

MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) Voting System v. 4.0 VSTL Certification Test Report

Prepared for
MicroVote General Corporation
6366 Guilford Ave.
Indianapolis IN 46220
Version 2.0

(V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

This report has been submitted to the EAC for review and is pending their acceptance. No certification number has been issued. When iBeta receives notification that the report is accepted, a revised version of the report will be issued. The Certification number will appear here, in page headers and in Appendix F. Any other revisions will be noted in the version history.

	Trace to Standards					
	NIST Handbook 150-22					
	Section 5.5, 5.10.1 throu	gh 5.10.	3, 5.10.5, 5.10.6			
	VVS		VVSG			
Vol.	Section(s) #	Vol. Section(s) #				
#		#				
1	1.6.1	1	1.4.1			
1	2, 3, 4, 5, & 6	1	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, & 7			
1	9.6.3	2	1.8.3			
2	2, 3, 4, 5, & 6	2 2, 3, 4, 5, & 6				
2	7.4 & 7.5.	2	7.4 & 7.5.			
2	Appendix B	2	Appendix B			

Test Results in this report apply to the voting system configuration tested. Testing of voting systems that have been modified may or may not produce the same test results. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full.

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

EAC Lab Code: 0702 Effective thru 2/28/2009



This report was provided to Bernie Hirsch of MicroVote to confirm that the report accurately describes the system submitted under EAC Application MVT0701. Additionally Mr. Hirsch has provided instruction on sections of the report that MicroVote's wishes to be marked as protected information under section 10 of the United States Election Assistance Commission Testing and Certification Program Manual v.1.0.

	Version Hist	ory		
Ver#	Description of Change	Author	Approved by	Issue Date
v.1.0	(V)2008-25JUN-001(A) Initial report -released	Gail Audette Carolyn Coggins Debra Harwood	Carolyn Coggins QA Director Voting	6/25/2008
v.1.0	(V)2008-25JUN-001(A) Initial report -released (V)2008-25JUN-001(B) Response to EAC Review. newly release applicable interpretations and VSTL Program Manual Report Requirements Requirements trace to the EAC Certification Matrix Section 1: Exclusions clarification language identifies The Election Management System software is an application installed on a standalone COTS PC or laptop. Connection of these platforms to a network (LAN or WAN) or connection of multiple OMR or Gemplus card readers to the EMS is outside the voting system configuration submitted for certification testing for.v.1:4.1.2.15 Exclusions added "Independent Verification System" for v.1: 7.8: VSTL Program Manual Appx B: Exclusions added: No state testing was performed by iBeta on the MicroVote EMS voting system. & (it does not) contain a bar code reader per 1.4: Added listings for Appx H, I & J 1.4: Added VSTL Program Manual Format Trace Table 1: Update date of General 2 Test Case Table 2: Added applicable EAC Interpretations Section 2: 2.3.7 added "connection of EMS to a LAN or WAN is outside the certification system configuration" (v.1: 4.1.2.15) Section 3: Table 6 & 8: Update EMS build v.4.0.21.0 Table 9: Update version & date for Section 2.5, 2.6 & 2.11, Appx. AA, V & Y; added Implementation Statement Table 10: Added ComUtil109 Section 5: 5.5 Infinity Voting Panel DRE added detail clarifying simulator tool validation 5.6 Added detail regarding testing to Interpretation 2008-06 Section 6: Section 6: Added 2.10.5.3 VSTL Attestation content per the VSTL Program Manual Appendix A: V.1: 2.1.4.i. added reference to code review V.1: 4.1.2.15: changed to "No network connection"	Carolyn Coggins	QA Director	9/26/2008
	 v.1: 7.2.1 to 7.2.1.2: added "Appx C 2.6" v.1: 7.3.2: added "Document Review #130 to 134" v.1: 7.4.4: changed Appx C to B v.1: 7.4.6: changed reference to "Appx C 2.6 & F 7.6.3"; added "#125 to 129" v.1: 7.4.4.f: added "#136" 			
	V.1: 7.4.4.T: added "#736" V.1: 7.5.1.a: added "See 2.1.2.d, #135" & "System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission nor does it use Telecommunications and Audio Transmission, but it does use Data Transmission in the absence of			

Version History				
Ver#	Description of Change	Author	Approved by	Issue Date
	Telecommunications • v.1: 7.5.4: changed to "Not a shared environment" • v.1: 7.5.5. added section 7.5.5 • v.1: 7.5.5. a: added "EMS has no external access or query capability." • v.1: 7.5.5.b. is ii: traced to "G, S" • v.1: 7.5.5.b. is ii: traced to "G, S" • v.1: 7.5.6.: removed duplication of 7.5.5 • v.1: 7.6.1: added "#130 to 134 & 137" Appendix B • v.1: 7.5.1.a: Section 7.2.2 & 7.2.3 v. 4.0.21.0 & date updated Appendix C • v.1: 7.4.6: document review of Appx AA update • v.2: 2.6.4 - correct reference to "7.4" Appendix D • v.1: 2.1.1.a: Added clarifying language regarding how security testing was performed to the General 1 Test Method; added more detail to the validations in the Security Test Method. • v.1: 7.3: General 1 Test Method added clarification: While the polls are open but before Tally confirm the panel cannot be put into pretest or reset mode by action of the buttons or the poll worker card. • v.1: 7.3.1: Security Test Method - References: added 7.3. • v.1 7.4.1a thru e: added validations to Security Test Method • v.1: 7.5.1.a: added to the Security Test Method - Voting: Ballot Activation and Casting Verifications "No data transmission prior to ballot casting" & Added Source Code Review for #135 checksum validation • v.1: 7.5.5.b.i & ii: General 1 & Security Test Method added: "The Infinity voting panel utilizes 2-factor authentication, possession of a token and knowledge of a password to access results after the polls are closed" • General 1 & Security Test Methods added clarification: "For the identified user entity confirm access and use to only the permitted functions and data" • General 2 Test Method added EMS v.4.21.0 regression Appendix E • v.1: 7.6.1: added #136 • v.1: 7.6.1: added #136 • v.1: 7.6.1: added #137 to document modem disabling • v.1: 7.6.1: added #138 • v.1: 7.6.1: added #139 to document modem disabling • v.1: 7.6.1: added #130 to document modem disabling • v.1: 7.6.1: added #130 to document modem disabling • v.1: 7.4.6: Section 7.6.2 added #4 - encry			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	6
	1.1 Internal Documentation	8
	Table 1 Internal Documents	
	1.2 EXTERNAL DOCUMENTATION	
	Table 2 External Documents	9
	1.3 TECHNICAL DATA PACKAGE DOCUMENTS	
	1.4 TEST REPORT CONTENTS	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2	CERTIFICATION TEST BACKGROUND	13
	2.1 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	13
	Table 3 Terms and Definitions	
	2.2 PHYSICAL CONFIGURATION AUDIT	
	2.2.1 PCA TDP Source Code Review	
	2.2.2 PCA TDP Document Review	
	2.2.3 PCA System Configuration Review	
	2.2.4 Witness (Trusted) Build and Installation	
	2.3.1 FCA Test Documentation Review	
	2.3.2 FCA Functional and System Level Tests	
	2.3.3 FCA Security Review and Tests	
	2.3.4 FCA Accuracy Test (Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, & Volume)	
	2.3.5 FCA Characteristics Tests (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)	
	2.3.6 FCA Hardware Environmental Tests	
	2.3.7 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Tests	18
3	VOTING SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION	19
Ī		
	3.1 SUBMITTED VOTING SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION	
	Table 5 Voting System Polling Place and Central Count Hardware	
	Table 6 Voting System EMS Software	19
	3.2 VOTING SYSTEM TEST ENVIRONMENT	
	Table 7 Voting System Hardware	
	Table 8 Voting System Software	22
	Table 9 Voting System Technical Data Package Documents	
4	VOTING SYSTEM OVERVIEW	29
	4.1 ELECTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM- PRE VOTING CAPABILITIES	30
	4.1.1 MicroVote Election Management System	
	4.2 POLLING PLACE- VOTING CAPABILITIES	
	4.2.1 MicroVote Infinity Vote Panel	
	4.3 ELECTION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM- POST VOTING CAPABILITIES	
	4.3.1 MicroVote Election Management System	
5	CERTIFICATION REVIEW AND TEST RESULTS	33
	5.1 PCA Source Code Review	33
	5.1.1 Infinity 4.0 – C and Visual Basic 6.0 Source Code Review Results	
	5.1.2 EMS 4.0 VB.Net Source Code Review Results	
	5.1.3 EMS 4.0 SQL Source Code Review Results	
	5.2 PCA TDP DOCUMENT REVIEW	
	5.2.1 PCA TDP Document Review Results	
	5.3 FCA FUNCTIONAL AND SYSTEM INTEGRATION TESTING	
	5.3.1 Evaluation of Functional and System Integration Testing	
	5.4 FCA Security Review and Testing	
	5.4.1 FCA Security Review and Tests	37

5.5 FCA ACCURACY TESTING (ACCURACY, RELIABILITY, VOLUME, & STRESS)	37
5.5.1 FCA Accuracy Tests	30
5.6 FCA CHARACTERISTICS TESTING (RECOVERY, ACCESSIBILITY, USABILITY & MAINTAINABILITY)	
5.6.1 FCA Characteristics Tests (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)	
5.7 FCA HARDWARE ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING	
5.7.1 FCA Hardware Environmental Tests	
5.8 FCA TELEPHONY AND CRYPTOGRAPHIC TESTING	
5.8.1 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Tests	
6 OPINIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	42
7 APPENDICES: TEST OPERATION, FINDINGS & DATA ANALYSIS	43
7.1 APPENDIX A: CERTIFICATION TEST REQUIREMENTS	43
7.2 APPENDIX B: PCA SOURCE CODE REVIEW	
7.2.1 Infinity 4.0 C and Visual Basic 6.0 Source Code Review Data	
7.2.2 EMS 4.0 VB.Net Source Code Review Data	
7.2.3 EMS 4.0 SQL Source Code Review Data	
7.3 APPENDIX C: PCA TDP DOCUMENTATION REVIEW	
7.3.1 Technical Data Package Configuration and Quality Assurance Practices	
7.3.2 PCA TDP Document Review	
7.4 APPENDIX D: FCA TESTING	
7.4.1 FCA Functional and System Level Testing	
7.4.2 FCA Security Test Method	
7.4.3 FCA Accuracy Testing	
7.4.4 FCA Characteristics (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability) Testing	
7.4.5 FCA Hardware Environmental Testing	
7.4.0 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Neview and Testing	
7.6 APPENDIX E. DISCREFANCT REPORT 7.6 APPENDIX F: TRUSTED BUILD & VALIDATION TOOLS EMS V.4.0 VOTING SYSTEM	201
7.6.1 Witness of the Trusted Build EMS 4.0.21	
7.6.2 Witness of the Trusted Build - Infinity v.4.0	
7.6.3 MicroVote System Identification Tools	
7.7 APPENDIX G: EAC CERTIFICATION NUMBER & VOTING SYSTEM CONFIGURATION	
7.8 APPENDIX H: WARRANT OF ACCEPTING CHANGE CONTROL RESPONSIBILITY	
7.9 APPENDIX J: MICROVOTE EMS VOTING SYSTEM V.4.0 IMPLEMENTATION STATEMENT	
7.10 APPENDIX I: AMENDED TEST PLAN	210

1 Introduction

This report is submitted to the US Election Assistance Commission (EAC) and MicroVote General Corporation (MicroVote) by iBeta Quality Assurance (iBeta) summarizing the federal voting system certification testing to the *US Election Assistance Commission Voluntary Voting System Guidelines* December 2005 (VVSG 2005) of the MicroVote Election Management System voting system v.4.0 (EMS voting system), including: the Election Management System ballot preparation and central count software (EMS software), the Infinity Voting Panel Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) push button polling place device with an audio ballot mode, the Infinity Voting Panel firmware and a dual sided COTS paper ballot optical mark reader (scanner). The Election Management System ballot preparation and central count software are developed by MicroVote General Corporation (MicroVote). The Infinity Voting Panel and the Infinity firmware are manufactured/developed by Carson Manufacturing Company, Inc. The Chatsworth ACP2200 dual-sided Optical Mark Reader (OMR) is manufactured by Chatsworth Data Corporation. The purpose of this document is to provide an overview of the certification testing and findings.

Exclusions:

- The MicroVote EMS voting system does not support ballot rotation; it is not a non-standard voting device provided by a local jurisdiction; it does not contain wireless technology nor use of the public networks; it does not provide for broadcasting of results or contain a bar code reader.
- The Election Management System software is an application installed on a standalone COTS PC or laptop. Connection of these platforms to a network (LAN or WAN) or connection of multiple OMR or Gemplus card readers to the EMS is outside the voting system configuration submitted for certification testing.
- The Infinity Voting Panel does not include an independent verification system, voter verified paper audit trail or electronic dexterity equipment.
- The Chatsworth OMR is not a precinct based paper counter.
- No state testing was performed by iBeta on the MicroVote EMS voting system.

Any activities in these areas are limited to documentation that the functions are not applicable to this voting system.

Testing was conducted at iBeta in Aurora, Colorado and Wyle Laboratories in Huntsville, AL. Testing was completed June 6, 2008.

The MicroVote EMS voting system was submitted to iBeta for Voting System Test Lab (VSTL) certification testing to the VVSG 2005. This testing supports MicroVote's Application #MVT0701 to the US Election Assistance Commission (EAC) for initial certification of the MicroVote EMS voting system to the VVSG 2005. Delivery of a VSTL test report is required as part of the voting system application process (see EAC Voting System Certification and Testing Program Manual section 4.2).

This certification test effort included a Physical Configuration Audit (PCA) of:

- The Technical Data Package (TDP) consisting of the voting system document and the voting system source code;
- Witness of all tested builds from the reviewed source code;
- Preparation of a trusted build with the final reviewed version of source code: and
- Examination and recording of the voting system configuration, including model and serial number, of each test environment.

Additionally a Functional Configuration Audit (FCA) to the requirements of the 2005 VVSG and the MicroVote EMS voting system test requirements included:

- A review of the test documentation submitted by MicroVote General Corporation (MicroVote) for the Election Management System voting system;
- Development of a test plan detailing all testing;
- Managing and recording the voting system configurations, including model and serial number, of each test environment,
- Development and execution of test cases incorporating recording all test data, report outputs, test observations of performance and equipment condition for:

Page 6 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

- A set of functional system level test methods;
- Security review and test scenarios;
- Reliability and accuracy test methods, incorporating availability, and volume testing;
- Characteristics test methods, incorporating the accessibility, usability and maintainability of the Infinity Vote Panel; and
- Environmental hardware test methods, assessment of a COTS optical scanner, subcontractor test management, assessment and execution by Wyle Laboratories of the Infinity Vote Panel;
- And, an analysis of all test results.

Certification testing was performed in compliance with the requirements of VVSG 2005, Volume II *National Certification Testing Guidelines*. The test record included all test executions and reviews. All test executions and reviews included the record of requirements that were satisfactorily and unsatisfactorily completed, deficiencies noted, reports to MicroVote, software and manufacturing resolutions, validations of resolutions and documentation of incorporation of resolutions into the voting system.

During all phases of the certification testing iBeta provide MicroVote with status reports.

iBeta is a full service software testing laboratory providing quality assurance and software testing for the business and interactive entertainment communities. iBeta accreditations for the testing of voting systems include:

- National Voluntary Lab Accreditation Program (NVLAP) Voting System Testing Certificate of Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2005, Voting System Testing scope of accreditation (NVLAP Lab Code 200749-0 assessment of conformance to the criteria set forth in NIST Handbooks 150 and 150-22)
- United States Election Assistance Commission Voting Systems Test Lab (VSTL) Certification of Accreditation recognized for the testing of voting systems to the 2002 Voting System Standards 2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelines (EAC Lab Code 0702)

Non-core hardware environmental testing is outside iBeta's test accreditation scope as a VSTL. Non-core hardware environmental assessments and testing were subcontracted to Wyle Laboratories, 7800 Highway 20 West, Huntsville, AL, 35806. iBeta verified Wyle's qualifications. They are accredited to perform all VVSG 2005 identified environmental test methods by the American Association of Laboratory Accreditation under Certificate Numbers 845.01 (Electrical) and 845.02 (Acoustics and Vibration). The accredited test methods are traced to the applicable VVSG 2005 requirement for:

Accredited Test Method	VVSG 2005 Vol.2 Requirement
A2LA No. 845.01, expiration date: 12/31/09	
MIL-Std 810 M 516 Transportation Shock	4.6.2 Bench Handling Test
MIL-Std 810 M 514 Road Transport	4.6.3 Vibration Test
(Bounce- Loose Cargo)	
MIL-Std 810 M 502 Low Temperature	4.6.4 Low Temperature Test
	4.7.1 Temperature & Power Variation Test
MIL-Std 810 M 501 High Temperature	4.6.5 High Temperature Test
	4.7.1 Temperature & Power Variation Test
MIL-Std 810 M 507 Humidity	4.6.6 Humidity Test
(Temperature /Humidity)	

Accredited Test Method	VVSG 2005 Vol.2 Requirement
A2LA No. 845.02, expiration date: 12/31/09	
EN 61000-4-11 Testing and Measurement	4.8.a Power Disturbance Disruption
Techniques-Section 11: Voltage Dips, Short	
Interruptions and Voltage Variations Immunity Test	
FCC Class B Requirements	4.8.b Electromagnetic Radiation
per ANSI C63.4	
EN 61000-4-2 Electrostatic Discharge	4.8.c Electrostatic Disruption
Susceptibility	
EN 61000-4-3 Radiated Susceptibility, 80 MHz to 1	4.8.d Electromagnetic Susceptibility

Page 7 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Accredited Test Method	VVSG 2005 Vol.2 Requirement
A2LA No. 845.02, expiration date: 12/31/09	
GHz, Electric Field	
EN 61000-4-4 Conducted Susceptibility, Electrical	4.8.e Electrical Fast Transient Protection
Fast/Burst Transients, Signal and Power lines and	
Cables	
EN 61000-4-5 Testing and Measurement	4.8.f Lightning Sure Protection
Techniques-Section 5: Surge	
Immunity Test	
EN 61000-4-6 Conducted Susceptibility, Common	4.8.g Conducted RF Immunity
Mode Cable Injection, 150 kHz to 80 MHz	
EN 61000-4-8 Testing and Measurement	4.8.h AC Magnetic Fields RF Immunity
Techniques-Section 18: Power Frequency	
Magnetic Field Immunity Test	

During the test effort Wyle Laboratories gained accreditation for the testing of voting systems, which expands their qualifications to incorporate core voting system testing. The accreditations are:

- National Voluntary Lab Accreditation Program (NVLAP) Voting System Testing Certificate of Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2005, Voting System Testing scope of accreditation (NVLAP Lab Code 200771- 0 assessment of conformance to the criteria set forth in NIST Handbooks 150 and 150-22)
- United States Election Assistance Commission Voting Systems Test Lab (VSTL) Certification of Accreditation recognized for the testing of voting systems to the 2002 Voting System Standards 2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelines (EAC Lab Code 0704)

1.1 Internal Documentation

The documents identified below are iBeta internal documents used in certification testing **Table 1 Internal Documents**

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
v.1.0	Voting Certification Master Services Agreement- MicroVote	MSA contract		iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Test Document Review_EMSv.4_0_0		6/1/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
	PCA Document Review- EMSv_4_0_0		7/17/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
v.1.0	PCA Source Code Review Procedure		11/13/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
v.2.0	SQL Review Criteria		6/19/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
v.2.0	VB.NET Review Criteria		6/19/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
v.2.0	Visual Basic Review Criteria		6/19/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
v.3.0	C and C++ Review Criteria		6/19/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
v.1.0	Witness Build Procedure		4/7/08	iBeta Quality Assurance
v.3.0	MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) Voting System v. 4.0.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan			iBeta Quality Assurance
v.4.0	MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) Voting System v. 4.0.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan			iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Accuracy Test Case_EMSv4_0_0-Infinity	Accuracy – DRE	6/12/08	iBeta Quality Assurance
_	FCA Accuracy Test	Accuracy -	4/28/08	iBeta Quality Assurance

Page 8 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
	Case_EMSv4_0_0-OMR	OMR		
	FCA Characteristic Test Case EMSv4.0.0	Characteristics,	9/21/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Environmental Test Case EMSv4.0.0	Environ- mental, E	5/2/2008	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Security Review_EMSv4_0_0	Security Review, S	9/21/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Telephony and_ Cryptographic_EMSv4_0_0- Infinity	Т	9/14/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
	FCA Primary 1_EMSv4_0_0	Primary 1, P1	10\22\07	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 1 System TC_ EMSv4_0_0	General 1, G1	10/3/07	iBeta Quality Assurance
	General 2 System TC_ EMSv4_0_0	General 2, G2	9/25/08	iBeta Quality Assurance
	PCA Configuration_ EMSv.4_0_0		6/2/08	iBeta Quality Assurance
	PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report_EMS_4_0_0	Discrepancy Report	6/6/08	iBeta Quality Assurance
	83107 MicroVote Status – ComUtil 109 Validation		8/31/07	iBeta Quality Assurance

1.2 External Documentation

The documents identified below are external resources used to in certification testing.

Table 2 External Documents

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
	Test Plan Approval Letter		1/22/08	Election Assistance
				Commission
	Help America Vote Act	HAVA	10/29/02	107 th Congress
NIST	NVLAP Voting System Testing	NIST 150	February	National Voluntary Lab
Handbook			2006	Accreditation Program
150 2006				
Edition				
NIST	NVLAP Voting System Testing	NIST 150-22	December	National Voluntary Lab
Handbook			2005	Accreditation Program
150-22	Withdrawal of OMR 9002 and		2/26/08	MicroVote General
	request for waiver		2/20/00	Corporation
v.1.1	Implementation Statement		2/20/08	MicroVote General
V. 1. 1			2/20/00	Corporation
	Voluntary Voting System	VVSG	December	Election Assistance
	Guidelines	1 1 1 1 1	2005	Commission
	Testing and Certification		1/1/07	Election Assistance
	Program Manual			Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for	Interpretation	9/5/07	Election Assistance
	Interpretation 2007-03,	2007-03		Commission
	2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section			
	3.1.1			
	EAC Decision on Request for	Interpretation	10/29/07	Election Assistance
	Interpretation 2007-04,	2007-04		Commission
	2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section			
	3.1.3		44/0/07	<u></u>
	EAC Decision on Request for	Interpretation	11/6/07	Election Assistance
	Interpretation 2007-05,	2007-05		Commission
	2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section			

Page 9 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
10.0.0	4.2.1 (Testing Focus and	7 1.5.51 5 1 1.5.11.51		7 (3.13.)
	Applicability)			
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2007-06, 2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section 4.1.1, 2.1.2c &f, 2.3.3.3o & 2.4.3c&d. (Recording and	Interpretation 2007-06	11/7/07	Election Assistance Commission
	reporting undervotes)		- 1- 1	
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-01, 2002 VSS Vol. II, 2005 VVSG Vol. II, Section 4.7.1 & Appendix C	Interpretation 2008-01	2/6/08	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-02, Battery Backup for Optical Scan Voting machines	Interpretation 2008-02	2/19/08	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-04, 2002 VSS Vol. I, Section 2.3.1.3.1a 2005 VVSG Vol. II, Section 2.2.1.3a Ballot Production	Interpretation 2008-04	5/19/08	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-05, 2002 VSS Vol. I, Section 3.4.2, 2005 VVSG Vol. I, Section 4.3.2, Durability	Interpretation 2008-05	5/19/08	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-06, 2002 VSS Vol. I, Sections 3.2.2.4c, 3.2.2.5 2005 VVSG Vol. I, V. 1.0, Sections 4.1.2.4c (Electrical Supply), 4.1.2.5 (Electrical Power Disturbance)	Interpretation 2008-06	8/29/2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-08 2002 VSS Vol. I, Glossary 2005 VVSG Vol. I, Glossary	Interpretation 2008-08	8/1/2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-09 (Safety Testing) 2002 VSS Vol. I, Section, 3.4.8 2005 VVSG Vol. I, Section 4.3.8	Interpretation 2008-09	8/25/2008	Election Assistance Commission
	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2008-10 (Electrical Fast Transient) 2005 VVSG Vol. I, Sect.4.1.2.6 2005 VVSG Vol. II, Section 4.8	Interpretation 2008-10	8/28/2008	Election Assistance Commission
	NOC 07-05: Voting System Test Laboratory (VSTL) responsibilities in the management and oversight of third party testing.		9/7/07	Election Assistance Commission

Page 10 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Version #	Title	Abbreviation	Date	Author (Org.)
	Wyle Letter No. 54578.02B-	Environmental	7/13/07	Wyle Laboratories
	001R1	Assessment		
	Hardware Testing and	Wyle report	6/10/2008	Wyle Laboratories
	Evaluation of the MicroVote			
	Infinity DRE Voting System			
	(Report NO: T54578.02-01)			

1.3 Technical Data Package Documents

The Technical Data Package Documents submitted for this certification test effort are listed in Section 3 System Identification.

1.4 Test Report Contents

The contents of this Test Report include:

- Section 1: The Introduction- identifies the scope of certification testing.
- Section 2: The Certification Test Background identifies the process for the Physical and Functional Configuration Audits.
- Section 3: The Voting System Identification identifies the system configuration including hardware, software and the Technical Data Package documentation.
- Section 4: The Voting System Overview identifies the overall design and functionality of voting system.
- Section 5: The Certification Review and Test Results are the methods and results of the testing
 effort.
- Section 6: The Opinions & Recommendations of the acceptability of the voting system.

Test Operations, Findings and Data Analysis are in the appendices. Additionally MicroVote documents required for submission in the *Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual v.1.0* Appendix B are referenced in the appendices.

- Appendix A: Certification Test Requirements (matrix identifying conformance to the applicable standard with a trace to the Test Method and issues encountered in testing).
- Appendix B: PCA Source Code Reviews
- Appendix C: PCA TDP Document Reviews
- Appendix D: Test Results (Functional, Security, Environmental, Accuracy etc.)
- Appendix E: PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report
- Appendix F: Trusted Build and Validation Tools
- Appendix G: EAC Certification Number
- Appendix H: Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility
- Appendix I: Test Plan
- Appendix J: MicroVote Implementation Statement

1.4.1 VSTL Program Manual Format Trace

Release of the *Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual v.1.0* occurred subsequent to completion of all testing and preparation of v.1.0 of this test report. Appendix B of the manual identifies content in a specific format. The current format of this report follows the recommended outline stipulated in the VVSG 2005 vol. 2 Appendix B. This VVSG stipulated test report format was reviewed and approved by NVLAP in our Voting System Testing accreditation audit. A trace is provided below to clarify the location of the VSTL Program Manual specified content in this report.

- 1. System Identification and Overview is found in:
 - Section 1 Introduction
 - Section 3 Voting System Identification
 - Section 4 Voting System Overview
- 2. Certification Test Background is found in:

Page 11 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

- Section 2 Certification Test Background
 - 2.2 Revision History is found in:
 - Section 1 Introduction
 - 2.3 Implementation Statement is referenced in:
 - Appendix I Implementation Statement
- 3. Test Findings and Recommendations
 - 3.1 Summary Finding and Recommendation is found in:
 - Section 5 Certification Review and Test Results
 - Section 6 Opinions and Recommendations
 - 3.2 Reasons for Recommendation of Rejection is:
 - Not applicable, see Section 6 Opinions and Recommendations
 - 3.3 Anomalies may also be identified as discrepancies, issues or defects are found in:
 - Section 5.1 to 5.8 provides a general description of how anomalies were encountered and reported during testing.
 - Appendix A traces the VVSG requirements to the specific anomalies.
 - Addendum to Appendix B contains software related source code discrepancy detail.
 - Appendix D Sections 7.4.1 to 7.4.6 "Issues Opened" traces the specific anomalies to the relevant software build.
 - Appendix E, PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report, provides the discrepancy number, date, tester, location, description, and VVSG requirement information about anomalies encountered during document reviews and testing.
 - 3.4 Correction of Deficiencies is found in:
 - Section 5.1 to 5.8 provides a general description of how deficiency corrections were confirmed.
 - Appendix A traces the VVSG requirements to the specific closed anomalies.
 - Appendix B reflects pass criteria for all reviewed source code.
 - Appendix D Sections 7.4.1 to 7.4.6 "Issues Closed" traces the specific anomaly resolutions to the build
 - Appendix E, PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report, provides the vendor responses and resolution validations for anomalies encountered during document reviews and testing.

Appendix A: Additional Finding is found in:

- Appendix A: Certification Test Requirements contains "should" and "not applicable" requirements. Comments provide rationale and references to relevant EAC Interpretations or Notices of Clarification.
- Appendix D: Section 7.4.1 Supported Voting Variations of the VVSG 2005 Section 2.1.7.2 identify "unsupported" optional functionality.

Appendix B: Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility is found in:

Appendix H: Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility

Appendix C: Witness Build is found in:

• Appendix F: Witness of the Trusted Build

Appendix D: Test Plan

 Appendix J: Test Plan contains a reference to the final revised test plan submitted as a separate document

Appendix E: State Test Reports

• No state testing was performed by iBeta on the MicroVote EMS Voting System v.4.0. This is documented in the Section 1 Exclusions.

Page 12 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

2 Certification Test Background

Certification testing of the MicroVote EMS voting system included a Physical Configuration Audit and a Functional Configuration Audit. Daily status reports were sent to MicroVote's certification management staff and iBeta project test staff. These reports included project activity status, issues, and other relevant information.

2.1 Terms and Definitions

The Terms and Definitions identified below are used in this test report.

Table 3 Terms and Definitions

Term	Abbreviation	Definition		
Activations		The method by which ballot displays are		
		programmed for precincts, precinct splits or		
		party.		
Ballot Cards or Official Ballot	C312, C402, C256	Paper ballot cards read with the OMR and		
Cards	or 312, 402, 256	ACP scanners. The digits refer to the number		
	OMR Ballot Cards	of locations on the card.		
Ballot Objects		Ballot objects group items that will go on the		
		ballot, including: ballot text, offices, and		
		straight party locations on the ballot.		
Candidate Sorting – By Name		A candidate name sort, alphabetically by last		
		name		
Candidate Sorting – By Name		A candidate name sort, alphabetically by last		
within Party		name within each party		
Candidate Sorting – None		Candidate names are listed in the order they		
_		are filed.		
Candidate Stacking – Always		Ballot formatting option: Stacks all names on		
		the entire ballot regardless of candidate name		
		length.		
Candidate Stacking – On entire		Ballot formatting option: Stacks the names on		
ballot when required		the entire ballot if one of the candidates'		
		names is too long to fit on one line.		
Candidate Stacking – Only		Ballot formatting option: Stacks the names		
within race when required		within a race if one of the candidate's names		
		is too long to fit on one line.		
Carson Manufacturing	Carson	The manufacturer of the Infinity Voting Panel		
Company, Inc.		and developer of the Infinity firmware		
Certified Information System	CISSP	A certification for information systems security		
Security Profession		practitioners, indicating successful completion		
		of the CISSP examination administered by the		
		International Information Systems Security		
		Certification Consortium		
Chatsworth ACP2200 dual-	OMR or ACP	Optical scanner for reading and transferring		
sided optical mark reader	scanner	votes from both sides of a paper ballot card to		
		the EMS		
Direct Recording Electronic	DRE	A voting system that records votes by means		
		of a ballot display provided with mechanical or		
		electro-optical components that can be		
		actuated by the voter; that process the data		
		by means of a computer program; and that		
		records voting data and ballot images in		
		internal and/or external memory components.		
		It produces a tabulation of the voting data		
		stored in a removable memory component		
		and in printed copy.		
Doubletalk (Audio Ballot)	Doubletalk	Required for an audio ballot; a voice		

Page 13 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
		synthesis/sound system, connected to the
		Infinity Voting Panel, used to convert text to
		speech
Election Database		A mode of the EMS that limits changes to the
		current open election; changes made appear
		in only the current opened election. Default
		settings of the Installation Mode are not
		changed
Election Management System	EMS software	The ballot preparation and central count
software		software of the Election Management
		Software voting system. It is used to program
		an election on the Infinity Voting Panel and
		paper ballots; and to consolidate and report
Floation Management System v	EMS v.4.0	results from the voted ballots. The release version of MicroVote Election
Election Management System v 4.0	EIVIS V.4.0	
Election Management System	EMS voting system	Management System voting system. The MicroVote voting system integrating the
voting system	LIVIO VOLITIG SYSTETTI	hardware and software of the EMS ballot
Voting System		preparation and central count software, the
		Infinity Voting Panel, the Infinity firmware, and
		the OMR ballot reader.
Executable Lines of Code	eLOC	Lines of code that execute functionality.
ZAGGGGGG ZATGG GT GGGG	0200	Comments and blank lines are excluded from
		counts of executable lines of code.
Escrow Agency		EAC identified repository that retains the file
		signature of the trusted build.
Full or New Code Review		First time submission submitted for
		certification review or previously certified code
		with changes to the code so significant that a
		full review is warranted.
GEMPLUS Smart Card	GEMPLUS	COTS hardware for reading and writing the
Reader/Writer		Start, Vote and Tally Smartcards
Help America Vote Act	HAVA	Legislation enacted in 2002 which includes
		creation of the EAC, federal voting standards
		and accreditation of test labs
Infinity Voting Panel	Infinity	Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) polling
		place device of the MicroVote Election
		Management System voting system
Infinity Voting Panel firmware	Infinity firmware	The software that runs the Infinity Voting Panel
Installation Database		A mode of the EMS for definition of default
		settings that are present in every new election
		created.
Manual Vote Entry – in central		Method to enter votes that are not recorded
count reports		on Tally Cards or optical scan cards. Votes
		may be entered as a single vote, by selected
		precinct, or by machine serial number.
MicroVote General Corporation	MicroVote	The manufacture submitting the Election
		Management System voting system.
National Standard Reference	NSRL	Part of NIST that provides software escrow.
Library		
National Voluntary Laboratory	NVLAP	Part of NIST that provides third-party
Accreditation Program		accreditation to testing and calibration
		laboratories.
Secondary Vote Limit		A voting variation outside the VVSG, which is
		supported by an additional vote limit that can

Page 14 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Term	Abbreviation	Definition
		be placed on grouped contests, so that multiple limits are placed on the vote.
Start Card (Green)	Start card	For initializing the Infinity Voting Panel
Tally Card (Red) Tally card		For transferring votes from the Infinity Voting Panel to the Tally card, to the EMS voting system.
Technical Data Package TDP		The documentation and code relating to the voting system, submitted by the manufacturer for review.
U.S. Election Assistance EAC Commission		U.S. agency established by the Help America Vote Act of 2002 for administration of Federal elections.
Voluntary Voting System Guidelines	VVSG	Federal voting system test standards created by the EAC. Eventually these will replace the VSS.
Vote Card (Blue)	Vote card	For ballot selection and activation of ballot
Vote N Card		Vote N cards are used only by Election Administrators in early voting situations. Vote N Cards facilitates retraction of challenged ballots.
Voting System Standards	VSS	Federal voting system test standards, VVSG predecessor
Voting System Test and Certification Authority	VSTCA	If used it should be read as VSTL. This is a term used in the NIST Handbook 150-22 that was not implemented by the EAC
Voting System Test Lab	VSTL	Lab accredited by the EAC to perform certification testing of voting systems.
Voting Variations		Significant variations among state election laws incorporating permissible ballot content, voting options and associated ballot counting logic

2.2 Physical Configuration Audit

The Physical Configuration Audit (PCA) deals with the physical elements of the voting system, including the source code, documentation and system configuration reviews, in addition to the witness of the build and installation of the reviewed source code.

2.2.1 PCA TDP Source Code Review

The PCA TDP Source Code Review of the MicroVote EMS voting system was performed to verify conformance to VVSG 2005 Vol. 1 Sect 5.2 and Vol. 2 Sect. 5. Reviewed results were recorded on Source Code Review sheets. Issues were identified in the review and logged on a separate source code review discrepancy report, after completion of peer review. The source code review discrepancies were forwarded to MicroVote for correction.

2.2.2 PCA TDP Document Review

The PCA TDP Document Review of MicroVote EMS voting system performed to verify conformance to Vol. 2 Sect. 2 of the VVSG 2005. Reviewed results are recorded on *PCA TDP Document Review* sheets. Issues were identified in the review and logged on the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*, after completion of peer review. The *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report* was forwarded to MicroVote for correction.

2.2.3 PCA System Configuration Review

The PCA System Configuration Review of MicroVote EMS voting system was performed to verify conformance to VVSG Vol. 1 Sect 9.7.1. Reviewed results are recorded on *PCA System Configuration*

Page 15 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Review sheets. Issues were identified in the review and logged on the PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report, after completion of peer review. The PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report was forwarded to MicroVote for correction.

2.2.4 Witness (Trusted) Build and Installation

The Witness Build and Installation of the executable code for the MicroVote EMS voting system was performed using the reviewed source code per VVSG Vol. 2 Sect 1.8.2.4. All builds performed by iBeta during testing were documented. iBeta documented performance of the final Trusted Build in accordance with the Witness Build Procedure and documented the *Witness of the Final Build Template* (Word Document) and is provided as Appendix F to this report.

2.3 Functional Configuration Audit

The Functional Configuration Audit was an examination of the functional aspects of the voting system. This included review of the MicroVote EMS voting system submitted test documentation, user manuals and execution of all required tests. A test method description of each test case is documented in Appendix D.

2.3.1 FCA Test Documentation Review

The FCA Test Documentation Review assessed the level of vendor testing of the voting system to the Vol. 1 Sect. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9 requirements. This assessment was used to define the extent of functional testing.

2.3.2 FCA Functional and System Level Tests

Functional and system level tests were conducted, in accordance with Vol. 2 Sect. 6. End-to-end mock elections were conducted to demonstrate the integrated functionality and processes of the MicroVote EMS voting system incorporating shared state requirements and those specifically supported by MicroVote. The system configuration, test objective, test steps, and expected results were identified in each test case. Acceptance and rejection results were recorded for each test step. Issues encountered during testing were identified in the test record and logged on the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*, after completion of peer review. MicroVote resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VVSG 2005. Regression tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes. These validations were recorded in the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*.

2.3.3 FCA Security Review and Tests

Review and testing of voting system security was incorporated into the *PCA Source Code Review, PCA Document Review, FCA Functional and System Level Test Cases*, and unique MicroVote specific security tests, source code and document reviews. The review/test acceptance and rejection criteria for each security requirement were documented in the *FCA Security Review and Tests* with the location of the review/test and the review/test result recorded. Issues encountered during testing were recorded in the identified test record and logged on the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*, after completion of peer review. MicroVote resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VVSG 2005. Regression tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes. These validations were recorded in the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*.

2.3.4 FCA Accuracy Test (Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, & Volume)

Accuracy testing is not limited to this test case. Testing of the accuracy requirements of the VVSG is included in the *FCA Functional and System Level Test Cases* and *FCA Security Review and Tests*. Separate comprehensive tests incorporating Data Accuracy and Reliability (Vol.2 Section 4.7.1, 4.7.1.1 and 4.7.3) were performed on the Infinity Voting Panel and the ACP2200 OMR. Execution of the test on the non-COTS hardware was under temperature and power variations. Data Accuracy validated the correct recording and reporting of the individual ballot positions, not to exceed a specified maximum error rate, while processing a specified number of ballot positions. Maximization of ballot positions and large numbers of votes incorporated ballot stress and volume test conditions. Issues encountered during testing were identified in the test record and logged on the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*, after completion of peer review. MicroVote resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the

Page 16 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

requirements of the VVSG 2005. Regression tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes. These validations were recorded in the *PCA* and *FCA* Discrepancy Report.

2.3.5 FCA Characteristics Tests (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)

Review and testing of the Infinity Voting Panel characteristics, usability, accessibility, and maintainability were incorporated into a single test case in accordance with VVSG Vol. 1 Sect. 3, 4.1.2.4.c (2 hour battery back-up), 4.2, 4.3 and Interpretation 2007-03. Interpretation 2008-02 stipulates that the 2 hour battery back-up is applicable to central count scanners. The ACP2200 OMR, a central count optical scanner, was added to the *FCA Characteristics Test Case* for this one requirement. A mock election incorporating audio, visual and multi-lingual ballots was installed to assess the usability and functional performance of the accessible, usability, recovery and maintenance features of the voting system. (Note: Testing of the accurate presentation, recording and reporting of accessible ballots was incorporated into *FCA Functional and System Level Test Cases.*) The system configuration, test objective, test steps, and expected results were identified. Acceptance and rejection results were recorded for each test step. Issues encountered during testing were identified in the test record and logged on the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*, after completion of peer review. MicroVote resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VVSG 2005. Regression tests were rerun to validate all submitted fixes. These validations were recorded in the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*.

2.3.6 FCA Hardware Environmental Tests

FCA Hardware Environmental Tests are non-core tests which must be performed by a laboratory accredited in the hardware environmental test methods identified in VVSG 2005 vol.1, 4.6 and 4.7. Non-core tests may be performed by subcontractor laboratories, under the supervision of the VSTL, if the VSTL does not hold these accreditations. iBeta validated Wyle Laboratories accreditation to perform all required hardware environmental tests and engaged them as iBeta's subcontractor to perform the tests.

Prior to initiating an assessment of the MicroVote EMS voting system hardware iBeta contacted the EAC and received confirmation that as the hardware environmental testing requirements of the VVSG 2005 were essentially unchanged from the FEC Voting System Standards 2002, environmental hardware testing performed by Wyle Laboratories to the 2002 standards in a prior qualification effort would be valid for reuse if the following conditions were met:

- The hardware was unchanged and Wyle verified in an independent assessment that the equipment they tested was essentially the same as the system tendered for certification to the VVSG 2005:
- Wyle agreed to issue a report for the environmental tests as a subcontractor to iBeta; and
- iBeta confirmed that Wyle was accredited by A2LA to perform all the VVSG 2005 required test methods accredited in the test methods they performed on the date of test execution.

A detailed test case with test instructions was provided to Wyle to review, assess and test the Infinity Voting Panel. iBeta created test election databases for all operating tests and to validate the operational status of the voting panel before and after each environmental test. The system configuration, test objective, test steps, and expected results were identified. Acceptance and rejection results were recorded for each test step. Issues encountered during testing were documented in the test record. In addition to the iBeta test record, Wyle provided iBeta with anomaly and test reports following their internal processes. iBeta logged anomaly reports as issues on the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report*. MicroVote resolved all discrepancies which did not meet the requirements of the VVSG 2005.

EAC Interpretation 2007-05 provided that there is no merit to the interpretation that requires FCA Hardware Environmental testing of unmodified COTS equipment. Instead the interpretation requires the confirmation of FCC Class 15B and CE marks affixed to each unit indicating that the COTS product has been certified to meet those standards and a copy of the COTS manufacturer's Declaration of

Page 17 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Conformity confirming the manufacturer's compliance claim. iBeta followed the interpretation for the ACP2200 OMR COTS scanner.

2.3.7 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Tests

An examination of the MicroVote EMS voting system was conducted to confirm that it does not contain wireless technology or use of the public networks. The results of this review were recorded in the *FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Test Case*. As a result of this review it was determined that the voting system is exempted from the Telephony and Cryptographic requirements of VVSG Vol.1 Sect. 6 & 7.

It should be noted that connection of the COTS EMS PC or laptop to a network (LAN or WAN) is outside the system configuration submitted for certification.

Page 18 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

3 Voting System Identification

The System Identification stipulates the configuration of the MicroVote EMS voting system v.4.0 hardware, software and the Technical Data Package documentation submitted for testing to the VVSG 2005.

3.1 Submitted Voting System Identification

Table 4 Voting System Name and Version

Voting System Name	Release Version
MicroVote Election Management System	4.0

The MicroVote Election Management System Voting System release version includes the following:

Table 5 Voting System Polling Place and Central Count Hardware

Table 5 Voting System Polling Place and Central Count Hardware						
Hardware	Firmware & Version	Description				
Ballot Prep & Central Count						
Dell computer desktop with	Windows XP Professional 2002	COTS Personal computer for				
monitor, keyboard and mouse	Service Pack 2					
Dell laptop	Windows XP Professional 2002 Service Pack 2	COTS Laptop				
GemPlus card reader	ISO7816-3 standard interface	COTS Card reader				
Report printer		COTS Printer				
DRE						
Infinity Voting Panel Model VP- 1 Rev: C	Infinity Voting Panel Firmware v 4.0	Push button DRE with an audio ballot mode				
ELPAC Power Systems Power Supply	Model FW3012	Infinity COTS Power supply: Input 100–240 VAC 47–63Hz Output 12VDC, 2.5A				
Infinity storage case	Regular and Accessible	Polling booth (regular and accessible) and storage case				
Seiko Instruments Printer	Model DPU-414 or DPU-3445	COTS Report printers, varied speeds				
DoubleTalk LT	DoubleTalk v.1.0 RC8650 BIOS0212	COTS Text-to-speech portable voice synthesizer				
Headphones		COTS headphones for audio ballots				
Smart Cards		COTS smart cards for Start, Vote, Vote N and Tally functions				
Optical Scanner		·				
Chatsworth ACP-2200 Dual- Sided Optical Mark Reader	Model 605000-190	COTS Dual-Sided Optical Mark Reader for scanning paper ballots into the EMS software.				
UPS Backup	Unit capable of sustaining 100 watts output at 120 volts for a minimum of 2 hours according to manufacturer specifications	COTS Central count universal power supply				

Table 6 Voting System EMS Software

Software Applications	Version	EMS Function Description
Ballot Prep & Central Count		
Election Management System (EMS)	4.0 4.0.21.0	Ballot preparation and central count software; reporting software for the OMR optical scanner
Microsoft Windows XP Professional	XP svc pack 2	COTS personal computer operating system
Microsoft Desktop Engine	MS SQL Server 2000	COTS personal computer desktop engine

Page 19 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

DRE		
Infinity Voting Panel Firmware v.4.0 (Build 9. 68)		DRE software programmed in C
Encrypt.exe	v.2.14	Non-distributed executable used in the Infinity build process
InfUpdater.exe	v.1.01	Software for loading Infinity executable onto Infinity panel
GetUpdt.exe	v.2.16	Distributed executable used in the update process

3.2 Voting System Test Environment

The Voting System Test Environment identifies the specific hardware and software that was used in the test environment. The Test Methods in Appendix D identify a specific MicroVote EMS voting system software and firmware build installed for each test iteration.

Table 7 Voting System Hardware

Table / Voting System n		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	D : :: (: :: 00TC)
Hardware or Equipment (#)	Manufacturer	Version/ Model	Description (identify COTS)
Infinity Voting Panel (9)	Carson Manufacturing	Model VP-1	DRE
	Company, Inc.	Rev: C	16¼ "X 13 " X 2½"; 6 Lbs. w/
		SN: 2213, 3627,	power supply & cord
		3628, 3629, 3630,	-Power Input: Standard 1/4"
		10402, 10403,	miniature power jack, 12VDC
		10404, 10405	± 10% @ 2.5A max
			-Interface: RJ45 connector
			with RS-232 levels and special
			pin assignments for use with
			adapters connected to printer
			or –PC, automatic baud rate
			selection for PC or printer
			Communication: 1 start bit,
			8 data bits, no parity, baud
			rate = 38K for PC and 9600 for
			printer, hardware handshake
			(DTR/DSR)
			-Computer: AAEON PC/104
			SBC with X386 processor
			-Memory: CompactFlash 8Mb
			primary, Disk-On-Chip 8Mb
			backup
			-Display: Sanyo 9¼ in. LCD,
			640 x 480 resolution B/W
			transflective
			-Indicators: (2) Red LED Cast
			Vote indicators
			-Keyboards: Custom
			conductive rubber over gold
			contact-
Batteries (8) cells		Alkaline "C"	COTS: Back up power supply
Datteries (0) Cells		AIRAIIIIE C	for the Infinity Voting Panel.
DoubleTalk LT (3)	PC Systems Inc	LT RC8650 chipset	COTS: Text-to-speech
Double Laik L1 (3)	RC Systems, Inc.	BIOS 0212	portable voice synthesizer
Dower Supply: 51 BAC	ELPAC	ELPAC Model	
Power Supply: ELPAC	ELPAC		Power supply for the Infinity
Power Systems model		FW3012	Voting Panel.
FW3012 Power Supply			
Input 100–240 VAC 47–			
63Hz			
Output 12VDC, 2.5A			
UL CE			

Page 20 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Hardware or Equipment (#)	Manufacturer	Version/ Model	Description (identify COTS)		
Dell computer desktop (1)	Dell, Inc.	Model DHM* SN: SDBFL61	COTS. Personal computer Intel Pentium R processor 2.80GHz, 2.79GHz, 512 MB RAM Windows XP Professional 2002 Svc Pack		
Dell Laptop (1)	Dell, Inc.	Model PP17L* SN: CN-06G834- 48643-65R-3140	COTS Personal computer Intel Pentium M processor 1.73GHz, 795MHz, 504 MB RAM Windows XP Professional 2002 Svc Pack		
PS/2 to USB Adapter (1)	Adesso	Model ADP-PU21	COTS Connect keyboard and mouse to USB port		
Seiko Printer (1)	Seiko Instruments	Model DPU-414	COTS report printer		
Seiko Printer (1)	Seiko Instruments	Model DPU-3445	COTS high speed report printer		
GemPlus with ISO7816-3 standard interface (2)	GEMPLUS	SN: R04304113302427, R02A0109759	COTS. Card Reader		
Chatsworth ACP-2200 Dual- Sided Optical Mark Reader (3)	Chatsworth Data Corporation	Model 605000-190 SN:CDT03600288, CDT09600346, CDT09600335	COTS. Dual-Sided Optical Mark Reader for scanning paper ballots into the EMS software.		
Seiko Printer Cable	Seiko Instruments		COTS. Cable to connect the printer and the Infinity		
EMS Cable			COTS: Standard modular plug-8 positions		
Smart Cards			COTS: Start card, Vote card, Vote N card and Tally card.		
Keyboard*	Dell, Inc.		COTS: USB Keyboard		
Mouse*	Dell, Inc.		COTS: USB 2-Button		
Speakers	Dell, Inc.	A215*	COTS: Two Piece Stereo Speaker System		
APC Smart-UPS Backup (1)	APC	Model SUA2200* SN: JS0743009644	COTS: Backup Power Supply		
Dell Monitor (1)	Dell Inc	Model E153FPb* CN-0D5421-46633- 55U-0E2L	COTS: 15 Inch Flat Panel		
Audio headphones (1)	Radio Shack		COTS: headphones		
Dell Laser Jet Printer (1)	Dell, Inc.		COTS: Report printer		
Infinity Storage/Shipping Case	(4)		Polling booth & shipping case		
Infinity Storage/Shipping Case (Handicap accessible)	(1)		Wheelchair accessible polling booth & shipping case		
* Model or version identifies the one used in testing. For this equipment the MicroVote specifications do not					

^{*} Model or version identifies the one used in testing. For this equipment the MicroVote specifications do not identify a specific version.

Page 21 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Table 8 Voting System Software

Application	Manufacturer	Version	Description (identify COTS)
Election Management System	MicroVote General Corp.	4.0	Ballot preparation and central
(EMS)		(Build	count software programmed in
		4.0.21.0)	VB.Net and SQL
Infinity Voting Panel Firmware	Carson Manufacturing	v.4.0	DRE software programmed in C
	Company, Inc.	(Build	
		9. 68)	
Encrypt.exe	Carson Manufacturing	v.2.14	Non-distributed executable used
	Company, Inc.		in the Infinity build process
InfUpdater.exe	Carson Manufacturing	v.1.01	Software for loading Infinity
	Company, Inc.		executable onto Infinity panel
GetUpdt.exe	Carson Manufacturing	v.2.16	Distributed executable used in
	Company, Inc.		the update process
Microsoft Windows 2000	Microsoft	2000 svc	COTS personal computer
		pack 2	operating system
Microsoft Windows XP	Microsoft	XP svc	COTS personal computer
Professional		pack 2	operating system
Microsoft Desktop Engine	Microsoft		COTS database software
DOUBLETALK LT	RC Systems	1.0	COTS: Text-to-speech voice
			synthesizer software

Table 9 Voting System Technical Data Package Documents

Title	Version	Date	Author (Org.)
Appendices Table of Contents Election Management	1.4	9/19/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
System			
Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool User	1.5	9/5/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
Manual			
Appendix A TEST PLANS Election Management System	0.4	7/23/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A0 Test Execution Plan for Logon	1.0	2/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A1 Test Execution Plan for Menu Bar	1.1	3/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A10 Test Execution Plan for Election Data (Form	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Actions (FR-GUI-SP-0012400))			
Appendix A11 Test Execution Plan for Security	1.1	3/23/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A12 Test Execution Plan for Office Entry	1.1	3/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A13 Test Execution Plan for Candidate Filing	1.2	1/9/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A14 Test Execution Plan for Secondary Vote	1.1	3/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Limit			
Appendix A15 Test Execution Plan for Create and Edit	1.1	3/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Ballots			
Appendix A16 Test Execution Plan for Build Activations	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A17 Test Execution Plan for Assign Ballots	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A18 Test Execution Plan for Ballot Printing	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A19 Test Execution Plan for EMS – INFINITY	1.0	2/10/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
PROGRAMMING EMS – VOTE CARD ACTIVATION			
INFINITY FIRMWARE – ELECTION FUNCTIONS			
Appendix A2 Test Execution Plan for Preferences	1.2	2/14/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A20 Test Execution Plan for Clear Votes	0.2	3/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A21 Test Execution Plan for OMR Ballot Cards	0.2	3/20/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A22 Test Execution Plan for Tally Cards	0.2	3/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A23 Test Execution Plan for Manual Vote Entry	0.1	3/7/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A24 Test Execution Plan for Overall System	0.1	5/10/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Functionality			·
Appendix A26 Test Execution Plan for Election Data	0.1	6/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A27 Test Execution Plan for Election Data	0.1	6/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A28 Test Execution Plan for Election Data	0.1	6/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A29 Test Execution Plan for Infinity Programming	0.1	7/24/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
- Defect #146			·

Page 22 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Title	Version	Date	Author (Org.)
Appendix A3 Test Execution Plan for Political Parties	2.0	8/9/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A30 Test Execution Plan for Infinity Programming – Voter Control Page	0.2	4/13/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A31 Test Execution Plan for Infinity Programming – Defect #151 with Button Tags	0.1	7/24/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A32 Test Execution Plan for Election Data – Straight Party Report	0.1	6/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A33 Test Execution Plan for Election Data	0.1	6/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A33 Test Execution Plan for Election Data –	0.3	4/27/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Office Detail Report			·
Appendix A34 Test Execution Plan for Infinity Programming – Change Date	0.1	6/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A35 Test Execution Plan for Election Data	0.1	6/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A36 Test Execution Plan for Infinity Programming – Start Card Functions- Defect #166	0.1	6/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A37 Test Execution Plan for Infinity Programming – Tally & Accumulate Card Functions- Defect #167	0.1	6/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A38 Test Execution Plan for Election Data	0.1	8/8/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A39 Test Execution Plan for Election Data – Early Vote Extraction	0.1	8/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A4 Test Execution Plan for Vote Type	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A40 Test Execution Plan for Election Data	0.1	6/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A41 Test Execution Plan for Election (Data Network OMR Ballot Cards)	0.1	8/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A42 Test Execution Plan for Election Data (Network Tally Cards)	0.1	8/1/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A43 Test Execution Plan for OMR Straight Party	1.0	8/15/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A44 Test Execution Plan for General Election #1	1.0	3/19/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A45 Test Execution Plan for General/Primary Election #3	1.0	3/19/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A46 Test Execution Plan for Primary Election System Test	0.1	3/16/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A47 Test Execution Plan for Tally Card/Advanced Voting Volume Testing	0.1	2/14/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A48 Test Execution Plan for Election Summary Report	0.1	3/16/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A49 Test Execution Plan for Precinct Summary Report	0.1	3/8/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A5 Test Execution Plan for Precincts	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A50 Test Execution Plan for Tally Card Detail Report	0.1	2/14/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A51 Test Execution Plan for Undervotes and Overvotes Report	0.1	3/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A52 Test Execution Plan for Insert, Update and Delete Office, Candidate, Text Messages	1.0	3/21/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A53 Test Execution Plan for OMR Ballot Card Testing Matrix for Types (C312,C402,C256)	0.1	4/2/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A54 Test Execution Plan and Test Report Common Industry Format Usability Testing of the Infinity Voting Panel Version 0.2	0.2	8/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A54 Test Execution Plan for Common Industry Format Dexterity Testing of the Infinity Voting Panel	0.2	8/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A6 – Test Execution Plan for Ballot Text	1.2	1/9/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A7 Test Execution Plan for Ballot Graphics	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A8 Test Execution Plan for Equipment	1.0	2/6/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix A9 Test Execution Plan for Assign Equipment	1.1	3/3/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix B CARSON MANUFACTURING DOCUMENTS Election Management System	0.3	4/24/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix B-1 INFINITY VOTING PANEL Technical Reference Manual	0.8	5/9/08	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix B-2 CARSON MANUFACTURING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES Election Management	0.1	5/28/2006	MicroVote General Corp.

Page 23 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Title	Version	Date	Author (Org.)
System			
Appendix B3 – Infinity Firmware Functional Specifications (cover) – Election Management System	0.6	12/18/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix B-4 INFINITY COMMUNICATIONS SPECIFICATIONS Election Management System	0.1	8/3/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix C COTS SPECIFICATIONS EMS	1.4	5/9/08	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix D GLOSSARY OF TERMS Election Management System	1.1	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix E Completed COTS Testing Forms	1.0	1/12/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix E COMPLETED COTS TESTING FORMS Election Management System	1.0	1/12/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix F VOTING VARIATIONS Election Management System	1.5	8/23/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix G COTS INVOICES AND CERTIFICATIONS Election Management System	1.0	1/12/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix G COTS INVOICES AND CERTIFICATIONS Election Management System	1.1	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix H ACP-20 OPTICAL SCANNER QUICK START	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
GUIDE Election Management System			
Appendix I ACP-20 OPTICAL SCANNER USER'S MANUAL Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix J SEIKO DPU-3445-20 PRINTER USER'S MANUAL Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix K SEIKO DPU-414 PRINTER USER'S MANUAL Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix L GEMPLUS CARD READER/WRITER USER'S MANUAL Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix N DOUBLETALK PC/LT USER'S MANUAL Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix O 3.5" BAY 7-IN-1 Flash Card Reader USB 2.0	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix O 3.5" BAY 7-IN-1 Flash Card Reader USB 2.0	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION Election Management System	1.1	9/1/2001	Microvote General Corp.
Appendix O2 3.5" BAY 7-IN-1 Flash Card Reader USB 2.0 USER'S MANUAL Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix P INSTALLATION CHECKLISTS AND SCHEDULES Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix P-1 INSTALLATION CHECKLIST Election	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Management System Appendix P-2 DATABASE CREATION CHECKLIST	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System			
Appendix P-3 INFINITY PROGRAMMING CHECKLIST Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix P-4 DELIVERABLE SCHEDULE Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix Q DEFECT TRACKING SYSTEM Election Management System	0.3	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix R GUI SPECIFICATIONS Election Management System	1.6	8/26/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix T PROGRAM SPECIFICATIONS Election Management System	1.2	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix U EMS Poll Worker's Manual	1.9	6/20/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix V Election Management System User Manual	2.5	9/5/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix W SAMPLE COUNTY INVOICE Election			MicroVote General Corp.
Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	
Appendix X SOURCE CODE FILES LIST Election Management System	1.1	9/7/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix Y – Build and Installation Instructions – Election Management System	2.2	9/5/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix Z Machine Technical Manual – Election Management System	0.2	12/18/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Appendix Z OMR-9002 Card Reader USER'S MANUAL	1.0	3/29/2007	MicroVote General Corp.

Page 24 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Title	Version	Data	Author (Org.)
Election Management System	Version	Date	Author (Org.)
CERTIFICATION OF: VOTING MACHINE	no version	no date	MicroVote General Corp.
PROGRAMMER	no version	110 date	Wildrovote Gerieral Corp.
ECR/ECN 101 Part Number 0431164951	no version	4/21/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
EMS Installation Instructions Election Management System	1.1	9/28/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
MicroVote Confidential Document	1.1	9/20/2007	Wildrovote Gerieral Corp.
File Name of Unit Compliance Status Comments	1.0	4/16/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Implementation Statement Election Management System	1.0	2/20/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
INFINITY FIRMWARE TEST VERSION 9.56 RELEASE	no version	4/12/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
NOTES 4/12/07 WHC	110 version	4/12/2007	Wilchovote General Corp.
loginlog	no version	10/19/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
MicroVote General Corp.	1.0	1/12/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Quality Assurance Procedures	0.1	5/28/2006	MicroVote General Corp.
Section 2.1 SCOPE Election Management System	1.1	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Section 2.10 PERSONNEL DEPLOYMENT AND	1.1	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
TRAINING REQUIREMENTS Election Management	1.1	0/13/2007	Where Vote General Corp.
System			
Section 2.11 CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PLAN	1.5	9/5/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System	1.5	3/3/2000	iviloro vote Gerierar Gorp.
Section 2.12 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM Election	1.2	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Management System		0, 10, 2001	ere vete Gerrerai Gerp.
Section 2.13 SYSTEM CHANGE NOTES Election	1.1	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Management System		0, 10, 2001	oro voto Corrorai Corp.
Section 2.2 System Overview – Election Management	1.8	6/11/2008	MicroVote General Corp
System			,
Section 2.3 SYSTEM FUNCTIONALITY DESCRIPTION	1.2	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System			,
Section 2.4 SYSTEM HARDWARE SPECIFICATION	1.3	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System			•
Section 2.5 SOFTWARE DESIGN AND SPECIFICATION	2.6	9/18/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System			·
Section 2.6 SYSTEM SECURITY SPECIFICATION	1.5	9/5/2008	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System			
Section 2.7 SYSTEM TEST AND VERIFICATION	1.1	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
SPECIFICATION Election Management System			
Section 2.8 SYSTEM OPERATIONS PROCEDURES	1.3	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System			
Section 2.9 SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	1.2	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System			
Technical Data Package (TDP) TABLE OF CONTENTS	1.1	8/15/2007	MicroVote General Corp.
Election Management System		- /2 /2 2 2	
Appendix B1 – INFINITY VOTING PANEL Technical	0.7	5/9/2008	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Reference Manual		40/40/0007	
Appendix B3 – Infinity Firmware Functional Specifications –	0.5	12/18/2007	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Election Management System			One and Manus factoring On the
CARSON MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.	no version	no date	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
PROPRIETARY AND CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE	no vorcion	4/42/2000	Caraan Manufaaturing Calling
ECN Request	no version	4/13/2008	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1330	no version	4/16/2001	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1408	no version	6/7/2005	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1413	no version	10/10/2005	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1420	no version	3/14/2006	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1424	no version	5/12/2006	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1437	no version	8/22/2007	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1438	no version	9/19/2007	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1449	no version	4/13/2008	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Engineering Change Notice 1450	no version	5/15/2008	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Getupdt Build Instructions	no version	9/19/2007	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Infinity Communication Specification	1.06	8/3/2007	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Infinity Firmware Design Specification	3.01	2/23/2006	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
Infinity Revision C Engineering Change Notice Declaration	no version	1/23/2008	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.
InfUpdater 101 Build Instructions	no version	9/11/2007	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.

