

February 28, 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR	Walter C. Odom, Jr. Chief, Administrative and Customer Services Division
From:	Alan R. Tupek Chief, Demographic Statistical Methods Division
Subject:	SIPP 1996: Source and Accuracy Statement for the 12-Wave Longitudinal File

Attached is the Source and Accuracy Statement for the longitudinal file from the 12 Waves of the

1996 Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP).

Attachment

cc:	
A. Shields	(ACSD)
B. Fink	(DSD)
J. Eargle	
D. Riccini	
D. Boteler	
D. Weinberg	(HHES)
J. Hisnanick	
C. Nelson	
T. Palumbo	
C. Popoff	
E. Welniak	
B. Kominski	(POP)
M. O'Connell	
L. Cahoon	(DSMD)
SIPPB	

## SOURCE AND ACCURACY STATEMENT FOR THE SURVEY OF INCOME AND PROGRAM PARTICIPATION (SIPP) 1996 12-WAVE LONGITUDINAL FILE<sup>1</sup>

## DATA COLLECTION AND ESTIMATION

**Source of Data.** The data was collected in the 1996 Panel of the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP). The SIPP universe is the noninstitutionalized resident population living in the United States. The population includes people living in group quarters, such as dormitories, rooming houses, and religious group dwellings. Crew members of merchant vessels, Armed Forces personnel living in military barracks, and institutionalized people, such as correctional facility inmates and nursing home residents, were not eligible to be in the survey. Also, United States citizens residing abroad were not eligible to be in the survey. Foreign visitors who work or attend school in this country and their families were eligible; all others were not eligible to be in the survey. With the exceptions noted above, people who were at least 15 years of age at the time of the interview were eligible to be in the survey.

The 1996 Panel of the SIPP sample is located in 322 Primary Sampling Units (PSUs), each consisting of a county or a group of contiguous counties. Within these PSUs, living quarters (LQs) were systematically selected from lists of addresses prepared for the 1990 decennial census to form the bulk of the sample. To account for LQs built within each of the sample areas after the 1990 census, a sample containing clusters of four LQs was drawn of permits issued for construction of residential LQs up until shortly before the beginning of the panel.

In jurisdictions that don't issue building permits or have incomplete addresses, we systematically sampled expected clusters of four LQs which were listed by field personnel and then subsampled in the field. In addition, we selected sample LQs from a supplemental frame that included LQs identified as missed in the 1990 census.

For the first interview of the panel in Wave 1, we obtained interviews from occupants of about 36,700 of the 49,200 designated living quarters. We found most of the remaining 12,500 living quarters in the panel to be vacant, demolished, converted to nonresidential use, or otherwise ineligible for the survey. However, we did not interview approximately 3,400 of the 12,500 living quarters in the panel because the occupants: (1) refused to be interviewed, (2) could not be found at home, (3) were temporarily absent, or (4) were otherwise unavailable. Thus, occupants of about 92 percent of all eligible living quarters participated in the first interview of the panel.

For subsequent interviews, only original sample people (those in Wave 1 sample households and interviewed in Wave 1) and people living with them were eligible to be interviewed. We followed original sample people if they moved to a new address, unless the new address was more than 100 miles from a SIPP sample area. Then, we attempted telephone interviews.

Sample households within a given panel are divided into four random subsamples of nearly equal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For questions or further assistance with the information provided in this document contact the Demographic Statistical Methods Division at (301) 763-6445.

size. These subsamples are called rotation groups and one rotation group is interviewed each month. Each household in the sample was scheduled to be interviewed at 4-month intervals over a period of roughly 4 years beginning in April 1996. The reference period for the questions is the 4-month period preceding the interview month. In general, one cycle of four interviews covering the entire sample, using the same questionnaire, is called a wave.

The public use files include core and supplemental (**topical module**) data. Core questions are repeated at each interview over the life of the panel. Topical modules include questions which are asked only in certain waves.

The period covered by the 1996 12-Wave longitudinal file consists of 48 interview months (twelve interviews) conducted from April 1996 to March 2000. Data for up to 50 reference months are available for persons on the file. Specific months available depend on the person's rotation group and his/her sample entry or exit date. However, data for all four rotation groups (i.e., the full sample) are available only for reference months March 1996 through November 1999, inclusive. Also note that the availability of data on household composition begins with the first interview month of a rotation group.

Table 1 indicates the reference months and interview months for the collection of data from each rotation group for the 1996 Panel. For example, rotation group 2 of the 1996 Panel was first interviewed in May 1996 to collect data for the reference months January 1996 through April 1996. This rotation group was interviewed for the twelfth time in January 2000 to collect data for September 1999 through December 1999. Table 1 also shows that calendar year 1996 (CY96) data were collected in interview months April 1996 through April 1997 and that calendar year 1997 (CY97) were collected exactly one year later. Data from all four rotation groups are available for each reference month of the calendar years 1996, 1997, 1998 and 1999.

In the 1984-1990 panels, the longitudinal weighting process treated persons with at least one missing interview as noninterviewed and assigned them zero weights. The procedure resulted in the loss of a large amount of collected survey data. To increase the reliability of longitudinal estimates and make more use of collected data, we introduced a "missing wave imputation" procedure.

The 1996 panel is the fourth panel to benefit from the new imputation procedure. We now impute missing wave data for persons who miss an interview (wave) and have completed interviews before and after the missing wave. For example, persons who were not interviewed in Wave 3 but interviewed in Waves 2 and 4 will have their Wave 3 data imputed based on Waves 2 and 4. There is an imputation flag field on the 1996 12-Wave longitudinal panel file named "WAVFLG" to identify the non interview cases that were imputed.

For entire panel, CY96, CY97, CY98 and CY99 weighting procedures, a person was classified as interviewed or noninterviewed based on the following definitions. (NOTE: A person may be classified differently for calculating different weights.) Interviewed sample persons (including

children) were defined to be:

- 1) those for whom self, proxy, or imputed responses were obtained for each month of the appropriate longitudinal period, or
- 2) those for whom self or proxy responses were obtained for the first month of the appropriate longitudinal period and self, proxy, or imputed responses exist for each subsequent month until they were known to have died or moved to an ineligible address (foreign HUs, institutions, or military barracks).

The months for which persons were deceased or residing in an ineligible address were identified on the file. Noninterviewed persons were defined to be those for whom neither self nor proxy responses were obtained for one or more months of the appropriate longitudinal period (excluding imputed persons and persons who died or moved to an ineligible address).

It is estimated that roughly  $105,500^2$  people were initially designated in the sample. Approximately 95,100 people were interviewed in Wave 1; while the balance, residing in the 3,900 living units not interviewed at Wave 1 remained anonymous and became the initial source of person nonresponse in the weighting procedures. For the CY96, CY97, CY98, CY99 and 12-Wave (panel) weighting procedures, the eligible sample is considered to be all people initially designated for sample. In the panel weighting procedure, approximately 55,500 people were classified as interviewed with a person nonresponse rate of 41.25%. The CY96 weighting procedure classified about 81,000 people as interviewed and had a person nonresponse rate of 14.2%. The CY97 weighting procedure classified about 69,500 people as interviewed and had a person nonresponse rate of 18.5%. The CY98 weighting procedure classified about 64,800 people as interviewed and had a person nonresponse rate of 16.3%. The CY99 weighting procedure classified about 64,200 people as interviewed and had a person nonresponse rate of 13.2%. The panel weighting file contain approximately 116,500 person records in all. This includes the Wave 1 interviewed people and about 21,000 people who entered survey households during the panel through births, marriages, and other reasons. The CY weighting files also contain approximately 116,500 person records in all.

### **ESTIMATION**

The SIPP program produces weights for both cross-sectional and longitudinal analysis. For information regarding cross-sectional estimation, please see the Source and Accuracy Statement for the 1996 Panel Wave 1 - Wave 12 Public Use File (see www.sipp.census.gov/sipp/sourceac/s&a96\_040501.pdf). What follows is an overview of the longitudinal estimation.

