

New St. George Municipal Airport Replacement airport commissioned January 13, 2011 Photo courtesy of City of St. George, Utah Dave Becker, photographer





NORTHWEST MOUNTAIN REGIONAL AIRPORT PLAN - 2011

Denver ADO 26805 E. 68th Ave. Suite 224 Denver, CO 80249 (303) 342-1254 (303) 342-1260 Fax Helena ADO 2725 Skyway Drive Suite 2 Helena, MT 59602 (406) 449-5271 (406) 449-5274 Fax Seattle ADO 1601 Lind Ave. SW Suite 250 Renton, WA 98057 (425) 227-2650 (425) 227-1650 Fax Airports Division 1601 Lind Ave. SW Suite 315 Renton, WA 98057 (425) 227-2600 (425) 227-1600 Fax

INTRODUCTION

This, our 15th edition of the Northwest Mountain Regional Airport Plan (RAP), is consistent with our emphasis on sustainability: our first edition to be published exclusively online.

The RAP reports our progress meeting national and regional objectives at the 136 most active "focus" airports in the region. The RAP also outlines our priorities for Airport Improvement Program (AIP) investment strategies, and decisions on funding future projects.

Our main focus is improving airport safety. This report details our progress, summarized here:

- In the past 13 years we have built 88 standard runway safety areas (RSAs). Only two RSAs at focus airports remain to be completed. We lead the nation in this accomplishment.
- We continue to correct runway line-of-sight problems, to reduce runway incursions. We also build access roads around runway ends to reduce the need for runway crossings, correct confusing airfield geometries, and construct parallel taxiways making our airports safer.
- To enhance operational safety, we are funding wildlife hazard assessments (WHAs) at all eligible airports, including general aviation locations. We are also replacing older airport rescue and fire fighting (ARFF) vehicles at certificated airports.
- We are enhancing airport capacity and access with NextGen technologies, especially at airports with business-jet activity. We are supporting localizer performance with vertical guidance (LPV), and required navigation performance (RNP) instrument approaches, as well as full-length parallel taxiways to assure best possible minima.
- To preserve past investments and sustain airport capacity, we promote pavement rehabilitation and noise mitigation projects. We helped develop a new commercial-service airport that opened in January 2011 in St. George, Utah. We are currently planning a new airport to serve Hailey, Idaho.

Section One of this report provides background on our initiatives, and describes our AIP Investment Strategy (page 1-5). Section Two details funding plans for remaining priority projects (like those mentioned above). The Appendix has information about the focus airports in the RAP.

We are extremely proud of our work to improve airports in the Northwest Mountain Region. We are equally proud of the partner relationships we have with our airport sponsors. Our partnerships produce our great accomplishments! Despite economic challenges and reauthorization delays, we have worked hard, and effectively, with you to achieve these results. Thank you for the work you do every day to make our airports safer and serve our communities better.

Donna P. Taylor Manager, Airports Division

OUR GUIDING PRINCIPLES

Our job as stewards of public resources is to deliver safe, integrated, full-value national airspace/airport system (NAS) solutions for airports. Three principles guide our AIP investment priorities and the selection of initiatives for the RAP:

SAFE: *Identify and assure safety improvements are safely delivered.* Examples of this are our new initiatives to fund wildlife hazard assessments at all airports, replace aging airport rescue and fire fighting (ARFF) equipment, correct confusing airfield geometries, perform airport safety inspections, and perform safety management system (SMS) program studies.

SUSTAINABLE VALUE: *Deliver full sustainable value for our investments in the NAS.* Here, examples include harmonizing state system planning efforts to help guide region-wide investment strategies, promoting the use of recycled materials and sustainable products, and conducting environmental inventories in airport master plan studies.

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT: *Manage the public resources entrusted to us with utmost integrity.* Examples of this include development of project management efficiency tools and an electronic programming package tool, and maximizing our customer outreach effectiveness by presenting our annual Airports Conference and ADO sponsor/consultant seminars.

SECTION ONE

TI	HE RAP: PLANNING, PROGRAMMING AND REPORTING
Purpose of the RAP	We publish the Regional Airport Plan (RAP) to outline Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) priorities for airport system development in the Northwest Mountain Region (ANM). The RAP describes plans for addressing these priorities, and shares with the airport community the measures of our progress.
Relationship to Flight Plan and other Objectives	The FAA's annual <i>Flight Plan</i> sets out agency goals to increase aviation safety and capacity, provide international leadership, and achieve organizational excellence. Please see <u>www.faa.gov</u> for more information about the <i>Flight Plan.</i> ¹ The FAA Airports (ARP) line-of-business generates its Business Plan, a bridge between the Flight Plan and regional Airports Divisions, which guides the regions in setting their own goals and objectives. The RAP defines the focus, priority, and measurement of airport projects that contribute to <i>Flight Plan</i> and Business Plan goals for our region.
Role of Airports Division	Our mission statement defines the role of the Airports Division and is the foundation of the philosophy behind the RAP.
	"The mission of the organization is to provide leadership in planning and developing a safe and efficient national airport system to satisfy the needs of aviation interests of the United States, with due consideration for economics, environmental compatibility, local proprietary rights, and safeguarding the public investment."
Focus Airports	Development planned for 136 "focus" airports is part of this report. These focus airports include certificated commercial-service and general-aviation (GA) airports with more than 75 based aircraft. Focus airports account for more than 70 percent of aircraft operations and 100 percent of enplaned passengers in our region. Focus airports are the locations we report on in the RAP; all the airports in the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS) are important, and we put the same emphasis of importance in developing the Airports Capital Improvement Program (ACIP) for them. The Appendix of this report contains a list of the RAP's focus airports.
Project Selection	Projects listed in the RAP are a subset of our 5-year ACIP. We develop the ACIP from master plans, state system plans, and sponsor proposals, as well as information obtained at joint planning conferences. In this report, we highlight projects that support RAP initiatives.

¹ The FAA is currently developing *Destination 2025*, a replacement plan for the *Flight Plan*.

Funding

Funding: Airport Improvement Program (AIP) discretionary funds are key to our ability to complete RAP initiatives. The following factors set priorities for AIP discretionary funding decisions:

- (1) Noise projects using discretionary amounts available nationally for the AIP noise set aside, including VALE (statutory set aside).
- (2) Letter-of-Intent (LOI) commitments.
- (3) Safety projects identified in the RAP.
- (4) Completion of phased projects underway.
- (5) Pavement preservation.
- (6) Non-safety RAP items, e.g., new runways and extensions.
- (7) Other (non-RAP) items.

To make strategic funding decisions on the use of AIP discretionary funds, our region employs a "Board of Directors" approach. The Board consists of managers from the three Airports District Offices (ADOs) and the Planning, Environmental and Financial Programs Branch. The Board balances competing needs in a spirit of cooperation that considers the overall benefits and/or consequences to the region (see also our Investment Strategy, page 1-5). The development of good ACIPs is the reason for much of our success.

In fiscal year 2010, this region provided airports with over \$235.6 million in AIP discretionary funds (an increase from the \$221 million the previous year). Despite complexities and uncertainties due to pending AIP reauthorization, we hope to see a further increase in FY-2011 AIP funds to help meet our priority needs. For planning purposes, however, we are using a somewhat more conservative range of \$180 to \$220 million annually (see Chart 1-2, next page).

Table 1-1 shows our recent and current distribution of discretionary funds by project priority factor. The table notes a relatively steady projected trend in safety expenditures, as we approach our goal of bringing all runway safety areas (RSAs) up to standard (for more discussion on this, see page 2-1). It is also typical that the "out-years" of a plan are not yet completely formulated; however we fully expect that new projects, especially ongoing pavement preservation, will be able to use all the funding resources we can provide.

Table 1-1: Historical Discretionary Funding Distribution (RAP airports only)

Project Type	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011 (est.)
Safety Projects	22%	30%	6%	27%	14%	6%
New Pavements, including LOI	30%	33%	31%	27%	37%	30%
Pavement Preservation	36%	22%	38%	35%	34%	50%
Noise & Environmental	8%	12%	10%	10%	14%	13%
Earmarks (non-RAP)	3%	0%	11%	1%	0%	2%
Planning & Miscellaneous	1%	2%	4%	0%	1%	0%

Chart 1-2, Comparison of Regional Initiatives with Discretionary Funding Levels, shows future discretionary funding required for each RAP initiative, by priority category. This chart reflects our planning levels for new discretionary funds. Typically, we have an additional 10 percent obtained from recovered grant funds from existing projects and deferred current-year projects. Total needs exceed available funding every year, and unfunded projects are deferred to subsequent years. At this point, many needs for the years 2012 and beyond have not yet been finalized. We can expect, though, that a few projects initially scheduled for prior years will begin or be continued in later years, thereby absorbing some discretionary funds.

Chart 1-2: Comparison of Regional Initiatives with Discretionary Funding Levels



Note: does not include the extra funds expected from the economic recovery legislation

St. George, UT Replacement airport under construction (opened January 2011) Figure 1-3 shows the process for bringing projects from the initial planning stage all the way to grant funding. First, the airport sponsor should identify a project several years in advance of when it will be needed. The prospective project becomes part of the sponsor's capital improvement program (CIP); then the sponsor submits potential NPIAS projects to FAA. Next, FAA will evaluate the project in accordance with AIP priority formulas and determine whether it should be considered for the ACIP, at which point capital funding is tentatively assigned in FAA's database. Finally, the highest-priority projects receive AIP grant funding in the year when the work is ready and needed most.

Figure 1-3: The "Funding Funnel", from Sponsor CIP / NPIAS submittal, through ACIP, to annual AIP





Rifle, CO Runway reconstruction including safety area improvements

OUR AIP INVESTMENT STRATEGY
PreambleThe FAA is a goal-driven, performance-based organization devoted to providing a safe and efficient national system of airports. The FAA Airports organization is the nexus between broader Agency goals and our airports customers, working with many in the aviation community: state aeronautics, airport sponsors and staff, citizens and planners, construction teams, environmentalists, and pilots. We follow FAA's <i>Flight Plan/Destination 2025</i> and our regulatory mandates, and are accountable to one another, our superiors, and ultimately, the flying public.
Even with the high levels of safety we have achieved in aviation, we still strive to enhance safety. Further improvement becomes more challenging and requires even more innovative thinking. Not only must we meet today's safety standards; we must also be prepared for a future which will require us to use a systemic approach to evaluating safety improvements.
The Next Generation Air(port) Transportation System (NextGen) is coming, and parts of it are in place already, such as Automatic Dependent Surveillance- Broadcast (ADS-B) in the Gulf of Mexico region. We anticipate increased access to airports through growth in areas of technology such as global positioning systems (GPS) and real-time data in support of informed decision-making. For example, small business-jets-for-hire are increasingly using GPS to land at almost any airport. As the aviation community continues to grow with more sophisticated aircraft, we need to ensure our airports are prepared to meet the demand. This translates into providing survey data into the Airports Geographic Information System (AGIS), meeting upgraded airport design standards, and facilitating NextGen-type technologies, for current and future operations by sophisticated aircraft capable of flying more precise flight paths. Timing is indeed everything, and preparing adequately for what is coming requires enlightened planning today.
Concurrently with NextGen, we will continue to support efforts to reduce the effects of airport operations on neighboring communities through the Part 150 noise reduction program and mitigation actions, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). In addition, expect to see increasing emphasis on a systems approach to reviewing environmental impacts. A key area of our emphasis is environmental sustainability for airports, which we are promoting in airport planning efforts, to be followed by sustainable airport development and operations. Our Environmental Management System (EMS) is underway, and provides a mechanism for a comprehensive view of our programs. It also identifies areas where we can improve. Under the Voluntary Airport Low Emission (VALE) program, we are funding initiatives such as preconditioned air, so that aircraft at gates do not have to run their auxiliary power unit (APU) to maintain onboard climate control. The resulting benefit is less fuel burn = less emissions. In addition, we encourage airports to meet Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification in all AIP-funded buildings. Although we do not fund the

added cost, the airports can expect to recoup the difference in lower operating costs. We also are using more electronic resources than ever before to streamline our processes and reduce paper consumption. For example, this 2011 edition of the RAP is the first ever to be published exclusively online. Readers may choose to print only the parts they need, thereby saving trees, energy and emissions. We encourage those around us to help us find additional ways of "working smarter – and *greener*."