Page 25 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Title	Version	Date	Author (Org.)
ACP -20 Dual Sided Optical Mark Reader	1.1	4/15/2004	Chatsworth Data Corp.
Assy TOP-ACP20 RS Red FCC/CE 1 of 2	no version	3/13/08	Chatsworth Data Corporation
Assy TOP-ACP20 RS Red FCC/CE 2 of 2	no version	3/13/08	Chatsworth Data Corporation
Declaration of Conformity Regarding European directive	no version	2/12/2008	Chatsworth Data Corporation
204/18/EC			
Declaration of Conformity Regarding FCC Part15 subpart B	no version	3/10/2008	Chatsworth Data Corporation
READ ME FIRST (OMR ACP 20)	no version	no date	Chatsworth Data Corporation
Regulatory Datasheet OptiPlex GX520, OptiPlex GX520n	no version	3/14/2008	Dell, Inc.
Regulatory Datasheet Latitude D510	no version	3/7/2008	Dell, Inc.
Fair-Rite Part Data Sheet	no version	4/1/2008	Fair-Rite Products Corp
Part Number: 0431164951 (31 Round Cable Core	no version	4/1/2008	Fair-Rite Products Corp
Assembly)			
GemCore V1.21-Based Reader Reference Manual	1.0	1/12/2007	Gemplus
Chatsworth Data Corporation ACP-20 Dual Sided Optical	2.4	12/19/2007	Nemko USA, Inc.
Mark Reader EMC Test Report			
Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1023	no version	5/28/2008	RC Systems
Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1024	no version	5/28/2008	RC Systems
Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1025	no version	5/28/2008	RC Systems
Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1026	no version	6/5/2008	RC Systems
RC Systems DoubleTalk RC8650 Voice Synthesizer	no version	4/18/2007	RC Systems
DPU-414 Operation Manual	3 rd ed.	Dec 1998	Seiko Instruments, Inc.
User's Guide Thermal Printer DPU-3445-20	11 th ed.	Dec 2004	Seiko Instruments, Inc.
StarTech.com Spec Sheet (StarTech ID: 35FCREADBK)	no version	no date	StarTech
USB 2.0 Flash Card Reader	no version	9/12/2006	StarTech

Table 10 Other Software, Hardware and Materials

Testing Software, Hardware & Material	Description	Description of use in testing
Paper ballots or ballot cards	COTS Pre-printed data cards 256 position: 2615–STB 7/99 312 position: LP 2628 9/98 402 position: LP 2443-B, &	Paper ballot cards to record votes for the OMR
Pens and pencils	COTS Pencils and black, blue, red and green ink pens	Marking votes on paper data cards
Paper (81/2 x 11)	COTS Paper, Inkjet Printer	Central tally reports
Paper rolls	COTS Paper, Thermal Printer	Infinity open and close poll reports
ComUtil109	Vote simulation tool	Environmental operation simulating the casting of ballots
Multiple desktop and laptop PCs	A variety of PCs running Microsoft operating systems	Supplied by iBeta: Preparation, management and recording of test plans, test cases, reviews and results
Repository servers	Separate servers for storage of test documents and source code, running industry standards operating systems, security and back up utilities	Supplied by iBeta: Documents are maintained on a secure network server. Source code is maintained on a separate data disk on a restricted server
Microsoft Office 2003	Excel and Word software and document templates	Supplied by iBeta: The software used to create and record test plans, test cases, reviews and results
SharePoint 2003	TDP and test documentation repository	Supplied by iBeta: TDP and test documentation repository and configuration management tool

Page 26 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Other standard business application software software email internet browsers, PDF viewers email Supplied by iBeta: Industry standard tools to support testing, business and project implementation implementation in implementation	Testing Software, Hardware & Material	Description	Description of use in testing
software email standard tools to support testing, business and project implementation. Center 325 Mini Sound Level Meter level meter suit of the project of			
Center 325 Mini Sound Level Meter IEC 651 Type 2 handheld sound level meter IEC 651 Type 2 handheld sound level meter Ievel meter Visual Studio 2003 v.7.1.3808 (Microsoft) Build and source code review Integrated Development Environment Code review Integrated Development Complexity Supplied by iBeta: identify line compare file/folder differences Compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean compare file/fol		· ·	
Center 325 Mini Sound Level Meter IEC 651 Type 2 handheld sound level meter Supplied by iBeta: Measure decibel level Supplied by iBeta: Measure decibel level Supplied by iBeta: Measure ferviorment C, C++, Java & C# static analysis code review Integrated Development Environment C, C++, Java & C# static analysis code review Counts and cyclomatic complexity Supplied by iBeta: identify line counts and cyclomatic complexity Supplied by iBeta: used to compare 2 v.2.4.3 (Scooter Software) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences with the counts and cyclomatic complexity Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences with the counts and cyclomatic complexity Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences with the compare file/folder differences of the compare file/folder differences of the compare file/folder differences with the compare file/folder differences of the compare file/folder	Software	Ciriali	
IEC 651 Type 2 handheld sound Supplied by IBeta: Measure decibel level			
level meter decibel level	Center 325 Mini Sound Level Meter	IEC 651 Type 2 handheld sound	
Susual Studio 2003 v.7.1.3808 Build and source code review Integrated Development Environment Code review Environment Code Code Review Environment Code Review Environment Code Code Review Environment Environment Environment Environment Code Code Review Environment Environ	Center 323 Mini Sound Lever Meter		
(Microsoft) Integrated Development Environment RSM v6.92 (M Squared Technologies) Beyond Compare 2 v.2.4.3 (Scooter Software) WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Comparison utility Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Hash.exe v.7.08.10.07.12 (Maresware) Hash creation utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Description Description Description of use in testing WinST 800-88) Secure Erase command tool Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1 .NET 1.1 SP1 for above (KB867460) Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile the EMS ComponentOne Studio Enterprise 2005 COTS: used to compile the EMS CO	Vigual Studio 2003 v 7 1 3808		
Environment Supplied by iBeta: identify line counts and cyclomatic complexity (M Squared Technologies) C, C++, Java & C# static analysis tool Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to complexity Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean Supplied by iBeta: used to compiler Supplied by iBeta: used to compile the EMS Supplied by iB			
RSM v6.92 (M Squared Technologies) Beyond Compare 2 v.2.4.3 (Scooter Software) Beyond Compare 2 v.2.4.3 (Scooter Software) WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences compared file/fo	(MICIOSOIL)		code review
Machine Comparison Compar	RSM v 6 92		Supplied by iBeta: identify line
Beyond Compare 2 v.2.4.3 (Scooter Software) WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Hash.exe v.7.08.10.07.12 (Maresware) Hash creation utility Supplied by iBeta: used to generate hash signatures for Trusted Builds Trusted Build Software Description Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase command tool Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1 .NET 1.1 SP1 for above (K8867460) Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler Corris used to compile the EMS ComponentOne Studio Enterprise 2005 C1.C1Pdf dll v1.1.20053.42 C1.C1Pdf dll v1.1.20053.42 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.287 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.0.20052.99 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.0.20052.78 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.0.20052.78 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.0.20052.78 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Corris used to compile the EMS C1.Corris used to		_	
Beyond Compare 2 v.2.4.3 (Scooter Software) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to generate hash signatures for Trusted Builds Trusted Build Software Description Description Description of use in testing Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase command tool Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1 .NET 1.1 SP1 for above (KB867460) Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler Corponent/One Studio Enterprise 2005 C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 31° party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS C01C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 31° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 31° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1Iptuf.dll v1.0.20052.99 31° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Iptuf.dll v1.0.20052.99 31° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Ppint/Drocument/One w.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Ppint/Drocument/One w.dll v1.0.COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Ppint/Drocument/One w.dll v1.O.COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Ppint/Drocument/One w.dll v1.O	(W Equator Feetingles)		
Software Compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Hash.exe v.7.08.10.07.12 (Maresware) Hash creation utility Supplied by iBeta: used to generate hash signatures for Trusted Builds Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to clean the build signature for Trusted Builds Supplied by iBeta: used to clean the build environment Net T 1.1 SP1 for above (KB867460) Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: u	Beyond Compare 2 v 2 4 3 (Scooter	Comparison utility	
WinDiff 5.1 (Microsoft) Comparison utility Supplied by iBeta: used to compare file/folder differences Supplied by iBeta: used to generate hash signatures for Trusted Build Software Description Description of use in testing Description of use in testing Supplied by iBeta: used to generate hash signatures for Trusted Builds Description of use in testing Supplied by iBeta: used to clean town and tool Description of use in testing Supplied by iBeta: used to clean town and tool Description of use in testing Supplied by iBeta: used to clean town and tool Description of use in testing Supplied by iBeta: used to clean town and the build environment Development framework and compiler Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: use		Companion damey	
Hash.exe v.7.08.10.07.12 (Maresware) Hash creation utility Supplied by iBeta: used to generate hash signatures for Trusted Build Software Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase command tool Supplied by iBeta: used to clean the build environment Microsoft.NET Framework v1.1 Development framework and compiler Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g 3° party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS ComponentOne Studio Enterprise 3° party bll COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS V1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20053.220 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.78 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.78 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConverter.dll 3° party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C0MmandConvert	,	Comparison utility	
Hash.exe v.7.08.10.07.12 (Maresware) Bash.exe v.7.08.10.07.12 (Maresware) Description Description Description of use in testing Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase command tool Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1 .NET 1.1 SP1 for above (KB867460) Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g 3 ¹⁰ party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Ipst.dll v1.2.02052.78 C1.Win.C1Ipst.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1Ipst.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v1.0.20052.78 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v1.0.0005.78 Analy DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20053.287 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20053.287 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20053.287 Development framework and compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS Development framework and compile the EMS COTS: used to compile t	VVIIIDIII O. 1 (IVIIOIOSOIL)	Companson dunty	
Trusted Build Software Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase (SMRR) HD HDERAS (SMRR) HD HD HDERAS (SMRR) HD	Hash exe v 7 08 10 07 12 (Maresware)	Hash creation utility	
Trusted Build Software Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase			
Trusted Build Software Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase command tool command tool subilidentification of use in testing Supplied by iBeat: used to clean the build environment to COTS: used to compile the EMS component of compiler Development framework and compiler Development framework and compiler Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile the EMS componentOne Studio Enterprise 3° party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS componentOne Studio Enterprise 3° party bll. C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 3° party DLL C1.C1PrintDocument.dll 3° party DLL C1.Win.C1Command.dll 3° party DLL C1.Win.C1Command.dll v2.5.20053.220 3° party DLL C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.0.20052.99 3° party DLL C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.0.20052.78 3° party DLL C1.Win.C1IprintPreview.dll 3° party DLL C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 3° party DLL C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3° party DLL C1.Command.converter.dll 3° party DLL C1.Com			
Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 (NIST 800-88) Secure Erase command tool command tool the build environment t	Trusted Build Software	Description	
Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1 NET 1.1 SP1 for above (KB867460) Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler Pranson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g SomponentOne Studio Enterprise 2005 C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C1PrintDocument.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.93 C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.183 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.183 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20052.118 C1.C0TS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.183 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v1.0.20052.93 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.183 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.183 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.CommandConverter.dll Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.93 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.183 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Development framework and compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS CO			
Development framework and compiler	Cocaro Eraco (Civirary) FIBBEIAGO VO.O		
Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile the EMS component on Studio Enterprise 2005 C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 C1.C1PrintDocument.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 21.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 21.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v1.0.20053.293 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v1.0.20052.78 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v1.0.20052.78 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v1.0.2052.78 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v1.0.2052.78 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v2.5.20053.887 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v2.5.20053.887 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v2.5.20053.888 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Pintpt.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Pintptreview.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 21.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.CommandConverter.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 21.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C1.Coms used to compile the EMS 21.0.000 C1.Coms used to compile the EMS 21.0.000 C1.Coms used to compile the EMS 21.0.0.00 C1.Coms used to compile the EMS 21.0	Microsoft NET Framework v1 1		
Visual Studio 2003 SP1 Development framework and compiler Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g 3rd party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS COMPONENT Studio Enterprise 2005 COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS:			Core. dood to compile the Livie
Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g 31 party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS 2005 C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.C1PrintDocument.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll 21 COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1Ipput.dll v1.0.20052.99 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1Ipput.dll v1.0.20052.99 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1Ipput.dll v1.0.20052.78 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.CommandConverter.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Compon.dll v1.0.20052.118 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.118 (COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.118 (COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.118 (COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.0.0 (COTS: used to compile the EMS (COTS: used	11121 111 61 1 101 45010 (115001 100)		
Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g 31 party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS 2005 C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.C1PrintDocument.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll 21 COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1Ipput.dll v1.0.20052.99 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1Ipput.dll v1.0.20052.99 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1Ipput.dll v1.0.20052.78 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.CommandConverter.dll 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Compon.dll v1.0.20052.118 31 party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.118 (COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.118 (COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.118 (COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.0.0 (COTS: used to compile the EMS (COTS: used	Visual Studio 2003 SP1	Development framework and	COTS: used to compile the EMS
Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g 3rd party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS ComponentOne Studio Enterprise 3rd party libraries COTS: used to compile the EMS 2005 C1.C1Pfdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1FiexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FiexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll y1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.CommandConverter.dll y1.0.20052.118 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.29 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20053.218 COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.21 COTS: used to c			'
ComponentOne Studio Enterprise 2005 C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 C3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FiexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1Iput.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00053.287 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00053.287 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00053.287 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00053.287 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.00 COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity COTS: used to compile Infinity	Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g		COTS: used to compile the EMS
2005 C1.C1Pfir.dll v1.1.20053.42 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C1PrintDocument.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C1.Common.dll v1.0.200			
C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.C1PrintDocument.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v1.0.20052.99 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.78 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.CommandConverter.dll 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v7.0.9466.0 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS NInterop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS NInterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS NInterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS NInterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS NIcrosoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS NIcrosoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity compiler			'
C1.C1PrintDocument.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.2053.287 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.CommandConverter.dll COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.2004 CO	C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
V1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.CommandConverter.dll 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile lnfinity compiler lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile lnfinity lnterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd par	C1.C1PrintDocument.dll	3 rd party DLL	
C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.CommandLl v1.0.20052.118 COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.0.2052.118 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile Infinity	v1.0.20053.287		•
V1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 G1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 G1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 G1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll V1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 G1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 G1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 G1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 G1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 G1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 G1.C1CommandConverter.dll V1.0.20023.2 G1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 G1.Co	C1.Win.C1Command.dll	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.IasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity	v1.0.20052.128		·
C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.IasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Srd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity	C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1.CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 C2.Compile the EMS C3rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity	C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS C1.Compile v1.0.0.9466.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS V1.0.0.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
V1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1CommandConverter.dll V1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 7Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll V1.0.0.0 Interop.IasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. Sp 6 (VB6) V1.0.20053.287 COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity	C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 7Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity compiler COTS: used to compile Infinity compiler			·
C1CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 7Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 1.0.0.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 1.0.0.0 Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 1.0.0.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS 1.0.0.0 COTS: used to compile Infinity 1.0.0.0 COTS: used to compile Infinity 1.0.0.0 COTS: used to compile Infinity 1.0.0.0 compiler 1.0.0.0 compile 1.0.0.0 compiler 1.0.0.0		3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 7Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.IasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Sard party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity		3 rd party DLL	
C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 7Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Srid party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity			·
7Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. 5.02 Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Variation of party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity		3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. 5.02 Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition Spearty DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity		3 rd party DLL	
v1.0.0.0Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.03rd party DLLCOTS: used to compile the EMSInterop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.03rd party DLLCOTS: used to compile the EMSMicrosoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.03rd party DLLCOTS: used to compile the EMSSerialNET.dll v2.0.0.13rd party DLLCOTS: used to compile the EMSBorland C++ Development Suite v. 5.02Development framework and compilerCOTS: used to compile InfinityVisual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6)Development framework and compilerCOTS: used to compile Infinity		3 rd party DLL	
Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Borland C++ Development Suite v. Development framework and compiler Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) COTS: used to compile Infinity			
Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 3 rd party DLL COTS: used to compile the EMS Borland C++ Development Suite v. Development framework and compiler Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile Infinity COTS: used to compile Infinity COTS: used to compile Infinity	Interop.lasHelperLib.dll v1.0.0.0	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. 5.02 Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) OCTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile the EMS COTS: used to compile Infinity		3 rd party DLL	
SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1 Borland C++ Development Suite v. 5.02 Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) October 3 party DLL Development framework and compile Infinity COTS: used to compile Infinity	Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile the EMS
Borland C++ Development Suite v. 5.02 Development framework and compiler Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Development framework and compiler COTS: used to compile Infinity COTS: used to compile Infini		3 rd party DLL	
5.02 compiler Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Development framework and compile Infinity		Development framework and	
Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition SP 6 (VB6) Development framework and cOTS: used to compile Infinity compiler			
SP 6 (VB6) compiler	Visual Studio 6 Professional Edition		COTS: used to compile Infinity
		compiler	
		Borland COTS font files	COTS: used to compile Infinity

Page 27 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Testing Software, Hardware & Material	Description	Description of use in testing
Smb1.chr, Smb2.chr, Smn1.chr,		
Smn2.chr		
Greenleaf CommLibTM v. 5.1	3 rd party libraries	COTS: used to compile Infinity
DataLight Rom-DOS v6.22	3 rd party libraries	COTS: used to compile Infinity
Pkzip v2.04g	3 rd party libraries	COTS: used to compile Infinity
OROS Low Level Interface Library	3 rd party libraries	COTS: used to compile Infinity
v1.0		
WGCRANSI.DLL	3 rd party DLL	COTS: used to compile Infinity
DataLight Rom-DOS v6.22		

Page 28 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

4 Voting System Overview

The MicroVote EMS voting system v.4.0 is a voting system consisting of the:

- EMS software (EMS software), a ballot preparation and central count software application developed by MicroVote General Corporation;
- Infinity Voting Panel, a DRE polling place device manufactured by Carson Manufacturing with audio ballot capabilities provided by the DoubleTalk, a COTS text-to-speech audio device manufactured by RC Systems; and
- Chatsworth ACP2200 OMR, a COTS scanner, manufactured by Chatsworth Data Corporation.

The EMS software Ballot Preparation functionality includes the Installation Database and the Election Database. In order to modify these databases the user selects either the Installation or Election mode. Election Databases can be created from either mode. The Installation Database contains standard data that is unlikely to change from election-to-election. The Election Database contains data for one specific election. The standard default data is generated by the Installation Database. These standard defaults can be used or overwritten for a specific election in the Election Database.

The Infinity Voting Panel is a DRE voting device that presents a visual ballot on an LCD panel with a text-to-speech voice synthesized audio ballot option. Non-electronic methods, such as mouth sticks, head sticks or use of the foot provide non-manual vote entry. Voters record a candidate or referendum selection by pushing a button mapped to a selection location. Access to poll worker administrative functions is controlled by smart card insertion (Start and Tally cards) and password entry. Access to a voting session is performed by the poll worker via smart card insertion (Vote and N Vote cards).

The EMS software Central Count functionality supports vote capture and tabulation of paper ballots (standard data cards) read by the Chatsworth COTS central count dual sided ACP2200 OMR. This software consolidates and reports Infinity Voting Panel and optical scan election results. Election Summary, Precinct Summary and Audit Log reports can be displayed and printed.



Page 29 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

4.1 Election Management System- Pre Voting Capabilities

4.1.1 MicroVote Election Management System

The EMS software pre-voting capabilities include the system security, administration, management of the Installation and Election Databases, preparation of absentee and Infinity ballots, and preparation of election materials and Infinity programming.

Administrative capabilities include.

- Managing EMS Administrative Security
 - Create, modify and delete EMS users
 - o Assign Elections and Security Access Levels
 - Reset passwords

Security capabilities include.

- Accessing the EMS software
 - o Restriction of access based on Security Access Levels
- Audit logging
 - EMS software access
 - Changes to the Installation and Election Databases
 - Writing of election materials and election installation
- Installation checksum validation of data transfer to the Infinity via the interface connector Ballot Preparation capabilities include:
 - Create, modify and delete Installation Database, standard data, including:
 - Jurisdiction and county information;
 - Political parties (maximum 10), precincts (with optional voter registration), vote types (machine, absentee and provisional);
 - Standard ballot text including instructions, headers and footers;
 - o Equipment use/assignment (tracked by serial number to precinct/polling location); and
 - Entry of English and Spanish versions for visual and audio ballots
 - Create, modify and delete Election Database, election specific data, including:
 - Use or overwrite standard data from the Installation Database;
 - Election details (election type, date, report naming, straight party voting);
 - Offices (vote for # and secondary vote limits) and referendums;
 - o Candidates, running mates, and associated descriptive text;
 - Election access controls and election reports;
 - o Infinity ballots and ballot styles (maximum of 600 selection locations)
 - Absentee ballots and ballot styles (standard data cards containing 256, 312, and 402 selection locations);
 - Activations for the assignment of Infinity and Absentee ballots and ballot styles for General and Primary Elections;
 - o Election reports, ballot, and ballot report viewing and printing; and
 - Entry of English and Spanish versions for visual and audio ballots;
 - Writing and installation of election specific materials:
 - o Smart cards written for early voting, provisional and Election Day voter access, and;
 - Election Database is installation on the Infinity Voting Panel.

4.2 Polling Place- Voting Capabilities

4.2.1 MicroVote Infinity Vote Panel

The Infinity Voting Panel consists of a monochrome LCD display, with selection buttons for 32 locations. In voting mode the display is organized as a two-column ballot with 15 selection locations (buttons) on each side of the display. A 16th location button, at the bottom of each column, is used for backwards and forward page navigation. After a voter has completed and reviewed all selections, they submit their vote by selecting the "Cast Vote" button. Lights associated with this button identify when it is active. Capabilities include the system security, system readiness, poll opening, voting, poll closing, report printing and extraction of results.

Page 30 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)



Security capabilities include.

- Accessing the Infinity:
 - o Pre and post vote administration requires smart cards (Start and Tally) and password
 - Voting session access requires smart cards (Vote and N Vote)
- Ballot checksum validation;
- Multiple stored copies of cast vote records and tabulations;
- Displays of current status, public and protective counter, memory capacity and battery capacity;
- Audit logging:
 - o Administrative tasks performed by the poll worker; and
 - Events between power on and power off, except voter selections.

Administrative capabilities include.

- Pre-vote validation of system readiness:
 - Test of card reader, selection buttons, lights and display;
 - o Ballot review; and
 - View/print a zero proof report;
- Opening the polls;
- Ballot access and selection for presentation in an visual and audio format;
- Closing the polls; and
- Printing and extracting the tally, vote record (ballot image) and audit reports.

Voting capabilities include:

- Presentation of ballots for supported voting variations;
- Voter selection of candidates and contest options;
- Voter ballot casting; and
- Vote recording.

4.3 Election Management System- Post Voting Capabilities

4.3.1 MicroVote Election Management System

The Election Management System software consolidates and reports Infinity and optical scan election results. The software supports the vote capture and tabulation of paper ballots (ballot data cards) manually fed, one at a time, into the Chatsworth COTS central count dual sided ACP2200 OMR optical scanner. Central count capabilities include the system security, system readiness for optical scanning of absentee ballots, tabulation/reporting of scanned absentee ballots, importation of Infinity tally results, and presentation/printing of summary and audit log reports.

Page 31 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)



Security capabilities include.

- Accessing the EMS software
 - Restriction of access based on Security Access Levels
- Infinity serial number check to prevent duplication
- Prohibition of changes to Tally Card, Infinity direct or OMR direct vote inputs
- Audit logging
 - EMS software access
 - o Reading of results into the election database

Administrative capabilities include.

- Pre-scanning validation of system readiness to read absentee ballots;
- Initialization validation and printing a zero proof;

Capabilities for consolidation and reporting of votes from various sources include:

- Election Day precinct counts from the Infinity panels
 - o Read results from the Tally Cards or direct connection to the Infinity panel
 - o Reporting of read status by Infinity serial number
- Absentee ballot cards hand-fed through the COTS optical scanners
 - Conversion and reporting of marks read by the scanner
- Early voting counts extracted directly from the Infinity panels
- Backup manual vote entry from Infinity paper tally reports, hand counts or other appropriate vote tabulation sources
- Tabulation and reporting of votes by precinct
- Election and precinct report summaries provide:
 - o Office detail and reporting by vote type (machine, absentee, provisional);
 - Tracking of the number of precincts reported and unreported;
 - o Tracking of voter turnout; and
 - Ballots submitting straight party votes
- Consolidated reporting of under and over votes

Page 32 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

5 Certification Review and Test Results

The results and evaluations of the PCA and FCA reviews tests are identified below. Detailed data regarding the Acceptance/Rejection criteria, reviews and tests are found in the appendices.

- Appendix A identifies all certification test requirements traced to specific Test Cases
- Appendix B identified the PCA Source Code Review Acceptance/Rejection criteria
- Appendix C identifies the PCA TDP Document Review Acceptance/Rejection criteria
- Appendix D identifies all FCA Testing Acceptance/Rejection criteria
- Appendix E identifies the PCA and FCA Discrepancies reported during review and testing

iBeta followed their standard review and test methodology in executing the test cases. A trace of the voting variations and system functions supported by the MicroVote EMS voting system v.4.0 is found in Appendix D.

5.1 PCA Source Code Review

iBeta Quality Assurance reviewed the MicroVote internally developed coding standards for the software submitted in section 3 in certification of the MicroVote EMS version 4.0 and Infinity version 4.0. Review criteria were customized to incorporate the requirements of *VVSG 2005* Vol. 1 Sect 5.2 and Vol. 2 Sect. 5, language specific conventions (C, VB.net, VB 6, and SQL) and the internally developed coding standards as referenced. The specific review criteria for this test effort and the documentation of the building of the executable code from the reviewed source code (Witness Build) are identified in Appendix B.

iBeta tracked a number of metrics obtained from the results of the source code review during this certification test effort:

- The number of comment related discrepancies (considered to have a higher impact on software maintainability but a lower impact on system function),
- The number of discrepancies that may impact software function, and
- An overall discrepancy-to-eLOC (executable Lines of Code) percentage.

Discrepancies are written against a module which may be defined as either a file or a function within a file and, as such, each discrepancy may represent one or more instances of non-compliance with a VVSG 2005 requirement.

5.1.1 Infinity 4.0 – C and Visual Basic 6.0 Source Code Review Results

Infinity 4.0 consists of a Visual Basic 6.0 InfUpdated version 1.0.1 and the following minor versions of Borland C source code:

- Infinity Panel v.9.67
- Infinity Encrypt v.2.14
- Infinity GetUpdtr v.2.16

A total of 596 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the *VVSG 2005* were validated to be closed. A total of 238 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 238 discrepancies encompassed 371 instances of *VVSG 2005 requirements* identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Each iBeta source code review requires source code adherence to 43 *VVSG 2005* requirements. Of those, 14 requirements address formatting and comments. Of the total 371 *VVSG 2005* requirement non-compliances, 287 or 77.4% were rejected against these 14 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by MicroVote, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

Page 33 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, iBeta identified 84 non-compliance or 22.6% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by MicroVote, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified 14 files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs with the justification provided that these files/functions contained only variable initialization and had a cyclomatic complexity (number of execution paths within the file/function) of only 1.

Discrepancy-to-eLOC Percentage

At the file/function and discrepancy level, the discrepancy-to-eLOC (executable Lines of Code) percentage was calculated as 238 discrepancies in 29,754 eLOCs as 0.8%. This is well within the expected target benchmark of 1%.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the *VVSG 2005*. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.2 EMS 4.0 VB.Net Source Code Review Results

EMS 4.0 consists of a VB.Net and SQL (see next section for SQL results). A total of 1976 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the *VVSG 2005* were validated to be closed. A total of 540 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 540 discrepancies encompassed 1102 instances of *VVSG 2005* requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 1121 VVSG 2005 requirement non-compliances, 852 or 76% were rejected against the 14 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by MicroVote, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, Ibeta identified 269 non-compliances or 24% of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by MicroVote, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified 14 files or functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs with the justification provided that these files/functions contained only variable initialization and had a cyclomatic complexity (number of execution paths within the file/function) of only 1.

Discrepancy-to-eLOC Percentage

At the file/function and discrepancy level, the discrepancy-to-eLOC (executable Lines of Code) percentage was calculated as 540 discrepancies in 42,496 eLOCs as 1.27%. This slightly exceeds the expected target benchmark of 1%. The entire voting system combined does meet the target benchmark.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the *VVSG 2005*. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.1.3 EMS 4.0 SQL Source Code Review Results

EMS 4.0 consists of a VB.Net and SQL (see previous section for VB.Net results). A total of 213 files/functions were reviewed and all instances of non-conformance to the *VVSG 2005* were validated to be closed. A total of 98 discrepancies were identified and validated to be resolved. Those 98 discrepancies encompassed 336 instances of *VVSG 2005* requirements identified as not being met at the initial source code review.

Page 34 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Comment Related Instances/Discrepancies

Of the total 336 VVSG 2005 requirement non-compliances, 322 or 95.8% were rejected against the 14 comment-related requirements. All instances were noted in discrepancies; the comments were addressed by MicroVote, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed.

Software Related Instances/Discrepancies

In reviewing the source code for the remaining 29 software related requirements, Ibeta identified 14 non-compliances or 4.2 of identified issues. All instances were noted in discrepancies, addressed by MicroVote, reviewed by iBeta, and validated to be closed..

File Function Line Counts/Discrepancies

The file function line count results identified no functions that exceeded 240 eLOCs.

Discrepancy-to-eLOC Percentage

At the file/function and discrepancy level, the discrepancy-to-eLOC (executable Lines of Code) percentage was calculated as 98 discrepancies in 13,835 eLOCs as 0.71%. This is well within the expected target benchmark of less than 1%.

The source code was found to meet the requirements of the *VVSG 2005*. The data supporting this review are found in Appendix B.

5.2 PCA TDP Document Review

iBeta reviewed all MicroVote submitted TDP documents of the Election Management System voting system against the Vol. 2 Sect. 2 requirements of the VVSG 2005. (See Section 7.3.2 for a list of the reviewed documents.) Each submitted document was reviewed against the specific section of the VVSG applicable to that category of document. If the required content was present in one or more submitted documents results were summarized and the requirement was accepted. If it was not present the requirement was rejected. On the initial review 23 document defects and 3 informational issues were noted. MicroVote submitted updated documents addressing these.

The MicroVote Configuration and Quality Policies were reviewed per the requirements of VVSG 2005 Vol.2 Sect. 2.11, 2.12 and Vol.1 Section 8.3 through 8.7 and 9.2 through 9.6, and recorded in the *PCA TDP Document Review* sheets. Instances where the MicroVote test deliverables did not conform to their state policies were noted in the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report* as Informational issues.

Appendix C contains the specific PCA Document Review criteria for the TDP.

5.2.1 PCA TDP Document Review Results

The documents of the MicroVote Technical Data Package have been found to meet the requirements of Vol.2 Sect. 2 of the VVSG 2005. The data supporting these review results are found in Appendix C. The defects and issues encountered during the review, their resolution and validations are identified in Appendix E.

5.3 FCA Functional and System Integration Testing

iBeta executed a review of the MicroVote EMS voting system v.4.0 functionality to the requirements of the VVSG 2005. (See Appendix A) Tests covering system functional requirements were incorporated into three standard system level integration test cases of end-to-end mock elections. Two of the tests were General Elections and one was a Primary. (In the EMS voting system a Closed Primary and an Open Primary with public choice function in exactly the same manner.) Election databases and ballots were prepared, installed, voted and reported exercising the input controls, error content, and audit message content of the voting system. The elections were programmed, voted and tallied to ensure ballot formats were accurately displayed, votes are accurately and reliably cast for the voting variations and functionality supported by the voting system. Effectiveness of security access controls, system integrity, availability, confidentiality and audit accountability were examined. The content and clarity of user instructions and processes was reviewed for usability. A General and a Primary election included

Page 35 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

visual and audio Spanish and English ballots. Votes were cast by testers with correctable visual disabilities to confirm that ballots can be accessed visually, aurally or with non-electronic dexterity aids in Spanish and English. Testing verified availability of screen contrast settings, ballot display settings, and required audio ballot controls. Content and accuracy of the Spanish translation was not tested. States and jurisdictions need to validate the content and accuracy of all translations.

The specific voting variations and system functions tested in the General 1, 2 and Primary 1 Test Cases are identified in the Appendix D Test Methods. During the FCA Functional and System Level Testing numerous documentation and functional defects were noted. The functional discrepancies opened and closed in each test case are identified in the Appendix D Test Method. MicroVote resolved all identified defects. As appropriate, iBeta performed a document review and/or functional regression test. All regression testing was executed as an end-to-end system level test.

The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods.

After all hardware and software testing was completed a final trusted build was performed with the release versions of the software and firmware (See Appendix F). This build was installed on the hardware configuration that had completed environmental, accuracy and reliability testing. A full system integration test was performed on this final system configuration (See Section 7.2.1.4 General 2 Test Method).

5.3.1 Evaluation of Functional and System Integration Testing

Upon completion of all iterations of the Functional and System Level test cases, the MicroVote EMS voting system was found to meet the Functional and System Integration requirements of the VVSG 2005. Appendices A and D provide specific information on the FCA Functional and System Integration Testing. The defects encountered, their resolution and validations are listed in Appendix E

5.4 FCA Security Review and Testing

iBeta's security specialist, a Certified Information System Security Profession, executed a security analysis of the applicable TDP documents of the MicroVote EMS voting system v.4.0 to identify the threat model. First the analysis identified VVSG 2005 security requirements that were currently addressed in the standard testing, source code and document reviews. The analysis next identified any unique voting system specific tests, source code and document reviews that were needed. The tests, source code or documents reviews were traced to the VVSG 2005 requirement in the FCA Security Review and Testing table. The results of the standard tests and reviews were recorded in the applicable FCA Functional and System Integration Testing, PCA Source Code Review or the PCA Document Review. The unique tests and reviews were documented in the FCA Security Review and Testing table. This documentation included the steps, acceptance and rejection criteria, and results. Appendix D contains the FCA Security Review and Testing table and the specific Test Methodology. During the FCA Security Review and Testing 2 documentation and 2 functional defects were noted. The specific discrepancy numbers are identified in the Appendix D Security Review and Testing Method.

In order to comply with the security test requirements identified in Vol.2 Sect. 6.4 of the VVSG 2005, iBeta approached security testing of the MicroVote EMS voting system by first creating test scenarios which discounted the exposure to risk and excluded physical security procedures. However, in establishing acceptance and rejection criteria, iBeta assessed the potential exposure to risk and included physical security procedures as an acceptable security control, per the requirements of Vol. 1 Sect. 7.3 of the VVSG 2005. To assess if an access control was effective iBeta considered the degree to which one or more of the following security controls was present: physical security procedures, password protection, detection in an audit, technical expertise required, obfuscation of sensitive material, and encryption of sensitive material. In determining potential exposure to risk the security specialist considered access from the user and if the exposure was from a trusted user or non-trusted

Page 36 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

user. Systems were accepted as meeting the security requirements of the VVSG 2005 if the security controls present were deemed effective to address the identified risk.

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods.

5.4.1 FCA Security Review and Tests

The MicroVote EMS voting system was found to meet the requirements of the VVSG 2005. Appendices A, B, C, and D provide specific information on the results of the FCA Security Review and Testing. The defects encountered during the review, their resolution and validations are listed in Appendix E.

5.5 FCA Accuracy Testing (Accuracy, Reliability, Volume, & Stress)

The accuracy requirements of the VVSG 2005 are addressed in all test cases. Any time a test required an election to be created, installed, voted, and/or reported the accuracy of the MicroVote EMS voting system v.4.0 was being tested.

The FCA Accuracy Testing is specifically the Data Accuracy testing called out in vol.2 section 4.7.1.1. This is a test performed in conjunction with the Temperature and Power Variations Test (v.2 section 4.7.1) and Reliability testing (v.2. section 4.7.3).

Data Accuracy Testing

The VVSG 2005 stipulates that a voting system fails if one error occurs before recording/reading 26,997 consecutive ballot positions correctly. A voting system must record/read 1,549,703 (or more) consecutive ballot positions correctly. If there's one error with more than 26,997 ballot positions but less than 1,549,703 correctly read, the test can be continued, with testing until another 1,576,701 consecutive ballot positions are counted without error (i.e. 3,126,404 with one error).

Temperature and Power Variations Testing & Reliability Testing

The VVSG 2005 stipulates that non-COTS precinct and central count systems must execute Data Accuracy testing in a chamber while operating for 48 hours in temperatures between 50° F and 95° F at varying voltage (see Appendix D Test Method). Reliability required a minimum operation of 163 hours. February 6, 2008 Interpretation 2008-01 was issued. It identified the number of hours multiple voting systems must accumulate. In the case of MicroVote this was 4 units run for 48 hours through Temperature and Power variations cycles and 16 hour ambient. Operation included panel programming, readiness, automated ballot generation, and reporting. The panels remained powered during test administration.

Infinity Voting Panel DRE

Testing incorporated manual voting and an automated vote simulation on four Infinity Voting Panels. MicroVote provided two versions of code. Both versions were source code reviewed and built by iBeta. A comparison of the code confirmed the only difference was to permit use of the simulator. The second version of code was only used for VSTL testing to permit running of a script that simulates the card activation and pressing of the buttons. In the code review iBeta confirmed that from this point forward the paths were identical. The simulation tool was verified to confirm it addressed precinct and ballot selection, ballot display, vote selection and casting the ballot. The tool was also validated for accuracy against a predicted result. Manual testing of the buttons and card activator is still a prerequisite for prevote setup and testing for the election used with the simulator. Some volume and stress variables were incorporated into the test, including ballot sizes near system maximums, maximum number of parties, and the number of ballots cast exceeding the typical election levels. The manual votes incorporated 10% of the required ballot positions. There were five separate voting sessions. Session 1 and 5 encompassed manual votes only. Sessions 2 through 4 included both manual and simulated votes. Each manual vote session incorporated 2% of the required ballot positions. The simulation was an external script run on a laptop that was connect to the Com Port of a panel. The simulator incremented each time it sent the vote script instruction to the panel. Tallies were run at the end of the first and fifth session. The independent record of script sends was recorded in the test case. Tallies for each panel were transferred to the central count and compared to the predicted results identified in the test case

Page 37 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

(i.e. the manually entered votes combined with the simulation votes). The tally for sessions 1 through 4 matched the predicted results of the 4,248,720 ballot positions without error (10,116 ballots). The tally for session 5 correctly reported the votes but one vote on panel s/n 3630 was reported in precinct 16 instead of precinct 14. The manual tester stated that she may have made an error in her selection of the precinct on one vote. Given the accuracy of the test up through session 4 it is likely that the vote cast in the incorrect precinct was due to human error in the manually entered votes, but this could not be verified. Without verification of tester error, the one error in session 5 remains in the test record. The Data Accuracy results were accepted, because the total of 4,265,520 ballot positions exceeded the requirement of 3,126,404 ballot positions with one error.

While all units completed the test, panel s/n 3628 failed the post-test Operational Status Check. (Details are identified in Appendix E #106 and #107.) Diagnosis of the failures revealed that the four panels submitted for testing were older demonstration models that did not include six Engineering Change Notices (ECN) incorporated in current production. MicroVote immediately submitted the ECNs (see section 8 of the MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) Voting System v. 4.0.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan, v.4.0). Failure to complete the Operation Status Check resulted in rejection of the Reliability test. iBeta, in consultation with Wyle engineers, concluded that the changes did not effect the Data Accuracy results.

The initial run of this test occurred prior to issuance of Interpretation 2008-01. The Reliability regression test was run after. This resulted in a test plan change. (See the Accuracy DRE Test Method of the *MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) Voting System v. 4.0.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan, v.4.0*) MicroVote provided four current production models for the regression Reliability test. In order to assess reliable operation an automated vote simulation was run using the same test election database and the automated vote simulation on four current production Infinity Voting Panels. Session 1 through 4 were executed in the chamber under temperature and power variations for 48 hours. Session 5 ran an additional 16 hours at ambient. The Infinity Voting Panel successfully completed the test and the operational status check. Tallies were run and transferred to the central count. A total of 15,610 ballots were cast, including 6,556,200 ballot position with no errors.

Chatsworth ACP2200 OMR Scanner

A separate accuracy test was conducted for the central count ACP220 OMR scanner. As the ACP220 OMR is COTS hardware it was exempted from the Temperature and Power Variations Test (v.2 section 1.7.1.1) the Accuracy and Reliability testing was conducted at ambient office temperature. The test variables included a maximum size ballot card (402 ovals) with 11 contests and 35 candidates per contest (385 Ballot Positions per Ballot). A total of 4026 ballots were supplied by MicroVote. These had been marked by hand in pencil. Ballots were inserted two times in different orientations. The test was executed three times. The initial test was halted due to a failure to record scanned cards into the EMS. (Details are identified in Appendix E #114.) A new version of the EMS software was submitted and after resolution of the issue was validated, a second test was halted upon multiple random ballot misreads occurred. (Details are identified in Appendix E #115). The ACP2200 OMR hardware was returned to the Chatsworth for diagnosis. No problems were identified except for a bent pin. The scanner was returned to iBeta with two additional scanners. The ballot batch that had been identified as problematic was scanned on all three units. All three reported random misreads. The problem ballot batch was forwarded to MicroVote. The result of this examination was for MicroVote to retest and revise their specification for ballot marking devices. New ballots were tendered by MicroVote which complied with the specification for black ink. iBeta marked 10% of the cards with BIC 0.7mm #2 lead pencils. All ballots were successfully read.

The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods.

Page 38 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

5.5.1 FCA Accuracy Tests

The MicroVote EMS voting system was found to meet the VVSG Vol. 1 Sect. 2.1.2, 4.1.1, 4.1.2.13, and 4.3.3 requirements. Appendices A and D provide specific information on the Accuracy Testing. The issues encountered during testing are identified in Appendix E.

5.6 FCA Characteristics Testing (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)

iBeta created a test election that included audio, visual, English and Spanish ballots. Testing was executed using manual and non-manual input. Usability testing examined the functional capabilities addressing alternative languages and cognitive, perceptual, interaction, and privacy issues identified in VVSG Vol.1 Sect. 3.1. Accessibility testing examined the functional capabilities addressing visual, audio, dexterity, and mobility to confirm that manual and non-manual audio, visual, English and Spanish ballots could be cast privately and independently on the Infinity Voting Panel as identified in VVSG Vol.1 Sect. 3.2. Testing verified the functionality of screen contrast settings, ballot display settings, and required audio ballot controls. All test conditions were in an ambient office environment. The Maintenance procedures outlined in the TDP were executed in conjunction with an examination of the physical characteristics and attributes of the Infinity Voting Panel to confirm that they conformed to the requirements identified in VVSG Vol.1 Sect. 4.2 and 4.3. A document review of the MicroVote submitted usability testing was performed to confirm it complied with Interpretation 2007-03 of VVSG Vol. 1 Sect. 3.1.1. During Accessibility Testing 2 functional defects were noted. During the Maintainability examination 5 documentation defects and 1 functional defect were noted. MicroVote resolved all identified defects.

It should be noted that Interpretation 2008-06 was released subsequent to completion of certification testing. This effectively removed the requirement for a central count scanner two hour back-up power supply in favor of requirements for a graceful shut down. The COTS back-up power supply specified and tested conformed to the more rigid Interpretation 2008-02, which far exceeds the requirements of Interpretation 2008-06.

The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods.

5.6.1 FCA Characteristics Tests (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)

The MicroVote EMS voting system was found to meet the Recovery, Usability, Accessibility, Maintainability and Characteristics requirements of the VVSG 2005. Appendices A and D provide specific information on the Characteristics (Maintainability, Usability and Accessibility) Testing. The defects encountered during the review, their resolution and validations are identified in Appendix E

5.7 FCA Hardware Environmental Testing

The Infinity Voting Panel, Chatsworth ACP2200 Dual-Sided OMR COTS scanner and the EMS Dell PC and Laptop incorporate the vote scanning, counting and DRE voting equipment of the MicroVote EMS voting system.

iBeta performed an examination of the Chatsworth ACP2200 Dual-Sided OMR and EMS Dell PC and Laptop against the system specifications to confirm documented evidence of COTS equipment and operation per *VVSG* 2005 Vol.1 Sect. 4.1.2 and Interpretation 2007-05. iBeta confirmed that they had FCC Class 15B and CE Marks affixed to each unit indicating that the product has been certified to meet these requirements and the COTS manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity confirming the manufacturer compliance claims.

Page 39 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

As the *VVSG 2005* requires DRE's to include audio functionality the environmental tests which require performance while the unit is operating must include audio operations. The Environmental Operating Tests must therefore include both visual and audio ballot operations. As the DoubleTalk audio unit and visual vote simulator required use of the same external port on the Infinity Voting Panel it was not possible to use the vote simulator for simultaneous audio operation. Operating tests necessitated execution in a vote simulation mode and execution in an audio operating mode. Vote simulation was provided by the method described in the Accuracy Test using a ballot with two contests. Audio operation was generated by inclusion of a ballot referendum that would playback for 10 minutes (Interpretation 2007-05).

Wyle Laboratories' personnel reviewed and assessed all past test results and provided iBeta with the following recommendation for testing:

- Test WSG 2005 V.1: 4.3.8 Safety as the Safety Standard the unit was previously tested to is obsolete;
- Test WSG 2005 V.1: 4.1.2.9 Electromagnetic Emissions –in audio and visual modes as the FCC test standard had been updated since 2006;
- Test all EMC in the Environmental Operating Audio mode, the EMC engineers assess that the
 execution of tests in audio mode provided sufficient validation for reuse of the results of
 EMC
 Environmental Operating Visual mode 2006 testing, including:
 - o VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.4.a & b Electrical Supply
 - VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.5 Electrical Power Disturbance
 - o VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.6 Electrical Fast Transit
 - VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.7 Lightning Surge
 - VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.8 Electrostatic Disruption
 - VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.10 Electromagnetic Susceptibility
 - o VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.11 Conducted RF Immunity
 - VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.12 Magnetic Fields Immunity
- For the VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.14 Environmental Control Transit and Storage tests:
 - Test Vibration MIL-STD-810D, Methods 501.2 and 502.2 Procedure I-Storage execution to validate a minor change (ECN 1408) to the spacers on the card reader.
 - Reuse Bench Handling MIL-STD-810D, Methods 516.3 Procedure VI, as a Pass on the Vibration test was sufficient validation for Wyle to reissue results based upon 2002 testing
 - Reuse High/Low Temperature Storage Non-Operating MIL-STD-810D, Methods 514.3
 Category 1-Basifc Transportation Common Carrier
 - Reuse Humidity Storage Non-Operating MIL-STD-810D, Methods 507.2 Procedure I-Natural Hot Humid
- Test VVSG V.1: 4.1.2.13 Environmental Control Operating Environment (Temperature and Power Variation V2: 4.7.1) in conjunction with the Accuracy Test.

iBeta accepted Wyle's recommendation for testing and use of earlier valid test data and test results.

iBeta provided an operational status check that was performed by both on-site iBeta test staff and iBeta trained Wyle test staff. Detail of this check is provided the Appendix D Test Method.

Hardware failures were encountered during the Electrostatic Disruption and FCC Part 15 Class B tests. Wyle's notices of anomaly were documented in Discrepancies #116, 117, and 119. Utilization of a test chamber was needed for MicroVote to troubleshoot and initiate appropriate remedies. A request was sent to the EAC to authorize MicroVote to initiate this work on-site at Wyle. A qualified Wyle chamber engineer was provided for operation of the chamber, observation and communication of the results of the remedial actions performed by Carson Manufacturing on MicroVote's behalf. Wyle's notices of anomaly and the mitigation work performed are provided in their report. A link to this report is contained in Appendix D.

The testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. The individual test iterations include identification of the specific software and firmware build versions in the Appendix D Test Methods.

Page 40 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

5.7.1 FCA Hardware Environmental Tests

Wyle Laboratories provided iBeta with a test report validating that the Infinity Voting Panel had passed all VVSG Vol.1 Sect, 4.6 and 4.7 environmental hardware tested. iBeta reviewed and accepted the Wyle report. iBeta accepted the documented record of COTS equipment performance for the Chatsworth ACP2200 OMR scanner and Dell PC/Laptop per Interpretation 2007-05. Appendix D details specific information on the Hardware Environmental Testing. Any issues encountered during testing are identified in Appendix E.

5.8 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Testing

iBeta inspected the MicroVote EMS voting system to confirm that it did not contain wireless technology or use of the public networks. The review was conducted on the system configuration identified in Section 3. Appendix D details the specific Test Methodology of the Telephony and Cryptographic Review.

5.8.1 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Tests

The review confirmed that MicroVote EMS voting system is exempt from Telephony and Cryptographic Testing per the requirements of the VVSG Vol. 1 Sect. 6 & 7. Appendix D details the results of the Telephony and Cryptographic Review. No issues were encountered.

Page 41 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

6 Opinions & Recommendations

iBeta Quality Assurance has completed the testing of MicroVote Election Management System voting system v.4.0. All testing prescribed by the test plan or amended test plan was performed as identified. Documentation of any divergence from the test plan was included in the test report. All identified voting system anomalies or failures were reported and resolved. The information provided in this report is an accurate representation of the certification test effort of the MicroVote Election Management System voting system v.4.0. It is our opinion that the report is complete.

Based upon the findings identified in Section 5 it is our opinion the acceptance requirements of Election Assistance Commission Voluntary Voting System Guidelines December 2005 and the MicroVote manufacture specifications have been met for the hardware, software and user documentation of the system configuration submitted for certification testing.

iBeta Quality Assurance recommends that the Election Assistance Commission certifies MicroVote Election Management System voting system v.4.0.

Carolyn E. Coggins QA Director – Voting

iBeta Quality Assurance

7 APPENDICES: TEST OPERATION, FINDINGS & DATA ANALYSIS

Release of the *Voting System Test Laboratory Program Manual v.1.0* occurred subsequent to completion of all testing and preparation of v.1.0 of the test report. Appendix B of the manual identifies content in specific appendices. A trace is provided in section 1.4 to clarify the location of this specified content.

7.1 Appendix A: Certification Test Requirements

Appendix A identifies the test results to the Certification Test Requirement of the VVSG 2005. Requirements marked:

- Accept: met the VVSG 2005 requirement
- Reject: did not meet the VVSG 2005 requirement
- NA: the requirement is not applicable to the voting system type submitted for Certification Testing
- Pending: VVSG 2005 requirements that cannot be completed by the VSTL until after Certification
- Out of Scope: VVSG 2005 requirements which are performed by entities other than the VSTL

Optional requirements which apply to the voting system type but are not supported by the MicroVote EMS voting system are marked "Accept", with an explanatory comment. The reason for this is to provide a positive identification that iBeta reviewed the voting system for all applicable requirements, including this optional functionality and confirmed non-support. (Example: The Infinity Voting Panel does not have a VVPAT. The requirements are marked "Accept" and the "DRE does not have a VVPAT".)

The test case trace corresponds to the Test Methods identified in the Test Plan & Appendix D: G1 = General 1, G2 = General 2, P1 = Primary 1, A-OMR = Accuracy OMR, A-In = Accuracy Infinity, S = Security, T=Telephony & Cryptographic, C=Characteristics, E=Environmental. Many functional requirements were exercised in multiple test cases. These instances are noted in the Function Exercised column. The actually test case or test method might not contain a requirement trace in these instances. Issues identified during testing are cross-referenced to the Appendix E- Discrepancy Report.

EAC Decisions on Requests for Interpretation which were applicable to the voting system submitted for certification testing are noted in the comments.

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
Vol. 1	Vendor, Voting System & Version	Scope	Trace		Prior Certification
	MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System v.4.0.0	Full 2005 VVSG			Initial EAC Certification
2.1	Overall System Capabilities				
2.1.1	Security System security is achieved through a combination of technical capabilities and sound administrative practices. Te ensure security all systems shall:				
a.	Provide security access controls that limit or detect access to critical system components to guard against loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality, and accountability.	Accept	S, G1, G2		#42 – closed
b.	Provide system functions that are executable only in the intended manner and order, and only under the intended conditions.	Accept	S, G1, G2	P1	
C.	Use the system's control logic to prevent a system function from executing, if any preconditions to the function have not been met.	Accept	S, G1, G2	P1	
d.	Provide safeguards to protect against tampering during system repair, or interventions in system operations, in response to system failure.	Accept	S		
e.	Provide security provisions that are compatible with the procedures and administrative tasks involved in equipment preparation, testing, and operation.	Accept	S		
f.	If access to a system function is to be restricted or controlled the system shall incorporate the means of implementing this capability.	Accept	S, G1, G2		
g.	Provide documentation of mandatory administrative	Accept	S		

Page 43 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	procedures for effective system security.				Issues
2.1.2	Accuracy				
a.	To ensure vote accuracy, all systems shall: Records the election contests, candidates, and issues	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-In	
a.	exactly as defined by election officials.	Ассері	01, 02	1 1, A-III	
b.	Records the appropriate options for casting and	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-In,	#84 – closed
	recording votes.	A t	04.00	A-OMR	#00 -l
C.	Records each vote precisely as indicated by the voter and have the ability to produce an accurate report of all	Accept	G1, G2	P1,A-In, A-OMR	#89 closed. Interpretation 2007-06
	votes cast.			/ Civil C	interpretation 2007 00
d.	Control logic and data processing methods incorporation	Accept	S		
	parity and check sums (or equivalent error detection and				
	correction methods) to demonstrate the system has been designed for accuracy.				
e.	The software monitors the overall quality of data read-	Accept	S		
	write and transfer quality status, checks the number and	-			
	types of errors that occur in any of the relevant operations on data and how they were corrected.				
	DRE System Standards				
	In additional DRE systems shall:				
f.	As an additional means of ensuring accuracy in DRE	Accept	G1, G2	P1	#89 closed.
	systems, voting devices record and retain redundant copies of the original ballot image. A ballot image				Interpretation 2007-06
	electronic record of all votes cast by the voter, including				
	undervotes.				
2.1.3	Error Recovery				
	To recover from a non-catastrophic failure of a device, or from any error or malfunction that is within the operator's				
	ability to correct, the system shall provide the following				
	capabilities:				
a.	Restoration of the device to the operating condition	Accept	G1, G2	P1	
	existing immediately prior to an error or failure, without loss or corruption of voting data previously stored in the				
	device				
b.	Resumption of normal operation following the correction	Accept	G1, G2	P1	
	of a failure in a memory component, or in a data processing component, including the central processing				
	unit				
C.	Recovery from any other external condition that causes	Accept	G1, G2	P1	
	equipment to become inoperable, provided that				
	catastrophic electrical or mechanical damage due to external phenomena has not occurred.				
2.1.4	Integrity				
	Integrity measures ensure the physical stability and				
	function of the vote recording and counting processes. To ensure system integrity, all systems shall:				
a.	Protect against a single point of failure that would	Accept	G1		
	prevent further voting at the polling place.				
b.	Protects against the interruption of electronic power.	Accept	C		
<u>c.</u> d.	Protects against electromagnetic radiation. Protects against the ambient temperature and humidity	Accept	E E		
u.	fluctuations.	Accept	-		
e.	Protects against failure of any data input or storage	Accept	S		
	device.				#00 05 0 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
f.	Protects against any attempt at improper data entry or retrieval	Accept	S, G1, G2		#32, 38 & 104 – closed
g.	Records and reports of any normal or abnormal events.	Accept	S, G1,	P1, C	#54 – closed
<u> </u>	,	-	G2	·	
h.	Maintain a permanent record of all original audit data	Accept	S, G1	G2, P1	
	that cannot be modified or overridden but may be augmented by designated authorized officials in order to				
	adjust for errors or omissions (e.g., during the				
	canvassing process)				
i.	Detect and record every event, including the occurrence	Accept	S, G1	G2, P1	Source Code Review :
2000 44	of an error condition that the system cannot overcome,				v.1:5.2.3.e all errors or

Page 44 of 210

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	and time-dependent or programmed events that occur without the intervention of the voter or a polling place operator				exceptions are reported to the user, recorded in the audit log, or handled at the time of execution such that corrective action is achieved & v.2:5.4.2.p Audit records log errors & events
j.	Include built-in measurement, self-test, and diagnostic software and hardware for detecting and reporting the system's status and degree of operability	Accept	S, G1	G2, P1	
	DRE Systems Standards In addition to the common requirements, DRE systems shall:				
k.	Maintain a record of each ballot cast using a process and storage location that differs from the main vote detection, interpretation, processing, and reporting path	Accept	S, G1	G2, P1	
2.1.5	Provide a capability to retrieve ballot images in a form readable by humans System Audit	Accept	S, G1	G2, P1	
2.1.5.	See the requirement for context of these requirements. Operational Requirements				
1	Audit records shall be prepared for all phases of election	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	#68 – closed
	operations performed using devices controlled by the jurisdiction or its contractors. These records rely upon automated audit data acquisition and machinegenerated reports, with manual input of some information. These records shall address the ballot preparation and election definition phase, system readiness tests, and voting and ballot-counting operations. The software shall activate the logging and reporting of audit data as described below.			A-OMR, A-In	
a.	Time, Sequence, and Preservation of Audit Records The timing and sequence of audit record entries is as important as the data contained in the record. All voting systems shall meet the requirements for time, sequence and preservation of audit records outlined below.		G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
i.	Except where noted, systems shall provide the capability to create and maintain a real-time audit record. This capability records and provides the operator or precinct official with continuous updates on machine status. This information allows effective operator identification of an error condition requiring intervention, and contributes to the reconstruction of election-related events necessary for recounts or litigation.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
ii.	All systems shall include a real-time clock as part of the system's hardware. The system shall maintain an absolute record of the time and date or a record relative to some event whose time and data are known and recorded.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
iii.	All audit record entries shall include the time-and-date stamp.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
iv.	The audit record shall be active whenever the system is in an operating mode. This record shall be available at all times, though it need not be continually visible.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
V.	The generation of audit record entries shall not be terminated or altered by program control, or by the intervention of any person. The physical security and integrity of the record shall be maintained at all times.	Accept	S, G1	G2	
vi.	Once the system has been activated for any function, the system shall preserve the contents of the audit record during any interruption of power to the system until processing and data reporting have been	Accept	G1	A-OMR	

Page 45 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
vii.	completed. The system shall be capable of printing a copy of the audit record. A separate printer is not required for the audit record, and the record may be produced on the standard system printer if all the following conditions are met: • The generation of audit trail records does not interfere with the production of output reports • The entries can be identified so as to facilitate their recognition, segregation, and retention • The audit record entries are kept physically secure	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
b.	Error messages All voting systems shall meet the requirements for error messages below.				
i.	The voting system shall generate, store, and report to the user all error messages as they occur.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	#36, 55 – closed
ii.	All error messages requiring intervention by an operator or precinct official shall be displayed or printed clearly in easily understood language text, or by means of other suitable visual indicators.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
iii.	When the voting system uses numerical error codes for trained technician maintenance or repair, the text corresponding to the code shall be self-contained or affixed inside the voting machine. This is intended to reduce inappropriate reactions to error conditions, and to allow for ready and effective problem correction.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
iv.	All error messages for which correction impacts vote recording or vote processing shall be written in a manner that is understandable to an election official who possesses training on system use and operation, but does not possess technical training on system servicing and repair.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
V.	The message cue for all voting systems shall clearly state the action to be performed in the event that voter or operator response is required.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	# 36 – closed
vi.	Voting system design shall ensure that erroneous responses will not lead to irreversible error.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
vii.	Nested error conditions are corrected in a controlled sequence such that voting system status shall be restored to the initial state existing before the first error occurred.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
C.	Status Messages The Standards/Guidelines provide latitude in software design so that vendors can consider various user processing and reporting needs. The jurisdiction may require some status and information messages to be displayed and reported in real-time. Messages that do not require operator intervention may be stored in memory to be recovered after ballot processing has been completed.				
	The voting system shall display and report critical status messages using clear indicators or English language text. The voting system need not display non-critical status messages at the time of occurrence. Voting systems may display non-critical status messages (i.e., those that do not require operator intervention) by means of numerical codes for subsequent interpretation and reporting as unambiguous text.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	#56 – closed
	Voting systems shall provide a capability for the status messages to become part of the real-time audit record.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
	The voting system shall provide a capability for a	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	

Page 46 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	jurisdiction to designate critical status messages.			A-OMR, A-In	iocuoo
2.1.5.	Use of Shared Computing Platforms See the guidelines for the context of these requirements Three operating system protections are required on all such systems on which election software is hosted.				
	Authentication shall be configured on the local terminal (display screen and keyboard) and on all external connection devices ("network cards" and "ports"). This ensures that only authorized and identified users affect the system while election software is running.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
	Operating system audit shall be enabled for all session openings and closings, for all connection openings and closings, for all process executions and terminations, and for the alteration or deletion of any memory or file object. This ensures the accuracy and completeness of election data stored on the system. It also ensures the existence of an audit record of any person or process altering or deleting system data or election data.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
	The system shall be configured to execute only intended and necessary processes during the execution of election software. The system shall also be configured to halt election software processes upon the termination of any critical system process (such as system audit) during the execution of election software.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
2.1.6	Election Management System		_		
	The Election Management System (EMS) is used to prepare ballots and programs for use in casting and counting votes, and to consolidate, report, and display election results. An EMS shall generate and maintain a database, or one or more interactive databases, that enables election officials or their designees to perform the following functions: • Define political subdivision boundaries and multiple election districts as indicated in the system documentation • Identify contests, candidates, and issues • Define ballot formats and appropriate voting options • Generate ballots and election-specific programs for voting equipment • Install ballots and election-specific programs • Test that ballots and programs have been properly prepared and installed • Accumulate vote totals at multiple reporting levels as indicated in the system documentation • Generate the post-voting reports required by Subsection 2.4 • Process and produce audit reports of the data as indicated in Subsection 5.5	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	#82, 83 — closed
a.	Define of the political subdivision boundaries and multiple election districts, as indicated in the system documentation.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
b.	Identify of contests, candidates, and issues.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
C.	Define of ballot formats and appropriate voting options.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
d.	Generate ballots and election-specific programs for vote recording and vote counting equipment.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
e.	Install ballots and election-specific programs.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	

Page 47 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
f.	Test that ballots and programs have been properly prepared and installed.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
g.	Accumulate vote totals at multiple reporting levels as indicated in the system documentation.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
h.	Generation of post-voting reports per Section 2.5.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
i.	Process and produce audit reports of the data indicated in Section 4.5.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
2.1.7	Vote Tabulating Program				
2.1.7.	Functions The vote tabulating program software resident in each voting machine, vote count server, or other devices shall include all software modules required to:				
a.	Monitor of system status and generating machine-level audit reports	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
b.	Accommodate device control functions performed by polling place officials and maintenance personnel	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
C.	Register and accumulating votes	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
d.	Accommodate variations in ballot counting logic	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In	
2.1.7.	Voting Variation The Technical Data Package accompanying the system shall specifically identify which of the following items can and cannot be supported by the voting system, as well as how the voting system can implement the items support. • Closed primaries • Open primaries • Partisan offices • Non-partisan offices • Write-in voting • Primary presidential delegation nominations • Ballot rotation • Straight party voting • Cross-party endorsement • Split precincts • Vote for N of M • Recall issues, with options • Cumulative voting • Ranked order voting • Provisional or challenged ballots	Accept	PCA Documen t Review		# 5, 18 –closed See Appendix D for supported voting variations. Test execution of G1, G2 & P1 included walking through the user manuals to confirm that supported voting variations were addressed.
2.1.8	Ballot Counter For all voting systems, each device that tabulates ballots shall provide a counter that:.				
a.	Can be set to zero before any ballots are submitted for tally	Accept	G1, G2	P1	
b.	Records the number of ballots cast during a particular test cycle or election	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
C.	Increases the count only by the input of a ballot	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
d.	Prevents or disables the resetting of the counter by any person other than authorized persons at authorized points	Accept	G1, G2	P1	
e. 2.1.9	Is visible to designated election officials Telecommunications For all voting systems that use telecommunications for	Accept	G1, G2	P1	

Page 48 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test
_	the transmission of data during pre-voting, voting or				Issues
	post-voting activities shall include capabilities to ensure				
	data are transmitted with no alternation or unauthorized				
	disclosure during transmission for:				
	Voter Authentication: Coded information that confirms	Accept	T		Public
	the identity of a voter for security purposes for a system that transmit votes individually over a public network				Telecommunications not used
	Ballot Definition: Information that describes to voting	Accept	Т		Public
	equipment the content and appearance of the ballots to	7 tooopt	'		Telecommunications not
	be used in an election				used
	Vote Transmission to Central Site: For voting systems	Accept	T		Public
	that transmit votes individually over a public network, the				Telecommunications not
	transmission of a single vote to the county (or contractor) for consolidation with other county vote data				used
	Vote Count: Information representing the tabulation of	Accept	Т		Public
	votes at any one of several levels: polling place,	7.000p1	1		Telecommunications not
	precinct, or central count				used
	List of Voters: A listing of the individual voters who have	Accept	T		Public
	cast ballots in a specific election				Telecommunications not used
2.1.10	Data Retention				usea
	See standard/guideline for context.				
	All voting systems shall provide for maintaining the	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	Assumes specified
	integrity of voting and audit data during an election and			OMR, A-In	media is sufficient for
2.2	for a period of at least 22 months thereafter. Pre-voting Functions		_		office storage
2.2.1	Ballot Preparation				
2.2.1.	General Capabilities				
1					
	All systems shall provide the general capability for ballot	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	
	preparation, ballot formatting and ballot production. All			OMR, A-In	
a.	systems shall be capable of: Enable the automatic formatting of ballots in accordance	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	
a.	with the requirements for offices, candidates, and	Лосері	01, 02	OMR, A-In	
	measures qualified to be placed on the ballot for each				
	political subdivision and election district.				
b.	Collecting and maintaining the following data:	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	#50, 51 – closed
i. ii.	Offices with labels/instructions Candidate names with labels			OMR, A-In	
lii.	Issues or measures with their text				
C.	Supporting the maximum number of potentially active	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	
	voting positions as indicated in the system	· ·		OMR, A-In	
	documentation.		1		
d.	For a primary election, generating ballots that segregate	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	
e.	the choices in partisan races by party affiliation Generating ballots that contain identifying codes or	Accept	G1, G2	OMR, A-In P1, A-	
Ü.	marks uniquely associated with each format.	πουσρι	01, 02	OMR, A-In	
f.	Ensuring voter response fields, selection buttons, or	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	
	switches properly align with the specific candidate	•		OMR, A-In	
	names and/or issues printed on the ballot display, ballot				
	card or sheet, or separate ballot pages. Paper-Based System Standards				
	Paper-based systems shall also meet the				
	following requirements applicable to the technology				
	used.				
G,	Enable voters to make selections by punching a hole or	Accept	G2	P1, A-	
	by making a mark in areas designated for this purpose upon each ballot card or sheet.			OMR	
h	For marksense systems, the timing marks align properly	Accept	G2	P1, A-	
''	with the vote response fields.	, 1000pt		OMR	
2.2.1.	Ballot Formatting				
2	All voting systems shall provide a capability for:				
a.	Creation of newly defined elections	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	
b.	Rapid and error-free definition of elections and their	Accept	G1, G2	OMR, A-In P1, A-	#71, 72, 113 – closed
D.		Accept	G1, G2	FI, A-	(V)2008 25 ILIN 001(R)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	associated ballot layouts			OMR, A-In	
C.	Uniform allocation of space and fonts used for each office, candidate, and contest such that the voter perceives no active voting position to be preferred to any other.	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
d.	Simultaneous display of the maximum number of choices for a single contest as indicated by the vendor in the system documentation	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
e.	Retention of previously defined formats for an election	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
f.	Prevention of unauthorized modification of any ballot formats	Accept	G1,		
g.	Modification by authorized persons of a previously defined ballot format for use in a subsequent election	Accept	G1, G2	P1	
2.2.1.	Ballot Production The voting system shall provide a means of printing or other wise generating a ballot display that can be installed in all system voting devices for which it is intended: All Systems shall provide a capability to ensure.				
a.	The electronic display or printed document on which the user views the ballot is capable of rendering an image of the ballot in any of the languages required by The Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended	Accept	C, G2	G1, P1	Interpretation 2008-04 default –English, secondary Western European-Spanish
b.	The electronic display or printed document where the user views the ballot does not show any advertising or commercial logos of any kind, whether public service, commercial, or political, unless specifically provided for in State law. Electronic displays do not provide connection through hyperlink.	Accept	G1, C	G2, P1	
C.	The ballot conforms to vendor specifications for type of paper stock, weight, size, shape, size and location of punch or mark field used to record votes, folding, bleed through, and ink for printing if paper ballot documents or paper displays are part of the system	Accept	G2, A- OMR		
	Vendor documentation for marksense systems shall include specifications for ballot materials to ensure that vote selections are read from only a single ballot at a time, without detection of marks from multiple ballots concurrently (e.g., reading of bleed-through from other ballots)	Accept	G2	P1, A- OMR	Ballot is a data card associated with a separate document containing candidate names and referendum text
2.2.2	Election Programming Process by which election officials or their designees use election databases and vendor system software to logically define the voter choices associated with the contents of the ballots. All systems shall provide for:				
a.	Logical definition of the ballot, including the definition of the number of allowable choices for each office and contest	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
b.	Logical definition of political and administrative subdivisions, where the list of candidates or contests varies between polling places	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
C.	Exclusion of any contest on the ballot in which the voter is prohibited from casting a ballot because of place of residence, or other such administrative or geographical criteria	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
d.	Ability to select from a range of voting options to conform to the laws of the jurisdiction in which the system will be used	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
e.	Generation of all required master and distributed copies of the voting program, in conformance with the definition of the ballots for each voting device and polling place, and for each tabulating device	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	# 33 – closed
2.2.3	Ballot and Program Installation and Control All systems shall include the following at the time of				