In the estimation procedure described here, all people classified as interviewed for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>All values given in italics in this paragraph are estimates.

longitudinal period (i.e., panel, CY96, CY97, CY98 and CY99) are assigned positive weights for that period, while those classified as non-interviewed are assigned zero weights.

**Estimation of Person Characteristics.** Essentially, the same estimation procedure was used to derive each of the three sets of SIPP longitudinal person weights. We used several stages of weight adjustments in the estimation procedure to derive the SIPP person level longitudinal weights. We gave each person a base weight equal to the inverse of probability of selection of a person's household. We applied two noninterview adjustment factors. One adjusted the weights of interviewed people in interviewed households to account for households which were eligible for the sample but which field representatives could not interview at the first interview. The second compensated for person noninterviews occurring in subsequent interviews.

An additional stage of adjustment to longitudinal person weights was performed to reduce the mean square error of the survey estimates. This was accomplished by bringing the sample estimates into agreement with estimates from the 1990 decennial census which have been adjusted for undercount and to reflect births, deaths, immigration, emigration, and changes in Armed Forces since 1990.

**Use of Person Weights**. Each person within each household that has ever been in the entire 1996 Panel of SIPP has a 12-Wave longitudinal weight for estimation. A calendar year longitudinal weight is available each person within each household that was ever in the four waves of a specific calendar year. These weights may be zero for a particular individual if they are not classified as interviewed for the longitudinal period during the estimation procedure. The 12-Wave panel weight can be used to form monthly, quarterly, annual, or multi-year estimates for calendar years 1996 through 1999. The calendar year weight can be used to form monthly or quarterly estimates within a specific calendar year.

**Example**, using the12-Wave panel weight, one can estimate the number of people receiving TANF from January 1996 to January 1999. Using the CY98 weight, one can estimate the number of people receiving TANF for the third quarter of 1998.

### Users should be forewarned to apply the appropriate weights given on weighting files before attempting to calculate estimates. The weights vary between units due to weighting adjustments, and following movers. If analysis is done for the general population without applying the appropriate weights, the results will be erroneous.

All estimates may be divided into two broad categories: longitudinal and cross-sectional. Longitudinal estimates require that data records for each person be linked across interviews, where as cross-sectional estimates do not. For example, annual income estimates obtained by summing the 12 monthly income amounts for each person would require linking records and so would be longitudinal estimates. Because there is no linkage between interviews, cross-sectional estimates can combine data from different interviews only at the aggregate level. Longitudinal person weights were developed for longitudinal estimation, but may be used for cross-sectional estimation as well. However, note that wave files with cross-sectional weights are also produced for the SIPP. Because of the larger sample size with positive weights available on the wave files, it is recommended that these files be used for cross-sectional estimation, if possible.

In this section, it is assumed that all four rotation groups are used for estimation. If an estimate covers a time period for which data from some rotation groups are unavailable, refer to the section "Adjusting Estimates Which Use Less Than the Full Sample."

Some basic types of longitudinal and cross-sectional estimates which can be constructed using longitudinal person weights are described below in terms of estimated numbers. Of course, more complex estimates, such as percentages, averages, ratios, etc., can be constructed from the estimated numbers. Longitudinal person weights can be used to construct the following types of longitudinal estimates:

1. The number of people who have ever experienced a characteristic during a given time period.

To construct such an estimate, use the longitudinal person weight for the shortest time period which covers the entire time period of interest. Then, sum the weights over all people who possessed the characteristic of interest at some point during the time period of interest. For example, to estimate the number of people who ever received food stamps during the last six months of 1996, use the CY96 longitudinal weights. The CY96 weights cover the last six months of 1996 The same estimate could be generated using the 12-Wave panel longitudinal weights, but there may be fewer positively weighted people than in the calendar year.

2. The amount of a characteristic accumulated by people during a given time period.

To construct such an estimate, use the longitudinal person weight for the shortest time period which covers the entire time period of interest. Then compute the product of the weight times the amount of the characteristic and sum this product over all appropriate people. For example, to estimate the aggregate 1996 annual income of people who were employed during all 12 months of the year, use the CY96 longitudinal weights. The same estimate could be generated using the 12-Wave panel longitudinal weights.

3. The average number of consecutive months of possession of a characteristic (i.e., the average spell length for a characteristic) during a given time period.

For example, one could estimate the average length of each spell of receiving food stamps during 1996. Also, one could estimate the average spell of unemployment that elapsed before a person found a new job. To construct such an estimate, first identify the people who possessed the characteristic at some point during the time period of interest. Then, create two sums of these person's appropriate longitudinal weights: (1) sum the product

of the weight times the number of months the spell lasted and (2) sum the weights only. Now, the estimated average spell length in months is given by (1) divided by (2). A person who experienced two spells during the time period of interest would be treated as two people and appear twice in sums (1) and (2). An alternate method of calculating the average can be found in the section "Standard Error of a Mean or Aggregate."

- 4. The number of month-to-month changes in the status of a characteristic (i.e., number of transitions) summed over every set of two consecutive months during the time period of interest. To construct such an estimate, sum the appropriate longitudinal person weight each time a change is reported between two consecutive months during the time period of interest. For example, to estimate the number of people who changed from receiving food stamps in July 1996 to not receiving in August 1996, add together the CY96 longitudinal weight of each person who had such a change. To estimate the number of changes in monthly salary income during the third quarter of 1996, sum together the estimate of number of people who made a change between July 1 and August 1, between August 1 and September 1, and between September 1 and October 1.
- Note that spell and transition estimates should be used with caution because of the biases that are associated with them. Sample people tend to report the same status of a characteristic for all four months of a reference period. This tendency results in a bias toward reported spell lengths that are multiples of four months. This tendency also affects transition estimates in that, for many characteristics, the number of characteristics, the number of month-to-month transitions reported between the last month of one reference period and the first month of the next reference period are much greater than the number of reported transitions between any two months within a reference period. Additionally, spells extending before or after the time period of interest are cut off (censored) at the boundaries of the time period. If they are used in estimating average spell length, a downward bias will result.

Also using longitudinal person weights one can construct the following type of crosssectional estimate:

5. Monthly estimates of a characteristic averaged over a number of consecutive months.

For example, one could estimate the monthly average number of food stamp recipients over the months July through December 1996. To construct such an estimate, first form an estimate for each month in the time period of interest. Use the longitudinal person weight, summing over all people who possessed the characteristic of interest during the month of interest. Then, sum the monthly estimates and divide by the number of months. Either the CY96 or the 12-Wave panel longitudinal weights can be used for this calculation.

**Estimation of Household Characteristics.** The Census Bureau has not developed household and family weights for longitudinal analysis. However, to facilitate exploratory research based upon the Census Bureau's provisional longitudinal household definition, two different longitudinal household weights, termed adjustment factor 1 and adjustment factor 2, were created for each longitudinal household each month. These factors were then assigned to every member of the longitudinal household each month. The primary difference between the factors is that for married-couple households adjustment factor 1 was derived jointly from the panel longitudinal person weights of the householder and spouse, while adjustment factor 2 was derived solely from the panel longitudinal person weight of the householder.

For each month, five data fields are included on the longitudinal panel file to facilitate creation of household-level estimates: (1) current household type, (2) key person, (3) other household member, (4) adjustment factor 1, (5) adjustment factor 2. Definitions of fields (1) through (3) as well as the provisional definitions of longitudinal household, original household, and successor household are provided below. In this section "month" refers to reference month unless stated otherwise:

LONGITUDINAL HOUSEHOLD: A longitudinal household is a household which exists during at least one month, but which may continue to exist for more than one month. A longitudinal household continues from one month to the next, if it has the same householder (and spouse, if present in the household), and if it is the same household type, where household type is defined below.