For the near term, challenging forces are at hand. Even with serious efforts at deficit reduction, the federal deficit is likely to increase further, the national economy remains uncertain, and politics continue to affect policies and available resources. We anticipate fewer federal dollars and an increased emphasis on value, more accountability, and the need to prioritize initiatives. We need to rely in no small part on automation, delegation, and innovation to meet our goals. The use of e-filing and automation will become more and more integrated into the way we do business.

We will continually re-examine our standards and processes; innovation is going to be a requirement. As we make these changes, with workload demands outstripping our resources, we will take measured steps to consider risk and evaluate effects of decisions before we implement them. We expect our transition to generate more work, which needs to be managed effectively before reaping the benefits.

We are ready to enhance safety, promote value, and seek green approaches and solutions. However, we are increasingly interdependent on one another for our mutual success and advancement. A key example of this is "harmonizing" our efforts with our State Aeronautics partners. This will allow the states and us to leverage our funding and staffing resources to support most effectively needed airport development. Our future is now and we look for support from the aviation community to help us integrate our Investment Strategy into our ACIP and RAP initiatives. Our success in moving forward is up to all of us.

Salt Lake City



	Initiative	Included in 2011 RAP	Potential Implementation Steps
Safety		Safety and Standards	
	Enhance and support safety at airports	 Continue to correct runway safety areas (RSAs) and line-of-sight problems to meet standards, applying friction treatment; avoiding vehicular crossings; and preventing wildlife encounters. Identify Part 139 requirements and equipment to be included in the ACIP. Evaluate confusing airport pavement geometry in master plan studies where problems have been indicated. Improve and develop airports to meet anticipated demand, i.e. business-jet activity. Fund replacement of aging ARFF vehicles. Fund wildlife hazard assessments at GA 	 Integrate Construction Safety Plans and Part 139 certification Fund recommended pavement reconfiguration to correct confusing airfield geometries. Fund approach surveys and projects supporting forecast demand and enhancing access.
	Integrate Safety Management System (SMS) at appropriate stages of projects such that the process enhances our investment decisions.	• (ARP SMS program under development)	 Evaluate impacts on funding and resources and project schedules. Develop regional procedures to implement and provide training to staff. Work with ATO to develop mutually-acceptable guidance that establishes when/how SMS is to be undertaken

	Initiative	Included in 2011 RAP	Potential
			Implementation Steps
Pavement		Pavement Preservation	
	Preserve needed pavement. Use relevant data to make investment decisions.	 Monitor and correct pavement deterioration due to alkali-silica reactivity (ASR) and other causes. Promote recycling of materials and support economical specifications. 	• Determine the best course of action considering PCI, useful life, use of pavement, risks, and other factors.
Access	C	apacity and Enhanced Acco	ess
	Prepare busy GA airports for NextGen-type technologies; streamline BCA process.	 Promote opportunities for implementing NextGen and RNP procedures. Define our region's busy GA airports. Continue to provide our regional workscope template for states to use in state system planning studies in support of NextGen. Inventory airports and develop ACIP plan for needed infrastructure to meet NextGen (C-III standards and RNP precision approach procedures) at busy GA locations. Encourage airports to promote community understanding and support for implementing 	 Develop 5-year regional ACIP to ensure aviation needs are met. Develop guidance and prioritize projects so that discretionary funding is consistent with our investment strategy. Collect survey data for AGIS input. Fund infrastructure needs to support NextGen.

	Initiative	Included in 2011 RAP	Potential Implementation Steps
Green		Environmental	
Green	Promote local efforts toward reduction of global CO ² footprint from aviation activities.	 Provide information and encourage the use of available programs to reduce emissions (VALE). Encourage recycling and the use of "green" construction methods and materials (require in design report). Encourage obtaining Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification in all AIP-funded buildings. 	 When conducting a Part 150 study with flight procedures, explore alternatives using RNP, RNAV, and other fuel saving approaches (CDA) if applicable. Encourage better use of limited resources (solar power, recycle etc). Develop specifications that allow locally available materials and promote economical specifications (consider in design report).

SECTION TWO

RUNWAY SAFETY AREAS (RSA's)								
Initiative	Goal: Complete improvement of nonstandard safety areas.							
Progress	Since 1998, our focus has been Of the 432 runway ends evalue completed 89 at focus location passengers in this region arrive standards. In addition to the origist and RSAs at other location (17/35), Tacoma Narrows (35) replacement airport at St. George What remains are the very consideration, along with addit locations. We are very proud of factor of safety it brings to our planned for 2011 and beyond, funding. We will continue to evaluate including at non-RAP locating discretionary will be used.	anda e su eans r ru we s w) ¹ a SA y a ends imer Tal -2 s recti iere	ard safety b-standar s 99.9 p nway end have also rere comp nd Tellu deficienc rea projo s not nor nt of this i ble 2-1 lis hows the on of sul funding	areas at d. Of the ercent of ls that me o been in pleted in ride (9/2 ies of the ects required initiative sts safety required ostandard sources	RAP airp ese, we f comme eet safety proving 2010: L 7). The old airpo iiring sp cked as and the a area pro discretion safety a other	orts. have rcial area non- ogan new ort. ecial RAP dded jects onary reas, than		
Table 2-1:	Location				Runway	FY Planne	ed Completi	on
RSA Completion	St. George Muni., St. George, UT (SGU) (Part 139)		Re	placement Airport	Со	mpleted	
Schedule	Wayne Wonderland, Lyman, UT (38U) ¹				13/31		2011	
	Parowan, Parowan, UT (1L9) ¹				4/22		2011	
	Garfield County Regional, Rifle, CO (RIL) ¹		08		2011			
	Garfield County Regional, Rifle, CO (RIL) ¹		26		2011			
	Gen. Dick Stout Field, Hurricane, UT (1L8		18/36		2014			
	Rocky Mountain Metropolitan, Denver, CC	11L/29R 2015		2015				
	Dixon, Dixon, WY (9U4) ¹		6/24		2016			
	¹ Non-RAP location.							
Table 2-2:	FY	2011	201	2	2013	2014	2015	1
KOA FUNDING	Total Discretionary Funds (millions):	\$6.2*	\$4.	7	\$1.0	\$1.0	\$0.0]

* St. George costs not included.

FRICTION TREATMENT AT PART 139 CLASS III LOCATIONS

Initiative

Install Friction Treatment on Designated Runways.

Progress There is a long-term regional emphasis on safety projects at recently-certificated Part 139 locations (all of the below are in Montana). The priority was to install runway-end-identification-lights (REILs) and distance-remaining signs first, followed with friction treatment at the time of other runway rehabilitation work. The equipment installations are almost complete. In 2009, we finished rehabilitation including friction treatment on Runway 12-30 at Miles City. Wolf Point Runway 11-29 paving was completed in Fall, 2010. The grooving and final marking is scheduled for Spring, 2011. The Lewistown Runway 7-25 rehabilitation work is planned as shown in the following table.

Table 2-3: Part 139	Location	Description of Item	RW	Funding FY	Completion Year
Class III Facility	LM Clayton, Wolf Point, MT (OLF)	Friction Treatment	11/29	2010	2011
Schedule	Lewistown Municipal, Lewistown, MT (LWT)	Friction Treatment, taxiway lights	7/25	2010	2011
	Wokal Field, Glasgow, MT (GGW)	Friction Treatment	12/30	2011	2012
	Havre City-County, Havre, MT (HVR)	Friction Treatment	8/26	2013	2014
	Frank Wiley Field, Miles City, MT (MLS)	Friction Treatment	4/22	2015	2016

Runway Grooving



PREPARE AIRPORTS FOR NEXTGEN-TYPE TECHNOLOGIES AND ENHANCE ACCESS

NextGen As noted in the AIP Investment Strategy (page 1-5), we anticipate increased access to airports through advancing navigation technology and greater numbers of more sophisticated aircraft capable of using those assets. Therefore, we are working to enhance access to airports by meeting upgraded airport design standards and facilitating NextGen-type technologies. This expanded goal combines initiatives previously tracked separately. Two important aspects are supporting the development of instrument approach procedures (especially LPV/WAAS) and completing full-length parallel taxiways where needed, both of which help achieve the lowest possible visibility minima.

Progress and Funding for Surveys

To facilitate the development of LPV (localizer performance with vertical guidance) procedures, we worked with the airport sponsors and state aviation directors to identify good candidate runways and subsequently funded obstruction surveys through master plans, state system planning grants and separate grants. As of FY-2009, we had identified all remaining locations which would be viable for an LPV approach. These included: Akron, CO; Shelby, MT; Delta, UT; Port Townsend, WA; and Pinedale, WY. We funded \$475,900 in discretionary funding for surveys. One of our candidate locations, Canon City, CO, was later set to be surveyed by the FAA WAAS Office instead, so Akron, CO, was substituted. All of these locations have either already been surveyed or are under grant to be surveyed. This successfully completes our initiative on LPV. However, we will continue to coordinate with the WAAS Office to identify and prioritize other potential locations for such surveys. Likewise, we will continue to support airport infrastructure improvements to help enable other NextGen access enhancements, such as Required Navigation Performance (RNP) approach procedures.

Airports GIS and eALP's

A key to our efforts to extend the benefits of NextGen-type technologies to airports is implementing a 21st Century airport database technology and the deployment of the latest airport planning tools. FAA has been developing the state-of-the-art Airports Geographical Information System (AGIS), a paradigm shift in the way we collect and disseminate data. The aforementioned field surveys, conducted in accordance with exacting FAA standards, are among the first sources of precise safety-critical airport data (obstructions, instrument approach procedures, etc.) that are now populating AGIS. Non-safety-critical data (airport infrastructure and geometries, etc.) will also become part of this centralized database. One of the first and most important benefits that AGIS will enable is electronic airport layout plans (eALPs). On the basis of results from a pilot study in the Southwest Region, FAA has undertaken the national "AGIS Phase II Roll-out", and has funded airport planning studies that will further populate the AGIS database and produce eALPs for the airports included in Phase II. For our region, we are conducting these initial projects at Coeur d'Alene Airport, ID, Pullman/Moscow Regional, WA, and Denver International, CO. Soon, in accordance with the anticipated national Airports GIS Transition Policy, we will be identifying similar projects for subsequent years. We expect AGIS/eALP to be the new standard for airport data management and planning, are excited to embark, with our sponsors, on this newest complement to NextGen, and will keep you informed of our progress.

PREPARE AIRPORTS FOR NEXTGEN-TYPE TECHNOLOGIES AND ENHANCE ACCESS (cont.)

Parallel Taxiways

To further enhance access, since 2003, we have completed parallel taxiways at Durango, Montrose, Laramie, Twin Falls, Coeur d'Alene, Ogden and Provo. In 2011, at Wendover, we will complete the remaining location requiring parallel taxiways for enhanced access. Additional locations will be included in the future based on instrument approach procedure candidates and to enhance access even more. Accordingly, we will build additional parallel taxiways as new approaches are planned or developed.

