WM Record File

WM Project__/D Docket No.

PDR N LPDR L

Adopted as a Prop d MOU by the Board on April 20, 1984, subject to public review and comment, and final action on May 18, 1984.

Distribution:

HJM/REB/MJB OBIJIS IDRIN

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING 1 PUSSELL

BETWEEN

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AND THE NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD (Return to WM, 623-SS)

5F DELEGATION OF DUTIES TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY BY THE NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD

In order to retain a harmonious and effective relationship and to fully implement Chapter 161, Laws of 1984 (ESHB 1637), the Nuclear Waste Board and the Department of Ecology enter into this memorandum of understanding which establishes which of the responsibilities and activities specified in the Act shall be performed by the Board and which of the responsibilities and activities shall be performed by the Department.

The Nuclear Waste Board shall remain ultimately responsible for carrying out all of the responsibilities delegated to the Board in Chapter 43.200 RCW. The Board is the decision making and policy setting body and the Department the implementing body, performing all administrative and technical duties necessary to carry out the directives of the Board. Such duties shall be performed subject to the direction of the Board and subject to reporting to the Board. The Board and the Department anticipate a direct working relationship between the Board and its members and the Office of High-Level Nuclear Waste Management within the Department on all technical, administrative, professional and informational aspects of the program.

In accordance with Section 3(6), Chapter 161, Laws of 1984 (ESHB 1637), the Nuclear Waste Board delegates to the Department of Ecology, and the Department of Ecology accepts the delegatio of the following duties:

Generally, provide administrative and technical Suppo 1. including contract administration, for the Board-and paid for by funds available to the Department unger an approved grant received from the U.S. Department of Energy, or other approved sources, through Depart- / ment of Ecology staff or other support services obtained by contract by the Department of Ecology as approved by the Board.

March St. M.

- 2. Provide information and prepare analyses and recommendations for the Board to enable the Board to carry out its responsibilities to make recommendations to the Legislature and the Governor concerning agreements with the U.S. Department of Energy, or modifications thereto, and whether the state should approve or disapprove if a site located within the state is recommended by the U.S. Department of Energy to the President of the United States for the development of a repository for the long-term disposal of high-level radioactive waste or if a site within the state is selected for any other facility to be developed by the U.S. Department of Energy in accordance with the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 42 USC 10101 et seq.
- 3. Provide information and prepare analyses and recommendations for the Board to enable the Board to carry out its responsibilities to review, analyze, evaluate, and approve or disapprove of:
 - (a) any agreements within the power of the Board to enter into.
 - (b) formal comments on any guideline, regulation, mission plan, report, environmental assessment or other document or decision appropriate for the Board to comment on to protect the state's interests,
 - (c) any reports the Board may be required or may wish to give,
 - (d) requests for assistance from the federal government or other entity,

- (e) policy positions or statements of the Board,
- (f) formal requests for information or access to information from the U.S. Department of Energy,
- (g) formal requests to the U.S. Department of Energy that specific tests be conducted or other means be employed to address a state concern at a potential high-level radioactive waste storage or disposal site within the state,
- (h) contracts for such technical, professional or public information services desired by the Board from state agencies other than the Department of Ecology or from other sources, and
- (i) the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council's recommendations for the public information program.
- 4. Provide staff and information support for negotiators selected by the Board to negotiate:
 - A) A Consultation and Cooperation Agreement with the U.S. Department of Energy for a nuclear waste repository within the state, or modifications thereto, or such other agreements which may become desirable if other nuclear waste storage projects within the state are considered by the U.S. Department of Energy;

B) For financial assistance:

- (i) to review activities taken under Title 1, of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 with respect to a potential repository site for purposes of determining any potential economic, social, public health and safety, and environmental impacts of such repository on the State and its residents;
- (ii) to develop a request for impact assistance under paragraph (2) of section 116(c) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982;
- (iii) to engage in or contract for, any monitoring, testing, or evaluation activities with respect to site characterization programs with regard to such site;
 - (iv) to provide information to the state's residents regarding any activities of the State, the U.S. Department of Energy, or the Nuclear Regulatory Commission with respect to such site; and
 - (v) to request information from, and make comments and recommendations to, the U.S. Department of Energy regarding any activities taken under subtitle A of Title 1 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, with respect to such site.
- C) For impact assistance if construction of a repository is authorized;
- D) For in lieu of taxes from the U.S. Department of Energy; or

- E) For any other right of participation in the siting decisions by the state or its citizens or for any other financial assistance to which the state may be entitled under any federal act, rule or procedure relating to high-level radioactive waste disposal, storage, or transportation, including, but not limited to, the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982.
- 5. Prepare materials to enable the Board or the Board's designee to effectively serve as a spokesman before the U.S. Department of Energy and other entities concerning the state's positions or concerns about storage, disposal or transportation of high-level radioactive waste.
- 6. Provide to the Board and make available to the public written summaries of comments received and testimony heard at any hearings on EPA guidelines, NRC regulations, siting guidelines, environmental assessments, site nominations, site characterization plans, environmental impact statements, site selection reports, consultation and cooperation agreements, or any modifications to the foregoing, or any other opportunity the state or its citizens may possess under any federal act, rule or procedure relating to high-level radioactive waste disposal, storage or trnasportation, including but not limited to, the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 to protect the state's interests.
- 7. Perform, or oversee if performed by others by contract, the day-to-day monitoring, testing and evaluating of the activities of the U.S. Department of Energy and other entities relating to high-level radioactive waste disposal, including informal communications with those entities, as well as federal activities

concerning a possible test and evaluation facility, a monitored retrievable storage facility, an interim storage program and transportation of nuclear waste in the state to enable the state and its citizens to protect the state's interest.

The Board retains the authority to conduct portions of this monitoring, testing, and evaluation program through state agencies other than the Department of Ecology or through outside contractors if the Board deems necessary or appropriate.

- 8. Provide information and support to the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council to enable the Council to develop a recommendation to the Board for the public information program. Carry out such program if approved by the Board.
- 9. Accept and request technical and other information relating to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 and the U.S. Department of Energy's activities in relation thereto and maintain a library of such materials open to the public. Disseminate, or arrange with the U.S. Department of Energy or other entity for the dissemination of, information received concerning high-level radioactive waste management to persons designated by the Board.
- 10. Prepare for the approval of the Board the semiannual report to the Governor and legislature on:
 - a) the high-level radioactive waste program,
 - b) its progress in carrying out its responsibilities,and

- any recommendations for legislative or administrative action that will improve the state's management and control activity in maximizing public health and safety, including such recommendations for licensing mechanisms, if needed.
- 11. Prepare for the approval of the Board a semiannual program plan containing the program objectives and the plan for reaching those objectives.
- 12. Monitor, report to the Board on, and make recommendations to the Board on state and federal policies and activities relating to high-level radioactive waste.
- 13. Provide information and support to, coordinate and review the activities of any advisory or technical committees established by the Board, study the need for additional advisory or technical committees, and report to the Board thereon.
- 14. Utilize any federal resources or activities in joint efforts with other states, Indian tribes, or legislative committees, to the extent possible to maximize efficient use of funds and yet be consistent with the state's right of independent review.
- 15. Prepare reports or grant requests necessary to obtain all financial assistance available to finance the state's efforts with regard to high-level radioactive waste disposal for the Board and the Department.

 Perform all fiscal administrative duties for the Board, including but not limited to receiving and disbursing funds and administering all grants and contracts.

 Pay for any support staff specifically dedicated to

the Board or for contracts for services as may be agreed upon by the Board and the Department by interagency agreement.

16. Maintain the Board's records open to the public in accordance with RCW 42.17.250 through 42.17.340.

This memorandum of understanding shall not be amended or revoked except in an open public meeting of the Board, with proper notice given in accordance with Chapter 42.30 RCW, and after members of the public have been given an opportunity to comment on the proposed modification or revocation.

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLO	GY	NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD	
DONALD W. MOOS DIRECTOR	DATE	NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD	DATE
APPROVED BY NUCLEAR	WASTE BOARD ACTIO	N ON	_, 1984.
		DAVID STEVENS EXECUTIVE SECRETARY	
Approved as to form	n this, 1984.		

