SOFTWARE DESCRIPTION ANNOTATED OUTLINE

(See DoD 5000.4-M for aditional guidance)

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Describe the characteristics of the system software. Supply requested data for both the top level and each Computer Software Configuration Item (CSCI) (and CSC when available). Information presented at the top level should apply to all the levels below.

Other data that could affect system costs should be provided at the appropriate level of detail. This includes any information not requested below but which is necessary to prepare a cost estimate. Other input data that are used in a software cost model should be included as an appendix to the Cost Analysis Requirements Description (CARD) submission.

In each question, if a response pertains only to selected software items, identify those items in the "Additional Comments" block.

Section I - Top-Level Characteristics. Above the CSCI Level. Information provided in this section should apply across the system's software, including each CSCI (and each CSC when available) and each software build.

Section II - Lower Level Characteristics. Complete for each CSCI (or each CSC when available) and each build.

	SECT		- TOP-	LEVEL CHAP	RACTERISTI	CS (Above CS	SCI Level)							
1. SYSTEM REQUIREMENT VOLATIL	ITY													
a. LEVEL OF DEFINITION AND UNDERSTANDING OF SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS (X one)				b. HOW WILL OVERALL TECHNOLOGY ADVANCES DURING DEVELOPMENT AFFECT THE PROJECT? (X one)										
(1) Very little	(1) Very little				(1) Significant advances; more than one system upgrade									
(2) Questionable					(2) E	setween one ar	nd three significar	nt system modification	ons					
(3) Fairly complete					(3) Minor modifications									
(4) Very complete					(4) No changes to system or requirements									
(5) Additional Comments					(5) Addition	al Comments	· · ·							
c. REQUIREMENTS VOLATILITY DUF	RING D	EVELC	OPMEN	IT (X one)	2. SYSTEM INTEGRATION DIFFICULTY									
(1) No changes					a. EXPECTED LEVEL OF DIFFICULTY OF INTEGRATING AND TESTING									
(2) Small noncritical changes					THE CS	CI's TO THE E	LEMENT LEVEL	(X one)						
(3) Frequent noncritical changes					(1) Very little integration, no complex interfaces									
(4) Occasional moderate change	S				(2) Average degree of system integration/interface complexity									
(5) Frequent moderate changes					(3) Several system interfaces, some complex									
(6) Many large changes					(4) Complex, time-intensive integration process anticipated									
(7) Additional Comments					(5) Addition	al Comments								
3. USE OF COMMERCIAL OFF-THE-S	SHELF	SOFT	WARE	(COTS)										
a. EXPECTED IMPACT OF INTEGRAT	TING C	OMME	RCIAL	OFF-THE-S	HELF SOFT\	WARE INTO T	HE SYSTEM (X a	ne)						
(1) Some impacts on the design/ developed operational softwa		oment	effort to	ensure that	vendor-supp	ied COTS soft	ware interfaces c	orrectly with the						
(2) Few impacts created by the C	OTS s	oftware	e packa	aes to suppo	ort the operati	na environmer	nt of the applicatio	ons software: COTS						
	(2) Few impacts created by the COTS software packages to support the operating environment of the applications software; COTS is in multiple releases and is relatively stable													
(3) No impacts; purchased softwa	are will	be use	ed only	for operating	environment	support function	ons (i.e., operatin	q system)						
(4) Additional Comments			,					J J J J J J J J J J						
4. SOFTWARE SIZE ESTIMATE OF C most likely, and high (L, M, H) KSLO								e, air, etc.). Identify	the low,					
	al KSL	00	Percent	Percent	Percent	Program-	Basis	Reuse						
MODE	(2)			New	Reused	Modified	ming	of Ectimoto**	Library %***					
(1)	L	M	Н	SLOC (3)	SLOC (4)	SLOC (5)	Language* (6)	Estimate** (7)	(8)					
a. SPACE				()	()									
b. AIR														
c. GROUND-MOBILE														
d. GROUND-FIXED					<u> </u>				1					
* Computer language used.	I	l	1		** Basis of	size estimate:	analogy, function	points or other	.1					
*** Percent added to library for future re	use of	other a	ctivities	3.			analogy, function							