Page 50 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	ballot and program installation:				
	All systems provide a means of installing ballots and programs on each piece of polling place or central count equipment according to the ballot requirements of the election and the jurisdiction.	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A-In	
a.	A detailed work plan or other documentation providing a schedule and steps for the software and ballot installation, including a table outlining the key dates, events and deliverables.	Accept	PCA Documen t Review		v.2: 2.8.5.g
b.	A capability for automatically verifying that the software has been properly selected and installed in the equipment or in programmable memory devices and for indicating errors.	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-In, C, E	
C.	A capability for automatically validating that software correctly matches the ballot formats that it is intended to process, for detecting errors, and for immediately notifying an election official of detected errors.	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, C, E	
2.2.4	Readiness Testing Election personnel conduct voting equipment and voting system readiness tests prior to the start of an election to ensure that the voting system functions properly, to confirm that voting equipment has been properly integrated, and to obtain equipment status reports. All voting systems shall provide the capabilities to				
a.	Verify the voting equipment and data processing equipment, precinct count equipment are properly prepared for an election, and collect data that verifies equipment readiness	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
b.	Obtains status and data reports from each set of equipment	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
C.	Verify the correct installation and interface of all system equipment	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
d.	Verify that hardware and software function correctly	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
e.	Generate consolidated data reports at the polling place and higher jurisdictional levels	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
f.	Segregate test data from actual voting data, either procedurally or by hardware/software features	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
	Resident test software, external devices, and special purpose test software connected to or installed in voting devices to simulate operator and voter functions used for these tests meeting the following standards:				
g.	These elements are capable of being tested separately, and are proven to be reliable verification tools prior to their use	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
h	These elements are incapable of altering or introducing any residual effect on the intended operation of the voting device during any succeeding test and operational phase.	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	
i.	Paper-Based Systems Paper-based systems shall: Supports conversion testing that uses all potential ballot	Accept	A-OMR		
1.	positions as active positions				
j.	Supports conversion testing of ballots with active position density for systems without pre-designated ballot positions	Accept	A-OMR		
2.2.5	Verification at the Polling Place All systems shall provide a formal record of the following, in any media, upon verification of the authenticity of the command source:				(A) (2000 OF IIIN OO4 (D)

Page 51 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
a.	The election's identification data;	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	issues
b.	The identification of all equipment units;	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
C.	The identification of the polling place;	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
d.	The identification of all ballot formats;	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
e.	The contents of each active candidate register by office and of each active measure register at all storage locations (showing that they contain only zeros);	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
f.	A list of all ballot fields that can be used to invoke special voting options	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
g.	Other information needed to confirm the readiness of the equipment, and to accommodate administrative reporting requirements	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
	To prepare voting devices to accept voted ballots, all voting systems shall provide the capability to test each device prior to opening to verify that each is operating correctly. At a minimum the tests shall include.				
h.	Confirmation that there are no hardware or software failures.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	#79 – closed.
i.	Confirmation that the device is ready to be activated for accepting votes.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	#79 – closed.
	If a precinct count system includes equipment for the consolidation of polling place data at one or more central counting locations, it shall have means to verify the correct extraction of voting data from transportable memory devices, or to verify the transmission of secure data over secure communication links.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
2.2.6	Verification at Central Location Election officials perform verification at the central location to ensure that vote counting and vote consolidation equipment and software function properly before and after an election. Upon verification of the authenticity of the command source, any system used in a central count environment shall provide a printed record of the following:				
a.	The election's identification data	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
b.	The contents of each active candidate register by office and of each active measure register at all storage locations (showing that they contain only zeros);	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
C.	Other information needed to confirm the readiness of the equipment, and to accommodate administrative reporting requirements.	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-OMR, A-In, E	
2.3	Voting Capabilities Opening the Polls At a minimum, the systems shall provide the functional capabilities indicated below.				
2.3.1.	Precinct Count Systems To allow voting devices to be activated for voting, all precinct count systems shall provide:				
a.	An internal test or diagnostic capability to verify that all of the polling place tests specified in 2.2.5 have been successfully completed.	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A- OMR, A- In, E	#79 – closed.
b.	Automatic disabling any device that has not been tested	Accept	G1, G2	P1, A-	#79 – closed

Page 52 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	until it has been tested.			OMR, A- In, E	
2.3.1.	Paper-Based System Requirements To facilitate opening the polls, all paper-based systems shall include:				
a.	A means of verifying ballot punching or marking devices are prepared and ready to used;	N/A			Not a Precinct Based Paper Ballot System
b.	A voting booth or similar facility, in which the voter may punch or mark the ballot in privacy	N/A			Not a Precinct Based Paper Ballot System
C.	Secure receptacles for holding voted ballots. Ballot boxes.	N/A			Not a Precinct Based Paper Ballot System
	Precinct Count Paper-Based Systems In addition to the above requirements, all paper-based precinct count equipment shall include a means of:				
d.	Activating the ballot counting device.	N/A			Not a precinct count system for paper-based ballots
e.	Verifying that the device has been correctly activated and is functioning properly	N/A			Not a precinct count system for paper-based ballots
f.	Identifying device failure and corrective action needed.	N/A			Not a precinct count system for paper-based ballots
2.3.1.	DRE System Requirements To facilitate opening the polls, all DRE systems shall include:				
a.	A security seal, a password, or a data code recognition capability to prevent the inadvertent or unauthorized actuation of the poll-opening function	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
b.	A means of enforcing the execution of steps in the proper sequence if more than one step is required	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
C.	A means of verifying the system has been activated correctly	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
d.	A means of identifying system failure and any corrective action needed	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
2.3.2	Activating the Ballot (DRE Systems) To activate the ballot, all DRE systems shall:	•		00.01	
a.	Enable election officials to control the content of the ballot presented to the voter, whether presented in printed form or electronic display, such that each voter is permitted to record votes only in contests in which that voter is authorized to vote	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
b.	Allow each eligible voter to cast a ballot	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
C.	Prevent a voter from voting on a ballot to which he or she is not entitled	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
d.	Prevent a voter from casting more than one ballot in the same election	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
e.	Activate the casting of a ballot in a general election	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
f.	Enable the selection of the ballot that is appropriate to the party affiliation declared by the voter in a primary election	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
g.	Activate all parts of the ballot upon which the voter is entitled to vote	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
h.	Disable of all parts of the ballot upon which the voter is not entitled to vote	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-In, E	
2.3.3	Casting a Ballot				
2.3.3.	Common Requirements To facilitate casting a ballot. All systems shall:				
a.	Provide test that is at least 3 millimeters high and provide the capability to adjust or magnify the text to an apparent size of 6.3 millimeters	Accept	С		
b.	Protect the secrecy of the vote such that the system	Accept	С	G1, G2,	

Page 53 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	cannot reveal any information about how a particular voter voted, except as otherwise required by individual			P1	
	State law				
C.	Record the selection and non-selection (undervote) of	Accept	С	G1, G2,	
	individual vote choices for each contest and ballot measure			P1, A-In, A-OMR	
d.	Record the voter's selection of candidates whose names	Accept	С	G1, G2,	
	do not appear on the ballot, if permitted under State law, and record as many write-in votes as the number of			P1	
	candidates the voter is allowed to select				
e.	In the event of a failure of the main power supply	Accept	С		
	external to the voting system, provide the capability for any voter who is voting at the time to complete casting a				
	ballot, allow for the successful shutdown of the voting				
	system without loss or degradation of the voting and audit data, and allow voters to resume voting once the				
	voting system has reverted to back-up power				
f.	Provide the capability for voters to continue cast ballots in the event of a failure of a telecommunications	N/A			Telecommunications
	connection within the polling place or between the				Not Used
	polling place and any other location				
2.3.3.	Paper-based System Requirement All paper-based systems shall:				
a.	Allow the voter to easily identify the voting field that is	Accept	G2	P1, A-	
	associated with each candidate or ballot measure			OMR	
b.	response Allow the voter to punch or mark the ballot to register a	Accept	G2	P1, A-	
	vote			OMR	
C.	Allow either the voter or the appropriate election official to place the voted ballot into the ballot counting device	Accept	G2		
	(precinct count systems) or a secure receptacle (central				
d.	count systems) Protect the secrecy of the vote throughout the process	Accept	G2	P1, A-	
u.	Trotect the secrecy of the vote throughout the process	Ассері	G2	OMR	
	Precinct Count Paper-Based Systems In addition to the above requirements, all paper-based				
	precinct count equipment shall include a means of:				
e.	Provide feedback to the voter identifies specific contests	N/A			Not a Precinct Count
	or ballot issues for which an overvote or undervote is detected				Paper based system
f.	Notify the voter if he or she has made more than the	N/A			Not a Precinct Count
	allowable number of selections for any contest (e.g., overvotes)				Paper based system
g.	Notify the voter before the ballot is cast and counted of	N/A			Not a Precinct Count
	the effect of making more than the allowable number of				Paper based system
h.	selections for a contest Provide the voter opportunity to correct the ballot for	N/A			Not a Precinct Count
	either an undervote or overvote before the ballot is cast				Paper based system
2.3.3.	and counted DRE Systems Standards				
3					
a.	Prohibit the voter from accessing or viewing any information on the display screen that has not been	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
	authorized by election officials and preprogrammed into		' '		
	the voting system (i.e., no potential for display of				
	external information or linking to other information sources)				
b.	Enable the voter to easily identify the selection button or	Accept	G1, G2,		
	switch, or the active area of the ballot display that is associated with each candidate or ballot measure		P1		
	response		_		
C.	Allow the voter to select his or her preferences on the ballot in any legal number and combination	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
d.	Indicate that a selection has been made or canceled	Accept	G1, G2,		
			P1		

Page 54 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test
			24.02		Issues
e.	Indicate to the voter when no selection, or an insufficient number of selections, has been made in a contest (e.g. undervotes)	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
f.	Notify the voter if he or she has made more than the allowable number of selections for any contest (e.g.,	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
	overvotes)	_	1		
g.	Notify the voter before the ballot is cast and counted of the effect of making more than the allowable number of selections for a contest	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
h.	Provide the voter opportunity to correct the ballot for either an undervote or overvote before the ballot is cast and counted	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
i.	Notify the voter when the selection of candidates and measures is completed	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
j.	Allow the voter, before the ballot is cast, to review his or her choices and, if the voter desires, to delete or change his or her choices before the ballot is cast	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
k.	For electronic image displays, prompt the voter to confirm the voter's choices before casting his or her ballot, signifying to the voter that casting the ballot is irrevocable and directing the voter to confirm the voter's intention to cast the ballot	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
l.	Notify the voter after the vote has been stored successfully that the ballot has been cast	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
m.	Notify the voter that the ballot has not been cast successfully if it is not stored successfully, including storage of the ballot image, and provide clear instruction as to the steps the voter should take to cast his or her ballot should this event occur	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
n.	Provide sufficient computational performance to provide responses back to each voter entry in no more than three seconds	Accept	G1, G2, P1		
0.	Ensure that the votes stored accurately represent the actual votes cast	Accept	G1, G2, P1	A-In, A- OMR	Interpretation 2007-06
p.	Prevent modification of the voter's vote after the ballot is cast	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
q.	Provide a capability to retrieve ballot images in a form readable by humans [in accordance with the requirements of Subsections 2.1.2 (f) and 2.1.4 (k) and (l)]	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
r.	Increment the proper ballot position registers or counters	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
S.	Protect the secrecy of the vote throughout the voting process	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
t.	Prohibit access to voted ballots until after the close of polls	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
u.	Provide the ability for election officials to submit test ballots for use in verifying the end-to-end integrity of the voting system	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
V.	Isolate test ballots such that they are accounted for accurately in vote counts and are not reflected in official vote counts for specific candidates or measure	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
2.4	Post-Voting Capabilities				
2.4.1	Closing the Polls These requirements for closing the polls and locking voting systems against future voting are specific to precinct count systems. The voting system shall provide the means for:				
a.	Preventing the further casting of ballots once the polls has closed	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
b.	Provides an internal test that verifies that the prescribed closing procedure has been followed, and that the device status is normal	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
C.	Incorporating a visible indication of system status	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
d.	Producing a diagnostic test record that verifies the sequence of events, and indicates that the extraction of	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
Paga 55		l	1		(\(\)2008 25 II IN 001/B\

MACC	Contification Test Requirements	Tool	Tool	Eurotion	Commonte 9
VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test
	EAG Voluntary Voling Gystein Guidennes 2000	rtesuit	Ousc	Excitoisca	Issues
	voting data has been activated				
e.	Precluding the unauthorized reopening of the polls once	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	the poll closing has been completed for that election				
2.4.2	Consolidating Vote Data	•		00 04	
	All systems provide a means to consolidate and report	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	
	vote data from all polling places, and optionally from other sources such as absentee ballots, provisional			A-OMR, A-In	
	ballots, and voted ballots requiring human review (e.g.,			A-III	
	write-in votes).				
2.4.3	Producing Reports				
	All systems shall be able to create reports summarizing	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	
	the data on multiple levels.			A-OMR,	
				A-In	
	Common Standards				
a.	All systems shall provide capabilities to: Support of geographic reporting, which requires the	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	#88 – closed
a.	reporting of all results for each contest at the precinct	Accept	Gi	A-OMR,	#88 – closed
	level and additional jurisdictional levels			A-In	
b.	Produce a printed report of the number of ballots	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	#80 - closed.
	counted by each tabulator			A-OMR,	
				A-In	
C.	Produce a printed report for each tabulator of the results	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	#89 - closed
	of each contest that includes the votes cast for each			A-OMR,	
	selection, the count of undervotes, and the count of			A-In	
d.	overvotes Produce a consolidated printed report of the results for	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	#89 closed
u.	each contest of all votes cast (including the count of	Ассері	61	A-OMR,	Interpretation 2007-06
	ballots from other sources supported by the system as			A-In	morprotation 2007 00
	specified by the vendor) that includes the votes cast for				
	each selection, the count of undervotes, and the count of				
	overvotes				
e.	Be capable of producing a consolidated printed report of	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	
	the combination of overvotes for any contest that is			A-OMR,	
	selected by an authorized official (e.g.; the number of overvotes in a given contest combining candidate A and			A-In	
	candidate B, combining candidate A and candidate C,				
	etc.)				
f.	Produce all system audit information required in Section	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	
	5.4 in the form of printed reports, or in electronic			A-OMR,	
	memory for printing centrally		<u> </u>	A-In	
g.	Prevent data from being altered or destroyed by report	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	
	generation, or by the transmission of results over telecommunications lines			A-OMR, A-In	
	Precinct Count Systems			A-III	
	In addition, all precinct count voting systems shall:				
a.	Prevent the printing of reports and the unauthorized	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	extraction of data prior to the official close of the polling				
	place		1		
b.	Provide a means to extract information from a	Accept	G1	G2	
1	transportable programmable memory device or data				
C.	storage medium for vote consolidation Consolidate the data contained in each unit into a single	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	
· .	report for the polling place when more than one voting	лььері	61	A-In	
	machine or precinct tabulator is used				
d.	Prevent data in transportable memory from being altered	Accept	G1	G2, P1,	
1	or destroyed by report generation, or by the transmission	· ·		A-In	
	of results over telecommunications lines				
2.4.4	Broadcasting Results	Δ .			Desultan
a.	Provide only aggregated results, and not data from	Accept			Results are not
b.	individual ballots Provide no access path from unofficial electronic reports	Accept	+		broadcast Results are not
D.	or files to the storage devices for official data	лььері			broadcast
C.	Clearly indicate on each report or file that the results it	Accept	1		Results are not
"	contains are unofficial				broadcast
2.5	Maintenance, Transportation and Storage				
Page 56 /					(\/\)2009 25 ILINI 001/P\

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	All systems shall be designed and manufactured to facilitate preventive and corrective maintenance, conforming to the hardware standards described in Subsection 4.1. All vote casting and tally equipment designated for storage between elections shall: a. Function without degradation in capabilities after transit to and from the place of use, as demonstrated by meeting the performance standards described in Subsection 4.1 b. Function without degradation in capabilities after storage between elections, as demonstrated by meeting the performance standards described in Subsection 4.1 (See subsection 4.1)				
3	Usability and Accessibility Requirements Note: Only testable Discussion text that provides significant clarification is included. Consult the VVSG for the full text.				
3.1	Usability Requirements The voting process shall provide a high level of usability for voters. Accordingly, voters shall be able to negotiate the process effectively, efficiently, and comfortably. The mandatory voting system standards mandated in HAVA Section 301 relate to the interaction between the voter and the voting system. Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the voting system (including any lever voting system, optical scanning voting system, or direct recording electronic system) shall				
	Permit the voter to verify (in a private and independent manner) the votes selected by the voter on the ballot before the ballot is cast and counted.	Accept	G2, C		
	• Provide the voter with the opportunity (in a private and independent manner) to change the ballot or correct any error before the ballot is cast and counted (including the opportunity to correct the error through the issuance of a replacement ballot if the voter was otherwise unable to change the ballot or correct any error).	Accept	G2, C		
	If the voter selects votes for more than one candidate in a single office: Notify the voter that the voter has selected more than one candidate for a single office on the ballot.	Accept	G2, C		
	Notify the voter before the ballot is cast and counted of the effect of casting multiple votes for the office.	Accept	G2, C		
	Provide the voter with the opportunity to correct the ballot before the ballot is cast and counted.	Accept	G2, C		
	The voting system shall ensure that any notification required under this paragraph preserves the privacy of the voter and the confidentiality of the ballot.	Accept	G2, C		
	Additional requirements for task performance are independence and privacy: the voter should normally be able to complete the voting task without assistance from others, and the voter selection should be private.	Accept	G2, C		
3.1.1	Usability Testing	Δ :			Internation 0007 00
	The vendor shall conduct summative usability tests on the voting system using individuals representative of the general population. The vendor shall document the	Accept	С		Interpretation 2007-03

Page 57 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	testing performed and report the test results using the				
	Common Industry Format. This documentation shall be				
	included in the Technical Data Package submitted to the EAC for national certification.				
3.1.2	Usability Testing				
	The voting process shall provide certain functional				
	capabilities to support voter usability.				
a.	The voting system shall provide feedback to the voter that identifies specific contests or ballot issues for which	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	he or she has made no selection or fewer than the				
	allowable number of selections (e.g., undervotes)				
b.	The voting system shall notify the voter if he or she has	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	made more than the allowable number of selections for				
	any contest (e.g., overvotes)	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
C.	The voting system shall notify the voter before the ballot is cast and counted of the effect of making more than	Accept	Gi	G2, F1	
	the allowable number of selections for a contest				
d.	The voting system shall provide the voter the opportunity	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	to correct the ballot for either an undervote or overvote				
	before the ballot is cast and counted. <i>Discussion:</i> Certain differences in presentation are mandated by				
	state law, such as the order in which candidates are				
	listed and provisions for voting for write-in candidates.				
	But comparable characteristics such as font size or				
	voice volume and speed must be the same for all choices.				
e.	The voting system shall allow the voter, at his or her	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
0.	choice, to submit an undervoted ballot without correction	7.000p1		0=, : :	
f.	DRE voting machines shall allow the voter to change a	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	vote within a contest before advancing to the next				
g.	contest. DRE voting machines should provide navigation controls	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
g.	that allow the voter to advance to the next contest or go	Ассері	61	G2, 1 1	
	back to the previous contest before completing a vote on				
	the contest currently being presented (whether visually				
3.1.3	or aurally). Alternative Languages				
3.1.3	The voting equipment shall be capable of presenting the	Accept	G2	C, P1	#87 – closed
	ballot, ballot selections, review screens and instructions	7.00001		0, 1	Interpretation 2007-04
	in any language required by state or federal law.				•
3.1.4	Cognitive Issues				
	The voting process shall be designed to minimize cognitive difficulties for the voter.				
a.	Consistent with election law, the voting system should	Accept	С	G1, G2	
	support a process that does not introduce any bias for or			, -	
	against any of the selections to be made by the voter. In				
	both visual and aural formats, contest choices shall be				
b.	presented in an equivalent manner. The voting machine or related materials shall provide	Accept	С	G1, G2	
~.	clear instructions and assistance to allow voters to	ооорс		1 ., 52	
	successfully execute and cast their ballots				
	independently.	Λ '	04	62	
İ	Voting machines or related materials shall provide a means for the voter to get help at any time during the	Accept	G1	G2	
	voting session.				
ii.	The voting machine shall provide instructions for all its	Accept	G1	G2	
	valid operations. Discussion: If an operation is available				
	to the voter, it must be documented. The voting system shall provide the capability to design	Accept	G1	G2	
C.	a ballot for maximum clarity and comprehension.	Accept	61	GZ	
i.	The voting equipment should not visually present a	Accept	С	G1, G2	
	single contest spread over two pages or two columns.				
	Discussion: Such a visual separation poses the risk that				
	the voter may perceive one contest as two. If a contest has a large number of candidates, it may be infeasible to				
<u> </u>	indo a large hamber of carialactes, it may be inteasible to		1	1	<u> </u>

Page 58 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test
					Issues
	observe this guideline.	Α .		04.00	
i.	The ballot shall clearly indicate the maximum number of	Accept	С	G1, G2	
	candidates for which one can vote within a single				
::	Contest.	Accept	G1	G2	
ii.	There shall be a consistent relationship between the name of a candidate and the mechanism used to vote	Accept	Gi	G2	
	for that candidate.				
d.	Warnings and alerts issued by the voting system should	Accept	G1	G2	
4.	clearly state the nature of the problem and the set of	пооорг		02	
	responses available to the voter. The warning should				
	clearly state whether the voter has performed or				
	attempted an invalid operation or whether the voting				
	equipment itself has malfunctioned in some way.				
€.	The use of color by the voting system should agree with	Accept	С	G1, G2	
	common conventions:				
a)	green, blue or white is used for general information or as	Accept	С		System does not use
	a normal status indicator;				color
b)	amber or yellow is used to indicate warnings or a	Accept	С		System does not use
	marginal status;		<u> </u>		color
;)	red is used to indicate error conditions or a problem	Accept	С		System does not use
4 5	requiring immediate attention.				color
3.1.5	Perceptual Issues				
	The voting process shall be designed to minimize				
,	perceptual difficulties for the voter. No voting machine display screen shall flicker with a	Accept	С		
۱.	frequency between 2 Hz and 55 Hz.	Accept			
).	Any aspect of the voting machine that is adjustable by	Accept	С		
	the voter or poll worker, including font size, color,	Accept			
	contrast, and audio volume, shall automatically reset to				
	a standard default value upon completion of that voter's				
	session. Discussion: The voting machine must present				
	the same initial appearance to every voter.				
) .	If any aspect of a voting machine is adjustable by the	Accept	С		
	voter or poll worker, there shall be a mechanism to reset				
	all such aspects to their default values.				
d.	All electronic voting machines shall provide a minimum	Accept	С		
	font size of 3.0 mm (measured as the height of a capital				
	letter) for all text.				
€.	All voting machines using paper ballots should make	Accept	С		
	provisions for voters with poor reading vision	Assent			
	The default color coding shall maximize correct perception by voters with color blindness.	Accept	С		
	Color coding shall not be used as the sole means of	Accept	С		
] .	conveying information, indicating an action, prompting a	Accept			
	response, or distinguishing a visual element.				
١.	All text intended for the voter should be presented in a	Accept	С		
	sans serif font.	7.000p1			
	The minimum figure-to-ground ambient contrast ratio for	Accept	С		
	all text and informational graphics (including icons)				
	intended for the voter shall be 3:1.				
3.1.6	Interaction Issues				
	The voting process shall be designed to minimize				
	interaction difficulties for the voter.			-	
١.	Voting machines with electronic image displays shall not	Accept	С	G2	
	require page scrolling by the voter.				
).	The voting machine shall provide unambiguous	Accept	С	G2	
	feedback regarding the voter's selection, such as				
	displaying a checkmark beside the selected option or				
	conspicuously changing its appearance.	Accest	С		There are no time and
: .	If the voting machine requires a response by a voter within a specific period of time, it shall issue an alert at	Accept			There are no time out function
	least 20 seconds before this time period has expired and				TUTICUOTI
	provide a means by which the voter may receive				
	additional time.				
l.	Input mechanisms shall be designed to minimize	Accept	С	G2	
00 50		pt	1 -		(\/\2008 25 IN 001/P)

Page 59 of 210

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	accidental activation.				
i.	On touch screens, the sensitive touch areas shall have a minimum height of 0.5 inches and minimum width of 0.7 inches. The vertical distance between the centers of adjacent areas shall be at least 0.6 inches, and the horizontal distance at least 0.8 inches.	Accept	С		Not a touch screen DRE
ii.	No key or control on a voting machine shall have a repetitive effect as a result of being held in its active position.	Accept	С		
3.1.7	Privacy				
	The voting process shall preclude anyone else from determining the content of a voter's ballot, without the voter's cooperation. Discussion: Privacy ensures that the voter can make selections based solely on his or her own preferences without intimidation or inhibition. Among other practices, this forbids the issuance of a receipt to the voter that would provide proof of how he or she voted.	Accept	С	G1	
3.1.7.	Privacy at the Polls When deployed according to the installation instructions provided by the vendor, the voting station shall prevent others from observing the contents of a voter's ballot.				
a.	The ballot and any input controls shall be visible only to the voter during the voting session and ballot submission.	Accept	С		
b.	The audio interface shall be audible only to the voter.	Accept	С		
C.	As mandated by HAVA 301 (a)(1)(C), the voting system shall notify the voter of an attempted overvote in a way that preserves the privacy of the voter and the confidentiality of the ballot.	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
3.1.7.	No Recording of Alternate Format Usage Voter anonymity shall be maintained for alternative format ballot presentation.				
a.	No information shall be kept within an electronic cast vote record that identifies any alternative language feature(s) used by a voter.	Accept	G2		
b.	No information shall be kept within an electronic cast vote record that identifies any accessibility feature(s) used by a voter.	Accept	G2		
3.2	Accessibility Requirements The voting process shall be accessible to voters with disabilities. As a minimum, every polling place shall have at least one voting station equipped for individuals with disabilities, as provided in HAVA 301 (a)(3)(B). A machine so equipped is referred to herein as an accessible voting station. (See HAVA for complete text.)				
3.2.1	General The voting process shall incorporate the following features that are applicable to all types of disabilities:				
a.	When the provision of accessibility involves an alternative format for ballot presentation, then all information presented to voters including instructions, warnings, error and other messages, and ballot choices shall be presented in that alternative format.	Accept	С	G2	#87, 108 – closed
b.	The support provided to voters with disabilities shall be intrinsic to the accessible voting station. It shall not be necessary for the accessible voting station to be connected to any personal assistive device of the voter in order for the voter to operate it correctly.	Accept	С		
C.	When the primary means of voter identification or authentication uses biometric measures that require a voter to possess particular biological characteristics, the voting process shall provide a secondary means that does not depend on those characteristics.	Accept	С		System does not use biometric measures
3.2.2	Vision				

Page 60 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	The voting process shall accessible to voters with visual disabilities Discussion: Note that all aspects of the voting process are to be accessible, not just the voting machine.				issues
3.2.2.	Partial Vision The accessible voting station shall be accessible to voters with partial vision.				
a.	The vendor shall conduct summative usability tests on the voting system using partially sighted individuals. The vendor shall document the testing performed and report the test results using he Common Industry Format. This documentation shall be included in the Technical Data Package submitted to the EAC for national certification.	Accept	С		
b.	The accessible voting station with an electronic image display shall be capable of showing all information in at least two font sizes, (a) 3.0-4.0 mm and (b) 6.3-9.0 mm, under control of the voter.	Accept	С		
C.	An accessible voting station with a monochrome-only electronic image display shall be capable of showing all information in high contrast either by default or under the control of the voter or poll worker. High contrast is a figure-to-ground ambient contrast ratio for text and informational graphics of at least 6:1.	Accept	С		
d.	An accessible voting station with a color electronic image display shall allow the voter to adjust the color or the figure-to-ground ambient contrast ratio. <i>Discussion:</i> See Technical Guide for Color, Contrast and Text Size in Appendix D for examples of how a voting station may meet this requirement by offering a limited number of discrete choices. In particular, it is not required that the station offer a continuous range of color or contrast values.	Accept	С		
e.	Buttons and controls on accessible voting stations shall be distinguishable by both shape and color.	Accept	С		
f.	An accessible voting station using an electronic image display shall provide synchronized audio output to convey the same information as that which is displayed on the screen.	Accept	С	G2	
3.2.2.	Blindness The accessible voting station shall be accessible to voters who are blind.				
a.	The vendor shall conduct summative usability tests on the voting system using individuals who are blind. The vendor shall document the testing performed and report the test results using the Common Industry Format. This documentation shall be included in the Technical Data Package submitted to the EAC for national certification.	Accept	С		
b.	The accessible voting station shall provide an audiotactile interface (ATI) that supports the full functionality of the visual ballot interface, as specified in Subsection 2.3.3. Discussion: Note the necessity of both audio output and tactilely discernible controls for voter input. Full functionality includes at least: Instructions and feedback on initial activation of the ballot (such as insertion of a smart card), if this is normally performed by the voter on comparable voting stations Instructions and feedback to the voter on how to operate the accessible voting station, including settings and options (e.g., volume control, repetition) Instructions and feedback for navigation of the ballot Instructions and feedback for contest choices, including write-in candidates Instructions and feedback on confirming and changing	Accept	С		

Page 61 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	selections				iocuco
i.	 Instructions and feedback on final submission of ballot The ATI of the accessible voting station shall provide the same capabilities to vote and cast a ballot as are provided by other voting machines or by the visual interface of the standard voting machine. 	Accept	С	G2	
ii.	The ATI shall allow the voter to have any information provided by the voting system repeated.	Accept	С	G2	
iii.,	The ATI shall allow the voter to pause and resume the audio presentation.	Accept	С	G2	# 76 & 121 - Closed
iv.	The ATI shall allow the voter to skip to the next contest or return to previous contests.	Accept	С	G2	
V.	The ATI shall allow the voter to skip over the reading of a referendum so as to be able to vote on it immediately.	Accept	С	G2	
C.	All voting stations that provide audio presentation of the ballot shall conform to the following requirements: Discussion: These requirements apply to all voting machine audio output, not just to the ATI of an accessible voting station.		С		
i.	The ATI shall provide its audio signal through an industry standard connector for private listening using a 3.5mm stereo headphone jack to allow voters to use their own audio assistive devices.	Accept	С		
ii.	When a voting machine utilizes a telephone style handset or headphone to provide audio information, it shall provide a wireless T-Coil coupling for assistive hearing devices so as to provide access to that information for voters with partial hearing. That coupling shall achieve at least a category T4 rating as defined by American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids, ANSI C63.19.	Accept	С		Does not use a telephone hand or headset.
iii.,	No voting equipment shall cause electromagnetic interference with assistive hearing devices that would substantially degrade the performance of those devices. The voting equipment, considered as a wireless device, shall achieve at least a category T4 rating as defined by American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids, ANSI C63.19	Accept	С		Not a wireless device
iv.	A sanitized headphone or handset shall be made available to each voter.	Accept	С		#77 – closed.
V.	The voting machine shall set the initial volume for each voter between 40 and 50 dB SPL.	Accept	С		#75, 76 – closed.
vi.	The voting machine shall provide a volume control with an adjustable volume from a minimum of 20dB SPL up to a maximum of 100 dB SPL, in increments no greater than 10 dB.	Accept	С		
vii.	The audio system shall be able to reproduce frequencies over the audible speech range of 315 Hz to 10 KHz.	Accept	С		
viii.	The audio presentation of verbal information should be readily comprehensible by voters who have normal hearing and are proficient in the language. This includes such characteristics as proper enunciation, normal intonation, appropriate rate of speech, and low background noise. Candidate names should be pronounced as the candidate intends.	Accept	С	G2	
ix.	The audio system shall allow voters to control the rate of speech. The range of speeds supported should be at least 75% to 200% of the nominal rate.	Accept	С		
d.	If the normal procedure is to have voters initialize the activation of the ballot, the accessible voting station shall provide features that enable voters who are blind to	Accept	С		Ballot activation is performed by the poll worker.

Page 62 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test
					Issues
е.	perform this activation. If the normal procedure is for voters to submit their own ballots, then the accessible voting station shall provide features that enable voters who are blind to perform this submission.	Accept	С	G2	
f.	All mechanically operated controls or keys on an accessible voting station shall be tactilely discernible without activating those controls or keys.	Accept	С		
g.	On an accessible voting station, the status of all locking or toggle controls or keys (such as the "shift" key) shall be visually discernible, and discernible either through touch or sound.	Accept	С		Shift or locking control keys are not used
3.2.3	Dexterity The voting process shall be accessible to voters who lack fine motor control or use of their hands.				
a.	The vendor shall conduct summative usability tests on the voting system using individuals lacking fine motor control. The vendor shall document the testing performed and report the test results using the Common Industry Format. This documentation shall be included in the Technical Data Package submitted to the EAC for national certification.	Accept	С		
b.	All keys and controls on the accessible voting station shall be operable with one hand and shall not require tight grasping, pinching, or twisting of the wrist. The force required to activate controls and keys shall be no greater 5 lbs. (22.2 N).	Accept	С		
C.	The accessible voting station controls shall not require direct bodily contact or for the body to be part of any electrical circuit.	Accept	С		
d.	The accessible voting station shall provide a mechanism to enable non-manual input that is functionally equivalent to tactile input. Discussion: All the functionality of the accessible voting station (e.g., straight party voting, write-in candidates) that is available through the other forms of input, such as tactile, must also be available through a non-manual input mechanism if it is provided by the accessible voting station.	Accept	С		
e.	If the normal procedure is for voters to submit their own ballots, then the accessible voting station shall provide features that enable voters who lack fine motor control or the use of their hands to perform this submission.	Accept	С		
3.2.4	Mobility The voting process shall be accessible to voters who use mobility aids, including wheelchairs.				
a.	The accessible voting station shall provide a clear floor space of 30 inches (760 mm) minimum by 48 inches (1220 mm) minimum for a stationary mobility aid. The clear floor space shall be level with no slope exceeding 1:48 and positioned for a forward approach or a parallel approach.	Accept	С		
b.	All controls, keys, audio jacks and any other part of the accessible voting station necessary for the voter to operate the voting machine shall be within reach as specified under the following sub-requirements: Discussion: Note that these requirements have meaningful application mainly to controls in a fixed location. A hand-held tethered control panel is another acceptable way of providing reachable controls.	Accept	С		
i.	If the accessible voting station has a forward approach with no forward reach obstruction then the high reach shall be 48 inches maximum and the low reach shall be 15 inches minimum. (See Figure 1. in the VVSG)	Accept	С		
ii.	If the accessible voting station has a forward approach	Accept	С		

Page 63 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	with a forward reach obstruction, the following requirements apply (See Figure 2): • The forward obstruction shall be no greater than 25 inches in depth, no higher than 34 inches and its bottom surface no lower than 27 • If the obstruction is no more than 20 inches in depth, then the maximum high reach shall be 48 inches, otherwise it shall be 44 inches.				
iii,	Space under the obstruction between the finish floor or ground and 9 inches (230 mm) above the finish floor or ground shall be considered toe clearance and shall comply with the following provisions: • Toe clearance shall extend 25 inches (635 mm) maximum under the obstruction • The minimum toe clearance under the obstruction shall be either 17 inches (430 mm) or the depth required to reach over the obstruction to operate the accessible voting station, whichever is greater • Toe clearance shall be 30 inches (760 mm) wide minimum	Accept	С		
iv.	Space under the obstruction between 9 inches (230 mm) and 27 inches (685 mm) above the finish floor or ground shall be considered knee clearance and shall comply with the following provisions: • Knee clearance shall extend 25 inches (635 mm) maximum under the obstruction at 9 inches (230 mm) above the finish floor or ground. • The minimum knee clearance at 9 inches (230 mm) above the finish floor or ground shall be either 11 inches (280 mm) or 6 inches less than the toe clearance, whichever is greater. • Between 9 inches (230 mm) and 27 inches (685 mm) above the finish floor or ground, the knee clearance shall be permitted to reduce at a rate of 1 inch (25 mm) in depth for each 6 inches (150 mm) in height. Discussion: It follows that the minimum knee clearance at 27 inches above the finish floor or ground shall be 3 inches less than the minimum knee clearance at 9 inches above the floor. • Knee clearance shall be 30 inches (760 mm) wide	Accept	С		
V.	minimum. If the accessible voting station has a parallel approach with no side reach obstruction then the maximum high reach shall be 48 inches and the minimum low reach shall be 15 inches. (See Figure 3 in the VVSG)	Accept	С		
vi.	If the accessible voting station has a parallel approach with a side reach obstruction, the following subrequirements apply. See Figure 4. • The side obstruction shall be no greater than 24 inches in depth and its top no higher than 34 inches. • If the obstruction is no more than 10 inches in depth, then the maximum high reach shall be 48 inches, otherwise it shall be 46 inches. Discussion: Since this is a parallel approach, no clearance under the obstruction is required.	Accept	С		
C.	All labels, displays, controls, keys, audio jacks, and any other part of the accessible voting station necessary for the voter to operate the voting machine shall be easily legible and visible to a voter in a wheelchair with normal eyesight (no worse than 20/40, corrected) who is in an appropriate position and orientation with respect to the accessible voting station. Discussion: There are a number of factors that could make relevant parts of the accessible voting station difficult to see such as; small lettering, controls and labels tilted at an awkward angle	Accept	С		

Page 64 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	from the voter's viewpoint, and glare from overhead				100000
3.2.5	lighting. Hearing The voting process shall be accessible to voters with hearing disabilities.				
a.	The accessible voting station shall incorporate the features listed under requirement 3.2.2.2 I for voting equipment that provides audio presentation of the ballot to provide accessibility to voters with hearing disabilities.	Accept	С		#75 – closed.
b.	If voting equipment provides sound cues as a method to alert the voter, the tone shall be accompanied by a visual cue, unless the station is in audio-only mode.	Accept	С		
3.2.6	Speech The voting process shall be accessible to voters with speech disabilities.				
a.	No voting equipment shall require voter speech for its operation.	Accept	С		
3.2.7	English Proficiency				
a.	For voters who lack proficiency in reading English, or whose primary language is unwritten, the voting equipment shall provide spoken instructions and ballots in the preferred language of the voter, consistent with state and federal law. The requirements of 3.2.2.2 I shall apply to this mode of interaction.	Accept	С	G2	
3.2.8	Cognition The voting process shall be accessible to voters with cognitive disabilities. Discussion: At present there are no design features specifically aimed at helping those with cognitive disabilities. Requirements 3.2.2.1 (f), the synchronization of audio with the screen in a DRE, is helpful for some cognitive disabilities such as dyslexia. Requirements in Subsection 3.1.4 also address cognitive issues relative to voting system usability.				
4	Hardware Standards				
4.1	Performance Requirements Performance requirements address a broad range of parameters (see below)				
4.1.1	Accuracy Requirements Voting system accuracy addresses the accuracy of data for each of the individual ballot positions that could be selected by a voter, including the positions that are not selected. For a voting system, accuracy is defined as the ability of the system to capture, record, store, consolidate and report the specific selections and absence of selections, made by the voter for each ballot position without error. Required accuracy is defined in terms of an error rate that for testing purposes represents the maximum number of errors allowed while processing a specified volume of data.				
a. i. ii.	For all paper-based voting systems: Scanning ballot positions on paper ballots to detect selections for individual candidates and contests Conversion of selections detected on paper ballots into digital data	Accept	G2, A- OMR		
b. i. ii.	For all DRE voting systems: Recording the voter selections of candidates and contests into voting data storage Recording voter selections of candidates and contests into ballot image storage independently from voting data storage	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A- In	
c. i.	For precinct-count voting systems (paper-based and DRE): Consolidation of vote selection data from multiple	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A- In	

Page 65 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	precinct-based voting machines to generate jurisdiction- wide vote counts, including storage and reporting of the consolidated vote data				
d. i.	For central-count voting systems (paper-based and DRE): Consolidation of vote selection data from multiple counting devices to generate jurisdiction-wide vote counts, including storage and reporting of the	Accept	G1	G2,P1 A- In, A-OMR	#89 (Undervotes) closed. Interpretation 2007-06
	consolidated vote data For testing purposes, the acceptable error rate is defined using two parameters: the desired error rate to be achieved, and the maximum error rate that should be Accept by the test process. For each processing function indicated above, the voting system shall achieve a target error rate of no more than one in 10,000,000 ballot positions, with a maximum acceptable error rate in the test process of one in 500,000 ballot positions.	Accept	A-OMR		#115 – closed
4.1.2	Environmental Requirements All voting systems shall be designed to withstand the environmental conditions contained in the appropriate test procedures of the Standards/Guidelines. These procedures will be applied to all devices for casting, scanning and counting ballots, except those that constitute COTS devices that have not been modified in any manner to support their use as part of a voting system and that have a documented record of performance under conditions defined in the Standards/Guidelines.				Interpretation 2007-05
	The Technical Data Package supplied by the vendor shall include a statement of all requirements and restrictions regarding environmental protection, electrical service, recommended auxiliary power, telecommunications service, and any other facility or resource required for the proper installation and operation of the system.	Accept	Е		
4.1.2.	Shelter Requirements				
	Precinct count systems are designed for storage and operation in any enclosed facility ordinarily used as a warehouse or polling place, with prominent instructions as to any special storage requirements	Accept	E		
4.1.2.	Space Requirements				
	The arrangement of the voting system does not impede performance of their duties by polling place officials, the orderly flow of voters through the polling place, or the ability for the voter to vote in private	Accept	E		
4.1.2.	Furnishings and Fixtures				
	Any furnishings or fixtures provided as a part of voting systems, and any components provided by the vendor that are not a part of the system but that are used to support its storage, transportation, or operation, comply with the design and safety requirements of Subsection 4.3.8.	Accept	E		
4.1.2.	Electrical Supply Components of voting systems that require an electrical supply shall meet the following standards:				
a.	Precinct count systems operate with the electrical supply ordinarily found in polling places (Nominal 120 Vac/60Hz/1 phase)	Accept	E		
b.	For components of voting systems that require an electrical supply, central count systems operate with the electrical supply ordinarily found in central tabulation facilities or computer room facilities (120vac/60hz/1,	Accept	E		

Page 66 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Interpretation 2008-02 Interpretation 2008-02 Interpretation 2008-02 Interpretation 2008-02 Interpretation 2008-02
Interpretation 2008-02 Interpretation 2008-06 Interpretation 2008-02
Interpretation 2008-06 Interpretation 2008-02
Interpretation 2008-06 Interpretation 2008-02
Interpretation 2008-02
interpretation 2000-00
Interpretation 2008-10
#116 & 117 closed
#110 & 117 Closed
(V)2008-25.ILIN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
*****	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	operation or loss of data				
4.1.2.	Conducted RF Immunity				
11	Vote scanning and counting equipment for paper-based				
	systems, and all DRE equipment, shall be able to withstand, without disruption of normal operation or loss				
	of data, conducted RF energy of:				
a.	10V rms over the frequency range 150 KHz to 80 MHz	Accept	E		
	with an 80% amplitude modulation with a 1 KHz sine				
	wave AC & DC power				
b.	10V sig/control >3 m over the frequency range 150 KHz	Accept	E		
	to 80 MHz with an 80% amplitude modulation with a 1 KHz sine wave				
4.1.2.	Magnetic Fields Immunity				
12	Wagnetie Fields inimidinty				
	Vote scanning and counting equipment for paper-based	Accept	E		
	systems, and all DRE equipment, shall be able to	•			
	withstand, without disruption of normal operation or loss				
110	of data, AC magnetic fields of 30 A/m at 60 Hz				
4.1.2. 13	Environmental Control – Operating Environment				
10	Equipment used for election management activities or	Accept	E		
	vote counting (including both precinct and central count	7.000	_		
	systems) shall be capable of operation in temperatures				
	ranging from 50 to 95 degrees Fahrenheit.				
4.1.2.	Environmental Control – Transit and Storage				
14	Equipment used for vote casting or for counting votes in a precinct count system, shall meet these specific				
	minimum performance standards that simulate exposure				
	to physical shock and vibration associated with handling				
	and transportation by surface and air common carriers,				
	and to temperature conditions associated with delivery				
_	and storage in an uncontrolled warehouse environment:	A t	-		
a.	High and low storage temperatures ranging from -4 to +140 degrees Fahrenheit, equivalent to MIL-STD-810D,	Accept	E		
	Methods 501.2 and 502.2, Procedure I-Storage;				
b.	Bench handling equivalent to the procedure of MIL-STD-	Accept	E		
	810D, Method 516.3, Procedure VI;				
C.	Vibration equivalent to the procedure of MIL-STD-810D,	Accept	E		
	Method 514.3, Category 1- Basic Transportation,				
d.	Common Carrier Uncontrolled humidity equivalent to the procedure of	Accept	E		
u.	MIL-STD-810D, Method 507.2, Procedure I-Natural Hot-	Ассері	-		
	Humid.				
4.1.2.	Data Network Requirements				
15	N.C.	Δ .	0.4		N · ·
	Voting systems may use a local or remote data network.	Accept	G1		No network connection
	If such a network is used, then all components of the network shall comply with the telecommunications				
	requirements described in Section 6 and the Security				
L	requirements described in Section 7.				
4.1.3	Election Management System (EMS) Requirements				
	The Election Management System (EMS) requirements				
	address electronic hardware and software used to				
	conduct the pre-voting functions defined in Section 2 with regard to ballot preparation, election programming,				
	ballot and program installation, readiness testing,				
	verification at the polling place, and verification at the				
	central location.				
4.1.3.	Recording Requirements				
1	Voting systems shall accurately record all election management data entered by the user, including				
	election officials or their designees.				
a.	Record every entry made by the user;	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
b.	Add permissible voter selections correctly to the memory	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	components of the device;	-			
					() () 00000 OF II IN 1 004 (D)

Page 68 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
*****	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test
					Issues
C.	Verify the correctness of detection of the user selections	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
d.	and the addition of the selections correctly to memory Add various forms of data entered directly by the	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
ď.	election official or designee, such as text, line art, logos,	7100001	• •	02,11	
	and images				
e.	Verify the correctness of detection of data entered	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	directly by the user and the addition of the selections correctly to memory				
f.	Preserve the integrity of election management data	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
	stored in memory against corruption by stray			,	
	electromagnetic emissions, and internally generated				
g.	spurious electrical signals Log corrected data errors by the system.	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
4.1.3.	Memory Stability	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
2	Memory devices used to retain election management			,	
	data shall have demonstrated error-free data retention				
4.1.4	for a period of 22 months. Vote Recording Requirements				
4.1.4.	Common Requirements				
1	All voting systems shall provide voting booths or				
	enclosures for poll site use. Such booths or enclosures may be integral to the voting system or supplied as				
	components of the voting system, and shall:				
a.	Be integral to, or make provisions for installation of the	Accept	G1		
	voting device;				
b.	Ensure by its structure stability against movement or overturning during entry, occupancy, and exit by the	Accept	С		
	voter				
C.	Provide privacy for the voter, and be designed in such a	Accept	С		
	way as to prevent observation of the ballot by any				
d.	person other than the voter Capable of meeting the accessibility requirements of	Accept	С		
u.	Section 2.2.7.1.	Ассері			
4.1.4.	Paper-based Recording Requirements				
2	The paper-based recording requirements govern:				
	Ballot cards or sheets, and pages or assemblies of pages containing ballot field identification data				
	Ballot marking devices				
	Frames or fixtures to hold the ballot while it is being				
	marked • Compartments or booths where voters record				
	selections				
	Secure containers for the collection of voted ballots				
a.	Paper ballots used by paper-based voting systems shall	Accept	G2		
i.	meet the following standards: Marks that identify the unique ballot format shall be				
	outside the area in which votes are recorded, so as to				
	minimize the likelihood that these marks will be mistaken				
	for vote responses and the likelihood that recorded votes				
ii.	will obliterate these marks If printed alignment marks are used to locate the vote	Accept	G2	+	
	response fields on the ballot, these marks shall be	, 1000pt			
	outside the area in which votes are recorded, so as to				
	minimize the likelihood that these marks will be mistaken for vote responses and the likelihood that recorded votes				
	will obliterate these marks				
iii.	The Technical Data Package shall specify the required	Accept	G2		
	paper stock, size, shape, opacity, color, watermarks,				
	field layout, orientation, size and style of printing, size and location of mark fields used for vote response fields				
	and to identify unique ballot formats, placement of				
	alignment marks, ink for printing, and folding and bleed-				
	through limitations for preparation of ballots that are				
b.	compatible with the system The Technical Data Package shall specify marking	Accept	G2, A-	+	
~-	1 commod. Sata i donago onan opcony manning	, .000pt		1	

Page 69 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	devices, which, if used to make the prescribed form of mark, produce readable marked ballots such that the system meets the performance requirements for accuracy in Subsection 4.1.1. Marking devices can be either manual (such as pens or pencils) or electronic. These specifications shall identify:		OMR		
i.	Specific characteristics of marking devices that affect readability of marked ballots	Accept	G2		
ii.	Performance capabilities with regard to each characteristic	Accept	A-OMR		
iii.	For marking devices manufactured by multiple external sources, a listing of sources and model numbers that are compatible with the system.	Accept	A-OMR		#115 – closed
a.	A frame or fixture for printed ballot cards is optional. If such a device is provided, it shall:	Accept	N/A		System does not use a frame or fixture for printed ballot cards
i.	Be of any size and shape consistent with its intended use;	Accept	N/A		System does not use a frame or fixture for printed ballot cards
ii.	Position the card properly;	Accept	N/A		System does not use a frame or fixture for printed ballot cards
iii.	Hold the ballot card securely in its proper location and orientation for voting	Accept	N/A		System does not use a frame or fixture for printed ballot cards
iv.	Comply with the design and construction requirements in Section 3.4.	Accept	N/A		System does not use a frame or fixture for printed ballot cards
a.	Ballot boxes and ballot transfer boxes which serve as secure containers for the storage and transportation of voted ballots, shall:	Accept	N/A		System does not use ballot boxes
i.	Be of any size, shape, and weight commensurate with their intended use	Accept	N/A		System does not use ballot boxes
ii.	Incorporate locks or seals, and specifications in the system documentation	Accept	N/A		System does not use ballot boxes
iii.	Provide specific points where ballots are inserted, with all other points on the box constructed in a manner that prevents ballot insertion	Accept	N/A		System does not use ballot boxes
iv.	For precinct count systems, contain separate compartments for segregating unread ballots, ballots with write-in votes, or irregularities that may require special handling or processing. In lieu of compartments, conversion processing may mark such ballots with an identifying spot or stripe to facilitate manual segregation	N/A			Not a precinct count system
4.1.4. 3	DRE Systems Recording Requirements				
a.	DRE systems shall include an audible or visible activity indicator providing the status of each voting device. This indicator shall:	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
i.	Indicate whether the device has been activated for voting	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
ii.	Indicate whether the device is in use.	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
b.	To ensure vote recording accuracy and integrity while protecting the anonymity of the voter, all DRE systems shall:	Accept			
i.	Contain all mechanical, electromechanical, and electronic components; software; and controls required to detect and record the activation of selections made by the voter in the process of voting and casting a ballot	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
ii.	Incorporate redundant memories to detect and allow correction of errors caused by the failure of any of the individual memories	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
iii.	Provide at least two processes that record the voter's selections that: • To the extent possible, are isolated from each other	Accept	G1	G2, P1	

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test
	Designate one process and associated storage location as the main vote				Issues
iv.	detection, interpretation, processing and reporting path Use a different process to store ballot images, for which the method of recording may include any appropriate encoding or data compression procedure consistent with the regeneration of an unequivocal record of the ballot	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
V.	as cast by the voter. Provide a capability to retrieve ballot images in a form	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
vi.	readable by humans. Ensure that all processing and storage protects the anonymity of the voter.	Accept	G1	G2, P1	
C.	DRE systems meet the following requirements for recording accurately each vote and ballot cast:	see Accuracy	G1	G2, P1, A- In	
i.	Detect every selection made by the voter	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-	
ii.	Correctly add permissible selections to the memory components of the device	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A- In	
iii.	Verify the correctness of the detection of the voter selections and the addition of the selections to memory	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A- In	
iv.	Achieve an error rate not to exceed the requirement indicated in Section 4.4.1	Accept	A-In		
V.	Preserve the integrity of voting data and ballot images (for DRE machines) stored in memory for the official vote count and audit trail purposes against corruption by stray electromagnetic emissions, and internally generated spurious electrical signals	Accept	E		
vi.	Maintain a log of corrected data	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A- In	
	Recording reliability refers to the ability of the DRE system to record votes accurately at its maximum rated processing volume for a specified period of time. The DRE system shall record votes reliably in accordance with the requirements of Subsection 4.3.3.	Accept	A-In		
4.1.5	Paper-based Conversion Requirements				
4.1.5. 1	Ballot Handling: Ballot handling consists of a ballot card's acceptance, movement through the read station and transfer into a collection station or receptacle.				
a.	The capacity to convert the marks on individual ballots into signals is uniquely important to central count systems. The capacity for a central count system shall be documented by the vendor. This documentation shall include capacity for individual components that impact the overall capacity.	Accept	G2	P1, A- OMR	
b. i. ii. lii.	When ballots are unreadable or some condition is detected requiring that the cards be segregated from normally processed ballots for human review (e.g. writeins), all central count paper-based systems shall do one of the following: Outstack the ballot Stop the ballot reader and display a message prompting the election official or designee to remove the ballot Mark the ballot with an identifying mark to facilitate its later identification	Accept	G2	P1, A- OMR	
C.	Additionally, the system shall provide a capability that can be activated by an authorized election official to identify ballots containing overvotes, blank ballots, and ballots containing undervotes in a designated race. If enabled, these capabilities shall perform one of the above actions in response to the indicated condition	Accept	G2	P1, A- OMR	
d.	When ballots are unreadable or when some condition is detected requiring that the cards be segregated from normally processed ballots for human review (e.g. write-in votes) all precinct count systems shall:	N/A			Not a precinct count system

Page 71 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
i.	In response to an unreadable or blank ballot, return the ballot and provide a message prompting the voter to examine the ballot	N/A			Not a precinct count system
ii.	In response to a ballot with a write-in vote, segregate the ballot or mark the ballot with an identifying mark to facilitate its later identification	N/A			Not a precinct count system
lii.	In response to a ballot with an overvote the system shall: Provide a capability to identify an overvoted ballot Return the ballot Provide an indication prompting the voter to examine the ballot Allow the voter to correct the ballot Provide a means for an authorized election official to deactivate this capability entirely and by contest	N/A			Not a precinct count system
iv.	In response to a ballot with an undervote, the system shall: • Provide a capability to identify an undervoted ballot • Return the ballot • Provide an indication prompting the voter to examine the ballot • Allow the voter to correct the ballot • Allow the voter to submit the ballot with the undervote • Provide a means for an authorized election official to deactivate this capability	N/A			Not a precinct count system
e.	Ballot readers shall prevent multiple feed or detect and provide an alarm indicating multiple feed. Multiple feed occurs when a ballot reader attempts to read more than one ballot at a time.	Accept	G2	P1, A- OMR	
i.	If multiple feed is detected, the card reader shall halt in a manner that permits the operator to remove the unread cards causing the error, and reinsert them in the card input hopper	Accept	G2	P1, A- OMR	
ii.	The frequency of multiple feeds with ballots intended for use with the system shall not exceed 1 in 10,000	Accept	A-OMR		
4.1.5. 2	Ballot Reading Accuracy This paper-based system requirement governs the conversion of the physical ballot into electronic data. Reading accuracy for ballot conversion refers to the				
a. b.	ability to: a. Recognize vote punches or marks, or the absence thereof, for each possible selection on the ballot				
C.	b. Discriminate between valid punches or marks and extraneous perforations, smudges, and folds c. Convert the vote punches or marks, or the absence thereof, for each possible selection on the ballot into digital signals To ensure accuracy, paper-based systems shall:				
d.	Detect punches or marks that conform to vendor specifications with an error rate not exceeding the requirement indicated in Subsection 4.1.1	Accept	A-OMR		#115 – closed
e.	Ignore, and not record, extraneous perforations, smudges, and folds;	Accept	A-OMR		
f.	Reject ballots that meet all vendor specifications at a rate not to exceed 2 percent.	Accept	A-OMR		
4.1.6	Processing Requirements				
4.1.6. 1 a.	Paper-based Processing Requirements Processing accuracy refers to the ability of the system to receive electronic signals produced by punches for punch card systems and vote marks and timing				
	information for marksense systems; perform logical and numerical operations upon these data; and reproduce the contents of memory when required, without error. Specific requirements are detailed below:				

Page 72 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
i.	Processing accuracy shall be measured by vote selection error rate, the ratio of uncorrected vote selection errors to the total number of ballot positions that could be recorded across all ballots when the system is operated at its nominal or design rate of processing	Accept	A-OMR		#115 – closed
ii.	The vote selection error rate shall include data that denotes ballot style or precinct as well as data denoting a vote in a specific contest or ballot proposition	Accept	A-OMR		
iii.	The vote selection error rate shall include all errors from any source	Accept	A-OMR		#115 – closed
iv.	The vote selection error rate shall not exceed the requirement indicated in Subsection 4.1.1	Accept	A-OMR		#115 – closed
b.	Paper-based system memory devices, used to retain control programs and data, shall have demonstrated error-free data retention for a period of 22 months, under the environmental conditions for operation and non-operation (i.e., storage).	Accept	A-OMR		
4.1.6. 2	DRE Voting Systems The DRE voting systems processing requirements address all mechanical devices, electromechanical devices, electronic devices, and software required to process voting data after the polls are closed. DRE voting systems shall meet the following				
i.	requirements for processing speed: Operate at a speed sufficient to respond to any operator and voter input without perceptible delay (no more than three seconds)	Accept	G1	G2	
ii.	if the consolidation of polling place data is done locally, perform this consolidation in a time not to exceed five minutes for each device in the polling place	Accept	G1	G2	
4.1.6. 2.2 b.	Processing Accuracy Processing accuracy is defined as the ability of the system to process voting data stored in DRE voting devices or in removable memory modules installed in such devices. Processing includes all operations to consolidate voting data after the polls have been closed. DRE voting systems shall:				
i.	Produce reports that are completely consistent, with no discrepancy among reports of voting device data produced at any level	Accept	G1	G2	
ii.	Produce consolidated reports containing absentee, provisional or other voting data that are similarly error-free. Any discrepancy, regardless of source, is resolvable to a procedural error, to the failure of a non-memory device or to an external cause	Accept	G1	G2	
C.	DRE system memory devices used to retain control programs and data shall have demonstrated error-free data retention for a period of 22 months. Error-free retention may be achieved by the use of redundant memory elements, provided that the capability for conflict resolution or correction among elements is included.	Accept	G1	G2	
4.1.7	Reporting Requirements				
4.1.7.	Removable Storage Memory				
	All storage media that can be removed from the voting system and transported to another location for readout and report generation, these media shall use devices with demonstrated error-free retention for a period of 22 months under the environmental conditions for operation and non-operation contained in Subsection 4.1.2. Examples of removable storage media include: programmable read-only memory (PROM), random	Accept	G1	G2	

Page 73 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	access memory (RAM) with battery backup, magnetic				
4.1.7.	media or optical media. Printers All printers used to produce reports of the vote count				
	shall be capable of producing:				
a. b.	Alphanumeric headers Election, office and issue labels	Accept	G1 G1	G2 G2	
C.	Alphanumeric entries generated as part of the audit	Accept Accept	G1	G2 G2	
0.	record.	7100001			
4.1.8	Vote Data Management Requirements The vote data management requirements for all systems address capabilities that manage, process, and report voting data after the data has been consolidated at the polling place or other jurisdictional levels. These capabilities allow the system to:				
	 Consolidate voting data from polling place data memory or transfer devices Report polling place summaries Process absentee ballots, data entered manually, and administrative ballot definition data The requirements address all hardware and software required to generate output reports in the various formats required by the using jurisdiction. 	Accept	G1	G2	
4.1.8.	Data File Management				
a.	All voting systems shall provide the capability to: Integrate voting data files with ballot definition files	Accept	G1	G2	
b.	Verify file compatibility.	Accept	G1	G2	
C.	Edit and update files as required.:	Accept	G1	G2	
4.1.8.	Data Report Generation All voting systems shall include:				TI OMB (I i i
	Report generators for producing output reports at the device, polling place, and summary level, with provisions for administrative and judicial subdivisions as required by the using jurisdiction.	Accept	G2, A- OMR		The OMR 'device' consists of the OMR Reader and the Election Management System.
4.2	Physical Characteristics				
4.2.1	There is no numerical limitation on the size of any voting equipment, but the size of each voting machine should be compatible with its intended use and the location at which the equipment is to be used.	Accept	С		
4.2.2	Weight				
	There is no numerical limitation on the weight of any voting equipment, but the weight of each voting machine should be compatible with its intended use and the location at which the equipment is to be used.	Accept	С		
4.2.3	Transport and Storage of Precinct Systems All precinct voting systems shall:				
a.	Provide a means to safely and easily handle, transport, and install voting equipment, such as wheels or a handle or handles	Accept	С		
b.	Be capable of using, or be provided with, a protective enclosure rendering the equipment capable of	Accept	С		#94 - closed
i.	withstanding: Impact, shock and vibration loads associated with				
ii.	surface and air transportation Stacking loads associated with storage				
4.3	Design, Construction, and Maintenance Characteristics				
4.3.1	Materials Process and Parts				
	The approach to system design is unrestricted, and may incorporate any form or variant of technology capable of meeting the voting systems requirements and standards. Precinct count systems shall be designed in accordance with best commercial practice for microcomputers,				

Page 74 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	process controllers, and their peripheral components.				133063
	Central count voting systems and equipment used in a				
	central tabulating environment shall be designed in				
	accordance with best commercial and industrial practice.				
	All voting systems shall:	•			
a.	Be designed and constructed so that the frequency of	Accept	С		
	equipment malfunctions and maintenance requirements are reduced to the lowest level consistent with cost				
	constraints.				
b.	Include, as part of the accompanying TDP, an approved	Accept	С		
U.	parts list	Ассері			
C.	Exclude parts or components not included in the	Accept	С		#98 – closed
0.	approved parts list.	7.000p1			
4.3.2	Durability				
	All voting systems shall be designed to withstand normal	Accept	С		Interpretation 2008-05
	use without deterioration and without excessive				
	maintenance cost for a period of ten years.				
4.3.3	Reliability				
	There was no degradation of performance such that the	Accept	A-OMR,		#114 - closed
	device was unable to perform its intended function for		A-In		
	longer than 10 seconds.				
	The reliability of voting system devices shall be				
	measured as Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) for				
	the system submitted for testing. MBTF is defined as the				
	value of the ratio of operating time to the number of failures which have occurred in the specified time				
	interval. A typical system operations scenario consists of				
	approximately 45 hours of equipment operation,				
	consisting of 30 hours of equipment set-up and				
	readiness testing and 15 hours of elections operations.				
	For the purpose of demonstrating compliance with this				
	requirement, a failure is defined as any event which				
	results in either the:				
	Loss of one or more functions				
	Degradation of performance such that the device is				
	unable to perform its intended function for longer than 10				
	seconds				
	The MTBF demonstrated during certification testing shall				
4.3.4	be at least 163 hours. Maintainability				
4.3.4	See the requirement for detail about Maintainability				
4.3.4.	Physical Attributes				
4.3.4. 1	The following physical attributes will be examined to				
•	assess reliability:				
a.	Presence of labels and the identification of test points	Accept	С		
b.	Provision of built-in test and diagnostic circuitry or	Accept	C		
-	physical indicators of condition				
C.	Presence of labels and alarms related to failures	Accept	С		
d.	Presence of features that allow non-technicians to	Accept			
	perform routine maintenance tasks (such as update of				
	the system database)				
4.3.4.	Additional Attributes		С		
2	The following additional attributes will be examined to				
	assess maintainability:				
a.	Ease of detecting that equipment has failed by a non-	Accept	С		
	technician				
b.	Ease of diagnosing problems by a trained technician	Accept	С		
C.	Low false alarm rates (i.e., indications of problems that	Accept	С		
-1	do not exist)	Λ .			
d.	Ease of access to components for replacement	Accept	С		
e.	Ease with which adjustment and alignment can be	Accept	С		
<u> </u>	performed	Λ .			
f.	Ease with which database updates can be performed by	Accept	С		
_	a non-technician	Λ · ·			#0F #0C -l !
g.	Adjust, align, tune or service components	Accept	С		#95, #96 – closed

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test
4.3.5	Availability- The availability of a voting system is defined as the probability that the equipment (and supporting software) needed to perform designated voting functions will respond to operational commands and accomplish the function. The voting system shall meet the availability standard for each of the following voting functions:				Issues
a.	For all paper-based voting systems:	Accept	G2	A-OMR	
1	Recording voter selections (such as by ballot marking or punch)	Accept	G2	A-OMR	
2	Scanning the punches or marks on paper ballots and converting them into digital data	Accept	G2	A-OMR	
b.	For all DRE systems, recording and storing voter ballot selections	Accept	G1,	G2, A-In	
C.	For precinct count systems (paper-based and DRE), consolidation of vote selection data from multiple precinct based systems to generate jurisdiction-wide vote counts, including storage and reporting of the consolidated vote data	Accept	G1,	G2, A-In	
d.	For central-count systems (paper-based and DRE), consolidation of vote selection data from multiple counting devices to generate jurisdiction-wide vote counts, including storage and reporting of the consolidated vote data	Accept	G1,	G2, A-In	
	System availability is measured as the ratio of the time during which the system is operational (up time) to the total time period of operation (up time plus down time). Inherent availability (Ai) is the fraction of time a system is functional, based upon Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) and Mean Time To Repair (MTTR), that is: Ai = (MTBF)/(MTBF + MTTR) MTTR is the average time required to perform a corrective maintenance task during periods of system operation. Corrective maintenance task time is active repair time, plus the time attributable to other factors that could lead to logistic or administrative delays, such as travel notification of qualified maintenance personnel and travel time for such personnel to arrive at the appropriate site. Corrective maintenance may consist of substitution of the complete device or one of its components, as in the case of precinct count and some central count systems, or it may consist of on-site repair. The voting system shall achieve at least 99 percent availability during normal operation for the functions indicated above. This standard encompasses for each function the combination of all devices and components that support the function, including their MTTR and MTBF attributes.	Accept	A-In, A-OMR		
	that is to be used to assess availability, and any assumptions made with regard to any parameters that impact the MTTR. These factors shall include at a minimum:	Ассерт	Documen t Review		
e.	Recommended number and locations of spare devices or components to be kept on hand for repair purposes during periods of system operation	Accept	PCA Documen t Review		
f.	Recommended number and locations of qualified maintenance personnel who need to be available to support repair calls during system operation Organizational affiliation (i.e., jurisdiction, vendor) of qualified maintenance personnel	Accept	PCA Documen t Review		
g.	Organizational affiliation (i.e., jurisdiction, vendor) of qualified maintenance personnel	Accept	PCA Documen t Review		