Assistant Attorney General

Adopted 3 a proposed document by the Board on April 20, 1984, subject to public review and comment, and final action on May 18, 1984. THE ROLE OF THE BOARD The Nuclear Waste Board shall remain ultimately responsible for carrying out all of the responsibilities delegated to the Board in Chapter 43.200 RCW, despite the memorandum of understanding with the Department of Ecology delegating certain duties to the Department. The Board shall retain the following duties and authority: Make recommendations to the Legislature and the Governor concerning agreements with the U.S. Department of Energy, or modifications thereto, and whether the state should approve or disapprove if a site located within the state is recommended by the U.S. Department

- 1. Make recommendations to the Legislature and the Governor concerning agreements with the U.S. Department of Energy, or modifications thereto, and whether the state should approve or disapprove if a site located within the state is recommended by the U.S. Department of Energy to the President of the United States for the development of a repository for the long-term disposal of high-level radioactive waste or if a site within the state is selected for any other facility to be developed by the U.S. Department of Energy in accordance with the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, 42 USC 10101 et seq.,
- 2. Review, analyze, evaluate and approve or disapprove of:
 - a) any other agreements within the power of the Board to enter into,
 - b) formal comments on any guideline, regulation, mission plan, report, environmental assessment or other document or decision appropriate for the Board to comment on to protect the state's interests.
 - c) any reports the Board may be required or may wish to give,
 - d) requests for assistance from the federal government

or other entity,

- e) policy positions or statements of the Board,
- f) formal requests for information or access to information from the U.S. Department of Energy,
- g) formal requests to the U.S. Department of Energy that specific tests be conducted or other means be employed to address a state concern at a potential high-level radioactive waste storage or disposal site within the state,
- h) contracts for such technical, professional or public information services desired by the Board from state agencies other than the Department of Ecology or from other sources, and
- i) the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council's recommendations for the public information program.
- 3. Designate a negotiator or negotiators for the Board as the need arises to negotiate:
 - A Consultation and Cooperation Agreement with the U.S. Department of Energy for a nuclear waste repository within the state, or modifications thereto, or such other agreements which may become desirable if other nuclear waste storage projects within the state are considered by the U.S. Department of Energy;
 - b) For financial assistance:
 - (i) To review activities taken under Title 1,
 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 with
 respect to a potential repository site for
 purposes of determining any potential economic,

social, public health and safety, and environmental impacts of such repository on the State and its residents;

- (ii) to develop a request for impact assistance under paragraph (2) of section 116(c) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982;
- (iii) to engage in or contract for, any monitoring, testing, or evaluation activities with respect to site characterization programs with regard to such site;
- (iv) to provide information to the state's residents regarding any activities of the State, the U.S. Department of Energy, or the Nuclear Regulatory Commission with respect to such site; and
 - (v) to request information from, and make comments and recommendations to, the U.S. Department of Energy regarding any activities taken under subtitle A of Title 1 of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, with respect to such site;
- c) For impact assistance if construction of a repository is authorized;
- d) For in lieu of taxes from the U.S. Department of Energy; or
- e) For any other right of participation in the siting decisions by the state or its citizens or for any other financial assistance to which the state may be entitled under any federal act, rule or procedure relating to high-level radioactive waste disposal, storage or transportation, including but not limited to, the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982.

- 4. Serve as the state's spokesman before the U.S. Department of Energy and other entities concerning the state's positions or concerns about storage disposal, or transportation of high-level radioactive waste, or designate such spokesman from time to time as the Board deems appropriate under the circumstances.
- 5. Conduct hearings and solicit comments, where appropriate, or designate such of its members or others to conduct such hearing where the Board deems appropriate, on EPA guidelines, NRC regulations, siting guidelines, environmental assessments, site nominations, site characterization plans, environmental impact statements, site selection reports, consultation and cooperation agreements, or any modifications to the foregoing, or any other opportunity the state or its citizens may posses under any federal act, rule or procedure relating to high-level radioactive waste disposal, storage or transportation, including but not limited to, the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 to protect the state's interests.
- 6. Conduct, through the Department of Ecology, a program to monitor, test and evaluate activities of and confer with the U.S. Department of Energy and other entities with respect to site characterization programs for a permanent repository as well as federal activities concerning a possible test and evaluation facility, a monitored retrievable storage facility, an interim storage program and transportation of nuclear waste in the state to enable the state and its citizens to protect the state's interest.

The Board retains the authority to conduct portions of this monitoring, testing, and evaluation program

through state agencies other than the Department of Ecology or through outside contractors if the Board deems necessary or appropriate, in which case the Department shall act as the contract administrator.

- 7. Conduct, through the participation, promotion, and coordination of the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council as much as feasible, or the Department of Ecology or outside contractors, as the Board deems appropriate, a program for public information which has been developed and recommended by the Advisory Council to maximize opportunities for public involvement in the high-level radioactive waste program, solicit public input, and create a wide understanding of the issues involved in nuclear waste management.
- 8. Report semiannually to the Governor and to each member of the Legislature on:
 - a) the radioactive waste program,
 - b) its progress in carrying out its responsibilities, and
 - any recommendations for legislative or administrative action that will improve the state's management and control activity in maximizing public health and safety, including such recommendations for licensing mechanisms, if needed.
- 9. Identify and review state policies, of the executive branch or the legislature, relating to the management of radioactive waste and make recommendations thereon to the agency involved or to the legislature and Governor as stated in subsection 8(c) above.

- 10. Advise the state's congressional delegation on activity in Congress and in the federal government related to the disposal of high-level radioactive waste.
- 11. Create additional advisory or technical committees as determined necessary.
- 12. Analyze recommendations of the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council to determine how state agencies may be responsive to the needs of the Board in carrying out its duties.
- 13. Determine ways in which coordination among state agencies can be improved.
- 14. Advise the Department of Ecology on participation in the low-level waste policy act of 1980, and
- 15. Monitor and comment on decisions of the northwest interstate compact committee on low-level radioactive waste management.
- 16. Any other duty or authority incident to carrying out the responsibilities delegated to the Board in Chapter 43.200 RCW and not specifically assigned to the Department of Ecology by the Board.

Chapter 335-08 WAS

BYLAWS OF THE NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD

Name.
Purpose.
Members.
Chair and vice chair.
Executive secretary.
Meetings.
Voting procedures.
Committees.
Amendment of bylaws.

MEN_SECTION

WAC 335-08-010 NAME. The name of the board is the nuclear waste board, as established by RCW 43.200.040.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-09-020 PURPOSE. The purpose of the board is to carry out the authority and responsibility set forth in chapter 43.200 PCW.

NEW_SECTION

WAC 335-08-030 MEMBERS. The board shall be composed of those members selected in accordance with FCW 43.200.040.

MIN SECTION

WAC 335-08-040 CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR. (1) The chair shall be the chair of the radioactive waste advisory council appointed by the

- governor.

 (2) The duties of the chair include:

 (3) Call the board to order at the time appointed for the meeting;
- (b) Ascertain the presence of a quorum;(c) Cause the minutes of the preceding meeting to be read and passed upon by the board;

 - (d) Sign minutes of board meetings as approved by the board;(e) Lay before the board its business in the appropriate order;
- (f) Receive any propositions made by members and put them to the board:
- (g) Divide the board on questions submitted by the chair and announce the result:
- (h) Decide all questions of order, subject to an appeal to the board:
 - (i) Preserve order and decorum in debate and at all other times;

r 1 1

- (i) Answer all parliamentary inquiries and give information as to the parliamentary effect of proposed acts of the board;
- (k) Sign and authenticate all the acts of the board, all its
- resolves and votes:
 (1) Act as the organ of the board, and as its representative, subject always to its will;
- (m) Approve or make comments or statements made on behalf of the board between meetings if such comments or statements must, in the opinion of the chair, be made prior to a regular monthly meeting and are of insufficient importance to warrant a special meeting, if such comments or statements are consistent with action taken or positions established by the board:
- (n) Appoint all subcommittees and designate the presiding officer; and
- Perform such additional duties as the board may deem neces-(c) sary and proper for the carrying out of his or her responsibilities.
- (3) The vice chair shall be elected by the board from among the nonlegislative members to serve at the pleasure of the board.
- (4) The vice chair shall serve in the absence of or in the disability of the chair.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-08-050 EXECUTIVE SECRETARY. (1) The program director of the office of high level nuclear waste management within the lepartment of ecology shall serve as executive secretary for the board.

(2) The duties of the executive secretary include:

- Performance or supervision of such activities which are reguested by the board and are delegated by the board to the department of ecology in accordance with section 3(6), chapter 161, Laws of 1994 (ESHB 1637) in a memorandum of understanding between the board and the department:
- (b) Cause the making of a record, either in writing or electronically, of the matters presented to the board;
- (c) Cause minutes to be prepared from such record for submission to the board for approval; and
- (d) Execute contracts for the board, together with the chair, attesting that the action was approved by the board.

NEW_SECTION

- WAC 335-08-060 MEETINGS. (1) The regular meetings of the nuclear waste board shall be held on the third Friday of each month, at 1:30 p.m. at the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council Hearings Room, Rowesix, 4224 Sixth Avenue S.E., Lacey, Washington, Building 1, unless such day is a state holiday, in which case the meetings shall be held on the preceding day at the same time, or unless notice of change of time or place, cancellation or notice of a special meeting is given in accordance with RCW 42.30.080.
- (2) Special meetings may be called at any time by the board chair or by a majority of the voting members of the board in accordance with the notice requirements of RCW 42.30.080.
- (3) Subcommittees shall meet upon the call of their presiding officers.
- (4) A quorum shall consist of both a majority of all members and a majority of the voting members of the board present. The members present at a maeting at which a quorum is not present may elect to proceed with the business of the meeting subject to ratification of all action taken whenever a quorum is next present at a meeting.

(5) The chair shall designate each meeting as public hearing, a work session, an executive session or any combination thereof. Anyone desiring to address the board may do so at a public hearing, subject to any time or topic limitations set by the chair. Only those persons called upon by the board may address the board during a work session. Only members of the board, whether voting or nonvoting, may address the board during an executive session.

(6) Informational materials pertaining to agenda items shall be sent to the board members for study in advance of the meetings when possible. Every effort shall be made to have the agenda finalized and the informational materials sent at least ten days before the meeting.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-08-370 VOTING PROCEDURES. (1) Any member may make a motion. No motion shall be entertained or debated until announced by the chair and every motion shall be deemed to have been seconded. At the discretion of the chair or if requested by any member of the board, a motion shall be reduced to writing and read by the executive secretary or designee before it shall be debated. By the consent of the board, a motion may be withdrawn before amendment or action.