CURRENT HOUSEHOLD TYPE: Households are classified by type in the current month where household types are: (1) married-couple household, (2) other family household, male householder, (3) other family household, female householder, (4) non-family household, male householder, (5) non-family household, female householder.

ORIGINAL HOUSEHOLD: A household existing at the beginning of the survey, i.e., a household which exists during the first interview month of the rotation group.

SUCCESSOR HOUSEHOLD: A household which is not an original household but which does exist during at least one month as an off-shoot of an original household. A successor household must exist during at least one month succeeding the first interview month of the rotation group, and must have a key person (see definition below) who was a member of an original household.

KEY PERSON: In married-couple longitudinal households, both the householder and the householder's spouse are key persons. In all other types of longitudinal households, there is only one key person - the householder. In married-couple households, at least one key person must have entered the sample at Wave 1. In all other household types, the key person must have entered the sample at Wave 1.

OTHER HOUSEHOLD MEMBER: A person who, during a specific month, is a member of a longitudinal household but is not a key person.

Adjustment factors 1 and 2 are presented in Figure 1. In examining Figure 1, keep the following principles in mind: Adjustment factors 1 and 2 are always derived from the panel longitudinal person weight(s) of an original householder (and/or key person). For every successor household, where the current month householder (and/or spouse) was a member of an original household, it is the householder (and/or spouse) of the original household who supplies the panel longitudinal person weight from which the adjustment factors are derived.

	ORIG HOUSE	INAL HOLDS	SUCCESSOR HOUSEHOLDS									
	Married	Other		Married	Other							
	Couple		HHer enter in Wa	ed sample ave 1	HHer enter 2	ed in Wave +	HHer entered	HHer entered				
			Other KP entered sample in Wave 1	Other KP entered sample in Wave 2+	Other KP entered sample in Wave 1	Other KP entered sample in Wave 2+	sample in Wave 1	sample in Wave 2+				
AF1	mean LPW of two key persons	LPW of Hher	first monthly value of AF1	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> first monthly value of AF1	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> first monthly value of AF1	Zero <sup>3</sup>	first monthly value of AF1	Zero <sup>3</sup>				
AF2	LPW of HHer	LPW of HHer	first monthly value of AF2	First monthly value of AF2	Zero <sup>3</sup>	Zero <sup>3</sup>	first monthly value of AF2	Zero <sup>3</sup>				

Figure 1. Adjustment Factors for Longitudinal Household Estimates - 1996 12-Wave Longitudinal File

AF1 = Adjustment factor 1

AF2 = Adjustment factor 2

LPW = Panel longitudinal person weight

Wave 2+ = Wave 2 or later wave

HHer = Current month householder

KP = Current month key person

Note: The situation where a successor household is formed by the merging of two Wave 1 households is not covered in figure 1. Original sample persons who moved into another

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>These cells are added for completeness. By definition, these are not successor households.

sample household cannot be linked to their original household and so are treated as if they entered the sample in Wave 2+.

**Use of Household Weights.** Adjustment factor1, adjustment factor 2, and the related data fields are intended to provide the basis for exploratory household and family estimates. For example, by using adjustment factor fields for key persons (in married-couple households, one key person must be selected) with additional variables, estimates pertaining to longitudinal households can be derived for statements equivalent to the following: "During the period from month 'A' to month 'B', there were 'C' households with characteristics 'D'." An example of such a statement would be: "During the period from January to December 1996, there were 'C' households which received food stamps for 10 or more months." All such estimates should be considered exploratory, because the adjustment factors do not explicitly take into account several possible sources of bias, including differential attrition from the sample, with the result that the estimates may, even as national estimates, be subjected to substantial bias. The purpose of including these data fields on the longitudinal panel file is to facilitate analyses that may be useful in developing improved longitudinal household weights. Although the exploratory adjustment factors may be useful for other purposes, the Census Bureau intends that these factors be used for only this one purpose.

Exploratory household (family) estimates can be formed using either adjustment factor 1 or adjustment factor 2. At present, there is insufficient evidence to recommend one factor over the other in any given situation. To form exploratory household (family) estimates, use the <u>adjustment factor</u> deemed appropriate, summing over all households (families) possessing the characteristic of interest. Note that both adjustment factors for a household will remain the same for each month the household exists. Therefore, the appropriate adjustment factor for a household can be taken from any month of a household actually apply to the entire household. As an example of the use of these adjustment factors, suppose one had an independent estimate of the number of households which received food stamps for 10 months or more during 1996 and wanted to compare it to the SIPP estimate. To construct the SIPP estimate, first, using appropriate data fields (e.g., current household type, key person), identify all households which existed for exactly 10, 11, and 12 months during 1995; then sum adjustment factor 2 over all of the identified households which received food stamps for the appropriate time period.

Adjusting Estimates Which use Less than the Full Sample. When estimates for months with less than four rotations worth of data are constructed from a wave file, factors greater than 1 must be applied. However, when core data from consecutive waves are used together, data from all four rotations may be available, in which case the factors are equal to 1.

All four rotation groups of data are not available for reference months December 1995 and December 1999 through February 2000 (see Table 1). (Some of the missing data for months January and February 1996 has been imputed for rotations 3 and 4.) If the time period of interest for a given estimate (of person or household characteristics) includes these months, the estimate

may need to be adjusted in some way to account for the missing rotation groups. For longitudinal estimates (types 1-4), this adjustment factor equals four divided by the number of rotation groups contributing data. For example, if the time period of interest for a given estimate is December 1995, then data will be available only from rotation group 1. Therefore, a factor of 4/1 = 4.0000 will be applied. To estimate the number of people ever unemployed in the fourth quarter of 1999, only data from eleven months is available. Thus, a factor of 1.0370 will be applied. See Table 3 for more information.

Note that, if the given estimate is an average of monthly estimates (estimate type 5), then the number of rotation groups and the factor used will be determined independently for each month in the average and the adjusted monthly estimates will be averaged together in the usual way. For example, to estimate the average number of people unemployed per month in the fourth quarter of 1999, the October, November, and December data will be multiplied by 4/4, 4/4, and 4/3 respectively before being summed together and divided by three.

### ACCURACY OF ESTIMATES

SIPP estimates are based on a sample; they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaire, instructions, and enumerators. There are two types of errors possible in an estimate based on a sample survey: nonsampling and sampling. We are able to provide estimates of the magnitude of SIPP sampling error, but this is not true of nonsampling error. Found in the next sections are descriptions of sources of SIPP nonsampling error, followed by a discussion of sampling error, its estimation, and its effect in data analyses.

Nonsampling Error. Nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources:

- inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample
- definitional difficulties
- differences in the interpretation of questions
- inability or unwillingness on the part of the respondents to provide correct information
- inability to recall information
- errors made in the following: collection such as in recording or coding the data, processing the data, estimating values for missing data
- biases resulting from the differing recall periods caused by the interviewing pattern used
- and undercoverage.

Quality control and edit procedures were used to reduce errors made by respondents, coders and interviewers. More detailed discussions of the existence and control of nonsampling errors in the SIPP can be found in the SIPP Quality Profile, 1998 SIPP Working Paper Number 230, issued May 1999.

Undercoverage in SIPP results from missed living quarters and missed people within sample

households. It is known that undercoverage varies with age, race, and sex. Generally, undercoverage is larger for males than for females and larger for Blacks than for non-Blacks. Ratio estimation to independent age-race-sex population controls partially corrects for the bias due to survey undercoverage. However, biases exist in the estimates to the extent that people in missed households or missed people in interviewed households have characteristics different from those of interviewed people in the same age-race-sex group. Further, the independent population controls used have been adjusted for undercoverage in the Census.

A common measure of survey coverage is the coverage ratio, the estimated population before ratio adjustment divided by the independent population control. For an example of SIPP's coverage, Table A below shows SIPP coverage ratios for age-sex-race groups for Wave 1 weights prior to the final weighting adjustment. The SIPP coverage ratios exhibit some variability from month to month, but these are a typical set of coverage ratios. Other Census Bureau household surveys [like the Current Population Survey] experience similar coverage.