Page 76 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
4.3.6	Product Marking All voting systems shall:				
a.	Identify all devices with a permanently affixed nameplate or label containing the name of the manufacturer or vendor, the name of the device, its part or model number, its revision letter, its serial number, and if applicable, its power requirements	Accept	С		
b.	Display on each device a separate data plate containing a schedule for and list of operations required to service or to perform preventive maintenance	Accept	С		#97 – closed
C.	Display advisory caution and warning instructions to ensure safe operation of the equipment and to avoid exposure to hazardous electrical voltages and moving parts at all locations where operation or exposure may occur	Accept	С		
4.3.7	Workmanship To help ensure proper workmanship, all manufacturers of voting systems shall:				
a.	Adopt and adhere to practices and procedures to ensure their products are free from damage or defect that could make them unsatisfactory for their intended purpose	Accept	С		
b.	Ensure components provided by external suppliers are free from damage or defect that could make them unsatisfactory for their intended purpose.	Accept	С		
4.3.8	Safety All voting systems shall meet the following requirements for safety:				
a.	All voting system and their components shall be designed to eliminate hazards to personnel or the equipment itself.	Accept	E		
b.	Defects in design and construction that can result in personal injury or equipment damage must be detected and corrected before voting systems and components are placed into service.	Accept	E		
C.	Equipment design for personnel safety is equal to or better than the appropriate requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act, Code of Federal Regulations, as identified in Title 29, part 1910	Accept	E		Interpretation 2008-09
5.	Software Standards				
5.3	Data and Document Retention All systems shall:				
a.	Maintain the integrity of voting and audit data during an election, and for at least 22 months thereafter, a time sufficient to resolve most contested elections and support other activities related to the reconstruction and investigation of a contested election	Accept	G1		
b.	Protect against the failure of any data input or storage device at a location controlled by the jurisdiction or its contractors, and against any attempt at improper data entry or retrieval	Accept	G1		
5.4	Audit Record Data Audit trails are essential to ensure the integrity of a voting system. Operational requirements for audit trails are described in Subsection 2.5.1.1. Audit record data are generated by these procedures. The audit record data in the following subsections are essential to the complete recording of election operations and reporting of the vote tally. This list of audit records may not reflect the design constructs of some systems. Therefore, vendors shall supplement it with information relevant to the operation of their specific systems.				
5.4.1	Pre-election Audit Records				
	During election definition and ballot preparation, the system shall audit the preparation of the baseline ballot	Accept	G1	G2	

Page 77 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
V V 3 G	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test
	EAG Voluntary Voling Gystein Galdennes 2000	rtesuit	Case	Excroised	Issues
	formats and modifications to them, a description of these				100,000
	modifications, and corresponding dates. The log shall				
	include:				
a.	The allowable number of selections for an office or	Accept	G1	G2	
	issue;				
b.	The combinations of voting patterns permitted or	Accept	G1	G2	
	required by the jurisdiction				
C.	The inclusion or exclusion of offices or issues as the	Accept	G1	G2	
	result of multiple districting within the polling place				
d.	Any other characteristics that may be peculiar to the	Accept	G1	G2	
	jurisdiction, the election, or the polling place's location				
e.	Manual data maintained by election personnel	Accept	G1	G2	
f.	Samples of all final ballot formats	Accept	G1	G2	
g.	Ballot preparation edits listings.	Accept	G1	G2	
5.4.2	System Readiness Audit Records				
	The following minimum requirements apply to system				
	readiness audit records:				
a.	Prior to the start of ballot counting, a system process	Accept	G1	G2	
	shall verify hardware and software status and generate a				
	readiness audit record. This record shall include the				
	identification of the software release, the identification of				
	the election to be processed, and the results of software				
	and hardware diagnostic tests		0.1	00	
b.	In the case of systems used at the polling place, the	Accept	G1	G2	#99 -closed
	record shall include polling place identification		0.1	00	
C.	The ballot interpretation logic shall test and record the	Accept	G1	G2	
-1	correct installation of ballot formats on voting devices	A = = = = t	04	00	
d.	The software shall check and record the status of all	Accept	G1	G2	
	data paths and memory locations to be used in vote				
	recording to protect against contamination of voting data Upon the conclusion of the tests, the software shall	Accept	G1	G2	
e.	provide evidence in the audit record that the test data	Accept	Gi	G2	
	have been expunged				
f.	If required and provided, the ballot reader and	Accept	A-OMR,		
l ''	arithmetic-logic unit shall be evaluated for accuracy, and	Accept	A-In		
	the system shall record the results. It shall allow the		7		
	processing or simulated processing of sufficient test				
	ballots to provide a statistical estimate of processing				
	accuracy				
g.	For systems that use a public network, provide a report	Accept			Public Network Not Used
i.	of test ballots that includes:	-			
ii.	Number of ballots sent				
iii.	When each ballot was sent				
iv.	Machine from which each ballot was sent				
	specific votes or selections contained in the ballot				
5.4.3	In-Process Audit Records				
	In-process audit records document system operations				
	during diagnostic routines and the casting and tallying of				
	ballots. At a minimum, the in-process audit records shall				
_	contain:	Δ :	04	00	
a.	Machine generated error and exception messages to	Accept	G1	G2	
	demonstrate successful recovery. Examples include, but				
<u> </u>	are not necessarily limited to:	A ====+	C4		
i.	The source and disposition of system interrupts resulting	Accept	G1	G2	
	in entry into exception handling routines	Aggant	C1	<u> </u>	
ii.	All messages generated by exception handlers The identification code and number of occurrences for	Accept	G1	G2	
iii.		Accept	G1	G2	
is.	each hardware and software error or failure	A ====+	C4		
iv.	Notification of system login or access errors, file access	Accept	G1	G2	
	errors, and physical violations of security as they occur,				
L.,	and a summary record of these events after processing	A ====+	C4		
V.	Other exception events such as power failures, failure of	Accept	G1	G2	
	critical hardware components, data transmission errors				
h	or other types of operating anomalies	Accest	G1	G2	
b.	Critical system status messages other than informational	Accept	וטו	U2	(\(\)2008 25 II IN 001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
*****	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test
					Issues
	messages displayed by the system during the course of				
	normal operations. These items include, but are not limited to:				
i.	Diagnostic and status messages upon startup	Accept	G1	G2	
ii.	The "zero totals" check conducted before opening the	Accept	G1	G2, P1, A-	
	polling place or counting a precinct centrally			OMR, A-In	
iii.	For paper-based systems, the initiation or termination of	Accept	G1	G2	
	card reader and communications equipment operation			_	
iv.	For DRE machines at controlled voting locations, the	Accept	G1	G2	
	event (and time, if available) of activating and casting each ballot (i.e., each voter's transaction as an event).				
	This data can be compared with the public counter for				
	reconciliation purposes				
C.	Non-critical status messages that are generated by the	Accept	G1	G2	
	machine's data quality monitor or by software and				
	hardware condition monitors		ļ		
d.	System generated log of all normal process activity and	Accept	G1	G2	
	system events that require operator intervention, so that each operator access can be monitored and access				
	sequence can be constructed				
5.4.4	Vote Tally Data				
	In addition to the audit requirements described above,				
	other election-related data is essential for reporting				
	results to interested parties, the press, and the voting				
	public, and is vital to verifying an accurate count. Voting				
	systems shall meet these reporting requirements by providing software capable of obtaining data concerning				
	various aspects of vote counting and producing printed				
	reports. At a minimum, vote tally data shall include:				
a.	Number of ballots cast, using each ballot configuration,	Accept	G1	G2	
	by tabulator, by precinct, and by political subdivision				
b.	Candidate and measure vote totals for each contest, by	Accept	G1	G2	#85 – closed
C.	tabulator The number of ballots read within each precinct and for	Accept	G1	G2	
C.	additional jurisdictional levels, by configuration, including	Ассері	Gi	G2	
	separate totals for each party in primary elections				
d.	Separate accumulation of overvotes and undervotes for	Accept	G1	G2	
	each contest, by tabulator, precinct and for additional				
	jurisdictional levels (no overvotes would be indicated for				
	DRE voting devices) For paper-based systems only, the total number of	Assent	C1	G2	#00 aloned
e.	ballots both able to be processed and unable to be	Accept	G1	G2	#88 – closed
	processed; and if there are multiple card ballots, the total				
	number of cards read				
f.	For systems that produce an electronic file containing	Accept	G1	G2	
	vote tally data, the contents of the file shall include the				
	same minimum data cited above for printed vote tally				
5.5	reports. Voter Secrecy on DRE Systems				
3.3	All DRE systems shall ensure vote secrecy by:				
a.	Immediately after the voter chooses to cast his or her	Accept	G1	G2	
	ballot, record the voter's selections in the memory to be				
	used for vote counting and audit data (including ballot				
	images), and erase the selections from the display,				
	memory, and all other storage, including all forms of temporary storage				
b.	Immediately after the voter chooses to cancel his or her	Accept	G1	G2	
	ballot, erase the selections from the display and all other				
	storage, including buffers and other temporary storage				
6	Telecommunications				
6.2	Design, Construction, and Maintenance				
	Requirement Design, construction, and maintenance requirements for	Accept	N/A		System does not use
	telecommunications represent the operational capability	Accept	13/7		Telecommunications
	of both system hardware and software. These				. 5.555
	•		•	•	() () 00000 OF II IN 1 004 (D)

Page 79 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

/VSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	capabilities shall be considered basic to all data transmissions.				issues
5.2.1	Accuracy				
	The telecommunications components of all voting systems shall meet the accuracy requirements of 4.1.1.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Telecommunications
5.2.2	Durability The telecommunications components of all voting	Accept	N/A		System does not use
.2.3	systems shall meet the Durability requirements of 4.1.2. Reliability				Telecommunications
	The telecommunications components of all voting systems shall meet the Reliability requirements of 4.1.3.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Telecommunications
.2.4	Maintainability				
	The telecommunications components of all voting systems shall meet the maintainability requirements of 4.1.4.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Telecommunications
.2.5	Availability				
1210	The telecommunications components of all voting systems shall meet the availability requirements of 4.1.5.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Telecommunications
.2.6	Integrity For WANs using public telecommunications, boundary definition and implementation shall meet the requirements below.				
	Outside service providers and subscribers of such providers shall not be given direct access or control of any resource inside the boundary.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
).	Voting system administrators shall not require any type of control of resources outside this boundary. Typically, an end point of a telecommunications circuit will be a subscriber termination on a Digital Service Unit/Customer Service Unit although the specific technology configuration may vary. Regardless of the technology used, the boundary point must ensure that everything on the voting system side is locally configured and controlled by the election jurisdiction while everything on the public network side is controlled by an outside service provider.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
	The system shall be designed and configured such that it is not vulnerable to a single point of failure in the connection to the public network which could cause total loss of voting capabilities at any polling place.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
5.2.7	Confirmation Confirmation cocurs when the system notifies the user of the successful or unsuccessful completion of the data transmission, where successful completion is defined as accurate receipt of the transmitted data. To provide confirmation, the telecommunications components of a voting system shall Notify the user of the successful or unsuccessful	Accept	N/A		
	completion of the data transmission; and	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications
	In the event of unsuccessful transmission, notify the user of the action to be taken.	Accept	N/A		System does not use Telecommunications
.2	Security Standards Access Controls				
. <u>.</u> .2.1	General Access Control Policy				
. L . 1	The vendor shall specify the general features and capabilities of the access control policy recommended to provide effective voting system security.	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
	Although the jurisdiction in which the voting system is operated is responsible for determining the access policies for each election, the vendor shall provide a description of recommended policies for:	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
	Software access controls;	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
		Accept	Аррх С	S, G1, G2,	

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
			2.6		
C.	Communications;	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
d.	Effective password management;	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
e.	Protection abilities of a particular operating system;	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
f.	General characteristics of supervisory access privileges;	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
g.	Segregation of duties; and	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
h.	Any additional relevant characteristics.	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
7.2.1. 1	Individual Access Privileges Voting system vendors shall:				
a.	Identify each person to whom access is granted, and the specific functions and data to which each person holds authorized access	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
b.	Specify whether an individual's authorization is limited to a specific time, time interval or phase of the voting or counting operations	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
C.	Permit the voter to cast a ballot expeditiously, but preclude voter access to all aspects of the vote counting processes	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
7.2.1.	Access Control Measures Vendors shall provide a detailed description of all system access control measures designed to permit authorized access to the system and prevent unauthorized access, such as:				
a.	Use of data and user authorization	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
b.	Program unit ownership and other regional boundaries	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
C.	One-end or two-end port protection devices	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S	
d.	Security kernels	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S	
e.	Computer-generated password keys	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S	
f.	Special protocols	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S	#28 – closed
g.	Message encryption and	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S	
g.	Controlled access security.	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
	Vendors also shall define and provide a detailed description of the methods used to prevent unauthorized access to the access control capabilities of the system itself.	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S, G1, G2,	
7.3	Physical Security Measures				
724	A voting system's sensitivity to disruption or corruption of data depends, in part, on the physical location of equipment and data media, and on the establishment of secure telecommunications among various locations. Most often, the disruption of voting and vote counting results from a physical violation of one or more areas of the system thought to be protected. Therefore, security procedures shall address physical threats and the corresponding means to defeat them.	Accept	S		Includes testing, document and code review
7.3.1	Polling Place Security For polling place operations, vendors shall develop and	Accept	S	G1	Document review
	provide detailed documentation of measures to enable poll workers to physically protect and perform orderly shutdown of voting equipment to counteract vandalism,	льсері	3		Document review

Page 81 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	civil disobedience, and similar occurrences.	A t	0		0
	The measures shall allow the immediate detection of tampering with vote casting devices and precinct ballot	Accept	S		System does not use Telecommunications
	counters. They also shall control physical access to a				Tologonimanications
	telecommunications link if such a link is used				
7.3.2	Central Count Location Security	A = = = = 4	A = = : C		De aumant ravious
	Vendors shall develop and document in detail measures to be taken in a central counting environment. These	Accept	Appx C 2.6	S	Document review #130 to 134 & 137
	measures shall include physical and procedural controls		2.0		closed
	related to the				
	Handling of ballot boxes				
	Preparing of ballots for counting Counting operations and				
	Reporting data				
7.4	Software Security				
7.4.1	Software and Firmware Installation				
	The system shall meet the following requirements for installation of software, including hardware with				
	embedded firmware.				
a.	If software is resident in the system as firmware, the	Accept	S		
	vendor shall require and state in the system documentation that every device is to be retested to				
	validate each ROM prior to the start of elections				
	operations.				
b.	To prevent alteration of executable code, no software	Accept	S		
	shall be permanently installed or resident in the voting system unless the system documentation states that the				
	jurisdiction must provide a secure physical and				
	procedural environment for the storage, handling,				
	preparation, and transportation of the system hardware.	Assent	S		
C.	The voting system bootstrap, monitor, and device- controller software may be resident permanently as	Accept	3		
	firmware, provided that this firmware has been shown to				
	be inaccessible to activation or control by any means				
	other than by the authorized initiation and execution of the vote counting program, and its associated exception				
	handlers.				
d.	The election-specific programming may be installed and	Accept	S		
	resident as firmware, provided that such firmware is installed on a component (such as a computer chip)				
	other than the component on which the operating				
	system resides.				
e.	After initiation of election day testing, no source code or compliers or assemblers shall be resident or accessible.	Accept	S		
7.4.2	Protection Against Malicious Software				
	Voting systems shall deploy protection against the many				
	forms of threats to which they may be exposed such as				
	file and macro viruses, worms, Trojan horses, and logic bombs				
	Vendors shall develop and document the procedures to	Accept	S		
	be followed to ensure that such protection is maintained				
7.4.3	in a current status. Software Distribution and Setup Validation				
	Subsections 7.4.4, 7.4.5 and 7.4.6 specify requirements				
	for the distribution of voting system software and the				
	setup validation performed on voting system equipment. These requirements are applicable to voting systems				
	that have completed certification testing. (see the				
	Guidelines for the full text)				
7.4.4	Software Distribution	Aggest	Anny D		
a.	The vendor shall document all software including voting system software, third party software (such as operating	Accept	Appx B & F		
	systems and drivers) to be installed on the certified		u 1		
<u> </u>	voting system, and installation programs.	D "			Dending south to 0
i.	The documentation shall have a unique identifier (such	Pending			Pending certification &

Page 82 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	as a serial number or part number) for the following set of information: documentation, software vendor name, product name, version, the certification application number of the voting system, file names and paths or other location information (such as storage addresses) of the software.				direction from the EAC
ii.	The documentation shall designate all software files as static, semi-static or dynamic. Discussion: Static voting system software such as executable code does not change based on the election being conducted or the voting equipment upon which it is installed. Semi-static voting system software contains configuration information for the voting system based on the voting equipment that is installed and the election being conducted. Semi-static software is only modified during the installation of (a) the voting system software on voting equipment or (b) the election-specific software such as ballot formats. Dynamic voting system software changes over time once installed on voting equipment. However, the specific time or value of the change in the dynamic software is usually unknown in advance, making it impossible to create reference information to verify the software.	Accept	Appx B & F		
b.	The EAC accredited testing lab shall witness the final build of the executable version of the certified voting system software performed by the vendor.	Accept	Аррх F		
i.	The testing lab shall create a complete record of the build that includes: a unique identifier (such as a serial number) for the complete record; a list of unique identifiers of unalterable storage media associated with the record; the time, date, location, names and signatures of all people present; the source code and resulting executable file names; the version of voting system software; the certification application number of the voting system; the name and versions of all (including third party) libraries; and the name, version, and configuration files of the development environment used for the build.	Accept	Аррх F		
ii.	The record of the source code and executable files shall be made on unalterable storage media. Each piece of media shall have a unique identifier. Discussion: Unalterable storage media includes technology such as a CD-R, but not CD-RW. The unique identifiers appear on indelibly printed labels and in a digitally signed file on the unalterable storage media.	Accept	Аррх F		
iii.	The testing lab shall retain this record until notified by the EAC that it can be archived.	Accept	Appx F		
c.	After EAC certification has been granted, the testing lab shall create a subset of the complete record of the build that includes a unique identifier (such as a serial number) of the subset, the unique identifier of the complete record, a list of unique identifiers of unalterable storage media associated with the subset, the vendor and product name, the version of voting system software, the certification number of the voting system, and all the files that resulted from the build and binary images of all installation programs.	Pending	Аррх F		Pending certification and direction from the EAC
	The record of the software shall be made on unalterable storage media. Each piece of media shall have a unique identifier.	Pending	Аррх F		Pending certification and direction from the EAC
	The testing lab shall retain a copy, send a copy to the vendor, and send a copy to the NIST National Software Reference Library (NSRL)2 and/or to any repository	Pending	Аррх F		Pending certification and direction from the EAC

Page 83 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	designated by a State.				
	The NSRL shall retain this software until notified by the	Out of			
d.	EAC that it can be archived. The vendor shall provide the NSRL and any repository	scope Out of			
u.	designated by a state with a copy of the software	scope			
	installation disk, which the vendor will distribute to	00000			
	purchasers-including the executable binary images of all				
i.	third party software. All voting system software, installation programs and	Out of			
1.	third party software (such as operating systems and	scope			
	drivers) used to install or to be installed on voting system	•			
	equipment shall be distributed using unalterable storage				
ii.	media. The vendor shall document that the process used to	Out of			
	verify the software distributed on unalterable storage	scope			
	media is the certified software by using the reference				
	information provided by the NSRL or other designated				
e.	repository before installing the software. The voting system equipment shall be designed to allow	Out of			
"	the voting system administrator to verify that the	scope			
	software is the certified software by comparing it to				
	reference information produced by the NSRL or other designated repository.				
f.	The vendors and testing labs shall document to whom	Accept			iBeta Voting Project
	they provide voting system software.				Mgmt Procedure Task
					6.3.3
					#136 Closed
7.4.5	Software Reference Information	Out of			
	The NSRL or other repository designated by a state	Scope			
	election office shall generate reference information using the binary images of the (a) certified voting system				
	software received on unalterable storage media from				
	testing labs and (b) election- specific software received				
	on unalterable storage media from jurisdictions. See Guidelines for complete text				
7.4.6	Software Setup Validation	Out of			EAC Certification
	·	Scope			Program Manual 5.8
a.	Setup validation methods shall verify that no	Accept	Appx C	Appx F	Document Review only
	unauthorized software is present on the		2.6	7.6.3	#125 closed
b.	voting equipment. The vendor shall have a process to verify that the	Accept	Appx C	Appx F	Document Review only
Б.	correct software is loaded, that there	Ассері	2.6	7.6.3	Document Review Unity
	is no unauthorized software, and that voting				
	system software on voting equipment has				
	not been modified, using the reference information				
	from the NSRL or from a State				
	designated repository.				
	i. The process used to verify software should be				
	possible to perform without using software installed on the voting system.				
	ii. The vendor shall document the process used to				
	verify software on voting				
	equipment.				
	iii. The process shall not modify the voting system				
	software on the voting system				
	during the verification process	A accept	Anny C	Anny F	Dogument Bergerrank
C.	The vendor shall provide a method to comprehensively list all software files that are	Accept	Appx C 2.6	Appx F 7.6.3	Document Review only #126 closed
	installed on voting systems.		2.5	7.0.0	120 0.0000
d.	The verification process should be able to be	Accept	Аррх С	Аррх F	Document Review only
	performed using COTS software and hardware	•	2.6	7.6.3	#127 closed

Page 84 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	available from sources other than the voting system vendor. i. If the process uses hashes or digital signatures, then the verification software shall use a FIPS 140-2 level 1 or higher validated cryptographic module. ii. The verification process shall either (a) use reference information on unalterable storage media received from the repository or (b) verify the digital signature of the reference information on any other media.				
е.	Voting system equipment shall provide a means to ensure that the system software can be verified through a trusted external interface, such as a read-only external interface, or by other means. i. The external interface shall be protected using tamper evident techniques ii. The external interface shall have a physical indicator showing when the interface is enabled and disabled iii. The external interface shall be disabled during voting iv. The external interface should provide a direct read-only access to the location of the voting system software without the use of installed software	Accept	Appx C	Appx F	Document Review only #128 closed
f.	Setup validation methods shall verify that registers and variables of the voting system equipment contain the proper static and initial values. i. The vendor should provide a method to query the voting system to determine the values of all static and dynamic registers and variables including the values that jurisdictions are required to modify to conduct a specific election. ii. The vendor shall document the values of all static registers and variables, and the initial starting values of all dynamic registers and variables listed for voting system software, except for the values set to conduct a specific election.	Accept	Appx C 2.6	Appx F 7.6.3	Document Review only #129 closed
7.5	Telecommunications and Data Transmission				
7.5.1	Maintaining Data Integrity				
	Voting systems that use telecommunications to communicate between system components and locations are subject to the same security requirements governing access to any other system hardware, software, and data function.	Accept	Т		System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
a.	Voting systems that use electrical or optical transmission of data shall ensure the receipt of valid vote records is verified at the receiving station. This should include standard transmission error detection and correction methods such as checksums or message digest hashes. Verification of correct transmission shall occur at the voting system application level and ensure that the correct data is recorded on all relevant components consolidated within the polling place prior to the voter completing casting of his or her ballot.	Accept	T, S		System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission nor does it use Telecommunications and Audio Transmission, but it does use Data Transmission in the absence of Telecommunications. See 2.1.2.d There is no transmission of data prior to the voter casting a ballot.

Page 85 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
					#135 closed
	Data Interception Prevention				
	Voting systems that use telecommunications to communicate between system				
	components and locations before the polling place is				
	officially closed shall:				
a.	Implement an encryption standard currently	Accept			System does not use
	documented and validated for use by an agency of the				Telecommunications and
	U.S. Federal Government and				Data Transmission
b.	Provide a means to detect the presence of an intrusive	Accept			System does not use
	process, such as an Intrusion Detection System.				Telecommunications and
7.5.2	Protection Against External Threats				Data Transmission
a.	Voting systems that use public telecommunications	Accept			System does not use
a.	networks shall implement protections against external	Ассері			Telecommunications and
	threats to which commercial products used in the system				Data Transmission
	may be susceptible.				
b.	Voting systems that use public telecommunications	Accept			System does not use
	networks shall provide system documentation that				Telecommunications and
	clearly identifies all COTS hardware and software				Data Transmission
	products and communications services used in the				
	development and/or operation of the voting system, including				
	operating systems,				
	communications routers,				
	modem drivers and				
	dial-up networking software.				
i.	Such documentation shall identify the name, vendor,	Accept			System does not use
	and version used for each				Telecommunications and
	such component.				Data Transmission
C.	Voting systems that use public telecommunications networks shall use protective software at the receiving-	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and
	end of all communications paths to:				Data Transmission
i.	Detect the presence of a threat in a transmission	Accept			System does not use
	Dotost and processes of a unious in a diametric	, tooopt			Telecommunications and
					Data Transmission
ii.	Remove the threat from infected files/data	Accept			System does not use
					Telecommunications and
		• .			Data Transmission
iii.	Prevent against storage of the threat anywhere on the	Accept			System does not use
	receiving device				Telecommunications and Data Transmission
iv.	Provide the capability to confirm that no threats are	Accept			System does not use
'V.	stored in system memory and in connected storage	лосорі			Telecommunications and
	media				Data Transmission
V.	Provide data to the system audit log indicating the	Accept			System does not use
	detection of a threat and the processing performed				Telecommunications and
<u> </u>		A :			Data Transmission
d.	Vendors shall use multiple forms of protective software	Accept			System does not use
	as needed to provide capabilities for the full range of products used by the voting system.				Telecommunications and Data Transmission
7.5.4.	Monitoring and Responding to External Threats				שמנמ דומווסווווססוטוו
3	monitoring and reopending to External Tilledis				
	Voting system that use public telecommunications	Accept			System does not use
	networks may become vulnerable, by virtue of their	'			Telecommunications and
	system components, to external threats to the accuracy				Data Transmission
	and integrity of vote recording, vote counting, and vote				
	consolidation and reporting processes. Therefore,				
	vendors of such systems shall document how they plan to monitor and respond to known threats to which their				
	voting systems are vulnerable. This documentation shall				
	provide a detailed description, including scheduling				
	information, of the procedures the vendor will use to:				
a.	Monitor threats, such as through the review of	Accept			System does not use
I	assessments, advisories, and alerts for COTS				Telecommunications and

Page 86 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	components issued by the Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT), for which a current listing can be found at http://www.cert.org, the National Infrastructure Protection Center (NIPC), and the Federal Computer Incident Response Capability (FedCIRC), for which additional information can be found at www.uscert.gov				Data Transmission
b.	Evaluate the threats and, if any, proposed responses	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
C.	Develop responsive updates to the system and/or corrective procedures	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
d.	Submit the proposed response to the test labs and appropriate states for approval, identifying the exact changes and whether or not they are temporary or permanent	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
e.	After implementation of the proposed response is approved by the state, assist clients, either directly or through detailed written procedures, how to update their systems and/or to implement the corrective procedures within the timeframe established by the state	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
f.	Address threats emerging too late to correct the system by:	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
i.	Providing prompt, emergency notification to the accredited test labs and the affected states and user jurisdictions	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
ii.	Assisting client jurisdictions directly or advising them through detailed written procedures to disable the public telecommunications mode of the system	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
iii.	Modifying the system after the election to address the threat, submitting the modified system to an accredited test lab and the EAC or state certification authority for approval, and assisting client jurisdictions directly or advising them through detailed written procedures, to update their systems and/or to implement the corrective procedures after approval	Accept			System does not use Telecommunications and Data Transmission
7.5.4	Shared Operating Environment Ballot recording and vote counting can be performed in either a dedicated or non-dedicated environment. If ballot recording and vote counting operations are performed in an environment that is shared with other data processing functions, both hardware and software features shall be present to protect the integrity of vote counting and of vote data. Systems that use a shared operating environment shall:				
a.	Use security procedures and logging records to control access to system functions	Accept			Not a shared environment
b.	Partition or compartmentalize voting system functions from other concurrent functions at least logically, and preferably physically as well	Accept			Not a shared environment
C.	Control system access by means of passwords, and restrict account access to necessary functions only	Accept			Not a shared environment
d.	Have capabilities in place to control the flow of information, precluding data leakage through shared system resources	Accept			Not a shared environment
7.5.5	Access to Incomplete Election Returns and Interactive Queries If the voting system provides access to incomplete election returns and interactive inquiries before the completion of the official count, the system shall:				
a.	For equipment that operates in a central counting environment, be designed to provide external access to incomplete election returns only if that access for these	Accept			EMS has no external access or query capability.

Page 87 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	purposes is authorized by the statutes and regulations of the using agency. This requirement applies as well to polling place equipment that contains a removable memory module, or that may be removed in its entirety to a central place for the consolidation of polling place returns.				
b.	Design voting system software and its security environment designed such that data accessible to interactive queries resides in an external file or database created and maintained by the elections software under the restrictions applying to any other output report, namely, that: Design voting system software and its security environment designed such that data accessible to interactive queries resides in an external file or database created and maintained by the elections software under the restrictions applying to any other output report, namely, that: The output file or database has no provision for write-access back to the system.	Accept	G1, S		
ii.	Persons whose only authorized access is to the file or database are denied write-access, both to the file or	Accept	G1, S		
	database, and to the system.				
7.6 7.6.1	Use of Public Communications Networks Data Transmission				
	All systems that transmit data over public telecommunications networks shall:				
a.	Preserve the secrecy of voter ballot selections and prevent anyone from violating ballot privacy	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
b.	Employ digital signatures for all communications between the vote server and other devices that communicate with the server over the network	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
C.	Require that at least two authorized election officials activate any critical operation regarding the processing of ballots transmitted over a public communications network, i.e. the passwords or cryptographic keys of at least two employees are required to perform processing of vote	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
7.6.2	Casting Individual Ballots				
7.0.0	Systems designed for transmission of telecommunications over public networks shall meet security standards that address the security risks attendant with the casting of ballots from polling places controlled by election officials using voting devices configured and installed by election officials and/or their vendor or contractor, and using in-person authentication of individual voters.	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
7.6.2. 1	Documentation of Mandatory Security Activities Vendors of voting systems that cast individual ballots over a public telecommunications network shall provide detailed descriptions of:				
a.	All activities mandatory to ensuring effective voting system security to be performed in setting up the system for operation, including testing of security before an election	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
b.	All activities that should be prohibited during voting equipment setup and during the timeframe for voting operations, including both the hours when polls are open and when polls are closed	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
7.6.2.	Ability to Operate During Interruption of Service These systems shall provide the following capabilities to provide resistance to interruptions of telecommunications service that prevent voting devices				

Page 88 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	at the polling place from communicating with external components via telecommunications:				100000
a.	Detect the occurrence of a telecommunications interruption at the polling place and switch to an alternative mode of operation that is not dependent on the connection between polling place voting devices and external system components	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
b.	Provide an alternate mode of operation that includes the functionality of a conventional electronic voting system without losing any single vote	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
C.	Create and preserve an audit trail of every vote cast during the period of interrupted communication and system operation in conventional electronic voting system mode	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
d.	Upon reestablishment of communications, transmit and process votes accumulated while operating in conventional electronic voting system mode with all security safeguards in effect	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
e.	Ensure that all safeguards related to voter identification and authentication are not affected by the procedures employed by the system to counteract potential interruptions of telecommunications capabilities	Accept			System does not use Public Telecommunications Networks
7.7 7.7.1	Wireless Communication Controlling Usage				
a.	If wireless communications are used in a voting system, then the vendor shall supply documentation describing how to use all aspects of wireless communications in a secure manner. This documentation shall include:	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
i.	A complete description of the uses of wireless in the voting system including descriptions of the data elements and signals that are to be carried by the wireless mechanism	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
ii.	A complete description of the vulnerabilities associated with this proposed use of wireless, including vulnerabilities deriving from the insertion, deletion, modification, capture or suppression of wireless messages	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
iii.	A complete description of the techniques used to mitigate the risks associated with the described vulnerabilities including techniques used by the vendor to ensure that wireless cannot send or receive messages other than those situations specified in the documentation. Cryptographic techniques shall be carefully and fully described, including a description of cryptographic key generation, management, use, certification, and destruction	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
iv.	A rationale for the inclusion of wireless in the proposed voting system, based on a careful and complete description of the perceived advantages and disadvantages of using wireless for the documented uses compared to using non-wireless approaches Discussion: In general, convenience is not a sufficiently compelling reason, on its own, to justify the inclusion of wireless communications in a voting system. Convenience must be balanced against the difficulty of working with cryptographic keys.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
b.	The details of all cryptographic protocols used for wireless communications, including the specific features and data, shall be documented.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
C.	The wireless documentation shall be closely reviewed for accuracy, completeness, and correctness.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
d.	There shall be no undocumented use of the wireless capability, nor any use of the wireless capability that is not entirely controlled by an election official. Discussion:	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication

Page 89 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	This can be tested by reviewing all of the software, hardware, and documentation, and by testing the status				
	of wireless activity during all phases of testing.				
e.	If a voting system includes wireless capabilities, then the	Accept			System does not use
	voting system shall be able to accomplish the same function if wireless capabilities are not available due to				Wireless Communication
	an error or no service.				
i.	The vendor shall provide documentation how to	Accept			System does not use
	accomplish these functions when wireless is not available.				Wireless Communication
f.	The system shall be designed and configured so it is not	Accept			System does not use
	vulnerable to a single point of failure using wireless				Wireless Communication
	communications that causes a total loss of any voting				
g.	capabilities. If a voting system includes wireless capabilities, then the	Accept			System does not use
9.	system shall have the ability to turn on the wireless				Wireless Communication
	capability when it is to be used and to turn off the wireless capability when the wireless capability is not in				
	use.				
h.	If a voting system includes wireless capabilities, then the	Accept			System does not use
	system shall not activate the wireless capabilities without confirmation from an elections official.				Wireless Communication
7.7.2	Identifying Usage				
	Since there are a wide variety of wireless technologies				
	(both standard and proprietary) and differing physical properties of wireless signals, it is important to identify				
	some of the characteristics of the wireless technologies				
	used in the voting system.	•			
a.	If a voting system provides wireless communications capabilities, then there shall be a method for determining	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
	the existence of the wireless communications				Wireless Communication
	capabilities.				
b.	If a voting system provides wireless communications capabilities, then there shall be an indication that allows	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
	one to determine when the wireless communications				William Carlotte
_	(such as radio frequencies) capability is active.	A t			Overtone de constitución
C.	The indication shall be visual.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
d.	If a voting system provides wireless communications	Accept			System does not use
	capabilities, then the type of wireless communications				Wireless Communication
	used (such as radio frequencies) shall be identified either via a label or via the voting system				
	documentation.				
7.7.3 a.	Protecting Transmitted Data All information transmitted via wireless communications	Accept			System does not use
a.	shall be encrypted and authenticated—with the exception	Ассері			Wireless Communication
	of wireless T-coil coupling-to protect against				
	eavesdropping and data manipulation including modification, insertion, and deletion.				
i.	The encryption shall be as defined in Federal	Accept			System does not use
	Information Processing Standards (FIPS) 197,	.			Wireless Communication
ii.	"Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)." The cryptographic modules used shall comply with FIPS	Accept		1	System does not use
"-	140-2, Security	νοσρι			Wireless Communication
<u> </u>	Requirements for Cryptographic Modules.	Α		1	
b.	The capability to transmit non-encrypted and non- authenticated information via wireless communications	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
	shall not exist.			<u> </u>	**IIOIO33 OOMIMUIIIOAIION
C.	If audible wireless communication is used, and the	Accept			System does not use
	receiver of the wireless transmission is the human ear, then the information shall not be encrypted. <i>Discussion:</i>				Wireless Communication
	This specifically covers wireless T-Coil coupling for				
	assistive devices used by people who are hard of				
7.7.4	hearing. Protecting the Wireless Path				

Page 90 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	If wireless communications are used, then the following capabilities shall exist in order to mitigate the effects of a denial of service (DoS) attack:				
a.	The voting system shall be able to function properly throughout a DoS attack, since the DoS attack may continue throughout the voting period.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
b.	The voting system shall function properly as if the wireless capability were never available for use.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
C.	Alternative procedures or capabilities shall exist to accomplish the same functions that the wireless communications capability would have done.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
d.	If infrared is being used, the shielding shall be strong enough to prevent escape of the voting system signal, as well as strong enough to prevent infrared saturation jamming. Discussion: Since infrared has the line-of-sight property, securing the wireless path can be accomplished by shielding the path between the communicating devices with an opaque enclosure. However, this is only practical for short distances. This shielding would also help prevent accidental eye damage from the infrared signal.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
7.7.5	Protecting the Voting System Physical security measures to prevent access to a voting system are not possible when using a wireless communications interface because there is no discrete physical communications path that can be secured.				
a.	The security requirements in Subsection 2.1.1 shall be applicable to systems with wireless communications.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
b.	The accuracy requirements in Subsection 2.1.2 shall be applicable to systems with wireless communications.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
C.	The use of wireless communications that may cause impact to the system accuracy through electromagnetic stresses is prohibited.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
d.	The error recovery requirements in Subsection 2.1.3 shall be applicable to systems with wireless communications.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
e.	All wireless communications actions shall be logged.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
i.	The log shall contain at least the following entries: times when the wireless is activated and deactivated, services accessed, identification of device to which data was transmitted to or received from, identification of authorized user, and successful and unsuccessful attempts to access wireless communications or service. Discussion: Other information such as the number of frames or packets transmitted or received at various logical layers may be useful, but is dependent on the wireless technology used.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
f.	Device authentication shall occur before any access to, or services from, the voting system are granted through wireless communications. Discussion: Authentication is an important element to protect the security of wireless communications. Authentication verifies the identity and legitimacy of users, devices, and services.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
i.	User authentication shall be at least level 2 as per NIST Special Publication 800-63 Version 1.0.1, Electronic Authentication Guideline.	Accept			System does not use Wireless Communication
7.8	Independent Verification Systems (See the guidelines for the complete text.)				
7.8.1	Overview (See the guidelines for the complete text.)				
7.8.2	Basic Characteristics of IV Systems An independent verification system produces at least two independent cast vote records of ballot selections via interactions with the voter, such that one record can	Accept			Not an IV System
I	The interdedictio with the votor, such that one record call			1	() () 00000 05 H IN 004 (D)

Page 91 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	be compared against the other to check their equality of content. Discussion: This is the fundamental characteristic of IV systems. The records can be checked against one another to determine whether or not the voter selections are correctly recorded.				
	The voter verifies the content of each cast vote record and either (a) verifies at least one of the records directly or (b) verifies both records indirectly if the records are each under the control of independent processes. Discussion: Direct verification involves using human senses; for example, directly reading a paper record via one's eyesight. Indirect verification involves using an intermediary to perform the verification; for example, verifying an electronic ballot image on the voting machine.	Accept			Not an IV System
	The creation, storage and handling of the cast vote records are sufficiently separate that the failure or compromise of one record does not cause the failure or compromise of another. Discussion: The records must be stored on different media and handled independently of each other so that no one process could compromise all records. If an attack can alter one record, it should still be very difficult to alter the other record.	Accept			Not an IV System
	Both cast vote records are highly resistant to damage or alteration and capable of long-term storage. Discussion: The records should be difficult to alter or damage so that they could be used in case the counted records are damaged or lost.	Accept			Not an IV System
	The processes of verification for the cast vote records do not all depend on the same device, software module, or system for their integrity, and are sufficiently separate that each record provides evidence of the voter's selections independently of its corresponding record. Discussion: For example, the verification of the summary screen (electronic record) of a DRE is sufficiently separate from the verification of a paper record printed by a VVPAT component or a copy of the electronic record stored on a separate system.	Accept			Not an IV System
	The multiple cast vote records are linked to their corresponding audit records by including a unique identifier within each record. Discussion: The identifier serves the purpose of uniquely identifying and linking the records for cross-checking.	Accept			Not an IV System
	Each cast vote record includes information identifying the following: • An identification of the polling place and precinct • Whether the balloting is provisional, early, or on election day • Ballot style • A timestamp generated when the voting machine is enabled to begin a voting session that can be used to correctly group the cast vote records • A unique identifier associated with the voting machine Discussion: The identifier could be a serial number or other unique ID.	Accept			Not an IV System
	The cryptographic software used in IV systems is approved by the U.S. Government's Cryptographic Module Validation Program, as applicable. Discussion: IV voting systems may use cryptographic software for a number of different purposes, including calculating checksums, encrypting records, authentication, generating random numbers, and for digital signatures. This software should be reviewed and approved by the Cryptographic Module	Accept			Not an IV System

Page 92 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	Validation Program (CMVP). There may by cryptographic voting schemes where the cryptographic algorithms used are necessarily different from any algorithms that have approved CMVP implementations, thus CMVP-approved software shall be used where feasible. The CMVP website is http://csrc.nist.gov/cryptval.				
7.9	Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail Requirements This section contains requirements for DREs with a Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT) component. VVPAT capability is not required for national certification. However, these requirements will be applied for certification testing of DRE systems that are intended for use in states that require DREs to provide this capability. The vendor's certification testing application to the EAC must indicate whether the system being presented for testing includes this capability, as provided under Subsection 1.6.2.5 extensions.				
a.	Display and Print a Paper Record. The voting system shall print and display a paper record of the voter ballot selections prior to the voter making his or her selections final by casting the ballot. Discussion: This is the basic requirement for VVPAT capability. It requires the paper record to be created as a distinct representation of the voter ballot selections. It requires the paper record to contain the same information as the electronic record and be suitable for use in verifications of the voting machine's electronic records.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
b.	The paper record shall constitute a complete record of ballot selections that can be used to assess the accuracy of the voting machine's electronic record, to verify the election results, and, if required by state law, in full recounts. Discussion: This requirement exists to make clear that it is possible to use the paper record for checks of the voting machine's accuracy in recording voter ballot selections, as well as usable for election audits (such as mandatory 1% recounts). The paper record shall also be suitable for use in full recounts of the election if required by state law.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
C.	The paper record shall contain all voter selection information stored in the electronic (ballot image) record. Discussion: The electronic ballot image record cannot hide any information related to ballot selections; all information relating to voter selections must be equally present in both records. The electronic record may contain other items that don't necessarily need to be on the paper record, such as digital signature information.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
a.	Approve or Void the Paper Record The voting equipment shall allow the voter to approve or void the paper record. Discussion: There are three possible scenarios regarding the voter's disposition of the paper record: • The voter can verify that the ballot selections displayed on the DRE summary screen and those printed on the paper record are the same. If they are, and the voter is satisfied with these selections, the voter can proceed to cast his or her ballot, thereby approving the paper record. • If the selections match, but the voter wishes to change one or more selections, the paper record must be voided so a new paper record can be created to compare to the new summary screen displayed after the voter changes his or her ballot selections. • In the event the selections do not match between the	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT

Page 93 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	summary screen and the paper record, the voter shall immediately request assistance from a poll worker. A non-match could indicate a potential voting machine or printer malfunction.				100000
b.	The voting equipment shall, in the presence of the voter, mark the paper record as being approved by the voter if the ballot selections are Accept; or voided or if the voter decides to change one or more selections.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
C.	If the records do not match, the voting equipment shall mark and preserve the paper record and shall provide a means to preserve the corresponding electronic record so the source of error or malfunction can be analyzed.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
d.	The voting machine shall not record the electronic record until the paper record has been approved by the voter.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
e.	Vendor documentation shall include procedures to enable the election official to return a voting machine to correct operation after a voter has used it incompletely or incorrectly. This procedure shall not cause discrepancies between the tallies of the electronic and paper records.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
7.9.3	Electronic and Paper Record Structure				
a.	All cryptographic software in the voting system shall be approved by the U.S. Government's Cryptographic Module Validation Program, as applicable. Discussion: Cryptographic software may be used for a number of different purposes, including calculating checksums, encrypting records, authentication, generating random numbers, and digital signatures. This software should be reviewed and approved by the Cryptographic Module Validation Program (CMVP). There may be cryptographic voting schemes where the cryptographic algorithms used are necessarily different from any algorithms that have approved CMVP implementations, thus CMVP approved software should be used where feasible but is not required. The CMVP website is http://csrc.nist.gov/cryptval . The electronic ballot image and paper records shall	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
b.	include information about the election. The voting equipment shall be able to include an identification of the particular election, the voting site and precinct, and the voting machine. Discussion: If the voting site and precinct are different, both should be	Accept Accept			VVPAT DRE does not have a VVPAT
ii.	included. The records shall include information identifying whether the balloting is provisional, early, or on election day, and information that identifies the ballot of the increase.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
iii.	information that identifies the ballot style in use. The records shall include a voting session identifier that is generated when the voting equipment is placed in voting mode, and that can be used to identify the records as being created during that voting session. Discussion: If there are several voting sessions on the same voting machine on the same day, the voting session identifiers must be different. They should be generated from a random number generator.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
c.	The electronic ballot image and paper records shall be linked by including a unique identifier within each record that can be used to identify each record uniquely and each record's corresponding record. Discussion: The identifier serves the purpose of uniquely identifying and linking the records for cross-checking.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
d.	The voting machine should generate and store a digital signature for each electronic record.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
e.	The electronic ballot image records shall be able to be	Accept			DRE does not have a

Page 94 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	exported for auditing or analysis on standards-based and /or COTS information technology computing platforms.				VVPAT
i.	The exported electronic ballot image records shall be in a publicly available, non-proprietary format. Discussion: It is advantageous when all electronic records, regardless of manufacturer, use the same format or can easily be converted to a publicly available, non-proprietary format; for example, the OASIS Election Markup Language (EML) Standard.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
ii.	The records should be exported with a digital signature, which shall be calculated on the entire set of electronic records and their associated digital signatures. Discussion: This is necessary to determine if records are missing or substituted.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
iii.	The voting system vendor shall provide documentation as to the structure of the exported ballot image records and how they shall be read and processed by software.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
iv.	The voting system vendor shall provide a software program that will display the exported ballot image records and that may include other capabilities such as providing vote tallies and indications of undervotes.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
V.	The voting system vendor shall provide full documentation of procedures for exporting electronic ballot image records and reconciling those records with the paper audit records.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
f.	The paper record should be created in a format that may be made available across different manufacturers of electronic voting systems. Discussion: There may be a future requirement for some commonality in the format of paper records.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
g,	The paper record shall be created such that its contents are machine readable. Discussion: This can be done by using specific OCR fonts or barcodes.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
i.	The paper record shall contain error correcting codes for the purpose of detecting read errors and for preventing other markings on the paper record from being misinterpreted when machine reading the paper record. Discussion: This requirement is not mandatory if a state prohibits the paper record from containing any information that cannot be read and understood by the voter. This requirement serves the purpose of detecting scanning errors and preventing stray or deliberate markings on the paper from being interpreted as valid data.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
h.	If barcode is used, the voting equipment shall be able to print a barcode with each paper record that contains the human-readable contents of the paper record. Discussion: This requirement is not mandatory if a state prohibits the paper record from containing any information that cannot be read and understood by the voter.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
i.	The barcode shall use an industry standard format and shall be able to be read using readily available commercial technology. <i>Discussion: Examples of such codes are Maxi Code or PDF417.</i>	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
ii.	If the corresponding electronic record contains a digital signature, the digital signature shall be included in the barcode on the paper record.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
iii.	The barcode shall not contain any information other than the paper record's human-readable content, error correcting codes, and digital signature information.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
7.9.4	Equipment Security and Reliability	A 1			DDE desertion
a.	The voting machine shall provide a standard, publicly documented printer port (or the equivalent) using a	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT

Page 95 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	standard communication protocol. <i>Discussion: Using a standard, publicly documented printer protocol assists in security evaluations of system software.</i>				
b.	Tamper-evident seals or physical security measures shall protect the connection between the printer and the voting machine.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
C.	If the connection between the voting machine and the printer has been broken, the voting machine shall detect this event and record it in the DRE internal audit log.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
d.	The paper path between the printing, viewing and storage of the paper record shall be protected and sealed from access except by authorized election officials.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
e.	The printer shall not be permitted to communicate with any system or machine other than the voting machine to which it is connected.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
f.	The printer shall only be able to function as a printer; it shall not contain any other services (e.g., provide copier or fax functions) or network capability.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
g.	The voting machine shall detect errors and malfunctions such as paper jams or low supplies of consumables such as paper and ink that may prevent paper records from being correctly displayed, printed or stored. Discussion: This could be accomplished in a variety of different ways; for example, a printer that is out of paper or jammed could issue a different audible alarm for each condition.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
h.	If an error or malfunction occurs, the voting machine shall suspend voting operations and should present a clear indication to the voter and election officials of the malfunction.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
i.	The voting machine shall not record votes if an error or malfunction occurs.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
j.	Printing devices should contain sufficient supplies of paper and ink to avoid reloading or opening equipment covers or enclosures and thus potential circumvention of security features; or be able to reload paper and ink with minimal disruption to voting and without circumvention of security features such as seals.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
k.	Vendor documentation shall include procedures for investigating and resolving printer malfunctions including, but not limited to; printer operations, misreporting of votes, unreadable paper records, and power failures.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
I.	Vendor documentation shall include printer reliability specifications including Mean Time Between Failure estimates, and shall include recommendations for appropriate quantities of backup printers and supplies.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
	Protective coverings intended to be transparent on voting equipment shall be maintainable via a predefined cleaning process. If the coverings become damaged such that they obscure the paper record, they shall be replaceable.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
	n. The paper record shall be sturdy, clean, and of sufficient durability to be used for verifications, reconciliations, and recounts conducted manually or by automated processing.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
7.9.5	Preserving Voter Privacy VVPAT records can be printed and stored by two different methods: • Printed and stored on a continuous spool-to-spool paper roll where the voter views the paper record in a window • Printed on separate pieces of paper, which are deposited in a secure Receptacle. If a requirement				

Page 96 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements	Test	Test	Function	Comments &
	EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Result	Case	Exercised	References to Test Issues
	applies to only one method, that will be specified. Otherwise, the requirement applies to both.				
a.	Voter privacy shall be preserved during the process of recording, verifying and auditing his or her ballot selections. Discussion: The privacy requirements from Section 3 also apply to voting equipment with VVPAT.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
b.	When a VVPAT with a spool-to-spool continuous paper record is used, a means shall be provided to preserve the secrecy of the paper record of voter selections.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
C.	When a VVPAT with a spool-to-spool continuous paper record is used, no record shall be maintained of which voters used which voting machine or the order in which they voted.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
d.	The electronic and paper records shall be created and stored in ways that preserve the privacy of the voter. Discussion: For VVPAT systems that use separate pieces of paper for the record, this can be accomplished in various ways including shuffling the order of the records or other methods to separate the order of stored records.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
e.	The privacy of voters whose paper records contain an alternative language shall be maintained.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
f.	Unique identifiers shall not be displayed in a way that is easily memorable by the voter. Discussion: Unique identifiers on the paper record are displayed or formatted in such a way that they are not memorable to voters, such as by obscuring them in other characters.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
g.	Both paper rolls and paper record secure receptacles shall be controlled, protected, and preserved with the same security as a ballot box.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
7.9.6	VVPAT Usability				
a.	All usability requirements from Subsection 3.1 shall apply to voting machines with VVPAT. Discussion: The requirements in this section are in addition to those in Subsection 3.1.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
b.	The voting equipment shall be capable of showing the information on the paper in a font size of at least 3.0 mm and should be capable of showing the information in at least two font ranges; 3.0-4.0 mm, and 6.3-9.0 mm, under control of the voter or poll worker. Discussion: In keeping with requirements in Subsection 3.1, the paper record should use the same font sizes as displayed by the voting machine, but at least be capable of 3.0 mm. While larger font sizes may assist voters with poor vision, certain disabilities such as tunnel vision are best addressed by smaller font sizes.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
C.	The voting equipment shall display, print and store the paper record in any of the written alternative languages chosen for the ballot.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
i.	To assist with manual auditing, candidate names on the paper record shall be presented in the same language as used on the DRE summary screen.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
ii,	Information on the paper record not needed by the voter to perform verification shall be in English. Discussion: In addition to the voter ballot selections, the marking of the paper record as Accept or void, and the indication of the ballot page number need to be printed in the alternative language. Other information, such as precinct and election identifiers, shall be in English to facilitate use of the paper record for auditing.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
d.	The paper and electronic records shall be presented to allow the voter to read and compare the records without the voter having to shift his or her position.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
e.	If the paper record cannot be displayed in its entirety on a single page, a means shall be provided to allow the	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT

Page 97 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Certification Test Requirements EAC Voluntary Voting System Guidelines 2005	Test Result	Test Case	Function Exercised	Comments & References to Test Issues
	voter to view the entire record. Discussion: Possible solutions include scrolling the paper or printing a new sheet of paper. The voter should be notified if it is not possible to scroll in reverse, so they will know to complete verification in one pass.				
f.	If the paper record cannot be displayed in its entirety on a single page, each page of the record shall be numbered and shall include the total count of pages for the record. Discussion: Possible numbering schemes include "Page X of Y."	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
g.	The instructions for performing the verification process shall be made available to the voter in a location on the voting machine. Discussion: All instructions must meet the usability requirements contained in Subsection 3.1	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT
7.9.7	VVPAT Accessibility				
a.	All accessibility requirements from Subsection 3.2 shall apply to voting machines with VVPAT.	Accept			DRE does not have a VVPAT

Page 98 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.2 Appendix B: PCA Source Code Review

7.2.1 Infinity 4.0 C and Visual Basic 6.0 Source Code Review Data

Voting System:Election Management SystemReport Date:6/4/2008Component:InfinityVersion:4.00Programming Language:C (Borland C++ 5.02 compiler)

<u>VVSG</u>	Requirement	<u>Definition</u>	
Vol. 1 Section	on 5.2.2-Software Integrity		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 5.2.2	Self-modifying code	Self-modifying, dynamically loaded, or modification of compiled	0
		or interpreted code is prohibited	
	on 5.2.3- Software Modular		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 5.2.3.a	Specific function	Module performs a specific function	0
v.1: 5.2.3.b	Module has unique	Uniquely and mnemonically named using names that differ by	1
	name	more than a single character	
v.1: 5.2.3.b	Module has header	Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs,	132
5.2.7 (a,		files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules	
a.1-a.6)		greater than 10 lines)	
		Header comments shall provide the following information:	
		1) The purpose of the unit and how it works;	
		2) Other units called and the calling sequence	
		A description of input parameters and outputs	
		File references by name and method of access Clabel variables used.	
		5) Global variables used	
v.1. F.0.0 c	Required resources	6) Date of creation and a revision record	1
v.1: 5.2.3.c	Required resources	All required resources, such as data accessed by the module,	1
		should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified	
v.1: 5.2.3.e	Single Entry Point	Module has a single entry point	0
v.1: 5.2.3.e	Single Exit Point	Module has a single entry point Module has a single exit point	1
v.1: 5.2.3.f	Control structures	Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature	0
V. I. 5.2.3.I	Control structures	where program control passes from one activity to the next.	U
Vol 1 Soction	on 5.2.4-Control Constructs		Instances
v.1: 5.2.4.a	Acceptable Constructs	Acceptable constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While,	0
v. i. 5.2.4.a	Acceptable Constructs	Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case	U
		for loop);	
v.1: 5.2.4.b	Vendor Defined	If the programming language used does not provide these	0
V. 1. J.Z. 4 .D	Constructs with	control constructs, the vendor shall provide them (that is,	O
	Justification	comparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used	
	- Custinisation	consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be	
		used to control program logic and execution	
v.1: 5.2.4.c	Execution through	While some programming languages do not create programs as	0
0.20	Control Constructs	linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through	Ü
		changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless	
		contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented	
		languages). Even in these programming languages, the	
		procedures must execute through these control constructs.	
v.1: 5.2.4.d	Program re-direction	Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct	0
		program control	
	on 5.2.5-Naming Conventio		<u>Instances</u>
v1: 5.2.5.a	Name Readability	Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent	2
		their use.	
v.1: 5.2.5.b	Class, function and	Consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an	0
5.2.5.c	variable names	application and differ by more than a single character.	
v.1: 5.2.5.d	Keyword	Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions,	0
W. J. J. C.	5000 "	procedures, or variables	
	on 5.2.6-Coding Convention		<u>Instances</u>
v.2: 5.4.2.a	Uniform calling	Uses uniform calling sequences.	2
0.5.10	sequences	All a little lit	
v.2: 5.4.2.a	Parameters type and	All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on	0
	range validation	entry into each unit or the unit comments shall explicitly identify	
0.5.4.2.1	Familials	the types and ranges	
v.2: 5.4.2.b	Explicit return values	The return is explicitly defined for functions and explicitly	0
		assigned	
age 99 of 210		(\)	12008-25JUN-00°

Page 99 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

<u>VVSG</u>	Requirement	<u>Definition</u>	
v.2: 5.4.2.c	Macros	Does not use macros that contain returns or pass control beyond	0
		the next statement	
v.2: 5.4.2.d	Unbound arrays	Provides controls to prevent writing beyond the array, string, or	25
	-	buffer boundaries	
v.2: 5.4.2.e	Pointers	Provides controls that prevent pointers from being used to	0
		overwrite executable instructions or to access areas where vote	
		counts or audit records are stored	
v.2: 5.4.2.f	Case statements	Default choice explicitly defined	0
v.2: 5.4.2.g	Vote counter	Provides controls to prevent any vote counter from overflowing	0
	overflowing		
v.2: 5.4.2.h	Indentation	Code is indented consistently and clearly	2
v.2: 5.4.2.j	Code generator	Generated code should be marked as such with comments	0
		defining the logic invoked	
v.2: 5.4.2.k	Line length	No line of code exceeding 80 columns in width without	37
		justification	
v.2: 5.4.2.l	Executable statement	One executable statement for each line of source code	8
v.2:	Embedded executable	The single embedded statement may be considered a part of the	0
5.4.2.m	statement	conditional expression. Any additional executable statements	
		should be split out to the other lines.	
v.2: 5.4.2.n	Mixed-mode operations	Avoids mixed-mode operations. Comment if mixed-mode usage	10
	-	is necessary.	
v.2: 5.4.2.o	Exit() message	Upon exit() at any point, presents a message to the user	0
		indicating the reason for the exit ().	
v.2: 5.4.2.p	Format of messages	Separate and consistent formats to distinguish between normal	21
		status and error or exception messages	
v.2: 5.4.2.q	References variables	References variables by fewer than five levels of indirection (i.e.	0
		a.b.c.d or a[b].c->d)	
v.2: 5.4.2.r	Levels of indented	Functions with fewer than six levels of indented scope	3
	scope		
v.2: 5.4.2.s	Variable initialization	Initializes every variable upon declaration where permitted.	0
v.2: 5.4.2.t	Constant Definitions	All constants other than "0" and "1" defined or enumerated	82
v.2: 5.4.2.u	Ternary Operator	Only contains the minimum implementation of the "a = b ? c : d"	0
	·	syntax. Expansions such as "j=a?(b?c:d):e;" are prohibited.	
v.2: 5.4.2.v	Assert() statement	All assert() statements coded such that they are absent from a	0
		production compilation	
	on 5.2.7 –Comment Conver		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 5.2.7.b	Variables	All variables shall have comments at the point of declaration	2
v.1: 5.2.7.c	In-Line Comments	In-line comments shall be provided to facilitate interpretation of	26
		functional operations, tests, and branching	
v.1: 5.2.7.d	Assembly code	Assembly code shall contain descriptive and informative	0
		comments	
v.1: 5.2.7.e	Comments in uniform	All comments formatted in a uniform manner	0
	format		
	on 7.4.2 –Protection Agains		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 7.4.2	Malicious Software	Susceptibility to file or macro viruses, worms, Trojan horses,	2
		logic bombs, or hardcoded passwords	

Software related source code discrepancy detail is in the Addendum to Appendix B.

File Function Line Counts

v.1: 5.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.i	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding240 lines without justification.	< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
Infinity	4.00	C (Borland C++ 5.02 compiler)	483 81.04%	74 12.42%	25 4.19%	14 2.35%	596 100.00%

Page 100 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.2.2 EMS 4.0 VB.Net Source Code Review Data

Voting System: Component: Programming Language: Election Management System Report Date: 9/10/2008 Version: 4.0.21.0

VB.Net

VVSG	Requirement	Definition	
	on 5.2.2-Software Integrity		Instances
v.1: 5.2.2	Self-modifying code	Self-modifying, dynamically loaded, or modification of compiled	0
		or interpreted code is prohibited	_
	on 5.2.3- Software Modular		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 5.2.3.a	Specific function	Module performs a specific function	2
v.1: 5.2.3.b	Module has unique name	Uniquely and mnemonically named using names that differ by more than a single character	0
v.1: 5.2.3.b	Module has header	Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs,	189
5.2.7 (a,	module has header	files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules	103
a.1-a.6)		greater than 10 lines)	
		Header comments shall provide the following information:	
		1) The purpose of the unit and how it works;	
		Other units called and the calling sequence	
		A description of input parameters and outputs	
		File references by name and method of access Global variables used	
		6) Date of creation and a revision record	
v.1: 5.2.3.c	Required resources	All required resources, such as data accessed by the module,	2
5.2.010		should either be contained within the module or explicitly	-
		identified	
v.1: 5.2.3.e	Single Entry Point	Module has a single entry point	1
v.1: 5.2.3.e	Single Exit Point	Module has a single exit point	38
v.1: 5.2.3.f	Control structures	Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature	1
V-1 4 0		where program control passes from one activity to the next.	
	on 5.2.4-Control Construct		Instances
v.1: 5.2.4.a	Acceptable Constructs	Acceptable constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While, Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case	8
		for loop);	
v.1:	Vendor Defined	If the programming language used does not provide these	0
5.2.4.a.i	Constructs with	control constructs, the vendor shall provide them (that is,	-
	Justification	comparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used	
		consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be	
		used to control program logic and execution	
v.1:	Execution through	While some programming languages do not create programs as	1
5.2.4.a.ii	Control Constructs	linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless	
		contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented	
		languages). Even in these programming languages, the	
		procedures must execute through these control constructs.	
v.1:	Program re-direction	Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct	0
5.2.4.a.iii	n F O F Nameira o	program control	lu a t a sa
	on 5.2.5-Naming Convention Name Readability		Instances 5
v1: 5.2.5.a	Name Readability	Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table.	5
v.1: 5.2.5.b	Class, function and	Consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an	396
5.2.5.c	variable names	application and differ by more than a single character. See	000
- *		Naming and Other Conventions table.	
v.1: 5.2.5.d	Keyword	Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions,	1
		procedures, or variables	
	on 5.2.6-Coding Convention		Instances
v.2: 5.4.2.a	Uniform calling sequences	Uses uniform calling sequences.	1
v.2: 5.4.2.a	Parameters type and	All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on	18
v.∠. J.¬.∠.a	range validation	entry into each unit or the unit comments shall explicitly identify	10
	9	the types and ranges	
v.2: 5.4.2.b	Explicit return values	The return is explicitly defined for functions and explicitly	18
		assigned	
v.2: 5.4.2.c	Macros	Does not use macros that contain returns or pass control beyond	0
ogo 101 of 01			\2000 2E II INI 00

Page 101 of 210

VVSG	Requirement	Definition	
		the next statement	
v.2: 5.4.2.d	Unbound arrays	Provides controls to prevent writing beyond the array, string, or	31
	_	buffer boundaries	
v.2: 5.4.2.e	Pointers	Provides controls that prevent pointers from being used to	0
		overwrite executable instructions or to access areas where vote	
		counts or audit records are stored	
v.2: 5.4.2.f	Case statements	Default choice explicitly defined	2
v.2: 5.4.2.g	Vote counter	Provides controls to prevent any vote counter from overflowing	3
	overflowing		
v.2: 5.4.2.h	Indentation	Code is indented consistently and clearly	16
v.2: 5.4.2.j	Code generator	Generated code should be marked as such with comments	1
_	_	defining the logic invoked	
v.2: 5.4.2.k	Line length	No line of code exceeding 80 columns in width without	12
	_	justification	
v.2: 5.4.2.l	Executable statement	One executable statement for each line of source code	0
v.2:	Embedded executable	The single embedded statement may be considered a part of the	0
5.4.2.m	statement	conditional expression. Any additional executable statements	
		should be split out to the other lines.	
v.2: 5.4.2.n	Mixed-mode operations	Avoids mixed-mode operations. Comment if mixed-mode usage	10
		is necessary.	
v.2: 5.4.2.o	Exit() message	Upon exit() at any point, presents a message to the user	1
		indicating the reason for the exit ().	
v.2: 5.4.2.p	Format of messages	Separate and consistent formats to distinguish between normal	82
		status and error or exception messages	
v.2: 5.4.2.q	References variables	References variables by fewer than five levels of indirection (i.e.	127
		a.b.c.d or a[b].c->d)	
v.2: 5.4.2.r	Levels of indented	Functions with fewer than six levels of indented scope	0
	scope		
v.2: 5.4.2.s	Variable initialization	Initializes every variable upon declaration where permitted.	0
v.2: 5.4.2.t	Constant Definitions	All constants other than "0" and "1" defined or enumerated	66
v.2: 5.4.2.u	Ternary Operator	Only contains the minimum implementation of the "a = b ? c : d"	0
		syntax. Expansions such as "j=a?(b?c:d):e;" are prohibited.	
v.2: 5.4.2.v	Assert() statement	All assert() statements coded such that they are absent from a	0
		production compilation	
	on 5.2.7 –Comment Conver		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 5.2.7.b	Variables	All variables shall have comments at the point of declaration	22
v.1: 5.2.7.c	In-Line Comments	In-line comments shall be provided to facilitate interpretation of	45
		functional operations, tests, and branching	
v.1: 5.2.7.d	Assembly code	Assembly code shall contain descriptive and informative	0
		comments	
v.1: 5.2.7.e	Comments in uniform	All comments formatted in a uniform manner	3
	format		
	on 7.4.2 –Protection Agains		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 7.4.2	Malicious Software	Susceptibility to file or macro viruses, worms, Trojan horses,	5
		logic bombs, or hardcoded passwords	

Software related source code discrepancy detail is in the Addendum to Appendix B.