(2) Voting shall be by voice, or by roll call at the discretion of the chair or the request of any of its members. All votes will be recorded by the executive secretary or designee.

(3) The chair shall have the same voting rights as any other vot-

ing member of the board.

(4) Effort will be made to reach consensus decisions, including the nonvoting legislative members. Where a consensus cannot be reached, action by the board will be determined by a majority of the voting members present and voting.

(5) The term "designee" in FCW 43.200.040 shall be construed to mean the person specified by the designating official in writing for

the purpose of determining whether the person may vote.

(6) Any member of the board who believes that he or she has a conflict of interest with regard to any issue before the board shall describe such conflict to the board and shall request to be excused from voting on the issue. If excused, the member may, nonetheless, participate in discussion and answer questions from other members. Action by the board not to exclude the member from voting on a particular issue shall not preclude such member from exercising his or her right to abstain from voting on the particular matter.

NEW_SECTION

WAC 335-08-080 COMMITTEES. Standing or special committees shall be appointed by the chair as the board from time to time deems necessary to carry on the work of the board. The chair shall be an ex officio member of all committees. All members, either voting or nonvoting, shall have equal power on any committee. Nonmembers may be appointed to serve on any committee the board deems appropriate.

MEW_SECTION

WAC 335-08-090 AMENDMENT OF BYLAWS. These bylaws may be amended at any regular meeting of the board by a two-thirds vote of the voting

members present, provided that the amendment has been submitted in writing at the previous meeting or has been sent to the members of the board by first class mail at least ten days prior to the meeting.



STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Mail Stop PV-11 • Olympia. Washington 98504 • (206) 459-6000

MEMORANDUM

May 2, 1984

TO:

David Stevens

FROM:

RO/kh

Rick Olson Por

SUBJECT:

Adoption of "Public Records" Rule

Attached is the paperwork I filed with the Code Revisors Office and the Rules Review Committee to adopt the rule on an emergency basis as well as start the process for permanent adoption. The schedule for adoption is as follows:

May 1	Paperwork filed, Emergency Rule effective (for 90 days)
May 16	Rule printed and distributed in the State Register
June 8	Last date for interested persons to file written comments to the Board
June 15	Regular meeting of the Board Public hearing on the Rule Adoption of the Rule via adoption of resolution (copy attached)
June	Filing of resolution and rules with Code Revisor
30 days later	Effective date of permanent rules

. 2

Chapter 335-06 WAC

PUBLIC RECORDS

rganization.
vailable.
lic records.
son for denial of public records
l of public records request.
blic records.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-010 PURPOSE. The purpose of this chapter is to implement the requirements of sections 25 through 32, chapter 1, Laws of 1973 (RCW 42.17.250 - 42.17.320) relating to public records.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-020 DEFINITIONS. (1) The terms "person," "public record," and "writing" shall have the meanings as stated in RCW 42.17.020.

- (2) "Board" means the nuclear waste board.(3) "Department" means the department of ecology.
- (4) "Director" means the director of the department.
- (5) "Office" means the office of high-level nuclear waste management, a division of the department to which the administration of the board has been delegated.
 - (6) "Program director" means the director of the office.
- (7) "Public records officer" means the records manager of the office.
- (8) "Designee" means the employee of the department designated by the program director or the public records officer to serve as the public records officer at the office in the absence of the officer.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-030 DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION. (1) The office of the board and the program director is located at 5826 Pacific Avenue, Lacey, Washington. The mailing address is:

Office of High-Level Nuclear Waste Management Department of Ecology PV-11 Olympia, Washington 98504

(2) The administrative duties of the board have been delegated to the department, to be conducted through the department's office of high-level nuclear waste management managed by the program director in

a memorandum of understanding between the board and the department.

(3) The board functions the options and remain meetings held in accordance with chapter 42.30 RCW and pursuant to bylaws of the

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-040 PUBLIC RECORDS AVAILABLE. (1) All public records of the board are available for public inspection and copying pursuant to these rules subject to subsections (2), (3), and (4) of this section.

(2) Availability of public records is subject to the exemptions and requirements of RCW 42.17.310.

(3) When a public record includes information the disclosure of which would lead to an unreasonable invasion of personal privacy, and the board becomes aware of this fact, the board shall delete such information before making the record available.

(4) Public records requested may not be readily available for immediate inspection. If the requested records are not readily available, the board shall notify the requester when and where such records will be available.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-050 RECORDS INDEX. The indexes developed by the office shall be available to all persons under the same rules and on the same conditions as are applied to public records available for inspection and shall be available in the library at the office.

MEN SECTION

WAC 335-06-060 REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC RECORDS. (1) All requests for inspection or copying made in person at the office shall be made on a form substantially as follows:

REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RECORDS

Date .	• • • •	• • •	• • •	• • •	• •							Ti	m e	•	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	• •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	٠.	• •	•	
Name .	• • • •						 				 			 			•				•		٠.			•		٠.		• •	
Addres	s	• • •	••		• •	• • •	 • •	••	٠.	• •	 ••		• •	 	• •			• •	• •	٠.	•	• •	٠.			•	• •	٠.	• •	• •	• •
						• • •	 				 			 																	

[2]

Description of Records:	
I certify that lists of names obtained will not be used for police	ained through this request for public tical or commercial purposes.
	Signature
Number of copies	•••••
Number of pages	•••••
Per page charge	\$
Total charge	\$

- (2) All requests made in person may be made at the office between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon and 1:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Mon-
- day through Friday, excluding legal holidays.
 (3) A request for inspection or copying of public records may be made by mail in a letter containing the following information:
- (a) The name and address of the person making the request and the organization the person represents;
- (b) The time of day and calendar date on which the person wishes to inspect the public records:
 - (c) A description of the public records requested;
- (d) A statement whether access to copying equipment is desired;(e) A phone number where the person can be reached in case the public records officer or designee needs to contact the person for further description of the material or any other reason.
- (f) A statement that the record will not be used for commercial purposes.
- (4) All requests by mail must be received by the office at least three business days before the requested date of inspection to allow the public records officer or designee to make certain the requested records are available and not exempt and, if necessary, to contact the person requesting inspection.
 (5) The office may in its discretion fill requests made by
- telephone.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-070 FEES. No fee shall be charged for the inspection of public records. For printed, typed, and written material of a maximum size of 8 1/2" by 14", the office shall charge a reasonable fee, determined from time to time by the board, for providing copies of public records and for use of the office's copy equipment, payable at the time copies are furnished. This charge is the amount necessary to reimburse the office for its actual costs incident to such copying and shall not exceed 20 cents per copy. For copies from microfilm, the charge shall not exceed 40 cents per copy. Copies of maps, photos, reports, and other nonstandard items shall be furnished at the regular price established by the board. When other special copy work for non-standard items is requested, the fee charged will reflect the total cost, including the time of office personnel.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-080 STATEMENT OF REASON FOR DENIAL OF PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. When the effect refuses, in while or rest, a written request for inspection of any public record, it shall include a statement of the specific exemption authorizing the refusal and a brief explanation of how the exemption applies to the record withheld.

NEW SECTION

WAC 335-06-090 REVIEWS OF DENIAL OF PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. (1) Any person who objects to the refusal of a written request for a public record may petition for prompt review of such decision by tendering a written request for review. The written request shall specifically refer to the written statement by the public records officer or designee which constituted or accompanied the refusal.

(2) Immediately after receiving a written request for review of a decision denying a public record, the public records officer or other staff member denying the request shall refer it to the program director or his delegate. The program director or his delegate shall immediately consider the matter and either affirm or reverse such refusal. The final decision shall be sent to the objecting person within two business days following receipt of the petition for review.

NEW_SECTION

WAC 335-06-100 PROTECTION OF PUBLIC PECORDS. In order to adequately protect the public records of the board, the following guidelines shall be adhered to by any person inspecting such public records:

- (1) No public records shall be removed from the office's premises.
- (2) Inspection of any public record shall be conducted in the presence of a designated office employee.
- (3) No public records may be marked or defaced in any manner during inspection.
- (4) Public records, which are maintained in a file or jacket, or chronological order, may not be dismantled except for purposes of copying and then only by the public records officer or designee.
- (5) Access to file cabinets, shelves, vaults, and other storage areas is restricted to office personnel, unless other arrangements are made with the public records officer or designee.

THE ROLE

OF THE

RADIOACTIVE WASTE ADVISORY COUNCIL

The Radioactive Waste Advisory Council shall advise the Nuclear Waste Board on all aspects of the radioactive waste management program, including but not limited to the impacts and feasibility of the proposed commercial high-level nuclear waste repository at Hanford, Washington in accordance with RCW 43.200.050. The Council will provide a channel for public input to the Board for providing information to the public on the management of radioactive waste.

1. Council Membership:

- a. The Council shall consist of not less than 15 local government officials and public members representing the Tri-Cities, the Yakima Indian Reservation, the ports of entry, the Columbia River Basin, and Western Washington. Members should also represent a diversity of backgrounds such as the scientific community, business, industry labor, the universities, public interest groups, and concerned citizens.
- b. No member shall be a Department of Energy employee or contractor associated with the planning, construction, or operation of the proposed facility.
- c. The chairman and members shall be appointed by the Governor and shall serve terms not to exceed two years although they may be reappointed. When vacancies occur, the chairman may submit recommendations for new members to the Governor. Members may continue to serve until their replacements have been appointed. The Governor may appoint a replacement for any council member who is temporarily unable to fulfill the responsibilities required of a council member. The replacement shall serve at the pleasure of the Governor.