Planning for anticipated demand of business jets (BJ) and high-speed turbo aircraft We are also monitoring activity of business jets and high-speed turbo-aircraft at airports, especially general-aviation (GA) locations. We have developed a plan focusing on the improved or higher-standard facilities which are needed throughout the region to accommodate the growth in such operations. These projects are typically high-cost, requiring long-range planning, and include such improvements as increased runway-taxiway separation and expanded RSAs in order to meet the design standards of an upgraded airport reference code (ARC). Since 2001, we have upgraded seven locations to accommodate more-demanding aircraft usage. In 2009, we completed relocation of Runway 3-21 at Driggs to a 300-foot separation. In January 2011, we commissioned a new replacement airport at St. George to meet existing aviation demand and the more demanding design standards which could not be met at the previous airport. Remaining projects are listed below.

Table 2-4: Locations with Significant Operations by Aircraft Exceeding Airport Design Standards

OPS OPS Needed Current Location > 500 >250 <499 ARC ARC Discretionary St. George, UT Х B-III С McCall, ID Х B-II С Table below Meeker, CO¹ Х С B-I Table below C-III² Hailey, ID Х С Table below Х С Pullman, WA B-II Table below Canon City, CO Х B-II С Table below

¹ Non-RAP location.

² Cannot meet most C-III design standards.

Table 2-5: BJ Funding (dollars in millions)

FY	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Total Discretionary Funds (millions):	\$18.5	\$36.0	\$38.9	\$40.8	\$36.0

FY

Completion

2011

2013

2015

2016 est.

2018

TBD

Status

Completed

Planned

Planned

EIS for new

airport

Planned

Planned

			LINE-OF-SIGHT				
Initiative	Goal: Complete runway visibility zone (RVZ) correction at Spokane.						
Progress	We have be removing ru identified 30 2008, we co The remaining in 2012, cor initiative will	een cor nway v) runwa mpleteo ng runv ntingent 1 be co	recting line-of-sight (LOS) problems on single run risibility zone (RVZ) obstructions on intersecting run ays in 1998 that did not meet RVZ and/or LOS st d LOS projects at Vernal, UT (RVZ project) and Oly way requiring correction is at Spokane, which will be a upon funding in time. Once the Spokane project is mplete.	nways, and nways. We andards. In /mpia, WA. e completed s done, this			
	V	EHIC	CULAR RUNWAY CROSSINGS				
Progress	This initiative supports the FAA's Flight Plan goal to reduce runway incursions. We are building on-airport service roads around operational surfaces for fuel trucks, airport or fixed-base operator (FBO) employees, and for vehicles that operate on the airport, such as FAA maintenance technicians. Of the 25 road projects, we have completed 23. In 2009, we completed one runway end: Runway 27 at Yakima. In 2010, at Butte, we completed a road around the ends of Runways 11 and 15. Also at Butte, in 2010, we funded a project for service roads around the ends of Runways 29 and 33, which will be completed in 2011. The table below						
Table 2-6:				Planned FY			
Correcting Potential	Location	State	Resolution	Completion			
Vehicular Rupwaya	Havden	CO	Road around ends of RWS 33 & 29 Road around end of RW 28	TBD			
Crossing	Discretionary f	unding ne	eds shown in other sections				
Pullman, WA							

AIRFIELD IMPROVEMENTS TO PREVENT RUNWAY INCURSIONS

Initiative

Correcting

potentially confusing airfield

Goal: Reduce the potential for runway incursions through improved taxiway and apron design concepts.

Progress FAA promotes the use of sound design strategies for taxiways and aprons to help prevent runway incursions. This includes a review of potentially confusing airfield geometries. FAA Engineering Brief No. 75 (EB-75) recommends improved taxiway and apron layout configurations and taxiway designation schemes that should enhance safety by mitigating some impacts on pilots' situational awareness. The panels below illustrate just one of the examples from EB-75 on how to identify and correct unsound airfield geometries.

> To implement these efforts, our Region is training and empowering our airport planners, engineers and certification inspectors to identify potentially confusing airfield layouts and recommend remedies. For this purpose, in 2010, we implemented a regional strategy and action plan to address such airfield geometries. As a first phase, we are compiling an inventory of airports with known problematic areas that have been reported in Runway Safety Actions Team (RSAT) studies, by airport traffic control tower (ATCT) personnel or through Airports District Office (ADO)- or Part 139 certification inspections (some of these are shown as "hot spots" in aeronautical publications). The inventory will yield a list of potential projects to correct problem areas for funding consideration in the 5-year ACIP.

> Some of the future recommended remedies may require safety management system (SMS) evaluation prior to implementation, while others may be accomplished in the course of routine pavement rehabilitation projects.



Source: FAA Engineering Brief No. 75, Incorporation of Runway Incursion Prevention into Taxiway and Apron Design

PART 139 EQUIPMENT PLANNED REPLACEMENT EMPHASIS

Initiative

Progress

Goal: Monitor and schedule replacement of older ARFF equipment to enhance readiness and reliability.

Title 14 CFR Part 139 specifies the type(s), required capabilities and vehicle readiness of aircraft rescue and firefighting (ARFF) equipment based on an airport's Index. There is no specific requirement that ARFF equipment be replaced at a certain age as long as all the above requirements are met. However, industry research has shown that, on average, an ARFF vehicle has a 10-15 year service life. Moreover, since an ARFF vehicle purchase is a major capital outlay, it is prudent to plan well ahead and base replacement in part on expected end-of-useful-life. That is the point at which a vehicle's reliability becomes questionable, regardless of maintenance efforts, and when ensuring its readiness becomes unreasonably burdensome.

Based on sponsor airport certification manuals (ACMs) and/or certification inspectors' reports, we have inventoried the age of ARFF vehicles in our region and found 25 primary vehicles older than 15 years. Of those, 11 are over 20 years old, and 6 are over 30 years of age. Our goal, in the coming years, is to replace all primary ARFF vehicles over 15 years of age in this region. In general, we will replace vehicles by age, with the oldest vehicles first. However, we will also consider other factors, such as airport activity levels and scheduled- versus unscheduled commercial air service. To accomplish this goal, we will continue to monitor the inventory of older ARFF vehicles and work with the sponsors to plan for replacements in their capital improvement program requests for AIP funding so that deliveries will be accomplished when needed. Our ACIP already shows funding to replace some of these older vehicles.

Table 2-7: Replacing Primary ARFF vehicles older than 15 years

Modern ARFF Vehicle, Helena, MT

	Gene			
Air Carrier Service Level	Short Term (1-3 Years)	Mid-Term (4-6 Years)	Long Term (7+ Years)	Replacement Total
Scheduled:	3	4	7	14
Non-scheduled:	5	4	2	11
Totals:	8	8	9	25



	WILDLIFE HAZARD ASSESSMENTS							
Initiative	Goal: Assess the potential hazard of wildlife at specified GA and certificated airports and develop wildlife management plans where needed.							
Progress	For many years, to meet the requirements of 14 CFR Part 139, we have funded wildlife hazard assessment (WHA) studies and wildlife hazard management plans (WHMPs) at certificated airports where the need had been indicated by a "triggering event." Now, in recognition that wildlife represent varying levels of potential hazard to aircraft operating at many other airports, we are implementing an ongoing program to address this issue. ¹ Beginning in FY-2011, we are conducting WHAs at all certificated airports, including those where no wildlife concerns have been previously identified. ² We will also be conducting WHAs at "Group 1" general-aviation airports (those with either 100+ based jet/turboprop aircraft or 75,000+ annual operations). The first cycle of assessments for all of these airports must be initiated by the end of FY-2015. Other GA airports with fewer turbine aircraft and/or operations will also have WHAs, primarily in conjunction with master plan updates or other circumstances. WHA projects for Group 1 airports and certificated airports with no previous WHAs, are shown in Table 2-8 by their planned funding year in the ACIP. As indicated by the table footnotes, initial WHAs are already underway at many of the certificated airports.							
Table 2-8: Airports	Grant	Group 1 GA Air	ports Needing WHA	Certificated Airpo	orts Needing First WHA			
needing	Year	Location	Airport	Location	Airport			
initial Wildlife Hazard	2011	Olympia, WA	Olympia	Durango, CO	Durango-LaPlata County			
Assessments	2011	Puyallup, WA	Field	Eagle, CO	Eagle County Regional			
	2011	Spokane, WA	Felts Field	Bozeman, MT	Gallatin Field			
	2011	Tacoma, WA	Tacoma Narrows	Casper, WY	Natrona County Intl.			
	2011			Rock Springs, WY	Rock Springs-Sweetwater			
	2012	Denver, CO	Front Range	Akron, CO	Akron-Washington County			
	2012	Nampa, ID	Nampa Municipal	Kalispell, MT	Glacier Park International			
	2012	Auburn, WA	Auburn Municipal	Sidney, MT	Sidney-Richland Muni.			

W. Yellowstone, MT

Walla Walla, WA

Riverton, WY

Logan, UT

Provo, UT

Yellowstone

Logan International Provo Municipal

Walla Walla Regional

Riverton Regional

Ephrata Municipal

Friday Harbor

2012

2012

2012

2012

2012

Ephrata, WA

Friday Harbor, WA

Grant	Group 1 GA Airp	oorts Needing WHA	Certificated Airpo	orts Needing First WHA
Year	Location	Airport	Location	Airport
2013	Greeley, CO	Greeley-Weld County	Coeur d'Alene, ID	Coeur d'Alene
2013	Caldwell, ID	Caldwell Industrial	Glendive, MT	Dawson Community
2013	West Jordan, UT	South Valley Regional	Miles City, MT	Frank Wiley Field
2013	Arlington, WA	Arlington Municipal	Ogden, UT	Ogden-Hinckley
2013	Renton, WA	Renton Municipal	Vernal, UT	Vernal
2013			Pullman/Moscow, WA	Pullman/Moscow Regional
2013			Laramie, WY	Laramie Regional
2014	Denver, CO	Centennial	Telluride, CO	Telluride Regional
2014	Longmont, CO	Vance Brand	Glasgow, MT	Wokal Field/Glasgow Intl.
2014	Blackfoot, ID	McCarley Field	Havre, MT	Havre City/County
2014	Port Angeles, WA	William R Fairchild Intl.	Lewistown, MT	Lewistown Municipal
2014	Bremerton, WA	Bremerton National	Wendover, UT	Wendover
2014			Worland, WY	Worland Municipal
2015	Erie, CO	Erie Municipal	Alamosa, CO	San Luis Valley Regional
2015	Portland, OR	Portland-Hillsboro	Cortez, CO	Cortez Municipal
2015	Portland, OR	Portland-Troutdale	Newport, OR	Newport Municipal
2015	Scappoose, OR	Scappoose Industrial Airpark	Pendleton, OR	Eastern Oregon Regional
2015			Redmond, OR	Roberts Field
2015			Moab, UT	Canyonlands Field
2015			St. George, UT	St. George Municipal

WILDLIFE HAZARD ASSESSMENTS (cont.)