**Comparability with Other Estimates.** Caution should be exercised when comparing data from this with data from other SIPP products or with data from other surveys. The comparability problems are caused by such sources as the seasonal patterns for many characteristics, different nonsampling errors, and different concepts and procedures. Refer to the *SIPP Quality Profile* for known differences with data from other sources and further discussions.

**Sampling Variability.** Standard errors indicate the magnitude of the sampling error. They also partially measure the effect of some nonsampling errors in response and enumeration, but do not measure any systematic biases in the data. The standard errors for the most part measure the variations that occurred by chance because a sample rather than the entire population was surveyed.

	Non-	Black	Bla	ick
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female
15	0.94790	0.93878	0.77388	0.83628
16-17	0.87900	0.88818	0.74473	0.85253
18-19	0.83580	0.81335	0.76188	0.84370
20-21	0.84095	0.81650	0.62468	0.84363
22-24	0.74235	0.85213	0.67483	0.74815
25-29	0.84510	0.85625	0.74248	0.83153
30-34	0.87343	0.91610	0.77153	0.83080
35-39	0.87358	0.93608	0.74283	0.89318
40-44	0.90465	0.96215	0.74238	0.89428
45-49	0.93318	0.97968	0.69553	0.84763
50-54	0.96280	0.90995	0.91898	1.11688
55-59	0.89498	0.91218	0.92643	0.91685
60-61	0.88900	0.98665	0.87120	0.86070
62-64	0.94875	0.98035	0.87120	0.86070
65-69	0.94895	0.94735	0.81555	0.97518
70-74	0.89440	0.97048	0.86628	0.99298
75-79	0.93063	0.98538	0.00000	0.83940
80-84	0.88598	0.93165	0.00000	0.00000
85+	0.87783	0.88435	0.00000	0.00000

 Table A. SIPP Average Coverage Ratios for Wave 1 Weighting - Age by Non-Black/Black

 Status and Sex

#### USES AND COMPUTATION OF STANDARD ERRORS

**Confidence Intervals.** The sample estimate and its standard error enable one to construct confidence intervals, ranges that would include the average result of all possible samples with a known probability. For example, if all possible samples were selected, each of these being surveyed under essentially the same conditions and using the same sample design, and if an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- 1. Approximately 68 percent of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.
- 2. Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.
- 3. Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.

The average estimate derived from all possible samples is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. However, for a particular sample, one can say with a specified confidence that the average estimate derived from all possible samples is included in the confidence interval.

**Hypothesis Testing.** Standard errors may also be used for hypothesis testing, a procedure for distinguishing between population characteristics using sample estimates. The most common types of hypotheses tested are 1) the population characteristics are identical versus 2) they are different. Tests may be performed at various levels of significance, where a level of significance is the probability of concluding that the characteristics are different when, in fact, they are identical.

To perform the most common test, compute the difference  $X_A - X_B$ , where  $X_A$  and  $X_B$  are sample estimates of the characteristics of interest. A later section explains how to derive an estimate of the standard error of the difference  $X_A - X_B$ . Let that standard error be  $S_{DIFF}$ . If  $X_A - X_B$  is between -1.6 times  $S_{DIFF}$  and +1.6 times  $S_{DIFF}$ , no conclusion about the characteristics is justified at the 10 percent significance level. If, on the other hand,  $X_A - X_B$  is smaller than -1.6 times  $S_{DIFF}$  or larger than +1.6 times  $S_{DIFF}$ , the observed difference is significant at the 10 percent level. In this event, it is commonly accepted practice to say that the characteristics are different. We recommend that users report only those differences that are significant at the 10 percent level or better. Of course, sometimes this conclusion will be wrong. When the characteristics are the same, there is a 10 percent chance of concluding that they are different.

Note that as more tests are performed, more erroneous significant differences will occur. For example, at the 10 percent significance level, if 100 independent hypothesis tests are performed in which there are no real differences, it is likely that about 10 erroneous differences will occur.

Therefore, the significance of any single test should be interpreted cautiously.

**Note Concerning Small Estimates and Small Differences.** Because of the large standard errors involved, there is little chance that estimates will reveal useful information when computed on a base smaller than 200,000. Also, nonsampling error in one or more of the small number of cases providing the estimate can cause large relative error in that particular estimate. Care must be taken in the interpretation of small differences since even a small amount of nonsampling error can cause a borderline difference to appear significant or not, thus distorting a seemingly valid hypothesis test.

**Standard Error Parameters and Their Use.** Most SIPP estimates have greater standard errors than those obtained through a simple random sample because PSUs are sampled and clusters of living quarters are sampled for the SIPP in the area and new construction frames. To derive standard errors that would be applicable to a wide variety of estimates and could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. Estimates with similar standard error behavior were grouped together and two parameters (denoted a and b) were developed to approximate the standard error behavior of each group of estimates. Because the actual standard error behavior was not identical for all estimates within a group, the standard error for any specific estimate. These a and b parameters vary by characteristic and by demographic subgroup to which the estimate applies. Table 2 provides base a and b parameters to be used for the 1996 Panel Longitudinal estimates created using either the calendar year and the 12-Wave panel longitudinal weights.

In this section we discuss the adjustment of base "a" and "b" parameters to provide "a" and "b" parameters appropriate for each type of longitudinal and cross-sectional estimate described in the section "Use of Person Weights." Later sections will discuss the use of the adjusted parameters in various formulas to compute standard errors of estimated numbers, percents, averages, etc. Table 2 provide the base "a" and "b" parameters needed to compute the approximate standard errors for estimates using 12-Wave panel or calender year weights. Table 3 provides additional factors to be used for averages of monthly cross-sectional estimates. These factors are needed for two reasons: the monthly estimates are correlated and averaging over a greater number of monthly estimates will produce an average with a smaller standard error. Table 5 gives correlations between quarterly and yearly averages of cross-sectional estimates. These correlations are used in the formula for the standard error of a difference (Formula (9)).

The creation of appropriate "a" and "b" parameters for the previously discussed types of estimates are described below. Again, it is assumed that all four rotation groups are used in estimation. If not, refer to the section "Adjusting Standard Errors of Estimates Which Use Less Than the Full Sample."

1. The number of people who have ever experienced a characteristic during a given time

period.

The appropriate "a" and "b" parameters are taken directly from Table 2. The choice of parameter depends on the weights used, on the characteristic of interest, and on the demographic subgroup of interest.

2. Amount of a characteristic accumulated by people during a given time period.

The appropriate "b" parameters are also taken directly from Table 2.

3. The average number of consecutive months of possession of a characteristic per spell (i.e., the average spell length for a characteristic) during a given time period.

Start with the appropriate base "a" and "b" parameters from Table 2. The parameters are then inflated by an additional factor, g, to account for people who experience multiple spells during the time period of interest. This factor is computed by:

$$g = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}}, \qquad (1)$$

where there are n people with at least one spell and  $m_i$  is the number of spells experienced by person I during the time period of interest.

4. The number of month-to-month changes in the status of a characteristic (i.e., number of transitions) summed over every set of two consecutive months during the time period of interest.

Obtain a set of adjusted "a" and "b" parameters exactly as just described in 3, then multiply these parameters by an additional factor. Use 1.0000 if the time period of interest is two months and 2.0000 for a longer time period. (The factor of 2.0000 is based on the conservative assumption that each spell produces two transitions within the time period of interest.)

5. Monthly estimates of a characteristic averaged over a number of consecutive months.

Appropriate base "a" and "b" parameters are taken from Table 2. If more than one longitudinal weight has been used in the monthly average, then there is a choice of parameters from Table 2. Choose the table which gives the largest parameter. Next multiply the base "a" and "b" parameters by the factor from Table 3 corresponding to the

number of months in the average.