2. Council Functions and Responsibilities:

- a. The Council may make specific and general recommendations on all aspects of the radioactive waste management program to the Nuclear Waste Board, including but not limited to, recommendations concerning any consultation and cooperation agreements negotiated by the Board, recommendations how state agencies may be responsive to the needs of the Board in carrying out the Board's duties under Chapter 43.200 RCW and recommendations solicited by the Board if a site within the state is selected as a repository.
- b. The Council will seek to identify areas of public concern regarding the health, safety, and welfare impacts of the facility. It shall solicit and collect the views of local units of government, Indian tribal councils, the general public, and interested citizen's groups to develop recommendations to the Board.
- c. The Council may identify opportunities for public participation and evaluate the adequacy of procedures for involving the public in state and federal decisions on the transportation, storage, or disposal of high-level radioactive wastes.
- d. The Council will advise the Board on the components of a public information program and may, to the extent requested by the Board, assist in coordinating and implementing such a program. The public information program will provide information on the nature of high-level radioactive waste, the disposal of these wastes, the activities of the board, the activities of the federal department of energy and other federal agencies related to the disposal of high-level radioactive waste, and the opportunities of the public to participate in procedures and decisions related to the disposal of high-level radioactive waste.
- e. The Council members will serve on any committee of the Board to which they are appointed by the Chair of the Board.

3. Liaison with the Board:

- a. The Council shall report its progress, findings, and recommendations to the Board at each monthly meeting of the Board. The Board will inform the Council via the chairman of the Council of forthcoming policy recommendations by the Board and, except where delay would jeopardize the interests of the state or its citizens, the Board shall solicit, receive, and take into consideration the advice and recommendations of the Council before adopting a final position on any major issues before it, or making any recommendations to the Legislature or Governor.
- b. Requests for advice or information from the Council shall be forwarded via the chairman to all council members sufficient in advance of Board meetings for the Council to meet and develop recommendations to the Board.
- c. The Director of the Office of High Level Nuclear Waste Management shall act as liaison officer for day-to-day communications with the Council. The Council chairman may designate a member of the Council to act as liaison with the Board or and other interested state agencies.
- d. Council members are encouraged to attend Board meetings (and vice versa) and may participate in Board discussions as time permits.
- e. All Board documents and correspondence shall be made available to Council members.

4. Media Relations:

a. The chairman of the Council or the chairman's designee shall make statements on council positions to the media. b. Members of the Council shall, when discussing matters with media representatives, clearly distinguish between personal views and positions of the Council.

5. Council Meetings:

- a. Meetings shall be held on a regular basis according to schedules established by the Council. In general, such meetings shall be held prior to Board meetings, with special meetings to be called by the chairman at a location designated by the chairman. Items referred by the Board shall be addressed first on the agenda.
- b. Joint meetings of the Council and the Board shall be scheduled no less than twice a year, at which times the Council shall report on its activities, solicit the views of the Board and establish priorities for future Council consideration.
- c. The Council may arrange for briefings by government officials or others as appropriate to the implementation of its duties.
- d. The Director of the Office of High Level Nuclear Waste Management or his designee shall attend all regular Council meetings.
- e. All meetings of the Council shall be considered open to the public and may include public participation at the discretion of the chairman.

6. Reporting Procedures:

- a. All formal recommendations of the Council shall be transmitted in writing to the Board and at the discretion of Council, to the Governor and Legislature.
- b. The Board will acknowledge the recommendations and indicate the manner of disposition within 30 days of receiving such recommendations.

c. All documents submitted by the Council shall be considered public information and shall be made available on request.

7. Funding:

- a. The Council's activities shall be funded from the Department of Energy grant to the Board through the administrative offices of the Department of Ecology.
- b. Funding shall be sufficient to reimburse members for travel and per diem while attending Council or Board meetings, appropriate public hearings and tours of facilities. It shall also provide for part-time secretarial services, report preparation, and rental of meeting facilities as required.

MINUTES OF NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD MEETING

April 20, 1984

Hearings Room
Building #1 - Rowesix
4224 Sixth Avenue, S.E., Lacey, WA

Minutes of Nuclear Waste Board Regular Meeting, April 20, 1984 1:30 p.m. - EFSEC Hearings Room

Board Members Present:

Richard H. Watson, Acting Chair Senator Max Benitz
Ray Lasmanis, DNR Designee
Dr. John Beare, DSHS Designee
Nancy Kirner, DSHS Designee
Senator Margaret Hurley
Nicholas D. Lewis
Donald W. Moos
Representative Dick Nelson
Representative Nancy Rust
Senator Al Williams
Dr. William Funk

The meeting was called to order by Richard H. Watson, Acting Chair.

It was moved and seconded the minutes of the previous meeting be approved as submitted. Motion carried.

Report of Transition Committee

The Transition Committee, composed of Richard A. Watson, Chair, Warren A. Bishop, Representative Dick Nelson, Senator Max Benitz, and Nancy Kirner reported to the Board recommendations regarding the delegation of division of responsibilities between the Board and the Department of Ecology.

Mr. Watson called upon Rick Olson, Office staff member, to explain the Proposed Memorandum of Understanding, the Role of the Board, the Bylaws, and proposed rule "Public Records" developed by the Transition Committee.

During the ensuing discussion Don Moos requested that a draft "Role of the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council" be prepared.

Mr. Lewis supported Dr. Beare's request for a document defining the role of the Board and the Department concerning low-level radioactive waste.

Memorandum of Understanding

Nick Lewis moved that the "Memorandum of Understanding" be adopted as a proposed document, subject to public review and comment and final adoption at the next regular meeting scheduled for May 18, 1984, with the following amendments:

- On page 2, before 2 (B) insert
 "Provide information and prepare analyses and recommendations
 for the Board to enable the Board to carry out its responsibil ities to"
- 2. On page 6, section 9, line 1, after "for the" insert "approval of the"
- 3. On page 7, section 10, line 1, after "for the" insert "approval of the"
- 4. On page 7, section 12, line 1, strike "oversee."

Representative Nelson suggested, and Mr. Lewis moved, that the MOU be amended on page 1, second paragraph, by striking "general" on lines 6 and 7 and striking "such reasonable" and "requirements requested by" on line 8 and inserting "to." Motion carried.

Dr. Beare moved to strike "Consultation and Cooperation" from line 4, in section 3(A) on page 3. Motion carried.

The original motion to adopt the proposed Memorandum of Understanding as amended subject to public review and comment and final adoption at the next regular meeting was carried unanimously.

Dr. Beare's suggestion to insert "be" after "yet" on the fourth line in section 13 on page 7 was accepted by consensus.

Role of Board

Don Moos moved to adopt the Role of the Board as a proposed document, subject to public review and comment and final adoption at the next regular meeting scheduled for May 18, 1984.

Discussion was held concerning the use of the term "radioactive waste" as used in points 8 and 9 on page 5 of the Role of the Board." The question was raised as to whether radioactive waste would be designated "high-level" to clarify the responsibility of the Board. The consensus was to leave this section for further study and action by the Board.

Nick Lewis moved to amend the motion by striking "Consultation and Cooperation" on line 4, section 3(A) on page 2. Motion carried.

Main Motion, as amended, carried.

Bylaws

Don Moos moved to adopt the Bylaws.

Nick Lewis moved to amend the Bylaws, as follows:

- 1. On page 3, section 060 (1), line 6, after "unless notice of" insert "change of time or place,"
- 2. On page 2, section 060 (6), last line, strike "one week" and insert "at least ten days"
- 3. On page 3, section 070 (2), line 2, strike "voting"
- 4. On page 3, after section 080, insert:

New Section

090 Amendment of Bylaws.

"These Bylaws may be amended at any regular meeting of the Board by a two-thirds vote of the voting members present, provided that the amendment has been submitted in writing at the previous meeting or has been sent to the members of the Board by first-class mail at least ten days prior to the meeting."

Motion carried. Motion as amended, carried.

Questions left for further drafting and discussion include:

- 1. Provision for the Chair to report to the Board concerning action taken by the Chair between meetings.
- 2. Provision concerning opportunity for public comment prior to Board taking action on agenda items.
- Provision concerning the necessity for formal action or approval of certain items listed in the Memorandum of Understanding or Role of the Board, and/or the degree of formality of such action.

A question discussed, but no action taken, was to amend the proposed Bylaws dealing with the position of Vice Chair.

Public Records

Nick Lewis moved the Board adopt, as an emergency rule, the proposed "Public Records" and approved publication of notice of intent to adopt them as permanent rules. Motion carried. Staff was instructed to prepare and file the documents.

The Board members were instructed to initiate new letters indicating their Designees to the Board.

Consultation and Cooperation Agreement Process

David Stevens reported that on April 12 a meeting of the state and federal C & C negotiating team was held to reactivate negotiation and address concerns posed by the two pieces of legislation adopted during the past legislative session. The next meeting with the federal team is scheduled for May 8, ESHB 1637 added ingredients, plus instructions to bring an agreement before the Board for early approval, which will then require at least two public hearings, before legislative review.

