MTG W/ HOVIS

- •1

MAY 2 0 1985

NOTE TO: The File

Joseph O. Bunting, Chief

Policy and Program Control Branch

Division of Waste Management

FROM:

SUBJECT: MEETING WITH MR. JAMES HOVIS, ATTORNEY, YAKIMA INDIAN NATION

On Monday, April 29, 1985, I received a letter (dated April 25, 1985) from Mr. James Hovis, Attorney for the Yakima Indian Nation, requesting a meeting with me as an adjunct to the NCSL Meeting to be held in Charleston, South Carolina on May 4-5, 1985. The purpose of the meeting with me was to explore the possibility of a Memorandum of Understanding between NRC and the Yakima Indian Nation regarding participation in NRC high-level waste activities pursuant to 10 CFR Part 60, Subpart C.

I did attend the NCSL meeting and met with Mr. Hovis. Mr. Hovis explained that his clients wanted to assure their participation and consultation in all NRC activities concerning BWIP. Mr. Hovis was somewhat concerned about some recent NRC and NRC/DOE meetings where his clients were notified about the meetings at the last minute, were not given the necessary materials for participation and were told they would be observers and not participants. The Tribe has determined that the optimal way to achieve this participation is through a written MOU with NRC pursuant to Subpart C. I explained to Mr. Hovis that we had not yet negotiated such an agreement with any state or indian tribe because we had not received any requests. However, we would be willing to work with him and the Tribe in order to negotiate such a document.

I told Mr. Hovis that we (NRC) would be receiving the Project Decision Schedule from DOE sometime in June and that this might be a good vehicle for determining the points where the Tribe would want to work with NRC. This would also work to our advantage because we would know, in advance, that the states/tribes wanted to have input and could factor that into our long-range scheduling and planning. Mr. Hovis agreed that this would be a good starting point and said he would wait to hear from us to set up such a meeting

pomo ana oa	a no modia naio (	o near rrom a	o do oco ap ouc	a meeoring.		
WM Record File	WM Project 10 Docket No.		<i>ls1</i>			
Distribution:	PDR		Joseph O. Bunting, Chief Policy and Program Control Branch Division of Waste Management			
(Return to WM, 623-SS)			8506100804 PDR WASTE WM-10	850520 PDR		
OFC : WMPCORE	WMPCER: WMF	B : Yak		; 510	: 1397	
NAME :CRussell:cp :DM	lattsof JOBunt	ing :JHovis	onavied		:	
DATE :85/05/15 :	5/15/85 : 3/1	1 w/c	oranied electrical electrical			

Distribution

WM: r/f Knapp

NMSS: r/f Higginbotham WMPC: r/f MJBell

SL: r/f REBrowning JHovis CRussell JGreeves **DMattson** 

Surmeier Altomare Kearnev

**JOBunting** Miller



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

## COCI U & YAM

NOTE TO: The File

FROM:

Joseph O. Bunting, Chief

Policy and Program Control Branch

Division of Waste Management

SUBJECT: MEETING WITH MR. JAMES HOVIS, ATTORNEY, YAKIMA INDIAN NATION

On Monday, April 29, 1985, I received a letter (dated April 25, 1985) from Mr. James Hovis, Attorney for the Yakima Indian Nation, requesting a meeting with me as an adjunct to the NCSL Meeting to be held in Charleston, South Carolina on May 4-5, 1985. The purpose of the meeting with me was to explore the possibility of a Memorandum of Understanding between NRC and the Yakima Indian Nation regarding participation in NRC high-level waste activities pursuant to 10 CFR Part 60, Subpart C.

I did attend the NCSL meeting and met with Mr. Hovis. Mr. Hovis explained that his clients wanted to assure their participation and consultation in all NRC activities concerning BWIP. Mr. Hovis was somewhat concerned about some recent NRC and NRC/DOE meetings where his clients were notified about the meetings at the last minute, were not given the necessary materials for participation and were told they would be observers and not participants. The Tribe has determined that the optimal way to achieve this participation is through a written MOU with NRC pursuant to Subpart C. I explained to Mr. Hovis that we had not yet negotiated such an agreement with any state or indian tribe because we had not received any requests. However, we would be willing to work with him and the Tribe in order to negotiate such a document.

I told Mr. Hovis that we (NRC) would be receiving the Project Decision Schedule from DOE sometime in June and that this might be a good vehicle for determining the points where the Tribe would want to work with NRC. This would also work to our advantage because we would know, in advance, that the states/tribes wanted to have input and could factor that into our long-range scheduling and planning. Mr. Hovis agreed that this would be a good starting point and said he would wait to hear from us to set up such a meeting.

Jøseph O. Bunting, Chief

punt O Buntan

Policy and Program Control Branch

**Oivision of Waste Management**