File Function Line Counts

v.1: 5.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.i	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none is exceeds 240 lines without justification.	< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
EMS	4.00 (4.0.21.0 minor)	VB.Net	1859 94.08%	80 4.05%	23 1.16%	14 0.71%	1976 100.00%

Page 102 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.2.3 EMS 4.0 SQL Source Code Review Data

Voting System: Component: Programming Language: Report Date: Version: Election Management System EMS 9/23/2008 4.0.21.0

SQL

Self-modifying code Self-modifying dynamically loaded, or modification of compiled of interpreted code is prohibited or interpreted code is prohibited Ox1.5.2.3.a Specific function Module has unique name Ox1.5.2.3.b Module has unique name Ox1.5.2.3.b Module has header Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs, files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules greater than 10 lines) Header comments shall provide the following information: 1) The purpose of the unit and how it works; 2) Other units called and the calling sequence Ox1.5.2.3.c Single Extra Point Module has a single entry point Ox1.5.2.3.e Ox1.5.2.4.e Ox1.5.2.3.e Ox1.5.2.4.e Ox1.5.2.3.e Ox1.5.2.4.e O	<u>VVSG</u>	Requirement	<u>Definition</u>	
Vol. 1 Section 5.2.3 Software Modularity and Programming				<u>Instances</u>
Module has unique name Module has header Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs, files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules greater than 10 lines) Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs, files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules greater than 10 lines) Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs, files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules greater than 10 lines) Header comments shall provide the following information: 1) The purpose of the unit and how it works; 2 Other units called and the calling sequence 3) A description of input parameters and outputs 4 File references by name and method of access 5) Global variables used 6 Date of creation and a revision record Date of creation and a revision record All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified V.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single exit point 11 V.1: 5.2.3.e Single Exit Point Module has a single exit point 11 Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. V.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs Acceptable constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While, Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case for loop); V.1: 5.2.4.a Vendor Defined If the programming language used does not provide these control constructs with Justification V.1: because V.1	v.1: 5.2.2	Self-modifying code		0
V-1: 5.2.3.b Module has unique name Uniquely and mnemonically named using names that differ by more than a single character				<u>Instances</u>
Note				
files read or written, globals, revision records (for modules greater than 10 lines) Header comments shall provide the following information: 1) The purpose of the unit and how it works: 2) Other units called and the calling sequence 3) A description of input parameters and outputs 4) File references by name and method of access 5) Global variables used 6) Date of creation and a revision record All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point v.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language of feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. v.1: 5.2.4.a.i Vendor Defined Constructs V.1: 5.2.4.a.ii Vendor Defined Constructs with Justification V.1: Constructs with Justification V.1: Execution through Control Constructs V.1: Formal Program redirection V.1: Program re-direction V.1: S.2.4.a.ii V.1: Program re-direction V.1: S.2.5.a Name Readability V.1: S.2.5.b Class, function and spile of the second of the second or very program control to structure folicy in the programming languages contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, to a conclusion, the program comprends on the program control or very program control very		name	more than a single character	0
greater than 10 lines) Header comments shall provide the following information: 1) The purpose of the unit and how it works; 2) Other units called and the calling sequence 3 A description of input parameters and outputs 4) File references by name and method of access 5) Global variables used 6) Date of creation and a revision record All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point 0 to v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Exit Point Module has a single exit point v.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. Vol. 1 Section 5.2.4-Control Constructs V.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs Acceptable Constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While, Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case for loop); If the programming language used does not provide these control constructs with Justification V.1: Execution through 5.2.4.a.ii Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Vaming Conventions V.1: Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions Vol. 2 Section 5.2.5-Coding Conventions Vol. 3 Section 5.2.5-Coding Conventions Vol. 5 Section 5.2.5-Coding Conventions Vol. 5 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 7 S		Module has header	Header describes purpose, other units needed, inputs, outputs,	134
Header comments shall provide the following information: 1) The purpose of the unit and how it works; 2) Other units called and the calling sequence 3) A description of input parameters and outputs 4) File references by name and method of access 5) Global variables used 6) Date of creation and a revision record v.1: 5.2.3.c Required resources				
1) The purpose of the unit and how it works; 2) Other units called and the calling sequence 3) A description of input parameters and outputs 4) File references by name and method of access 5) Global variables used 6) Date of creation and a revision record v.1: 5.2.3.c Required resources All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point v.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. v.1: 5.2.4.a Control Constructs V.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs V.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs V.1: 5.2.4.a Constructs with Justification V.1: 5.2.4.a Constructs with Justification V.1: Constructs with Justification V.1: Constructs with Justification V.1: Control Constructs V.2: Control Co	a.1-a.6)			
2) Other units called and the calling sequence 3) A description of input parameters and outputs 4) File references by name and method of access 5) Global variables used 6) Date of creation and a revision record V.1: 5.2.3.c Required resources All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified V.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point V.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language of feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. Vol. 1 Section 5.2.4-Control Constructs V.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs Acceptable constructs and the General loop (including the special case for loop); V.1: 5.2.4.a Vendor Defined Constructs with control constructs, the vendor shall provide them (that is, omparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution contentless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: 5.2.4.a.iii Program re-direction through Control Constructs Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names V.1: 5.2.5.c Keyword Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences. V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters shall e interes shall either be validated for type and range on 0 o				
3.) A description of input parameters and outputs 4.) File references by name and method of access 5.) Global variables used 6.) Date of creation and a revision record v.1: 5.2.3.c Required resources All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point v.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. v.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs V.1: 5.2.4.a Vendor Defined Constructs with Justification V.1: 5.2.4.a.ii V.1: 5.2.4.a.ii Program re-direction V.1: 5.2.5.b Amain Readability Names shall be used constoud and shall not re-direct program control conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.c V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names V.2: 5.2.5.c V.3. Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables V.3. Constructs spean V.4. Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.5. Securior All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on V.2: 5.4.2.a V.3. All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on V.4. Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.5. Securior All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on V.5. Securior All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on V.5. Securior 4.1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.5. Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventio				
Society Soci			A description of input parameters and outputs	
v.1: 5.2.3.c Required resources All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point 11 v.1: 5.2.3.e Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. Vol. 1 Section 5.2.4-Control Constructs v.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs Acceptable constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While, Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case for loop); v.1: Vendor Defined Constructs ontrol Constructs ontrol Constructs in Structure logic). The constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution while some programming languages do not create programs as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. v.1: Program re-direction Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions v.1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. v.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names v.1: 5.2.5.c Veyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables v.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences. v.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0				
V.1: 5.2.3.c Required resources All required resources, such as data accessed by the module, should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified 0 V.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point 0 V.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. 0 Vol. 1 Section 5.2.4-Control Constructs Acceptable Constructs Instances v.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs Instances v.1: 5.2.4.a.i Vendor Defined Constructs with Justification If the programming language used does not provide these control constructs, the vendor shall provide them (that is, comparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution 0 v.1: 5.2.4.a.ii Execution through Control Constructs While some programming languages do not create programs as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. 0 v.1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table.			,	
Should either be contained within the module or explicitly identified V.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point 0 11	v 1· 5 2 3 c	Required resources		0
identified v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Entry Point Module has a single entry point 11 v.1: 5.2.3.e Single Exit Point Module has a single exit point 11 v.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next. v.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs Acceptable constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While, Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case for loop); v.1: Vendor Defined Constructs with Justification If the programming language used does not provide these control constructs, the vendor shall provide them (that is, comparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution v.1: Execution through Control Constructs While some programming languages do not create programs 0 sa linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. v.1: Program re-direction Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control v.1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. v.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names Validor and control structs	V.1. 0.2.0.0	required resources		
V.1: 5.2.3.e Single Exit Point Module has a single exit point 11				
V.1: 5.2.3.f Control structures Support the modular concept and apply to any language feature where program control passes from one activity to the next.				
Feature where program control passes from one activity to the next.				
Next. Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. Se. 2.5.2.5.2.5.2.5.2.5.2.5.2.5.2.5.2.5.2.	v.1: 5.2.3.f	Control structures		0
Vol. 1 Section 5.2.4-Control Constructs				
V.1: 5.2.4.a Acceptable Constructs Acceptable constructs are Sequence, If-Then-Else, Do-While, Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special case for loop);	Vol. 1 Section	on 5.2.4-Control Construc		Instances
v.1: Vendor Defined Constructs with Justification Comparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution v.1: Execution through Control Constructs S.2.4.a.ii Program re-direction Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V.1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. v.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 2 S.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on O consistent names on the provide them (that is, control constructs shall be used to control constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution Vhile program logic and execution While some programming languages, stepping from an initial condition, through changes on the program components on the program components on the program components on the program components on the program control such as "methods" in object-oriented languages, the procedures was languages, the procedures was sleeping from an initial condition, through changes on the program control such as "methods" in object-oriented languages, the procedures was execute through these control constructs V.1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and papilication and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions tabl				
V.1: Vendor Defined Constructs with Justification V.1: Execution through Control Constructs S.2.4.a.ii V.1: Execution through Control Constructs S.2.4.a.iii V.1: Execution through Control Constructs Shall be used to control program logic and execution V.1: Execution through Control Constructs Shall be used to control program logic and execution V.1: Forgram re-direction S.2.4.a.iii V.1: Program re-direction Sucception the expectation or in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names V.1: 5.2.5.c V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables V.2: 5.4.2.a V.3: V.4: Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.4: Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.5: 5.4.2.a V.6: V.7: Searner called and the programming languages do not create programs as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes do not create programs on initial condition, through changes do not create programs as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes as members of objects on the program components monetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages, the procedures was execute through these control constructs. V.1: Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V.2: 5.4.2.a V.1: 5.2.5.b V.2: 5.4.2.a V.2: 5.4.2.a V.3: V.4: V.4: V.4: V.4: V.4: V.4: V.4: V.4		-	Do-Until, Case, and the General loop (including the special	
Constructs with Justification Constructs with Justification Comparable control structure logic). The constructs shall be used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution V.1: Execution through Control Constructs While some programming languages do not create programs as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: Program re-direction 5.2.4.a.iii Conjugate a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V.1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.d V.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform callin				_
V.1: Execution through While some programming languages do not create programs O as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the programming languages, the procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs.				0
used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs shall be used to control program logic and execution V.1: Execution through 5.2.4.a.ii Control Constructs While some programming languages do not create programs a linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: Program re-direction Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 2 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Uses uniform calling sequences. V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on	5.2.4.a.i			
Shall be used to control program logic and execution			used consistently throughout the code. No other constructs	
as linear processes, stepping from an initial condition, through changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object-oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: V.1: Program re-direction 5.2.4.a.iii Program re-direction Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names Consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling Sequences V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0				
changes, to a conclusion, the program components nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object- oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: 5.2.4.a.iii Program re-direction 5.2.5-Naming Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names Variable names Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 2 See Naming and Other Conventions table. Vol. 3 See Naming and Other Conventions table. Vol. 4 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on O				0
nonetheless contain procedures (such as "methods" in object- oriented languages). Even in these programming languages, the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: 5.2.4.a.iii Program re-direction 5.2.5-Naming Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on O Distances Instances V.3: 5.4.2.a V.4: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on O O O O O O O O O O O O O	5.2.4.a.ıı	Control Constructs		
v.1: Program re-direction Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names Application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0				
the procedures must execute through these control constructs. V.1: Program re-direction Logic that evaluates received or stored data shall not re-direct program control Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming Conventions V1: 5.2.5.a Name Readability Names shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names Polication and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0				
Description				
Vol. 1 Section 5.2.5-Naming ConventionsInstancesv1: 5.2.5.aName ReadabilityNames shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table.6v.1: 5.2.5.bClass, function and variable namesConsistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table.5v.1: 5.2.5.dKeywordKeywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables0Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding ConventionsUses uniform calling sequences.Instancesv.2: 5.4.2.aUniform calling sequencesUses uniform calling sequences.0		Program re-direction	•	0
v1: 5.2.5.aName ReadabilityNames shall be selected so that their parts of speech represent their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table.6v.1: 5.2.5.bClass, function and variable namesConsistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table.5v.1: 5.2.5.dKeywordKeywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables0Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding ConventionsInstancesv.2: 5.4.2.aUniform calling sequences.0v.2: 5.4.2.aParameters type andAll parameters shall either be validated for type and range on0		on 5 2 5-Naming Conventi	11 0	Instances
their use. See Naming and Other Conventions table. v.1: 5.2.5.b Class, function and variable names variable names v.1: 5.2.5.c V.1: 5.2.5.d V.1: 5.2.5.d V.2: 5.4.2.a Class, function and consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table. Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions V.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences. V.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0				
v.1: 5.2.5.bClass, function and variable namesConsistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an application and differ by more than a single character. See Naming and Other Conventions table.v.1: 5.2.5.dKeywordKeywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables0Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding ConventionsInstancesv.2: 5.4.2.aUniform calling sequences.0v.2: 5.4.2.aParameters type andAll parameters shall either be validated for type and range on0				
Naming and Other Conventions table. V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword			Consistent names are used. Names shall be unique within an	5
V.1: 5.2.5.d Keyword Keywords shall not be used as names of objects, functions, procedures, or variables 0 Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Instances v.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences. 0 v.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0	5.2.5.c	variable names		
Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Instances v.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences. 0 v.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0	v 1· 5 2 5 d	Keyword		0
Vol. 1 Section 5.2.6-Coding Conventions Instances v.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences. 0 v.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0	v. i. 5.2.5.u	IX- yworu		
v.2: 5.4.2.a Uniform calling sequences. 0 sequences v.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0	Vol. 1 Section	on 5.2.6-Coding Convention		<u>Instances</u>
v.2: 5.4.2.a Parameters type and All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on 0		Uniform calling		
	v 2· 5 / 2 a		All parameters shall either be validated for type and range on	0
I range validation I entry into each unit or the unit comments shall exdicitly identify I	v.2. 0.7.2.a	range validation	entry into each unit or the unit comments shall explicitly identify	
the types and ranges			the types and ranges	
v.2: 5.4.2.b Explicit return values The return is explicitly defined for functions and explicitly 0	v.2: 5.4.2.b	Explicit return values	The return is explicitly defined for functions and explicitly	0
v.2: 5.4.2.c Macros Does not use macros that contain returns or pass control 0	v 2· 5 1 2 c	Macros		0
			1 2000 Hot use macros that contain returns of pass control	(V)2008-25JUN-001

VVSG	Requirement	<u>Definition</u>	
		beyond the next statement	
v.2: 5.4.2.d	Unbound arrays	Provides controls to prevent writing beyond the array, string, or	0
		buffer boundaries	
v.2: 5.4.2.e	Pointers	Provides controls that prevent pointers from being used to	0
		overwrite executable instructions or to access areas where	
		vote counts or audit records are stored	
v.2: 5.4.2.f	Case statements	Default choice explicitly defined	1
v.2: 5.4.2.g	Vote counter	Provides controls to prevent any vote counter from overflowing	0
	overflowing		
v.2: 5.4.2.h	Indentation	Code is indented consistently and clearly	33
v.2: 5.4.2.j	Code generator	Generated code should be marked as such with comments	0
		defining the logic invoked	
v.2: 5.4.2.k	Line length	No line of code exceeding 80 columns in width without	47
		justification	
v.2: 5.4.2.l	Executable statement	One executable statement for each line of source code	0
v.2:	Embedded executable	The single embedded statement may be considered a part of	0
5.4.2.m	statement	the conditional expression. Any additional executable	
		statements should be split out to the other lines.	
v.2: 5.4.2.n	Mixed-mode	Avoids mixed-mode operations. Comment if mixed-mode	1
	operations	usage is necessary.	
v.2: 5.4.2.o	Exit() message	Upon exit() at any point, presents a message to the user	0
		indicating the reason for the exit ().	
v.2: 5.4.2.p	Format of messages	Separate and consistent formats to distinguish between normal	0
		status and error or exception messages	
v.2: 5.4.2.q	References variables	References variables by fewer than five levels of indirection	0
		(i.e. a.b.c.d or a[b].c->d)	
v.2: 5.4.2.r	Levels of indented	Functions with fewer than six levels of indented scope	0
	scope		
v.2: 5.4.2.s	Variable initialization	Initializes every variable upon declaration where permitted.	1
v.2: 5.4.2.t	Constant Definitions	All constants other than "0" and "1" defined or enumerated	8
v.2: 5.4.2.u	Ternary Operator	Only contains the minimum implementation of the "a = b ? c :	0
		d" syntax. Expansions such as "j=a?(b?c:d):e;" are prohibited.	
v.2: 5.4.2.v	Assert() statement	All assert() statements coded such that they are absent from a	0
		production compilation	
	on 5.2.7 –Comment Conve		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 5.2.7.b		All variables shall have comments at the point of declaration	63
v.1: 5.2.7.c	In-Line Comments	In-line comments shall be provided to facilitate interpretation of	25
		functional operations, tests, and branching	
v.1: 5.2.7.d	Assembly code	Assembly code shall contain descriptive and informative	0
		comments	
v.1: 5.2.7.e	Comments in uniform	All comments formatted in a uniform manner	0
	format		
	on 7.4.2 –Protection Agair		<u>Instances</u>
v.1: 7.4.2	Malicious Software	Susceptibility to file or macro viruses, worms, Trojan horses,	1
		logic bombs, or hardcoded passwords	

Software related source code discrepancy detail is in the Addendum to Appendix B.

File Function Line Counts

v.1: 5.2.3.d v.2: 5.4.2.i	File's functions' line count	On the Application level, no more than 50% exceeding 60 lines, no more than 5% exceeding 120 lines, and none exceeding 240 lines without justification.	< 60	60 to 120	120 to 240	> 240	Total
EMS	4.00 (4.0.21.0 minor)	SQL	192 90.14%	18 8.45%	3 1.41%	0 0.00%	213 100.00%

Page 104 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.3 Appendix C: PCA TDP Documentation Review

7.3.1 Technical Data Package Configuration and Quality Assurance Practices

Information listed below identifies the results of the review of the TDP Configuration and Quality Assurance practices to the requirements of the VVSG 2005. During the certification testing iBeta tracked all materials provided by MicroVote. Any instances where the delivered materials did not conform to the MicroVote identified Configuration and Quality Assurance practices were noted in the *PCA and FCA Discrepancy Report* (see Appendix E) as Informational issues.

	PCA Document Review TDP Configuration & QA Practices		
Vendor	MicroVote General Corporation	***	Out of scope for this document
Voting System	Election Management System (EMS) v. 4.0	Accept	Meets the requirement
Scope of Review	2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelines Full Certification	Reject	Does not meet the requirement
			#
Reviewer	Mary Ricketts		
Review Date	April 30, 2007		
VVSG Req. #	Volume 1 Performance Standards		Note & Discrepancy #
8.3	Components from Third Parties		
0.5	A vendor who does not manufacture all the components of its voting system, but instead procures components as standard commercial items for assembly and integration into a voting system verifies that the supplier vendors follow documented quality assurance procedures that are at least as stringent as those used internally by the voting system vendor	Accept	
8.5	Parts and Materials Special Test and Examinations		
b.	In order to ensure that voting system part and materials function properly, vendors shall maintain the resulting test data as part of the quality assurance program documentation.	Accept	
8.6	Quality Conformance Inspections		
b.	To meet the conformance inspection requirement the vendor or manufacturer shall deliver a record of tests, or a certificate of satisfactory completion, with each system or component.	Accept	
8.7	Documentation		
	This documentation shall be sufficient to serve the needs of the test lab, election officials, and maintenance technicians. It shall be prepared and published in accordance with standard industrial practices for information technology and electronic and mechanical equipment. It shall include, at a minimum, the following: System overview; System functionality description; System hardware specification; Software design and specification; System security specification; System test and verification specification; System operations procedures; System maintenance procedures; Personnel deployment and training requirements; Configuration management plan; Quality assurance program; and System Change Note	Accept	
9.2	Configuration Management Policy		
	The vendor shall describe its policies for configuration management in the Technical Data Package. This description shall address the following elements: Scope and nature of configuration management program activities; and Breadth of application of the vendor's policies and practices to the voting system (i.e., extent to which policies and practices of suppliers apply to particular components, subsystems, or other defined system elements.)	Accept	
9.3	Configuration Identification		
9.3.1	Classification and Naming Configuration Items		
	The vendor shall describe the procedures and conventions used to	Accept	

Page 105 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

	PCA Document Review TDP Configuration & QA Practices		
	classify configuration items into categories and subcategories, uniquely		
	number or otherwise identify configuration items and name configuration		
	items.		
9.3.2	Versioning Conventions		
	When a system component is part of a higher level system element such	Accept	
	as a subsystem, the vendor shall describe the conventions used to:		
	a. Identify the specific versions of individual configuration items and sets		
	of items that are incorporated in higher level system elements such as		
	subsystems		
	b. Uniquely number or otherwise identify versions		
	c. Name versions		
9.4	Baseline, Promotion and Demotion Procedures	•	
	The vendor shall establish formal procedures and conventions for	Accept	
	establishing and providing a complete description of the procedures and		
	related conventions used to:		
	a. Establish a particular instance of a component as the starting baseline		
	b. Promote subsequent instances of a component to baseline status as		
	development progresses through to completion of the initial completed version released to the accredited test lab for testing		
	c. Promote subsequent instances of a component to baseline status as		
	the component is maintained throughout its life cycle until system		
8.5	Configuration Control Procedures		
	Configuration control is the process of approving and implementing	Accept	
	changes to a configuration item to prevent unauthorized additions,	Ассери	
	changes or deletions. The vendor shall establish such procedures and		
	related conventions, providing a complete description of those procedures		
	used to:		
	a. Develop and maintain internally developed items		
	b. Acquire and maintain third-party items		
	c. Resolve internally identified defects for items regardless of their origin		
	d. Resolve externally identified and reported defects (i.e., by customers		
	and accredited		
	test labs)		
8.6	Release Process		
a. & b	The release process is the means by which the vendor installs, transfers	Accept	
	or migrates the system to the accredited test lab and, eventually, to its		
	customers. The vendor shall establish such procedures and related		
	conventions, providing a complete description of those used to: a.		
	Perform a first release of the system to an accredited test lab		
	b. Perform a subsequent maintenance or upgrade release of the system		
	or particular components, to an accredited test lab		
	c. Perform the initial delivery and installation of the system to a customer, including confirmation that the installed version of the system matches		
	exactly the certified system version		
	d. Perform a subsequent maintenance or upgrade release of the system		
	or a particular component to a customer, including confirmation that the		
	installed version of the system matches exactly the certified system		
	version		
Req. #	VSS Volume 2 Testing Requirement		Note &
			Discrepancy #
2.1	Scope		
2.1.1	Content & Format		
	The vendor has listed all documents controlling the design, construction,	Accept	
	operation and maintenance of the system.		
2.1.1.3	The TDP shall include a detailed table of contents for the required	Accept	
	documents, an abstract of each document, and a list of each of the	-	
	informational sections and appendices presented. A cross index shall be		
	provided indicating the portions of the documents that responsive to the		
	documentation requirements for any item presented.		

Page 106 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.3.2 PCA TDP Document Review

Information listed summarizes the TDP documents reviewed and the results of their review to the requirements of the VVSG 2005 Section 2.

Section 2.11 CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PLAN Election Management System
Section 2.12 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM Election Management System
Section 2.13 SYSTEM CHANGE NOTES Election Management System
Section 2.2 System Overview – Election Management System
Section 2.3 SYSTEM FUNCTIONALITY DESCRIPTION Election Management System
Section 2.4 SYSTEM HARDWARE SPECIFICATION Election Management System
Section 2.5 SOFTWARE DESIGN AND SPECIFICATION Election Management System
Section 2.6 SYSTEM SECURITY SPECIFICATION Election Management System
Section 2.7 SYSTEM TEST AND VERIFICATION SPECIFICATION Election Management System
Section 2.8 SYSTEM OPERATIONS PROCEDURES Election Management System
Section 2.9 SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES Election Management System

	PCA Document Review Summary
Manufacturer	MicroVote General Corp.
Voting System	Election Management System (EMS) v4.0
Review Scope	Full certification to the 2005 VVSG

Section Trace	Document Name & Version #	Review Date	Reviewer	Revw. Ver.#
2.2 A:	Section 2.2 System Overview v1.2	6/11/07	dharwood	2
2.2 B:	Appendix B1 Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v0.2	4/30/07	dharwood	1
2.2 C:	Appendix B3 Infinity Firmware Functional Specification v0.2	4/30/07	dharwood	1
2.2 D:	Appendix C – COTS Specifications v1.0	4/30/07	dharwood	1
2.2 E:	Appendix H ACP-2200 OPTICAL SCANNER QUICK START GUIDE EMS v1.0	4/30/07	dharwood	1
2.2 F:	Appendix I ACP 2200 Dual Sided Optical Mark Reader v1.0	5/1/07	dharwood	1
2.2 G:	Appendix J User's Guide Thermal Printer DPU-3445-20 v1.0	5/1/07	dharwood	1
2.2 H	Appendix K DPU-414 Operation Manual v1.0	5/1/07	dharwood	1
2.2 l:	Appendix L GemCore V1.21-Based Reader Reference Manual v1.0	5/1/07	dharwood	1
2.2 J:	Appendix N DoubleTalk PC/LT Users Manual (no version)	10/15/07	M Ricketts	2
2.2 K:	Appendix O1 3.5_BAY 7 in 1 USB 2.0 Flash card reader specification (no version)	5/1/07	dharwood	1
2.2 L:	Appendix W Sample County Invoice v1.1	5/1/07	dharwood	1
2.2 M:	Appendix X Source Code Files List v1.1	5/9/07	dharwood	1
2.3 A:	Section 2.3 System Functionality Description v1.1	4/24/07	M Ricketts	1
2.3 B:	Appendix F VOTING VARIATIONS Election Management System, Ver 1.3	7/12/07	M Ricketts	2
2.4 A:	Section 2.4 System Hardware Specification for EMS v1.1	6/11/07	dharwood	2
2.4 B:	Appendix B1 Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v0.2	4/26/07	dharwood	1
2.4 C:	Section 2.2 System Overview v1.2	6/11/07	dharwood	1
2.4 D:	Section 2.3 System Functionality Description v1.2	6/11/07	dharwood	1
2.4 E:	Appendix C – COTS Specifications v1.0	6/11/07	dharwood	1
2.4 F:	Appendix E – Completed COTS Testing Forms v1.0	6/11/07	dharwood	1
2.4 G:	Appendix G COTS linvoices and Certifications v1.0	6/11/07	dharwood	1
2.5 A:	Section 2.5 SOFTWARE DESIGN AND SPECIFICATION, v2.1	8/14/07	G. Audette	5
2.5 B:	Appendix T Program Specifications, v1.1	4/26/07	K.Wilson	1
2.5 C:	Appendix R GUI Specifications, v1.2	4/26/07	K.Wilson	1

Page 107 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Section Trace	Document Name & Version #	Review Date	Reviewer	Revw. Ver.#	
2.5 D:	Appendix B-1 Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference, v0.2	4/26/07	K.Wilson		
2.5 E:	Appendix A11 Test Execution Plan for Security. V1.1	5/9/07	G. Audette	1	
2.6 A:	Section 2.6 System Security Specification for Election Management System v1.5	8/8/07	M Ricketts	2	
2.6 B:	Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool Users Manual v1.0	4/24/07	dharwood	1	
2.6 C:	Infinity Communication Specification Version 1.06 v0.1	8/13/07	K wilson	1	
2.6 D:	Appendix U -MicroVote Infinity Poll Worker's Manual Version 4.0.0.0, v1.9	9/23/08	K. Wilson	4	
2.7 A:	Section 2.7 System Test and Verification Specifications – v1.0	4/26/07	dharwood	1	
2.7 B:	Appendix A Test Cases	4/26/07	dharwood	1	
2.7 C:	Appendix Q – MS Access database – v0.2	4/26/07	dharwood	1	
2.8 A:	Section 2.8 SYSTEM OPERATIONS PROCEDURES Election Management System, v1.2	8/8/07	M Ricketts	3	
2.8 B:	Appendix U –MicroVote Infinity Poll Worker's Manual Version 4.0.0.0, v1.3	8/8/07	M Ricketts	3	
2.8 C:	Appendix V – Microvote General Corporation Election Management System User Manual, v1.5	8/8/07	M Ricketts	4	
2.8 D:	Appendix A Test Cases	5/3/07	dharwood	1	
2.8 E:	App. B1-Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual, v0.2	5/3/07	dharwood	1	
2.8 F:	Appendix D – Glossary of Terms EMS v1.0	5/3/07	dharwood	1	
2.8 G:	Section 2.6 System Security Specification EMS v1.0	5/3/07	dharwood	1	
2.8 H:	Section 2.7 System Test and Verification Specification EMS D01.0TDP-2.7 v1.0	5/3/07	dharwood	1	
2.8 I:	Section 2.11 CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PLAN, v1.2	6/14/07	M Ricketts	1	
2.8 J:	Appendix Z – Machine Technician Manual Election Management System v0.1	10/11/07	M Ricketts	1	
2.9 A:	Section 2.9 System Maintenance Procedures EMS v1.1	6/11/07	dharwood	2	
2.9 B:	Appendix B1 Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v0.2	4/25/07	dharwood	1	
2.9 C:	Appendix H ACP-2200 OPTICAL SCANNER QUICK START GUIDE EMS v1.0	4/25/07	dharwood	1	
2.9 D:	Appendix I ACP 2200 Dual Sided Optical Mark Reader v1.0	4/25/07	dharwood	1	
2.9 E:	Appendix J User's Guide Thermal Printer DPU-3445-20 v1.0	4/25/07	dharwood	1	
2.9 F:	Appendix K DPU-414 Operation Manual DO1.0TDP-K v1.0	4/25/07	dharwood	1	
2.9 G:	Appendix Z Screen 1 v1.0	4/25/07	dharwood	1	
2.10 A:	Personnel Deployment and Training Requirements v.1.0	4/23/07	dharwood	1	
2.11 A:	Section 2.11 CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT PLAN Election Management System, v.1.2	6/15/07	M Ricketts	2	
2.11 B:	Appendix A Test Cases	4/30/07	M Ricketts	1	
2.11 C:	Appendix P-1 INSTALLATION CHECKLIST Election Management System, v.1.0	4/30/07	M Ricketts	1	
2.11 D:	Appendix P-2 DATABASE CREATION CHECKLIST Election Management System, v.1.0	4/30/07	M Ricketts	1	
2.11 E:	Appendix P-3 INFINITY PROGRAMMING CHECKLIST, v1.0	4/30/07	M Ricketts	1	
2.11 F:	Appendix Q DEFECT TRACKING SYSTEM Election Management System, v0.2	4/30/07	M Ricketts	1	
2.12 A:	Section 2.12 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM. V.1.1	4/27/07	dharwood	1	
2.12 B:	Appendix A Test Cases	4/27/07	dharwood	1	
2.12 C:	Appendix B1 Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v0.2	4/27/07	dharwood	1	
2.12 D:	Appendix C – COTS Specifications v1.0	4/27/07	dharwood	1	
2.12 E:	Appendix E – Completed COTS Testing Forms v1.0	4/27/07	dharwood	1	
2.13 A:	Section 2.13 System Change Notes v1.0	4/23/07	dharwood	1	

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section											
		2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
2.1	Scope												
2.1.1.2	Required Content for System Changes and Re-												
	qualification												
	If the scope of this certification is a change verify	Accept											
	that the vendor has submitted appropriate System												
	Change Notes covering this document. If this is												

Page 108 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section
	not a change, no change notes are required.	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
2.1.1.3	Format												
2.1.1.0	The TDP shall include a detailed table of contents	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept
	for the required documents.												
2.1.3	Protection of Proprietary Information												
	Verify that if the vendor considers this document	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept	Accept
	proprietary, they have marked it as such.												
	Documents that are approve by the vendor for												
	public release do not need to be marked												
2.1.3	System Overview												
	In the system overview, the vendor shall provide	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	information that enables the accredited test lab to												
	identify the functional and physical components of												
	the system, how the components are structured,												
0.0.4	and the interfaces between them.												
2.2.1	System Description -												
	The system description shall include written descriptions, drawings and diagrams that present:												
а	A description of the functional components (or	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
а	subsystems) as defined by the vendor (e.g.,	, tooopt											
	environment, election management and control,												
	vote recording, vote conversion, reporting, and												
	their interconnection)												
b.	A description of the operational environment of	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	the system that provides an overview of the												
	hardware, software, and communications												
	structure												
C.	A concept of operations that explains each	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	system function, and how the function is achieved												
	in the design	_											
d.	Descriptions of the functional and physical	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	interfaces between subsystems and												
_	components	Accomt	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Identification of all COTS hardware and software	Accept									****		
	products and communications services used in the development and/or												
	operation of the voting system, identifying												
	the name, vendor, and version used for each such												
	component, including:												
i.	Operating Systems	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ii.	Database software	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iii.	Communications routers	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iv.	Modem drivers	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
٧.	Dial-up networking software	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	Interfaces among internal components, and	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	interfaces with external systems. For components												
	that interface with other components for which												
	multiple products may be used, the TDP shall												
	provide an identification of:												

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section
i	File specifications, data objects, or other means	2.2 Accept	2.3	2.4 ***	2.5 ***	2.6 ***	2.7 ***	2.8	2.9 ***	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
i.	File specifications, data objects, or other means used for information exchange	Ассері											
ii.	The public standard used for such file	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	specifications, data objects, or other means												
g.	Benchmark directory listings for all software	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	(including firmware elements) and associated												
	documentation included in the vendor's release in												
	order of how each piece of software would												
2.2.2	normally be installed upon setup and installation. System Performance -												
2.2.2	The vendor shall provide system performance												
	information including:												
а	The performance characteristics of each	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
l a	operating mode and function in terms of expected												
	and maximum speed, throughput capacity,												
	maximum volume (maximum number of voting												
	positions and maximum number of ballot styles												
	supported), and processing frequency												
b.	Quality attributes such as reliability,	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	maintainability, availability, usability, and												
	portability												
C.	Provisions for safety, security, privacy, and	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	continuity of operation		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Design constraints, applicable standards, and	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
0.0	compatibility requirements												
2.3	System Functionality Description The vendor shall declare the scope of the	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	system's functional capabilities, thereby		Accept										
	establishing the performance, design, test,												
	manufacture, and acceptance context for the												
	system.												
	The vendor shall provide a listing of the system's	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	functional processing capabilities,		•										
	encompassing capabilities required by the												
	Guidelines and any additional capabilities												
	provided by the system. This listing shall provide												
	a simple description of each capability.												
	Detailed specifications shall be provided in other												
-	documentation required for the TDP.	***	A = = 1	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
a.	The vendor shall organize the presentation of		Accept										
	required capabilities in a manner that corresponds												
	to the structure and sequence of functional capabilities indicated in Volume I, Section 2. The												
	contents of Volume I, Section 2 may be used as												
	the basis for a checklist to indicate the specific												
	functions provided and those not provided by the												
	system.												
b.	Additional capabilities shall be clearly indicated.	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Required capabilities that may be bypassed or	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

Page 110 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	deactivated during installation or operation by the user shall be clearly indicated												
d.	Additional capabilities that function only when activated during installation or operation by the user shall be clearly indicated	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Additional capabilities that normally are active but may be bypassed or deactivated during installation or operation by the user shall be clearly indicated.	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.4	System Hardware Specifications												
	The vendor shall expand on the system overview by providing detailed specifications of the hardware components of the system, including specifications of hardware used to support the telecommunications capabilities of the system, if applicable.	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.4.1	System Hardware Characteristics												
	The vendor shall provide a detailed discussion of the characteristics of the system, indicating how the hardware meets individual requirements defined in Volume I, Section 4, including	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	Performance Characteristics: This discussion addresses basic system performance attributes and operational scenarios that describe the manner in which system functions are invoked, describes environmental capabilities, describes life expectancy, and describes any other essential aspects of system performance	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	Physical Characteristics: This discussion addresses suitability for intended use, requirements for transportation and storage, health and safety criteria, security criteria, and vulnerability to adverse environmental factors	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	Reliability: This discussion addresses system and component reliability stated in terms of the systems operating functions, and identification of items that require special handling or operation to sustain system reliability	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	Maintainability: The discussion addresses maintainability. Maintainability represents the ease with which maintenance actions can be performed based on the design characteristics of equipment and software and the processes the vendor and election officials have in place for preventing failures and for reacting to failures. Maintainability includes the ability of equipment and software to self-diagnose problems and to make non-technical election workers aware of a problem. Maintainability also addresses a range	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	of scheduled and unscheduled events				0	0							
	Environmental Conditions: This discussion	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	addresses the ability of the system to withstand												
	natural environments, and operational constraints												
	in normal and test environments, including all												
	requirements and restrictions regarding electrical												
	service, telecommunications services,												
	environmental protection, and any additional												
	facilities or resources required to install and												
0.4.0	operate the system												
2.4.2	Design and Construction	***	***	A	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	The vendor shall provide sufficient data, or	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	•
	references to data, to identify unequivocally the												
	details of the system configuration submitted for testing.												
	The vendor shall provided a list of materials and	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	components used in the system, a description of			/ tooopt									
	their assembly into major system components and												
	the system as a whole. Paragraphs and diagrams												
	shall be provided that describe:												
a.	Materials, processes, and parts used in the	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	system, their assembly, and the configuration												
	control measures to ensure compliance with the												
	system specification												
b.	The electromagnetic environment generated by	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	the system			_									
C.	Operator and voter safety considerations, and any	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	constraints on system operations or the use												
	environment	***	***	A	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Human engineering considerations, including provisions for access by disabled voters			Accept	****							****	
2.5	Software Design and Specification												
	The vendor shall expand on the system overview	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	by providing detailed specifications of the												
	software components of the system, including												
	software used to support the telecommunications												
	capabilities of the system, if applicable.												
2.5.1	Purpose and Scope												
	The vendor shall describe the function or	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	functions that are performed by the software												
	programs that comprise the system, including												
	software used to support the telecommunications												
2.5.2	capabilities of the system, if applicable.												
2.5.2	Applicable Documents The vendor has listed all documents controlling	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	the development of the software and its				Accept								
	specifications. Documents shall be listed in order												
	of precedence.												
2.5.3	Software Overview												

Page 112 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	The vendor shall provide an overview of the software that includes the following items:	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.10
a.	A description of the software system concept, including specific software design objectives, and the logic structure and algorithms used to accomplish these objectives	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	The general design, operational considerations, and constraints influencing the design of the software	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C. i. ii. iii.	Identification of all software items, indicating items that were: - Written in-house - Procured and not modified - Procured and modified, including descriptions of the modifications to the software and to the default configuration options	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
d. i. ii. iii. iv.	Additional information for each item that includes: - Item identification - General description - Software requirements performed by the item - Identification of interfaces with other items that provide data to, or receive data from, the item - Concept of execution for the item	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	The vendor shall also include a certification that procured software items were obtained directly from the manufacturer or a licensed dealer or distributor.	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.5.4	Software Standards and Conventions The vendor shall provide information that can be used by an accredited test lab or state certification board to support software analysis and test design. The information addresses standards and conventions developed internally by the vendor as well as published industry standards applied by the vendor. The vendor shall provide information addressing standards and conventions for:	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
a.	Software system development methodology	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Software design standards, including internal vendor procedures	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Software specification standards, including internal vendor procedures	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Software coding standards, including internal vendor procedures	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Testing and verification standards, including internal vendor procedures, that can assist in determining the program's correctness and ACCEPT/REJECT criteria	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	Quality assurance standards or other documents that can be used to examine and test the	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

Page 113 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section											
		2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	software. These documents include standards for												
	program flow and control charts, program documentation, test planning, and for test data												
	acquisition and reporting												
2.5.5	Software Operating Environment												
21010	This section shall describe or makes reference to	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	all operating environment factors that influence												
	the software design.												
2.5.5.1	Hardware Environment and Constraints												
	The vendor shall identify and describe the												
	hardware characteristics that influence the design												
	of the software, such as												
a.	The logic and arithmetic capability of the	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	processor	***	***	***		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Memory read-write characteristics	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	External memory device characteristics	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Peripheral device interface hardware	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Data input/output device protocols	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	Operator controls, indicators, and displays				Accept								
2.5.5.2	Software Environment	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	The vendor shall identify the compilers or				Accept								
	assemblers used in the generation of executable code, and described the operating system or												
	system monitor.												
2.5.6	Software Functional Specification												
	The vendor shall provide a description of the	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	operating modes of the system and of software												
	capabilities to perform specific functions.												
2.5.6.1	Configurations and Operating Modes												
	The vendor shall describe all software	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	configurations and operating modes of the												
	system, such as ballot preparation, election												
	programming, preparation for opening the polling												
	place, recording votes and/or counting ballots,												
	closing the polling place, and generating reports.												
	For each software function or operating mode, the												
	vendor shall provide:	***	***	***	Accont	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
a.	A definition of the inputs to the function or mode				Accept								
	(with characteristics, tolerances or acceptable ranges, as applicable)			1									
b.	An explanation of how the inputs are processed	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	A definition of the outputs produced (again, with	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
0.	characteristics, tolerances, or acceptable ranges			1	1.00pt								
	as applicable).												
2.5.6.2	Software Functions												
	The vendor shall describe the software's												
	capabilities or methods for detecting or												
	handling												
a.	Exception conditions	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
b.	system failures	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Data input/output errors	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Error logging for audit record generation	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Production of statistical ballot data	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	Data quality assessment	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
g.	Security monitoring and control.	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.5.7	Programming Specifications				riccopt								
2.0.7	The vendor shall provide in this section an	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	overview of the software design, its structure, and				/ tooopt								
	implementation algorithms and detailed												
	specifications for individual software modules.												
2.5.7.1	Programming Specifications Overview												
_	The overview shall include such items as	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	flowcharts, data flow diagrams, and other												
	graphical techniques that facilitate understanding												
	of the programming specifications. This section												
	shall be prepared to facilitate understanding of the												
	internal functioning of the individual software												
	modules. Implementation of the functions shall be												
	described in terms of the software architecture,												
	algorithms, and data structures.												
2.5.7.2	Programming Specifications Details												
	The programming specifications shall describe												
	individual software modules and their component												
	units, if applicable and for each module and unit,												
	the vendor shall provide:	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
a.	Module and unit design decisions, if any, such as algorithms used				Accept								
b.	Any constraints, limitations, or unusual features in	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	the design of the software module or unit				/ tooopt								
C.	The programming language to be used and	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
0.	rationale for its use if other than the specified												
	module or unit language												
d.	If the software module or unit consists of or	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
<u>.</u>	contains procedural commands, (such as menu												
	selections in a database management system												
	(DBMS) for defining forms and reports, on-line												
	DBMS queries for database access and												
	manipulation, input to a graphical user interface												
	(GUI) builder for automated code generation,												
	commands to the operating system, or shell												
	scripts) a list of the procedural commands and												
	reference to user manuals or other documents												
	that explain them	dec. 1	4	4.7		400	4.11	4.11	de t	de la companya de la	421	42.	
e.	If the software module or unit contains, receives,	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	or outputs data, a description of its inputs,												
	outputs, and other data elements as applicable.												
	(Section 2.5.9 describes the requirements for												
	documenting system interfaces.) Data local to the					<u> </u>							

Page 115 of 210

(V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section											
		2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	software module or unit shall be described												
	separately from data input to or output from the												
4	software module or unit\ If the software module or unit contains logic, verify	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	the logic to be used by the software unit,				Accept								
	including, as applicable:												
i.	Conditions in effect within the software module or	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
"	unit when its execution is initiated												
ii.	Conditions under which control is passed to other	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	software modules or units				•								
iii.	Response and response time to each input,	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	including data conversion, renaming, and data												
	transfer operation												
iv.	Sequence of operations and dynamically	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	controlled sequencing during the software												
	module's or unit's operation, including:												
٧.	The method for sequence control	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vi.	The logic and input conditions of that method,	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	such as timing variations, priority assignments	***	***	***	Assent	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vi.	Data transfer in and out of memory	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vi.	The sensing of discrete input signals, and timing				Accept								
	relationships between interrupt operations within the software module or unit												
	Exception and error handling	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
g. h	If the software module is a database, the vendor	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
''	provides the information described in subsection				7.000								
	2.5.8.												
2.5.8	System Database												
	The vendor shall identify and provide a diagram	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	and narrative description of the system's												
	databases, and any external files used for data												
	input or output. The information provided shall												
	include for each database or external file:												
а	The number of levels of design and the names of	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	those levels (such as conceptual, internal, logical,												
	and physical)	***	***	***	A 1	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	4
b.	Design conventions and standards (which may be	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	•
	incorporated by references) needed to understand the design												
	Identification and description of all database	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	entities and how they are implemented physically				Accept								
	(e.g., tables, files)												
d.	Entity relationship diagram and description of	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
<u> </u>	relationships												
e.	Details of table, record or file contents (as	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
]	applicable) to include individual data elements				•								
	and their specifications, including:												
i.	Names/identifiers	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ii.	Data type (alphanumeric, integer, etc.)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section											
		2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
iii.	Size and format (such as length and punctuation of a character string)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iv.	Units of measurement (such as meters, dollars, nanoseconds)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
V.	Range or enumeration of possible values (such as 0-99)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vi.	Accuracy (how correct) and precision (number of significant digits)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vii.	Priority, timing, frequency, volume, sequencing, and other constraints, such as whether the data element may be updated and whether business rules apply	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
viii.	Security and privacy constraints	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ix	Sources (setting/sending entities) and recipients (using/receiving entities).	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	For external files, a description of the procedures for file maintenance, management of access privileges, and security.	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.5.9	Interfaces												
	The vendor shall identify and provides a complete description of all internal and external interfaces, using a combination of text and diagrams	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.5.9.1	Interface Identification For each interface identified in the system overview, the vendor shall:												
a.	Provide a unique identifier assigned to the interface	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Identify the interfacing entities (systems, configuration items, users, etc.) by name, number, version, and documentation references, as applicable	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Identify which entities have fixed interface characteristics (and therefore impose interface requirements on interfacing entities) and which are being developed or modified (thus having interface requirements imposed on them).	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.5.9.2	Interface Description For each interface identified in the system overview, the vendor shall provide information that describes:												
a.	The type of interface (such as real-time data transfer, storage-and-retrieval of data, etc.) to be implemented	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Characteristics of individual data elements that the interfacing entity(ies) will provide, store, send, access, receive, etc., such as:	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
i.	Names/identifiers	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ii.	Data type (alphanumeric, integer, etc.)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iii.	Size and format (such as length and punctuation	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section											
	of a character string)	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
iv.	Units of measurement (such as meters, dollars, nanoseconds)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
V.	Range or enumeration of possible values (such as 0-99)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vi.	Accuracy (how correct) and precision (number of significant digits)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vii.	Priority, timing, frequency, volume, sequencing, and other constraints, such as whether the data element may be updated and whether business rules apply	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
viii.	Security and privacy constraints and	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ix	Sources (setting/sending entities) and recipients (using/receiving entities)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Characteristics of communication methods that the interfacing entity(ies) will use for the interface, such as:	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
i.	Communication links/bands/frequencies/media and their characteristics	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ii.	Message formatting	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iii.	Flow control (such as sequence numbering and buffer allocation)	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iv.	Data transfer rate, whether periodic/aperiodic, and interval between transfers	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
٧.	Routing, addressing, and naming conventions	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vi.	Transmission services, including priority and grade and	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vii.	Safety/security/privacy considerations, such as encryption, user authentication, compartmentalization, and auditing	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Characteristics of protocols the interfacing entity(ies) will use for the interface, such as:	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
i.	Priority/layer of the protocol	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ii.	Packeting, including fragmentation and reassembly, routing, and addressing	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iii.	Legality checks, error control, and recovery procedures	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iv.	Synchronization, including connection establishment, maintenance, termination	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
V.	Status, identification, and any other reporting features	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Other characteristics, such as physical compatibility of the interfacing entity(ies) (dimensions, tolerances, loads, voltages, plug compatibility, etc.).	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.5.10	Appendices The vendor may provide descriptive material and data supplementing the various sections of the												

Page 118 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	body of the Software Specifications. The content and arrangement of appendices shall be at the discretion of the vendor. Topics recommended for amplification or treatment in appendix form includes: Glossary: A listing and brief definition of all software module names and variable names, with reference to their locations in the software structure. Abbreviations, acronyms, and terms should be included, if they are either uncommon in data processing and software development or are used in an unorthodox semantic References: A list of references to all related vendor documents, data, standards, and technical sources used in software development and testing Program Analysis: The results of software configuration analysis algorithm analysis and selection, timing studies, and hardware interface studies that are reflected in the final software design and coding												
2.6	The vendor shall submit a system security specification that addresses the security requirements of Volume I, Section 7This specification shall describes the level of security provided by the system in terms of the specific security risks addressed by the system, the means by which each risk is addressed, the process used to test and verify the effective operation of security capabilities and, for systems that use public telecommunications networks as defined in Volume I, Section 6, the means used to keep the security capabilities of the system current to respond to the evolving threats against these systems.	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.6.1	Access Control Policy												
	The vendor shall specify the features and capabilities of the access control policy recommended to purchasing jurisdictions to provide effective voting system security. The access control policy shall address the general features and capabilities and individual access privileges indicated in Volume I, Subsection.7.2.	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.6.2	Access Control Measures	4.2 *	de la	42.	dec.		4.2.5	42.	do.	4.2 *	42.	die .	
	The vendor shall provide a detailed description of all system access control measures and mandatory procedures designed to permit access to system states in accordance with the access policy, and to prevent all other types of access to	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

Page 119 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsection 7.2.	Z.Z	2.3	2.4	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.10
	The vendor shall also define and provide a detailed description of the methods used to preclude unauthorized access to the access control capabilities of the system itself.	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.6.3	Equipment and Data Security												
	The vendor shall provide a detailed description of system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to prevent disruption of the voting process and corruption of voting data to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsection 7.3. This information shall address measures for polling place security and central count location security.	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.6.4	Software Installation					-							
	The vendor shall provide a detailed description of the system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to ensure secure software (including firmware) installation to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsection 7.4. This information shall address software installation for all system components.	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.6.5	Telecommunications and Data Transmission Security												
	The vendor shall provide a detailed description of the system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to ensure secure data transmission to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsection 7.5:	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
a.	For all systems, this information shall address access control, and prevention of data interception	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	For systems that use public communications networks as defined in Volume I, Section 6, this information shall also include:	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
i.	Capabilities used to provide protection against threats to third party products and services	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
ii.	Policies and processes used by the vendor to ensure that such protection is updated to remain effective over time	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iii.	Policies and procedures used by the vendor to ensure that current versions of such capabilities are distributed to user jurisdictions and are installed effectively by the jurisdiction	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
iv.	A detailed description of the system capabilities and procedures to be employed by the jurisdiction to diagnose the occurrence of a denial of service attack, to use an alternate method of voting, to	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*

Page 120 of 210

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	determine when it is appropriate to resume voting	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	over the network, and to consolidate votes cast												
	using the alternate method												
٧.	A detailed description of all activities to be	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	performed in setting up the system for operation												
	that are mandatory to ensure effective system												
	security, including testing of security before an												
	election and	***	***	***	***	A	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
vi.	A detailed description of all activities that should			****		Accept							
	be prohibited during system setup and during the timeframe for voting operations, including both the												
	hours when polls are open and when polls are												
	closed.												
2.6.6	Other Elements of an Effective Security												
	Program												
	The vendor shall provide a detailed description of	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	additional procedures required for use by the												
	purchasing jurisdiction including:	***					***	***	***	***	***		
a.	Administrative and management controls for the	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	voting system and election management,												
h	including access controls	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Internal security procedures, including operating procedures for maintaining the security of the					Accept							
	software for each system function and operating												
	mode												
C.	Adherence to, and enforcement of, operational	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
-	procedures (e.g., effective password					_							
	management)												
d.	Physical facilities and arrangements	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Organizational responsibilities and personnel	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	***	*
	screening.												
2.7	System Test and Verification Specification												
	The vendor shall provide test and verification												
	specifications for: Development test specifications	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
a. b.	National certification test specifications.	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
2.7.1	Development Test Specifications						Ассері						
2.1.1	The vendor shall describe the plans, procedures,	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
	and data used during software development and						, tooopt						
	system integration to verify system logic												
	correctness, data quality, and security. This												
	description shall include:												
a.	Test identification and design, including:	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
i.	Test structure	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
ii.	Test sequence or progression	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
iii.	Test conditions	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Standard test procedures, including any	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
	assumptions or constraints	***	***	***	***	***	A = = = = :	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Special purpose test procedures including any	***		***	***		Accept		***	***	***	***	

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section
		2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	assumptions or constraints												
d.	Test data, test data source, whether it is real or	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
	simulated, and control of test data	***	***	***	***	***		***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Expected test results	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	Criteria for evaluating test results.	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
	Additional details for these requirements are												
	provided by MIL-STD-498, Software Test Plan												
	and Software Test description. In the event that test data are not available, the accredited test lab												
	shall design test cases and procedures equivalent												
	to those ordinarily used during product												
	verification.												
2.7.2	Qualification Test Specifications												
	The vendor shall provide specifications for	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
	verification and validation of overall software						_						
	performance. The specifications shall cover:												
а	Control and data input/output	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Acceptance criteria	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Processing accuracy	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Data quality assessment and maintenance	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
e.	Ballot interpretation logic	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
f.	Exception handling	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
g.	Security	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
h.	Production of audit trails and statistical data.	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
	The specifications shall identify procedures for	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	***	*
	assessing and demonstrating the suitability of the												
	software for elections use.												
2.8	System Operations Procedures	***	***	***	***	***	***	A = = = = 1	***	***	***	***	*
	This documentation shall provide all information							Accept					,,
	necessary for system use by all personnel who support pre-election and election preparation,												
	polling place activities and central counting												
	activities, as applicable, with regard to all system												
	functions and operations identified in Section 2.3												
	above. The nature of instructions for operating												
	personnel will depend upon the overall system												
	design and required skill level of system												
	operations support personnel.												
	The system operations procedures shall contain	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
	all information that is required for the preparation												
	of detailed system operating procedures, and for												
204	operator training, as described below:												
2.8.1	Introduction The wonder shall provide a summon of system	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
	The vendor shall provide a summary of system operating functions and modes, in sufficient detail							Accept					
	to permit understanding of the system's												
	capabilities and constraints. The roles of												
	operating personnel shall be identified and related												
	to the operating modes of the system. Decision												
Page 122			1			0.00	0008 3E II IN	1.004(5)		1	1	1	

VVCC	Volume II Testing Dequirement Cestion 2	Section											
VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	criteria and conditional operator functions (such as error and failure recovery actions) shall be described.												
	The vendor shall also list all reference and supporting documents pertaining to the use of the system during elections operations.	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
2.8.2	Operational Environment												
	The vendor shall describe the system environment, and the interface between the user or operator and the system.	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
a.	The vendor shall identify all facilities, furnishings, fixtures, and utilities that will be required, including equipment that operates at the: Polling place	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Central count facility	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Other locations	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
2.8.3	System Installation and Test Specification												
	The vendor shall provide specifications for validation of system installation, acceptance, and readiness. These specifications address all components of the system, all locations of installation (e.g., polling place central count facility), and all elements of system functionality and operations identified in Section 2.3 above, including:	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
a.	Pre-voting functions	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Voting functions	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Post-voting functions	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
d.	General capabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
2.8.4	Operational Features The vendor shall provide the documentation of system operating features that meets the following requirements:												
a.	A detailed description of all input, output, control, and display features accessible to the operator or voter	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Examples of simulated interactions in order to facilitate understanding of the system and its capabilities	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
C.	Sample data formats and output reports	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
d.	Illustrate and describe all status indicators and information messages.	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
2.8.5	Operating Procedures The vendor shall provide the documentation of system operating procedures that meets the following requirements:								4				
a.	Provides a detailed description of procedures required to initiate, control, and verify proper system operation	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Provides procedures that clearly enable the	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	operator to assess the correct flow of system functions (as evidenced by system-generated	2.2	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.10
C.	status and information messages) Provides procedures that clearly enable the operator to intervene the system operations to	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
d.	recover from an abnormal system state Defines and illustrates the procedures and system	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
	prompts for situations where operator intervention is required to load, initialize, and start the system												
e.	Defines and illustrates procedures to enable and control the external interface to the system operating environment if supporting hardware and software are involved (such information shall be provided for the interaction of the system with other data processing systems or data interchange protocols as well)	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
f.	Provides administrative procedures and off-line operator duties (if any) if they relate to the initiation or termination of system operations, to the assessment of system status, or to the development of an audit trail	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
g.	Supports successful ballot and program installation and control by election officials, provide a detailed work plan or other form of documentation providing a schedule and steps for the software and ballot installation, which includes a table outlining the key dates, events and deliverables	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
h.	Supports diagnostic testing, specify diagnostic tests that may be employed to identify problems in the system verify the correction of maintenance problems and isolate and diagnose faults from various systems states.	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
2.8.6	Operations Support The vendor shall provide the documentation of system operating procedures that meets the following requirements:												
a.	Defines the procedures required to support system acquisition, installation, and readiness testing. These procedures may be provided by reference, if they are contained either in the system hardware specifications, or in other vendor documentation	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
b.	Describes procedures for providing technical support, system maintenance and correction of defects, and for incorporating hardware upgrades and new software releases.	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	***	*
2.8.7	Appendices The vendor may provide descriptive material and												

Page 124 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	data supplementing the various sections of the body of the System Operations Manual. The content and arrangement of appendices shall be at the discretion of the vendor. Topics recommended for amplification or treatment in appendix form include: Glossary: A listing and brief definition of all terms that may be unfamiliar to persons not trained in either voting systems or computer operations. References: A list of references to all vendor documents and to other sources related to the operation of the system Detailed Examples: Detailed scenarios that outline correct system responses to faulty operator input; Alternative procedures may be specified depending on the system state Manufacturer's Recommended Security Procedures: This appendix shall contain the security procedures that are to be executed by the system operator.												
2.9	System Maintenance Procedures												
	The system maintenance procedures shall provide information in sufficient detail to support election workers, information systems personnel, or maintenance personnel in the adjustment or removal and replacement of components or modules in the field. Technical documentation needed solely to support the repair of defective components or modules ordinarily done by the manufacturer or software developer is not required.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	Recommended service actions to correct malfunctions or problems shall be discussed, along with personnel and expertise required to repair and maintain the system; and equipment, materials, and facilities needed for proper maintenance. This manual shall include the sections listed below.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
2.9.1	Introduction												
	The vendor shall describe the structure and function of the equipment (and related software) for election preparation, programming, vote recording, tabulation, and reporting in sufficient detail to provide an overview of the system for maintenance, and for identification of faulty hardware or software. The description includes a concept of operations that fully describes such items as:	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
а	The electrical and mechanical functions of the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*

Page 125 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section
		2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	equipment	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		***	***	***	*
b.	How the processes of ballot handling and reading	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	are performed (paper-based systems)	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		***	***	***	
C.	How vote selection and casting of the ballot (DRE	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	systems)	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		***	***	***	
d.	How transmission of data over a network (DRE	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	•
	systems, where applicable)	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	A	***	***	***	*
e.	How data handling in the processor and memory								Accept				
	units	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
I.	How data outputs are initiated and controlled	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
g.	How power is converted or conditioned	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	•	***	***	***	*
h.	How test and diagnostic information is acquired								Accept				
202	and used Maintenance Procedures												
2.9.2		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	The vendor shall describe preventative and								Accept				
	corrective, maintenance procedures for hardware												
2.9.2.1	and software. Preventative Maintenance Procedures												
2.9.2.1	The vendor shall identify and describe:												
0	All required and recommended preventive	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
a.	maintenance tasks, including software tasks such								Ассері				
	as software backup, database performance												
	analysis, and database tuning												
b.	Number and skill levels of personnel required for	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
Б.	each task								/ locopt				
C.	Parts, supplies, special maintenance equipment,	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
0.	software tools, or other resources needed for												
	maintenance												
d.	Any maintenance tasks that must be coordinated	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	with the vendor or a third party (such as												
	coordination that may be needed for off-the-shelf												
	items used in the system).												
2.9.2.2	Corrective Maintenance Procedures												
	The vendor shall provide fault detection, fault	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	isolation, correction procedures, and logic												
	diagrams for all operational abnormalities												
	identified by design analysis and operating												
	experience.												
	The vendor shall identify specific procedures to be	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	used in diagnosing and correcting problems in the												
	system hardware (or user-controlled software).												
	Descriptions shall include:	ļ	ļ				ļ		<u> </u>	ļ			<u> </u>
а	Steps to replace failed or deficient equipment	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
b.	Steps to correct deficiencies or faulty operations	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	in software	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	L	L	ļ			L	L	L	<u> </u>
C.	Modifications that are necessary to coordinate	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	any modified or upgraded software with other]]				
	software modules	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	A =	***	***	***	+
d.	The number and skill levels of personnel needed	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section	Section						
*****	Volume in resumg Requirement Occurred	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	to accomplish each procedure												
e.	Special maintenance equipment, parts, supplies,	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	or other resources needed to accomplish each												
	procedure												
f.	Any coordination required with the vendor, or	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	other party for off the shelf items.												
2.9.3	Maintenance Equipment	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	•	datab	***	***	
	The vendor shall identify and describe any special	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	purpose tests or maintenance equipment												
	recommended for fault isolation and diagnostic												
2.9.4	purposes. Parts and Materials												
2.9.4	The vendor shall provide detailed documentation	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	of parts and materials needed to operate and								Ассері				
	maintain the system. Additional requirements												
	apply for paper based systems.												
2.9.4.1	Common Standards												
	The vendor shall provide a complete list of	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	approved parts and materials needed for												
	maintenance. This list shall contain sufficient												
	descriptive information to identify all parts by:												
а	Type	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
b.	Size	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
C.	Value or range	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
d.	Manufacturer's designation	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
e.	Individual quantities needed	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
f.	Source from which they may be obtained	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
2.9.4.2	Paper-Based Systems												
	For marking devices manufactured by multiple	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	external sources, the vendor shall provide a listing												
	of sources and model numbers that are												
	compatible with the system.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	A 1	***	***	***	•
	The TDP shall specify the required paper stock,	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	•
	size, shape, opacity, color, watermarks, field												
	layout, orientation, size and style of printing, size and location of punch or mark fields used for vote												
	response fields and to identify unique ballot												
	formats, placement of alignment marks, ink for												
	printing, and folding and bleed-through limitations												
	for preparation of ballots that are compatible with												
	the system												,
2.9.5	Maintenance Facilities and Support												
	The vendor shall identify all facilities, furnishings,	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
	fixtures, and utilities that will be required for]]				
	equipment maintenance. In addition, vendors]]				
	shall specify the assumptions made with regard to]]				
	any parameters that impact the mean time to]]				
	repair.	***	dududu	***	***	***	***	district.		***	444	***	*
a.	Recommended number and locations of spare	***	***	***	***		***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	devices or components to be kept on hand for repair purposes during periods of system operation	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
b.	Recommended number and locations of qualified maintenance personnel who need to be available to support repair calls during system operation	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
C.	Organizational affiliation (i.e., jurisdiction, vendor) of qualified maintenance personnel.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	***	*
2.9.6	Appendices The vendor may provide descriptive material and data supplementing the various sections of the body of the System Maintenance Manual. The content and arrangement of appendices shall be at the discretion of the vendor. Topics recommended for amplification or treatment in appendices include: Glossary: A listing and brief definition of all terms that may be unfamiliar to persons not trained in either voting systems or computer maintenance. References: A list of references to all vendor documents and to other sources related to the maintenance of the system Detailed Examples: Detailed scenarios that outline correct system responses to every conceivable faulty operator input; alternative procedures may be specified depending on the system state Maintenance and Security Procedures: This appendix shall contain technical illustrations and schematic representations of electronic circuits unique to the system.												
2.10	Personnel Deployment and Training Requirements												
	Verify that the vendor has described the personnel resources and training required for a jurisdiction to operate and maintain the system.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
2.10.1	Personnel The vendor shall specify the number of personnel and skill levels required to perform each of the following functions:												
a.	Pre-election or election preparation functions	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
b.	System operations for voting system functions performed at the polling place	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
C.	System operations for voting system functions performed at the central count facility	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
d.	Preventive maintenance tasks	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
e.	Diagnosis of faulty hardware or software	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
f.	Corrective maintenance tasks	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
g.	Test corrected problems.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*

Page 128 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section	Section	Section									
VVSG	volume ir resumg Requirement- Section 2	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	A description identifies functions that may be	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
	carried out by user personnel, and those that												
	must be performed by vendor personnel.												
2.10.2	Training												
	The vendor shall specify the requirements for												
	orientation and training of the following personnel:												
a.	Poll workers supporting polling place operations	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
b.	System support personnel involved in election	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
	programming												
C.	User system maintenance technicians	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
d.	Network/system administration personnel (if a	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
	network is used)	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		***	***	
e.	Data personnel	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	*
f.	Vendor personnel.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	***	
2.11	Configuration Management Plan	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		***	
	Vendors shall submit a Configuration	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	Management Plan that addresses the												
	configuration management requirements of												
	Volume I, Section 9. This plan shall describe all policies, processes and procedures employed by												
	the vendor to carry out these requirements. The												
	Configuration Management Plan shall contain the												
	sections identified below.												
2.11.1	Configuration Management Policy												
2.11.1	The vendor shall provide a description of its	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	organizational policies for configuration												
	management, per Vol. I, Subsection 9.2. These												
	requirements pertain to:												
a.	Scope and nature of configuration management	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	program activities												
b.	Breadth of application of vendor's policy and	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	practices to the voting system.												
2.11.2	Configuration Identification												
	The vendor shall provide a description of the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	procedures and naming conventions used to]]]				
	address the specific requirements of Vol. I,]]]				
	Subsection 9.3. These requirements pertain to:	data	datab	datab	d.d.d.	delet	data	data	datab	datab		datab	
a.	Classifying configuration items into categories and	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	subcategories	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	A 1	***	
b.	Uniquely numbering or otherwise identifying	***	***	***	***	***	***	888	***	***	Accept	***	*
_	configuration items	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	A 0.5 5 15 4	***	<u> </u>
C.	Naming configuration items.					L					Accept		
2.11.3	Baseline, Promotion, and Demotion												
	Procedures The vender shall provide a description of the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accont	***	*
	The vendor shall provide a description of the]]]		Accept		
	procedures and naming conventions used to address the specific requirements of Vol. I,]]]				
	Subsection 9.4. These requirements pertain to:]]]				
a.	Establishing a particular instance of a system	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
_ a.	Latabilating a particular matarice of a system	1	1	l	L				l		. tooopt		

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	component as the starting baseline		2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.3	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.10
b.	Promoting subsequent instances of a component	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	to baseline throughout the system development												
	process for the first complete version of the												
	system submitted for testing												
C.	Promoting subsequent instances of a component	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	to baseline status as the component is maintained												
	throughout its life cycle until system retirement												
	(i.e., the system is no longer sold or maintained)												
2.11.4	Configuration Control Procedures												
	The vendor shall provide a description of the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	procedures used by the vendor to approve and												
	implement changes to a configuration item to												
	prevent unauthorized additions, changes, or												
	deletions to address the specific requirements of												
	Vol. I, Subsection 9.5. These requirements pertain												
	to:	***											
a.	Developing and maintaining internally developed	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	items	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		***	
b.	Developing and maintaining third-party items	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
C.	Resolving internally identified defects										Accept		*
d.	Resolving externally identified and reported	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	defects.												
2.11.5	Release Process	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		***	
	The vendor shall provide a description of the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	contents of a system release, the procedures and												
	related conventions by which the vendor installs,												
	transfers, or migrates the system to accredited												
	voting system testing laboratories and customers												
	to address the specific requirements of Vol. I,												
	Subsection 9.6. These requirements pertain to:	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
a.	A first release of the system to an accredited test lab										Accept		
b.		***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
D.	A subsequent maintenance or upgrade releases of a system or component to an accredited test										Accept		
	lab												
C.	The initial delivery and installation of the system	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
U.	to a customer										Доосрі		
d.	The subsequent maintenance or upgrade release	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
u.	of a system or component to a customer.]]								
2.11.6	Configuration Audits												
2.11.0	The vendor shall provide a description of the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	procedures and related conventions for the two]]								
	audits required by Vol. I, Subsection 9.7. These]]								
	requirements pertain to:]]]								
a.	Physical configuration audit that verifies the voting	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
u.	system components submitted for qualification to]]								
	the vendor's technical documentation]]								
b.	Functional configuration audit that verifies the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
υ.	i anotonal configuration addit that verifies the	l	l	1	l	1	1	1		1	, .000pt	1	1

Page 130 of 210

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section											
	system performs all the functions described in the	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13
	system documentation.												
2.11.7	Configuration Management Resources												
	The vendor shall provide a description of the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	procedures and related conventions for												
	maintaining information about configuration												
	management tools required by Vol. I, Sect. 8.9.												
a.	These requirements pertain to: Specific tools used, current version, and operating	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
a.	environment										Доосре		
b.	Physical location of the tools, including	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	designation of computer directories and files												
C.	Procedures and training materials for using the	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	***	*
	tools.												
2.12	Quality Assurance Program												
1	The vendor shall submit a Quality Assurance	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
	Program that addresses the quality assurance												
	requirements of Volume I, Section 8. This plan												
	describes all policies, processes and procedures												
	employed by the vendor to ensure the overall quality of the system for its initial development,												
	release and for subsequent modifications and												
	releases. The Quality Assurance Program shall,												
	at a minimum, address the topics indicated below.												
2.12.1	Quality Assurance Policy												
	The vendor shall provide a description of its	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
	organizational policies for quality assurance,												
	including:				ļ								
a.	Scope and nature of QA activities	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
b.	Breadth of application of vendor's policy and	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	•
2.12.2	practices to the voting system. Parts & Materials Special Tests and												
2.12.2	Examinations												
	The vendor shall provide a description of its	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
	practices for parts and materials tests and												
	examinations that meet the requirements of Vol. I,												
	Subsection 8.5.												
2.12.3	Quality Conformance Inspections												
	The vendor shall provide a description of its	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
	practices for quality conformance inspections that												
	meet the requirements of Volume 1, Subsection			1									
	8.6. For each test performed, the record of tests			1									
	provided shall include:	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
a. b.	Test location Test date	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
C.	Tester name	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
d.	Test outcomes.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*
2.12.4	Documentation												
	The vendor shall provide a description of its	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept	*

VVSG	Volume II Testing Requirement- Section 2	Section 2.2	Section 2.3	Section 2.4	Section 2.5	Section 2.6	Section 2.7	Section 2.8	Section 2.9	Section 2.10	Section 2.11	Section 2.12	Section 2.13
	practices for documentation of the system and system development process that meet the requirements of Vol. I, Subsection 8.7												
2.13	System Change Notes												
	Vendors submitting modifications for a system that has been tested previously and received national certification shall submit system change notes. The system change notes shall include the following information:	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept
a.	A summary description of the nature, scope and reasons for each change	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept
b.	A listing of the specific changes made, citing the specific system configuration items changed and providing detailed references to the sections of documentation changed	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept
C.	The specific sections of the documentation that are changed (or complete revised documents, if more suitable to address a large number of changes)	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept
d.	Documentation of the test plan and procedures executed by the vendor for testing the individual changes and the system as a whole, and records of test results.	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	Accept

Page 132 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.4 Appendix D: FCA Testing

This appendix contains the detail of the Functional Configuration Audit. It encompasses the testing detail for the Functional/System Level, Environmental, Accuracy, Security, Accessibility and Usability tests. System functions describe the MicroVote EMS voting system functionality required by the VVSG 2005. These functions are traced to one or more Test Cases. A description of the identified Test Case is found in the corresponding Test Method found in this appendix. The detailed test steps, test data and test results were recorded in the individual Test Cases. These are maintained separate documents that are part of the comprehensive test record.

	stem Function	Test Case				
	Ballot Preparation Subsystem					
1)	Creation of an Installation and Election database; set administrative preferences, parties, vote types, precincts, ballot text/graphics, equipment, access security levels and election reports	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy, Security				
2)	Setting up an election; enter election data: offices, candidates, secondary vote limits and reports					
3)	Setting up a ballot; enter, lay out and edit ballots and ballot styles; activate and assign ballots; view ballots for proofing					
4)	Programming and printing election media and ballots; installing ballots on the Infinity Voting Panel; process paper ballot cards					
	Test operations performed prior to , during and after processing of ballots, luding:					
1)	Logic Test – Interpretation of Ballot Styles & recognition of precincts; displaying ballot styles correctly by election type, precinct, precinct splits and party	General 1 & 2, Primary 1				
2)	Accuracy Tests- Clearly identifiable voting fields associated with candidates and measures; correctly mark, record and report an Infinity ballot in visual and audio modes; correctly read and report a voted paper ballot card	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy				
3)	Status Tests- Initialize the Infinity; confirm operational status of the Infinity and Ready mode; check buttons, display and ballot	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy				
4)	Report Generation – Produce, view and print Infinity precinct reports; produce consolidated Infinity and optical mark reader central count reports	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy				
5)	Report Generation- Produce, view and print ballot preparation, Infinity and central count audit reports	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy				
c. I	Procedures applicable to equipment used in a Polling Place for:					
1)	Opening the polls, confirm security code; print zero proof report; enable voting; activate voter sessions; display, vote and cast ballots	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy, Security				
2)	Monitoring equipment status ready and non-ready modes; voting booths provide privacy;	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy, Characteristics				
3)	Equipment response to commands; confirm voting enabled; audio and visual ballots activated; adjust ballot visual and audio display (contrast, magnification, alternative language, volume, speed); write-in, review of votes, casting the ballot; activation of authorized ballot content (election information, election type, precinct, precinct split, party, supported voting variations); usable and accessible generation/display of all voter facing messages and notifications;	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy, Characteristics				
4)	Generating real-time audit messages for election installation, equipment status checks, polls open, vote activations, power recovery, poll closings; report processing	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy, Characteristics				
5)	Polls are closed; ballot activation is disabled; visible indication of system status	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy, Security				
6)	Infinity election data reports are generated	General 1 & 2, Primary 1, Accuracy				

Page 133 of 210 001(B)

System Function	Test Case
7) Transfer ballot count to central counting location via smart card and	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
direct download from the Infinity	Accuracy, Security
8) Electronic network transmission is not available, no wireless capabilities	Telephony and Cryptographic
d. Procedures applicable to equipment used in a Central Count Place	
1) Read and process paper ballot cards for >1 precinct with the optical	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
mark reader	Accuracy
2) Monitoring equipment status; optical mark reader is correctly connected	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
to the EMS and ready to process cards	Accuracy
3) Equipment response to commands; optical mark readers transfer votes	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
to the EMS software; write-in identified; unreadable cards are Reject	Accuracy
4) Integration with peripherals equipment or other data processing	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
systems;	Accuracy
5) Generating real-time audit messages; election installation; reader	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
activation; equipment status checks, power recovery, report processing	Accuracy
6) Generating precinct-level election data reports; view and print reports	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
with partial and complete precinct votes	Accuracy
7) Generating summary election data reports; view and print zero proof	General 1 & 2, Primary 1,
reports; view and print vote summary reports with partial and complete	Accuracy
votes	

7.4.1 FCA Functional and System Level Testing

Items identified as voting variations are either VVSG 2005 optional functionality or MicroVote specific supported functions outside the scope of the VVSG. Two General and One Primary end-to-end mock elections were created to test the supported voting variations. The table below provides a description of the voting variation, whether it is supported and where it is tested. Detail for the Test Cases is found in the Test Methods 7.4.1.1 through 7.4.1.3.