Adjusting Standard Error Parameters for Estimates which Use Less Than the Full Sample. If some rotation groups are unavailable to contribute data to a given estimate, then the estimate and its standard error need to be adjusted. The adjustment of the estimate is described in a previous section. The standard error of a longitudinal estimates (type 1-4) is adjusted by multiplying the appropriate "a" and "b" parameters by a factor equal to four divided by the number of rotation groups contributing data to the estimate. Note that the parameters for the standard error of an average must still be adjusted according to this rule, even though the average itself is unaffected by the adjustment for missing rotation groups.

For the standard error of cross-sectional estimates which cover only one month, the factor can be computed as just described or it can be taken from Table 3 where the factor is given for each single reference month, January 1996 to March 2000. For the standard error of quarterly averages of month estimates which use less than the full sample, special factors are used, also given in Table 3 for the first quarter of 1996 to the first quarter of 2000.

**Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers**. The approximate standard error,  $s_x$ , of an estimated number of people may be obtained by using the formula:

$$s_x = \sqrt{ax^2 + bx} \tag{2}$$

Here x is the size of the estimate and a and b are the parameters associated with the particular type of characteristic being estimated. Note that this method should not be applied to dollar values.

Illustration.

Suppose the SIPP estimate of the number of people ever receiving Social Security during the first three months of 1998 is 38,122,000. (This estimate is obtained using the 12-Wave panel weight.) The appropriate "a" and "b" parameters to use in calculating a standard error for the estimate are obtained from Table 2. They are a = -0.00003359, b = 7,135, respectively. Using Formula (2), the approximate standard error is

$$\sqrt{(-0.00003359)(38,122,000)^2 + (7,135)(38,122,000)} = 472,424 \ persons$$

The 90-percent confidence interval as shown by the data is from 37,344,863 to 38,899,137. Therefore, a conclusion that the average estimate derived from all possible samples lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 90 percent of all samples. Similarly, the 95-percent confidence interval as shown by the data is from 37,196,049 to 39,047,951 and we could conclude that the average estimate derived from all possible samples lies within this

interval.

**Standard Error of a Mean.** A mean is defined here to be the average quantity of some item (other than people, families, or households) per person. For example, it could be the annual household income of females age 25 to 34. The standard error of a mean can be approximated by Formula (3) below. Because of the approximations used in developing Formula (3), an estimate of the standard error of the mean obtained from this formula will generally underestimate the true standard error. The formula used to estimate the standard error of a mean  $\overline{x}$  is

$$s_{\overline{x}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{b}{y}\right)s^2} \tag{3}$$

where y is the size of the base,  $s^2$  is the estimated population variance of the item and b is the parameter associated with the particular type of item.

The population variance  $s^2$  may be estimated by one of two methods. In both methods, we assume  $x_i$  is the value of the item for unit "I." (Unit may be person, family, or household). To use the first method, the range of values for the item is divided into "c" intervals. The upper and lower boundaries of interval j are  $Z_{j-1}$  and  $Z_j$ , respectively. Each unit is placed into one of "c" groups such that  $Z_{j-1} < x_i < Z_j$ .

The estimated population mean,  $\overline{x}$ , and variance,  $s^2$ , are given by the formulas:

$$\overline{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{c} p_{j} m_{j}$$

$$s^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{c} p_{j} m_{j}^{2} - \overline{x}^{2},$$
(4)

where  $p_j$  is the estimated proportion of units in group j, and  $m_j = (Z_{j-1} + Z_j)/2$ . The most representative value of the item in group j is assumed to be  $m_j$ . If group "c" is open-ended, or there exists no upper interval boundary, then an approximate value for  $m_c$  is

$$m_c = \frac{3}{2} Z_{c-1}$$
.

In the second method, the estimated population mean,  $\overline{x}$ , and variance,  $s^2$ , are given by the formulas

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}$$

$$s^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} - \bar{x}^{2},$$
(5)

where there are n units with the item of interest and  $w_i$  is the final weight for unit "I" (note that

$$\sum w_i = y$$
).

#### Illustration of Method 1.

Suppose that the 1997 distribution of annual incomes is given in Table 4 for people aged 25 to 34 who were employed for all 12 months of 1997.

The mean annual cash income from following formula is

$$\overline{x} = \frac{1,371}{39,851}(2,500) + \frac{1,651}{39,851}(6,250) + \dots + \frac{1,493}{39,851}(105,000) = \$26,717.$$

Using Formula (4) and the mean annual cash income of 26,717 the estimated population variance,  $s^2$ , is

$$s^{2} = \frac{1,371}{39,851}(2,500)^{2} + \frac{1,651}{39,851}(6,250)^{2} + ... + \frac{1,493}{39,851}(105,000)^{2} - (26,717)^{2} = 468,331,633.$$

The appropriate "b" parameter from Table 2 is 5,208. Now, using Formula (3), the estimated standard error of the mean is

$$s_{\overline{x}} = \sqrt{\frac{5,208}{39,851,000}} (468,331,633) = $247$$

<u>Illustration of Method 2</u>.

Suppose that we are interested in estimating the average length of spells of food stamp recipiency during the calendar year 1997 for a given subpopulation. Also, suppose there are only 10 sample

people in the subpopulation who were food stamp recipients. (This example is a hypothetical situation used for illustrative purposes only; actually, 10 sample cases would be too few for a reliable estimate and their weights could be substantially different from those given.) The number of consecutive months of food stamp recipiency during 1997 and the12-Wave panel weights are given below for each sample person:

Sample <u>Person</u>	Spell Length (in months)	Final <u>Weight</u>
1	4,3	5,300
2	5	7,100
3	9	4,900
4	3,3,2	6,500
5	12	9,200
6	12	5,900
7	4,1	7,600
8	7	4,200
9	6	5,500
10	4	5,700

Using the following formula, the average spell of food stamp recipiency is estimated to be

$$\overline{x} = \frac{(5300)(4) + (5300)(3) + \dots + (5700)(4)}{5300 + 5300 + \dots + 5700}$$
$$= 473,100/87,800$$
$$= 5.4 \text{ months}$$

The standard error will be computed by Formula (3). First, the estimated population variance can be obtained by Formula (5):

$$s^{2} = \frac{(5300)(4)^{2} + (5300)(3)^{2} + \dots + (5700)(4)^{2}}{5300 + 5300 + \dots + 5700} - (5.4)^{2}$$
$$= 12.4 \ (months)^{2}$$

Next, the base "b" parameter of 7,574 is taken from Table 2 and multiplied by the factor computed from Formula (1):

$$g = \frac{2^2 + 1 + 1 + 3^2 + 1 + 1 + 2^2 + 1 + 1 + 1}{2 + 1 + 1 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1}$$
$$= 1.71$$

Therefore, the final "b" parameter is 12,952, and the standard error of the mean is:

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{12,952}{87,800}}$$
 (12.4) = 1.35 months

**Standard error of an Aggregate.** An aggregate is defined to be the total quantity of an item summed over all the units in a group. The standard error of an aggregate can be approximated using Formula (6).

As with the estimate of the standard error of a mean, the estimate of the standard error of an aggregate will generally underestimate the true standard error. Let y be the size of the base,  $s^2$  be the estimated population variance of the item obtained using Formula (4) or Formula (5) and b be the parameter associated with the particular type of item. The standard error of an aggregate is:

$$s_x = \sqrt{(b)(y)s^2} \tag{6}$$

**Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages.** The reliability of an estimated percentage, computed using sample data for both numerator and denominator, depends upon both the size of the percentage and the size of the total upon which the percentage is based. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the percentages, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or more, e.g., the percent of people employed is more reliable than the estimated number of people employed. When the numerator

and denominator of the percentage have different parameters, use the parameter (and appropriate factor) of the numerator. If proportions are presented instead of percentages, note that the standard error of a proportion is equal to the standard error of the corresponding percentage divided by 100.