The Board agreed an intensive review of the C & C Agreement was needed by the Board, and a special meeting will be called early in May. The Office was instructed to set up a special meeting on May 7, 8, or 9, with advice to the Board members. Mr. Stevens said the meeting with the Federal team

could be slipped to a date following the Board meeting. (Subsequently, the meeting was scheduled for May 9, 1984)

Well-Logging Proposal

Dr. Brewer asked the Board's approval to request Federal funds to proceed with the well-logging project with the Civil & Environmental Engineering Department - Washington State University, as outlined at the last Board meeting and in his memo to the Board of April 11, 1984. He reiterated timing was important as the project should begin within a few weeks to coordinate with the irrigation season.

In response to questions, Dr. Brewer explained no new funds would need to be requested from USDOE. Reprogrammed funds would be used from the FY 84 grant, which would be available because of the slippage in the Federal BWIP program. Further discussion questioned the need for the state to gather this information rather than request the USDOE to obtain it. It was pointed out there was a need for independent sources for the state's ability to review the activity of BWIP and interpretation outside USDOE was in the best interest of the state.

Don Provost moved to give staff permission to present this proposal to USDOE and initiate negotiations, with a report back to the Board.

Dave Squires of USDOE remarked that USDOE has a regional program in place, but are not doing any work to the east, but were mostly concentrating in the west and south. He said extensive well logging was done by Washington State University in the area, but they were looking at only shallow holes.

The motion was called for and the motion carried. Representative Nelson asked to go on record as opposing the project.

Consultant Proposal

Don Provost reported the Evaluation Team, composed of members of the Department of Ecology, a representative from the Council, and one from the Board, selected four final participants from the proposals submitted and rated them in three areas: geotechnical engineering, public information, and policy development. At their meeting on April 19, the team decided to seek the advice of the Board on some identified issues although the evaluation team felt any one of the four could do the job.

Because the firms have had experience and have conducted work for USDOE or contractors to the USDOE with varying degrees of participation in the nuclear field, the team felt the Board should have a complete understanding, perhaps in executive session, before a contractor was selected.

Since there is a time factor involved, the decision was made to ask the Evaluation Team to supply the Board with a written criteria summary and recommendation for consideration at the Special Meeting of the Board to be set up the second week of May.

Actions to Protect State Interest

A written memorandum outlining litigation possibilities under the NWPA was presented to the Board by Charles Roe and Charles Lean, Assistant Attorneys General.

Westinghouse Presentation

As a matter of interest to the Board, Nick Lewis announced he had been approached by the Westinghouse Corporation, which has developed a series of energy briefings on nuclear energy and nuclear waste. They have presented these briefings across the nation and the EFSEC Executive Board reviewed the presentation with good reactions. Mr. Lewis suggested the presentation might be a good agenda item for the Nuclear Waste Board as it represents some of the concerns of industry. There would be no fee, and the presentation would take about 1½ to 2 hours. He recommended it be scheduled by the Board within the next two to four months.

A motion was made and seconded that Mr. Lewis arrange for the Westinghouse presentation subject to the schedule constraints of the Board within the next three or four months. Motion carried.

The suggestion was made to invite the members of the Advisory Council to the presentation.

Compensation Alternatives

Charles B. Roe, Senior Assistant Attorney General, discussed the possibility of compensation for liaison activities and expanded duties and responsibilities resulting from legislation enacted this year which created the Nuclear Waste Board. His memo of April 19, 1984, to Donald W. Moos and Richard Watson documented his statement that language in the legislation would allow the Department of Ecology to employ or contract with a person holding the position of Board Chairman to provide services to the Board.

Don Provost moved the staff and the Attorney General prepare a draft personal services contract to address the issue of compensation for Board liaison activities, and have a request document prepared from the Board to the Department of Ecology to carry out certain functions as embodied in that personal services contract; these documents to be returned to the Board for final action. Motion carried.

Office Organization

David Stevens introduced Gary Rothwell of the Office who briefly explained the Office organization. The 1983 grant authorized eleven positions. Gary traced the progression of the office positions from the original 1983 grant to the present and charted the proposed organizational structure.

Of the eleven original positions eight are presently being serviced by permanent, temporary, and loaned personnel. These are: Program Director, Technical Director, Administrative Supervisor, Administrative Assistant, Public Information Officer, Secretary, Reference Librarian, and Program and Policy Coordinator.

The three positions which will be needed soon are: Hydraulic Engineer, Policy Analyst, and Word Processing Operator. Following these additions, projections show eight additional technical and clerical positions may be needed, depending on the actions of the USDOE.

Steps to implement the 1984 plan require presentation to the Board for information and reaction, OFM and Department of Personnel approval, and USDOE approval. The 1985 grant will be renegotiated for October 1, 1984 and the organization will be revisited.

Other Business

Representative Nelson reported that the Radioactive Waste Subcommittee he chairs is looking at several pieces of legislation concerning strengthening the liability, transportation issue, and a solution to the waste problems connected with future construction. He advised he would keep the Board informed on the progress of this legislation.

Charles Roe reported on the two-day meeting on the high-level radioactive waste disposal issue of the National Association of Attorneys General held on April 5-6, 1984. All of the six major states involved plus nine others attended the meeting, which basically studied what determination could be worked out in terms of possible litigation strategies. Another meeting will probably be set in late July or early August at the invitation of the Attorney General of Mississippi, to be held in Mississippi. He said he would keep the Board informed and as ad hoc chairman invited any interested Board member to attend.

It was moved and seconded the meeting be adjourned.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

Minutes of Nuclear Waste Advisory Council Meeting April 20, 1984 9:30 a.m. Hearings Room Puilding #1 - Powering

Building #1 - Rowesix 4224 Sixth Avenue, S.E., Lacey, WA

Council Members Present:

Warren A. Bishop, Acting Chair Councilman Lane A. Bray Mayor Joe Jackson Mayor Fred Jarrett Gordon Kunz Anita Monoian Jim Worthington Brian Baird

The meeting was called to order by Acting Chair, Warren Bishop.

Mr. Bishop paid tribute to Susan E. Gould who had chaired the Council and the Board since their inception. He praised her for her dedication and outstanding leadership. He also said she would still be available for consultation should the Council need her.

The minutes were approved as submitted.

Consultant Proposal Progress

David Stevens introduced Don Provost who reported on the progress of the final selection of the consultant. He said the last meeting of the consultant team, composed of Nancy Kirner, Ed McGuire, Marta Wilder, Warren Bishop, Don Provost, and Jim Dannenmiller, was held on April 19. Four participants were selected from the proposal submitted and rated in three areas: geotechnical engineering, public information, and policy development. He continued all four finalists would be capable of doing the job. They had all done work in the Hanford area, but none in the policy area. He said the Board would be presented with the rating information during the afternoon meeting and their input and advice would be sought. He indicated that the major issue for the rest of this fiscal year would be to work with the Council on developing and implementing public information program. He said once the contractor is chosen, the staff would work with the contractor on a draft program to be brought before the Council.

Senate Subcommittee on Radioactive Waste Hearing

Donald Provost was asked to give a summary of the Senate Energy and Utilities Subcommittee on Radioactive Waste hearing held the evening of April 19 in Seattle. He reported Senators Hurley, Chair, Benitz, Williams, and Goltz were present, as well as Representatives Long and Issacson. Mr. Provost gave the Subcommittee a brief review of Nuclear Waste Board activities. This was followed by testimony. Those testifying included:

Walbridge J. Powell, engineering geologist from Mercer Island Eileen Buller, Hanford Oversight Committee
Joie Reichlin, Church Council of Greater Seattle
Ted Dzielak, Greenpeace
Norman Buske, Search Technical Services
Sue Krala, WashPIRG
Gerald Pollet, WashPIRG

Mr Provost said Eileen Buller's testimony cited specific increased health problems occurring in Eastern Washington, and there was concern about additional problems should a repository be sited at Hanford. Mr. Provost thought DSHS might want to look at a copy of her testimony which he planned to secure.

Sue Krala of WashPIRG testified on conflict resolution issues in the C & C document. Mr. Provost said her recommendations would be considered by the C & C negotiating team.

Representative Ray Isaacson offered an invitation to the Committee to visit Richland to observe the latest data.

In response to questioning, Mr. Provost explained the Joint Committee on Science and Technology is a balanced team composed of equal members of the House and Senate. They are looking for permanent staff to assist the legislators on the Board. Mr. Stevens added that each body of the Legislature has its own Energy and Utilities Committee, with subcommittees in each dealing with radioactive waste. The U.S. Department of Energy grant goes through WDOE directly to the Joint Science and Technology Committee.

Mr. Stevens reported that on Tuesday there was a House Subcommittee on Radioactive Waste meeting, which reviewed the following issues: High-level nuclear waste program status as a result of passage of state legislation; a briefing by staff attorneys on the C & C Agreement in the area of transportation; and the question of liability. The last part of the meeting was devoted to a report by Lee Olson of the U.S. Department of Energy who traced the development of the BWIP program from its beginning.

Discussion followed on the BWIP program, and the Council requested Mr. Olson be scheduled for a joint Board and Council meeting to present an updated overview of the program.