Supported Voting Variations of the VVSG 2005 Section 2.1.7.2		Test Cases & Comments
Closed Primary		
Primary: Closed	Supported	Primary 1
Open Primary		
Primary: Open – Public Selection: A primary election in which voters, regardless of political affiliation, may choose in which party's primary they will vote. Voters publicly declare their choice of party ballot to the poll worker who provides or activates the appropriate ballot. Voters also are permitted to vote on non-partisan offices and ballot issues that are presented at the same election.	Supported	Primary 1
Primary: Open – Private Selection: A primary election in which voters, regardless of political affiliation, may choose in which party's primary they will vote. The voter makes their choice of party ballot within the privacy of the voting booth. Voters also are permitted to vote on non-partisan offices and ballot issues that are presented at the same election.	Unsupported	
Partisan Offices v		
Handles vote for 1 races	Supported	General 1, 2 & Primary 1
Handles N of M races	Supported	General 1, 2 & Primary 1
Handles partisan contests in a primary election	Supported	Primary 1
Handles partisan contests in a general election	Supported	General 1, 2
Non-partisan Offices		
Handles vote for 1 races	Supported	General 1, 2 & Primary 1
Handles N of M races	Supported	General 1, 2 & Primary 1
Handles non-partisan contests in a primary election	Supported	Primary 1
Handles non-partisan contests in a general election	Supported	General 1, 2
Write-In Voting:		
A separate voting position is identified for write-ins.	Supported	General 1
Write-in for an N of M contest has M write-in positions.	Supported	General 1
Write-in for a contest with no candidates (partisan & non-partisan contests)	Supported	General 1

Page 134 of 210 001(B)

Supported Voting Variations of the VVSG 2005 Section 2.1.7.2		Test Cases & Comments
Method to flag write-ins for resolution at central count	Supported	General 1
Primary Presidential Delegation Nominations		
Slates of delegates are displayed for each presidential primary candidate	Supported	Primary 1
		Create the slate using the
		Ballot Text feature
Slates of delegates are chosen with one selection.	Supported	Primary 1
Ballot Rotation:		
Names of candidates rotate.	Unsupported	
Straight Party Voting:		
Make one selection to vote for all candidates of one party in a general	Supported	General 2
election		
Undervote the straight party selection and choose each candidate	Supported	General 2
individually		
Vote straight party and then change votes to cross over to another party's	Supported	General 2
candidate.		
Vote straight party for a party without a candidate in one of the races.	Supported	General 2
Votes straight party in an: N of M contest (N>1)	Supported	General 2
Cross-Party Endorsement:		
One candidate is endorsed by multiple parties.	Supported	General & Primary 1
Cross Party Endorsement is supported in straight party contests	Supported	General 2
Split Precincts:		
Precincts splits with multiple ballot styles	Supported	General 1 & Primary 1
The number of voters is identified for the precinct split.	Supported	General 1 & Primary 1
The vote totals are not reported for the precinct split.	Supported	General 1 & Primary 1
Ballot faces match the correct contests and ballot identification for each	Supported	General 1 & Primary 1
split.	Capponoa	Certeral 1 d 1 milary 1
The correct contests are presented for the appropriate ballot split.	Supported	General 1 & Primary 1
Vote N of M:	o appoint	Constant a timary t
Counts each selected candidate, if less than or equal to "M" candidates	Supported	
are selected. (Overvote if >M)	Capportoa	
Identifies an undervote if less than "M" candidates are selected.	Supported	
Recall Issues, with options:	- Стррина	
The recall vote is a Yes or No question.	Supported	General 1 – Tested a Y/N
'		question
The recall is a vote for 1 of M vote with the Retain one choice and each	Supported	General 1 – Tested a vote
replacement candidate a separate choice.		for 1 of M
Two contests are on the ballot. The voter must vote "Yes" in order to cast	Unsupported	
a vote in the replacement candidate contest. A "No", undervote or		
overvote will not allow a vote in the second contest to be counted.		
Two contests are on the ballot. If a voter votes "Yes" or "No" they may	Unsupported	
vote in the contest for the replacement candidate. An undervote or		
overvote will not allow a vote in the second contest to be counted.		
Cumulative Voting		
Voting method exclusive to multi-member boards. Each voter may cast	Unsupported	
as many votes as there are seats to be filled and may cast two or more of		
those votes for a single candidate.		
Ranked Order Voting	l legues anta d	
Voters rank candidates in a contest in order of choice (1,2,3, etc.)	Unsupported	
A write in vote can be ranked. Tabulation of Ranked Order Votes	Unsupported	
Ballots are sorted according to the 1 st ranked choice. If no candidate	Unsupported	
receives a majority of first ranked choice the candidate with the least 1 st	Onsupported	
ranks is eliminated. Votes are recounted and are distributed to the		
remaining candidates according to the 2 nd ranked choice. If still no		
candidate has a majority the candidate with the process repeats to next		
rank choice s until a candidate has obtained a majority.		
A ballot is not longer counted if all ranked choices have been eliminated	Unsupported	
Once candidates are eliminated no votes can be transferred to them.	Unsupported	
Ballots being recounted which identify an eliminated candidate go to the	22	
next ranked candidate.		
If a rank is skipped the vote for the next rank is counted.	Unsupported	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•

Page 135 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Supported Voting Variations of the VVSG 2005 Section 2.1.7.2		Test Cases & Comments
Provisional or Challenged Ballots		Requires a dedicated DRE
Provisional ballots maintain the secrecy of the ballot.	Supported	General 2 & Primary 1
A voted provisional ballot that is not included in the poll close report can be identified for determination.	Unsupported	
Valid provisional votes can be added in the central count report.	Supported	General 2 & Primary 1
A voted provisional ballot included in the poll close report can be identified and subtracted in the central count.	Supported	General 2 & Primary 1 Challenged votes are retracted before central count consolidation

MicroVote Requirements – Outside the VVSG		
Secondary Vote Limit		
An additional vote limit that can be placed on grouped contests, so that multiple limits are placed on the vote.	Supported	General 2
If contests are grouped with a Secondary Vote Limit, a voter may select no more than the maximum of the secondary limit from among all the grouped contests, additionally they may select no more than the individual contest limit from any single contest.	Supported	General 2

7.4.1.1 General 1 Test Method

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. Specific software and firmware builds are identified for each test iteration.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
9/4/07	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Infinity v.9.61	Reject	# 38, 41, 42, 44, 45, 49, 50, 51, 54, 55, 56, 60, 62, 64, 68, 78	#38	# 38 closed per vendor clarification and # 78 was opened.
10/3/07	EMS v.4.0.9.0 Infinity v.9.61	Accept	#79,80	#41, 44, 49, 50, 51, 54, 55, 56, 62, 68	Discrepancies # 42, 45, 60, 64, 79, & 80 were not specific to General 1 and were moved to General 2 for regression testing.

Only functional issues are identified. While documentation discrepancies may be encountered in testing they do not result in the rejection of a functional test. All issues are documented in Appendix E.

Method Detail	General Election 1 Test Method			
Test Case Name	General 1			
Scope – identifies the type of test	test A system level test incorporating validations of the 2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelin required functionality. Testing includes validation of measurable performance including accuracy, processing rate, and ballot format handling capability. Functional aspects include error recovery, security, and usability of the hardware, software and procedures in the prevote, voting, and post-voting operations of a voting system.			
Test Objective	Validate the ability to accurately and securely create, install, vote, count and report the results of a general election including the identified voting variations.			
Test Variables: Voting Variations	Create New Election:			
(as supported by the voting	General elections			
system)	Partisan/non-partisan offices			
	Contest Write-in votes (Not Candidate level)			
	Cross –party endorsement			
	Vote for N of M			
	Provisional ballots			
	Party Logos			
	2 Precincts; 1 with 2 splits			
	Candidate Sorting – By name within Party			
	Candidate Stacking – Always			
	Ballot Style – Absentee and Infinity (DRE)			
	Manual Vote Entry – in central count reports			

Page 136 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	General Election 1 Test Method
A description of the voting	MicroVote Election Management System voting system includes:
system type and the operational	Ballot preparation & central count software (EMS) installed on a Windows OS PC
environment	running 2000 or XP Professional
	The DRE Infinity Voting Panel running the Infinity Voting Panel Firmware
	 A COTS scanner for absentee ballots
	• Smart cards for ballot installation, poll management, voter access, and transfer of results
	 COTS smart card reader/writer connected to central count (see below for test
	configuration detail)
VVSG 2005 vol.1	2.1 thru 2.4.3, 2.5
VVSG 2005 vol.2	6.2 thru 6.4.1, 6.6 & 6.7
Hardware, Software voting	 Election Management System (EMS v4.0) voting system
system configuration and test	 Ballot Prep/Central Count SW: Election Management System (see above)
location	DRE HW: Infinity Voting Panel Model VP-1 Rev: C
	 DRE SW: Infinity Voting Panel Firmware v 3.1x,
	COTS Smart Card Reader/Writer: GEMPLUS
	COTS Optical Scanner: ACP2200 OMR
	Test Location: iBeta, Aurora, CO
Pre-requisites and preparation	Prior to execution of testing the following prerequisites must be completed.
for execution of the test case.	Record the testers & date
	 System has been set up as identified in the user documentation
	 Install a witnessed build from the EMS software and Infinity firmware source code
	submitted for review
	 Reference the system configuration recorded in the PCA Configuration
	 Document versions of the EMS User, Infinity Poll Worker's, & Infinity Voting Panel
	Technical Reference Manuals
	 Ensure customization of the test case template is complete
	 Create a supervisory level access user and password
	Obtain Green Start and Blue Vote Cards
Getting Started Checks	Check the voting system to :
	 Verify the test environment and system configuration is documented in the PCA
	Configuration and matches the system used in the 48 hr. temp & power variation test
	and manufacturer described configuration.
	Validate installation of the witnessed build
	Testers understand that no change shall occur to the test environment without
	documentation in the test record and the authorization of the project manager.
	During testing an operational readiness test will be performed.
Documentation of Test Data &	Test Data:
Test Results	Record all programmed & observed election, ballot & vote data fields and field contents
	on the corresponding tabs to provide a method to repeat the test
	Preserve all tabs for each instance the test is run. That Paraller.
	Test Results:
	 Enter Accept/Reject on the Test Steps (see "Expected Results are observed" for definitions)
	,
	 In Comments enter deviations, discrepancies, or notable observations Log discrepancies on the Discrepancy Report and insert the number in the Comments
Dra veta Pallat Branavation	
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation	Ballot Prep:
procedures verifications	Installation and Election databases can be accurately/securely defined & formatted. A ballet (condidates & prepositions) can be accurately/securely defined % generated.
	A ballot (candidates & propositions) can be accurately/securely defined & generated. A ballot can be accurately/securely programmed & installed ento the Vote gord via the
	A ballot can be accurately/securely programmed & installed onto the Vote card via the CEMBLUS and reader/writer.
Dro voto: Pollot Proposition	GEMPLUS card reader/writer.
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation	Note: While execution of some security testing occurs in the General 1 test case the detail of
Security	all security testing is compiled in the Security Test Method.
	Ballot Prep:
	For the identified user entity confirm access and use to only the permitted functions and data
	. 5. 1.10 1.25.1.1.1.1.00 door officery continued and data
	COTS
	Authentication is configured on the local terminal & external connection devices,
	Operating systems are enabled for all session & connection openings, & closings, all
	process executions & terminations & for the alteration or detection of any memory or file

Page 137 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	General Election 1 Test Method
	object
	Configure the system to only execute intended & needed processes during the execution election software. Processes are halted until termination of critical system processes
D " T " 1D"	(such as audit).
Readiness Testing and Poll	Voting system is ready for the election:
Verification	Status & data reports are generated The plaction is correctly installed.
	 The election is correctly installed Start Card Functions Screen validates voting system functions correctly
	Test data is segregated from voting data, with no residual effect'
	The polling place voting system functions properly including a formal record of:
	Election, polling place, voting system & ballot format identification (Show Ballot)
	Zero count report (Print Zero Proof)
	A list of all ballot fields
	Test confirmation that there are:
	No hardware/software failures
	The device is ready to be activated to accept votes
Pre- vote: Opening the Polls	DRE
Verification	Green Start Card and Security Password prevent inadvertent or unauthorized poll opening
	Start Card Functions screen enforces the proper sequence of steps to open the polls
	 Display of Start Election Confirmation and Status Screen verifies correct activation Identification of any failures & corrective action
Voting: Ballot Activation and	Protects secrecy of ballot/vote
Casting Verifications	 Records selection/non-selection for each contest Paper-based (Absentee & Provisional)
eaching reimeaners	Allow voter to identify & mark candidates
	Gives feedback & an opportunity to correct, before the ballot is counted
	(under/overvotes)
	DRE
	 Voter can make selections based on ballot programming & indicate selection/non- selection (undervotes) & cancellation
	Alert overvotes; permit review & change before casting
	 Alert selection's complete; prompt confirmation as casting is irrevocable,
	 Alert successful/unsuccessful storage of cast ballot; give instruction to resolve unsuccessful casting
	Prevent vote modification & access until polls close
	Increment the ballot counter
Voting: Voting System Integrity, System Audit, Errors & Status	System audit provides a time stamped always available, report of normal / abnormal events that can't be turned off when the system is in operating mode.
Indicators	Status message are part of the real time audit record.
	Critical status messages requiring operator intervention use clear indicators or text
	Error messages are:
	Generated, stored & reported as they occur
	Errors requiring intervention by voter or poll worker clearly display issues & action
	instructions in easily understood text language or with indicators
	 The text for any numeric codes is contained in the error or affixed to the inside of the voting system
	 Incorrect responses will not lead to irreversible errors.
	Nested conditions are corrected in the sequence to restore the system to the state
	before the error occurred
	Note: While execution of some security testing occurs in the General 1 test case the detail of
D + + OI + + D	all security testing is compiled in the Security Test Method.
Post-vote: Closing the Polls	 While the polls are open but before Tally confirm the panel cannot be put into pretest or reset mode by action of the buttons or the poll worker card.
	Once the polls are closed the voting system
	prevents further casting of ballots or reopening of the polls
	 internally tests and verifies that the closing procedures has been followed and the device status is normal
	visibly displays the status
	 visibly displays the status produces a test record that verifies the sequence of events and indicates the extraction

Page 138 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	General Election 1 Test Method
Post-vote: Central Count	Paper Based:
	The central count voting system includes:
	Election identification
	Zero count report
	 Information to confirm readiness & accommodate administrative reporting requirements Vote Consolidation:
	Consolidated reported votes match predicted votes from polling places, & optionally other sources (absentee, provisional, etc) Reports include:
	Geographic reports of votes; each contest by precinct & other jurisdictional levels
	 Printed reports of ballots counted by tabulator, with votes, undervotes & overvotes
	Report of system audit information printed or in electronic memory
	Report identifying the combination of candidates receiving overvotes
	Prevent data from being altered or destroyed by report generation, transmission over talegorymunication lines or systemic from partiable media.
	telecommunication lines or extraction from portable media Permit extraction & consolidate votes from programmable memory services or data
	storage medium
	 Consolidate the votes from multiple voting systems into a single polling place report DRE
	 Electronic ballot images of votes cast by each voter, extracted from a separate process & storage location, is reported in a human readable form
Post-vote: Security	Note: While execution of some security testing occurs in the General 1 test case the detail of
	all security testing is compiled in the Security Test Method. The Infinity voting panel utilizes 2-factor authentication, possession of a token and
	knowledge of a password to access results after the polls are closed.
	The central count:
	COTS systems
	Authentication is configured on the local terminal and external connection devices,
	Operating system audit logs are enabled for all session and connection openings, and
	closings, all process executions and terminations and for the alteration or detection of
	any memory or file object
	 Configure the system to only execute the intended and necessary processes during the execution of the election software. Election software process are halted until the
	termination of any critical system process, such as system audit.
Post-vote: System Audit	The system audit provides a central count time stamped always available, report of normal
	and abnormal events that cannot be turned off when the system is in operating mode. Status
E	message are part of the real time audit record.
Expected Results are observed	Review the test result against the expected result:
	 Accept: expected result is observed Reject: expected result of the test case is not observed
	Not Testable (NT): rejection of a previous test step prevents execution of this step, or
	tested in another TC.
	Not Applicable (NA): not applicable to test scope
Record observations and all	All inputs, outputs, observations, deviations and any other information impacting the integrity
input/outputs for each election;	of the test results are recorded in the test case.
	Any failure against the requirements of the EAC guidelines means the failure of the
	system and is reported.
	Failures are reported to the manufacturer as Defect Issues in the Discrepancy Report.
	 The manufacturer has the opportunity to cure all discrepancies prior to issuance of the Certification Report.
	If cures are submitted the applicable test is rerun. Complete retest information is
	preserved in the test case. Retest cure and results are noted in the – Discrepancy
	Report and as an appendix of the Certification Report. Operations which do not fail the requirements but could be deemed defects or
	inconsistent with standard software practices or election practices are logged as
	Informational Issues on the Discrepancy Report. It is the manufacturer's option to
	address these issues. Open items are identified in the report.

7.4.1.2 General 2 Test Method

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. Specific software and firmware builds are identified for each test. General 2 was used as a standard functional regression test when any new build was received.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
9/19/2007	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Infinity v.9.61	Reject	# 71 & 72	None	
10/8/2007	EMS v.4.0.9.0 Infinity v.9.61	Reject	#81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, & 89	#64	
11/06/2007	EMS v.4.0.11.0 Infinity v.9.62	Reject		#42,45,60, 71, 72 75, 76, 79, 81, 80 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87	#88 & 89 remains open
12/5/2007	EMS v.4.0.12.0 Infinity v.9.63	Reject	103,104 &105	#88	#89 remains open
12/12/2007	EMS v.4.0.14.0 Infinity v.9.64	Accept		#89, 103, 104, &105	
2/29/2007	EMS v.4.0.16.0 Infinity v.9.66	Reject	#113		Functional regression for changes submitted
3/11/2007	EMS v.4.0.17.0 Infinity v.9.67	Accept		#109, 113	Current production inspected against ECNs, #109 closed
3/24/2007	EMS v.4.0.18.0 Infinity v.9.67	Reject	#121		Functional regression for changes submitted
6/2/08	EMS v.4.0.20 Infinity v.4.0	Accept		#121	
9/25/08	EMS v.4.0.21 Infinity v.4.0	Accept			Functional regression for a submitted change

Only functional issues are identified. While documentation discrepancies may be encountered in testing they do not result in the rejection of a functional test. All issues are documented in Appendix E

Method Detail	General Election 2 Test Method		
Test Case Name	General 2		
	em level test incorporating validations of the 2005 Voluntary Voting System lines required and manufacturer identified functionality. Testing includes validation of urable performance including accuracy; processing rate, and ballot format handling ility, Functional aspects include error recovery, security, and usability of the hardware, are and procedures in the pre-vote, voting, and post-voting operations of a voting n. Functional accessibility (audio, mobility and dexterity) in the voting mode is ed.		
	Validation of the ability to accurately and securely create visual and audio ballots, install, vote with mobility and non-mobility restrictions, count and report the results of a general election including the identified voting variations.		
Test Variables: Voting Variations Create New Election			
(as supported by the voting	General elections		
system)	Straight party voting		

Method Detail	General Election 2 Test Method			
metriod Detail	Partisan/non-partisan offices			
	Write-in votes – Contest and Candidate level			
	Audio ballots			
	Multi-lingual visual and audio ballots			
	Secondary Vote Limit			
	2 Precincts			
	Candidate Sorting – By Name			
	Candidate Stacking – Only within race when required			
	Auto Straight Party Crossover			
	Ballot Graphics (verify not available)			
	Dexterity Assistive Device Ballots – non-electronic mouth stick and toe entry			
A description of the voting	Same as General 1 except:			
system type and the operational	-COTS Smart Card Reader/Writer is not used			
environment	Tally results are directly transferred to the central count software via a port on the			
	 panel A COTS voice synthesis/sound system (text-to-speech converter) for Audio ballots 			
	A CO13 voice synthesis/sound system (text-to-speech converter) for Addio ballots Audio: Headphones			
	Mobility: Accessible voting booth			
	Dexterity: non-electronic entry mouth stick and toe			
VVSG 2005 vol.1	2.1 thru 2.4.3, 2.5, 3.1.3, 3.2			
	HAVA a thru c2			
VVSG 2005 vol.2	6.2 thru 6.4.1, 6.5 thru 6.7			
Hardware, Software voting	Same as General 1 except:			
system configuration and test	COTS Smart Card Reader/Writer is not used			
location	COTS voice synthesis/sound system (text-to-speech converter): DoubleTalk LT			
	Radioshack Headphones			
	MicroVote Accessible voting booth Martha and based stick (assessment and time)			
Day and a second and a second as a second	Mouth and head stick (non-manual voting)			
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	Same as General 1, plus: Use the "Preferences" settings from General 1			
Getting Started Checks	Same as General 1			
Documentation of Test Data &	Same as General 1			
Test Results	Came as General 1			
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation	Same as General 1except:			
procedures verifications	A ballot can be accurately/securely programmed & installed onto the Infinity Voting			
	Panel via a port on the panel.			
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation	Same as General 1			
Security				
Readiness Testing and Poll Verification	Same as General 1			
Pre- vote: Opening the Polls	Same as General 1			
Verification	Same as General 1			
Voting: Ballot Activation and	Same as General 1,			
Casting Verifications	Accessible Spanish ballots can be accessed			
	 English or Spanish accessible ballots can be audibly accessed 			
	Accessible ballots contain all visual ballot content			
	 Instructions, status and error messages display in the accessible manner 			
	Accessible ballots can be voted securely and independently			
V V 2	Ballots can be voted with non-manual input			
Voting: Voting System Integrity,	Same as General 1			
System Audit, Errors & Status Indicators				
Post-vote: Closing the Polls	Same as General 1			
	 Votes are not identifiable as being cast via accessible means 			
Post-vote: Central Count	Same as General 1			
	 Votes are not identifiable as being cast via accessible means 			
Post-vote: Security	Same as General 1			
Post-vote: System Audit	Same as General 1			
Expected Results are observed	Same as General 1			
	<u>.</u>			

Page 141 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	General Election 2 Test Method
Record observations and all	Same as General 1
input/outputs for each election;	

7.4.1.3 Primary 1 Test Method

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. The specific software and firmware builds are identified.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
10/22/2008	EMS v.4.0.9.0 Infinity v.9.61	Accept	#79, 81, 82, 83, 84	#41, 44, 48, 50, 51, 54	Discrepancies #79, 81, 82, 83, 84 were not specific to primary functions and were consolidated in General 2 for Regression testing

Only functional issues are identified. While documentation discrepancies may be encountered in testing they do not result in the rejection of a functional test. All issues are documented in Appendix E

Method Detail	Primary Election 1 Test Method			
Test Case Name	Primary 1			
Scope – identifies the type of test	A system level test incorporating validations of the VVSG 2005 required functionality. Testing includes validation of measurable performance including accuracy; processing rate, and ballot format handling capability, Functional aspects include error recovery, security, and usability of the hardware, software and procedures in the pre-vote, voting, and post-voting operations of a voting system. Functional aspects include error recovery, security, and usability of the hardware, software and procedures in the pre-vote, voting, and post-voting operations of a voting system. Functional accessibility (audio, mobility and dexterity) in the voting mode is included.			
Test Objective	Validate the ability to accurately and securely create, install, vote, count and report the results of a closed primary election or an open primary election with public party declaration including the identified voting variations. Validate that the Primary ballot operates in the identical mode as a General ballot. Validate that Primary ballots are separate static ballots individually configured in the EMS. Validate that the selection of a Primary ballot is performed by the poll worker and requires no private or independent action by the voter so that specific "Primary only" accessible functionality is required			
Test Variables: Voting Variations	Closed/open primaries public declaration			
(as supported by the voting	Partisan/non-partisan offices			
system)	Write-in votes			
	Primary presidential delegation nominations			
	Ballot rotation is not supported			
	Cross –party endorsement			
	Multiple and Split precincts			
	Vote for N of M			
	Provisional or challenged ballots			
	Candidate Sorting – None			
	Candidate Stacking – On entire ballot when required			
	Audio ballots			
	Multi-lingual visual and audio ballots			
	Dexterity Assistive Device Ballots – non-electronic mouth stick and toe entry			
A description of the voting system type and the operational environment	Same as General 1			
VVSG 2005 vol.1	2.1 thru 2.4.3, 2.5			
VVSG 2005 vol.2	6.2 thru 6.4.1, 6.6 & 6.7			
Hardware, Software voting	Same as General 1			
system configuration and test	A COTS voice synthesis/sound system (text-to-speech converter) for Audio ballots			
location	Audio: Headphones			
10041011	Mobility: Accessible voting booth			
	ivioniity. Accessine voting booth			

Page 142 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	Primary Election 1 Test Method	
	Dexterity: non-electronic entry mouth stick and toe	
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	Same as General 1	
Getting Started Checks	Same as General 1	
Documentation of Test Data & Test Results	Same as General 1	
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation procedures verifications	Same as General 1	
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation Security	Same as General 1	
Readiness Testing and Poll Verification	Same as General 1	
Pre- vote: Opening the Polls Verification	Same as General 1	
Voting: Ballot Activation and Casting Verifications	Same as General 1 Accessible Spanish ballots can be accessed English or Spanish accessible ballots can be audibly accessed Accessible ballots contain all visual ballot content Instructions, status and error messages display in the accessible manner Accessible ballots can be voted securely and independently Ballots can be voted with non-manual input	
Voting: Voting System Integrity, System Audit, Errors & Status Indicators	Same as General 1	
Post-vote: Closing the Polls	Same as General 1	
Post-vote: Central Count	Same as General 1	
Post-vote: Security	Same as General 1	
Post-vote: System Audit	Same as General 1	
Expected Results are observed	Same as General 1	
Record observations and all input/outputs for each election;	Same as General 1	

7.4.2 FCA Security Test Method

Detail for the security testing was incorporated into iBeta standards testing, source code review and document reviews. See Appendix B and C for these source code and document reviews and Appendix D General 1, General 2, Primary 1 and Telephony and Cryptographic Test Methods for these test results.

Run	Builds	Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
9/21/07	NA-document review	Accept			v.1: 2.1.4.g Document Review to only install specified software
9/21/07	NA-document review	Accept			v.1: 2.1.4.i Document Review instructs how to confirm correct configuration
9/21/07	NA-document review	Reject	#74		v.1: 7.4.2 Document Review configuration to avoid network attack
10/11/07	NA-document review	Accept		#74	v.1: 7.4.2 Document Review configuration to avoid network attacks
10/15/07	EMS 4.0.9.0 Infinity 9.61	Accept			v.1: 2.1.4. f & 7.4.1.c Vote data cannot be retrieved from the com ports
10/15/07	EMS 4.0.9.0	Accept			v.1: 7.3 Source Code

Run	Builds	Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
rtan	Infinity 9.61	rtoour	ioodoo o ponod	100000 010000	Review: Replacement of
	I minity 0.01				Infinity software is
					restricted to expert
					technicians
10/15/07	EMS 4.0.9.0	Accept			v.1: 7.3 Non-system
10/10/01	Infinity 9.61	7.0000			administrators are
					blocked from installing a
					virus
10/15/07	EMS 4.0.9.0	Accept			v.1: 2.1.2 d Source code
	Infinity 9.61				review to verify
	,				checksum validation
10/18/08	EMS 4.0.9.0	Accept			v.1: 2.1.4.f & 7.3.1The
	Infinity 9.61				vote table
					(tblPrecinctVote) cannot
					be accessed
10/19/07	EMS 4.0.9.0	Reject	#92		v.1: 2.1.1.f & 7.3.1Tally
	Infinity 9.61				card results can be
	-				modified without
					detection
10/19/07	EMS 4.0.9.0	Accept			v.1: 2.1.1.f & 7.3.1
	Infinity 9.61				Unable to alter Vote card
10/22/07	EMS 4.0.9.0	Accept			v.1: 7.3.1 Tamper
	Infinity 9.61				evidence in seal removal
11/14/07	NA – Document	Accept		#92	v.1:2.1.1.f Assessment
	Review				of risk and document
					review of Tally card
					chain of evidence
					procedures
6/13/08	NA- Document	Accept			v.1: 7.4.1.a Review the
	Review				System Identification
					User manual and
					validate procedures to
					retest ROM prior to
					elections documented.
6/13/08	EMS 4.0.20.0	Accept			v.1: 7.4.1.b Inspect the
	Infinity 4.0				chip and confirm all
					election specific
					executable code is only
					present on non-
0/40/00	EMO 4 0 00 0				permanent memory.
6/13/08	EMS 4.0.20.0	Accept			v.1: 7.4.1.d Review and
	Infinity 4.0				confirm separate
					memory chips are
					documented. Remove
					the chip with the
					firmware. Boot up the Infinity panel and confirm
					the BIOS runs but no
					election is accessible.
6/13/08	EMS 4.0.20.0	Accept			v.1: 7.4.1.e Inspect the
0,13,00	Infinity 4.0	Accept			chip and confirm that no
	1111111ty 4.0				source code, compilers
					or assemblers are
					resident or accessible
8/19/08	EMS 4.0.20.0	Reject	#135		v1: 7.5.1.a Source Code
5, 15, 50	Infinity 4.0	1.0,000	"100		Review based upon EAC
	,				interpretation that this
<u> </u>	1		L	1	torprotation that this

Run	Builds	Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
					requirement is not restricted to telecommunications data transmission.
9/11/08	EMS 4.0.21.0 Infinity 4.0	Accept		#135	v.1: 7.5.1.a Source Code Review confirmed that all transmissions verify checksums at the application level.

Only functional issues are identified. While documentation discrepancies may be encountered in testing they do not result in the rejection of a functional test. It should be noted that a functional issue may be resolved with documentation of a procedure.

Method Detail	Security Test Method			
Test Case Name	Security			
Scope - identifies the type of test	 Security testing crosses into several areas of voting system testing. Integrated system level test cases General 1, 2 and Primary 1 are customized to test the security elements incorporated in the pre-vote, voting and post voting functions. A review of the security documentation addresses the Access Controls, Physical Security and Software Security. A source code review confirms: single exit points, no unbound arrays, no vote counter overflows, audit logging of errors & events, separate & redundant ballot image, vote and audit recording; halt of execution at the loss of critical systems, no computer-generated passwords 			
Test Objective	The objective of security testing is to minimize the risk of accidents, inadvertent mistakes and errors; protect from intentional manipulation, fraud or malicious mischief;			
Test Variables:	In general & primary elections validate the effectiveness of the pre-vote, voting, & post voting functions and security policies & procedures, including: Entry of election data that exceeds boundaries & field limits; exercises errors & status messages; confirms correct operations & prevention of incorrect operations. Confirms secrecy in the voting process, including: Ballot formatting, Casting & recording votes, Calculating & reporting vote totals consistent with defined ballot formats, Confirms prevention and detection of: Interruption of the recording of votes Introduction of data not cast by authorized voters Alteration of audit & vote data; Access to votes & totals by unauthorized individuals; Access to voter identification data so that content of a specific vote can be linked to the voter Attempts to circumvent controls, bypass & defeat security Poll worker & voter attacks Effectiveness of the documented security polices and procedures			
A description of the voting system type and the operational environment	Same as General 1			
VVSG 2005 vol.1	2.1.1, 2.1.3, 2.1.4 e thru j, thru 2.1.5.2, 5.2.3.e, 7.2 thru 7.4			
VVSG 2005 vol.2	2.6, 5.4.2.d, g, & p, 6.4 thru 6.4.2			
Hardware, Software voting system configuration and test location	Same as General 1 In the Security Review and Test: Non-election smart cards cannot be used External "Non-trusted user" accessing the PC and smart card reader/writer.			
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	The System Level and Telephony and Cryptographic Test Cases are reviewed to ensure that they incorporate the validation that no results are transmitted on public networks. Source code review for security is completed with no outstanding issues Same as General 1			

Page 145 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	Security Test Method
Getting Started Checks	Follow steps in the System Level and Telephony and Cryptographic Test Cases.
	The vendor supplied security documentation is reviewed against the requirements for Access Controls, Physical Security and Software Security. Complete the prerequisites; - Record the reviewer & date
	- Gather any necessary materials or manuals.
Documentation of Test Data & Test Results	Record the results of the security & source code reviews. Enter Accept/Reject against each review requirement. Log discrepancies on the appropriate Discrepancy Report
Dre vote: Pollet Preparation	Same as General 1
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation procedures verifications	Follow the test steps in the General 1test case to validate: Users are forced to change the initial hard-coded username and password User Manual identifies the system configuration for only executing election software
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation Security	 Follow the test steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1 cases to validate: Security access controls limit or detect access to critical systems & the loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality & accountability Power can be interrupted & restored without loss of election data Audit logs contain entries for failed attempts, normal & abnormal events. Functions don't execute if preconditions are not met Functions are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps, & test data System access controls are implemented for ballot preparation; for the identified entity confirm access and use to only the permitted functions and data Security provisions are implemented for ballot preparation Pre-vote communication errors are reported to the user & require corrective action to continue operation Pre-vote errors restore the system to the prior condition without losing data There is no use of public networks to transfer data Provided documentation of mandatory administrative procedures. Verify that a virus or other malicious software cannot be installed on the EMS computer without Administrator privileges.
Readiness Testing and Poll Verification	 Follow test steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1 test cases to validate for the Ballot Installation functions: Security access controls limit or detect access to critical systems & the loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality & accountability Audit logs contain entries for failed attempts, normal & abnormal events. Ballot installation, readiness testing and poll verification functions don't execute if preconditions are not met and are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps, & test data System access controls are implemented for ballot installation, readiness testing and poll verification; for the identified entity confirm access and use to only the permitted functions and data Security provisions are implemented for ballot installation, readiness and poll verification Readiness and poll verification communication errors are reported to the user & require corrective action to continue operation Readiness and poll verification errors restore the system to the prior condition without losing data There is no use of public networks to transfer data during installation and readiness Security
Pre- vote: Opening the Polls Verification	Follow test steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1 test cases to validate for the Poll Opening functions Prior to opening polls, confirm Vote, Tally and non-election smart cards do not open polls Security access controls limit or detect access to critical systems & the loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality & accountability Audit logs contain entries for normal & abnormal events.

Page 146 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	Security Test Method
Voting: Ballot Activation and Casting Verifications	 Functions for opening the polls don't execute if preconditions are not met and are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps, & test data System access controls are implemented for opening the polls; for the identified entity confirm access and use to only the permitted functions and data Security provisions are implemented for opening the polls Opening the polls communication errors are reported to the user & require corrective action to continue operation Opening the polls errors restore the system to the prior condition without losing data There is no use of public networks to transfer data when opening the polls Follow test steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1 test cases to validate: Vote errors & communication errors are displayed with action to resolve Correct ballot & voting function activation functions don't execute if preconditions are not met and are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps, & test data Precinct counts cannot be printed or viewed prior to the close of the polls Confirm user or security documentation identifies measures to enable poll workers to physically protect and perform orderly shutdown of voting equipment to counteract vandalism, civil disobedience, and similar occurrences. Power can be interrupted & restored without loss of election data There is no data transmission prior to the voter casting a ballot Additional Security Review and Testing: "Non-trusted user" with a Vote card, a PC and smart card reader/writer. In 6 hours attempt to duplicate the card to permit multiple voter sessions. "Non-trusted user" attempt to access the
	Verify that two-factor authentication is required to upgrade or replace the software on
Voting: Voting System Integrity, System Audit, Errors & Status Indicators	the Infinity panel via the serial port. Follow test steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1 test cases to validate: System audit detects access to critical systems & the loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality & accountability Power can be interrupted & restored without loss of election data Audit logs contain entries for failed attempts, normal & abnormal voting events. Voting functions don't execute if preconditions are not met and are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps & test data
Post-vote: Closing the Polls	Follow steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1 test cases to validate: Security access controls limit or detect access to critical systems & the loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality & accountability Audit logs contain entries for failed attempts, normal & abnormal events. Poll closing functions don't execute if preconditions are not met and are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps, & test data System access controls are implemented for closing the polls; for the identified entity confirm access and use to only the permitted functions and data Security provisions are implemented for closing the polls and transfer of results Poll closing errors & communication errors are reported to the user & require corrective action to continue operation Poll closing errors restore the system to the prior condition without losing data There is no use of public networks to transfer data Precinct counts cannot be printed or viewed prior to the close of the polls
Post-vote: Central Count	 Follow steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1test cases to validate Security access controls limit or detect access to critical systems & the loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality & accountability Audit logs contain entries for failed attempts, normal & abnormal events. Central count and reporting functions don't execute if preconditions are not met and are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps, & test data

Page 147 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	Security Test Method
Method Detail	 System access controls are implemented for central count and reporting for the identified entity confirm access and use to only the permitted functions and data Security provisions are implemented for central count and reporting Post vote central count and reporting errors & communication errors are reported to the user & require corrective action to continue operation Post vote errors restore the system to the prior condition without losing data There is no use of public networks to transfer data The Infinity voting panel utilizes 2-factor authentication, possession of a token and knowledge of a password to access results after the polls are closed. Central count post vote errors & communication errors Transfer, calculating and reporting functions
	Power can be interrupted & restored without loss of election data
Post-vote: Security	Security Test and Review The vote table (database) can be accessed from the EMS server GEMPLUS Voter and Tally cards; "Trusted user" with access to a Tally card & EMS; In 45 minutes attempt to modify vote tally data; Verify data is not impacted & the attempt is logged Virus or other malicious software cannot be installed on the EMS computer without Administrator privileges.
Post-vote: System Audit	 Follow steps in the General 1, 2 & Primary 1 test cases to validate: System audit detects access to critical systems & the loss of system integrity, availability, confidentiality & accountability Power can be interrupted & restored without loss of election data Audit logs contain entries for failed attempts, normal & abnormal events. Functions don't execute if preconditions are not met Functions are only executable in the intended manner, order & under intended conditions outlined in the user manual, test steps, & test data
	Additional Security Review & Test Incorrect user of smart cards are logged Detection of changes on smart cards Attempts to access vote tables are identified
Expected Results are observed	Same as General 1 Security and Source Code Review Criteria: Accept meets the guideline Reject does not meet the guideline NA the guideline does not apply
Record observations and all input/outputs for each election;	All inputs, outputs, observations, deviations and any other information impacting the integrity of the test results will be recorded in the General 1, 2 or Primary 1 Test Case. Additional security tests shall be recorded in the Security Review and Test table. The results and findings of these additional tests are in the preceding table, traced to the specific vol. 1 section 7 requirement.

7.4.3 FCA Accuracy Testing

7.4.3.1 Accuracy DRE (Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, Volume, & Stress) Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. The specific software and firmware builds are identified for each test iteration.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
12/17/07	EMS v.4.0.14.1 Infinity 9.64	Reject - Reliability	#106 & 107		Hardware failures in the operational status check #109 added after diagnosis
12/17/07	EMS v.4.0.14.1 Infinity 9.64	Accept- Accuracy			The operational status check failure did not impact the accuracy of the Infinity Voting Panel

4/29/08	EMS v.4.0.18.1	Accept -	#106 & 107	Operation included
	Infinity 9.67	Reliability		validation of the
				accuracy of votes cast

Only functional issues are identified. While documentation discrepancies may be encountered in testing they do not result in the rejection of a functional test. All issues are documented in Appendix E.

	Accuracy DRE(Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, Volume, and Stress)
iBeta Definition Test Case Name	Accuracy- (DRE) Infinity Voting Panel
Scope - identifies the type of test	Accuracy testing validates the individual ballot positions in terms of a maximum error rate while processing a specified volume of date. Maximization of ballot positions and large numbers of votes incorporate stress and volume test conditions. Reliability and availability is measured in the results of the Accuracy Test.
Test Objective	Validation of the ability to capture, record, store consolidate and report a predicted total of vote selections and the absence of vote selection for a minimum of 1,549.703 ballot positions without error or with an acceptable level of error.
Test Variables: Accuracy Reliability Volume Stress	Ballot Preparation Variable: Test ballot using more than 80% of ballot location capacity with the system maximum of 10 Parties. Configure: 10 Contests (8 @ Vote for 5, 2@ Vote for 1) = 420 ballot positions/ballots. Contest headers and ballot text a ballot layout to 502 Infinity ballot locations (83.7%)
	Ballots shall be voted manually and using an automated script. 10% of the required ballot positions are entered manually. Manual entry occurs in approximately 2% blocks (each exceeding the 26,997 Go/No Go minimum) in each of the 5 intervals (beginning, intervals 2 through 4, and end). During 3 cycles an automated script is run to exceed the minimum of 1,549,703 ballot positions. The VVSG permits a simulation for the purpose of eliminating human error in casting test ballots. During testing ballots are cast in up to 20 precincts (Vote Consolidation and Reporting Variables: Interval 1 will incorporate the Go/No Go minimum. Interval 5 will incorporate all results. Consolidate and validate tallies meet the
	predicted result. Chamber operation: Four units will run 48 hours through two Temperature and Power variation cycles, exceeding the minimum 163 hours Test Plan Change: The regression test will include 4 units run for 48 hours through Temperature and Power variations cycles and 16 hour ambient. Operation will include panel programming, readiness, automated ballot generation, reporting. The panels shall remain powered during test administration. (Interpretation 2008-01)
A description of the voting system type and the operational environment	 MicroVote Election Management System voting system includes: Ballot preparation & central count software (EMS) installed on a Windows OS PC running 2000 or XP Professional The DRE Infinity Voting Panel running the Infinity Voting Panel Firmware Smart cards for ballot installation, poll management, voter access, and transfer of results COTS smart card reader/writer connected to central count
VVSG 2005 vol.1	2.1.2, 2.1.5. 4.1.1.a thru d.i, 4.1.5.2.a thru 4.1.6.1.a, 4.3.3, 4.3.5.a thru d Interpretation 2008-01
VVSG 2005 vol.2	1.7.1.1, 1.8.2.2, 1.8.2.3, 4.7.1.1, 4.7.3 thru 4.7.4.d.i, 6.1, 6.2.3
Hardware, Software voting system configuration and test location	Election Management System (EMS v4.0) voting system Ballot Prep/Central Count SW: Election Management System v.4.0 DRE HW: Infinity Voting Panel Model VP-1 Rev: C DRE SW: Infinity Voting Panel Firmware v 3.1x, Test Location: Temperature and Power variation- environmental HW lab
Dro requisites and preparation	Test will be run in conjunction with the Temperature and Power Variation as described in the Test Variables
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	Confirm Wyle can provide a chamber to permit initiation of ballot counting cycles in the chamber by a method that ensures the integrity of the test temperature environment. Complete the prerequisites; Record the testers, subcontractor accreditation, environmental test method, chamber calibration date & date

Page 149 of 210 001(B)

iBeta Definition	Accuracy DRE(Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, Volume, and Stress)
	Use the Environmental Test Case for instructions on the Temperature and Power
	Variations test method (MIL-STD 810D Method 502.2 and 501.2).
	Ensure customization of the test case template is complete
	Include confirmation that error logging and audit reports are enabled.
Getting Started Checks	Validate that the method for initiation of ballot counting cycles in the chamber can be
	accessed externally or by a method that will ensure the integrity of the temperature
	environment.
	Check the voting system to: Verify the test environment and system configuration is documented in the PCA
	Configuration and matches the vendor documented configuration.
	Validate installation of the witnessed build
	Testers understand that no change shall occur to the test environment without
	documentation in the test record and the authorization of the project manager.
	 Initiate an operational status to confirm the correct function of the voting system prior to
	initiation of Accuracy testing.
	Record start time.
Documentation of Test Data &	Same as General 1
Test Results	Total time of operation shall be recorded and compiled
Accuracy: Paper-based voting	Verify the Infinity is not a paper based system
systems Processing	, and many to the appear assess system
Accuracy: DRE Voting Systems	DRE voting systems, verify:
Processing	Recording of candidate and contest voter selections into voting data storage
	Recording of candidate and contest voter selections into ballot image storage
	independently from the voting data storage
	 Vote selection data from multiple precinct-based voting machines generate jurisdiction-
	wide vote counts
	Consolidated vote data is stored
	Consolidated reports are accurate against a predicted vote total
Accuracy: Error Rate	Maximum error rate is less than one in 10,000,000 ballot positions, with a maximum error
	rate of one in 500,000 ballot positions in the test process. Errors are from any source while
	testing a specific processing function and its related equipment.
	The error rate determines the accuracy test vote position processing volume:
	Reject: one error before counting 26,997 consecutive ballot positions correctly
	Accept: 1,549,703 (or more) consecutive ballot positions are read correctly
	• If there's one error with more than 26,997 ballot positions but less than 1,549,703
	correctly read, continue testing until another 1,576,701 consecutive ballot positions are
D. F. 1-39	counted without error (i.e. Accept: 3,126,404 with one error)
Reliability	Reliability shall be identified by determination of the Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF)
	during the minimum test period of 163 hours. The MTBF is the value of the ratio of operating time to the number of failures.
	A failure is defined as any event which results in either the: Loss of one or more functions;
	Degradation of performance such that the device is unable to perform its intended function
	for longer than 10 seconds.
	Verify that the system does not include a VVPAT, and exempt from VVSG vol. 1 sect. 7.9.4
Availability	Voting system availability (Ai) for the function of all combined devices and components must
,	be equal or greater than 99%.
	Ai = (MTBF)/(MTBF + MTTR) MTTR.
	Inherent availability (Ai) = % system is functional
	Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) = total operation time
	Mean Time To Repair (MTTR) = average time required to perform a corrective maintenance
	task during periods of system operation.
	Corrective maintenance = on-site repair or substitution of the device or a component
	Corrective maintenance task time = active repair time + logistic /administrative time
Even at ad Dooulte are all as a state of	(notification and travel time of qualified maintenance personnel)
Expected Results are observed	Same as General 1
Record observations and all	Same as General 1
input/outputs for each election;	System failures will be logged with the MTTR. The log will be used to determine
	Availability.

Page 150 of 210 001(B)

7.4.3.2 Accuracy OMR (Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, Volume, & Stress)

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. The specific software and firmware builds are identified for each test iteration.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
3/19/08	EMS v.4.0.17.0	Reject	#114		
4/8/08	EMS v.4.0.18.0	Reject	#115	#114	
4/28/08	EMS v.4.0.18.0	Accept			Ballots were marked in ink & #2 pencil per MicroVote's modified marking specifications; On 3 ballots (2-42, 4-10 & 22-30) unexpected overvotes were reported. Visual inspection of the ballots confirmed small stray ink marks in valid election ovals. These overvotes were accepted as valid reads and documented in the test record.

Only functional issues are identified. While documentation discrepancies may be encountered in testing they do not result in the rejection of a functional test. All issues are documented in Appendix E. It should be noted that a functional issue may be resolved with documentation of a procedure and/or specification.

iBeta Definition	Accuracy OMR (Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, Volume, and Stress)			
Test Case Name	Accuracy - COTS Optical Mark Reader			
Scope - identifies the type of test	Same as Accuracy - Infinity Voting Panel			
Test Objective	Same as Accuracy - Infinity Voting Panel			
Test Variables: Accuracy	Ballot Preparation Variable:			
Reliability Volume Stress	A ballot will be prepared using the maximum number of ballot positions supported by the OMR (LP443-B ballot cards, 402 ovals). 11 contests with 35 candidates and a Vote for 6 will be programmed on 385 ovals (ballot positions). One oval per contest (11) will be programmed with contest text and the remaining 6 ovals will not be programmed.			
	A total of 4026 ballots will be cast to reach the 1,549,703 minimum ballot positions. One OMR reader shall be connected to an EMS central count workstation. 71 ballots will be cast to reach the 26,997 Go/No Go minimum. All ballots will be cast in a single precinct in 42 separate batches. All ballot positions, contest ovals and non-programmed ovals will be tested to verify that programmed ovals are accurately read and marks in contest or non-programmed ovals are not read. A ballot shall be marked in pencil and read in two orientations. Test Plan Change: Ballots shall be hand marked with 90% in black ink and 10% #2 pencil. Ink ballots shall be used 8 times. #2 pencil ballots shall be used 2 times. All ballots shall be inserted in a minimum of 2 orientations. (Top/front, bottom/front, top/back, or bottom/back)			
	Vote Consolidation and Reporting Variables: Read an initial set of 71 ballots. Consolidate the results in the EMS to validate the 26,997 Go/No Go minimum. The remaining ballots shall be read in batches of no more than 100. At the end of each batch, consolidate the results in the EMS to confirm that it meets the predicted results. Non-chamber operation:			
	The OMR is exempt. VVSG 2005 exempts COTS equipment from the 48-hour environmental chamber hardware operating test			
A description of the voting system type and the operational environment	MicroVote Election Management System voting system includes: Ballot preparation & central count software (EMS) installed on a Windows OS PC running 2000 or XP Professional			

iBeta Definition	Accuracy OMR (Accuracy, Reliability, Availability, Volume, and Stress)
	COTS scanner for absentee/provisional ballots
VVSG 2005 vol.1	2.1.2, 2.1.5. 4.1.1 .a thru d.i, 4.1.5.2.a thru 4.1.6.1.a, 4.3.3, 4.3.5.a thru d
VVSG 2005 vol.2	1.7.1.1, 1.8.2.2, 4.7.1.1, 4.7.3 thru 4.7.4.d.i, 6.1, 6.2.3
Hardware, Software voting system configuration and test location	Election Management System (EMS v4.0) voting system Ballot Prep/Central Count SW: Election Management System v.4.0.0.0 COTS Optical Scanner: OMR /ACP2200 Test Location:
	Test will be run on confirmed COTS equipment (see h. Description of Procedure - preparation of items) at room temperature
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	 Confirm the equipment meets the COTS exemption by reviewing: the device and product specifications to confirm that it has not been modified in any manner to support use as a voting system the documentation to confirm a record of performance under the conditions defined in the VVSG (v.1:4.1.2)
	Complete the prerequisites;
	Record the testers
	 System has been set up as identified in the user manual Ensure customization of the test case template is complete
Getting Started Checks	Check the voting system to: Verify the test environment and system configuration is documented in the PCA
	Configuration and matches the vendor documented configuration. Validate installation of the witnessed build
	 Testers understand that no change shall occur to the test environment without documentation in the test record and the authorization of the project manager.
	 Initiate an operational status to confirm the correct function of the voting system prior to initiation of Accuracy testing.
	Record start time.
Documentation of Test Data & Test Results	Same as General 1 Total time of operation shall be recorded and compiled
Accuracy: Paper-based voting systems Processing	 Paper-based voting systems, verify: All ballot positions on paper ballots can be scanned and detect selections for individual candidates and contests, converting them to digital data. (Receive electronic signals produced by punches, vote marks and timing information; perform logical and numerical operations upon the data; and reproduce the contents of memory without error (including ballot style/precinct, a vote for a specific candidate/contest or other source.) The voting system does not record extraneous marks, smudges or folds. (Extraneous marks are outside programmed ovals.) The voting system rejects more than 2% of ballots that meet the vendor's specifications for marking. Vote selection data from multiple precinct-based voting machines is stored with the generated jurisdiction-wide vote counts Consolidated reports are accurate against a predicted vote total
Accuracy: DRE Voting Systems Processing	Verify the Optical Mark Readers are not a DRE
Accuracy: Error Rate	Same as Accuracy - Infinity Voting Panel
Reliability	Same as Accuracy - Infinity Voting Panel Accumulation of the 163 hours shall include Accuracy and System Level testing. Verify that the system is not a DRE with a VVPAT and exempt from VVSG vol. 1 sect. 7.9.4
Availability	Same as Accuracy - Infinity Voting Panel
Expected Results are observed	Same as General 1
Record observations and all input/outputs for each election;	 Same as General 1 System failures will be logged with the MTTR. The log will be used to determine Availability.

7.4.4 FCA Characteristics (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability) Testing

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. The specific software and firmware builds are identified for each test iteration.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
9/20/2007	EMS 4.0.8.0	Accept-			
	Infinity 9.61	Usability			
9/20/2007	EMS 4.0.8.0	Reject -	#75, 76, 77, 94,		
	Infinity 9.61	Accessibility/	95, 96, 97		
		Maintainability			
11/12/2007	EMS 4.0.11.0	Accept		#75, 76	#75 & 76 results are
	Infinity 9.62	Accessibility			recorded in General 2
5/1/2008	EMS 4.0.18.0	Accept -			Optical scanner back-
		Recovery			up
5/2/2008	EMS 4.0.18.0	Accept -			DRE back up
	Infinity 9.67	Recovery			
Various	NA- Document	Accept -		#77, 94, 95, 96	See Appendix E for
dates	Review	Maintainability		& 97	regression validations &
					dates

All issues are documented in Appendix E.