There are two types of percentages commonly estimated. The first is the percentage of people sharing a particular characteristic such as the percent of people owning their own home. The second type is the percentage of money or some similar concept held by a particular group of people or held in a particular form. Examples are the percent of total wealth held by people with high income and the percent of total income received by people on welfare.

For the percentage of people, the approximate standard error,  $s_{(x,p)}$ , of the estimated percentage p may be approximated by the formula

$$s_{(x,p)} = \sqrt{\frac{b}{x}} (p)(100-p).$$
 (7)

Here x is the size of the subclass of social units which is the base of the percentage, p is the percentage (0 ), and <math>b is the parameter associated with the characteristic in the numerator.

#### <u>Illustration</u>.

Suppose that using the 4-Wave weight, it was estimated that 46,023,000 males were employed in July 1996 and an estimated 2.4 percent of them became unemployed in August 1996. The base "b" parameter is 4,384 (from Table 2). Using Formula (7) and the appropriate "b" parameter, the approximate standard error is

$$\sqrt{\frac{(4,384)}{(46,023,000)}}$$
 (2.4)(100-2.4) = 0.15 percent.

Consequently, the 90-percent confidence interval as shown by these data is from 2.16 to 2.64 percent.

For percentages of money, a more complicated formula is required. A percentage of money will usually be estimated in one of two ways. It may be the ratio of two aggregates:

$$p_I = 100 (x_A / x_N)$$

or it may be the ratio of two means with an adjustment for different bases:

$$p_{I} = 100 (\hat{p}_{A} \, \bar{x}_{A} \, / \, \bar{x}_{N})$$

where  $x_A$  and  $x_N$  are aggregate money figures,  $\overline{x}_A$  and  $\overline{x}_N$  are mean money figures, and  $\hat{p}_A$  is the estimated number in group A divided by the estimated number in group N. In either case, we estimate the standard error as

$$s_{I} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\hat{p}_{A}\bar{x}_{A}}{\bar{x}_{N}}\right)^{2} \left[\left(\frac{s_{p}}{\hat{p}_{A}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{s_{A}}{\bar{x}_{A}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{s_{B}}{\bar{x}_{N}}\right)^{2}\right]}, \qquad (8)$$

where  $s_p$  is the standard error of  $\hat{p}_A$ ,  $s_A$  is the standard error of  $\bar{x}_A$  and  $s_B$  is the standard error of  $\bar{x}_N$ . To calculate  $s_p$ , use Formula (7). The standard errors of  $\bar{x}_N$  and  $\bar{x}_A$  may be calculated using Formula (3).

It should be noted that there is frequently some correlation between  $\hat{p}_A$ ,  $\bar{x}_N$  and  $\bar{x}_A$ . Depending on the magnitude and sign of the correlations, the standard error will be over or underestimated.

#### Illustration.

Suppose that in October 1997 an estimated 8.8% of males 16 years and over were black, the mean monthly earnings of these black males was \$1288, the mean monthly earnings of all males 16 years and over was \$1911, and the corresponding standard errors are .28%, \$36, and \$27. Then, the percent of male earnings made by blacks in October 1997 is:

$$p_M = .088 \left(\frac{1288}{1911}\right) x \ 100$$
  
= 5.9%

.

Using Formula (8), the approximate standard error is:

$$s_{M} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{(.088)(1288)}{1911}\right)^{2} \left[\left(\frac{.0028}{.0880}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{36}{1288}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{27}{1911}\right)^{2}\right]}$$
$$= 0.26\%$$

**Standard Error of a Difference.** The standard error of a difference between two sample estimates is approximately equal to

$$s_{(x-y)} = \sqrt{s_x^2 + s_y^2 - r s_x s_y} , \qquad (9)$$

where  $s_x$  and  $s_y$  are the standard errors of the estimates x and y. The estimates can be numbers, percents, ratios, etc. The correlation between x and y is represented by r. Some correlations are given in Table 5. The above formula assumes that the correlation coefficient between the characteristics estimated by x and y is non-zero. If no correlations has been provided for a given set of x and y estimates, assume r = 0. However, if the correlation is really positive (negative), then this assumption will tend to cause overestimates (underestimates) of the true standard error.

#### Illustration.

Suppose that SIPP estimates show the number of people age 35-44 years with annual cash income of \$50,000 to \$59,999 was 3,186,000 in 1997 and the number of people age 25-34 years with annual cash income of \$50,000 to \$59,999 in the same time period was 2,619,000. Then, using parameters from Table 2 and Formula (2), the standard errors of these numbers are approximately 149,184 and 135,449, respectively. The difference in sample estimates is 567,000 and using Formula (9), the approximate standard error of the difference is

$$\sqrt{(149,184)^2 + (135,449)^2} = 201,500$$
.

Suppose that it is desired to test at the 10 percent significance level whether the number of people with annual cash income of \$50,000 to \$59,999 was different for people age 35-44 years than for people age 25-34 years. To perform the test, compare the difference of 567,000 to the product 1.6 \* 201,500 = 322,400. Since the difference is larger than 1.6 times the standard error of the difference, the data show that the two age groups are significantly different at the 10 percent significance level

**Standard Error of a Median.** The median quantity of some item such as income for a given group of people is that quantity such that at least half the group have as much or more and at least half the group have as much or less. The sampling variability of an estimated median depends upon the form of the distribution of the item as well as the size of the group. To calculate standard errors on medians, the procedure described below may be used.

The median, like the mean, can be estimated using either data which has been grouped into intervals or ungrouped data. If grouped data are used, the median is estimated using Formulas (10) or (11) with p = 0.5. If ungrouped data are used, the data records are ordered based on the value of the characteristic, then the estimated median is the value of the characteristic such that the weighted estimate of 50 percent of the subpopulation falls at or below that value and 50 percent is at or above that value. Note that the method of standard error computation which is presented here requires the use of grouped data. Therefore, it should be easier to compute the

median by grouping the data and using Formulas (10) or (11).

An approximate method for measuring the reliability of an estimated median is to determine a confidence interval about it. (See the section on sampling variability for a general discussion of confidence intervals.) The following procedure may be used to estimate the 68-percent confidence limits and hence the standard error of a median based on sample data.

- 1. Determine, using Formula (7), the standard error of an estimate of 50 percent of the group.
- 2. Add to and subtract from 50 percent the standard error determined in step 1.
- 3. Using the distribution of the item within the group, calculate the quantity of the item such that the percent of the group with more of the item is equal to the smaller percentage found in step 2. This quantity will be the upper limit for the 68-percent confidence interval. In a similar fashion, calculate the quantity of the item such that the percent of the group with more of the item is equal to the larger percentage found in step 2. This quantity will be the larger percentage found in step 2. This quantity will be the larger percentage found in step 2. This quantity will be the lower limit for the 68-percent confidence interval.
- 4. Divide the difference between the two quantities determined in step 3 by two to obtain the standard error of the median.

To perform step 3, it will be necessary to interpolate. Different methods of interpolation may be used. The most common are simple linear interpolation and Pareto interpolation. The appropriateness of the method depends on the form of the distribution around the median. If density is declining in the area, then we recommend Pareto interpolation. If density is fairly constant in the area, then we recommend linear interpolation. Note, however, that Pareto interpolation can never be used if the interval contains zero or negative measures of the item of interest. Interpolation is used as follows. The quantity of the item such that p percent have more of the item is

$$X_{pN} = exp\left[\left(Ln\left(\frac{pN}{N_{I}}\right) / Ln\left(\frac{N_{2}}{N_{I}}\right)\right) Ln\left(\frac{A_{2}}{A_{I}}\right)\right]A_{I}.$$
(10)

if Pareto Interpolation is indicated and

$$X_{pN} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{pN - N_{I}}{N_{2} - N_{I}} & (A_{2} - A_{I}) + A_{I} \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

if linear interpolation is indicated, where

N	is the size of the group,
$A_1$ and $A_2$	are the lower and upper bounds, respectively, of the interval in which $X_{pN}$ falls,
$N_1$ and $N_2$	are the estimated number of group members owning more than $A_1$ and $A_2$ , respectively,
exp	refers to the exponential function and
Ln	refers to the natural logarithm function

#### Illustration.

To illustrate the calculations for the sampling error on a median, we return to Table 4. The median annual income for this group is \$18,318. The size of the group is 39,851,000.