Table 2-8 (cont.): Airports needing initial Wildlife Hazard Assessments

Wildlife onand near airports



]	NOIS	EI	MPA	АСТ	RE	DU	CTI	ON						
Initiative	Fiscal Year 20 residents and s (DNL) at airport)11 Ge chool rts with	oal: stud h app	Issue ents prove	AII expo d Pa	9 gro sed 1 rt 15	ints to gre 0 pro	that eater gran	redu than 1s.	ce by 1 65 d	y 300 day/n	5 the night	e nun nois	nber e lev	of els
Progress	Land purchased for noise mitigation, but no longer needed, must be sold or leased for compatible uses. Proceeds are used for other noise projects or returned to the Aviation Trust Fund. To carry out this AIP requirement, we have inventoried land acquired for noise mitigation at airports around the region, and developed plans for its reuse. We continue to support approved Part 150 noise-compatibility programs (NCPs) to														
	We continue to reduce the num table shows stat	suppo ber of us and	rt ap peo effe	prove ple e cts of	ed Pa xpos f NCl	rt 15 ed to Ps in	0 noi sigr the r	se-co nifica egior	ompa int aii n.	tibilit rcraft	ty pro nois	ograr se. T	ns (N he fo	CPs) llowi	to ing
Table 2-9: Status of Part 150 NCP Programs	Location	Date of Appro NC	Last ved P	Stat Curre 1	tus of ent Par 50	N t Co	ext Par 150 mpletio Year	rt F on	No. of E to Ber Funding Based N	Eligible nefit fr g (w/ir l on Pu loise N	e Peop om All 1 65 DN Iblishe Iap	le ¹ P NL) ed (No. of Rema w/in 65 N	Peopl aining 5 DNL) CP	e in
	Salt Lake City, UT	199	9	Comp	leted									-	
	Colorado Springs,		<u> </u>	000.00											
	CO	200)1	Comp	leted										
	Seattle M/A	200	3	Updat	e		2012			31 000	1 2		5 5	:00 ²	
	Jackson Hole, WV	200	13	Comp	way lotod		2012			31,000)		5,0	000	
	Jackson Hole, Wit	200	4	Map	leteu	-									-
	Paine Field, WA	200	4	compl	eted										
	Boeing Field, WA	200	5	Comp	leted					7,092	2		7,0)92 ²	
	Missoula, MT	200	5	Comp	leted					0				0	
	Boise, ID	200	6	Comp	leted					103 ²			1	03	
	Portland, OR	200	7 ⁴	Comp	leted		2016			1,280	2		1,2	280 ²	
	Great Falls, MT	200	7	Comp	leted					758			6	65	
	Centennial, CO	(2004	4) ³	Comp	leted ³										
	¹ Does not include pe ² Number of people v ³ Publication in Feder ⁴ Noise exposure ma The following t projects, and the	eople ber v/in 65 D ral Regis ps updat able sl e numb	nefiting NL; eli ter in 2 ed in 2 hows ber 0	from p gibility 1 2008. 2010. 5 histo f peoj	rior NC to be d oric a ple w	Ps. etermi and a ho b	ned ba Inticij enefi	sed or pated ted.	interio I disc	r noise	e levels nary	fund	ing fo	or no	ise
Table 2-10:	People Benefited		FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15
Benefited	Number of Resident	s (SEA)	530	960	560	30	374	268	184*	150*					
and	Number of Students	(SEA)	0	539	362	330	954	565		100*					
Discretionary	Number of Residents	s (BFI)							263*	168*	168*				
Funding	Number of Residents	s (GTF)							93*	144*	138*				
		Total	530	1,499	922	360	1328	833	540*	562*	306*	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Discretionary Funding (millions)						\$17.0	\$15.1	\$24.9	\$33.7	\$21.2	\$23.2	\$22.0	\$23.8	\$1.0

* Source: SOAR

Progress	New airports and	runway open	ings are the e	xciting cu	llmination of long, hard w
	by all stakeholder	s and partner	s. Since 1999	. we have	e completed 18 such proje
	including the new	airport in St.	George, UT,	which op	ened on January 13, 2011.
	8		,		, , , , , , , , , ,
Completed	Location	Runway/ New Airport	Operational CY	,	Purnose
New	Wendover, UT	8/26	1999		Instrument runway capability
Runways and	Greeley, CO	16/34	2000		Accommodate business jets
Airports	Holyoke, CO*	14/32	2000		Meet design standards
	Choteau, MT*	Pave 14/32	2001		Meet design standards
	Laurel, MT	4/22	2001		Meet design standards
	Wray, CO*	17/35	2001		Meet design standards
	Boise, ID	9/27	2002		Military use
	Denver, CO	16R/34L	2003		Delay reduction
	Hulett, WY*	New airport	2003		Community airport
	Superior, MT*	12/30	2004		Meet design standards
	Broadus, MI*	New airport	2005		Meet design standards
		16/34	2005	Canata	Meet design standards.
	Lincoln MT*	16L/34K	2005	Constru	Ict new runway, operational efficience
	LINCOIN, MIT	4/22	2006		Meet design standards
	Seattle-Tacoma WA	12/30 16R/3/I	2008	Prov	ide dual-dependent arrivals in poor
	Driggs ID	3/21	2000	1100	Meet design standards
	Diiggo, iD	0/21	2000		Meet design standards
	Poplar ML [*]	New airport	2010		Meet design standards
	*Non-RAP locations	New airport	2010 2011	ling nood	Meet design standards Meet design standards
	*Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be	New airport New airport be planning	2010 2011 for and build	ling neede	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo
Table 2-12:	*Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be	New airport New airport be planning slow table.	2010 2011 for and build	ling neede	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo
Fable 2-12: Proposed New	*Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be	New airport New airport be planning low table. New Runwa	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport	ing needs	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and	*Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to	New airport New airport be planning clow table. New Runwa Meet Airport De	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards:	ing neede	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose
able 2-12: Proposed lew Runways and Nirports	*Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO	New airport New airport be planning clow table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Deperational CY 2011	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Maat dusing standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othelle, WA*	New airport New airport be planning blow table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Deperational CY 2011 2012 2014	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Eart Morgan, CO*	New airport New airport be planning clow table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	bing neede	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton MT	New airport New airport be planning clow table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Derational CY 2011 2012 2014 2014 2014	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards
Fable 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT	New airport New airport be planning low table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards Meet design standards
Fable 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Nirports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel MT	New airport New airport be planning low table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/32	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2012 2014 2014 2015 2015	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
Fable 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT*	New airport New airport be planning low table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2012 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
able 2-12: Proposed lew Runways and Nirports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT	New airport New airport be planning low table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 1/19 16/34	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2017	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
able 2-12: Proposed Jew Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C	New airport New airport New airport New table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 1/19 16/34 apacity/Upgrade	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2017	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR	New airport New airport New airport be planning clow table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 1/19 16/34 apacity/Upgrade 12L/30R	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO	New airport New airport New airport be planning clow table. New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 1/19 16/34 apacity/Upgrade 12L/30R 8L/26R	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 1/19 16/34 apacity/Upgrade 12L/30R 8L/26R New RW	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015 2018 est.	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
able 2-12: Proposed lew Runways and Nirports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA Salt Lake City, UT	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/32 14/32 1/19 16/34 apacity/Upgrade 12L/30R 8L/26R New RW Realign RW 17	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Deperational CY 2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015 2018 est. TBD	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA Salt Lake City, UT New Airports:	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 1/19 16/34 apacity/Upgrade 12L/30R 8L/26R New RW Realign RW 17	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Deperational CY 2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015 2018 est. TBD	Meet design standards Meet design standards ed new runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA Salt Lake City, UT New Airports: Hardin, MT*	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 14/32 1/19 16/34 apacity/Upgrade 12L/30R 8L/26R New RW Realign RW 17	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Aing needs	Meet design standards Meet design standards An ew runways and airpo Purpose Meet design standards Meet design standards
Fable 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA Salt Lake City, UT New Airports: Hardin, MT* Hailey, ID	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Aing needs Perational CY 2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015 2018 est. TBD 2014 2014 2016 2015	Meet design standards Meet design standards
Fable 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA Salt Lake City, UT New Airports: Hardin, MT* Hailey, ID Monticello, UT*	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Deperational CY 2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015 2018 est. TBD 2014 2014 2016 est. TBD	Meet design standards Meet design standards
Fable 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA Salt Lake City, UT New Airports: Hardin, MT* Hailey, ID	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Aing needs	Meet design standards Meet design standards
Table 2-12: Proposed New Runways and Airports	Poplar, M1* St. George, UT *Non-RAP locations We are excited to as shown in the be Location Runways Needed to Pueblo, CO Meeker, CO Othello, WA* Fort Morgan, CO* Hamilton, MT Kalispell City, MT Laurel, MT Richfield, UT* Vernal, UT New Runways for C Hillsboro, OR Denver, CO Pullman, WA Salt Lake City, UT New Airports: Hardin, MT* Hailey, ID Monticello, UT* Burley, ID Thermopolis, WY*	New airport New airport New airport New airport New Runwa Meet Airport De 8R/26L (reloca 3/21 7/25 14/32 16/34 14/32 14/	2010 2011 for and build y or Airport esign Standards: te)	Aing needs Perational CY 2011 2012 2014 2014 2014 2015 2015 2015 2016 2017 2013 2015 2018 est. TBD 2014 2014 2016 2017	Meet design standards Meet design standards

* Non-RAP locations.

	PAVEM	ENT REHABILITA	TION PROGR	AM		
Initiative 1	Goal: Monitor (ASR) and other	and correct pavement a causes.	leterioration due to) alkali-silica reactivity		
Progress and Future monitoring	Several years ago, we observed deterioration on Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements constructed using older standards and exposed to chemical deicers. After considerable research, we have been correcting this problem at several locations. As this is likely to be a recurring issue that will affect various airports from time to time, we will continue to monitor developments at airports with potential ASR problems, and take appropriate corrective action when warranted. We also changed the specification testing requirements for ASR to comply with the latest research for controlling ASR.					
Initiative 2 Goal: Promote recycling of materials and support economical specification						
	As part of our "green" emphasis, we are now allowing the recycling of all runway and taxiway shoulders and intermediate asphalt layers, and the use of millings and recycled concrete in base courses. We are allowing airports to use their nonprimary entitlement funds to program maintenance projects recommended by their pavement management plan.					
Funding	Table 2-13 show for all needed pa	vs the total discretionary vement rehabilitation pro-	funding required lojects at focus airpo	between 2011 and 2015 orts.		
Table 2-13: Total Federal Funds (FY	Facility Type	Sponsor Entitlement Funds (millions) ¹	Discretionary Funds (millions) ²	Total Cost (millions)		
2011-2015)	Apron	\$77.2	\$31.2	\$108.4		
	Taxiway	\$109.2	\$128.6	\$237.8		
	Grand Totals:	\$344.6	\$120.0	\$787.0		
	¹ Includes passer ² Includes state a	nger, cargo and nonprimary entitl apportionment and discretionary.	ements.	¢roi.o		
Airfield paving						

SPECIAL-EMPHASIS NEEDS

Progress

The projects listed here require a significant commitment of FAA staff and funding resources, whether due to cost, environmental sensitivity, or community controversy. In 2010, we completed a 1,827-foot extension to Runway 10L/28R at Portland. We also completed the runway safety area at Telluride and commissioned a new replacement airport at St. George. The following table lists project status and the RAP initiatives they address.