C & C Process

David Stevens reminded the Council that in December a Draft C & C Agreement was released for public and legislative review. It was intensely scrutinized by the Legislature. The Legislature passed a Concurrent Resolution giving the Office instructions to ensure that several critical issues were addressed. Also, ESHB 1637 added ingredients, plus instructions to bring an agreement before the Board for early approval, which would then require at least two public hearings before legislative review.

ESHB 1637 also gave the Board the primary responsibility for carrying out negotiations of this kind. The Board then instructed the existing negotiating team to continue its efforts and bring back a draft it felt would protect the state's interests.

ESHB 1637 also added additional ingredients: examination of any rights the state may be waiving, the need to look at remedies in case of breach of agreement, and continuing to look at the whole area of conflict resolution without giving up our opportunity to go to court.

The question arose as to the dollar amount designated in ESHB 1637 for socio-economic impacts, and Mr. Stevens replied this was perhaps the most difficult area to address as no baseline has yet been established. To establish a dollar amount at this stage of the negotiations without having all the data needed could be construed at a later time as being the maximum amount available. This could pose a significant problem for the state.

The Legislature also wants to see if any additional rights and privileges could be included for the state which are not inconsistent with the federal law. Mr. Stevens felt that although the issues are not resolved he believed the negotiations on identified issues are on grounds that will protect the state. In response to a question about the amounts of money being given to other states by the USDOE, Mr. Stevens thought Washington might be getting somewhat more. None of the other states have initiated the C & C process and he said he could ask for an update on grants for the other states. * Each has its individual requirements and different attitudes about accepting federal money.

Mr. Stevens concluded by mentioning that the Legislature was originally troubled about the need for a C & C Agreement. Some felt it was putting the state into the position of positive attitude concerning the siting of a repository, while other felt it was necessary to get the federal government on record with a written commitment. He said he felt the Legislature has now reached consensus that the C & C agreement is appropriate and necessary, and they do want to be involved in the review process. The next negotiation meeting is set for May 17. When the final draft is brought to the Board, the Council will also be asked for its input. When the agreement is approved, it would then be subject to Board, public, and legislative review.

Film: "Nuclear Waste Isolation: A Progress Report

Mr. Stevens said the film to be shown was made by the U.S. Department of Energy about two or three years ago. It was neither commissioned nor recommended by the Office, but it does show some of the aspects of the waste program, and is an illustration of what could be used in developing our future public information activities.

U.S. Department of Energy BWIP Presentations

Dave Squires of USDOE commented on the plans for USDOE public meetings and discussions of BWIP activities at Hanford. Their current schedule calls for a public information meeting in Richland on May 16. They would

like to make this same presentation to the Advisory Council on May 18 at the regular meeting. Future meetings would be held in Seattle, Pendleton, and Yakima, with five additional meetings in the state later.

The Council approved the scheduling of the presentation at the May 18 meeting. Mr. Bishop suggested the Board could be invited and perhaps the contractor selected should the Board make this decision at the afternoon meeting. The Council agreed.

Legislation Implementation

David Stevens reported the Transition Team had developed a program to implement the legislation passed at the last session of the Legislature. He introduced Rick Olson of the office staff who briefly discussed the four documents prepared for presentation to the Board at the afternoon meeting: Memorandum of Understanding, Role of the Board, Bylaws, and Public Records. All of these documents were sent to the Council members previously. Mr. Bishop invited the Council to attend the Board meeting that day when the documents would be discussed in detail.

Role of the Council

The question was raised as to whether the Council's activities were diminished because passage of ESHB 1637 delegated the principal authority to the Board. Both Mr. Stevens and Mr. Bishop said the new law would strengthen the Council's role by putting more emphasis on their advisory role, particularly in the public information area. The original written structure and functions of the Advisory Council were reviewed by Mayor Jarrett, and the staff was asked to draft an updated Role of the Council compatible with the new law.

Mission Plan, Environmental Assessment, and Guidelines

David Stevens reported the original Mission Plan draft of USDOE was criticized and has now been substantially revised before its formal release for public comment. The release date was April 23, but he understands there has been further delay and it may be the end of April. Copies will be made available when it is received. Volume I is basically the strategy document, and Volume II contains program details.

Environmental Assessments are in the process of being drafted and the document for BWIP should be received by the state for review some time in June. USDOE Program Guidelines are still being examined by the NRC, although a draft concurrence order was issued in March. There are seven major changes that need to be addressed before concurrence will finally be granted. NRC and USDOE are trying to resolve these issues in a series of weekly meetings. Some progress is reportedly being made, and NRC could issue a concurrence document the latter part of May, with the Guidelines being finalized some time in the month of June.

Staff Implementation

David Stevens introduced Gary Rothwell of the Office who briefly explained the office organization. The 1983 grant authorized 11 positions. Gary traced the progression of office positions from the original 1983 grant to the present and charted the proposed organizational structure.

Of the 11 original positions eight are presently being serviced by permanent, temporary, and loaned personnel. These are: Program Director, Technical Director, Administrative Supervisor, Administrative Assistant, Public Information Office, Secretary, and Reference Librarian, and Program and Policy Coordinator.

The three positions which will be needed soon are: Hydraulic Engineer, Policy Analyst, and Word Processing Operator. Following these additions, projections show up to eight additional technical and clerical positions may be needed, depending on the actions of the USDOE and others.

Steps to implement the 1984 plan require presentation to the Board for information and reaction, OFM and Department of Personnel approval, and USDOE approval. The 1985 grant will be renegotiated by October 1, 1984 and the organization will be revisited.

Mayor Jarrett requested a table of organization for the office when the chart is in final form with the names of the persons occupying the positions. The office will plan to make this available to the members when it is firm.

Reference Center

The members of the Council were provided a list of "Selected New Additions" in the Reference Center, and Jeanne Rensel requested the opinion of the Council on this type of information. The Council agreed it was a useful tool to have this on a regular basis, and suggested it might be numbered in serial form.

Chairman Bishop again invited the Council members to the Board meeting scheduled that afternoon.

The meeting was adjourned.

hanford Oversight Committee

814 NE 40th, Seattle, Wa. 98105 (206) 632-0500

P.O. Box 4212 Portland, Or. 97208 (503) 223-4880

Board of Directors Attiliation for identification purposes only

Charles W.E. Bell Fellowship of Reconciliation Portland, Or

Janice Berndt S. Idaho Snake River Atliance Boise, Id.

Annie Bringloe Sierra Club Seattle, Wa

Eileen Buller Issaquah, Wa. Larry Caldwell Richland, Wa

Gina Maduro
N W Action for Disarmament
Portland, Or

Portland, Or Jenet Nudelman WashPIRG Olympie, Wa.

Joe Ryan American Friends Serv. Com Seattle, Wa.

Craig Shimabukuro American Friends Serv. Com. Seattle, Wa.

Dr. Ruth Weiner Sierra Club Seattle, Wa

Hazel A. Wolf Seattle Audubon Society Seattle, Wa APRIL 19, 1984

TESTIMONY
for
SUBCOMMITTEE ON RADIOACTIVE WASTE
Energy and Utilities Committee
Washington State Senate

Presented by Eileen Buller, President



I am Eileen Buller, President of the Hanford Oversight Committee, a coalition of groups and individuals concerned about the impacts of locating a High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository at Hanford. Over the past months, we have testified at hearings and attended meetings to hear the views and ongoing process decisions of those that ultimately hold the fate of the citizens of Washington in their hands.

The area of concern I wish to address tonight is, "Health Effects of a Nuclear Waste Repository at Hanford."

Historically, whenever citizens raised questions about health effects caused by exposure to nuclear sources, there was a scramble by government and the industry to discredit the question/questioner, or if that didn't work, to reassure everyone that the doses of ionizing radiation were well within established limits and not harmful.

When we consider a repository at Hanford, receiving the most lethal of all nuclear waste which is spent fuel rods from power plants, we must ask these questions and expect to receive accurate answers.

I will ask only four of the many health-related questions that I hope you on this panel have asked yourselves and those wishing to promote a Hanford repository.

- 1. What is causing Lincoln and Spokane Counties to rank 2nd and 3rd respectively. in world rates for Multiple Sclerosis?
- 2. Why have birth defects doubled in the last 25 years in the $U_{\bullet}S_{\bullet}$?
- 3. Why has no methodical study ever been done on Hanford's effect on the region's health?
- 4. The Eastern Washington Health Systems Agency in its 1982 report noted: "For the past five years, the cancer death rate in Eastern Washington has been consistently higher than cancer death rates in the state and nation." Page 3-17 What causes this cancer death rate?

As we contemplate the issue of health effects posed by siting additional waste at Hanford, it would be wise to remember how the radiation standards for the public originated. From the book, <u>Nuclear Barons</u>, we read:

Expert knowledge of radiaton effects evolved slowly as scientists came to understand and measure the different types of radiation and arrived at standards for industrial and public exposure. Experts did not easily agree on what the standards should be. Because radiation poisoning took so many different forms and also took so long to produce effects, there was no hard scientific evidence of its effects at low level. The consensus that emerged was that no level was safe. The act of setting up a standard, like the Atomic Energy Commission's decision not to inform the public about the effects of fallout, was a political judgment, not a scientific one.

Page 184.

I hope we make it a conscious part of the current decision-making process to remember the very real threat to public health and future generations that additional waste will pose.

