afghanistan and the conditions to be achieved. The National Defense Authorization Act for FY2010 calls for GAO to assess the extent to which the U.S. campaign plan for Afghanistan, adheres to military doctrine, including 1) identifies and prioritizes the conditions that must be achieved in each phase of the campaign? 2) reports the number of combat brigade teams and other forces required for each phase? and 3) estimates the time needed to reach the desired end state?</p>	GAO	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
<p>Inspection of Embassy Kabul (Afghanistan). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy's implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	DOS OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN

<p>Strategic Assessment of U.S. Political, Military, and Economic Goals for Afghanistan.</p>	<p>SIGAR</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>AFGHANISTAN</p>
<p>Information Operations in Iraq (D2009-D000JA-0108.002). The Commander, U.S. Central Command, requested this project. Objective: Evaluate Information Operations activities in support of Operation Iraqi Freedom. Specifically, we will determine the process for establishing Psychological Operations requirements, and identify the resources applied against those requirements.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of USAID/Iraq’s Implementation of the Iraq Financial Management Information System. Objective: Has USAID/Iraq’s support for the Iraq Financial Management Information System achieved its main goals?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Joint Campaign Plan for Iraq (320734). DoD and State are set to release their latest update to the Joint Campaign Plan for Iraq, which will guide their activities in 2010. Key Questions: 1) To what extent does the Joint Campaign Plan for Iraq identify and prioritize the conditions that must be achieved in each phase of the campaign? 2) To what extent does the Joint Campaign Plan for Iraq report the number of brigade combat teams and other forces required for each phase of the campaign? and 3) To what extent does the Joint Campaign Plan for Iraq estimate the time needed to reach the desired end state and complete the military portion of the campaign?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>U.S. Civilian Agency Planning for the Drawdown of U.S. Troops in Iraq (320691). Key Questions: 1) How have U.S. civilian agencies planned for the U.S. military drawdown, including prioritizing post-drawdown missions and programs, right-sizing civilian staff for these missions; and planning for their future security and logistical needs? 2) How effectively have civilian agencies planned for continuing programs previously funded by DoD? 3) What are the estimated additional costs to maintain a civilian presence in Iraq as U.S. forces draw down?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Audit of Plans to Transition and Maintain Iraq Reconstruction Contract Files to Permanent Storage Facilities (Project 9030). Objectives: Determine whether processes and procedures are being put in place to store and maintain reconstruction program, operations, and contract records so that these records will continue to be available to SIGIR and other agencies performing oversight of Iraq reconstruction.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Audit of the Iraq Reconstruction Management System (Project 1001). Objectives: Determine whether processes are in place for improving the reliability of the reconstruction project data contained within Iraq Reconstruction Management System; and whether plans for storing and maintaining data contained in Iraq Reconstruction Management System over the long-term have been developed.</p>	<p>SIGIR</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Follow-Up Audit on Forward Operating Base Closures-Iraq (A-2010-ALL-0105.000). Objective: Determine if the recommendations from the prior audit were implemented and, if so, if the recommendations fixed the problem.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Review of Embassy Baghdad Transition Planning–Phase II (DoD Downsizing). Purpose: A follow-on review of the transition planning mechanisms in place within the Department and between the Department and the U.S. Military and the Government of Iraq; what decisions and actions Department planners have completed concerning key transition issues, including International Cooperative Administrative Support Services (ICASS), security, logistical support, transportation, and the status of regional offices and Provincial Reconstruction Teams; and how the Department is planning to meet the expected costs associated with increased Department roles and responsibilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Review of Operations and Maintenance Costs for NEC -- Baghdad</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>