Table 2-14: Special-Emphasis Projects

Location	Reference Initiative	Project	Project Years (CY)	Status
Aspen, CO	RW extension	To meet current use needs	2012	Environmental completed
Hillsboro, OR	New RW for capacity	Construct 12L/30R	2011-2013	Environmental completed
Kalispell City, MT	Upgrade airport to standards	Remove broadcast tower, acquire land, and construct new RW.	TBD	Environmental assessment being reevaluated, expected completion in 2011
Hamilton, MT	New RW to meet standards	Construct new RW	2012-2016	Environmental underway, expected completion in 2011
Hailey, ID	New airport to meet standards	Construct new airport	2012-2016	EIS underway, expected completion of ROD in 2012
Pullman WA	Realign RW and upgrade to C-III	Liporade from B-II to C-III	2014-2018	In the planning stage; expect to initiate environmental in
Pullman, WA	standards	Upgrade from B-II to C-III	2014-2018	FY-2011

Port Angeles, WA

APPENDIX

Busiest Airports in the Northwest Mountain Region

State of Colorado

	Aliment News	City	Hub	Service	Part	>74
שו	Airport Name	City	Size	Level	139	AC
AKO	COLORADO PLAINS REGIONAL	AKRON		GA	Yes	No
ALS	SAN LUIS VALLEY REGIONAL/BERGMAN FIELD	ALAMOSA		CS	Yes	No
ASE	ASPEN-PITKIN CO/SARDY FIELD	ASPEN	N	Р	Yes	Yes
BDU	BOULDER MUNI	BOULDER		GA	No	Yes
BJC	ROCKY MOUNTAIN METROPOLITAN	BROOMFIELD		R	No	Yes
1V6	FREMONT COUNTY	CANON CITY		GA	No	Yes
COS	CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS MUNI	COLORADO SPRINGS	S	Р	Yes	Yes
00V	MEADOW LAKE	COLORADO SPRINGS		R	No	Yes
CEZ	CORTEZ MUNI	CORTEZ		CS	Yes	No
DEN	DENVER INTL	DENVER	L	Р	Yes	No
DRO	DURANGO-LA PLATA COUNTY	DURANGO	N	Р	Yes	No
EGE	EAGLE COUNTY REGIONAL	EAGLE	N	Р	Yes	Yes
APA	CENTENNIAL	ENGLEWOOD		R	No	Yes
EIK	ERIE MUNICIPAL	ERIE		GA	No	Yes
FNL	FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND MUNI	FORT COLLINS/LOVELAND	N	Р	Yes	Yes
GJT	WALKER FIELD	GRAND JUNCTION	N	Р	Yes	Yes
GXY	GREELEY-WELD COUNTY	GREELEY		GA	No	Yes
GUC	GUNNISON-CRESTED BUTTE REGIONAL	GUNNISON	N	Р	Yes	No
HDN	YAMPA VALLEY	HAYDEN	N	Р	Yes	No
LMO	VANCE BRAND	LONGMONT		GA	No	Yes
MTJ	MONTROSE REGIONAL	MONTROSE	N	Р	Yes	No
PSO	STEVENS FIELD	PAGOSA SPRINGS		GA	No	No
PUB	PUEBLO MEMORIAL	PUEBLO		CS	Yes	No
SBS	STEAMBOAT SPRINGS/BOB ADAMS FIELD	STEAMBOAT SPRINGS		GA	No	Yes
TEX	TELLURIDE REGIONAL	TELLURIDE	N	Р	Yes	No
FTG	FRONT RANGE	WATKINS		R	No	Yes

Total P (L, M, S Hub): Total P (Non-Hub): Total CS: 2 9 3

Total GA and R: <u>12</u>

Total: 26

State of Idaho

ID	Airport Name	City	Hub Size	Service Level	Part 139	>74 AC
PIH	POCATELLO REGIONAL	ARBON VALLEY	Ν	Р	Yes	No
BOI	BOISE AIR TERMINAL/GOWEN FLD	BOISE	S	Р	Yes	Yes
EUL	CALDWELL INDUSTRIAL	CALDWELL (BOISE)		R	No	Yes
DIJ	DRIGGS-REED MEMORIAL	DRIGGS		GA	No	Yes
GNG	GOODING MUNICIPAL	GOODING		GA	No	Yes
SUN	FRIEDMAN MEMORIAL	HAILEY	N	Р	Yes	Yes
COE	COEUR D'ALENE AIR TERMINAL	HAYDEN LAKE		GA	Yes	Yes
IDA	IDAHO FALLS REGIONAL	IDAHO FALLS	N	Р	Yes	Yes
LWS	LEWISTON-NEZ PERCE COUNTY	LEWISTON	N	Р	Yes	Yes
MYL	MCCALL MUNICIPAL	MCCALL		GA	No	Yes
S67	NAMPA MUNICIPAL	NAMPA		GA	No	Yes
TWF	JOSLIN FIELD - MAGIC VALLEY RGNL	TWIN FALLS	N	Р	Yes	Yes
			Total P (L, M, S Hub):	1		
			Total P (Non-Hub):	5		
			Total CS:	0		
			Total GA and R:	<u>6</u>		

State of Montana

п	Airport Name	City	Hub Size	Service	Part	>74
			JILC		135	
BIL	BILLINGS LOGAN INTL	BILLINGS	S	Р	Yes	Yes
BZN	GALLATIN FIELD	BOZEMAN	N	Р	Yes	Yes
BTM	BERT MOONEY	BUTTE	N	Р	Yes	No
GGW	WOKAL FIELD/GLASGOW INTERNATIONAL	GLASGOW		GA	Yes	No
GDV	DAWSON COMMUNITY	GLENDIVE		GA	Yes	No
GTF	GREAT FALLS INTERNATIONAL	GREAT FALLS	N	Р	Yes	No
6S5	RAVALLI COUNTY	HAMILTON		GA	No	Yes
HVR	HAVRE CITY-COUNTY	HAVRE		GA	Yes	No
HLN	HELENA REGIONAL	HELENA	N	Р	Yes	Yes
GPI	GLACIER PARK INTERNATIONAL	KALISPELL	N	Р	Yes	Yes
6S8	LAUREL MUNICIPAL	LAUREL		GA	No	Yes
LWT	LEWISTOWN MUNICIPAL	LEWISTOWN		GA	Yes	No
MLS	FRANK WILEY FIELD	MILES CITY		GA	Yes	No
MSO	MISSOULA INTERNATIONAL	MISSOULA	Ν	Р	Yes	Yes
SDY	SIDNEY-RICHLAND MUNICIPAL	SIDNEY	Ν	Р	Yes	No
WYS	YELLOWSTONE	WEST YELLOWSTONE	=	CS	Yes	No
OLF	L M CLAYTON	WOLF POINT		GA	Yes	No
		-	Total P (L, M, S Hub):	1		
			Total P (Non-Hub):	7		

Total P (L, M, S Hub): Total P (Non-Hub): Total CS: Total GA and R:

1

8

Total: 17

12

Total:

State of Oregon

ID	Airport Name	City	Hub Size	Service Level	Part 139	>74 AC
S03	ASHLAND MUNI-SUMNER PARKER FIELD	ASHLAND		GA	No	Yes
AST	ASTORIA REGIONAL	ASTORIA		GA	Yes	No
UAO	AURORA STATE	AURORA		GA	No	Yes
BDN	BEND MUNICIPAL	BEND		GA	No	Yes
CVO	CORVALLIS MUNICIPAL	CORVALLIS		GA	No	Yes
77S	HOBBY FIELD	CRESWELL		GA	No	Yes
EUG	MAHLON SWEET FIELD	EUGENE	Ν	Р	Yes	Yes
3S8	GRANTS PASS	GRANTS PASS		GA	No	Yes
4S2	KEN JERNSTEDT AIRFIELD	HOOD RIVER		GA	No	Yes
7S5	INDEPENDENCE STATE	INDEPENDENCE		GA	No	Yes
LMT	KLAMATH FALLS INTERNATIONAL	KLAMATH FALLS	N	Р	Yes	Yes
MMV	MC MINNVILLE MUNI	MC MINNVILLE		GA	No	Yes
MFR	ROGUE VALLEY INTERNATIONAL - MEDFORD	MEDFORD	N	Р	Yes	Yes
ONP	NEWPORT MUNICIPAL	NEWPORT		GA	Yes	No
OTH	NORTH BEND MUNICIPAL	NORTH BEND	N	Р	Yes	No
ONO	ONTARIO MUNICIPAL	ONTARIO		GA	No	Yes
PDT	EASTERN OREGON REGIONAL AT PENDLETON	PENDLETON		CS	Yes	Yes
PDX	PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL	PORTLAND	M	Р	Yes	No
HIO	PORTLAND-HILLSBORO	PORTLAND		R	No	Yes
TTD	PORTLAND-TROUTDALE	PORTLAND		R	No	Yes
S39	PRINEVILLE	PRINEVILLE		GA	No	Yes
RDM	ROBERTS FIELD	REDMOND	N	Р	Yes	Yes
RBG	ROSEBURG REGIONAL	ROSEBURG		GA	No	Yes
SLE	MCNARY FIELD	SALEM		GA	Yes	Yes
<u>SPB</u>	SCAPPOOSE INDUSTRIAL AIRPARK	SCAPPOOSE		GA	No	Yes
			Total P (L. M. S Hub):	1		
			Total P (Non-Hub):	5		
			Total CS:	1		

otal P (L, M, S Hub): Total P (Non-Hub): Total CS: Total GA and R:

<u>18</u> Total: 25

State of Utah

		O ¹ /	Hub	Service	Part	>74
שו	Airport Name	City	Size	Level	139	AC
BMC	BRIGHAM CITY	BRIGHAM CITY		GA	No	Yes
BCE	BRYCE CANYON	BRYCE CANYON		CS	No	No
CDC	CEDAR CITY MUNI	CEDAR CITY		CS	Yes	No
36U	HEBER CITY MUNICIPAL/RUSS McDONALD FIELD) HEBER		GA	No	Yes
LGU	LOGAN-CACHE	LOGAN		GA	No	Yes
CNY	CANYONLANDS FIELD	MOAB		CS	No	No
OGD	OGDEN-HINCKLEY	OGDEN		R	Yes	Yes
PVU	PROVO MUNI	PROVO		GA	Yes	Yes
SLC	SALT LAKE CITY INTL	SALT LAKE CITY	L	Р	Yes	Yes
U42	SALT LAKE CITY MUNICIPAL 2	SALT LAKE CITY		R	No	Yes
U77	SPANISH FORK-SPRINGVILLE	SPANISH FORK		GA	No	Yes
SGU	ST GEORGE MUNI	ST GEORGE	N	Р	Yes	Yes
VEL	VERNAL	VERNAL		GA	Yes	No
ENV	WENDOVER	WENDOVER		GA	Yes	No
			Total P (L, M, S Hub):	1		
			Total P (Non-Hub):	1		

Total P (L, M, S Hub): Total P (Non-Hub): Total CS: Total GA and R:

9

Total: 14

3

State of Washington

חו	Airport Name	City	Hub Size	Service	Part	>74
			UILC		No	Voo
AVVU SEO				GA	No	Vee
330 DII			NI		Voo	Vee
			IN		No	Vee
				GA	No	Vee
DV3				GA	NO No	Yes
				GA	INO No	Yes
			NI	GA	INO Voc	Yes
			IN		res	Yes
UK5		EASISOUND			INO Vee	Yes
PAE	SNOHOMISH COUNTY (PAINE FLD)			ĸ	res	Yes
FHR			N	P	NO	Yes
KLS	KELSO-LONGVIEW	KELSO		GA	NO	Yes
MVVH	GRANT COUNTY	MOSES LAKE		CS	Yes	Yes
OLM		OLYMPIA		CS	Yes	Yes
PSC		PASCO	N	Р	Yes	Yes
CLM	WILLIAM R FAIRCHILD INTERNATIONAL	PORTANGELES	N	Р	Yes	Yes
059	JEFFERSON COUNTY INTERNATIONAL	PORTTOWNSEND		GA	No	Yes
PUW	PULLMAN/MOSCOW REGIONAL	PULLMAN	N	Р	Yes	No
PLU	PIERCE COUNTY - THUN FIELD	PUYALLUP		GA	No	Yes
RNT	RENTON MUNICIPAL	RENTON		R	No	Yes
RLD	RICHLAND	RICHLAND		GA	No	Yes
BFI	BOEING FIELD/KING COUNTY INTL	SEATTLE	N	Р	Yes	Yes
SEA	SEATTLE-TACOMA INTL	SEATTLE	L	Р	Yes	No
SHN	SANDERSON FIELD	SHELTON		GA	No	Yes
SFF	FELTS FIELD	SPOKANE		R	No	Yes
GEG	SPOKANE INTL	SPOKANE	S	Р	Yes	Yes
TIW	TACOMA NARROWS	TACOMA		GA	No	Yes
VUO	PEARSON AIRPARK	VANCOUVER		GA	No	Yes
ALW	WALLA WALLA REGIONAL	WALLA WALLA	N	Р	Yes	Yes
YKM	YAKIMA AIR TERMINAL	YAKIMA	Ν	Р	Yes	Yes