- 1. Using Formula (7), the standard error of 50 percent on a base of 39,851,000 is about 0.67 percentage points.
- 2. Following step 2, the two percentages of interest are 49.33 and 50.67.
- 3. By examining Table 4, we see that the percentage 49.33 falls in the income interval from 17,500 to 19,999. (Since 55.5% receive more than \$17,500 per month, the dollar value corresponding to 49.33 must be between \$17,500 and \$19,999). Thus,  $A_1 = $17,500$ ,  $A_2 = $19,999$ ,  $N_1 = 22,106,000$ , and  $N_2 = 16,307,000$ .

In this case, we decided to use Pareto interpolation. Therefore, the upper bound of a 68% confidence interval for the median is

$$17,500 \exp \left[ \left( Ln \left( \frac{(.4933)(39,851,000)}{22,106,000} \right) / Ln \left( \frac{16,307,000}{22,106,000} \right) \right) Ln \left( \frac{19,999}{17,500} \right) \right] = 18,425$$

Also by examining Table 4, we see that 50.57 falls in the same income interval. Thus,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $N_1$ , and  $N_2$  are the same. We also use Pareto interpolation for this case. So the lower bound of a 68% confidence interval for the median is

$$17,500 \exp \left[ \left( Ln \left( \frac{(.5067)(39,851,000)}{22,106,000} \right) / Ln \left( \frac{16,307,000}{22,106,000} \right) \right) Ln \left( \frac{19,999}{17,500} \right) \right] = 18,209$$
.

Thus, the 68-percent confidence interval on the estimated median is from \$18,226 to \$18,409. An approximate standard error is

$$\frac{\$18,425 - \$18,209}{2} = \$108.00$$

Standard Errors of Ratios of Means and Medians. The standard error for a ratio of means or medians is approximated by:

$$s_{\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{s_y}{y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{s_x}{x}\right)^2\right]}$$
(12)

where x and y are the means or medians, and  $s_x$  and  $s_y$  are their associated standard errors. Formula (12) assumes that the means are not correlated. If the correlation between the population means estimated by x and y are actually positive (negative), then this procedure will tend to produce overestimates (underestimates) of the true standard error for the ratio of means.

**Standard Errors Using SAS or SPSS.** Standard errors and their associated variance, calculated by SAS or SPSS statistical software package, do not accurately reflect the SIPP's complex sample design. Erroneous conclusions will result if these standard errors are used directly. We provide adjustment factors by characteristics that should be used to correctly compensate for likely under-estimates. The factors called DEFF available in Table 2, must be applied to SAS or SPSS generated variances. The square root of DEFF can be directly applied to similarly generated standard errors. These factors approximate design effects which adjust statistical measures for sample designs more complex than simple random sample.

							19	96								19	997		-					19	998			1999					2000				
		1 <sup>s</sup>	' Qua	rter	2 <sup>n</sup>	' Quar	rter	3rd	Qua	rter	4 <sup>th</sup>	Quarte	r	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	2	<sup>1d</sup> Quarter	3'	<sup>rd</sup> Quarter	4	I <sup>th</sup> Quarter	1 <sup>st</sup> (	Quarter	2 <sup>nd</sup> (	)uarter	3 <sup>rd</sup> (	Quarter	4 <sup>rt</sup>	<sup>h</sup> Quarter	1 <sup>st</sup> (	Quarter	2	<sup>nd</sup> Quar	rter	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	4th Quarte	er	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter
Month of	Wave/ Rotation	Jan	Feb	Mar	Арі	· May	Jun	July	Aug	Spt	Oct	Nov D	ec	Jan Feb Mar	r Ap	r May Jur	Jul	y Aug Spt	0	ct Nov Dec	Jan	Feb Mar	Apr N	/lay Jun	July	Aug Spt	Oct	t Nov Dec	Jan	Feb Ma	r Ap	or May	Jun	July Aug Spt	Oct Nov I	)ec J	lan Feb Mai
Apr 96	1/1	2	3	4																																	
May	1/2	1	2	3	4																																
Jun	1/3		1	2	3	4																															
July	1/4			1	2	3	4																														
Aug	2/1				1	2	3	4																													
Sept	2/2					1	2	3	4																												
Oct	2/3						1	2	3	4																											
Nov	2/4	_						1	2	3	4		_		_				_												_						
Dec	3/1								1	2	3	4																									
Jan 97	3/2									1	2	3	4																								
Feb	3/3										1	2	3	4																							
Mar	3/4											1	2	3 4																	_						
Apr	4/1												1	2 3 4																							
May	4/2													1 2 3	4																						
Jun	4/3													1 2	3	4																					
July	4/4	_									_		_	1	2	3 4	_		_								_				_						
Aug	5/1														1	2 3	4																				
Sept	5/2															1 2	3	4																			
Oct	5/3															1	2	3 4																			
Nov	5/4	_											_		_		1	2 3	4	1							_				_						
Dec	6/1																	1 2	3	3 4																	
Jan 98	6/2																	1	2	2 3 4																	
Feb	6/3																		1	1 2 3	4																
Mar	6/4	-									-		-		+					1 2	3	4									+-					-	
Apr	7/1																			1	2	3 4															
May	7/2																				1	2 3	4														
Jun	7/3																					1 2	3	4													
July	9/1	_									_		-		-				-			I	2	3 4	4		_				_			-			
Aug	8/1																						1	2 3	4	4											
Oct	8/2																							1 2	2	4											
Nov	8/3																							1	1	3 4	4										
Dee	8/4	-									-		-		+		-		1						1	2 3	2	4			-					-	
Jan 00	9/2																									1 2	2	3 1									
Fab	9/2																									1	1	2 3	4								
Mar	9/4																										1	1 2	3	4							
Anr	10/1	-									-				+													1	2	3 4	+					-	
May	10/1																												1	2 3	4						
Jun	10/2																												-	1 2	3	4					
July	10/4																													1	2	3	4				
Aug	11/1	$\uparrow$			t –						$\square$								T		1		1		1		$\vdash$				1	2	3	4			
Sept	11/2	1									1																1					1	2	3 4			
Oct	11/3				1						1												I		I								1	2 3 4			
Nov	11/4				1						1												I		I									1 2 3	4		
Dec	12/1	$\uparrow$			t –						$\square$								T		1		1		1		$\vdash$				1			1 2	3 4		
Jan 00	12/2	1									1																1							1	2 3	4	
Feb	12/3				1						1												I		I										1 2	3	4
Mar	12/4	1									1																1								1	2	3 4

Characteristics	Parameter	8	
Individuals	а	b	DEFF
Poverty and Program Participation	-0.00002601	5321	2.30
Male	-0.00005400	5321	2.30
Female	-0.00005018	5321	2.30
Income and Labor Force	-0.00002143	4384	1.89
Male	-0.00004449	4384	1.89
Female	-0.00004134	4384	1.89
Other (Person) Items	-0.00002539	6710	2.90
Male	-0.00005197	6710	2.90
Female	-0.00004965	6710	2.90
Black (Person) Items	-0.00017273	5783	2.50
Male	-0.00037288	5783	2.50
Female	-0.00032181	5783	2.50
Hispanic (Person) Items	-0.00030014	6603	2.85
Male	-0.00059096	6603	2.85
Female	-0.00060989	6603	2.85
Metro/NonMetro (Person) Items	-0.00004967	13126	5.67
Male	-0.00010167	13126	5.67
Female	-0.00009712	13126	5.67
Households			
Total or White	-0.00002992	2979	1.29
Black	-0.00023299	2687	1.16
Hispanic	-0.00045000	3295	1.42
Metro/NonMetro	-0.00010904	10855	4.69