<p>Audit of USAID/Iraq’s Payroll Payments to Foreign Service Nationals, Third-Country Nationals, and U.S. Personal Services Contractors. Objective: Has USAID/Iraq properly calculated and disbursed payroll payments to its Foreign Service national, third-country national, and U.S. personal services contractor workforce in accordance with established regulations, policies, and procedures?</p>	<p>USAID OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>IRAQ</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Kuwait. Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>KUWAIT</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Islamabad (Pakistan). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>PAKISTAN</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Doha (Qatar). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>QATAR</p>
<p>Air Force Depot Maintenance Public-Private Partnerships (D2009-D000LD-0110.000). Objective: Examine the management of the public-private partnership arrangements entered into by Air Force depots. Specifically, we will determine whether the Air Force depots have established baselines and metrics to measure public-private partnership benefits. (Section 852)</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Central Issue Facilities (D2008-D000LD-0245.000). Objective: Determine whether central issue facilities are providing the required clothing and equipment to deploying personnel, and whether those personnel are returning the clothing and equipment when their deployments are complete.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Implementation of Predator/Sky Warrior Acquisition Decision Memorandum dated May 19, 2008 (D2009-D000CD-0071.000). Objective: Determine the implementation status of the Acquisition Decision Memorandum. Specifically, we will evaluate whether implementation by the Air Force and the Army complies with the Acquisition Decision Memorandum, and whether alternatives were considered, such as the Reaper program.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Information Assurance of the Outside the Continental United States Navy Enterprise Network as it Relates to the Global War on Terror (D2008-D000FN-0230.000). Objective: Assess the integrity, confidentiality, and availability of the Outside the Continental United States Navy Enterprise Network as it relates to the Global War on Terror. Specifically, we will determine whether the controls over Outside the Continental United States Navy Enterprise Network have been implemented and are operating effectively as prescribed by DoD Instruction 8500.2, "Information Assurance Implementation," February 6, 2003.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Research on Enlisted Administrative Separations (D2009-D00SPO-0226.000). Objectives: The purpose of the research is to identify and develop issues for future assessments. DoD OIG will gather and analyze data, make inquiries, and conduct research for potential assessment projects concerning Enlisted Administrative Separations for the "Convenience of the Government." Specifically, our research will focus on: the implementation of policies, programs, and procedures governing separations for the "Convenience of the Government" found in DoD Directive 1332.14, "Enlisted Administrative Separations," December 21, 1993, and DoD Instruction, "Enlisted Administrative Separations," August 28, 2008; the numbers and categories of enlisted personnel who have been separated from the Military Service since October 2001 for the "Convenience of the Government" with discharges that were less than honorable and who were deployed while serving in imminent danger pay areas, or after completing tours of duty in these areas</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Review of Middle East Broadcast Network. Purpose: To review and determine whether policy and program goals of the Middle East Broadcast Network are being effectively achieved and to provide analysis and recommendations for systemic improvements in policy implementation and program management.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Survey of the Department of State’s International Information Program’s Use of Blogs [or the Internet] in Reaching Muslim Audiences. Purpose: To determine how IIP’s Media Outreach office uses bloggers in Arabic, Urdu, and Persian on “digital outreach teams” as an option for communicating with Muslim audiences in the Middle East. There are relatively few members on the teams. OIG would assess the impact of the teams’ activities and determine whether blogs are an appropriate and efficient medium for achieving official U.S. foreign policy objectives.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Army and Marine Corps Training Capacity (351387). Key Questions: 1) To what extent have DoD, the Army, and the Marine Corps identified differences in ground force training requirements for Iraq and Afghanistan? 2) To what extent have DoD, the Army, and the Marine Corps quantified training capacities and analyzed the key factors that currently limit capacities to train ground forces for ongoing operations in Afghanistan and Iraq? 3) To what extent, if any, have DoD, the Army, and the Marine Corps developed plans and time-lines for adjusting training capacities to meet requirements for increasing force levels in Afghanistan while continuing to support requirements in Iraq?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Availability of Trained and Ready Forces for Iraq and Afghanistan (351388). Key Questions: 1) To what extent has DoD identified near-term and long-term requirements for the types of capabilities needed to support continuing operations in Iraq and expanded operations in Afghanistan? 2) To what extent has DoD developed an approach and processes to manage the identification and deployment of forces to meet requirements, including any analysis to identify and manage the competing demands of operations in Iraq and Afghanistan? 3) What are the implications of continuing demand for forces for ongoing operations on overall force readiness and DoD’s ability to meet other global commitments?