Method Detail	Characteristics Test Method		
Test Case Name	FCA Voting System Characteristics Test Case (Recovery, Accessibility, Usability & Maintainability)		
Scope - identifies the type of test	Accessibility, usability, maintainability and recovery are characteristics of the voting system. These characteristics are performed as a single combined functional test during mock election. Validation of the integration of security and accuracy functions of the usability and accessibility features are tested in the system level tests.		
Test Objective	The objective of characteristics testing is to verify the accessibility, usability, maintainability and recovery requirements of the VVSG 2005 guidelines and HAVA are met.		
Test Variables: Voting Variations (as supported by the voting system)	 An audio/visual straight party ballot with multi-lingual capabilities will be used. One contest shall have a write-in vote. One contest shall have more candidates or text than can be displayed on the screen. Restricting visual access will be handled by blindfolding the tester 		
A description of the voting system type and the operational environment	Same as General 2		
VVSG 2005 vol.1	2.1.5.1.a.vi & vii, 2.3.3.1.a & e, 2.3.3.3, 3.1.4 thru 3.1.7.2.b, 3.2.1 thru 3.2.6, 4.1.2.4.c, 4.2.1 thru 4.2.3.b. ii, 4.3.1 thru 4.3.2, 4.3.4.1 thru 4.3.4.2. g, 4.3.6 a thru c & 7.9.6.a thru 7.9.7 b. HAVA 301a.3 & 4		
VVSG 2005 vol.2	4.7.2, 6.5, 6.7		
Hardware, Software voting system configuration and test location	Same as General 2		
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	 A test election (including parameters listed in section d) is prepared and installed on the polling place device During installation of the election confirm the operational readiness of the voting system. System has been set up as identified in the user manual Record the testers & date Gather any necessary materials including accessibility equipment, and manuals Ensure customization of the test case template is complete 		
Getting Started Checks	Check the voting system to: Verify the test environment and system configuration is documented in the PCA Configuration and matches the vendor described configuration. Validate installation of the witnessed build Testers understand that no change shall occur to the test environment without		

Method Detail	Characteristics Test Method
	documentation in the test record and the authorization of the project manager.
	• Install the Election and Installation databases, and open the polls using the process
	defined on the Test Data tab.
Documentation of Test Data &	Test Data:
Test Results	Record all programmed & observed election & ballot data fields and field contents on
	the corresponding tabs to provide a method to repeat the test
	 Preserve all tabs for each instance the test is run.
	Test Results:
	Enter Accept/Reject on the Test Steps
	In Comments enter any deviations, discrepancies, or notable observations
	Log discrepancies on the Discrepancy Report and insert the number in the Comments
Polling Place Hardware &	Validations of operations in the voting mode:
Recovery	DRE operates for a minimum of 2 hours on backup power Adjust or magnify the foot size color contract and audio values.
	Adjust or magnify the font size, color, contrast, and audio volume Payer symply interpreting with symptoms of data.
	Power supply interruption without corruption of data Talescommunications interruption with out corruption of data
	 Telecommunications interruption without corruption of data Three second response time
	 Review the transportation and storage features for safe and easy handling Examine the voting system devices for caution and warning labels (exposure to
	electrical voltages and moving parts)
Usability- Cognitive & Perceptual	
Osability- Obgrillive & Ferceptual	 Presentation of Contest Choice does not introduce any bias in both visual and aural
	formats.
	 Instruction presentation provides clear instructions and assistance: to allow voters to
	independently execute and cast; obtain help and instruction for all voter available
	operations
	Color use agrees with common conventions for operational status, warnings and
	errors.
	Perceptual Issues-
	Flicker display frequency is between 2 Hz and 55 Hz
	Reset for each voter any voter adjustable feature
	Reset to default any voter adjustable feature
	Text font size is a minimum of 3.0 mm
	Poor Reading Vision is addressed in the voting system
	Contrast and Use of Color adjustment for color blindness Multiple Mathematica action instructions (color about a section)
	Multiple Methods for conveying action instructions (color, shape or text.)
	Sans serif font is used for voter presented text Tout to Cropbing Retio (including isono) intended for the voter is 3:4.
Hashility Internation Drivery and	Text to Graphics Ratio (including icons) intended for the voter is 3:1. Intersection leaves
Usability- Interaction, Privacy and Identification	No page scrolling shall be required by the voter (move to the next or prior page.)
	A marked vote selection is unambiguously displayed
	 A marked vote selection is unambiguously displayed Any time out functionality issues an alert at least 20 seconds before the time expires
	and provides a means by which the voter may receive additional time.
	Touch screen and key mechanisms are designed to minimize accidental activation.
	Privacy
	Visual access to the ballot and input controls are visible only to the voter during the
	voting session and ballot submission.
	Audio access is audible only to the voter
	Overvote warnings preserves the privacy of the voter and the confidentiality of the
	ballot.
	Alternative language information used by the voter is not kept within an electronic
	cast vote record
	Accessible features are not identified in the electronic cast vote record. Biometric Identification.
	Biometric Identification
	If voter authentication uses biometric measures requiring particular biological characteristics, a secondary means that does not depend on those characteristics.
	characteristics, a secondary means that does not depend on those characteristics must be provided.
Accessibility- Partial Vision	Partial Vision
nocessibility- i artial vision	 Font size of an electronic image display is voter adjustable, between 3.0-4.0 & 6.3-9.0
	mm.
	 Contrast of a monochrome-only electronic image display shows information in high

Page 154 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Method Detail	Characteristics Test Method
	contrast either by default or under the control of the voter/poll worker (figure-to-ground ambient contrast ratio 6:1)
	 Contrast adjustments of a color electronic image display allows the voter to adjust the color or the figure-to-ground ambient contrast ratio
	Controls are distinguished by both shape and color controls
Acceptability Plindness	-Audio output is synchronized to the visual display Blindness
Accessibility- Blindness	Blindness Audio headphone jacks support standard 3.5 mm stereo connectors.
	Audio/tactile operational support is provided for all instructions and functionality
	 Voting system does not use a telephone handset (Wireless interference - T4 rating shall be achieved (ANSI C63.19).
	Methods to sanitized headphones are available to each voter.
	 Default volume is set between 40 and 50 dB SPL.
	The controllable volume range is 20dB SPL to 100 dB SPL, in increments less than 10 dB.
	 Speech frequencies produced are in the audible speech range of 315 Hz to 10 KHz. Audio presentation is comprehensible by language proficient voters with normal hearing
	 Rate of speed supported ranges are 75% to 200% of the nominal rate.
	Voting systems with ballot initialization by sighted voters provide this feature to blind
	 voters. Voting systems with ballot submission by sighted voters provide this feature to blind voters.
	 All mechanically operated controls or keys are tactilely discernible without activating the control or key.
	 Sound or tactile controls identify any voting system shift/lock and non-shift/unlocked positions.
Accessibility - Dexterity	Dexterity
, ,	 All keys and controls are operable with one hand and do not require tight grasping, pinching, or twisting of the wrist. The force required to activate controls and keys is no greater 5 lbs. (22.2 N).
	 Direct body contact is not required to operate the voting system.
	 Non-manual input is required to be functionally equivalent to tactile input.
	A voter who lacks fine motor control or the use of their hands can submit their ballot
Accessibility- Mobility	Mobility: The voting station provides • Level clear floor space of 30 in min X 48 in min with no slope exceeding 1:48;
	positioned for a forward or parallel approach.All controls necessary for voter operation are within reach
	Forward reach w/ no obstruction: max high reach 48 in, min low reach 15 in.
	Forward approach w/ a forward obstruction less than 25 in depth, 34 in height and bottom surface no lower than 27 in.
	Max high reach for obstructions less than 20 in deep: 48 in, all others 44 in.
	 Toe clearance is space under the obstruction between the floor and 9 in above the floor, extending max 25 in under the obstruction and min 30 in wide. Min toe clearance under the obstruction is the greater of: 17 in or the depth required to reach over the obstruction to operate the voting station.
	 Knee clearance is the space under the obstruction between 9 & 27 in above the floor: extending max 25 in under the obstruction at 9 in above the floor. Knee clearance is min 30 in wide. Min knee clearance at 9 in above the floor is the greater of: 11in or 6 in less than the toe clearance. Knee clearance may reduce at a rate of 1 inch in depth for 6 inches in height between 9 & 27 in above the floor.
	 Parallel Approach w/ no side reach obstruction: max high reach is 48 in; min low reach is 15 in
	 Parallel Approach w/ a side reach obstruction: max high reach is less than 24 in indepth and its top is less then 34 in high. Max high reach for obstructions less than 10 in deep is 48 in, otherwise 46 in.
	 -For normal sighted wheelchair voters in a correctly oriented position (better than 20/40, corrected) all labels, displays, & controls to operate the voting machine are legible and visible.
Accessibility -Hearing & Speech	Hearing Sound cues as a method to alert the voter are accompanied by a visual cue, unless

Page 155 of 210 001(B)

Method Detail	Characteristics Test Method
	the station is in audio-only mode.
	• Speech
	No voting equipment shall require voter speech for its operation.
Physical Characteristics	Physical Characteristics
	• The size of each voting machine should be compatible with its intended use and the
	location at which the equipment is to be used.
	The weight of each voting machine should be compatible with its intended use and
	the location at which the equipment is to be used.
Transport, Storage, Materials, &	Transport & Storage of Precinct Systems
Durability	A means to safely handle, transport, and install voting equipment is provided.
	The voting system provides a protective enclosure to withstand: impact, shock and
	vibration loads associated with surface and air transportation; stacking loads
	associated with storage Durability
	The voting system is designed to withstand normal use without deterioration and
	without excessive maintenance cost for a period of ten years.
	Materials
	The voting system is designed and constructed so that the frequency of equipment
	malfunctions and maintenance requirements are reduced to the lowest level
	consistent with cost constraints.
	TDP includes an approved parts lists
Maintainability	Maintainability-
·	The voting system and maintenance documentation include the:
	Presence of labels and the identification of test points
	Provision of built-in test and diagnostic circuitry or physical indicators of condition
	Presence of labels and alarms related to failures
	• Presence of features that allow non-technicians to perform routine maintenance tasks
	(such as update of the system database)
	Initiation of the maintenance procedures, following the maintenance documentation
	resulting in an assessment of the system maintenance attributes to confirm maintainability
	at an acceptable level for:
	 Ease of detecting that equipment has failed by a non-technician Low false alarm rates (i.e., indications of problems that do not exist)
	Ease of access to components for replacement
	Ease with which adjustment and alignment can be performed
	Ease with which database updates can be performed by a non-technician
	Adjust, align, tune or service components
Availability	Availability-
Availability	The vendor specifies the typical system configuration to be used to assess availability, and
	any assumptions made with regard to any parameters that impact the MTTR. The factors
	include at a minimum:
	Recommended number and locations of spare devices or components to be kept on
	hand for repair purposes during periods of system operation
	Recommended number and locations of qualified maintenance personnel who need
	to be available to support repair calls during system operation
	Organizational affiliation (i.e., jurisdiction, vendor) of qualified maintenance personnel
VVPAT- Usability (insert if a	VVPAT Usability
VVPAT is part of the voting	Verify the voting system does not contain VVPAT
system)	VV/DAT Acceptibility Dequirements
VVPAT- Accessibility (insert if a	VVPAT Accessibility Requirements
VVPAT is part of the voting system)	Verify the voting system does not contain VVPAT
Expected Results are observed	Same as General 1
Record observations and all	Same as General 1
input/outputs for each election;	Dame as General 1
וווף שלי סמוף מוט ווים במטון בובטווטוו,	1

7.4.5 FCA Hardware Environmental Testing

7.4.5.1 Environmental Test Method (DRE)

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. The specific software and firmware builds are identified.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
3/27/08	Infinity 9.67	Accept	#116, 117, &	#116, 117, &	See Wyle Test Report
	EMS 4.0.18.0		119	119	

Environmental testing of the Infinity Voting Panel is submitted in a separate Wyle Laboratories' report. Anomalies reported by Wyle are contained in their report and are documented in Appendix E.

wyle abordanies	REPORT NO.:	T54578.02-01
Wyle Lacoratories Inc. 7930 Fighway 20 West Hustavill & Austrial & Fax (266) 70140144 Austrial & Austria	CLIENT P.O. NO.:	32307 N/A
TEST REPORT	TOTAL PAGES (INCLUI	DING GOVER):

HARDWARE TESTING AND EVALUATION OF THE MICROVOTE INFINITY DRE VOTING SYSTEM

STATE OF ALABAMA
COUNTY OF MADISON

STATE OF ALABAMA
COUNTY OF MADISON

STATE OF ALABAMA
COUNTY OF MADISON

Jumes E. Peller. NCT. Senjor Friginger
hamplaty even
Mysoes are ease the attempted combined made and an every extended by the extended by the extended by the extended to the control of the county of the extended by the extended by the extended county of the extended by the extended county of the extended by the extended by the extended county of the extended by the extended county of the extended by th

Method Detail	Environmentatl Test Method (DRE)		
Test Case Name	Environmental Test – (DRE) Infinity		
	Execution and provision of test results identified in the VVSG 2005 hardware operating and non-operating environmental tests. Environmental hardware testing is outside the scope of iBeta's VSTL accreditation. It is performed by Wyle Laboratories, Huntsville, AL under their A2LA accreditations Certificate Numbers 845.01 (Electrical) and 845.02 (Acoustics and Vibration). iBeta coordinate and oversee subcontractor testing. iBeta shall review the test records, results and reports to confirm testing was performed under an appropriate mode as a voting system and to determine		

Method Detail	Environmentatl Test Method (DRE)
	acceptance or rejection of some or all testing.
Test Objective	Validation of the polling place hardware to meet the Non-Operating/Operating Environmental test standards of the EAC VVSG 2005.
Test Variables: Voting Variations (as supported by the voting system)	Tests conducted in compliance with the identified standard: Power disturbance disruption - IEC 61000-4-11 (1994-06). Electromagnetic radiation- FCC Part 15 Class B requirements - ANSI C63.4. Electrostatic disruption - IEC 61000-4-2 (1995-01).
	Electromagnetic susceptibility - IEC 61000-4-3 (1996). Electrical fast transient protection - IEC 61000-4-4 (1995-01). Lightning surge protection - IEC 61000-4-5 (1995-02).
	RF immunity - IEC 61000-4-6 (1996-04). AC magnetic fields RF immunity - IEC 61000-4-8 (1993-06). MIL-STD810-D:
	High temperature method 501.2 Procedures I-Storage maximum 140 F degrees Low temperature - method 502.2, Procedure I-Storage minimum -4 F degrees Temperature & power variations - method 501.2 & 502.2
	Humidity - method 507.2 Vibration - method 514.3-1 Category 1 - Basic Transportation Common Carrier Bench handling - method 516.3 procedure VI Safety - OSHA CFR Title 29, part 1910
	The operating modes shall include both visual and audio operations.
A description of the voting	DRE HW: Infinity Voting Panel Model VP-1 Rev: C
system type and the operational environment	DRE SW: Infinity Voting Panel Firmware v 3.11 COTS voice synthesis/sound system (text-to-speech converter): DoubleTalk LT
	Radioshack Headphones
	MicroVote Accessible voting booth
VVSG 2005 vol.1	4.3.8, 4.1.2 thru 4.1.2.14 (see Characteristics for 4.1.2.4.c)
VVSG 2005 vol.2	4.6.1.5 thru 4.7.1 & 4.8
Hardware, Software voting	DRE HW: Infinity Voting Panel Model VP-1 Rev: C
system configuration and test	DRE SW: Infinity Voting Panel Firmware v.3.1x
location	COTS voice synthesis/sound system (text-to-speech converter): DoubleTalk LT Radioshack Headphones
	MicroVote Accessible voting booth
	Test Location: Wyle Laboratories, Huntsville AL
	 iBeta provides Wyle with the environmental hardware test case outlining methods, instructions to document the configuration, test environment, lab accreditations, tester qualifications, and operational status check performance.
	 iBeta personnel execute the accuracy testing in conjunction with the Temperature and Power Variations provide and oversee the operational status checks. Wyle VSTL qualified test staff are trained on voting system operation and the operational status check.
Pre-requisites and preparation	Complete the prerequisites:
for execution of the test case.	Record the testers & date
	System has been set up as identified in the user manual
	Gather any necessary materials or manuals.
	Ensure customization of the test case template is complete
	The iBeta approved Operational Status Check script is provided that includes:
	Setup of Infinity Vote Panel and Attach Printer Install a test election with two contests: candidate and referendum
	Verify Online/Offline printer operation
	Pre-vote Polling Place Verification
	Panel Starting Message displays after 10 seconds
	 Status Screen displays: current date, time; current panel mode; jurisdiction; election name, date; precinct name; panel serial number and firmware version; votes recorded in current election, protective count and security id and memory used.
	Security code required for entry
	Panel displays 'start card functions'
	Check Button - all buttons function correctly
	Check Display - no gaps in the black areas
	 Show Ballot- view the ballot; ballot can't be voted in this mode Print Zero Proof- print tally tape with zeros; completion of print system alert (beep)

Page 158 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Method Detail	Environmentatl Test Method (DRE)
	Open the polls
	Vote Process - Voter #1 - Visual ballot checks
	 Insertion of vote card activates precinct/ballot selection
	Selected ballot is presented
	 Perform visual checks: lighten/darken display, change contrast, magnification on/off
	 Perform ballot functions: next/previous page, candidate vote, referendum vote, confirm
	ballot, cast vote
	View vote successfully submitted
	Vote Process -Voter #2 Audio ballot checks
	Double talk unit is attached and activated Pall worker inparties of yets part activates presinct/hallet calcution.
	 Poll worker Insertion of vote card activates precinct/ballot selection Selected ballot is presented
	 Perform audio playback checks: louder/softer, faster/slower, contest, candidate,
	referendum, yes/no
	 Initial playback voting options does not select voting options; subsequent playback(s)
	selects/deselects voting options
	 Perform ballot functions contain appropriate audio playback: next/previous page,
	candidate vote, referendum vote, undervote, confirm ballot, cast vote
	Hear vote successfully submitted
	Visual and audio presentation are synchronized
	Post Vote
	Insertion of Tally card prompts Security Code entry
	 Entry of security presents the Close and Tally screen Selection of Tally presents Tally Review
	Selection of Print generates Tally Report; matches votes cast
	Write Tally card; Tally card results read in EMS match printed Tally Report
Getting Started Checks	Check the voting system to:
County Charles Chicons	Verify the test environment and system configuration is documented in the PCA
	Configuration and matches the manufacturer described configuration.
	Validate installation of the witnessed build
	 Testers understand that no change shall occur to the test environment without
	documentation in the test record and the authorization of the project manager.
	Confirm the tester understands the recording requirements of the iBeta test case.
	Operational status check procedures are available and successfully run.
	 An automated script for use during the EMC operational tests exercises necessary functionality.
Documentation of Test Data &	Test Results:
Test Results	Enter Accept/Reject on the Test Steps
	 In Comments enter any deviations, discrepancies, or notable observations
	Log discrepancies on the Discrepancy Report and insert the number in the Comments
Standard Environmental Tests	Follow test method in the identified international standard. The operating modes shall
	include both visual and audio ballot operations.
Expected Results are observed	Review the test result against the expected result:
	Pass: meets the requirements
	• Fail: does not meet the requirements; document the failure in the comments
December 2011	Not Testable (NT): not testable; provide a reason in the comments
Record observations and all input/outputs for each election;	All test results will be recorded in the test case.
inputoutputs for each election,	 Any failure against the requirements will mean the failure of the system and shall be reported as such.
	 Failures will be reported to the manufacturer as Defect Issues in the Discrepancy
	Report.
	The manufacturer shall have the opportunity to cure all discrepancies prior to issuance
	of the Certification Report.
	If cures are submitted the applicable test will be rerun. Complete information about the
	rerun test will be preserved in the test case. The cure and results of the retest will be
	noted in the - Discrepancy Report and submitted as an appendix of the Certification
	Report. Operations which do not fail the requirements but could be deemed defects or
	inconsistent with standard software practices or election practices will be logged as
	Informational Issues on the Discrepancy Report. It is the manufacturer's option to
	address these issues. Open items will be identified in the report.

Page 159 of 210 001(B)

7.4.5.2 Environmental Test Method (COTS OMR)

An inspection and document review was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3.

Document Review	сотѕ	Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
6/21/07	OMR ACP2200	Reject	#26		
2/26/08	OMR ACP2200	Reject	#111 & 112	#26	
3/25/08	OMR ACP2200	Accept		#112	
5/3/08	OMR ACP2200	Accept		#111	

All issues are documented in Appendix E.

Method Detail	Environmental Test Method (COTS OMR)
Test Case Name	Environmental Test – COTS Optical Mark Reader
Scope - identifies the type of test	Confirm that the COTS equipment shall have FCC Class B and CE Marks affixed to each unit indicating that the product has been certified to meet these requirements and a copy of the COTS manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity confirming that the manufacturer is claiming compliance with the standards stated.
Test Objective	Validation of the COTS central count optical mark reader hardware provides evidence CE and FCC marks and declaration of conformance.
Test Variables: Voting Variations (as supported by the voting system)	COTS equipment tendered for certification testing bears CE and FCC marks and provides documentation of conformance.
A description of the voting system type and the operational environment	MicroVote Election Management System voting system includes: Ballot preparation & central count software (EMS) installed on a Windows OS PC running 2000 or XP Professional COTS scanner for absentee/provisional ballots
VVSG 2005 vol.1	4.3.8, 4.1.2 thru 4.1.2.14, Interpretation 2007-05
VVSG 2005 vol.2	4.6.1.5 thru 4.7.1 & 4.8
Hardware, Software voting system configuration and test location	Election Management System (EMS v4.0.0.0) voting system Ballot Prep/Central Count SW: Election Management System v.4.0.0.0 COTS Optical Scanner: OMR ACP2200
	Test Location: iBeta, Aurora, CO
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	Complete the prerequisites; Record the reviewer & date System matches the Chatsworth COTS specification Gather any necessary materials or manuals. Ensure customization of the assessment template is complete
Getting Started Checks	Check the COTS hardware to : Verify the system configuration is documented in the PCA Configuration and matches the COTS manufacturer's described configuration.
Documentation of Test Data & Test Results	Review the hardware marks and documentation of compliance: • Enter Accept/Reject on the Environmental Assessment tab • In Comments enter any deviations, discrepancies, or notable observations • Log discrepancies on the Discrepancy Report and insert the number in the Comments
Standard Environmental Tests	Verify the test method is under the conditions identified in Interpretation 2007-05
Expected Results are observed	Review the test result against the expected result:
Record observations and all input/outputs for each election;	 All review results will be recorded in the Environmental Assessment tab. Any gaps or deficiencies in the record of performance per Interpretation 2007-05 will be identified as a deficiency. Deficiencies will be reported to the vendor as Defect Issues in the Discrepancy Report. The vendor shall have the opportunity to cure all discrepancies prior to issuance of the

Method Detail	Environmental Test Method (COTS OMR)
	Certification Report. If cures are submitted the applicable review will be repeated. Complete information about the re-review will be preserved in the Environmental Assessment. The cure and results of the re-review will be noted in the - Discrepancy Report and submitted as an appendix of the Certification Report.

7.4.6 FCA Telephony and Cryptographic Review and Testing

Testing was conducted on the system configuration identified in section 3. The specific software and firmware builds are identified for each test iteration.

Run	Builds	Test Result	Issues Opened	Issues Closed	Notes
9/14/07	EMS v.4.0.8.0	Accept			
	Infinity v.9.61				

No issues were identified.

Method Detail	Telephony and Cryptographic Test Method
Test Case Name	Telephony and Cryptographic
Scope - identifies the type of test	Validation that there is no use of the public networks and the voting system is exempt
Test Objective	Validation that there is no use of the public networks
	Based upon security document reviews and inspection during General 1 confirm that the
(as supported by the voting system)	system does not use the public networks
A description of the voting system type and the operational environment	Same as General 1
VVSG 2005 vol.1	6.1.3 thru 6.2.7, 7.4.5.1, 7.7 thru 7.7.4
VVSG 2005 vol.2	6.4.2
Hardware, Software voting system configuration and test location	Same as General 1 Wireless technology is not present
Pre-requisites and preparation for execution of the test case.	Same as General 1
Getting Started Checks	Same as General 1
Documentation of Test Data & Test Results	Same as General 1
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation procedures verifications	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Pre-vote: Ballot Preparation Security	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Readiness Testing and Poll Verification	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Pre- vote: Opening the Polls Verification	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Voting: Ballot Activation and Casting Verifications	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Voting: Voting System Integrity, System Audit, Errors & Status Indicators	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Post-vote: Closing the Polls	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Post-vote: Central Count	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Post-vote: Security	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Post-vote: System Audit	Not applicable, no use of the public networks
Expected Results are observed	Same as General 1
Record observations and all input/outputs for each election;	Same as General 1

7.5 Appendix E: Discrepancy Report

MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) voting system v.4.0.

Applicable Standards: 2005 VVSG (Voluntary Voting System Guidelines) and United States Election Assistance Commission Testing & Certification Program Manual v. 1.0

Issues identified in testing or reviews are logged on the Discrepancy Report. Issue Types include:

- **Document Defects:** a documentation element of the voting system did not meet the applicable standard. Resolution of the defect is required for certification.
- Functional Defects: a hardware or software element of the voting system did not meet the applicable standard. Resolution of the defect is required for certification.
- **Informational:** an element of the voting system which meets the applicable standard but may be significant to either the vendor or the jurisdiction. Resolution of Informational issues is optional. Open issues are identified for purpose of disclosure.

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
1	5/8/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.4 SYSTEM HARDWAR E SPECIFICA TION Election Manageme nt System v.1.1	The hardware specification and referenced documents address the Infinity Voting Panel only. The identified documentation does not specify the other required voting system hardware, including the EMS Ballot Preparation and Central Count, OMR or Gemsplus hardware	v2: 2.4.1 The vendor shall provide a detailed discussion of the characteristics of the system, indicating how the hardware meets individual requirements defined in Volume I, Section 4.	Updated Section 2.4 to version 1.2 to fix the discrepancy. Referenced other sections and appendices per v2 2.4.1: EMS • Section 2.2 (System Overview) • Section 2.3 (System Functionality Description) • Appendix C (COTS Specifications) • Appendix E (Completed COTS Testing Forms) • Appendix G (COTS Invoices and Certifications)	Accepted D Harwood 6/11/2007 Verified v.1.2 (Sect 2.4) refers to: Sect 2.2 Sys Overview v1.2, Sect 2.3 Sys Func Desc v1.1, Appx C COTS Spec v1.0. Docs address EMS Ballot Prep & Central Count, OMR or Gemsplus HW spec. Appx G (COTS Invoices &Cert v1.0 & Appx E v1.0 are not relevant to this discrepancy.
2	5/9/07	M Ricketts	Inform ational	Closed	Various TDP Documents	The title page of several MicroVote documents contains a dynamic date field which inserts the current date when viewed. While the correct document date is identified in the Revision History table, the dynamic date field adds a level of confusion to the documentation.		The title page contains the current date using a dynamic date field. The revision history contains the actual date the document was revised. 8/15/07: Modified various TDP documents. Removed dynamic date and replaced with static date of last revision on both title page and in footer where appropriate. (BDH) ***Note changes to Appendix A will be included in the future.	Accepted M Ricketts 10/11/2007 Verified TDP documents have been updated to replace the dynamic date field with the static document date.
3	5/9/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.11 CONFIGUR ATION MANAGEM ENT PLAN	MicroVote utilizes a scheme of cataloging the Appendices into folders with individual or multiple files, making up a document. A description of this scheme is not contained in the Configuration Management Plan or the referenced appendices.	v2:2.11.2 a The vendor shall provide a description of the procedures and naming conventions used to address the specific requirements of Volume I, Subsection 9.3. These	Updated Section 2.11 to version 1.2 to reflect changes to fix the discrepancy. Added description of the scheme we used to catalog	Accepted M Ricketts 6/12/2007 Verified v.1.2(Section 2.11) includes a description of the scheme to catalog

Page 162 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					EMS v.1.1		requirements pertain to: Classifying configuration items into categories and subcategories	appendices in section called: Appendices Cataloging	appendices.
4	5/9/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.11 Con- figuration Mgmt Plan EMS v.1.1	MicroVote employs a versioning scheme for draft and release documents. This scheme is not detailed in Configuration Management Plan or the referenced appendices.	v2:2.11.2 b The vendor shall provide a description of the procedures and naming conventions used to address the specific requirements of Vol. I, Sub-section 9.3. These requirements pertain to: Uniquely numbering or otherwise identifying configuration items	Updated Section 2.11 to version 1.2 to fix the discrepancy. Added description of versioning scheme we use on documents in section called: Versioning Scheme	Accepted M Ricketts 6/12/2007 Verified v.1.2 (Section 2.11) includes a description of the versioning scheme for documents.
5	5/9/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix F VOTING VARIATION S Election Manageme nt System v.1.2 & MicroVote General Corporation EMS User Manual v1.1	The TDP does not clearly identify if straight party voting is supported in EMS v4.0.0.0. Appendix F states: "The following variations are supported by MicroVote Election Management System (EMS): • Straight Party Voting" The EMS user manual states: "Table 2.1.1 Definitions of General Preference screen fields. Auto Straight Party Crossover (Required in Some states):The Straight Party function is not available in this release. Table 2.2.1 Definitions of Political Party screen fields Straight Party Button Tag: Straight Party Button option is not available for this release." Accepted M Ricketts 6-14-07 Confirmed that MicroVote supports Straight Party voting. Verified updated vendor documentation v.1.2 (EMS User Manual) changed reference to the Auto Straight Party Crossover, making it clear that it is a specific type of Straight Party functionality. Rejected M Rickets 6-14-07 The issue in Table 2.2.1, v.1.2 (EMS User Manual) regarding the Straight Party Button Tag has not been addressed.	V1:2.1.7.2 The Technical Data Package accompanying the system shall specifically identify which of the following items can and cannot be supported by the voting systemstraight party voting.	Explained to iBeta that WE DO SUPPORT STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING. WE DO NOT SUPPORT a specific type of straight party used in North Carolina that is called "Auto Straight Party Cross-over" that is on the general preferences screen. This is detailed in the user manual section 2.1.1 Viewing Preferences /Table 2.1.1 "Auto Straight Party Crossover (Required in Some states) This option allows the voter to vote a straight party ticket and then, with a single button push, switch their vote in any given contest by selecting a candidate from the opposing party. The Straight Party function is not available in this release." I've also changed the User Manual to state: "This specific type of Straight Party functionality is not available in this release." to not create confusion that we don't support straight party voting. 7/11/07 Updated user manual to version DO1.3TDP-V and table to note that Straight Party Button Tag is not supported in this	Rejected M Rickets 6- 14-07 (Incomplete) Accepted M Ricketts 6-14-07 Confirmed that MicroVote supports Straight Party voting. Verified updated vendor documentation v.1.2 (EMS User Manual) changed reference to the Auto Straight Party Crossover, making it clear that it is a specific type of Straight Party functionality. M Ricketts 7/12/2007 Verified table 2.2.1 in v.1.3 of Appendix V indicates that the Straight Party Button Tag option is not available for this release.
6	5/9/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.8 SYSTEM OPERATIO	The System Operations Procedures and referenced appendices do not include a reference to the location of the ballot	v2:2.8.3 a - The vendor shall provide specifications for	Updated Section 2.8 to version 1.1 to fix the discrepancy. Added	Accepted M Ricketts 6/14/2007 Verified v.1.1 (Section
_	163 of 210	<u> </u>	I	I	OI LIVATIO	reference to the location of the ballot	validation of system installation, (V)2008-25.ILIN-001(B)	alsolepaticy. Added	vonneu v. i. i (Section

Page 163 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					NS PROCEDU RES Election Manageme nt System, v1.0	preparation installation and release information. (This information is contained in the Section 2.11 Configuration Management Plan document.)	acceptance, and readiness. These specifications address all components of the system including: Pre-voting functions v2:2.8.6 a - Defines the procedures required to support system acquisition, installation, and readiness testing v2:2.8.6 b Describes procedures for providing technical support, system maintenance and correction of defects, and for incorporating hardware upgrades and new software releases.	reference to: Configuration Management Plan: See section 2.11, Configuration Management Plan	2.8) includes the reference to the Configuration Management Plan, Section 2.11.
7	5/9/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.4 SYSTEM HARDWAR E SPECIFICA TION Election Manageme nt System v.1.1	The documentation does not address the OMR (absentee ballot reader) in the system configuration, the electromagnetic environment generated or any constraints on system operations.	v2:2.4.2 The vendor shall provide sufficient data, or references to data, to identify unequivocally the details of the system configuration submitted for testingprovide a list of materials and components used in the systema) materials, processes and partsb) the electromagnetic environment	Updated Section 2.5 to version 1.4 to fix the discrepancy. Added text to section 5 Vote Tabulation Mode(5.2 OMR Ballot Cards and 5.3 Tally Cards) to clarify quality of database records. The text describes what to do if a OMR Ballot Card is read in twice or what happens when a Tally Card is read in twice.	Accepted D Harwood 6/11/2007 Verified Section 2.4 (SysHW Spec),v.1.2, Section 2.2 (Sys Overview) v1.2, Section 2.3 Sys Func Desc v1.1 and Appx C (COTS Spec)v1.0 include references to all required voting system hardware. Referenced documents address the OMR (absentee ballot reader) in the system configuration, the electromagnetic environment generated or any constraints on system operations in regards to v2.2.4.2. Appx E v1.0 & Appx G v1.0 are not relevant to this requirement.
8	5/9/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW EMS v.1.1	On pg 2 the statement 'The EMS software does not interface with external systems." is contradicted by the subsequent pg 2 statement "Several off-the-shelf hardware items, software and certain communication services are used with the EMS software".	v2.2.1 The system description shall include written descriptions, drawings and diagrams that present Interfaces among internal components, and inter-faces with external systems	Updated Section 2.2 to version 1.2 to fix the discrepancy. Updated sentence to not contradict previous statement.	Accepted D Harwood 6/11/2007 Verified v.1.2 (Section 2.2) i clarifies the interfacing of EMS w/ external systems.
9	5/9/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW EMS v.1.1	On pg 6, in describing performance characteristics, the user is referred to Appendices H thru O. Appendix M was not delivered in the TDP	v2:2.1vendor documentation relating to the voting systemshall be submitted with the system as a precondition of national certification testing.	Updated Section 2.2 to version 1.2 to fix the discrepancy. Updated reference to Appendices H,I,J,K,L,N and O since we do not have an appendix M.	Accepted D Harwood 6/11/2007 Verified v.1.2 (Section 2.2) includes updated reference to exclude Appendix M.
10	5/9/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW	The Figure 1 diagram does not address the USB flash card reader specified in "Appendix O1 3.5_BAY 7 Flash Card	v2.2.1.i The system description shall include written descriptions, drawings and (V)2008-25.IUN-001(B)	Explained to iBeta that the Flash Card Reader is not part of the normal	Accepted D Harwood 6/11/2007 As the USB flash card

Page 164 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					Election Manageme nt System v.1.1	Reader USB 2.0".	diagrams that presentFile specifications, data objects, or other means used for information exchange	operation of EMS. It is used to verify the system per our System Identification Tool. We felt it would complicate the diagram. It's purpose is explained in appendix D01.0TDP-AA.doc	reader is not part of the EMS functional system, it was removed from the fig. 1 diagram in v.1.2 Sect. 2.2 System Overview. Verified doc refers to Appendix AA. Appendix AA explains the USB flash card reader and its use as a verification tool for the software of the EMS.
11	5/10/0	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.9 SYSTEM MAINTENA NCE MANUAL Election Manageme nt System v.1.1 6/19/07: Correction - the version discrepancy was written against v1.0. It was erroneously noted as v1.1	System Maintenance Manual and referenced documentation only address the Infinity Voting Panel. The EMS and the PC it runs on, the printers, card readers and optical mark reader are not addressed. In the case of COTS equipment, there is no reference to COTS manuals or other technical.	v2: 2.9.2.2 Corrective maintenance procedures: The vendor shall provide fault detection, fault isolation, correction procedures, and logic diagrams for all operational abnormalities identified by design analysis and operating experience b) Steps to correct deficiencies or faulty operations in software e) Special maintenance equipment, parts, supplies, or other resources needed to accomplish each procedure and v2: 2.9.4.1The vendor shall provide a complete list of approved parts and materials needed for maintenance. This list shall contain sufficient descriptive information to identify all parts by: a) type b) size c) value or range d) manufacturers design e) individual quantities needed f) source in which they may be obtained	Updated Section 2.9 to version 1.1 to fix the discrepancy. Added references to appendices where maintenance information is located: Refer to the following for maintenance instructions: • ACP-2200 Optical Scanner Quick Start Guide (Appendix H) — Section OMR Maintenance • Seiko DPU-3445-20 Printer User's Manual (Appendix J) — Section 10 Thermal header Maintenance • Seiko DPU-414 Printer User's Manual (Appendix K) — Section 8 Caring for the DPU-414 Printer • OMR-9002 Card Reader (Appendix Z) — Section 4 Maintenance v1.0	Accepted D Harwood 6/8/2007 Verified Section 2.9 v1.1 System Maint Manual references documentation addressing the EMS, the PC, printers, card readers and optical mark reader: • ACP-2200 Optical Scanner Quick Start Guide (Appx H) Sect OMR Main v1.0 • Seiko DPU-3445-20 Printer User's Manual (Appx J) Sect 10 Ther- mal header Main v1.0 • Seiko DPU-414 Printer User Manual Appx K Sect 8 v1.0 • OMR-9002 Card Reader (Appendix Z) — Sect 4 Main. v1.0 Verified doc addresses v2: 29.2.2 & 2.9.4
12	5/10/0	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.9 SYSTEM MAINTENA NCE MANUAL Election Manageme nt System v.1.1 6/19/07: Correction - version was v1.0. It was erroneously noted as v1.1	System Maintenance Manual and referenced documentation does not address the recommended spare devices or components to be kept "on hand"	v2: 2.9.5 a. Recommended number and locations of spare devices or components to be kept on hand for repair purposes during periods of system operation	Updated Section 2.9 to version 1.1 to fix the discrepancy. Added our suggested spares that customers keep "on hand" for components. Spare Devices MicroVote sells spare devices to customers as part of the initial sale. • GEMSPLUS Universal Card Reader(1 + Backup) • Optical Mark Reader(1 + Backup) MicroVote suggests Customers have: • Five extra Infinity panels per 100 panels.	Accepted D Harwood 6/8/2007 Verified Section 2.9 v 1.1 contains suggested spares that customers keep "on hand" for components

Page 165 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
								Two extra Seiko Instruments Printers per 50 precincts.	
13	7	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.5 SOFTWAR E DESIGN AND SPECIFICA TIONS v1.3	Processor memory protection is not addressed in the documentation.	v2:2.5.5.1b: Hardware Environment and Constraints - The vendor shall identify and describe the hardware characteristics that influence the design of the software, such as b. Memory read-write characteristics	Updated Section 2.5 to version 1.4 to fix the discrepancy. Added text to explain "Operating systems supported by EMS are Microsoft Windows 2000 and Microsoft Windows XP Professional Editions. Processor memory protection is handled by the operating systems."	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.4 (Section 2.5) added text to address processor memory protection.
14	5/17/0 7	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.5 SOFTWAR E DESIGN AND SPECIFICA TIONS v1.3	The document addresses the quality of the database records, but does not detail the quality of the data placed in the database. Quality of data examples: Descriptions of what happens if a Tally card or OMB card is read twice or a Tally card is read during voting and then again at vote completion time. If and how data on the tally card is verified for integrity.	v.2: 2.5.6.2f - Software Functions - The vendor shall describe the software's capabilities or methods for detecting or handling - f. Data quality assessment	Updated Section 2.5 to version 1.4 to fix the discrepancy. Added text to section 5 Vote Tabulation Mode (5.2 OMR Ballot Cards and 5.3 Tally Cards) to clarify quality of database records. The text describes what to do if a OMR Ballot Card is read in twice or what happens when a Tally Card is read in twice.	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.4 (Sect 2.5) added text to section 5-Vote Tabulation Mode (5.2 OMR Ballot Cards and 5.3 Tally Cards) to address quality of the data placed in the database. Addresses OMR Ballot & Tally Card read twice.
15	5/17/0 7	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.5 SOFTWAR E DESIGN AND SPECIFICA TIONS v1.3	Database stored procedures and triggers are discussed but no design documentation concerning this code is included or referenced. A description of the trigger function is insufficient because the event causing the trigger is not described. Information on stored procedures is needed to adequately determine source code review scope.	v2: 2.5.7.2d - Programming Specifications Details- The programming specifications shall describe individual software modules and their component units, if applicable and for each module and unit, the vendor shall provide: If the software module or unit consists of or contains procedural commands, (such as menu selections in a database management system (DBMS) for defining forms and reports, on-line DBMS queries for database access and manipulation, input to a graphical user interface (GUI) builder for automated code generation, commands to the operating system, or shell scripts) a list of the procedural commands and reference to user manuals or other documents that explain them.	Updated Section 2.5 to version 1.4 to fix the discrepancy. Added a column "Trigger Event Raised" to section 15.0 Triggers to describe what event occurs to execute the trigger.	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.4 (Section 2.5) includes the column "Trigger Even Raised" in Section 15.0
16	5/17/0 7	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.5 SOFTWAR E DESIGN AND	Identification of entities with fixed Interface characteristics or interfaces which are developed or modified were not in evidence in the submitted documentation.	v2: 2.5.9.1c - Interface Identification For each interface identified in the system overview, the vendor shall:	A fixed interface is an interface we don't have control over. Examples are the Infinity and the	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.4 (Section

Page 166 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					SPECIFICA TIONS v1.3		Identify which entities have fixed interface characteristics (and therefore impose interface requirements on interfacing entities) and which are being developed or modified (thus having interface requirements imposed on them).	Gemsplus card reader. Updated 2.5 to have a column of Interface Type in the table 25.0 Hardware Interfaces.	2.5) added column of Interface Type in table 25.0 Hardware Interfaces.
17	5/17/0	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.5 SOFTWAR E DESIGN AND SPECIFICA TIONS v1.3	The interface information: ii. Doesn't clarify 'Data Type' in tables 1.0-24.0 marked N/A iii. Doesn't provide string length of fields having N/A in the DB Field column; vii. Doesn't address sequence, frequency or timing of interfaces K Wilson 6/19/07 v.1.4: (Sect. 2.5) Partial Accepted: ii."N/A" to updated to the correct data types. vii: "Trigger Event Raised" (see #15) satisfies "timing, frequency, etc." for trigger type interfaces. Other GUI type interfaces are satisfied in Appx R. Rejected - iii: While some string lengths appear in Appx R, they don't provide lengths related to interfaces. 24.0 Manual Vote Entry RqtID is FR-GUI-SP-0028204. No length appears in the only instance of this ID in the document. RqtID ending in 1201, 1202, 1203, 1204, 1205, 1206, 1207, 1208, 1211, 1212,, 28204 contain no string lengths. C Coggins 7/16/07 Verified v.1.8 (Sect. 2.5) Partial Accepted Tables 1-9, 11, 13-19, 21 thru 23 String lengths are identified for existing & date fields Rejected: Lengths or field x-reference to lengths set by a control weren't identified for Tables 10, 11, 12, 20, and 24	v2: 2.5.9.2 - Interface Description For each interface identified in the system overview, the vendor shall provide information that describes: ii. Data type (alphanumeric, integer, etc.) iii. Size and format (such as length and punctuation of a character string) vii. Priority, timing, frequency, volume, sequencing, and other constraints, such as whether the data element may be updated and whether business rules apply	Updated Section 2.5 to version 1.4 to fix the discrepancy. Updated data types of "N/A" to correct data types. String Lengths etc are in Appendix R (GUI Specifications) 7/11/07 Updated D01.8TDP-2.5 Software Design and Spec document with interface lengths.	Rejected (Incomplete) M Ricketts 6/19/2007 Rejected (Incomplete) C Coggins 7/16/07 Accepted C Coggins 8/7/07 Verified applicable fields were updated. Changes to the document included deletion of information that was identified as not being used.
18	6/6/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Election Manageme nt System User Manual v.4.0.0.0	Election set up of Open and Closed Primaries is the same. The method by which a voter makes a private selection of a party ballot is handled procedurally. The user manual does not have a definition of how Closed and Open Primaries with ballot selection by the poll worker or Open Primary with ballot selection by the voter are supported.	v1:2.1.7.2 The Technical Data Package accompanying the system shall specifically identify which of the following items can and cannot be supported by the voting system, as well as how the voting system can implement the items supported: Open primaries	Updated Table 3.2.1 Definitions of the Election Data screen fields. • Open, Closed and Secret Primary elections are setup the same in EMS. Their differences are handled procedurally by poll workers. Example: in an Open Primary, In some states a voter has to declare their party affiliation and the poll worker has to pick the activation belonging to that party on the infinity panel for the voter.	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.4 (EMS User Manual) includes definitions of Open, Closed and Secret Primary elections.

Page 167 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
19	6/6/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Infinity Poll Workers Manual v.4.0.0.0	Ballot Selection and Activation Step 3: The method and sequence for polling place selection of parties on primary ballots is not clearly identified. The difference in the handling of Closed and Open Primaries with ballot selection by the poll worker or Open Primary with ballot selection by the voter is not referenced.	v2:2.8.4 The vendor shall provide documentation of system operating features that provide examples of simulated interactions in order to facilitate understanding of the system and its capabilities	Updated Poll worker manual to version D01.2 TDP-U to describe the differences in primaries: • Open, Closed, Secret and Presidential Primary elections all have an election type of "P - Primary Election" in EMS. Their differences are mostly handled procedurally by poll workers. For example in an Open Primary, In some states a voter has to declare their party affiliation and the poll worker has to pick the activation belonging to that party on the infinity panel for the voter. In Closed primaries only registered party members can vote. In Secret primaries the voter not the poll worker chooses the activation in private. In Presidential Primaries it is simply a vote for one for President of an ele+J30cted party in one office and a vote for N for delegates for that President in another office.	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.2 (Infinity Poll Worker's Manual) describes the differences in Open, Closed, Secret, and Presidential primaries.
20	6/6/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Election Manageme nt System User Manual v.4.0.0.0	Absentee and provisional paper ballots must be prepared by the election official for the purpose of controlling precincts, splits or partisan ballots. The user documentation does not contain a reference to this step in the process or information about how the jurisdiction acquires information about this process.	v2:2.8 The system operations procedures shall contain all information that is required for the preparation of detailed system operating procedures, and for operator training V2:2.8.5.f The vendor shall provide documentation of system operating proceduresTo support successful ballotcontrol by election officials	Updated Section 6.2 of the User Manual to reflect how you mark the absentee and provisional ballot cards.	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.2 (EMS User Manual) includes information for the jurisdiction to manually identify precincts, splits & partisan ballots. The updated 6.2 section of the manual provides further detail about printing & marking the OMR/Absentee ballots.
21	6/6/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appx F Voting Variations EMS v.1.2 EMS User Manual v.4.0.0.0	Secondary Vote Limit functionality is not fully documented in the TDP. Appendix F addresses the VVSG identified voting variations but does not address Secondary Vote Limits as a supported function. The user manual includes the operation for setting up secondary vote limits but does not provide a definition of when this functionality is used or a reference to a definition elsewhere in the TDP.	v2:2.8 This documentation shall provide all information necessary for system use by all personnel who support preelection and election preparation with regard to all system functions and operations	Updated Section 3.5 Secondary Vote Limit to describe a situation where a secondary vote limit is used.	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.2 (EMS User Manual) includes definition and situation where a secondary vote limit is used.

Page 168 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
22	6/6/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Infinity Poll Workers Manual v.4.0.0.0	Appendix 1 addresses the mobility and the audio ballot mode. It does not address manual dexterity.	v2:2.8.4 The vendor shall provide documentation of system operating features that provide examples of simulated interactions in order to facilitate understanding of the system and its capabilities	Updated poll worker manual to version 1.3 and added information on how to use the infinity panel to address manual dexterity.	Accepted M Ricketts 8/7/2007 Verified v1.3 Infinity Poll Worker's Manual (App U) includes use of the panel with the manual dexterity voting features.
23	6/6/07	C Coggins	Inform ational	Closed	TDP Test Documentat ion	Usability testing performed and test results were not submitted to iBeta in the TDP. The VVSG only requires this information be submitted in the TDP sent to the EAC. There are similar requirements for blindness and dexterity usability testing.	v1:3.2.2.1.a The vendor shall provide conduct summative usability testing on the voting system using partially sighted individuals. The vendor shall document the testing performed and report the test results using the Common Industry Format. This documentation shall be included in the TDP submitted to the EAC for national certification.	Updated test plan to include all test results instead of just dexterity voters. DO0.2TDP-A54 and executed test plan results to DO0.2TDP-A54-E	Accepted M Ricketts 8/7/2007 Vendor submitted a test plan (v0.2 Appendix A54) & executed test plan results (v0.2 Appendix A54-E) addressing dexterity, blind and partially sighted individuals
24	6/6/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Election Manageme nt System User Manual v.4.0.0.0	The user manual does not specify how a primary presidential delegate nomination should be set up.	v1:2.1.7.2 The Technical Data Package accompanying the system shall specifically identify which of the following items can and cannot be supported by the voting system, as well as how the voting system can implement the items supported: Primary presidential delegate nominations	Updated user manual to describe the differences in primaries: • Open, Closed, Secret and Presidential Primary elections all have an election type of "P - Primary Election" in EMS. Their differences are mostly handled procedurally by poll workers. For example in an Open Primary, In some states a voter has to declare their party affiliation and the poll worker has to pick the activation belonging to that party on the infinity panel for the voter. In Closed primaries only registered party members can vote. In Secret primaries the voter not the poll worker chooses the activation in private. In Presidential Primaries it is simply a vote for one for President of an ele+J30cted party in one office and a vote for N for delegates for that President in another office.	Accepted M Ricketts 6/18/2007 Verified v.1.2 (EMS User Manual) includes description of the differences in primaries, to include information about presidential delegate nominations (Presidential Primaries).
25	5/9/07	M Ricketts	Inform ational	Closed	Section 2.8 System Op Procedures	A reference to document 2.11 was added to document 2.8. The trace of the reference is incorrect/unclear as it is listed. The		Updated document DO1.2TDP-2.8 TO reference the correct	Accepted M Ricketts 8/7/2007 Verified v1.2 Section
	I60 of 210				Election	reference addresses Vol. 2, Section 2.8.3.a	(V)2008 25 II IN 001/P)	vol.2:2.8.3a, 2.8.6a & b.	2.8 document updated

Page 169 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					Manageme nt System, v.1.1	and 2.8.6a & b, but is instead listed under "The following corresponds with Volume II, Section 2.8.7 – Appendices, of the Technical Data Package"			the trace reference of document 2.11 to Vol.2: 2.8.3a & b.
26	6/21/0	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix C COTS SPECIFICA TIONS Election Manageme nt System v.1.0	The Chatsworth Data Corporation central count optical scanners ACP 2200 and the OMR 9002, we're verified as unmodified COTS hardware. In order to exempt unmodified COTS equipment specifications/evidence of equivalent testing to the VVSG must be provided. The COTS manufacturer documentation submitted as part of the TDP did not address VVSG v.1: 4.1.2.5 Electrical Power Disturbance 4.1.2.6 Electrical Fast Transit 4.1.2.7 Lightening Surge 4.1.2.8 Electrostatic Disruption 4.1.2.9 Electromagnetic Emission 4.1.2.10 Celectromagnetic Susceptibility 4.1.2.11 Conducted RF Immunity 4.1.2.12 Magnetic Fields Immunity	v2: 4.2.1 Unmodified COTS hardware will not be subject to all tests. Generally such equipment has been designed to rigorous industrial standards and has been in wide use, permitting an evaluation of its performance history. To enable reduced testing of such equipment, vendors shall provide the manufacturer specifications and evidence that the equipment has been tested to the equivalent of these Guidelines.		Accepted D Harwood 3/7/2008 Declaration of Conformity (dated 2/21/2008) was delivered by MicroVote as part of their TDP.
27	7/23/0 7	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.6 SYSTEM SECURITY SPECIFICA TION Election Manageme nt System v.1.0	Page 8 "To ensure that the machines have not been tampered with, two types of tamper proof seals are used. A sticker seal is usually present on the Infinity machine itself." The use of the word "usually" contradicts the requirement in the first sentence that two types of seals are needed to prevent tampering.	v1: 2.1.1.d System security is achieved through a combination of technical capabilities and sound administrative practices. To ensure security, all systems shall: Provide safeguards to protect against tampering during system repair, or interventions in system operations, in response to system failure.	Updated document to version DO1.1TDP-2.6 and removed "Usually" from the sentence to not contradict the requirement.	Accepted M Ricketts 8/7/2007 Verified v1.1 Section 2.6 eliminated the contradiction by removing "usually"
28	7/23/0	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.6 SYSTEM SECURITY SPECIFICA TION Election Manageme nt System v.1.0	The protocol between the EMS and Infinity over the serial port to load ballots into the Infinity is not identified.	v.1: 7.2.2.f Vendors shall provide a detailed description of all system access control measures designed to permit authorized access to the system and prevent unauthorized access, such as: Special Protocols	Updated document to version DO1.1TDP-2.6 and added the below information to describe the protocol between EMS and Infinity: The protocol of this serial port connection between EMS and the Infinity Voting Panel is described as follows: • Interface: RJ45 connector with RS-232 levels and special pin assignments for use with adapters connected to printer or PC, automatic baud rate selection for PC or printer Communication: 1 start bit, 8 data bits, no parity, baud rate = 38K for PC and 9600 for printer, hardware handshake (DTR/DSR) Added documents (directory AppB4	Accepted K. Wilson 8/13/2007 The vendor submitted as a part of the TDP the document "Infinity Communication Specification Version 1.06" referred to in the document titled "Section 2.6 System Security Specification Election Management System v. 1.0" and "Section 2.5 Software Design and Specification Election Management System v.2.1" which details the special protocol providing authorized access to ballot and tally information between the EMS and the Infinity Voting Panel.

Page 170 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
								Communications_Spec) to Appx B (Carson) to detail communications protocol.	
29	7/23/0 7	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.6 SYSTEM SECURITY SPECIFICA TION Election Manageme nt System v.1.0 or EMS User Manual v.4.0.0.0	Neither the EMS specification or user manual address the halt of the system software process upon termination of any critical system process such as system audit	v.1.:2.1.5.2 The system shall also be configured to halt election software processes upon the termination of any critical system process (such as system audit) during the execution of election software	Updated user manual to version D01.5TDP-V to describe procedure in Appendix A "Troubleshooting, Keyboard, Shortcuts (Alt Keys) and pop up messages" to reflect what to do when a database error occurs. Updated section 2.6 to version D01.1TDP-2.6 also with the same procedure.	Accepted M Ricketts 8/7/2007 Verified v1.1 of Section 2.6 included statement indicating the EMS was designed to halt any election processes if a database error occurs. Verified v1.5 EMS User Manual (App V) was updated to include instructions for the user when a database error occurs.
30	7/23/0 7	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	INFINITY FW Functional Spec v.3.11 or MicroVote Infinity Poll Worker's Manual v.4.0.0.0	Polling Place manuals do not address measures to enable poll workers to physically protect and perform orderly shutdown of voting equipment to counteract vandalism, civil disobedience, and similar occurrences.	v.1:7.3.1 For polling place operations, vendors shall develop and provide detailed documentation of measures to enable poll workers to physically protect and perform orderly shutdown of voting equipment to counteract vandalism, civil disobedience, and similar occurrences.	Updated poll worker manual to version DO1.3TDP-U with new section to describe emergency procedures in case of civil disobedience, vandalism etc	Accepted M Ricketts 8/7/2007 Verified v1.3 Infinity Poll Worker's Manual (App U) addresses poll workers to protection and orderly shutdown of voting equipment in emergency situations.
31	7/28/0 7	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix C COTS SPECIFICA TIONS EMS v.1.1	The optional Data Switch COTS Hardware is not identified in the EMS COTS specification. While it is optional hardware, it was used in the test configuration.	v.2: 2.4 The vendor shall expand on the system overview by providing detailed specifications of the hardware components of the system.	Updated Appendix C to version 1.2 and added Serial Switch Box as COTS Hardware.	Accepted D Harwood 10/10/2007 Verified v.1.2 has serial Switch Box added as COTS HW.
32	7/28/0 7	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.6.0 Political Parties screen	While the "Straight Party Button Tag" functionality is not available in this release, the field remains active. Users can enter data but it will not initiate functionality.	v.1: 2.1.4.f To ensure system integrity, all systems shall: Protect against any attempt at improper data entry or retrieval.	09/25/2007 Disabled field. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood 10/10/2007 v.4.0.9.0 Verified the Straight Party Button Tag field(s) has been disabled and is no longer an active field.
33	7/28/0 7	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.6.0 Activating Vote Cards	When attempting to write a machine only election to the Vote Card an unhandled exception error occurred. Selected "Activate Vote Card" from the menu, during initiation of the screen the following unhandled exception appeared: Specified arrangement was out of the range of valid values. Parameter Name: '1' is not a valid value for index.	v.1: 2.2.2.e "All systems shall provide for the: generation of all required master and distributed copies of the voting program in conformance with the definition of the ballots for each voting device	09/25/2007 Fixed. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood 10/8/07 v.4.0.9.0 Verified vote cards are written for a machine only election.
34	8/29/0 7	gaudette	Func Defect	Closed	Build Witness of Infinity	During the build witness, the "encrypt.exe" was executed but no source code for this executable was delivered for review. 9/10/07 gaudette: Closed by receipt and review of version 2.14 of the C code file. No discrepancies were noted.	v2: 1.8.2.4: The accredited test labshall witness the final system build, encompassing hardware, software, and communications, and the version of associated records		Accepted G. Audette The vendor submitted the source file on 9/6/07 for review. The review was completed

Page 171 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
							and documentation. v2:6.2.2the certified executable release of the system is built from tested components.		on 9/7/07 with no discrepancies.
35	8/29/0 7	gaudette	Func Defect	Closed	Build Witness of Infinity	During the build witness, "nfupdater100.exe" was executed but no source code for this executable was delivered for review. 9/10/07 gaudette: Closed by receipt and review of version 1.0.0 of the VB 6.0 code files. No discrepancies were noted.	v2: 1.8.2.4: The accredited test labshall witness the final system build, encompassing hardware, software, and communications, and the version of associated records and documentation. v2: 6.2.2the certified executable release of the system is built from tested components.		Accepted G. Audette 9/7/07 The vendor submitted the source file on 9/6/07 for review. The review was completed on 9/7/07 with no discrepancies.
36	8/31/0 7	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.1 Utilities: Copying an Election Database	EMS does not permit the user to make a copy of the database from a database copy. An error message appears stating that a database error has been detected and to call Technical Support. A window provides detail of the error but it does not clearly state the action the operator needs to perform to resolve the error.	v1: 2.1.5.1.b.i All error messages requiring intervention by an operator shall be displayed in easily understood language text v1: 2.1.5.1.b.v The message cue for all voting systems shall clearly state the action to be performed in the event that voter or operator response is required.	09/25/2007 Fixed. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified the ability to copy an election database and then make copy of the copied database; the database opens and functions as expected.
37	9/4/07	D Harwood	Inform ational	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.1 Utilities: Copying an Election Database	The "Copy To" dialogue box generated from the "Database Utilities- Copy" tab does not permit the user to cancel or exit the copy operation. The user is forced to make a copy. That copy can then be deleted.		09/25/2007 Added Cancel. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified the cancel button was added.
38	9/5/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Installation Database- Administrati on: General Preferences screen	While the "Straight Party Button Crossover" functionality is not available in this release, the field remains active. Users can enter data but it will not initiate functionality.	v.1: 2.1.4.f To ensure system integrity, all systems shall: Protect against any attempt at improper data entry or retrieval.	009/25/2007 Straight Party Button Crossover is available in this release and functions properly. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood 9/28/2007 Straight Party Cross Over Functionality is active within the current release, opened #78 documentation states that this functionality is not active in the current release.
39	9/5/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V Election Manageme nt System User Manual v1.6	Pg 19 Table 2.1.1 The Jurisdiction field accepts a maximum of 32 characters, the manual states 50.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Updated User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7 and corrected that Jurisdiction accepts maximum of 32 characters.	Accept D Harwood 10/10/2007 Verified v.1.7 table 2.11 indicates that the jurisdiction field has been updated to a max of 32 characters.
40	9/5/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Pg 19 Table 2.1.1 - No Vote text is supported in 4.0.8.0 . The manual states "Not supported in our current release".	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.		Accepted D Harwood 10/26/2007 Verified v.1.7 table 2.11 indicates that the No Vote text is supported.

Page 172 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
41	9/5/07	C Coggins	Inform ational	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Installation Database- Administrati on: Political Parties screen	The display of the parties could not be resequenced. Tester attempted to drag and drop a selected party to a new sequence location. The following warning was generated. "Resequence failed" Selection of OK displayed the following "@seqOne is not a parameter for procedure sp_sequence_party". (This does not impact ballot layout.)		Fixed. (dr)	Accepted D Harwood 10/3/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified Party resequence permits drag and drop to desired locations.
42	9/5/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Security - Password Change	Non-supervisor users must enter the EMS with a blank password. Testers created an "Open Other Elections" user. Signed on as the new user and attempted to set the password. Testers entered matched password. (a minimum of 6 characters with at least 1 number) - Warning dialogue generated: "A database error was detected while attempting to log error message. Please call MicroVote Tech Support". - Click OK: EMS dialogue: "Only member of the SysAdmin Role can use the loginname option. The password was not changed" - Click OK: Successful dialogue: "Password changed. Application must be restarted before changes." - Restarted EMS & login with the matched password; EMS dialogue: "Login to EMS failed. Please try again." - Click OK; login with blank password: user gained access to EMS with all permitted functions. Testers verified this was repeated with "Modify Ballot" role but not the "Supervisory" role. (Initial instance of the error occurred after entering 3 password mismatches followed by a valid password.) Rejected D Harwood/M Ricketts 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Behavior outlined above is still observed. A non supervisory user is unable to set a password and is forced to use a blank password.	v.1: 2.1.1.a To ensure security all systems shall: Provide security access controls that limit or detect access to critical system components to guard against loss of system integrity	09/25/2007 Fixed. (bh), 10/31 Was not able to duplicate issue description on our system. (TWB)	Rejected D Harwood/M Ricketts 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Accepted D Harwood 11/6/2007 v.4.0.11.0 Verified that a user without supervisor privileges can create a password and log into EMS with the created password.
43	9/6/07	gaudette	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix Y - Build and Installation Instructions Election Manageme nt System v.1.3	In Section 2.0 Step 5, the instruction to 'Execute SQL scripts. [EMS400.sql, model400.sql] was followed during the witness build but the step does not indicate where or how to execute the SQL. In addition, the model400.sql file has been replaced by six files so the reference is now incorrect.	v2: 9.7.1.b For the PCA, a vendor shall provide: a. Identification of all items that are to be a part of the software release Specification of compiler (or choice of compilers) to be used to generate executable programs.		Accepted G. Audette 10/29/07 v.1.6 verified corrected in 'Setup EMS Databases' on page 28 of 49
44	9/7/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0	The audit log is not available to view in the Installation Database mode.	v.1: 2.1.5.1.a.iv The audit record shall be active whenever the	09/25/2007 Audit log now functions in Installation	Accepted D Harwood/M Ricketts

Page 173 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					Installation Database		system is in an operating mode. This record shall be available at all times, though it need not be continually visible.	Database mode. (bh)	10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified the Audit Log can be viewed in the Installation and Election Modes.
45	9/7/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 System Audit - Recording Login	The successful and unsuccessful attempts to log into the EMS and operating system are not recorded in the system audit. D Harwood 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Rejected - Failed login attempts are not recorded or are recorded as successful logins. K Wilson 10/29/07 v.4.0.9.0 Rejected Re-tested with TurnOnAudit.reg set. Unsuccessful attempts are logged but the procedure to run TurnOnAudit.reg precedes the installation of the database. When the database installation is performed it overwrites or ignores the setting done with the .reg file.	v.1: 2.1.5.2operating system audit shall be enabled for all session openings and closings, for all connection openings and closings, for all process executions and terminations and for the alteration or deletion of any memory or file object.	Completed. (dr) bhirsch 10/31/07 v.4.0.10.0 When TurnOnAudit.reg is executed in correct order according to current instructions failed login attempts are recorded in the Windows Application Event log. Updated Installation Instructions to Version 1.8.	Accepted D Harwood 11/6/2007 v.4.0.11.0 Verified unsuccessful EMS application logins are logged in the system Event Viewer.
46	9/7/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Pg 59 Table 3.2.1 The Election Title field accepts alpha-numeric and spaces. It does not accept special characters, as stated in the manual.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Updated User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7. Updated Election Title description to show that special characters are not allowed.	Accepted D Harwood 10/10/2007 Verified v1.7 table 3.2.1 shows that special characters are not allowed.
47	9/7/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Pg 66 Table 3.3.2 The manual states the Non-Partisan option is automatically selected on a General Election. The application does not show Non-partisan automatically selected in a General Election. D Harwood 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Rejected as this is a Doc Defect. Removing the check box from the application form does not address the defect.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Non-Partisan checkbox removed. Not used. (dr)	Accepted - C Coggins 10/29/07 v.1.7 Table 3.3.2 verified reference to Non-Partisan is removed. Rejected-D Harwood 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0
48	9/8/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V Election Manageme nt System User Manual v1.6	Pg 66 Table 3.3.2 Selecting 'Build Defaults' populates the "Phonetic" field with the "Office Title, Subtitle 1 & 2". The manual indicates that only "Office Title" data is used to populate this field. Additionally the manual indicates the "Phonetic" field has a maximum of 80 characters which is less than the 3 field maximums. (Validated maximum populated data appears correctly. The "Phonetic" field limit exceeds 180 characters.)	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Updated Appendix V (User Manual) to version 1.7 to say Clicking this button automatically populate the Report Name, Tally Name, and Phonetics to be the same as the Office Title, Sub Title 1 and Sub Title 2. Also corrected the field limit on the Phonetic field to be 256.	Accepted D Harwood 10/11/2007 Verified v1.7 table 3.3.2, states "Clicking this button automatically populates Report Name, Tally Name, & Phonetics to be the same as Office Title, Sub Title 1 & Sub Title 2". Phonetic field - 256 character limit
49	9/8/07	C Coggins	Inform ational	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Office - Office Report Sequence	Users cannot properly resequence the Office Report. Resequencing the list moves two offices instead of one. When attempting to select a single office to drag and drop, the application grabs 2 offices. When the action is to move the	(V)2008-25.ILIN-001(B)	09/25/2007 Fixed. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood 10/03/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified Office resequence permits drag and drop to desired locations.

Page 174 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
						office up in the list, the office above the selected office is also moved. If the action is to move down the list, the office below the selected office is moved.			
50	9/8/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Office Add New Record- Nonpartisan field	The "Non-partisan" setting is incorrectly saved on the "Office Add New Record". On the "Office Add New Record" if the "Non-partisan" field is unchecked and "Build Defaults" is selected, the "Non-partisan" field is checked at the save.	v.1: 2.2.1.1.b.i All systems shall be capable of: collecting and maintaining offices and their associated labels and instructions.	Non-Partisan checkbox removed. Not used. (dr)	Accepted D Harwood 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified tthe Non Partisan Check box has been removed from the 'Office Add New Record'.
51	9/8/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Office Modify Record- Non- partisan field	The "Non-partisan" setting is incorrectly saved on the "Office Modify Record". On the "Office Modify Record" if a previously saved record with the "Non-partisan" field checked is edited with a change to the "Report Name", "Tally Name" or "Phonetic" fields (manually or through "Build Defaults") the "Non-partisan" field is unchecked at the save.	v.1: 2.2.1.1.b.i All systems shall be capable of: collecting and maintaining offices and their associated labels and instructions.	Non-Partisan checkbox removed. Not used. (dr)	Accepted D Harwood/ 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified that the Non Partisan Check box has been removed from the 'Office Modify Record'.
52	9/10/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Programming Vote Cards and Reading Vote Cards pg 98 and 99 screenshot text for the Activation field (01 All Voters) does not match the text in the application (00-All Activations)	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Updated User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7 with correct screen shot.	Accepted D Harwood 10/11/2007 verified v1.7 screen shots match the Activate Vote Cards screen on the application.
53	9/10/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Table 5.1.1 (pg 100) Definitions Table of Activate Vote Card Screen. The description does not provide complete definitions of the "00-All Precincts" and "00-All Activations" and how selection of these settings impacts the information written to the cards.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Updated User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7 with correct screen shot.	Accepted D Harwood 10/11/2007 verified v1.7 Activate Vote Cards Definitions Table 5.1.1a correctly describes 00-All- Precincts & 00-All- Activations.
54	9/10/07	M Ricketts	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 System Audit Log	The following activities were not recorded in the audit log: - Successful login and log off to the EMS - An abnormal shut down or relaunch after an abnormal shut down of EMS - Successful and unsuccessful writing or reading of vote cards in the Programming mode including Card errors such as unknown error from the card reader, check connection and card type is not supported from the utility on 9/12/2007 D Harwood added: - Successful reading and read errors of the Absentee Ballot with the OMR - Manual Vote entry procedures (documenting results that cannot be entered via the OMR, Tally Card or Infinity connection.) - All Report Generation	v.1:2.1.4.g To ensure system integrity, all systems shall: Record and report the date and time of normal and abnormal events	Fixed. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood/M Ricketts 10/8/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified in regression test of General 1 that all identified audit items were logged
Page 1	75 of 210	<u> </u>	1	1	l	- Ан перон денетанон	(V)2008-25JUN-001(B)		

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
						-Audit Log did not log "Clear Votes" operation			
55	9/10/07	M Ricketts	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Activate Vote Cards	In the "Activate Vote Cards" no error message is generated when a user attempts to write to a "Start" or "Tally" card instead of the "Vote" card. If a users attempts to write to a "Start" or "Tally" card the system status refreshes and displays "Ready", the same status that appears when a "Vote" card is successfully written.	v.1: 2.1.5.1.b.i All voting systems shall meet the requirements for error messages below. The voting system shall generate, store, and report to the user all error messages as they occur.	Fixed. (bh)	Accepted D Harwood/M Ricketts 10/4/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified an error message is generated when a user attempts to write to a "Start" or "Tally" card instead of the "Vote" card.
56	9/10/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Writing to Vote Cards	Status for the successful writing of a "Vote" cards is unclear. The system ready to write and successful completion of the write function are the same status ("Ready"). While the systems goes through other statuses during the write process they cannot be read by the user and there is no clear indicator of the "card successfully written" status.	v.1: 2.1.5.1.c The voting system shall display and report critical status messages using clear indicators or English language text.	Fixed. (dr)	Accepted D Harwood/M Ricketts 10/4/2007 v4.0.9.0 Verified the status for the successful writing of a "Vote" card is clear. Observed: "Writing Data to card", "Data Written to Card", & "Ready".
57	9/10/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V Election Manageme nt System User Manual v1.6	Programming Vote N Card pg 100 &102 screenshots & pg 102 Table 5.1.1 do not address the Vote Type field which is displayed on the Vote Card Screen (Card Type: Vote N Card) in the application	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Updated User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7 updated table 5.1.1 with Vote Type and screenshot to have Vote Type.	Accepted D Harwood 10/11/07 verified v1.7 Activate Vote Cards Definitions Table 5.1.1b and the Note below describes the Vote N card and it's purpose.
58	9/10/07	C Coggins	Inform ational	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Pg 100 & 102 The name and number of the tables are duplicated.		Updated User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7 and changed names of table so they are not duplicated to: 5.1.1a Definitions Table of Activate Vote Cards Screen and 5.1.1b Definitions Table of Activate Vote N Cards Screen	Accepted D Harwood 10/11/2007 verified v1.7 Activate Vote Cards Definitions Tables are now named 5.1.1a and 5.1.1b.
59	9/10/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Infinity Programming pg 109 step 8 states " A check mark appears on the connect button. The Reset and Begin buttons are inactive until connection is complete. " The check mark is displayed and the Begin button remains inactive but the Reset button becomes active.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	The statement is accurate. The Reset and Begin buttons are inactive until connection is complete. Once the connection is complete, as indicated by a check mark appearing on the connect button, the button states of one of the controls changes (the Reset button becomes active). The statement never claimed the condition of the other two buttons once connection was complete, only their	Accepted D Harwood/M Ricketts 10/02/2007 v4.0.9.0 Tester error; verified functions as designed.