Characteristics	Parameter	S	
Individuals	а	b	DEFF
Poverty and Program Participation	-0.00003105	6556	2.83
Male	-0.00006492	6556	2.83
Female	-0.00005951	6556	2.83
Income and Labor Force	-0.00002467	5208	2.25
Male	-0.00005157	5208	2.25
Female	-0.00004728	5208	2.25
Other (Person) Items	-0.00002929	7960	3.44
Male	-0.00006033	7960	3.44
Female	-0.00005691	7960	3.44
Black (Person) Items	-0.00020959	7208	3.11
Male	-0.00046130	7208	3.11
Female	-0.00038410	7208	3.11
Hispanic (Person) Items	-0.00037465	8534	3.69
Male	-0.00073641	8534	3.69
Female	-0.00076264	8534	3.69
Metro/NonMetro (Person) Items	-0.00003732	10144	4.38
Male	-0.00007689	10144	4.38
Female	-0.00007253	10144	4.38
Households			
Total or White	-0.00003434	3584	1.55
Black	-0.00028487	3613	1.56
Hispanic	-0.00056859	4407	1.90
Metro/NonMetro	-0.00010919	11396	4.92

Characteristics	Parameter	S	
Individuals	a	b	DEFF
Poverty and Program Participation	-0.00003359	7135	3.08
Male	-0.00007014	7135	3.08
Female	-0.00006444	7135	3.08
Income and Labor Force	-0.00002699	5733	2.48
Male	-0.00005636	5733	2.48
Female	-0.00005178	5733	2.48
Other (Person) Items	-0.00003298	9013	3.89
Male	-0.00006790	9013	3.89
Female	-0.00006411	9013	3.89
Black (Person) Items	-0.00023772	8338	3.60
Male	-0.00051916	8338	3.60
Female	-0.00043853	8338	3.60
Hispanic (Person) Items	-0.00046031	10487	4.53
Male	-0.00090302	10487	4.53
Female	-0.00093890	10487	4.53
Metro/NonMetro (Person) Items	-0.00003975	10864	4.69
Male	-0.00008185	10864	4.69
Female	-0.00007728	10864	4.69
Households			
Total or White	-0.00003811	4024	1.74
Black	-0.00032374	4254	1.84
Hispanic	-0.00065452	5083	2.20
Metro/NonMetro	-0.00014228	15021	6.49

Characteristics	Parameter	<b>S</b>	
Individuals	а	b	DEFF
Poverty and Program Participation	-0.00003700	7968	3.44
Male	-0.00007731	7968	3.44
Female	-0.00007094	7968	3.44
Income and Labor Force	-0.00002802	6035	2.61
Male	-0.00005856	6035	2.61
Female	-0.00005373	6035	2.61
Other (Person) Items	-0.00003449	9542	4.12
Male	-0.00007106	9542	4.12
Female	-0.00006701	9542	4.12
Black (Person) Items	-0.00026310	9422	4.07
Male	-0.00057885	9422	4.07
Female	-0.00048234	9422	4.07
Hispanic (Person) Items	-0.00044507	10427	4.51
Male	-0.00088223	10427	4.51
Female	-0.00089822	10427	4.51
Metro/NonMetro (Person) Items	-0.00004131	11430	4.94
Male	-0.00008513	11430	4.94
Female	-0.00008027	11430	4.94
Households			
Total or White	-0.00004037	4330	1.87
Black	-0.00036425	4938	2.13
Hispanic	-0.00061288	4907	2.12
Metro/NonMetro	-0.00012890	13826	5.97

Characteristics	Parameter		
Individuals	a	b	DEFF
Poverty and Program Participation	-0.00003702	7574	3.27
Male	-0.00007686	7574	3.27
Female	-0.00007143	7574	3.27
Income and Labor Force	-0.00003469	7096	3.07
Male	-0.00007201	7096	3.07
Female	-0.00006692	7096	3.07
Other (Person) Items	-0.00003578	9455	4.09
Male	-0.00007323	9455	4.09
Female	-0.00006996	9455	4.09
Black (Person) Items	-0.00028459	9511	4.11
Male	-0.00061160	9511	4.11
Female	-0.00053225	9511	4.11
Hispanic (Person) Items	-0.00042186	9525	4.12
Male	-0.00082758	9525	4.12
Female	-0.00086048	9525	4.12
Metro/NonMetro (Person) Items	-0.00005597	14789	6.39
Male	-0.00011455	14789	6.39
Female	-0.00010943	14789	6.39
Households			
Total or White	-0.00004360	4340	1.88
Black	-0.00038742	4468	1.93
Hispanic	-0.00064660	4795	2.07
Metro/NonMetro	-0.00014789	14723	6.36

Table 2: SIPP Generalized Variance Parameters for the Entire 12-Wave Panel

# of available rotation months <sup>4</sup>	Factor			
Monthly estimate				
1	4.0000			
2	2.0000			
3	1.3333			
4	1.0000			
Quarterly estimate				
6	1.8519			
8	1.4074			
9	1.2222			
10	1.0494			
11	1.0370			

# Table 3: Factors to be Applied to Table 2 Base Parameters to Obtain Parameters for<br/>Various Reference Periods

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The number of available rotation months for a given estimate is the sum of the number of rotations available for each month of the estimate.

Intervals of Annual Cash Income	Total	under \$5000	\$5000 to \$7499	\$7500 to \$9999	\$10000 to \$12,499	\$12,500 to \$14,999	\$15,000 to \$17,499	\$17,500 to \$19,999	\$20,000 to \$29,999	\$30,000 to \$39,999	\$40,000 to \$49,999	\$50,000 to \$59,999	\$60,000 to \$69,999	\$70,000 and over
Mid-intervals of Annual Cash Income		2,500	6250	8750	11,250	13,750	16,250	18,750	25,000	35,000	45,000	55,000	65,000	105,000
Thousands in interval	39,851	1,371	1,651	2,259	2,734	3,452	6,278	5,799	4,730	3,723	2,519	2,619	1,223	1,493
Cumulative with at least as much as lower bound of interval		39,851	38,480	36,829	34,570	31,836	28,384	22,106	16,307	11,577	7,854	5,335	2,716	1,493
Percent with at least as much as lower bound of interval		100.0	96.6	92.4	86.7	79.9	71.2	55.5	40.9	29.1	19.7	13.4	6.8	3.7

## Table 4: Hypothetical Distribution of Annual Income Among People 25 to 34 Years Old

## Table 5:Correlations between Estimates of the Same Characteristic at Two Points of Time.<br/>Both Estimates must be Monthly Estimates Averaged over Quarters or Years

Quarterly Estimates							
	Consecutive	1 Quarter	2 Quarters	3 Quarters	Calendar Year Estimates		
	Quarters	Apart	Apart	Apart	<u>1996 to 1997</u>		
INDIVIDUALS							
A. Both Estimates Crea	ated Using The Same V	Veight, Either 4 Wav	e, 7 Wave, or 10 Wave	Weights			
Income							
Social Security or Private Pensions	0.97	0.86	0.75				
Other	0.83	0.73	0.62				
Other	0.72	0.63	0.54				
B. One Estimate Created Weight	Using An Annual Wei	ght While The Other	Estimate Is Created Us	sing A Different Annual			
Income							
Social Security or Private Pensions	0.81	0.72	0.63	0.55	0.70		
Other	0.70	0.61	0.52	0.44	0.56		
Other	0.60	0.53	0.45	0.37	0.49		
C. Both Estimates Cr	reated Using The 12 W	ave (or Panel) Weigh	t				
Income Social							
Private							
Pensions	0.97	0.86	0.75	0.65	0.83		
Other	0.83	0.73	0.62	0.53	0.67		
Other	0.72	0.63	0.54	0.46	0.58		