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Combat Skills Training for Support Forces (351385). Key Questions: 1) How do the services determine the appropriate level of training to provide to their non-combat compared to combat forces? 2) What, if any, unique factors have the services considered when determining the appropriate level of training to provide to non-combat arms forces deploying in support of operations in to Iraq and Afghanistan? 3) To what extent is the current training of support forces consistent with identified service-specific and theater-specific training requirements for an asymmetric combat environment? 4) To what, if any, extent have the services collected information about the effectiveness of pre- and post-deployment training from non-combat arms forces that have deployed to Iraq or Afghanistan? 5) To what extent have the services adjusted the training of their non-combat arms forces based on lessons learned from ongoing combat operations in Iraq and Afghanistan?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>DoD’s Basis for Unmanned Aircraft Systems Program Levels and Plans to Support Those Levels (351271). Battlefield commanders have increased their reliance on unmanned aircraft systems to perform missions in ongoing operations. DoD has steadily increased unmanned aircraft systems program levels to meet operational requests for unmanned aircraft systems. DoD has experienced mission success with unmanned aircraft systems but the increase in unmanned aircraft systems poses challenges for DoD to support these systems. Key Questions: 1) To what extent did DoD consider factors, such as personnel availability and training needs, when determining unmanned aircraft systems funding and program plans? 2) To what extent do joint and service tactics, techniques and procedures reflect unmanned aircraft systems usage in current joint operations? 3) What factors, if any, affect the services’ ability to train individuals and units for unmanned aircraft systems practices used in current joint operations?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Processing Capabilities (351242). Request – House Armed Services, Air and Land Forces Subcommittee. DoD continues to invest in capabilities to collect ISR data, but its infrastructure for analyzing and using that data is stressed. Key Questions: 1) To what extent has DoD developed the capabilities it needs to process, exploit, and disseminate the information that it receives from its ISR systems? 2) To what extent does DoD identify gaps in its tasking, processing, exploitation, and dissemination cycle when developing future ISR collection requirements?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Readiness of Air Force Combat and Expeditionary Combat Forces (351376). High operational tempos have challenged the Air Force’s ability to provide certain types of units and personnel to support ongoing operations and other commitments. Key Questions: 1) What are the demands for Air Force combat and expeditionary combat support capabilities? 2) To what extent is the Air Force able to provide combat and expeditionary combat support forces? 3) What factors affect the Air Force’s ability to meet demands for ongoing operations and maintain sufficient forces and capabilities to meet other commitments? 4) To what extent has the Air Force identified any potential gaps in meeting demands and developed plans to address such gaps, including adjustments to force structure and manning authorizations?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>U.S. Military Burns of Solid Waste in Open Pits in Iraq and Afghanistan (361123). TBD</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Urgent Wartime Requirements (351236). The changing tactical conditions in Southwest Asia have highlighted the need for DoD to respond rapidly to wartime needs for new capabilities. DoD has been moving towards a joint process to meet these needs that would reduce duplication and costs. However, it is unclear whether DoD has fully and effectively implemented its joint process to that end. Key Questions: 1) To what extent do DoD’s urgent need response processes comply with the response timeframes established in DoD guidance documents? 2) What factors have affected the responsiveness of DoD processes in meeting urgent need requests? 3) What challenges, if any, have affected the coordination and integration of DOD’s urgent need response processes?</p>	<p>GAO</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Foreign Military Sales (A-2008-ALA-0588.000). Objective: Are Foreign Military Sales to Iraq and Afghanistan effectively managed and administered?</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Human Capital Issues-Current Plans (A-2010-ALC-0125.000). Objectives: 1) Is the Army’s current plan of growing the contracting workforce adequate to meet the existing shortfall? 2) Is the Army effectively using reach-back capabilities during expeditionary operations? 3) Has the Army been successful using available tools to incentivize its civilian contracting workforce to volunteer for expeditionary operations? 4) Has the Army taken appropriate and timely actions to provide expeditionary forces with needed information technology and easy to use eBusiness tools?</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Pilot Defense Base Act Insurance Program (A-2008-ALL-0633.000). Objective: Determine if Defense Base Act (DBA) insurance, as acquired under the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Pilot Program, is a cost effective solution for satisfying overseas workers compensation insurance requirements for the Army.</p>	<p>AAA</p>	<p>ONGOING</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>DoD Information Operations Authorities and Policy. This audit was requested by the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy. Objective: Determine current DoD authorities, policy, business processes, and interagency coordination for Information Operations.</p>	<p>DoD IG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Abu Dhabi (United Arab Emirates). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Amman (Jordan). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Ankara (Turkey). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