 ADDITIONAL SYSTEM SOFTWARE FACTORS (Describe any additional factors that could affect the cost and/or size of the software being developed for the system.) 									
SECTION II - L	OWER-LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS								
6. CSCI (CSC)-LEVEL CHARACTERISTICS									
a. CSCI (CSC) NAME									
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
b. FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION (When available, this descript	ion should map to the functional allocation document)								
7. GENERAL INFORMATION a. APPLICATION TYPE (X all that apply)	b. APPLICATIONS DOMAIN (Enter percentage of all that apply)								
(1) Prototype to be discarded later	(1) Command and Control (8) Environment/Tools								
(2) Prototype to be built into delivered program	(2) Graphics, Image Processing (9) Training Software								
(3) Complete stand-alone program	(3) Communications (10) Other Support Software								
(4) Component within a system	(4) Signal Processing (11) Avionics								
(5) Reusable component for multiple programs	(5) Process Control (12) Other (Specify)								
(6) System with multiple components	(6) Interface Systems								
(7) Additional Comments	(7) Test Systems (13) Additional Comments								
	(13) Additional Comments								
c. SOURCE CODE MIX (Enter percentage of all that apply)									
	atical Operations (7) String Manipulation								
(2) Real-Time Command & Control (5) Interactive Operations (8) Other (<i>Specify</i>)									
(3) Data Storage and Retrieval (6) On-Line Communications									
(9) Additional Comments									
d. DEVELOPMENT METHOD	e. SOFTWARE INTENDED USE (X one)								
(1) Ada Development (4) Prototype	(7) Waterfall (1) Embedded - identify associated hardware system(s								
(2) Ada Incremental (5) Spiral									
(3) Ada Full Use (6) Traditional Incremental (2) Other (Specify)									
(8) Additional Comments									
f SOFTWARE NOVELTY is this the first CSCI or CSC of its ki	nd, or are the functions and characteristics well understood and used								
f. SOFTWARE NOVELTY. Is this the first CSCI or CSC of its kind, or are the functions and characteristics well understood and used elsewhere in the system? (<i>X one</i>) Yes No									
g. PROGRAMMING PERSONNEL CAPABILITIES AND EXPER									
(1) Does programming personnel have analysis capabilities expe	erience? (3) Identify staff programming capabilities.								
(Indicate yes or no; indicate number of years experience.)									
(2) Does programming personnel have analysis application experience? (4) Identify programmer language experience (by language and									
 (2) Does programming personnel have analysis application experience? (Indicate yes or no; indicate number of years experience.) (4) Identify programmer language experience (by language and number of years experience) 									
h. SOFTWARE SCHEDULE i. SCHEDULE AND STAFFING CONSTRAINTS (X one)									
(1) Attach software schedule to this form									
(2) Identify start date for requirements phase	(2) Normal schedule								
j. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (DoDD 5200.28 (reference (g), (X one)									
(1) Class D (3) Class C2 (5) Class B1	(1) Complete 2167A (reference (h)) documentation (7) Class B3 (2) Subset of 2167A (reference (h))								
(1) Class D (0) Class C2 (0) Class D (1) Class D (1) Class C3 (0) Class D (1) Class C3 (0) Class B2 (1) Class	(3) Other (Specify)								

