

# MEMORANDUM ON PROPOSED TARIFF LEGISLATION of the 112th Congress

		Date approved June 11, 2012	
l. Background			
Bill number:	H.R. 3919		
Sponsor name:	Mr. Mel Wa	att	
Sponsor state:	NC		
nterested entity:			
Name D	yStar L.P.		
City	harlotte		
State N	C		
Other bills on pro		Congress only): S. 3117 (Mrs. Kay Hagan, NC) f temporary duty suspension	
Expiration date:	December	31, 2014	
Current or previo	ous chapter 9	99 heading: 9902.02.65	
Retroactive date: January 1, 2007			
CAS number (if a	pplicable):	32220–82–9	
ndustry analyst:	Philip	p Stone	
Гelephone:	<u> </u>	205-3424	
Fariff Δffairs cont	act: David	d Michels	

#### Note:

Telephone:

202-205-3440

<sup>1.</sup> Access to an electronic copy of this memorandum is available at <a href="http://www.usitc.gov/tariff\_affairs/congress\_reports/">http://www.usitc.gov/tariff\_affairs/congress\_reports/</a>.

<sup>2.</sup> In regard to the country(ies) of origin listed in section III, this report focuses on dutiable imports and does not take into account any tariff preference programs or special rates of duty.

at blue 66 (9,10-Anthracenedione, 1,1'-[(6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diyl)diimino]bis(3"-acetyl-4-amino-)) (CAS No. 322 2–9) (provided for in subheading 3204.15.30)	<u>'</u> 0–
escription above compared with bill as introduced:	
] Same	
Different (see Technical Comments section)	
. Other product information, including uses/applications and source(s) of imports	
at blue 66 is a synthetic organic dye used in the textile industry. It is imported from China.	

#### IV. Estimated effect on customs revenue

Dutiable imports were based on (more than one may apply):

Subject product HTS subheading(s)	3204.15.30					
ltem	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
Col.1-general rate of duty (%)	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	
Estimated value of dutiable imports (\$)	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	1,100,000	
Customs revenue loss (\$)	71,500	71,500	71,500	71,500	71,500	

Note: Customs revenue loss is provided for 5 years, although the effective period of the proposed legislation may differ. Regarding the HTS subheading listed in the article description of the bill, the Commission may express an opinion on the HTS classification of a product to facilitate consideration of the bill. However, by law, only U.S. Customs and Border Protection is authorized to issue a binding ruling on this matter. The Commission believes that Customs should be consulted prior to enactment of the bill.

☐ Official statistics of the U.S. Department	t of Commerce	
□ Provided by industry sources		
☐ Industry information		
□ Commission estimates		
Duty reduction notes:		
∏ This bill is not a duty reduction		
☐ This bill is a temporary duty reduction.	Rates are shown below.	

#### **V. Technical comments**

It is not possible to provide an accurate estimate of claims for retroactive treatment under this bill because the covered product is not separately identified in the HTS or in official trade data. Moreover, Customs officials would need to apply the proposed article description to each shipment for which such treatment is claimed and decide if the goods concerned are covered by the proposed heading.

#### **VI. Continuation**

If enacted, the tariff relief provided for in this bill would be available to any entity that imports the product that is covered by the bill.

### VII. Contacts with domestic firms/organizations

#	Firm/organization and contact name	Telephone number	Claims same or competing product made in the United States	Submission attached	Opposition noted
1	DyStar L.P. (Interested entity) Megan Malone	202-344-4621	No	No	No
2	3M Government Affairs Greg Walters	202-414-3008	No	No	No
3	BASF Corporation Richard J. Salamone	973-895-8316	No	No	No
4	Bayer International Trade Services Corporation Steve Johnsen	412-777-5616	No	No	No
5	Chemtura Matt Mattingley	202-841-5601	No	No	No
6	Clariant Andrew Zamoyski	202-415-9159	No	No	No
7	ColorChem Steven Printz	770-993-5500, x18	No	No	No
8	Crowell & Moring, LLP Mike Gill	202-508-8843	No	No	No
9	Dixie Chemical Mal Johnson	281-291-2659	No	No	No
10	Dow Chemical Co. Lisa Schroeter	202-429-3407	No	No	No
11	DuPont Legal Elaine M. Olsen	302-992-2263	No	No	No
12	Emerald Performance Materials Thomas Dirmyer	330-916-6706	No	No	No
13	Fanwood Chemical Inc. V. M. (Jim) DeLisi	908-322-8440	No	No	No
14	Kemira Rajesh Sharma	678-819-4577	No	No	No
15	LANXESS Corp. Jamie B. Schaeffer	412-809-3666	No	No	No
16	Nation Ford Chemical Co. Jay Dickson	803-548-3210, x15	No	No	No
17	PPG Industries Inc. Bill Ries	412-434-1717	No	No	No
18	Royce Associates, ALP Terry Scheirer	201-438-5200	No	No	No

#	Firm/organization and contact name	Telephone number	Claims same or competing product made in the United States	Submission attached	Opposition noted
	Sensient Technologies Ken Goldacker	314-658-7363	No	No	No
	Society of Chemical Manufacturers and Affiliates Robert E. Branand, Esq.	202-345-2717	No	No	No
21	United Color Manufacturing Inc. Tom Nowakowski	215-860-2165	No	No	No

## H. R. 3919

To extend temporarily the suspension of duty on Vat Blue 66.

#### IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

February 7, 2012

Mr. Watt introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

## A BILL

To extend temporarily the suspension of duty on Vat Blue 66.

- Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

  SECTION 1. VAT BLUE 66.

  (a) IN GENERAL.—Heading 9902.02.65 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States is amended by striking "12/31/2006" and inserting "12/31/2014".

  (b) Effective Date.—

  (1) IN GENERAL.—The amendment made by
- 9 subsection (a) applies to goods entered, or with-10 drawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after 11 the 15th day after the date of enactment of this Act.

1	(2) RETROACTIVE PROVISION.—Notwith-
2	standing section 514 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19
3	U.S.C. 1514) or any other provision of law, upon
4	proper request filed with U.S. Customs and Border
5	Protection on or before the 15th day after the date
6	of the enactment of this Act, any entry, or with-
7	drawal from warehouse for consumption, of an arti-
8	cle—
9	(A) that was made after December 31,
10	2006, and before the date that is 15 days after
11	the date of enactment of this Act, and
12	(B) with respect to which there would have
13	been no duty or a lower rate of duty if the
14	amendment made by subsection (a) applied to
15	such entry or withdrawal,
16	shall be liquidated or reliquidated as if such amend-
17	ment applied to such entry or withdrawal.

 $\bigcirc$