Total P (L, M, S Hub): Total P (Non-Hub): Total CS: 2 9 3

Total GA and R:

<u>16</u> Total: 30

State of Wyoming

ID	Airport Name	City	Hub Size	Service Level	Part 139	>74 AC
AFO	AFTON MUNICIPAL	AFTON		GA	No	Yes
CPR	NATRONA COUNTY INTL	CASPER	N	Р	Yes	Yes
CYS	CHEYENNE	CHEYENNE	N	Р	Yes	Yes
COD	YELLOWSTONE REGIONAL	CODY	N	Р	Yes	No
EVW	EVANSTON-UINTA COUNTY BURNS FIELD	EVANSTON		GA	No	No
GCC	GILLETTE-CAMPBELL COUNTY	GILLETTE	N	Р	Yes	No
JAC	JACKSON HOLE	JACKSON	N	Р	Yes	No
LAR	LARAMIE REGIONAL	LARAMIE	N	Р	Yes	No
RIW	RIVERTON REGIONAL	RIVERTON	N	Р	Yes	No
RKS	ROCK SPRINGS-SWEETWATER COUNTY	ROCK SPRINGS	N	Р	Yes	No
SHR	SHERIDAN COUNTY	SHERIDAN	N	Р	Yes	No
WRL	WORLAND MUNICIPAL	WORLAND		GA	Yes	No
			Total P (L, M, S Hub):	0		
			Total P (Non-Hub):	9		
			Total CS:	0		
			Total GA and R:	<u>3</u>		

Totals for Region

Total P (L, M, S Hu	ıb):	8
Total P (Non-Hu	uh).	15

Total P (Non-Hub): Total CS: 45 11

Total:

12

Total GA and RL: <u>72</u>

Total: 136

Aircraft Operations at Focus Airports Fiscal Year 2009 Source: FAA Terminal Area Forecasts (TAF)

Colorado Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total General Aviation	Total Military	Total
DENVER, CO, DENVER INTL (DEN)	456,353	151,606	3,633	155	611,747
ENGLEWOOD, CO, CENTENNIAL (APA)	4	34,506	231,388	4,838	270,736
PUEBLO, CO, PUEBLO MEMORIAL (PUB)	202	4,606	143,913	4,186	152,907
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO, CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS MUNI (COS)	20,239	18,065	70,367	37,050	145,721
GREELEY, CO, GREELEY-WELD COUNTY (GXY)	0	0	142,000	1,000	143,000
FORT COLLINS/LOVELAND, CO, FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND MUNI (FNL)	1,209	0	122,452	200	123,861
BROOMFIELD, CO, ROCKY MOUNTAIN METROPOLITAN (BJC)	0	3,916	118,138	1,006	123,060
LONGMONT, CO, VANCE BRAND (LMO)	0	0	99,980	10	99,990
ERIE, CO, ERIE MUNICIPAL (EIK)	0	0	90,000	0	90,000
WATKINS, CO, FRONT RANGE (FTG)	42	591	71,702	367	72,702
BOULDER, CO, BOULDER MUNI (BDU)	0	556	69,570	20	70,146
GRAND JUNCTION, CO, WALKER FIELD (GJT)	3,328	16,552	35,255	3,739	58,874
DURANGO, CO, DURANGO-LA PLATA COUNTY (DRO)	8,760	3,200	34,000	500	46,460
ASPEN, CO, ASPEN-PITKIN CO/SARDY FIELD (ASE)	8,363	10,081	22,377	103	40,924
EAGLE, CO, EAGLE COUNTY REGIONAL (EGE)	2,720	6,274	17,254	5,054	31,302
ALAMOSA, CO, SAN LUIS VALLEY REGIONAL/BERGMAN FIELD (ALS)	0	7,204	22,568	1,000	30,772
STEAMBOAT SPRINGS, CO, STEAMBOAT SPRINGS/BOB ADAMS FIELD (SBS)	0	1,777	18,660	13	20,450
AKRON, CO, COLORADO PLAINS REGIONAL (AKO)	0	180	20,000	70	20,250
PAGOSA SPRINGS, CO, STEVENS FIELD (PSO)	0	200	17,287	750	18,237
MONTROSE, CO, MONTROSE REGIONAL (MTJ)	5,412	0	12,341	38	17,791
CORTEZ, CO, CORTEZ MUNI (CEZ)	0	1,986	13,700	20	15,706
CANON CITY, CO, FREMONT COUNTY (1V6)	0	800	11,400	1,578	13,778
HAYDEN, CO, YAMPA VALLEY (HDN)	3,404	3,458	5,513	24	12,399
TELLURIDE, CO, TELLURIDE REGIONAL (TEX)	0	2,152	9,311	0	11,463
GUNNISON, CO, GUNNISON-CRESTED BUTTE REGIONAL (GUC)	1,263	581	6,094	50	7,988
COLORADO STATE TOTALS	511,299	268,291	1,408,903	61,771	2,250,264

Idaho Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total General Aviation	Total Military	Total
CALDWELL (BOISE), ID, CALDWELL INDUSTRIAL (EUL)	0	2,476	151,122	402	154,000
BOISE, ID, BOISE AIR TERMINAL/GOWEN FLD (BOI)	40,711	15,769	59,774	12,916	129,170
HAYDEN LAKE, ID, COEUR D'ALENE AIR TERMINAL (COE)	0	27,200	94,420	1,428	123,048
NAMPA, ID, NAMPA MUNICIPAL (MAN)	0	1,600	72,546	0	74,146
MCCALL, ID, MCCALL MUNICIPAL (MYL)	0	18,709	45,325	100	64,134
IDAHO FALLS, ID, IDAHO FALLS REGIONAL (IDA)	1,218	8,755	31,920	226	42,119
ARBON VALLEY, ID, POCATELLO REGIONAL (PIH)	53	7,233	33,136	427	40,849
TWIN FALLS, ID, JOSLIN FIELD - MAGIC VALLEY RGNL (TWF)	216	9,003	20,624	1,900	31,743
LEWISTON, ID, LEWISTON-NEZ PERCE COUNTY (LWS)	2,497	4,975	21,095	702	29,269
HAILEY, ID, FRIEDMAN MEMORIAL (SUN)	809	10,120	18,180	134	29,243
GOODING, ID, GOODING MUNICIPAL (GNG)	0	300	21,006	50	21,356
DRIGGS, ID, DRIGGS-REED MEMORIAL (DIJ)	0	1,100	6,500	0	7,600
IDAHO STATE TOTALS	45,504	107,240	575,648	18,285	746,677

Montana Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total General Aviation	Total Military	Total
BILLINGS, MT, BILLINGS LOGAN INTL (BIL)	12,311	24,857	46,178	315	83,661
BOZEMAN, MT, GALLATIN FIELD (BZN)	7,540	9,247	54,133	318	71,238
HELENA, MT, HELENA REGIONAL (HLN)	2,359	6,736	35,183	6,419	50,697
LAUREL, MT, LAUREL MUNICIPAL (6S8)	0	0	41,900	3,000	44,900
GREAT FALLS, MT, GREAT FALLS INTERNATIONAL (GTF)	8,792	8,257	19,628	4,457	41,134
MISSOULA, MT, MISSOULA INTERNATIONAL (MSO)	4,564	9,355	24,469	508	38,896
BUTTE, MT, BERT MOONEY (BTM)	3,004	5,654	25,658	366	34,682
GLASGOW, MT, WOKAL FIELD/GLASGOW INTERNATIONAL (GGW)	0	10,500	19,400	110	30,010
KALISPELL, MT, GLACIER PARK INTERNATIONAL (GPI)	2,792	6,324	18,399	987	28,502
SIDNEY, MT, SIDNEY-RICHLAND MUNICIPAL (SDY)	0	4,250	19,750	50	24,050
HAMILTON, MT, RAVALLI COUNTY (6S5)	0	1,900	21,700	0	23,600
LEWISTOWN, MT, LEWISTOWN MUNICIPAL (LWT)	1,248	520	13,400	700	15,868
MILES CITY, MT, FRANK WILEY FIELD (MLS)	0	3,200	8,000	0	11,200
HAVRE, MT, HAVRE CITY-COUNTY (HVR)	0	1,144	6,800	50	7,994
WEST YELLOWSTONE, MT, YELLOWSTONE (WYS)	0	270	7,000	90	7,360
GLENDIVE, MT, DAWSON COMMUNITY (GDV)	0	2,600	3,200	15	5,815
WOLF POINT, MT, L M CLAYTON (OLF)	2,910	250	2,300	0	5,460
MONTANA STATE TOTALS	45,520	95,064	367,098	17,385	525,067

Oregon Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total General Aviation	Total Military	Total
PORTLAND, OR, PORTLAND-HILLSBORO (HIO)	0	6,056	223,999	324	230,379
PORTLAND, OR, PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL (PDX)	147,454	55,336	21,477	4,254	228,521
CORVALLIS, OR, CORVALLIS MUNICIPAL (CVO)	0	7,553	107,638	900	116,091
AURORA, OR, AURORA STATE (UAO)	0	9,788	79,457	250	89,495
PORTLAND, OR, PORTLAND-TROUTDALE (TTD)	4	1,564	74,114	255	75,937
EUGENE, OR, MAHLON SWEET FIELD (EUG)	7,575	12,799	50,518	3,038	73,930
SCAPPOOSE, OR, SCAPPOOSE INDUSTRIAL AIRPARK (SPB)	0	2,334	62,028	1,201	65,563
MC MINNVILLE, OR, MC MINNVILLE MUNI (MMV)	0	0	62,000	1,500	63,500
REDMOND, OR, ROBERTS FIELD (RDM)	4,444	9,680	41,275	307	55,706
SALEM, OR, MCNARY FIELD (SLE)	17	1,697	47,314	2,379	51,407
MEDFORD, OR, ROGUE VALLEY INTERNATIONAL - MEDFORD (MFR)	5,238	13,384	25,450	393	44,465
INDEPENDENCE, OR, INDEPENDENCE STATE (7S5)	0	0	44,146	0	44,146
ASTORIA, OR, ASTORIA REGIONAL (AST)	0	0	43,668	0	43,668
BEND, OR, BEND MUNICIPAL (BDN)	0	0	40,501	0	40,501
CRESWELL, OR, HOBBY FIELD (77S)	0	1,100	37,400	0	38,500
NORTH BEND, OR, NORTH BEND MUNICIPAL (OTH)	6,107	10,099	9,992	6,178	32,376
ROSEBURG, OR, ROSEBURG REGIONAL (RBG)	0	2,550	29,150	50	31,750
KLAMATH FALLS, OR, KLAMATH FALLS INTERNATIONAL (LMT)	25	5,767	15,915	7,517	29,224
GRANTS PASS, OR, GRANTS PASS (3S8)	0	320	24,897	100	25,317
NEWPORT, OR, NEWPORT MUNICIPAL (ONP)	0	2,002	19,025	3,000	24,027
ASHLAND, OR, ASHLAND MUNI-SUMNER PARKER FIELD (S03)	0	0	21,844	0	21,844
PENDLETON, OR, EASTERN OREGON REGIONAL AT PENDLETON (PDT)	94	4,124	11,935	3,471	19,624
ONTARIO, OR, ONTARIO MUNICIPAL (ONO)	0	0	16,370	0	16,370
HOOD RIVER, OR, KEN JERNSTEDT AIRFIELD (4S2)	0	0	14,839	0	14,839
PRINEVILLE, OR, PRINEVILLE (S39)	0	0	11,424	0	11,424
OREGON STATE TOTALS	170,958	146,153	1,136,376	35,117	1,488,604