8. BASIS OF SIZE ESTIMATE											
a. (X as applicable)		b. IF	SYSTEM WA	S SIZE	D USING	G FUNC	TION POI	NTS, E	NTER NUMBER OF:		
					Inique major data types that enter the system)						
					(Unique logical major report formats generated by system)						
(3) Analogy with (Specify)	(Types of queries that result in informational searches and										
(4) Other (<i>Specify</i>)	responses)										
(5) Additional Comments		(4) External	,	202							
		. ,			ical filos	databasa	hasus	by the application)			
9. SYSTEM HARDWARE ENVIRONMENT					lique logi		ualabase	s useu	by the application)		
a. AVAILABILITY OF TARGET PROCESSING		(one)									
		,		b. VIRTUAL MACHINE VOLATILITY OF TARGET SYSTEM (Based on number of major/minor changes) (if different							
(1) To be developed; will be completed			/		om devel				anges) (il ullierent		
(2) To be developed under contract con	currently with sof	tware;			1						
can/will have major impact				(1) Low - major and minor changes rarely (2) Medium - major changes 2/year, minor 2/month							
(3) To be developed under contract con	currently with sof	tware;		(2) Medium - major changes 2/year, minor 2/month							
will have little impact				(3) High - major changes 4 or more times/year, minor							
(4) No new hardware to be developed				often							
(5) Additional Comments				(4) Ac	ditional C	Commer	its				
					-						
c. TARGET SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE (If diff	erent from develo	opment	system) (X o	ne)					to convert from host		
(1) Centralized (Single processor)					to ta	rget syst	em, if nec	essary) (X one)		
(2) Tightly coupled (Multiple processor)					(*	1) None					
(3) Loosely coupled (Multiple processor	-)				(2	2) Minor	language	and/or	system change		
(4) Functional processors communicatin					(;	3) Major	language	or syst	em change		
(5) Distributed (Centralized database)	0				-				stem change		
(6) Distributed (Distributed database)						, .	omments		g-		
(7) Additional Comments											
e. MAIN STORAGE CONSTRAINT		f FX			CONSTRAINTS g. SOFTWARE FUNCTIONS						
(1) Percentage of main storage expected to be	used by all			vailable execution					ED IN FIRMWARE		
CSCIs or CSCs sharing main storage hard	ware (Refers		ne expected to			011	(1) Perce				
to random access storage, such as core, in	CS	SCIs or CSCs	sharing	g consum	nption		maye				
				ecution time resource				(2) Additional Comments			
bubble storage.)								onal C	omments		
(2) Additional Comments ((2) Additional Comments								
10. SOFTWARE COMPLEXITY											
a. SOFTWARE INTERFACE COMPLEXITY	b. EXPECTED CSCI OR CS			LTY O	F INTEGI	RATING	AND TES	STING	COMPONENTS TO THE		
(1) With how many CSCIs or CSCs does											
this CSCI or CSC interface?	ternal integration										
	ntegration, no complex interfaces										
(2) Additional Comments (3) Average degree of CSCI or CSC integration and interface co							omplexi	ity			
	erfaces, some complex										
	(5) Comp	olex, tin	ne-intensive C	CSCI or CSC integration process anticipated							
	(6) Additional C	ommer	nts								
c. DIFFICULTY OF PROCESSING LOGIC (X one)					d. MATHEMATICAL COMPLEXITY (X one)						
(1) Simple logic, straightforward I/O					(1) Simple algorithms and simple calculations						
(2) Difficult, highly nested logic, real-time processing					(2) Majority of simple algorithms and calculations						
(3) Routine nesting, minimal interface with operating system, standard I/O					(3) Algorithms and calculations of average complexity						
(4) Complex dynamic resource allocation, multiple exception handles,					(4) Some difficult or complex calculations						
recursion					(5) Many difficult algorithms and complex calculations						
(5) Additional Comments					(6) Additional Comments						
e. DEGREE OF REAL-TIME (X one) f PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL SQURCE CODE ALLOCATED											
(1) No tasking, essentially batch response					f. PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL SOURCE CODE ALLOCATED						
					TO EACH OPERATIONAL TIMING REQUIREMENT (Sum equals 100%)						
(2) Interactive with limited (Ada) tasking (3) Interrupt drive, tasking in milliseconds											
(3) Interrupt drive, tasking in milliseconds					(1) Real-time (4) On-line						
(4) Concurrent tasking, rendezvous in milliseconds					(2) Time-constrained (5) Other (Specify)						
(5) Concurrent tasking, rendezvous in nanoseconds				(3) Non-time-critical							
(6) Additional Comments					(6) Additional Comments						