<p>Inspection of Embassy Colombo (Sri Lanka). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Damascus (Syria). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Dhaka (Bangladesh). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Kathmandu (Nepal). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Muscat (Oman). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Riyadh (Saudi Arabia). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>
<p>Inspection of Embassy Sanaa (Yemen). Purpose: To evaluate the Embassy’s implementation of policy, management of resources, adequacy of management controls, and measures to protect people, information and facilities.</p>	<p>DOS OIG</p>	<p>PLANNED</p>	<p>SWA</p>

CONTRACT AND COST INCURRED AUDITS

DCAA

DCAA's services include professional advice to acquisition officials on accounting and financial matters to assist them in the negotiation, award, administration and settlement of contracts.

REQUESTED AUDITS

Many of DCAA's audits are performed at the request of contracting officers and are most commonly performed during the negotiation and award phase. DCAA has no control over the number or timing of these audits and must immediately respond to the audit requests as its top priority. DCAA issued 157 of these reports during FY 2009 and anticipates issuing a similar number of reports in FY 2010. The major categories of audit services are described below.

Price Proposals – Audits of price proposals submitted by contractors in connection with the award, modification, or re-pricing of Government contracts or subcontracts.

Preaward Accounting Surveys – Preaward audits to determine whether a contractor's accounting system is acceptable for segregating and accumulating costs under Government contracts.

Other Special Requested Audits – Audit assistance provided in response to special requests from the contracting community based on identified risks.

CONTRACT REQUIRED AUDITS

DCAA's audits of cost-reimbursable contracts represent a continuous effort from evaluation of proposed prices to final closeout and payment. DCAA is able to plan the extent and timing of most of the audits performed after the initial contract award. Audits of contractor business system internal controls and preliminary testing of contract costs are carried out to provide a basis for provisional approval of contractor interim payments and early detection of deficiencies. Comprehensive contract cost audits are performed annually throughout the life of the contract and are used by the contracting activity to adjust provisionally approved interim payments and ultimately to negotiate final payment to the contractor. DCAA issued 201 of these reports during FY 2009 and anticipates issuing a similar number of reports in FY 2010 in the following categories.

DESCRIPTION OF AUDIT AREA	
Incurred Cost (1)	25
Labor Timekeeping (2)	67
Internal Controls (3)	29
Purchase Existence and Consumption (4)	28
Cost Accounting Standards (5)	22
Other (6)	30
Total	201

- (1) **Incurred Cost** – Audits of costs charged to Government contracts to determine whether they are allowable, allocable, and reasonable.
- (2) **Labor Timekeeping** – Audits to determine if the contractor consistently complies with established timekeeping system policies and procedures for recording labor costs.
- (3) **Internal Controls** – Audits of contractor internal control systems relating to the accounting and billing of costs under Government contracts.
- (4) **Purchase Existence and Consumption** – The physical observation of purchased materials and services and related inquiries regarding their documentation and verification of contract charges.
- (5) **Cost Accounting Standards** – Audits of Contractor Disclosure Statements and compliance with Cost Accounting Standards.
- (6) **Other** – Significant types of other audit activities include compliance with the Truth in Negotiations Act, and audits of economy and efficiency of contractor operations.