Utah Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total General Aviation	Total Military	Total
SALT LAKE CITY, UT, SALT LAKE CITY INTL (SLC)	172,481	140,470	58,955	2,098	374,004
PROVO, UT, PROVO MUNI (PVU)	38	845	94,597	743	96,223
OGDEN, UT, OGDEN-HINCKLEY (OGD)	9	639	87,342	791	88,781
SALT LAKE CITY, UT, SALT LAKE CITY MUNICIPAL 2 (U42)	0	450	72,702	7,500	80,652
LOGAN, UT, LOGAN-CACHE (LGU)	0	1,110	63,025	60	64,195
ST GEORGE, UT, ST GEORGE MUNI (SGU)	8,280	9,255	44,500	175	62,210
SPANISH FORK, UT, SPANISH FORK-SPRINGVILLE (U77)	0	330	43,809	0	44,139
CEDAR CITY, UT, CEDAR CITY MUNI (CDC)	3,650	4,490	21,675	250	30,065
HEBER, UT, HEBER CITY MUNICIPAL/RUSS McDONALD FIELD (36U)	0	1,510	26,692	100	28,302
BRIGHAM CITY, UT, BRIGHAM CITY (BMC)	0	280	23,294	0	23,574
MOAB, UT, CANYONLANDS FIELD (CNY)	1,200	3,400	5,400	150	10,150
VERNAL, UT, VERNAL (VEL)	0	1,460	7,500	0	8,960
WENDOVER, UT, WENDOVER (ENV)	785	0	5,828	1,326	7,939
BRYCE CANYON, UT, BRYCE CANYON (BCE)	0	2,000	5,200	0	7,200
UTAH STATE TOTALS	186,443	166,239	560,519	13,193	926,394

Washington Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total General Aviation	Total Military	Total
	300,437	16,983	3,056	83	320,559
SEATTLE, WA, BOEING FIELD/KING COUNTY INTL (BFI)	10,120	65,705	188,235	2,998	267,058
ARLINGTON, WA, ARLINGTON MUNICIPAL (AWO)	0	520	189,460	20	190,000
AUBURN, WA, AUBURN MUNICIPAL (S50)	0	6,100	158,339	100	164,539
EVERETT, WA, SNOHOMISH COUNTY (PAINE FLD) (PAE)	2,774	2,605	108,000	718	114,097
BREMERTON, WA, BREMERTON NATIONAL (PWT)	0	100	107,000	900	108,000
PUYALLUP, WA, PIERCE COUNTY - THUN FIELD (PLU)	0	3,388	96,612	0	100,000
RENTON, WA, RENTON MUNICIPAL (RNT)	343	727	82,049	159	83,278
SPOKANE, WA, SPOKANE INTL (GEG)	38,118	14,351	28,042	2,574	83,085
MOSES LAKE, WA, GRANT COUNTY (MWH)	5,962	2,464	40,444	20,111	68,981
OLYMPIA, WA, OLYMPIA (OLM)	3	2,285	65,322	1,216	68,826
BELLINGHAM, WA, BELLINGHAM INTL (BLI)	6,357	13,418	46,809	763	67,347
SPOKANE, WA, FELTS FIELD (SFF)	0	5,591	60,283	70	65,944
BURLINGTON/MOUNT VERNON, WA, SKAGIT REGIONAL/BAY VIEW (BVS)	0	2,000	59,800	100	61,900
SHELTON, WA, SANDERSON FIELD (SHN)	0	372	36,852	21,888	59,112
FRIDAY HARBOR, WA, FRIDAY HARBOR (FHR)	0	17,655	41,191	0	58,846
PORT TOWNSEND, WA, JEFFERSON COUNTY INTERNATIONAL (0S9)	0	1,500	56,500	0	58,000
TACOMA, WA, TACOMA NARROWS (TIW)	9	838	52,223	561	53,631
PORT ANGELES, WA, WILLIAM R FAIRCHILD INTERNATIONAL (CLM)	0	6,205	46,100	675	52,980
VANCOUVER, WA, PEARSON AIRPARK (VUO)	0	0	49,382	300	49,682
YAKIMA, WA, YAKIMA AIR TERMINAL (YKM)	2,596	5,777	38,481	2,247	49,101
CHEHALIS, WA, CHEHALIS-CENTRALIA (CLS)	0	4,500	43,000	210	47,710
EAST WENATCHEE, WA, PANGBORN MEMORIAL (EAT)	876	13,045	30,660	100	44,681
PASCO, WA, TRI-CITIES (PSC)	6,926	7,922	26,359	2,232	43,439
KELSO, WA, KELSO-LONGVIEW (KLS)	0	1,675	39,116	696	41,487
DEER PARK, WA, DEER PARK (DEW)	0	0	36,979	0	36,979
EASTSOUND, WA, ORCAS ISLAND (ORS)	0	7,833	27,290	0	35,123
WALLA WALLA, WA, WALLA WALLA REGIONAL (ALW)	1,510	1,040	28,111	111	30,772
PULLMAN, WA, PULLMAN/MOSCOW REGIONAL (PUW)	4,000	270	25,000	80	29,350
RICHLAND, WA, RICHLAND (RLD)	0	0	29,000	0	29,000
WASHINGTON STATE TOTALS	380,031	204,869	1,839,695	58,912	2,483,507

Wyoming Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total General Aviation	Total Military	Total
CHEYENNE, WY, CHEYENNE (CYS)	189	4,481	23,137	23,241	51,048
SHERIDAN, WY, SHERIDAN COUNTY (SHR)	2,992	2,555	36,135	150	41,832
CASPER, WY, NATRONA COUNTY INTL (CPR)	1,138	11,609	24,166	740	37,653
CODY, WY, YELLOWSTONE REGIONAL (COD)	0	6,465	31,000	25	37,490
JACKSON, WY, JACKSON HOLE (JAC)	6,905	6,458	15,453	175	28,991
GILLETTE, WY, GILLETTE-CAMPBELL COUNTY (GCC)	35	6,819	15,320	46	22,220
AFTON, WY, AFTON MUNICIPAL (AFO)	0	300	14,500	20	14,820
ROCK SPRINGS, WY, ROCK SPRINGS-SWEETWATER COUNTY (RKS)	0	2,048	12,009	18	14,075
LARAMIE, WY, LARAMIE REGIONAL (LAR)	3,255	74	9,510	530	13,369
RIVERTON, WY, RIVERTON REGIONAL (RIW)	1,487	1,794	3,818	25	7,124
WORLAND, WY, WORLAND MUNICIPAL (WRL)	870	2,100	3,500	6	6,476
EVANSTON, WY, EVANSTON-UINTA COUNTY BURNS FIELD (EVW)	0	150	5,900	30	6,080
WYOMING STATE TOTALS	16,871	44,853	194,448	25,006	281,178

Colorado Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total Commuter	Total U.S. Flag	Total Foreign Flag	Total International	Total
DENVER, CO, DENVER INTL (DEN)	18,967,947	49	4,114,874	486,351	298,090	784,441	23,867,262
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO, CITY OF COLORADO SPRINGS MUNI (COS)	282,565	814	632,964	4	332	336	915,865
GRAND JUNCTION, CO, WALKER FIELD (GJT)	23,666	2,354	209,550	0	0	0	233,216
ASPEN, CO, ASPEN-PITKIN CO/SARDY FIELD (ASE)	11	3,597	207,150	0	10	10	207,171
EAGLE, CO, EAGLE COUNTY REGIONAL (EGE)	162,640	1,023	17,602	23	7	30	180,272
DURANGO, CO, DURANGO-LA PLATA COUNTY (DRO)	77	37	141,931	0	0	0	142,008
HAYDEN, CO, YAMPA VALLEY (HDN)	70,530	2	51,546	0	0	0	122,076
MONTROSE, CO, MONTROSE REGIONAL (MTJ)	17,090	21	73,855	0	0	0	90,945
GUNNISON, CO, GUNNISON-CRESTED BUTTE REGIONAL (GUC)	14,081	10	27,021	0	0	0	41,102
FORT COLLINS/LOVELAND, CO, FORT COLLINS-LOVELAND MUNI (FNL)	31,746	20	0	0	0	0	31,746
CORTEZ, CO, CORTEZ MUNI (CEZ)	0	0	7,590	0	0	0	7,590
TELLURIDE, CO, TELLURIDE REGIONAL (TEX)	10	3	7,162	0	0	0	7,172
ALAMOSA, CO, SAN LUIS VALLEY REGIONAL/BERGMAN FIELD (ALS)	0	22	6,353	0	0	0	6,353
PUEBLO, CO, PUEBLO MEMORIAL (PUB)	1,445	55	3,681	0	0	0	5,126
BROOMFIELD, CO, ROCKY MOUNTAIN METROPOLITAN (BJC)	0	382	69	0	0	0	69
ENGLEWOOD, CO, CENTENNIAL (APA)	31	989	17	0	10	10	58
AKRON, CO, COLORADO PLAINS REGIONAL (AKO)	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
BOULDER, CO, BOULDER MUNI (BDU)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CANON CITY, CO, FREMONT COUNTY (1V6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO, MEADOW LAKE (00V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ERIE, CO, ERIE MUNICIPAL (EIK)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREELEY, CO, GREELEY-WELD COUNTY (GXY)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LONGMONT, CO, VANCE BRAND (LMO)	0	21	0	0	0	0	0
PAGOSA SPRINGS, CO, STEVENS FIELD (PSO)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STEAMBOAT SPRINGS, CO, STEAMBOAT SPRINGS/BOB ADAMS FIELD (SBS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WATKINS, CO, FRONT RANGE (FTG)	0	35	0	0	0	0	0
	40.574.000	0.407		400.070		704 007	05.050.004

COLORADO STATE TOTALS

19,571,839 9,437 5,501,365 486,378 298,449 784,827 25,858,031

Idaho Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total Commuter	Total U.S. Flag	Total Foreign Flag	Total International	Total
BOISE, ID, BOISE AIR TERMINAL/GOWEN FLD (BOI)	750,722	4,675	650,134	34	0	34	1,400,890
IDAHO FALLS, ID, IDAHO FALLS REGIONAL (IDA)	15,789	2,035	124,601	0	0	0	140,390
LEWISTON, ID, LEWISTON-NEZ PERCE COUNTY (LWS)	1,670	2,805	60,646	0	0	0	62,316
HAILEY, ID, FRIEDMAN MEMORIAL (SUN)	0	2,519	50,540	0	0	0	50,540
TWIN FALLS, ID, JOSLIN FIELD - MAGIC VALLEY RGNL (TWF)	43	1,067	27,108	0	0	0	27,151
ARBON VALLEY, ID, POCATELLO REGIONAL (PIH)	406	484	20,806	0	0	0	21,212
CALDWELL (BOISE), ID, CALDWELL INDUSTRIAL (EUL)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DRIGGS, ID, DRIGGS-REED MEMORIAL (DIJ)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GOODING, ID, GOODING MUNICIPAL (GNG)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HAYDEN LAKE, ID, COEUR D'ALENE AIR TERMINAL (COE)	0	53	0	0	0	0	0
MCCALL, ID, MCCALL MUNICIPAL (MYL)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
NAMPA, ID, NAMPA MUNICIPAL (MAN)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDAHO STATE TOTALS	768,630	13,640	933,835	34	0	34	1,702,499