g. DISPLAY REQUIREMENT (X all that app	oly)			h. SC	OF	TWARE TE	STABIL		(one)			
(1) Simple I/O (4) Graphics oriente			b						(3) Time insensitive			
(2) User-friendly, menu driven (5) Not applicable				(2) Difficult (4) Easy						(4) Easy		
(3) Pressure-sensitive devices (touch	(3) Pressure-sensitive devices (touch screen, joystick)			(5) Ad	5) Additional Comments							
(6) Additional Comments				1								
11. SOFTWARE RELIABILITY												
a. EFFECT OF SOFTWARE FAILURE	NS (X one)				c. RECOVERY CONSIDERATIONS							
(X as applicable)		(1) Data protection beyo	nd regular backup			tup	(X	one)				
(1) Inconvenience	required									ve methods need to be d in case of software		
(2) Easily recoverable loss	(2) No special backup requirements								lure			
(3) Moderate loss (Recoverable)		(3) Alternative methods need to be developed				eloped		(2) No	o speci	al recovery requirements		
(4) Major loss (High financial loss)		in case of software failure					(-)					
(5) Additional Comments	(4) Ado	ditional Comments					(3) Ad	ditiona	I Com	ments		
12. DATABASE CHARACTERISTICS (If app a. DATABASE SIZE	1	YSICAL DATA FILES						(ono)				
(1) Kilobytes	-	mber of Files	c. DATABASE COMPLEXITY (X one) (1) Simple data, few files, low capacity									
	(1)14		(1) Simple data, few files, low capacity (2) Simple, numerous variables									
(2) Additional Comments	(2) Ad	ditional Comments										
(_)	(_)		(3) Multiple files, fields data interactions(4) Complex file structure									
						nly complex	uoturo					
						Comments						
13. SOFTWARE REUSE (If applicable)												
a. LOGICAL COMPLEXITY OF CODE REL	JSED FF	ROM OTHER					LEXITY	OF C	ODE R	EUSED FROM OTHER		
PROGRAMS (X one)			PF	ROGR	OGRAMS (X one)							
(1) Simple algorithms and simple calc							-	preadsheets, etc.)				
(2) Majority of simple algorithms and calculations				(2) Well structured with usable modules(3) Fair structure, some complex paths and modules								
(3) Algorithms and calculations of average complexity												
(4) Some difficult or complex calculations			(5) 1			or structure,	many co	omplex	c paths	and modules		
(5) Many difficult algorithms and comp (6) Additional Comments	plex calc	sulations	(3) A	JUILION	ai	Comments						
(b) Additional Comments												
			d IE		NIII							
c. COMPLEXITY OF DATABASE REUSED FROM OTHER PROGRAMS (If applicable)			d. IF PLANNING TO REUSE THIS CSCI IN ANOTHER PROGRAM, SELECT INTENDED USE (X one)									
(1) Simple data, few variables, little complexity			(1) None									
(2) Several data elements, simple data relationships			(2) Reuse within element									
(3) Multiple files, switches, and data interactions			(3) Reuse across element									
(4) Complex data elements, complex data interactions			(4) Reuse in another DoD program application									
(5) Very complex data elements and interactions			(5) Additional Comments									
(6) Additional Comments												
14. SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE		he second and		(4)		·	ala - ::					
(1) Indicate number of years maintena			(5) \			cate annual Comments	change	rate fo	or softw	vare		
(2) Indicate number of separate maint(3) Indicate estimated maintenance/se			(3) A		ar	Comments						
15. ADDITIONAL CSCI (CSC) FACTORS (E			nat coul	d affec	ct t	he cost and	/or size	of the	CSCI/	CSC software		
being developed (e.g., known contractor		-										