When the Los Alamos Scientific Labs suggest that land be "zoned into uranium mining and milling districts so as to forbid human habitation" and the National Academy of Sciences suggest areas of the "arid West" slated for strip mining be declared "National Sacrifice Areas" or a town near Seascale in England with its nuclear reprocessing plant like the PUREX plant at Hanford be dubbed "The Village of the Damned", then the possibilities of catastrophic human and environmental disasters must be apparent.

It is a stark picture indeed, to contemplate Eastern Washington with its budding wine industry and fine farmlands being called a "sacrifice area" but that appears to be what we witnessing. We hope that the hard decision to say "no" to the Federal government and nuclear industry promoters will be made and that in retrospect, it will look like the easy decision to protect the Columbia River watershed and the citizens of our region.

Nuclear Waste Board Special Meeting

May 9, 1984

2614 Library Building The Evergreen State College Olympia, Washington

Board Members Present:

Warren A. Bishop, Chair
Ray Lasmanis, DNR Designee
Nancy Kirner, DSHS Designee
Nicholas D. Lewis
Donald W. Moos
Representative Dick Nelson
Representative Nancy Rust
Richard H. Watson
Dr. William Funk

Chair Warren Bishop called the meeting to order.

The morning was spent in a workshop session discussing and analyzing the working draft of the Consultation and Cooperation Agreement being negotiated by the Negotiating Team between the state of Washington and the U.S. Department of Energy.

No specific actions were taken by the Board in regard to issues the Negotiating Team is addressing with the federal team. However, there was high interest in monitoring the ability of the state to carry out a comprehensive, independent review program.

The Chair recessed the meeting at 12:40 p.m. until 1:50 p.m.

In his opening remarks at the afternoon session, Mr. Bishop explained that only the business on the agenda for the special meeting could be considered. Other issues would be taken up at the regular meeting on May 18. He continued by saying he had accepted the Chairmanship of the Council and the Board because of his strong interest in the program. He expressed his desire to develop a good public information program on this complex subject. He also emphasized his interest in developing a smoothly performing and effective Board.

Consultant Selection

Chair Warren Bishop called upon David Stevens and Donald Provost to report on the contractor selection process. The Office established a Selection Committee composed of: Warren Bishop, Nancy Kirner, Marta Wilder, Jim Dannenmiller, Ed McGuire, and Donald Provost. Mr. Provost reported the Committee's recommendation of Envirosphere Company to be the technical consultant for the Office. The Committee undertook a comprehensive rating system which was utilized in their final recommendation. Because the Board had expressed a concern at its last meeting about the potential of some

members of the public perceiving any of the proposed contractors as being potentially biased because of previous business carried out for the nuclear industry, the Committee submitted letters addressing this issue from the contractors rated highest by the Committee. These responses were discussed by the Board members.

Following extended discussion, Donald Moos moved to adopt Resolution 84-2, as follows: "The Nuclear Waste Board approves of the selection of Envirosphere Company as prime contractor to perform technical and review services."

Motion was adopted.

The Chair requested Rick Olson to explain the proposed draft form Memorandum of Agreement presented to the Board. Following his comments, Nick Lewis moved to adopt Resolution 84-3, as follows: "The Nuclear Waste Board approves of the draft form Memorandum of Agreement for technical and review services with Envirosphere Company, and authorizes Warren Bishop to assist WDOE staff to negotiate the final Memorandum of Agreement with the contractor and as Chair to sign such agreement indicating Board approval if agreement is reached; PROVIDED, HOWEVER, that Warren Bishop shall withhold Board approval and seek approval from the entire Board at the next meeting of the Board if the contractor insists on changes from the form MOA herein approved, if Warren Bishop deems such changes to be major changes. The contract should contain a provision for the contractor's Project Manager and for principal staff involved in the work to be provided by Envirosphere from its Seattle Office and not actively involved in projects which might create an appearance of conflict."

Motion was adopted.

Well-Logging Project

The Chair called on Dr. William Brewer to present the backgound and need for the well-logging project proposed to be conducted by Washington State University. Dr. Brewer stated the project was an opportunity to utilize a source of expertise to obtain vital information concerning the hydrology of the region within which the proposed repository was located.

Ras Lasmanis moved to adopt Resolution 84-4, as follows: "The Nuclear Waste Board approves of the proposed well-logging project with the Civil and Environmental Engineering Department of Washington State University. The Board grants Warren Bishop authority to approve or disapprove of a contract implementing such project on behalf of the Board as negotiated by the Department of Ecology."

Motion was adopted.

Memorandum of Understanding with Joint Committee on Science and Technology

The Chair called upon David Stevens and Gary Rothwell to present the background and need for the MOU between the Department and the Joint Committee on Science and Technology. The MOU provides for the transfer of funds received from the USDOE via grant for use by the Joint Committee in activities related to the NWPA of 1982. The proposed MOU, already signed by the designess from the Legislature, was presented.

Dick Watson moved to adopt Resolution 84-5, as follows: "The Nuclear Waste Board approves of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Ecology and the Joint Committee on Science and Technology of the state Legislature."

Motion was adopted.

Liaison and Coordination Activities

The Chair called upon Charles Roe, Senior Assistant Attorney General, and Rick Olson to report on their follow-up on the Board's request made on April 20 that staff and the Attorney General's Office present to the Board specific proposals for the Board to act upon. The proposed Resolution and the Memorandum of Agreement were explained. "Travel expenses" in the last paragraph on Appendix A of the MOA was intended to include "travel expenses, per diem, and subsistence payments."

Nick Lewis moved to adopt Resolution 84-6. (See attachment.)

Ray Lasmanis moved to amend the proposed Resolution by inserting in numbered paragraph 2, line 4, after "Council.": "The Board requests the Department to investigate alternative means of providing for these services other than by personal service contract with the Department. The Board further requests the Department to investigate funding sources for these services other than USDOE grants. The Department should report to the Board at the regular August, 1984 Board meeting on its findings."

The amendment was adopted.

Donald Moos moved to amend Appendix A of the Resolution by striking all material beginning with Item 5 through Item 9, and in the last paragraph, line 2 after "Board" strike the remainder of the sentence and insert: .".

Donald Moos stated that he considered Item 6 to be included within Items 1 through 4.

The amendment was adopted.

The motion as amended was adopted. Chair Warren Bishop abstained.

Nick Lewis moved to adopt Resolution 84-7, as follows:

"The Board approves of the Memorandum of Agreement between Warren Bishop and the Department of Ecology, and Appendix A modified to conform with Resolution 84-6."

The motion was adopted. Chair Warren Bishop abstained.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:40 p.m.

attachment:

Resolution 84-6

Nuclear Waste Board

Resolution 84-6

WHEREAS, the Nuclear Waste Board desires liaison, coordination, and oversight functions performed among the Board, the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council and the Department of Ecology; and

WHEREAS, Section 14 of chapter 161, Laws of 1984 charges the Department to provide administrative and technical support staff as requested by the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board has approved in proposed form a Memorandum of Understanding with the Department, delegating the day-to-day administrative and technical functions of the Board to the Department as contemplated in section 3(6), chapter 161, Laws of 1984;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Nuclear Waste Board requests the Department to:

- 1. Designate a staff person within the Office of High-Level Nuclear Waste Management whose primary duties include scheduling meetings for the Board and its members, making arrangements for such meetings, including travel arrangements for the members if necessary, otherwise attending the needs of the Board members to function efficiently and effectively as members, distributing notices, agendas, and support materials to the members and others as necessary to satisfy the Open Public Meetings Act, preparing and distributing minutes of the Board meetings and serving as a contact person within the office to obtain information if such information is not immediately available to the members through another office staff person. The designated staff person may also perform other duties assigned by the Department as the person's time permits.
- 2. Enter into a personal service contract with a person to perform the duties listed in Appendix A. The Board requests that such person be the same person who is appointed to serve as the Chair of the Board and the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council. The Board requests the Department to investigate alternative means of providing for these services other than by personal service contract with the Department. The Board further requests the Department to investigate funding sources for these services other than USDOE grants. The Department should report to the Board at the regular August, 1984 Board meeting on its findings.
- 3. Reimburse all members of the Board for any travel expenses incurred in the performance of their duties in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060 upon submission by the members of the Board of such vouchers or other documentation as required by Department's Office of Management and Budget, but only to the extent such expenses would not have otherwise been incurred but for the passage of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982.

4. Pay for the services outlined in 1 through 3 above from funds available to the Department under an approved grant from the U.S. Department of Energy. Reimbursement for travel expenses in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060 for legislative members shall be out of the legislative portion of the grant after the current grant period expires.

NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD

WARREN A. BISHOP DATE CHAIR

APPROVED BY NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD ACTION ON May 9, 1984.

DAVID STEVENS EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Appendix A

Scope of Work

The following duties shall be performed for compensation:

- 1. Generally carry out liaison, coordination, and oversight functions related to the activities of the Nuclear Waste Board, WDOE and the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council.
- 2. Perform as the Board's spokesman before the Legislature, Congress, USDOE, NRC, citizens groups, and other groups or persons unless such duties are otherwise delegated.
- 3. Coordinate with the staff the development of agenda items and the preparation for the presentation of such agenda items.
- 4. Oversee the implementation of action items approved by the Board.

The CONTRACTOR shall not receive compensation by virtue of the contract for duties performed in his role as chair of the Nuclear Waste Board.

