

Panel Discussion:

Central Bank Forecasting During the Crisis

Günter Coenen European Central Bank

Workshop on Central Bank Forecasting, 14-15 October 2010

The views expressed here are my own and not necessarily those of the European Central Bank.

Introduction

- About 3 years since the onset of the crisis:
 - key role of *financial factors* in triggering, propagating and subsequently amplifying the downturn
 - other non-financial factors such as the collapse of trade and the deterioration of confidence also very important
- How has (central bank) forecasting fared?
 - short-term forecasting tools failed to reliably inform on the current state of the economy (GDP nowcast/backcast)
 - medium-term forecasts not successful in identifying the size, persistence and propagation of 'shocks' (incl. adverse feedback loops)

Substantial <u>short-term</u> forecast errors

Euro area real GDP forecasts for 2008Q4 (quarterly growth rates)



Note: Estimates and 'news analysis' based on the dynamic factor model of Banbura (2010).

Challenges for central bank forecasting

- The crisis has revealed short-comings of both reduced-form tools and structural models:
 - predictive failure of short-term forecasting tools:
 - estimated over stationary environments with strong mean reversion in growth dynamics
 - neglect of possible non-linearities
 - criticism of DSGE models (applicable to traditional models too):
 - limited role of financial sector and international spillovers
 - unrealistic assumptions such as rationality/linearity
- Yet tools and models remain useful, in particular regarding their interpretative (as opposed to predictive) role.

The ECB's New Area-Wide Model

- The New Area-Wide Model (NAWM) is the main aggregative tool used in the ECB staff projections:
 - medium-size open-economy DSGE model of the euro area with nominal and real frictions
 - closed-economy structure close to Smets-Wouters model (AER, 2007)
 - euro area's external environment modelled as (almost) exogenous 5-variable SVAR
 - no explicit financial sector, but domestic and external risk premium shocks
 - model estimated on time series for 18 key macro variables

(cf. ECB WP 944, 2008)

Structural interpretation of crisis period

• The NAWM has helped to interpret economic developments as they unfolded:



- strong role of foreign shocks during downturn and subsequent recovery
 - prolonged negative impact of demand shocks (reflecting a rise in risk premia)
 - negative impact on potential reflected in adverse technology shocks
 - price/wage rigidities hampered the recovery (markup shocks)
 - monetary policy shocks contributed positively to the recovery

Enhancing structural forecasting models

- The crisis has provided strong impetus to further model developments:
 - enriching the financial sector (incl. intermediaries)
 - modelling the **ZLB** and non-standard policy measures
 - relaxing strong assumptions such as rationality or linearity
 - ...
- The challenge will be to expand models both *meaningfully* and *tractably*:
 - an all-encompassing model is neither feasible (data/practical limitations) nor desirable (need to understand its properties)
 - there is a case for maintaining a core 'work horse model' complemented by 'satellite models'

Forecast and model combination

- Potential value in the application of forecast combination techniques:
 - increasing overall forecasting performance
 - hedging against poor performance of any given model
 - using performance-based weights (difficult in crises times)
- Combination of structural models with conjunctural indicators available in real time:
 - Giannone et al. (2010) offers an elegant solution for bridging non-synchronised releases of monthly data with observed data of a quarterly structural model
- Combination of structural models with survey data

Can non-linear models be helpful?

- Possible changes in economic relationships, in the transmission of shocks and of policies during crises:
 - financial factors: adverse feedback loops between the financial and the real sector
 - lasting deterioration in balance sheets or changes in agents' attitude towards risks
 - may have given rise to switches in regime
 - non-financial factors: collapse in global trade/inventories may have affected business-cycle dynamics
- Typically good fit in sample, but mixed pre-crisis evidence on out-of-sample forecasting performance.

A markov-switching BVAR for the US



Source: Hubrich and Tetlow (2010). In sample analysis.

Which role for judgement?

- Expert judgement is an important element of central bank forecasting:
 - captures information from other sources, knowledge about unusual shocks and variables/factors not included in the forecasting models
 - received more weight in times of crisis with rapidly unfolding events that take time to appear in the data
- There is a need to discipline formation of judgement:
 - support by selected data and tools (e.g. use of ECB's Bank Lending Survey to calibrate possible credit-supply effects)
 - comparison with historical episodes of financial crises

Uncertainty and risks

- There are intrinsic limitations on the scope for improving point forecasts, notably during crises episodes.
- A strong emphasis should be placed on quantifying forecast uncertainty and risks:
 - models should be able to provide *measures of uncertainty* (based on their predictive densities), along with point forecasts
 - models should be able to provide probabilistic indicators highlighting the likelihood of certain events (e.g. of 'recession' and 'deflation')
- Similarly, emphasis should be given to scenario analysis of, inter alia, low-probability high-impact events.

NAWM-based predictive densities



- The risk of deflation (defined as observing 4 consecutive periods of negative inflation) is heightened, albeit temporary.
- The densities are skewed to the downside as the lower bound on the short-term nominal interest rate is occasionally binding.

A shift in long-term inflation expectations



3-month nominal interest rate





Perceived inflation objective



Conclusion

- Central bank forecasting during the crisis:
 - extraordinary period with large and persistent shocks
 - difficult to forecast with models built for normal times, also when including judgement
- Ways forward:
 - extend existing/develop new models where appropriate (with a focus on financial factors)
 - assess uncertainty and risks around point forecasts (incl. low-probability high-impact events)
 - explore forecast and model combination techniques