USAID OIG COST INCURRED AUDITS

USAID OIG oversight includes focused contract cost incurred audits in Afghanistan, Iraq, and the rest of Southwest Asia and its surrounding areas. Financial audit objectives include 1) determining whether costs incurred and billed by the recipient are allowable, allocable, and reasonable; 2) obtaining an understanding of the recipient's internal control and reporting any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses; and 3) evaluating compliance with agreement terms and applicable laws and regulations. Audits are performed by the Defense Contract Audit Agency at the request of the OIG, or by non-Federal auditors. The OIG reviews and issues the reports to USAID with applicable recommendations. Planned and ongoing cost incurred audits follow:

AFGHANISTAN COST INCURRED AUDITS

Costs Incurred by Deutsche Investitions- und Entwicklungsgesellschaft mbh, USAID/Afghanistan First Loss Reserve Fund Agreement No. 306-A-00-05-00512-00, for the Period from February 4, 2005 to December 31, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
Local Costs Incurred by the Joint Venture Louis Berger Group, Inc./Black & Veatch Special Projects Corp. to Implement the Afghanistan Infrastructure Rehabilitation Program, USAID/Afghanistan Contract No. 306-I-00-06-00517-00, for the Period from October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	AFGHANISTAN
Costs Incurred by Advanced Engineering Associates International to Implement the Human and Institutional Capacity Building for Afghanistan Energy and Natural Resources Sector, Task Order No. DOT-I-04-04-00022-00, for the Period from July 3, 2008 to June 30, 2009	USAID OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
Costs Incurred by Technologists Inc. to Implement the Afghanistan Industrial Estate Development Program, USAID/Afghanistan Task Order No. 306-O-00-04-00539-00, for the Period from May 24, 2004 to December 31, 2007	USAID OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
Costs Incurred in the United States by Black & Veatch Special Projects Corp. to Implement the Afghanistan Infrastructure Rehabilitation Program, USAID/Afghanistan Contract No. 306-I-00-06-00517-00, for the Period from August 25, 2006 to September 30, 2008	USAID OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN

Costs Incurred in the United States by The Louis Berger Group, Inc. to Implement the Afghanistan Infrastructure Rehabilitation Program, USAID/Afghanistan Contract No. 306-I-00-06-00517-00, for the Period from August 25, 2006 to September 30, 2008	USAID OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
Local Costs Incurred by the Joint Venture Louis Berger Group, Inc./Black & Veatch Special Projects Corp. to Implement the Afghanistan Infrastructure Rehabilitation Program, USAID/Afghanistan Contract No. 306-I-00-06-00517-00, for the Period from October 1, 2008 to September 30, 2009	USAID OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
Recipient-Contracted Audit of USAID/Afghanistan Agreement No. 306-08-IL-06-00, Managed by the Ministry of Public Health, for the Period from August 1, 2008 to March 21, 2009	USAID OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN
Recipient-Contracted Audit of USAID/Afghanistan Cooperative Agreement No. 306-A-00-08-00525-00, Managed by the American University of Afghanistan, for the Period from July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009	USAID OIG	PLANNED	AFGHANISTAN

IRAQ COST INCURRED AUDITS

Costs Incurred and Billed by AirServ under USAID Grant No. DFD-G-00-04-00192-00 for the Period June 29, 2004 through December 31, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by CHF International under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-06-00507-00 for the Period October 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Development Alternatives, Inc. (DAI) under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-07-00505-00 for the Period September 28, 2007 through September 30, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Gulf Catering Company under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-05-00514-00 for the Period October 1, 2005 through October 31, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by International Business & Technical Consultants, Inc. (IBTCI) under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-05-00508-00 for the Period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ

Costs Incurred and Billed by International Relief & Development, Inc. (IRD) under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-06-00503-00 for the Period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by International Resources Group (IRG) under USAID Contract No. 517-C-00-04-00106-00 for the Period January 1, 2008 through February 28, 2009	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by International Resources Group (IRG) under USAID Contract No. 517-C-00-04-00106-00 for the Period October 1, 2006 through December 31, 2007	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Louis Berger Group, Inc. under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-08-00500-00 for the Period January 23, 2008 through January 31, 2009	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Louis Berger Group, Inc. under USAID Contract No. Contract 267-C-00-07-00500-00 for the Period May 14, 2008 through May 13, 2009	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Louis Berger Group/The Services Group, Inc. a JV under USAID Contract No.267-C-00-04-00435-00 for the Period October 1, 2007 through March 31, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Management Systems International (MSI) under USAID Contract No. DFD-I-00-05-00221-00 for the Period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Relief International under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-07-00504-00 for the Period September 27, 2007 through September 30, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Research Triangle Institute under USAID Agreement No. 267-C-00-05-00505-00 for the Period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by the International Foundation for Election Systems (IFES) through the Consortium for Election and Political Process Strengthening (CEPPS) under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-04-00405-00 from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ

Costs Incurred and Billed by the International Foundation for Election Systems (IFES) through the Consortium for Election and Political Process Strengthening (CEPPS) under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-04-00405-00 from July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Incurred Cost of CY 2005 for Bechtel Group, Inc (BGI) under USAID Contract Nos. EEE-C-00-03-00018-00 and SPU-C-00-04-00001-00	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Incurred Cost of CY 2005 for Bechtel Group, Inc (BGI) under USAID Contract Nos. EEE-C-00-03-00018-00 and SPU-C-00-04-00001-00	USAID OIG	ONGOING	IRAQ
Costs Billed by Sabre International Security for Subcontract Security Services under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-06-00503-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through October 31, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by ACDI/VOCA under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-08-00504-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by AECOM International Development under USAID Task Order No. 263-I-02i-06-00015-00 from October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by AirServ under USAID Grant No. DFD-G-00-04-00192-00 for the Period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by CHF International under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-08-00503-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Development Alternatives, Inc. (DAI) under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-07-00505-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Gulf Catering Company under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-05-00514-00 for the Period November 1, 2008 through October 15, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ

Costs Incurred and Billed by International Business & Technical Consultants, Inc. (IBTCI) under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-05-00508-00 for the Period January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008 and USAID Delivery Order No. 267-O-00-09-00-00508-00 for the Period April 16, 2009 through July 24, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by International Relief and Development under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-08-00506-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Louis Berger Group, Inc. under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-07-00500-00 for the Period May 14, 2009 through May 13, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Louis Berger Group, Inc. under USAID Contract No. 267-C-00-08-00500-00 for the Period February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Management Systems International (MSI) under USAID Contract No. DFD-I-01-05-00221-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Mercy Corps under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-08-00505-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through September 30, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Relief International under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-07-00504-00 for the Period October 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Research Triangle Institute under USAID Agreement No. 267-C-00-05-00505-00 for the Period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by Research Triangle Institute under USAID Agreement No. 267-CO-DFD-I-03-05-00128 for the Period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
Costs Incurred and Billed by the International Foundation for Election Systems (IFES) through the Consortium for Election and Political Process Strengthening (CEPPS) under USAID Agreement No. 267-A-00-04-00405-00 from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ

Costs Incurred and Billed by the QED Group under USAID Award No. 267-O-00-08-00507-00 for the Period September 13, 2008 through September 12, 2009.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	IRAQ
--	----------------------	----------------	-------------