Nuclear Waste Board

Resolution 84-6

WHEREAS, the Nuclear Waste Board desires liaison, coordination, and oversight functions performed among the Board, the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council and the Department of Ecology; and

WHEREAS, Section 14 of chapter 161, Laws of 1984 charges the Department to provide administrative and technical support staff as requested by the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board has approved in proposed form a Memorandum of Understanding with the Department, delegating the day-to-day administrative and technical functions of the Board to the Department as contemplated in section 3(6), chapter 161, Laws of 1984;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Nuclear Waste Board requests the Department to:

- 1. Designate a staff person within the Office of High-Level Nuclear Waste Management whose primary duties include scheduling meetings for the Board and its members, making arrangements for such meetings, including travel arrangements for the members if necessary, otherwise attending the needs of the Board members to function efficiently and effectively as members, distributing notices, agendas, and support materials to the members and others as necessary to satisfy the Open Public Meetings Act, preparing and distributing minutes of the Board meetings and serving as a contact person within the office to obtain information if such information is not immediately available to the members through another office staff person. The designated staff person may also perform other duties assigned by the Department as the person's time permits.
- 2. Enter into a personal service contract with a person to perform the duties listed in Appendix A. The Board requests that such person be the same person who is appointed to serve as the Chair of the Board and the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council. The Board requests the Department to investigate alternative means of providing for these services other than by personal service contract with the Department. The Board further requests the Department to investigate funding sources for these services other than USDOE grants. The Department should report to the Board at the regular August, 1984 Board meeting on its findings.
- 3. Reimburse all members of the Board for any travel expenses incurred in the performance of their duties in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060 upon submission by the members of the Board of such vouchers or other documentation as required by Department's Office of Management and Budget, but only to the extent such expenses would not have otherwise been incurred but for the passage of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982.

4. Pay for the services outlined in 1 through 3 above from funds available to the Department under an approved grant from the U.S. Department of Energy. Reimbursement for travel expenses in accordance with RCW 43.03.050 and 43.03.060 for legislative members shall be out of the legislative portion of the grant after the current grant period expires.

NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD

WARREN A. BISHOP

CHAIR

APPROVED BY NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD ACTION ON May 9, 1984.

DAVID STEVENS

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Appendix A

Scope of Work

The following duties shall be performed for compensation:

- 1. Generally carry out liaison, coordination, and oversight functions related to the activities of the Nuclear Waste Board, WDOE and the Radioactive Waste Advisory Council.
- 2. Perform as the Board's spokesman before the Legislature, Congress, USDOE, NRC, citizens groups, and other groups or persons unless such duties are otherwise delegated.
- 3. Coordinate with the staff the development of agenda items and the preparation for the presentation of such agenda items.
- 4. Oversee the implementation of action items approved by the Board.

The CONTRACTOR shall not receive compensation by virtue of the contract for duties performed in his role as chair of the Nuclear Waste Board.

NUCLEAR WASTE ADVISORY COUNCIL

Mr. Warren A. Bishop, Chair E. 541 Pointes Drive W. Hartstene Island Shelton, WA 98584 Work: (206) 753-5107 Home: (206) 426-0270

Councilman Lane A. Bray Richland City Council 1414 Westwood Court Richland, WA 99352 Work: (509) 376-0962

– City Hall: (509) 943–9161 Ext. 381

SCAN City Hall: 526-2328 Home: (509) 943-9005

Dr. Jerome Finnigan Dean and REsident Director Joint Center for Graduate Study 100 Sprout Road Richland, WA 99352 (509) 375-3176

Mr. Ron Greenen 1500 N.W. 120th Street Vancouver, WA 98665 Work: (206) 694-1571

Mayor Joe Jackson Pasco City Council 624 South Douglas Pasco, WA 99301 Work: (509) 376-4030

Mayor Fred Jarrett City of Mercer Island 3446 - 77th Place, S.E. Mercer Island, WA 98040 (206) 237-9398

Mr. Gordon Kunz Route 1, Box 120 Wilbur, WA 98185 Home & Work: (509) 647-5800 Ms. Anita Monoian, Executive Director Yakima Neighborhood Health Services 12 South 8th Street Yakima, WA 98901 Work: (509) 575-8727 Home: (509) 966-7766

Commissioner W. H. Sebero Board of Benton County Commissioners P. O. Box 470 Prosser, WA 99350 Work: (509) 786-4278 (Wed.) (509) 545-2038 Kennewick SCAN 526-2496

Mr. Jim Worthington, Executive Secretary S.E. Washington Building and Construction Trades Council P. O. Box 2381 Pasco, WA 99302 Work: (509) 547-3453

Mr. Brian Baird WashPIRG MS FK-30 Student Union Building University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195 (206) 543-0434

Mr. Melvin Sampson Tribal Councilman Yakima Indian Nation Route 4, P.O. Box 4244 Wapato, WA 98951 (509) 865-5121

NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD

Mr. Warren A. Bishop, Chair E. 541 Pointes Dr. W. Hartstene Island Shelton, WA 98584 Work: (206) 753-5107 Home: (206) 426-0270

Senator Max Benitz Route 2, Box 2521 Prosser, WA 99350 Home: (509) 973-2282 SCAN 526-2323

The Honorable Brian J. Boyle Commissioner of Public Lands Department of Natural Resources QW-21 Olympia, WA 98504 (206) 753-5317 SCAN 234-5317

Karen Rahm, Secretary
Dept. of Social & Health Services
OB-44
Olympia, WA 98504
(206) 753-3395
SCAN 234-3395

Representative Shirley Hankins 2120 Duportail, #8 Richland, WA 99352 Work: (509) 376-5606 Home: (509) 946-5406 SCAN 526-2021

Senator Margaret Hurley East 730 Boone Avenue Spokane, WA 99202 Work: (509) 456-2997 Home: (509) 487-1159 SCAN 545-2997

Mr. Nicholas D. Lewis, Chairman Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council PY-11 Olympia, WA 98504 (206) 459-6490 SCAN 585-6490

NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD cont.

Representative Louise Miller 17005 - 191st NE Woodinville, WA 98072 Work: (206) 788-2825 Home: (206) 788-3404

Donald W. Moos, Director Department of Ecology PV-11 Olympia, WA 98504 (206) 459-6168 SCAN 585-6168

Representative Dick Nelson 4311 Dayton N.
Seattle, WA 98103
Home (206) 632-5295
SCAN 348-6544

Senator Dan McDonald 4650 92nd NE Bellevue, WA 98004 Work: (206) 447-5300 Home: (206) 455-5084 SCAN 658-7206

Representative Nancy Rust 18747 Ridgefield Road NW Seattle, WA 98177 Recording: (206) 542-4329 SCAN 576-7583

Richard H. Watson, Director State Energy Office ER-11 Olympia, WA 98504 Work (206) 754-0701 SCAN 235-0701

Senator Al Williams 4801 Fremont North Seattle, WA 98103 Home: (206) 633-3789 Work: (206) 545-6673 SCAN 348-6673

Dr. William Funk State of Washington Water Research Center Washington State University Pullman, WA 99164-3002 (509) 335-5531 SCAN 426-5531

1984

NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD

Mr. Warren A. Bishop, Chair E. 541 Pointes Dr. W. Hartstene Island Shelton, WA 98584 Work: (206) 753-5107 Home: (206) 426-0270

Senator Max Benitz Route 2, Box 2521 Prosser, WA 99350 Home: (509) 973-2282 SCAN 526-2323

The Honorable Brian J. Boyle Commissioner of Public Lands Department of Natural Resources QW-21 Olympia, WA 98504 (206) 753-5317 SCAN 234-5317

Karen Rahm, Secretary
Dept. of Social & Health Services
OB-44
Olympia, WA 98504
(206) 753-3395
SCAN 234-3395

Representative Shirley Hankins 2120 Duportail, #8
Richland, WA 99352
Work: (509) 376-5606
Home: (509) 946-5406
SCAN 526-2021

Senator Margaret Hurley East 730 Boone Avenue Spokane, WA 99202 Work: (509) 456-2997 Home: (509) 487-1159 SCAN 545-2997

Mr. Nicholas D. Lewis, Chairman Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council PY-11 Olympia, WA 98504 (206) 459-6490 SCAN 585-6490

NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD cont.

Representative Louise Miller 17005 - 191st NE Woodinville, WA 98072 Work: (206) 788-2825 Home: (206) 788-3404

Donald W. Moos, Director Department of Ecology PV-11 Olympia, WA 98504 (206) 459-6168 SCAN 585-6168

Representative Dick Nelson 4311 Dayton N. Seattle, WA 98103 Home (206) 632-5295 SCAN 348-6544

Senator Dan McDonald 4650 92nd NE Bellevue, WA 98004 Work: (206) 447-5300 Home: (206) 455-5084 SCAN 658-7206

Representative Nancy Rust 18747 Ridgefield Road NW Seattle, WA 98177 Recording: (206) 542-4329 SCAN 576-7583

Richard H. Watson, Director State Energy Office ER-11 Olympia, WA 98504 Work (206) 754-0701 SCAN 235-0701

Senator Al Williams 4801 Fremont North Seattle, WA 98103 Home: (206) 633-3789 Work: (206) 545-6673 SCAN 348-6673

Dr. William Funk State of Washington Water Research Center Washington State University Pullman, WA 99164-3002 (509) 335-5531 SCAN 426-5531