Montana Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total Commuter	Total U.S. Flag	Total Foreign Flag	Total International	Total
BILLINGS, MT, BILLINGS LOGAN INTL (BIL)	159,618	16,434	235,312	0	0	0	394,930
BOZEMAN, MT, GALLATIN FIELD (BZN)	119,073	913	225,811	0	0	0	344,884
MISSOULA, MT, MISSOULA INTERNATIONAL (MSO)	54,743	4,257	227,065	20	0	20	281,828
KALISPELL, MT, GLACIER PARK INTERNATIONAL (GPI)	26,178	0	136,648	0	0	0	162,826
GREAT FALLS, MT, GREAT FALLS INTERNATIONAL (GTF)	34,154	198	110,803	7	136	143	145,100
HELENA, MT, HELENA REGIONAL (HLN)	805	594	84,783	0	0	0	85,588
BUTTE, MT, BERT MOONEY (BTM)	1,116	12	23,865	0	0	0	24,981
WEST YELLOWSTONE, MT, YELLOWSTONE (WYS)	0	17	4,318	0	0	0	4,318
SIDNEY, MT, SIDNEY-RICHLAND MUNICIPAL (SDY)	0	10,852	2,669	0	0	0	2,669
LEWISTOWN, MT, LEWISTOWN MUNICIPAL (LWT)	0	11	1,138	0	0	0	1,138
MILES CITY, MT, FRANK WILEY FIELD (MLS)	0	43	748	0	0	0	748
GLASGOW, MT, WOKAL FIELD/GLASGOW INTERNATIONAL (GGW)	0	193	723	0	0	0	723
WOLF POINT, MT, L M CLAYTON (OLF)	0	127	640	0	0	0	640
HAVRE, MT, HAVRE CITY-COUNTY (HVR)	0	2	481	0	0	0	481
GLENDIVE, MT, DAWSON COMMUNITY (GDV)	0	206	179	0	0	0	179
HAMILTON, MT, RAVALLI COUNTY (6S5)	0	17	0	0	0	0	0
LAUREL, MT, LAUREL MUNICIPAL (6S8)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MONTANA STATE TOTALS	395,687	33,876	1,055,183	27	136	163	1,451,033

Oregon Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total Commuter	Total U.S. Flag	Total Foreign Flag	Total International	Total
PORTLAND, OR, PORTLAND INTERNATIONAL (PDX)	4,595,748	688	1,629,189	135,793	88,455	224,248	6,449,185
EUGENE, OR, MAHLON SWEET FIELD (EUG)	40,832	627	289,550	0	0	0	330,382
MEDFORD, OR, ROGUE VALLEY INTERNATIONAL - MEDFORD (MFR)	33,639	2,277	244,178	0	0	0	277,817
REDMOND, OR, ROBERTS FIELD (RDM)	26,618	682	191,208	0	0	0	217,826
NORTH BEND, OR, NORTH BEND MUNICIPAL (OTH)	4	45	23,771	0	0	0	23,775
KLAMATH FALLS, OR, KLAMATH FALLS INTERNATIONAL (LMT)	0	308	19,811	0	0	0	19,811
PENDLETON, OR, EASTERN OREGON REGIONAL AT PENDLETON (PDT)	0	198	3,947	0	0	0	3,947
NEWPORT, OR, NEWPORT MUNICIPAL (ONP)	0	5	1,379	0	0	0	1,379
ASTORIA, OR, ASTORIA REGIONAL (AST)	0	79	1,075	0	0	0	1,075
SALEM, OR, MCNARY FIELD (SLE)	62	273	345	0	0	0	407
ASHLAND, OR, ASHLAND MUNI-SUMNER PARKER FIELD (S03)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
AURORA, OR, AURORA STATE (UAO)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEND, OR, BEND MUNICIPAL (BDN)	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
CORVALLIS, OR, CORVALLIS MUNICIPAL (CVO)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
CRESWELL, OR, HOBBY FIELD (77S)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GRANTS PASS, OR, GRANTS PASS (3S8)	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
HOOD RIVER, OR, KEN JERNSTEDT AIRFIELD (4S2)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
INDEPENDENCE, OR, INDEPENDENCE STATE (7S5)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MC MINNVILLE, OR, MC MINNVILLE MUNI (MMV)	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
ONTARIO, OR, ONTARIO MUNICIPAL (ONO)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PORTLAND, OR, PORTLAND-HILLSBORO (HIO)	0	53	0	0	0	0	0
PORTLAND, OR, PORTLAND-TROUTDALE (TTD)	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
PRINEVILLE, OR, PRINEVILLE (S39)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ROSEBURG, OR, ROSEBURG REGIONAL (RBG)	0	33	0	0	0	0	0
SCAPPOOSE, OR, SCAPPOOSE INDUSTRIAL AIRPARK (SPB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OREGON STATE TOTALS	4,696,903	5,288	2,404,453	135,793	88,455	224,248	7,325,604

Utah Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total Commuter	Total U.S. Flag	Total Foreign Flag	Total International	Total
SALT LAKE CITY, UT, SALT LAKE CITY INTL (SLC)	6,200,143	2,168	3,491,443	124,029	1,148	125,177	9,816,763
WENDOVER, UT, WENDOVER (ENV)	48,749	3	150	147	0	147	49,046
ST GEORGE, UT, ST GEORGE MUNI (SGU)	0	608	40,862	0	0	0	40,862
CEDAR CITY, UT, CEDAR CITY MUNI (CDC)	331	1,008	5,100	0	0	0	5,431
VERNAL, UT, VERNAL (VEL)	0	20	4,434	0	0	0	4,434
MOAB, UT, CANYONLANDS FIELD (CNY)	0	969	1,912	0	0	0	1,912
PROVO, UT, PROVO MUNI (PVU)	901	176	38	0	0	0	939
LOGAN, UT, LOGAN-CACHE (LGU)	572	10	47	0	0	0	619
OGDEN, UT, OGDEN-HINCKLEY (OGD)	33	14	0	0	0	0	33
BRYCE CANYON, UT, BRYCE CANYON (BCE)	0	25	2	0	0	0	2
BRIGHAM CITY, UT, BRIGHAM CITY (BMC)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
HEBER, UT, HEBER CITY MUNICIPAL/RUSS McDONALD FIELD (36U)	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
SALT LAKE CITY, UT, SALT LAKE CITY MUNICIPAL 2 (U42)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SPANISH FORK, UT, SPANISH FORK-SPRINGVILLE (U77)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
UTAH STATE TOTALS	6,250,729	5,006	3,543,988	124,176	1,148	125,324	9,920,041

Passenger Enplanements at Focus Airports

Fiscal Year 2009 Source: FAA Terminal Area Forecasts (TAF)

Total Total Total **Total Air** U.S. Air Total Foreign Total Washington Airports Taxi Commuter Flag Flag International Total Carrier SEATTLE, WA, SEATTLE-TACOMA INTL (SEA) 12,049,027 2,246,316 388,857 561,429 950,286 15,245,629 SPOKANE, WA, SPOKANE INTL (GEG) 1,013,711 478,834 1,492,710 BELLINGHAM, WA, BELLINGHAM INTL (BLI) 219,212 91,365 310,577 PASCO, WA, TRI-CITIES (PSC) 25,343 219,693 245,036 YAKIMA, WA, YAKIMA AIR TERMINAL (YKM) 2,224 56.770 58.994 EAST WENATCHEE, WA, PANGBORN MEMORIAL (EAT) 50,920 50,920 SEATTLE, WA, BOEING FIELD/KING COUNTY INTL (BFI) 3,625 2,475 28,428 32,199 WALLA WALLA, WA, WALLA WALLA REGIONAL (ALW) 31,730 31,730 PULLMAN, WA, PULLMAN/MOSCOW REGIONAL (PUW) 1,449 31,577 30.128 FRIDAY HARBOR, WA, FRIDAY HARBOR (FHR) 13,151 13,151 PORT ANGELES, WA, WILLIAM R FAIRCHILD INTERNATIONAL 12.978 12.978 (CLM) EASTSOUND, WA, ORCAS ISLAND (ORS) 3,396 3,396 MOSES LAKE, WA, GRANT COUNTY (MWH) 1,681 1,314 PORT TOWNSEND, WA, JEFFERSON COUNTY INTERNATIONAL (0S9) BREMERTON, WA, BREMERTON NATIONAL (PWT) EVERETT, WA, SNOHOMISH COUNTY (PAINE FLD) (PAE) TACOMA, WA, TACOMA NARROWS (TIW) BURLINGTON/MOUNT VERNON, WA, SKAGIT REGIONAL/BAY VIEW (BVS) OLYMPIA, WA, OLYMPIA (OLM) SHELTON, WA, SANDERSON FIELD (SHN) ARLINGTON, WA, ARLINGTON MUNICIPAL (AWO) AUBURN, WA, AUBURN MUNICIPAL (S50) CHEHALIS, WA, CHEHALIS-CENTRALIA (CLS) DEER PARK, WA, DEER PARK (DEW) KELSO, WA, KELSO-LONGVIEW (KLS) PUYALLUP, WA, PIERCE COUNTY - THUN FIELD (PLU) RENTON, WA, RENTON MUNICIPAL (RNT) RICHLAND, WA, RICHLAND (RLD) SPOKANE, WA, FELTS FIELD (SFF) 0 2.923 VANCOUVER, WA, PEARSON AIRPARK (VUO)

WASHINGTON STATE TOTALS

13,315,078 8,846 3,266,052 389,022 561,575

950,597 17,531,727

Wyoming Airports	Total Air Carrier	Total Air Taxi	Total Commuter	Total U.S. Flag	Total Foreign Flag	Total International	Total
JACKSON, WY, JACKSON HOLE (JAC)	199,690	4,807	81,981	0	3	3	281,674
CASPER, WY, NATRONA COUNTY INTL (CPR)	13,759	759	59,174	0	0	0	72,933
CODY, WY, YELLOWSTONE REGIONAL (COD)	0	14	27,307	0	0	0	27,307
GILLETTE, WY, GILLETTE-CAMPBELL COUNTY (GCC)	379	1	26,876	0	0	0	27,255
ROCK SPRINGS, WY, ROCK SPRINGS-SWEETWATER COUNTY (RKS)	422	123	20,934	0	0	0	21,356
RIVERTON, WY, RIVERTON REGIONAL (RIW)	0	0	14,817	0	0	0	14,817
SHERIDAN, WY, SHERIDAN COUNTY (SHR)	0	31	14,648	0	0	0	14,648
CHEYENNE, WY, CHEYENNE (CYS)	1,246	407	11,528	0	0	0	12,774
LARAMIE, WY, LARAMIE REGIONAL (LAR)	648	40	8,071	0	0	0	8,719
WORLAND, WY, WORLAND MUNICIPAL (WRL)	0	23	2,530	0	0	0	2,530
AFTON, WY, AFTON MUNICIPAL (AFO)	0	36	0	0	0	0	0
EVANSTON, WY, EVANSTON-UINTA COUNTY BURNS FIELD (EVW)	0	116	0	0	0	0	0
WYOMING STATE TOTALS	216,144	6,357	267,866	0	3	3	484,013