REST OF ASIA COST INCURRED AUDITS

Approximately <u>40 financial audits</u> are planned to be performed in the region in FY 2010, in addition to those identified for Iraq and Afghanistan above.	USAID OIG	PLANNED	SWA
---	----------------------	----------------	------------

**APPENDIX A. BREAKOUT OF FY 2010 ONGOING AND PLANNED
OVERSIGHT WITHIN FUNCTIONAL AREAS**

FUNCTIONAL AREA (Subcategories)	TOTAL	ONGOING	PLANNED
Security	101	59	42
Accountability and Maintenance of Equipment	34	21	13
Physical Security and Personnel Security	25	17	8
Training and Equipping National Security Forces	13	7	6
Warfare Support	29	14	15
Governance, Rule of Law and Human Rights	41	17	24
Government Capacity Building	22	10	12
Anticorruption	4	1	3
Human Rights	8	3	5
Refugee Assistance	7	3	4
Economic and Social Development	66	32	34
Agriculture and Counternarcotics	8	4	4
Education and Healthcare	16	5	11
Infrastructure	9	3	6
Reconstruction	33	20	13
Contracting Oversight and Performance	121	89	32
Equipment and Property	13	11	2
Contract Management	73	57	16
Funds Control	33	19	14
Services	2	2	0
Crosscutting Programs	46	27	19
Oversight Projects (not including DCAA audits and USAID cost incurred audits)	375	224	151
Contract and Cost Incurred Audits			
DCAA	358*		
USAID OIG Cost Incurred Audits	85	20	65
Federal Oversight Efforts contained in the FY 2010 COPSWA	<u>818</u>	<u>244**</u>	<u>216**</u>

* Based on actual FY 2009 reports issued

** Does not include DCAA estimated figures

ENDNOTES:

- ⁱ The FY 2008 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 110-181) Section 842, “Investigation of Waste, Fraud, and Abuse in Wartime Contracts and Contracting Processes in Iraq and Afghanistan,” January 28, 2008, requires the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to develop a comprehensive plan for a series of audits of Department of Defense contracts, subcontracts, and task and delivery orders for the logistical support of coalition forces in Iraq and Afghanistan. The Act also requires that the Special Inspector Generals for Iraq Reconstruction and Afghanistan Reconstruction develop a comprehensive plan for a series of audits of Federal agency contracts, subcontracts, and task and delivery orders for the performance of security and reconstruction functions in Iraq and Afghanistan.
- ⁱⁱ The April 2009 update also includes the DoD IG, Army Audit Agency, Naval Audit Service, and Air Force Audit Agency planned and ongoing oversight efforts related to Section 852, of the FY 2009 National Defense Authorization Act:
- The FY 2009 National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 110-417) Section 852, “Comprehensive Audit of Spare Parts Purchases and Depot Overhaul and Maintenance of Equipment for Operations in Iraq and Afghanistan,” requires that the Army Audit Agency, the Navy [sic] Audit Service, and the Air Force Audit Agency, in coordination with the Inspector General of the Department of Defense develop a comprehensive plan for a series of audits for Department of Defense contracts, subcontracts, and task and delivery orders for depot overhaul and maintenance of equipment for the military in Iraq and Afghanistan; spare parts for military equipment in Iraq and Afghanistan; and Department of Defense in-house overhaul and maintenance of military equipment used in Iraq and Afghanistan.*
- ⁱⁱⁱ The Commander, U.S. Central Command proactively engaged the Defense oversight community by requesting focused oversight on asset accountability in the U.S. Central Command Area of Responsibility. In December 2008, the Commander, U.S. Central Command, requested the DoD Inspector General to lead a planning effort with the Service Audit Agencies to prepare a comprehensive approach to ensure U.S. funded assets are properly accounted for and that there is a process for the proper transfer, reset, or disposal of these assets from military units, support staff, and contractors as the footprint changes.

