

Decisions of the United States Court of International Trade

SLIP-OP. 03-124

BEFORE: HON. JANE A. RESTANI, JUDGE

NEC SOLUTIONS (AMERICA), INC., PLAINTIFF, v. THE UNITED STATES,
DEFENDANT.

Con. Court No. 01-00147

JUDGMENT ORDER

Whereas the parties to this action moved for summary judgment, and the Court decided the issues raised in the parties' motions in Slip Op. 03-80 entered on July 9, 2003, and corrected on July 21, 2003; and

Whereas the parties have conferred regarding the amounts of money to be refunded in this judgment order, and the dates from which interest will be calculated on the amounts to be refunded; and

Whereas the plaintiff paid increased antidumping duty and interest to the defendant on various dates between May 30, 2001, and December 14, 2001, as follows:

<u>Date of Payment</u>	<u>Sum of the amounts paid by plaintiff</u>
05/30/01	\$2,549,247.53
06/11/01	344,679.57
06/13/01	6,250,636.26
06/28/01	6,252.45
07/02/01	1,211,516.09
07/05/01	47,957.87
07/10/01	3,312.37
07/19/01	1,312,147.83
07/24/01	465,518.82
07/26/01	1,311,817.25
08/02/01	76,314.38
08/15/01	273,215.68

<u>Date of Payment</u>	<u>Sum of the amounts paid by plaintiff</u>
08/20/01	49,842.80
09/05/01	23,074.65
12/14/01	<u>7,307.03</u>
Total amount paid by plaintiff that is to be refunded:	\$13,932,840.48;

it is hereby

ORDERED that plaintiff's entries covered by the Department of Commerce's fourth administrative review are severed and dismissed from this action for lack of jurisdiction; and it is further

ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment shall be, and hereby is, granted as to the entries covered by the Department of Commerce's fifth through the eighth administrative reviews, but otherwise denied; and it is further

ORDERED that defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment shall be, and hereby is, granted as to the entries covered by the Department of Commerce's ninth and tenth administrative reviews, but otherwise denied; and it is further

ORDERED that defendant, without reliquidating the entries, shall refund to the plaintiff a total of thirteen million, nine hundred thirty two thousand, eight hundred forty dollars and forty eight cents (\$13,932,840.48), in separate amounts, with interest as provided by law on such amounts from the dates of payment set forth above.

JUDGE

Dated: New York, NY
This 19th day of Sept, 2003

ABSTRACTED CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

DECISION NO./DATE JUDGE	PLAINTIFF	COURT NO.	ASSESSED	HELD	BASIS	PORT OF ENTRY & MERCHANDISE
C03/41 9/16/03 Aquilino, J.	Ohka America, Inc.	93-2-00099	3707.90.30 8.5%	3707.10.00 3%	Agreed statement of facts	Not stated Chemical products
C03/42 9/22/03 Aquilino, J.	Ohka America, Inc.	93-3-00152	3707.90.30 8.5%	3707.10.00 3%	Agreed statement of facts	Not stated Chemical products
C03/43 9/22/03 Aquilino, J.	Ohka America, Inc.	93-8-00521	3707.90.30 8.5%	3707.10.00 3%	Agreed statement of facts	Not stated Chemical products
C03/44 9/22/03 Aquilino, J.	Ohka America, Inc.	94-8-00477	3707.90.30 8.5%	3707.10.00 3%	Agreed statement of facts	Not stated Chemical products

ABSTRACTED VALUATION DECISIONS

DECISION NO./DATE JUDGE	PLAINTIFF	COURT NO.	VALUATION	HELD	BASIS	PORT OF ENTRY & MERCHANDISE
V03/6 9/11/03 Eaton, J.	La Perla Fashions, Inc.	02-00742	Transaction value	Invoice price actually paid by LPF to the exporter, Gruppo La Perla, S.p.A.	Agreed statement of facts	New York Newark Various articles of wearing apparel
V03/7 9/16/03 Goldberg, J.	Lane Bryant	95-01693	Transaction value	With an allowance for defective nature of the goods	Agreed statement of facts	Columbus Various articles of wearing apparel