



# **The impact of the originate-to-distribute model on banks before and during the financial crisis**

Richard J. Rosen

Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

The views expressed here are my own and may not represent those of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago or the Federal Reserve System.

# Mortgage sales and the OTD model

I examine the role of mortgage sales by banks.


- Banks often sell the mortgages they originate, but only 5-10 commercial banks issue bonds backed by mortgages (that is, securitize mortgages).
- I focus on mortgage sales by the originating bank.

Banks may sell loans as a separate business.


- The originate-to-distribute (OTD) model is where banks originate loans never intending to hold them in portfolio. The objective is to sell the loans and earn fee income.
- The OTD model is made easier by securitization, but banks that sell loans need not be ‘securitizers.’

# Loan sales vs. securitization

*Bank securitizes its own loans*



*Bank sells loans which others securitize*



# Data

To test the use and impact of mortgage sales I use:

- Info on mortgage sales: HMDA
- Balance sheet data: Call Reports
- Stock market data: CRSP

I initially focus on banks in the 1996-2006 period before the start of the financial crisis.

- The sample is limited to banks with some mortgage activity.
- Banks also must have traded stock (so most small banks are not in the sample).
- The final sample has 460 banks (using parent level for banks; unbalanced panel).


## Key mortgage flow data

Measure of mortgage sales:

- $SOLD = \text{mortgages sold} / \text{total assets of the bank}$

	<u>Mean</u>	<u>Median</u>
Sold mortgages (\$ billions)	2.42	0.11
SOLD (sold mortgages/TA)	0.12	0.04
Share of mortgages that are sold	0.45	0.47

# Purchase vs. refinancing



## Data on mortgages (Table 1): mortgage flow / total assets

Ranked by SOLD:	SOLD	PURCH SOLD	REFI SOLD	PORT	TA (\$ bil)	STK RET NET
Below median	1.99%	0.82%	1.17%	3.62%	29.90	2.26%
Median-75 <sup>th</sup> pctile	6.76%	2.86%	3.90%	3.72%	39.80	3.72%
75-90 <sup>th</sup> pctile	14.48%	6.30%	8.18%	4.57%	13.60	3.71%
90-100 <sup>th</sup> pctile	67.75%	32.34%	35.45%	5.77%	29.80	5.95%
Sample mean	11.71%	5.34%	6.38%	4.01%	28.60	3.22%

## Effect of mortgage flow on bank portfolios (Tables 3-4)

An increase in mortgage sales (SOLD) leads to:

- A minimal increase in residential loans on balance sheet, consistent with a pipeline effect.
- No significant impact on future non-performing loans.
- Little impact on non-mortgage assets (except MBS, which fall).

An increase in mortgages issued and held in portfolio (PORT) leads to:

- An increase in residential loans on balance sheet.
- A reduction in non-mortgage asset portfolio shares as expected, with the reductions not concentrated in any one asset class.



## Effect of mortgage sales on profit (Table 5)

VARIABLES	(1) ΔSTK RET	(2) ΔSTK RET
ΔSOLD	0.217***	
ΔPORT	-0.291	
ΔPURCH SOLD		-0.054
ΔREFI SOLD		0.279***
ΔPURCH PORT		-0.379
ΔREFI PORT		0.207
ΔSECUR	0.287	0.290

## Effect of mortgage sales on profit

Sold mortgages increase profit, but this increase is due to refis.

- Do some banks use refi booms to increase market share?
  - If so, market share gains should be mostly temporary.

## Are increases in refi mortgage sales permanent? (Table 7)

	REFI SOLD	PURCH SOLD	REFI PORT	PURCH PORT
1-year persistence	0.837	0.907	0.760	0.885
2-year persistence	0.573	0.855	0.591	0.775
3-year persistence	-0.365	0.755	0.466	0.924
4-year persistence	-0.160	0.960	0.480	0.968

## Do loans in refi booms look different from loans in other periods? (Table 8)

VARIABLES	(1) REFI AMT/INC	(2) REFI AMT/INC	(3) PURCH AMT/INC	(4) PURCH AMT/INC
REFI BOOM	0.123		-0.000	
REFI BOOM TOP 10		0.383***		0.133
REFI BOOM BOT 90		0.098		-0.013

# **The financial crisis, the OTD model, and bank profit: What goes up must come down?**

I examine stock returns for the crisis (2007-2008) and compare them to stock returns for the pre-crisis period (2001-2006).

Crisis could reduce equity value of OTD banks because:

- Loss of new business
- Pipeline loan losses
- Clawbacks

## Changes in profit as a function of mortgages sales ranked over 2001-2006 (Table 9B)

	2007-2008 stock return		2001-2006 stock return	
	0-90 <sup>th</sup> pct	90 <sup>th</sup> – 100 <sup>th</sup> pct	0-90 <sup>th</sup> pct	90 <sup>th</sup> – 100 <sup>th</sup> pct
PURCH SOLD	-0.47%	-0.10%	-10.51%	-39.60%
REFI SOLD	-1.07%	-29.43%	8.21%	64.50%
Memo: Stock return	-22.97%	-46.72%		

## Conclusions

- The impact of mortgage sales on banks are consistent with the OTD model.
- The OTD model is easily scalable, and the evidence is consistent with some banks temporarily scaling up for refi booms.
- The increase in profit for banks from mortgage sales during the pre-crisis period was largely offset by losses during the crisis.
  - Gains and losses associated with mortgage sales were small for most banks (in absolute size and relative to overall gains and losses), but not for the banks most concentrated in mortgage sales.