Page 176 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
								condition prior to the connection being complete. (bh)	
60	9/10/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Security- Deleting the Election Database	Users, with read only permissions in the EMS application can delete an election database through the operating system file structure. D Harwood 10/16/07 Rejected Verified all users can delete an election database through the operating system file structure. C Coggins 10/29/07 Clarification note: When the file was deleted the election database could not be accessed.	v.1: 2.1.1.a To ensure security, all systems shall: provide security access controls that limit or detect access to critical system components to guard against loss of system integrity, availability	Fixed. (bh) bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 Instructions have been modified to prevent delete permissions from being added to the C:\EMS\DATA subdirectory.	Accepted D Harwood/ M Ricketts 11/6/2007 v.4.0.11.0 Verified users with EMS read only permissions can't delete an election database through the operating system file structure. Users receive an "access denied" error message.
61	9/11/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Pg 53-54, the Precinct Report does not identify the function of the Ballots Generated column.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Fixed. (dr)	Accepted - C Coggins 10/29/07 v.1.7 verified Precinct Report identifies the Ballots Generated column
62	9/11/07	M Ricketts	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Equipment screen and Infinity Panel Firmware version	The Infinity Panel EMS Equipment record can list a firmware version that is inconsistent with the version loaded on the panel. The version of the Infinity firmware is a required field on the Equipment page but there does not appear to be a validation of the integrity of this data. The firmware version listed in the EMS and the panel remains inconsistent after the election installation process of connecting the panel to the EMS. In this process the Equipment Assignment page updates to reflect the correct panel serial number and programming of the election.	v.1: 2.1.4.f To ensure system integrity, all systems shall: Protect against any attempt at improper data entry	Fixed. (dr) 10/4/07 Damon: After connecting and resetting an Infinity Panel but before actually programming the panel with the election, the system extracts the connected panel's firmware version as well as the serial number and 1) Looks at the equipment table to see if the serial numbers and firmware versions match. 2) If there is no equipment listed, it checks all listed firmware versions in the firmware table'.	AcceptedD Harwood/ M Ricketts 10/4/2007 EMS v.4.0.9.0 1) Verified an Infinity Voting Panel with a firmware version listed in the firmware table successfully updated the EMS Equipment Screens Installation of the ballot was permitted. (s/n 3627 build 9.41 v.3.11) 2) Verified an Infinity Voting Panel with a firmware version not listed in firmware table generated a mismatch error and did not permit installation of the ballot (s/n 3630, v. 3.10)
63	9/11/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V Election Manageme nt System User Manual v1.6	The fields and outputs of the General Preferences and Vote Type report screenshots in the EMS User Manual do not match the reports Pg 47-General Preferences Report, the screenshot is missing the Time Zone, Allow Blank Ballot and Card Type (OMR). The screen shot displays Running mate Stacking. This is not displayed on the report. Pg 50-Vote Type Report, the screenshot displays an "X" in the required to complete column, the report displays "True or False". Verbiage in the manual is does reflect the	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Updated User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7 to reflect the correct screen shots for the General Preferences Report and the Vote Type Report.	Rejected D Harwood 10/11/07 (incomplete) Accepted D Harwood - 10/24/2007 verified v.1.7 all items have been corrected in screen shot

Page 177 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
64	9/11/07	M Ricketts	Func Defect	Closed	Infinity v.9.61	actual report detail. D Harwood 10/11/2007 Partial Accepted - verified v1.7 correctly lists Time Zone, Blank Ballots and OMR Card Type in the General Preferences Report screenshot; verified vote Type Report screenshot displays True or False". Rejected - The screen shot of the report still displays Running Mate Stacking. A Blank Ballot cannot be cast.	v.1: 2.3.3.3.c DRE systems shall: Allow the voter to select		Accepted D Harwood 10/11/2007
					Cast a Blank Ballot	In order to cast a ballot the red "Cast Vote Button" must be active. The button is not active until at least one candidate is selected.	his or her preferences on the ballot in any legal number and combination		v.9.62 Verified a blank ballot can be cast
65	9/12/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V Election Manageme nt System User Manual v1.6	Pg 118, Section 6.2.1 (Reading OMR Ballot Cards) and Pg 120, section 6.3.1 (Reading Tally Cards) do not advise the user to print the Election Summary or provide a reference to section 6.6 (Election Summary), to show evidence of "Zero" votes in the EMS system prior to inputting vote data.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Added section to User Manual (Appendix V) to version 1.7 to describe Suggested Election Day Procedures that detail times to print out reports etc	Accepted D Harwood 10/11/2007 Verified in v.1.7 "Operating Procedures with Suggested Election Day Procedures" address verifying zero totals.
66	9/13/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix U MicroVote Infinity Poll workers Manual v1.3	The manual provides no information about access or decoding the "Vote Record Report" (Ballot Image report).	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	I have removed info about the 9002 in appendix Z and have changed Appendix Z to "Machine Technician Manual. I have updated Appendix C to reflect this change also. The 9002 is no longer part of our equipment. This manual has steps on decoding the vote records report. I've also referenced this manual in the User Manual.	Accepted M Ricketts 10/11/07 Verified information about accessing or decoding the "Vote Record Report" was included in the new document Appendix Z v.0.1. Verified Appendix Z is referenced in the EMS User Manual v.1.7 (Appendix V)
67	9/13/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix B1 Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v.3.11 DO0.2TDP-B1	The manual identifies the "Vote Record Report" (Ballot Image report) but provides no information about decoding the report or a reference to the location of this information.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Now Appendix Z, See above.	Accepted M Ricketts 10/11/07 Verified information about decoding the "Vote Record Report" was included in the new document Appendix Z v.0.1 Verified Appendix Z is referenced in the EMS User Manual v.1.7 (Appendix V).
68	9/13/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Audit Log Detail Report	The Audit Log Detail Report is cumbersome and difficult to read. Entries are written in programming language and do not provide information in a format that would support use in the event of litigation.	v.1: 2.1.5 Election audit trails provide the supporting documentation for verifying the accuracy of reported election results. Theyare essential for public confidence in the	Fixed. (bh)	Accepted C Coggins 10/8/07 v.4.0.9.0 Verified audit log entries are written in language more

Page 178 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
							accuracy of the tally, for recounts, and for evidence in the event of criminal or civil litigation.		accessible to non- technical staff.
69	9/14/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	TDP: Appendix Y - Build and Installation Instructions Election Manageme nt System v.1.3	An update to Section 3 (Infinity Build Instructions) of Appendix Y was provide on the build platform. This document contains additional information regarding the build process that is not in version 1.3 submitted by MicroVote General Corp.	v.1: 9.1.3 Requirements of configuration management apply to all components of voting systems These components include: documentation	Added EMS Installation Instructions to Appendix Y version 1.4	Accepted M Ricketts 10/11/07 Verified the update to Section 3, Appendix Y v.1.3 (Infinity Build instructions) provided on the build platform was added to v.1.4 Appendix Y.
70	9/20/07	M Ricketts	Inform ational	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Create and Edit Ballots	A Referendum can be placed on the ballot without having referendum text attached. A Referendum (Office) can be created without attaching the referendum text. EMS does not issue an error when the user places this Referendum on the ballot. 10/8/07 C Coggins - The reference to v.1: 2.2.1.2.b is removed. The issue is being restated as an Informational issue. A Referendum (Office) can be created without attaching referendum text. EMS does not issue a warning when the user places this Referendum on the ballot.		Blank referendum text can be used as a placeholder on the ballot and is allowed by design. (bh)	Accepted C Coggins 10/8/07 v.4.0.9.0 Verified functions as designed. Changed Type to Informational from Functional Defect as it is appropriate to permit a user to have a place holder.
71	9/20/07	M Ricketts	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Create and Edit Ballots- Infinity	When the Office Title and Referendum text exceeds a single Infinity ballot page, page 2 text is not displayed. An Infinity Referendum where the Office Title and referendum text exceeds a single page (30 button positions), the Referendum text is not displayed on the second page. The second page allots space for the (blank button positions) before inserting the Yes/No voting positions.	v.1: 2.2.1.2.b All voting systems shall provide a capability for: rapid and error-free definition of elections and their associated ballot layouts	bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 Referendum and Ballot Text exceeding a single page will correctly format and display on successive pages.	Accepted M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Confirmed Office Title and Referendum text exceeding a single page display correctly In the EMS and on the Infinity Panel.
72	9/20/07	M Ricketts	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.8.0 Create and Edit Ballots- Absentee	When the Office Title and Referendum text exceeds a single Absentee ballot column (20 rows), row 21-23 text is repeated after the Yes/No voting positions On an Absentee Referendum where the Office Title and referendum text exceeds a single column (20 rows), the text spreads across the two columns, but the complete text is not displayed. It is unclear if the full referendum text is being cut off or if the Yes/No voting positions are laid over the text. After the Yes/No voting positions text from row 21 to 23 is repeated.	v.1: 2.2.1.2.b All voting systems shall provide a capability for: rapid and error-free definition of elections and their associated ballot layouts	bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 Referendum and Ballot Text exceeding a single page will correctly format and display on successive pages.	Accepted M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Confirmed when the Office Title and Referendum text exceeds a single Absentee ballot column (20 rows), the complete text is displayed.
73	9/20/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User	Section 3.3.4 Creating a Referendum: The EMS User Manual does not indicate any	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and	Tbehler 10/31/2007 Ballot Text Size is limited by	Accepted M Ricketts 11/13/200

Page 179 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					Manual v1.6	limitations for the size of the ballot text for a referendum.	verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	other restrictions in the system such as the maximum number of positions on a ballot that can occur.	This documentation discrepancy was written due to Functional Defect #72. Regression testing of #72 confirmed that there is no limit on ballot text for a referendum.
74	9/21/07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW Election Manageme nt System v.1.3	The Security Specification identifies the EMS ballot preparation and central count hardware using a central EMS computer or networked to an EMS server. The EMS hardware specification indicates the system configuration is identified in the System Overview. The description of the hardware configuration can be interpreted as a stand alone system or network but it does not clearly indicate the recommended configuration of the system to protect against network or Internet attacks.	v.1: 7.4.2 Voting systems shall deploy protection against the many forms of threats to which they may be exposed such as file and macro viruses, worms, Trojan horses, and logic bombs. Vendors shall develop and document the procedures to be followed to ensure that such protection is maintained in a current status.	Changed the System Overview 2.2 to version 1.4 to say: The EMS software does not interface with external systems. The computer EMS is installed on should not be connected to the internet or used for any purposes other than running the EMS software."	Accepted M Ricketts 10/11/07 Verified document Section 2.2 v.1.4 was updated to include language which clearly indicates the recommended configuration of the system, to protect against network or Internet attacks.
75	9/25/07	D Harwood	Func Defect	Closed	Infinity v.9.61 Polling Place Infinity/Dou bleTalk/Hea dphones	The voting machine shall set the initial volume for each voter between 40 and 50 dB SPL. Although the Infinity Voting Panel resets to a default value after each voter, the DoubleTalk Unit and the Adjustable headphones do not. This allows the Voting system as a whole not to default to a value between 40 and 50 dbl SPL. With the DoubleTalk volume set to it's highest level and with the adjustable headphones set to their highest level, the 'system' resets at 57 dbl as defined in the 'step 38' tab within the Characteristics test case.	v.1 3.2.2.2.c.v - The accessible voting station shall be accessible to voters who are blind c. All voting stations that provide audio presentation of the ballot shall conform to the following requirements: v. The voting machine shall set the initial volume for each voter between 40 and 50 dB SPL.	bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 The unmodified COTS DoubleTalk unit's dynamic range has been increased to allow for a wider number of volume settings through software commands alone.	Accepted M Ricketts and D Harwood 11/12/2007 v.9.62 Verified the voting machine resents within the acceptable range
76	9/25/07	D Harwood	Func Defect	Closed	Infinity v.9.61 Polling Place Infinity/Dou bleTalk	The System does not allow the voter using the DoubleTalk to pause and resume the audio presentation.	v.1 3.2.2.2.c.v - The accessible voting station shall be accessible to voters who are blind b. The accessible voting station shall provide an audio-tactile interface (ATI) that supports the full functionality of the visual ballot interface, as specified in Subsection 2.3.3. iii. The ATI shall allow the voter to pause and resume the audio presentation.	bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 The system now will pause and resume the audio presentation. Instead of going back to the beginning of the text, when resumed the system will begin speaking at the beginning of the current sentence.	Accepted M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.9.62 Confirmed that the voting system permits the voter to pause and resume the audio presentation.
77	9/25/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix U MicroVote Infinity Poll workers Manual v1.3	The Voting system documentation provides no information on a procedure to provide sanitized headphones to voters.	v.1 3.2.2.2.c.iv - The accessible voting station shall be accessible to voters who are blind C. All voting stations that provide audio presentation of the ballot shall conform to the following requirements: iv. A sanitized headphone or	Update Appendix U to version 1.5. Added the following to instructions on using the double talk device: "Next we recommend that you use a common generic disposable ear covers on the headphones for each	Accepted M Ricketts 10/11/2007 Verified Appendix U v.1.4 was updated to provide information on providing sanitized headphones to voters.

Page 180 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
							handset shall be made available to each voter.	voter. This will provide sanitized headphones for each voter."	
78	9/28/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.6	Per discrepancy #38 - Auto Straight Party Cross Over functionality is active in the current release. Page 20 table 2.1.1 of The Election Management System User Manual states "this specific type of straight party functionality is not available in this release".	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.		Accepted D Harwood 10/25/2007 Verified v.1.7 correctly describes available functionality.
79	10/4/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v. 4.0.9.0 DRE Opening the Polls - Internal Test	An election can be opened on the Infinity Voting Panel without the running of the zero count report and the readiness of the equipment test to confirm that the device is ready to be activated The Infinity Voting Panel does not require a poll worker to verify the readiness of the equipment or that there are no votes on the system prior to permitting the polls to be opened.	v.1: 2.3.1.1 To allow voting devices to be activated for voting, all precinct count systems shall provide: a. An internal test to verify that all of the polling place tests specified in Subsection 2.2.5 have been successfully completed b. Automatic disabling of any device that has not been tested until it has been tested v.1: 2.2.5 To prepare voting devices to accept voted ballots, all voting systems shall provide the capability to test each device prior to opening to verify that each is operating correctly. At a minimum, the tests shall include: h. Confirmation that there are no hardware or software failures i. Confirmation that the device is ready to be activated for accepting votes	bhirsch 10/31/07 The Infinity Voting Panel runs a series of software & hardware tests during boot. If any of these tests fail the panel will display an error. If they succeed the panel will display a READY status screen. At this point the poll worker can run any of the optional diagnostic tests which include the Check Buttons, Check Display, and Show Ballot tests. If the poll worker attempts to then start the election prior to printing a mandatory zero proof report the system will prompt them to do so and not allow the election to be started. Once the system has passed the startup diagnostics and the zero proof report is successfully run and the worker has confirmed its validity, the system will allow the poll worker to begin the process of starting the election by pressing the Start Election button. An election start confirmation page will then be displayed to the worker. When the poll worker presses the key to then start the election a final status page is displayed showing the panel in the Voting Enabled mode, along with the words "Election Started" and the date and time. At any time during the election the poll worker can repeat the Check Buttons,	Accepted M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Verified an election cannot be opened on the Infinity Voting Panel without running the zero count report and the readiness of the equipment test to confirm the device is ready to be activated.

Page 181 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
								Check Display, or Show Ballot tests.	
80	10/5/07	D Harwood	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v. 4.0.9.0 Post Voting Consolidati ng and Reporting Votes	Precinct Summary Report displays the incorrect vote type data. On the Precinct Summary Report the Absentee generated votes are displayed under the Machine header and the Machine (DRE) generated votes are displayed under the Absentee header. (The votes are correctly tallied for each vote type. The Election Summary Report displays the votes under the correct vote type header.)	V.1:2.4.3 Producing Reports All systems shall be able to create reports summarizing the vote data on multiple levels. All systems shall provide capabilities to: b. Produce a printed report for each tabulator of the results of each contest that includes the votes cast for each selection	bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 Headers have been corrected to match the vote type in the Precinct Summary Report.	Accepted M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Confirmed the Precinct Summary Report displays the correct vote type data. Absentee generated votes display under the Absentee header and Machine generated votes display under the Machine header.
81	10/8/07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V Election Manageme nt System User Manual v1.6	Pg 32: Table 2.5.1 Ballot Text Screen. The manual states there is a Max of 500 alphanumeric characters in the Phonetic field. The field accepts over 500 alphanumeric characters.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Tbehler 10/31/2007 Ballot Text Size of characters allowed in the phonetic field is limited by other restrictions in the system such as the maximum number of positions on a ballot that can occur Tbehler 11/08/2007 Added clarification that "EMS has a limit of 600 ballot locations. Locations include ballot text, offices, candidates etc. If the Allow Blank Ballot option is selected in General Preferences the limit is 599, This takes into account the location that will have the No Vote Text selection at the end of the ballot."	Accepted M Ricketts 11/13/2007 Verified v.2.0 EMS User Manual the reference to Max of 500 alphanumeric characters in the Phonetic field has been removed.
82	10/9/07	M Ricketts	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v. 4.0.9.0 Ballot Report	"No Vote" ballot object is not displayed on the Ballot Report. When the "No Vote" ballot object is inserted on an Infinity ballot it does not appear on the Infinity Ballot Report. The report displays all other ballot objects, ballot text, offices and referendums with their correct position number.	v.1: 2.1.6 An EMS shall generate and maintain a database, or one or more interactive databases, that enables election officials or their designees to perform the following functions: Test that ballots and programs have been properly prepared	bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 "No Vote" is no longer a ballot object, and is instead an optional Infinity Panel setting, similar to the Autovote or Crossover settings. It is enabled in the Preferences section by checking the Allow Blank Ballot option. When this option is checked during ballot design and Infinity Panel programming, the No Vote location will automatically appear at the end of all ballot activations before the confirmation page, allowing the panel to accept a completely blank ballot when selected by the	Accepted M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Confirmed that as the "No Vote" is not a Ballot Object but is instead a Preference "Allow Blank Ballot", that provides the voter with the option to cast a blank ballot, it is not required to appear on the Ballot Report.

Page 182 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Ballot Men the "No Vote" ballot object is inserted on an Infinity ballot the printing doublin Individuals all ballot objects, except the "No Vote" All ballot text, offices and referendum are correctly printed. Between the "No Vote" All ballot text, offices and referendum are correctly printed. Between the "No Vote" All ballot text, offices and referendum are correctly printed. Between the "No Vote" All ballot text, offices and referendum are correctly printed. Between the end of all ballot activations before the confirmation page, allowing the panel to accept a completely blank ballot, required to a the ballot when selected by the voter. If voting locations have already been accept a completely blank ballot with the end of all ballot activations before the confirmation page, allowing the panel to accept a completely blank ballot with the end of all ballot activations have already been accept, all systems shall reduce the properties options in the confirmation page when the peoplet, and will be allot on the Infinity Voting Panel. Bellot Display Bellot Display The "No Vote" ballot object is inserted on the ballot thanks should have ended on page 3 of the Infinity Party ballot object was inserted at the end of the ballot thanks should have ended on page 3 of the Infinity Party ballot object on the Infinity Vote' ballot object and the ballot thanks on the "No Vote' ballot object and the ballot text." Straight Party ballot object and the ballot thanks are not the page after the header. (When the ballot was successed with the "No Vote' ballot object and the ballot thanks the page after the header. (When the ballot was successed with the "No Vote' ballot object and the ballot thanks the page after the header. (When the ballot was successed with the "No Vote' ballot object and the ballot object thanks the page after the header thanks the page after th	#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
Ricketts Defect Ballot Printing Print									have already been selected, the button will prompt the voter to	
Ricketts Defect V.4.0.9.0 & v.4.0.9.0 & v.4.0.9.0 & v.9.61 Ballot v.9.61 Ballot on the Infinity Voting Panel. The "No Vote" ballot object was inserted after the "Straight Party" ballot object was inserted after the "Straight Party" ballot object. On a ballot that should have ended on page 3 of the Infinity, the header text repeated at the bottom of page 3 and top of page 4. The "Voter Control" ballot tobject, "No Vote" ballot object and the 1st Office (President) repeated on the 4th page after the header. (When the ballot was recreated with the "No Vote" ballot object temoved it correctly displayed on the Infinity.) 85 10/16/0 D Func Closed 7 Harwood Defect V.4.0.9.0 OMR Card Reading - Straight A paper ballot containing a straight party to the processed and unable to to be processed and unable to Straight Party voter in a straight party to vio tin a straight party to the processed and unable to continuing a straight Party voter in a straight party to to be page 1./8/07 v.4.0.10.10 In/8/07 v.4.0.10.0 Accepted - V.4.0.9.0 OMR Card Reading - Straight Party Overvote in a straight party to to be processed and unable to to be processed and unable to to page 3 and contest at the end of the appropriate options for casting and recording votes. ### Allot Object, and will always appear on the last page of all activations prior to the confirmation page when the option is set in Preferences during programming. ### Allot Blank to confirmed the appropriate options for casting and recording votes. ### Allot Blank to confirmed the appropriate options for casting and recording votes. ### Allot Blank to confirmed the appropriate options for casting and recording votes. ### Allot Blank to confirmed the appropriate options for casting and recording votes. ### Allot Blank to the confirmation page when the option is set in Preferences during programming. ### Allot Blank to confirmed the appropriate options for casting and recording votes. ### Allot Blank to the cast the end of on the last page of all activations prior to th	83	10/9/07			Closed	4.0.9.0 Ballot	not display the "No Vote" ballot object. When the "No Vote" ballot object is inserted on an Infinity ballot the printed ballot (Ballot Printing option) includes all ballot objects, except the "No Vote" All ballot text, offices	generate and maintain a database, or one or more interactive databases, that enables election officials or their designees to perform the following functions: Test that ballots and programs have been	"No Vote" is no longer a ballot object, and is instead an optional Infinity Panel setting, similar to the Autovote or Crossover settings. It is enabled in the Preferences section by checking the Allow Blank Ballot option. When this option is checked during ballot design and Infinity Panel programming, the No Vote location will automatically appear at the end of all ballot activations before the confirmation page, allowing the panel to accept a completely blank ballot when selected by the voter. If voting locations have already been selected, the button will prompt the voter to	M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Confirmed that as the "No Vote" is not a Ballot Object but is instead a Preference "Allow Blank Ballot", that provides the voter with the option to cast a blank ballot, it is not required to appear on
7 Harwood Defect V.4.0.9.0 reported on ballots with a "Straight Party Overvote". N Ricketts/D paper-based systems only , the total number of ballots both able to be processed and unable to N Ricketts/D paper-based systems only , the total number of ballots both able to be processed and unable to N Ricketts/D paper-based systems only , the total number of ballots both able to be processed and unable to	84	10/9/07			Closed	v.4.0.9.0 & Infinity v.9.61 Ballot	on the ballot, ballot objects and contest content was repeated at the end of the ballot on the Infinity Voting Panel. The "No Vote" ballot object was inserted after the "Straight Party" ballot object. On a ballot that should have ended on page 3 of the Infinity, the header text repeated at the bottom of page 3 and top of page 4. The "Voter Control" ballot text, "Straight Party" ballot object, "No Vote" ballot object and the 1st Office (President) repeated on the 4th page after the header. (When the ballot was recreated with the "No Vote" ballot object removed it correctly displayed on the	accuracy, all systems shall: record the appropriate options	"No Vote" is no longer a ballot object, and will always appear on the last page of all activations prior to the confirmation page when the option is set in Preferences during	M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Confirmed that with the "Allot Blank Ballot" preference checked, the ballot objects and contest content do not at the end of the ballot on the Infinity Voting
Overvote contest is not counted or reported as unprocessed in the EMS. The "Recorded" display all accepted and undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not counted or reported as undervoted locations, and contests unless than the contest is not contest in the co	85				Closed	v.4.0.9.0 OMR Card Reading - Straight Party	Votes in non-partisan contests are not reported on ballots with a "Straight Party Overvote". A paper ballot containing a straight party overvote and a vote in a non-partisan contest is not counted or reported as unprocessed in the EMS. The "Recorded"	tally data shall include: for paper-based systems only , the total number of ballots both able	The straight party overvoted paper ballots will now display an OK - Straight Party Overvote message to the operator, display all accepted and	Accepted - M Ricketts/D Harwood 11/8/07 v.4.0.11.0 Confirmed that an over- vote in a straight party selection reports as an error in all partisan contests unless there is a straight party cross-

Page 183 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
						partisan selection, while "Error: Straight Party Overvote" is displayed in the status. It is not clear from the information displayed in the "Status" field that the entire card is unprocessed. The Election Summary and Precinct Reports identifies the number of processed ballots but not the number of unprocessed ballots.		the vote for non-partisan contests.	over vote. Nonpartisan & referendum votes are correctly reported. Status displays "OK - Straight Party Overvote". "OK" indicates the card is successfully processed. "Straight Party Overvote" identifies an error in the straight party selection.
86	10/16/0 7	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V Election Manageme nt System User Manual v1.7	Table 6.2 Definitions of OMR Ballot Card Screen fields: The manual states the "Status" field displays the status of the last card read and identifies if the card was successfully counted. The status displayed is inconsistent and the manual does not define what each status means. Observed status displays included "OK", Straight Party selections, "Error: Card Orientation" and "Error: Straight Party Overvote". It was not clear from the display what each status meant in terms of the ballot card being successfully counted.	v.2: 6.7.b The functional configurationshall use and verify the accuracy and completeness of the systems operations manuals.	Tbehler 11/08/2007 Added descriptions of statuses to the User Manual DO2.0TDP-V	Accepted M Ricketts 11/12/2007 Verified v.2.0 EMS User Manual contains descriptions of the OMR Ballot Card Screen Status field status messages and, which status messages indicate the ballot card was not counted.
87	10/16/0 7	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v. 4.0.9.0 Spanish Ballot Translation s	The language accessible ballot demonstrated the capability to present both English and an alternative language in audio and visual presentation, except for the office title, contest name and party name. Capability to support all ballot content in an alternative language (Spanish) was not demonstrated. The office title and party names are fields that do not contain a translation option or permit direct entry of the special characters needed to support accents on vowels or the ñ. The candidate name fields do not contain a translation option but there is functionality to enter candidate names as free form ballot text. The ballot text feature provides a translation option; however when text was entered into the Spanish translation field of the ballot text and used for a candidate name, the English candidate name text was displayed on the Spanish ballot. For all other ballot content the system is capable of entering English and an alternative language.	v. 1: 3.1.3 The voting equipment shall be capable of presenting the ballot, ballot selections, review screens and instructions in any language required by state or federal law. EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2007-04, 2005 VVSG Vol. 1 Section 3.1.3 v. 1: 3.2.1.a When the provision of accessibility involves an alternative format for ballot presentation, then all information presented to voters including instructions, warnings, error and other messages, and ballot choices shall be presented in that alternative format.	bhirsch 10/31/07 v4.0.10.0 Our system has been modified to allow the proper name of a unique candidate, contest title or party name to be identified by characters which include the extended character ASCII set. The new capability will allow any part of the ballot to be displayed using characters such as an Ñ or É, at the discretion of the local jurisdiction.	Accepted M Ricketts 11/7/2007 v.4.0.11.0 11/7/07 Confirmed creation of Contest & Party names with "Spanish" characters and, correctly displays on the ballot.
88	10/17/0 7	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.9.0 Undervote & Overvote Report Totals	The Undervote & Overvote Report does not provide an accumulation for each contest, for the full election (by "additional jurisdictional level") The Undervote & Overvote Report provides a list of undervotes and overvotes for each	v.1: 2.4.3.a All systems shall provide capabilities to: support geographic reporting, which require the report of all results for each contest at the precinct level and additional jurisdiction levels	bhirsch 11/25/07 v4.0.12.0 Modified the Undervote & Overvote Report to accumulate contest votes at both the precinct and election levels. Tbehler Updated Appendix V with	Accepted D Harwood 12/6/07 Verified v.4.0.12.0 provided contest accumulation by precinct and by election.

Page 184 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
						contest with the total grouped by vote type (machine, absentee and provisional) and precinct; a lump-sum total of all undervote and overvotes in the election is reported. The report does not provide: - a contest accumulation by precinct - a contest accumulation for the election. (The election-wide is interpreted to be an "Additional Jurisdictional level".)	v.1: 5.4.4.e At a minimum, vote tally data shall include: separate accumulation of overvotes and undervotes for each contest, by tabulator, precinct and for additional jurisdictional levels	the latest screen print of the undervote and overvote report.	
89	10/18/0 7	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.9.0 Undervote Recording & Reporting	The recording and reporting of undervotes in the Tally Report, Undervote & Overvote Report and the Infinity Vote Record Report only account for a single undervote in an N of M contest (Vote for 2) If a voter submits a vote with no candidate selections in a contest with a "Vote for 2", the Infinity records a single undervote. The Tally Report, Infinity Vote Record Report (ballot image) and the Undervote & Overvote Report reflect a single undervote. Rejected D Harwood 12/06/07 (v.1:2.1.2.f) v.9.63 Infinity Vote Record Report (ballot image) does not identify each undervote. The report reflects undervotes as omitted selection rather than reporting each undervote as a separate entity.	v1:2.1.2.c: all systems shall: record each vote precisely as indicated by the voter and produce an accurate report of all votes cast; v1:2.1.2.f: In addition, DRE systems shall: record and retain redundant copies of the original ballot image. A ballot image is an electronic record of all votes cast by the voter, including undervotes. v.1:2.4.3.c: Produce a printed report for each tabulator of the results of each contest that includes the votes cast for each selection, the count of undervotes v.1:2.4.3.d: Produce a consolidated printed report of the results for each contest of all votes cast (including the count of ballots from other sources supported by the system as specified by the vendor) that includes the votes cast for each selection, the count of undervotes v.1: 4.1.1: For a voting system, accuracy is defined as the ability of the system to capture, record, store, consolidate and report the specific selections and absence of selections, made by the voter for each ballot position without error		Accepted D Harwood 12/12/2007 v 4.0.14.0 Verified all DRE undervotes are correctly reported in all reports, including the Vote Record Report (ballot image). Accepted D Harwood 3/10/2009 v.4.0.16.0 Verified through the Under/Overvote reports that the undervotes are correctly reflected and reported for the OMR when they occur for a N of M (vote for 2) contest.
	10/18/0 7	Coggins	Inform ational	Closed	EMS v.4.0.9.0 Straight Party Voting	The first 2 of 3 candidate matches were selected in a straight party contest where the number of straight party selections exceeded the "Vote for 2" limit. While this test is a valid boundary test for software, this condition is outside accepted practices for a General Election. This condition would only occur under an extraordinary circumstance such as an order from a court. A partisan contest with a	(V/2008 25 II IN 004/P)	bhirsch 11/25/07 v4.0.12.0 Because it is outside of accepted practices for a general election, the EMS software now prevents over filing of candidates within a general election. If the user attempts to exceed the vote limit or secondary vote limit when editing candidates, offices,	Accepted C Coggins 12/12/07 Verified in v.4.0.14.0 a contest cannot be set up with more candidates for a single party than the "Vote for" limit.

Page 185 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
						Vote for 2 contained a party with 3 candidates. A straight party vote was issued for the party. The first two candidates were selected, the third was not. While the system did not overvote, the expected results for this test were that the system would not overvote nor make a partial selection.		or secondary vote limit groupings the save is cancelled and an informational message is displayed.	
91	10/22/ 07	M Ricketts	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix V EMS User Manual v1.7	Provisional Voting functionality and/or the procedure for handling provisional ballots is not documented in the EMS User Manual. Appendix F (Voting Variations) states that MicroVote supports Provisional Ballots. The EMS User Manual indicates there is a Provision Vote Type, but it provides no information regarding handling of provisional voting.	v2:2.8 This documentation shall provide all information necessary for system use by all personnel who support preelection and election preparation with regard to all system functions and operations	Tbehler 11/08/2007 Added more clarification to how provisional voting is handled by EMS by describing it in advanced voting and the use of the vote n card. In the user manual DO2.0TDP-V	Accepted M Ricketts 11/12/2007 Verified v.2.0 EMS User Manual provides information regarding the handling of provisional voting.
92	10/23/	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.6 SYSTEM SECURITY SPECIFICA TION EMS v.1.2	Physical security procedures do not address chain of evidence, witness handling or other smart card physical security procedures. A security test scenario included a tester defined as an election insider with: - uncontrolled access to the prior voted election smart cards and reports; - programming and smart card expertise or abetted by a conspirator with these skills; - 45 minutes of uncontrolled access to voted smart cards during election night central counting. The tester was able to switch votes from one candidate to another without detection by the EMS. The change would be detected in an audit of the smart card results and the paper Infinity Tally Report. This scenario is assessed by the VSTL to be a very low risk (v.2: 6.4) if there are physical security procedures to address the uncontrolled access, because an election insider without expertise could neither see nor switch votes.	v.1: 7.3 A voting system's sensitivity to disruption or corruption of data depends, in part, on the physical location of equipment and data media, and on the establishment of secure telecommunications among various locations. Most often, the disruption of voting and vote counting results from a physical violation of one or more areas of the system thought to be protected. Therefore, security procedures shall address physical threats and the corresponding means to defeat them.	Tbehler 11/08/2007 added instructions in the pollworker manual and the security specification to handle infinity card handling. D01.3TDP-2.6 and D01.7TDP-U. "5. All cards (Start, Vote, Vote N and Tally) must be stored in proper envelopes for returning to election office. It is recommended that you seal tally cards and tapes in their respective envelopes before you transport them to the election office. It is also recommended that at least one poll worker from each party transport the tally cards and tapes to the election office together. The sealing of the envelopes and transportation of the cards and tapes by at least two partisan poll workers ensures a high level of security between the poll site and the election."	Accepted M Ricketts 11/14/2006 Confirmed that Smart Card physical security procedures were added to v1.3 Section 2.6 document and v1.7 Infinity Poll Worker Manual (Appendix U).
93	10/25/ 07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v.3.11 DO0.2TDP- B1 & Appx C COTS SPECIFICA	The COTS hardware DoubleTalk must be configured with BIOS 0212 to support the required volume controls. No method has been identified for the jurisdiction to validate that the fielded DoubleTalk is configured with the correct BIOS Rejected - C Coggins 12/5/07 No procedure or method has been submitted addressing identification of the certified system configuration for fielded	v.2:6.6.a: The audit shall establish a configuration baseline of the software and hardware to be tested. Testing & Certification Program Manual section 5.8 The manufacturer shall provide tools through which a fielded voting system may be identified and demonstrated to be unmodified	Tbehler 10/31/2007 The manufacturer will supply the double talk devices with a non removable sticker with the version of bios installed as COTS.	Accepted D Harwood 12/19/2007 Verified Appendix AA v. 1.2 addresses the issue and fulfills the requirement.

Page 186 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					TIONS EMS v.1.2	hardware.	from the system that was certified.		
94	10/25/ 07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v.3.11 DO0.2TDP- B1	The Infinity Voting Panel protective enclosure is designed to be stored on it's end like a suitcase. The maintenance procedures do not describe the storage method with respect to not stacking or the maximum number of panels that can be stacked without damage. Section 9.5.4 only addresses storage in a secure place. Rejected - This issue is not addressed in v.DO 0.3TDP-B1 sec. 9.5.4 nor is it found elsewhere in the document.	v.1: 4.2.3.b.ii All precinct voting systems shall: be capable of using or be provided with, a protective enclosure rendering the equipment capable of withstanding: Stacking loads associated with storage v.1: 8.7 documentation shall be sufficient to serve the needs of the test lab, election officials, and maintenance technicians.	Tbehler 10/31/2007 Updates coming from Carson Manufacturing. Tbehler 11/08/2007 Updates submitted to FTP site from Carson Manufacturing.	Accepted - D Harwood 12/4/07 DO0.4TDP-B1 States that panels should lie flat in storage case for storage and that there is a stacking limit of 6 cases.
95	10/25/	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v.3.11 DO0.2TDP- B1	To replace the PC/104 SBC the documentation says to pry it up using your fingers to release the locking standoff tabs, without using any tools. This was attempted and no techs were able to pull the part, as doing so risked damaging the unit. It did not seem that the locking standoffs had anything to do with the issue, as they were easily pinched and released, but the actual connecters appear too tight. Further explanation is necessary to define the method for safe removal. Rejected 12/19/07 jpatterson DO0.4TDP-B1 The method described in section 11.5.11, pops the right side against the edge of the case as expected. The other side seems too likely to damage the unit to use the force required to pry it off. The manual says to use the CompactFlash as leverage, but neither the part nor the board its mounted on are strong enough to withstand the procedure. The last pin has no points for leverage. It is surrounded by surface mounted parts. The prying described in the manual risks damage to the unit. The manual says to use "the padded handle of long-nosed pliers" to pry the part up. No pliers were supplied or described. Differences between types and materials used in various pliers could make a difference when trying to remove the part. Pliers a bit smaller than a standard needle nose were used and the rubber handle padding was damage in prying.	v.1: 4.3.4.2.g. The following additional attributes will be considered to assess system maintainability: Adjust, align, tune or service components v.1: 8.7 documentation shall be sufficient to serve the needs of the test lab, election officials, and maintenance technicians.	Tbehler 10/31/2007 Updates coming from Carson Manufacturing. Tbehler 11/08/2007 Updates submitted to FTP site from Carson Manufacturing. bhirsch 3/14/08 The Infinity Manual contained in DO0.5TDP- B1.doc section 11.5.1 states that the Infinity voting panel should only be disassembled by factory trained personnel. Removal of the Compact Flash memory referenced in Section 11.5.12 is a continuation of this section and is a procedure that requires disassembly of the panel and therefore should only be performed by properly trained personnel. JPatterson with iBeta has not received this training and is therefore not qualified to perform the procedure. Instructions contained in 11.5.12 are correct and will result in removal of the Compact Flash memory as stated when performed by someone having received the correct factory training.	Rejected 12/19/07 Jpatterson DO0.4TDP-B1 Accepted jpatterson & C Coggins 3/17/08 Verified that DO0.5TDP.B1 stipulates these operations are to be performed by factory trained technicians. It is accepted that the description in section 11.5.12 is acceptable if augmented with training
96	10/25/	C	Doc	Closed	Infinity	The instructions for replacing the memory	v.1: 4.3.4.2.g. The following	Tbehler(10/31/2007)There	Accepted
	07	Coggins	Defect		Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual	are insufficiently detailed. The locking pin holding the memory in place is very tight and may not be reusable. The pin can be easily damaged when using pliers or by prying and pulling on the pin. A more	additional attributes will be considered to assess system maintainability: Adjust, align, tune or service components v.1: 8.7 documentation shall	are no maintenance operations for the infinity voting panel as communicated to me by Carson Manufacturing and	D Harwood & jpatterson 12/19/07 Verified DO0.3TDP-B1 instructions were sufficient to complete

Page 187 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					v.3.11 D00.2TDP- B1	detailed explanation as to how the pin is removed would lessen the chance of damage during routine maintenance.	be sufficient to serve the needs of the test lab, election officials, and maintenance technicians.	in their technical specifications.	the operation.
97	10/25/ 07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel Product Marking	The Infinity Voting Panel did not include a data plate containing a schedule for and list of operations required to service or perform preventive maintenance. Rejected 11/12/07 D Harwood - In the event that there is no scheduled maintenance or service operations, this needs to be stipulated on the data plate Rejected 12/5/07 C Coggins - No procedure or method has been submitted addressing identification or upgrade of the certified system configuration for fielded hardware.	v.1: 4.3.6.b All voting systems shall: display on each device a separate data plate containing a schedule for and list of operations required to service or to perform preventive maintenance	Tbehler(10/31/2007) There are no maintenance operations for the infinity voting panel as communicated to me by Carson Manufacturing. Tbehler(11/30/2007) There will be a data plate added to the infinity voting machine to stating the EAC Certification Number and "No Routine Service or Maintenance Required"	Accepted D Harwood 12/19/2007 Verified Appendix AA v.1.2 addresses the issue and fulfills the requirement.
98	10/26/ 07	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel Technical Reference Manual v.3.11 DO0.2TDP- B1	The part numbers of the approved parts list, section 12, does not match the part numbers on the Infinity Voting Panel submitted for testing. A review of the test documentation used by Wyle during environmental test revealed a 2001 Engineering Change Order (ECO). The submitted approved parts list does not reflect the updates made in the ECO. Rejected 12/19/07 jpatterson DO0.4TDP-B1 Where part numbers could be found, the list of parts and inspected Infinity Voting Panel were generally consistent, except for the keyboard. The part was marked CP4279, the documentation shows a part number of ED1220.	v.1:4.3.1.b A voting system shall: included as part of the accompanying Technical Data Package, an approved parts list. v.1: 8.7 documentation shall be sufficient to serve the needs of the test lab, election officials, and maintenance technicians.	Tbehler(10/31/2007) Carson is checking into this issue with information provided by Carolyn. 05/09/2008 Carson updated the parts list in technical specificiation DO0.7TDP-B1.doc to correctly name the keyboard part as CP4279.	Rejected JPatterson 12/19/07 DO0.4TDP-B1 Accepted C Coggins 5/22/08 Verified DO0.7TDP-B1 identifies keyboard part CP4279
99	11/1/07	D Harwood	Doc Defect	Closed	Election Manageme nt System User Manual v.1.7	The EMS User Manual does not specify how the audit records identify systems used at the polling place or how to include polling place identification. The manual does not describe the relationship of the polling place to the precinct.	v1:5.4.2 System Readiness Audit Records The following minimum requirements apply to system readiness audit records: b. In the case of systems used at the polling place, the record shall include polling place identification	Tbehler 11/08/2007 Precinct = Polling Place in our system. A machine is programmed with a precinct and it's assigned ballot style. Poll workers audit that ballot style by doing a show ballot and checking that the zero proof and the machine have the correct precinct on them.	Accepted D Harwood 12/4/2007 Election Management System User Manual v.2.2 Accepted vendor response as clarification.
100		K Wilson	Func Defect	Closed	Trusted Build	The project for msi has not been provided for installation of the Trusted Build. The project (*.sln) should be comprised of configuration files. These files are not expected to require source code review but the VSTL requires submission of this project in order to complete the Trusted Build installation.	EAC Testing & Certification Program Manual section 5.6.3.4 The VSTL shall install the executable code onto the system submitted for testing and certification before completion of system testing.	11/7/2007 project submitted	Accepted K Wilson 3/13/08 project for msi has been provided for installation and verified functions correctly
101	11/8/07	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix Y - Build and	The Trusted Build instructions do not address the project for the msi process and	v.1: 8.7 documentation shall be sufficient to serve the needs		Accepted K Wilson 3/13/08 verified v.2.1

Page 188 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					Installation Instructions EMS v.1.6	installation.	of the test lab, election officials, and maintenance technicians.		address the project for msi process and installation
102	12/5/07	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix Y - Build and Installation Instructions EMS v.2.0	The EMS is identified by MicroVote as a stand alone system that is not to be networked. The installation instructions do not address the disabling the wireless modem on the laptop.	v.1: 8.7 documentation shall be sufficient to serve the needs of the test lab, election officials, and maintenance technicians.		Accepted K Wilson 3/13/08 verified v.2.1 address disabling the wireless modem on the laptop
103	12/6/07	D Harwood	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.12.0 Provisional Ballot Extract	Precinct identification was not extracted from DRE to the EMS for all provisional ballots; resulting in inaccurate reporting. When two provisional ballots from separate precincts were extracted from the Infinity, only precinct ID from one precinct was extracted with the record. When the two provisional ballots were posted only the ballot with the precinct ID was posted to the Election Summary Report and the Precinct Report. (There was no problem with the reporting of precinct ID for Machine Vote Types)	v1:2.1.2.c: all systems shall: record each vote precisely as indicated by the voter and produce an accurate report of all votes cast; v.1:2.4.3.d: Produce a consolidated printed report of the results for each contest of all votes cast (including the count of ballots from other sources supported by the system as specified by the vendor) that includes the votes cast for each selection, the count of undervotes		Accepted D Harwood 12/13/2007 v 4.0.14 Verified that Precinct ID is displayed consistently in reports for all vote types
104	12/6/07	D Harwood	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.12.0 Provisional Ballot Extract	Provisional Votes can be extracted, posted and counted multiple times. During extraction of the provisional ballots an error with the extraction of the Precinct ID was noted. The ballots (Vote Type of Provisional) were cleared from the election results and the posted votes were reset. The Provisional votes were extracted again in order to confirm the missing Precinct ID. The original extracted vote records were not cleared or overridden. Nor did the EMS prevent extraction of the same votes. The Provisional votes were duplicated in the second extraction. Both sets of votes were able to be posted to the election results and reported.	v1:2.1.4 Integrity measures insure the physical stability and function of the vote recording and counting processes. To ensure system integrity all systems shall: Protect against any attempt at improper data entry or retrieval.		Accepted D Harwood 12/13/2007 v 4.0.14 Verified that votes extracted directly from the panel were prevented from duplication and counting more than once. An error was generated that votes were already extracted.
105	12/6/07	D Harwood	Inform ational	Closed	EMS v.4.0.12.0 Infinity programme d for Vote Type- Provisional permits a Machine type vote to be entered	Infinity Panels programmed as Provisional Vote Types permit the use of "Vote" cards and panels programmed as Machine Vote Types permit the use of "Vote N" cards. Infinity Panels are programmed as either Machine or Provisional Vote Types. MicroVote procedures indicate that provisional voters should use the "Vote N" card on a dedicated Provisional Vote Type panel. "Vote" cards are used for Nonprovisional voters. Panels programmed with either Vote Type permit voting with either card. When the votes are imported to the EMS they are reported in the column that corresponds to the panel Vote Type. Hence a vote from a Non-provisional voter		1/23/08 bhirsch v4.0.15.0 Actually our system allows the jurisdiction to define their own vote types (not just "machine" or "provisional." The Infinity Panel will correctly report whatever vote type it has been programmed to contain, as defined by the jurisdiction. We publish the method used by our system to cast a "provisional" vote. The method states that in order to cast a provisional vote the voter must vote on a dedicated provisional vote	

Page 189 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

and turctioning. An audio ballot could not be played and vated. Examination of the unit found that a resistor had been burned out. Carson Manufacturing ECM 1402 addressed proper allow or the manufacturing test execution; audio voted examination of the unit found that the mumber of spacers on the card reader during test when the mumber of spacers on the card reader during test and reported during test when the mumber of spacers on the card reader during test when the mumber of spacers on the card reader during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3627, 3629, & 3630 during the post-test operational status. check the card reader during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3628, the card reader (Mote: All ballots votes were accurately cast and reported during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3630 during the post-test operational status. check the card reader during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3630 during the post-test operational status check the card reader full of the read reader. (Mote: All ballots votes were accurately cast and reported during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3630 during the post-test operational status check the card reader (Mote: All ballots votes were accurately cast and reported during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3630 during the post-test operational status check in the number of spacers on the card reader. (Mote: All ballots votes were accurately cast and reported during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3630 during the post-test operational status check proper alignment of the scard reader. (Mote: All ballots votes were accurately cast and reported during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels SIN 3630 during the post-test operational status check proper alignment of the scard reader.)	#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
Defect Double Talk audio ballot connection of Infinity Panel S/N3628, the bouble Talk audio ballot connection of the played and voted. Examination of the unit found that a resistor had been burned out. Carson Manufacturing ECN 1424 addresses replacement of this resistor with a thermal fuse, which resets when it cools. (Note: All voteds were accurately cast and Tally, cards) 121/07 C C Defect Defect Coggins 1221/07 C C Func Coggins 1221/07 C C Lard Panel Closed Defect Infinity Panel S/N3628, the card reader (Vote, Start, and Tally); cards) 1221/07 C C Lard Panel Coggins 1221/07 C C Lard Panel Co							,		card. Any other combination of panel or card would not accomplish the task as defined by our system. The fact that our system accommodates other combinations of vote types and vote cards is irrelevant to whether or not our system correctly accommodates provisional voting as defined. If a jurisdiction decides to use a different combination to accommodate their individual needs we would not want to	
1/21/07 C Coggins Panel Card Pefect Defect	106	1/21/07	_		Closed	Panel and DoubleTalk audio ballot	(Temperature and Power Variations), on Infinity Panel S/N3628, the DoubleTalk assistive device failed to receive the audio ballot output from the panel. During the post test operational status check the DoubleTalk connection to the panel was not functioning. An audio ballot could not be played and voted. Examination of the unit found that a resistor had been burned out. Carson Manufacturing ECN 1424 addresses replacement of this resistor with a thermal fuse, which resets when it cools. (Note: All votes were accurately cast and reported during test execution; audio votes were able to be cast on Infinity Panels S/N 3627, 3629, & 3630 during the post-test operational	shall be subject to functional testing to verify continued operability. If any portion of the voting machine or precinct counter hardware fails to remain fully functional, the testing will be suspended until the failure is identified and corrected by the vendor. The system will then be	05/09/2008 Carson stated #106 and #107 resolved with current production samples incorporating ECN's to remedy these issues previously	D Harwood 6/4/2008 Testing was repeated with current production models (SN's 10402, 10403, 10404 and 10405) . Per section 5.2.1.1 of Wyle's test report, no anomalies
	107		Coggins		Closed	Panel Card Reader (Vote, Start and Tally	Upon completion of the Reliability test (Temperature and Power Variations), on Infinity Panel S/N3628, the card reader failed to read any of the smartcards. During the pos-test operational status check the card reader stopped reading due to misalignment of the smartcards (Vote, Start, and Tally). Examination of the unit found that the number of spacers on the card reader did not match Carson Manufacturing ECN 1408 which addressed proper alignment of the card reader. (Note: All ballots votes were accurately cast and reported during test execution; cards were successfully read on Infinity Panels S/N 3627, 3629, & 3630 during the post-test	shall be subject to functional testing to verify continued operability. If any portion of the voting machine or precinct counter hardware fails to remain fully functional, the testing will be suspended until the failure is identified and corrected by the vendor. The system will then be	#106 and #107 resolved with current production samples incorporating ECN's to remedy these issues previously	D Harwood 6/4/2008 Testing was repeated with current production models (SN's 10402, 10403, 10404 and 10405). Per section 5.2.1.1 of Wyle's test report, no anomalies

Page 190 of 210 (\)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
		Coggins	Defect		Panel audio ballot text playback	play completely on the Infinity Panel. A long ballot referendum can be created in EMS (visual and phonetic text) and installed on the Infinity Panel. While the visual ballot correctly displays, the audio ballot will not play the complete phonetic text. After approximately 1000 characters the audio playback jumps to the end of the phonetic text.	of accessibility involves an alternative format for ballot presentation, then all information presented to voters shall be presented in that alternative format.	Long ballot text will now correctly playback in audio mode.	C Coggins 3/11/08 Verified in v.14.0.16 and v.9.66 audio playback of a long referendum (exceeded five minutes)
109	1/21/07	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	Infinity Panel submission of current production	The Infinity Panels S/N3627 through S/N3630 submitted for testing pre-date engineering changes ECN1408 & 1424. As changes were deemed deminimus by MicroVote, they pulled Infinity Panels from available demonstration stock instead of recent production. ECN examination by Wyle & iBeta assess: - The Reliability test (Temperature & Power Variations) failed and must be repeated with 4 current production units; - Changes to the card reader spacers only warrant Vibration Testing; - Accuracy testing is accepted due to successful completion of all requirements; no component failures touched accuracy. (As an automated script must run during the reliability test, accuracy is validated on current production); - EMC testing in 2006 to the VSS 2002 did not include operation of the voting system in an audio mode. Testing in this mode is a gap in acceptance of the 2006 test results. Although the fuse change does not warrant retesting, its covered by this testing; - Functional testing need not be repeated; the unit used in this testing did not fail reliability; and reliability testing will include regression testing of all functions associated with the changes to current production units.	v.2:1.6.a The system submitted for testing shall meet the following requirements: The hardware submitted for certification testing shall be equivalent, in form and function, to the actual production version of the hardware units		Accepted J Patterson 3/15/08 Inspected Infinity Panels SN 10402, 10403, 10404 & 10405 ; compared to SN2213 to confirm ECN 1408 &1424 were incorporated.
110	2/25/08	C Coggins	Inform ational	Closed	Infinity Panel Voter Controls	The Infinity Panel has an English/Spanish toggle in Voter Controls, which is programmed into the firmware. All firmware voter instructions, "vote for" text, vote limit text, and error messages are presented to the voter in the selected language. This is a standard option that cannot be turned off. If no Spanish election ballot translations is provided the panel displays the firmware instructions in Spanish and the ballot in English. If a Spanish election ballot translations is provided the panel displays both the firmware instructions and ballot in Spanish.	(V/2000 25 II IN 004/B)	06/06/2008 Bhirsch Our system's support of alternative languages, specifically Spanish, meets all applicable VVSG 2005 requirements. The English/Spanish toggle functions correctly and is allowed by design. The voter would correctly view all firmware instructions, "vote for" text, vote limit text, and error messages in the selected language (English or Spanish). The button also	Accept C Coggins 6/24/2008 Informational issues are for the purpose of disclosure, verified that the Poll Worker's Manual (Appendix U v.1.9 - Voting section 2.4) provides a disclosure that instructions appear in Spanish and the ballot appears in English if a Spanish ballot has not been programmed.

Page 191 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
								gives the jurisdiction the enhanced capability to toggle the display between two alternate language ballot texts if desired during the voting session. We feel this is an appropriate method of meeting and exceeding the VVSG 2005 requirements, and it is our opinion that this informational only disclosure should now be resolved and closed similar to informational issue number 70 contained in this document.	
111	2/26/08	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Chatsworth ACP-2200 FCC & CE Marks	The ACP-2200 COTS optical scanner does not contain a CE or FCC mark. Neither the marks nor FCC Part 15B were addressed in the submitted documentation. While a recently dated Declaration of Conformity for European Directive 2004/108/EC was submitted for the ACP-2200 it did not reference the FCC Part 15B standard. The hardware does not have a CE or FCC mark and no documentation reflecting addition of the mark by the manufacturer was submitted.	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2007-05 2005 VVSG V.1: 4.2.1 Conclusion 2 COTS products falling under this interpretation used as part of a voting system shall have FCC Class B and CE marks affixed to the unit indicating that the product has been certified to meet these requirements. The VSTL shall receive, as part of the Technical Data Package, a copy of the COTS manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity confirming that the manufacturer is claiming compliance with the standards stated.	5/8/2008 TWB Forwarded documents from Chatsworth that state "This device complies with the requirements in Part 15 subpart B of FCC rules for a Class B computing device." Updated Appendix C DO1.4TDP-C.doc to reference this compliance.	Accepted C Coggins 5/8/08 Verified FCC and CE mark affixed on a label located on the underside of the unit and Declaration of Conformity for FCC Part 15 Subpart B
112	2/26/08	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Dell model DHM (desktop) Dell Latitude D510 (laptop) Declaration of Compliance	While the Dell COTS laptop and desktop, used to record and report paper ballots, have CE and FCC marks, the appropriate Declarations of Conformity were not submitted as part of the TDP.	EAC Decision on Request for Interpretation 2007-05 2005 VVSG V.1: 4.2.1 Conclusion 2 The VSTL shall receive, as part of the Technical Data Package, a copy of the COTS manufacturer's Declaration of Conformity confirming that the manufacturer is claiming compliance with the standards stated.		Accepted C Coggins 3/25/2008 Verified the submitted Dell Regulatory Datasheets provide a CE and FCC declaration of conformance.
113	3/11/08	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	Ballot Display on the Infinity Panelv.4.0. 16.0 v.9.66	The ballot preparation EMS permits the ballot preparer to make an error in laying out the ballot which causes a portion of the ballot to display twice on the Infinity. The Voter Confirmation Ballot Object is automatically inserted on the ballot by the application. If the ballot preparer inserts this object by mistake it causes the Infinity to display portions of the ballot twice.	v.1: 2.2.1.2.b All voting systems shall provide a capability for: rapid and error-free definition of elections and their associated ballot layouts		Accepted D Harwood 3/11/2008 v4.0.17.0 verified that if the ballot preparer inserts the voter confirmation ballot object onto the ballot during ballot layout no error is generates and correctly ballot displays
114	3/19/08	D Harwood	Func Defect	Closed	COTS - Chatsworth ACP 2200	The EMS temporarily stopped recording ballot cards read by the Chatsworth ACP2200 OMR COTS scanner.	v1: 4.3.3 The reliability of voting system devices shall be measured as Mean Time		Accepted D Harwood 4/18/2008 EMS v.4.0.18. 0

Page 192 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					Optical Mark Reader Running on EMS ver.4.017.0	Reliability MTBF is being assessed during test operation including multiple election set up and operations. At execution of the EMS Accuracy Test for the ACP2200 OMR COTS scanner, batch 7 card 27 was inserted, the read confirmation did not display, the count did not increment and no error message displayed. The card was reinserted. The read confirmation appeared and the counter incremented by one. This was noted and testing continued. The device stopped reading ballot data on batch 22 card 25. The ballot card fed through the OMR, but no read confirmation data displayed, the count did not increment and no error message was displayed. The device was unable to perform its intended function for several hours as we checked the system. The connection from the EMS to the switchbox was confirmed by writing and reading a Vote Card by the Gemsplus to the EMS. Connections from the OMR to the switchbox were checked and reconnected. Approved maintenance cleaning of the OMR was performed. A restart of the EMS and the EMS PC were initiated. None of these actions resulted in an election card being recorded by the EMS or an error message being generated. A Chatsworth diagnostic tool was loaded on a second PC. The EMS was powered off. The OMR was connected to the second PC through the switchbox. It was confirmed that the OMR was reading the card. During disconnection and reconnection the EMS was powered off and on. An election card was now able to be recorded in the EMS.	Between Failure (MTBF) for the system submitted for testing For the purpose of demonstrating compliance with this requirement, a failure is defined as any event which results in either the: Loss of one or more functions Degradation of performance such that the device is unable to perform its intended function for longer than 10 seconds		Verified if the OMR is not connected correctly to the EMS an error is now displayed and the user is prevented from scanning cards.
115	4/17/08	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	COTS - Chatsworth ACP 2200 Optical Mark Reader Running on EMS ver.4.018.0	More than two read errors were recorded prior to reaching 3,126,404 ballot positions. MicroVote submitted 2076 ballots marked in pencil in voting patterns identified by iBeta. The OMR is a dual side reader that is designed to read ballots in all four orientations. Test ballots were in 42 batches. The first batch contained 71 ballots containing 27,335 ballot positions. These were fed once in a single orientation. The OMR and EMS successfully read 26,997 ballot positions without error. Subsequent batches of 50 ballots were fed into the OMR two times. The first read was one orientation; the second was reversed. Prior to reading 388,850 ballot positions, a ballot position was misread. Prior to	v2: 4.7.1.1.c If the system correctly reads more than 26,997 ballot positions but less than 1,549,703 when the first error occurs, the testing will have to be continued until another 1,576,701 consecutive ballot positions are counted without error (a total of 3,126,404 with one error)	5/9/2008 TWB Updated user manual DO2.3TDP-V.doc to update absentee card marking and inspection instructions. 5/13/2008 D02.4TDP-v.doc	Accepted C Coggins 5/23/2008 Accuracy-OMR Regression 3 read all cards without errors using the marking devices (#2 pencil and black ink) defined in the revised specification Appendix-V v.2.4

Page 193 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
						reading 430,045 ballot positions a second ballot position was misread.			
116	4/18/08	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel - power supply	The Ault power supply was damaged during the Electrostatic Disruption Test During the ESD test the Ault PW128RA1203F01 power supply failed when 15kV air discharge was applied to the vote card slot. (0 VDC) The failure was repeated on a second Ault power supply. Normal operation could not be resumed.	v.1: 4.1.2.8all DRE equipment, shall be able to withstand +/-15kV air dischargewithout damage or loss of data. The equipment may resent or have momentary interruption so long as normal operation is resumed without human intervention	Updated approved power supply in Appendix C DO1.4TDP-C.doc. To • ELPAC Power Systems Model FW3012 Power Supply. Carson removed power supply specifications from his technical specificiation DO0.7TDP-B1.doc.	Accepted C Coggins 6/5/2008 ESD was repeated incorporating Carson ECN 1449/ 1450 & ELPAC power supply with the power cord ferrite, (Wyle Test Report section 5.3.3); no anomalies were reported. Verified Appx C COTS Specification v.1.4 identifies ELPAC Power Supply; Micro-Vote ECR/ECN 101 addresses addition of ferrite.
117	4/18/08	C Coggins	Func Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel -#17 button	The #17 button (bottom left) on the Infinity Voting Panel ceased to function after the Electrostatic Disruption. During the Electrostatic Disruption (ESD) a shock was applied to the #17 button of the Infinity Voting Panel. The button did not function in the Operational Status Check following the test. Normal operation could not be resumed.	v.1: 4.1.2.8all DRE equipment, shall be able to withstand +/-15kV air discharge and +/- 8 kV contact discharge without damage or loss of data. The equipment may resent or have momentary interruption so long as normal operation is resumed without human intervention		Accepted D Harwood 6/4/2008 ESD was repeated incorporating Carson ECN 1449/1450, and the ELPAC power supply with the ferrite; no anomalies were reported per Wyle Test Report Section 5.3.3 and Appendix E
118	4/18/08	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix B1- Infinity Technical Reference Manual DO0.5TDP- B1	The model number of the power supply submitted for testing does not match the documented approved power supply. The approved power supply identified in the Technical References the Ault model PW101MA1203F01; the submitted power supply is the Ault model PW128RA1203F01.	v.2:2.1.1 The content of the Technical Data Package is intended to provide clear, complete description of the following information about the system: equipment requirements for system operation	Updated approved power supply in Appendix C DO1.4TDP-C.doc. To • ELPAC Power Systems Model FW3012 Power Supply. Carson removed power supply specifications from his technical specificiation DO0.7TDP-B1.doc.	Accepted D Harwood 5/12/2008 Verified that Appendix B1 v.0.7 no longer references the power supply and Appendix C v.1.3 now references the ELPAC power supply to be used with the Infinity Voting Panel.
119		C Coggins	Docu mentat ion Defect	Closed	Infinity Voting Panel - Emissions Engineering Change Notice	The Infinity Panel exceeded the radiated emissions of FCC Part 15B (55.97 MHz test frequency) per Wyle Notice of Anomaly No. 2 dated 4/10/08. Mitigation was performed by the manufacture with Wyle Engineers observing. Wyle observations documented: Three ferrites were added to the device to obtain compliance: #1 AC input line #2 Audio module input line #3 inside on the main board. The front data interface jack was disabled by removal of an internal cable. An engineering change notice must be submitted documenting mitigation requirements.	v.2: 4.8.2 The test for electromagnetic radiation shall be conducted in compliance with the FCC Part 15 Class B requirements by testing per ANSI C63.4		Accepted D Harwood 6/4/2008 verified Carson ECN 1449
120	5/1/08	M Libman	Doc Defect	Closed	Section 2.2 System	The use and configuration of the Universal Power Supply identified in Appendix C	v.2: 2.2.1.b The system description shall include written	5/8/2008 TWB Updated Appendix C DO1.4TDP-	Rejected M Libman 5/9/2008

Page 194 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
					Overview Election Manageme nt System v.1.3 MicroVote EMS User Manual v.2.2	COTS Specification EMS for the power backup of the OMR/EMS is not identified or referenced in either the System Overview or the EMS User Manual. Rejected: M Libman 5/9/2008 The update identifies the use of the UPS unit, but doesn't provide the configuration. The UPS is not contained in figure 1 of the System Overview.	descriptions, drawings and diagrams that present: a description of the operational environment of the system that provides an overview of the hardware and communications structure v.2: 2.8.2 .b The vendor shall identify all facilities, furnishings, fixtures, and utilities that will be required for equipment operations, including equipment that operates at the: central count facility	C.doc, System Overview DO1.5TDP-2.2.doc and User Manual DO2.3TDP-V.doc to describe suggested Uninterruptible Power Supply specifications. 5/13/2008 SystemOverview DO1.6TDP-22.doc	Accepted C Coggins 5/22/2008 Verified figure 1 of System Overview references UPS and configuration per Appendix C, which identifies hardware to connected to the UPS.
121	5/6/08	D Harwood	Func Defect	Closed	EMS v.4.0.18.0 Pause & Resume a long proposition Infinity Voting Panel v.9.67	Audio pause/resume does not resume at the point of pause with longer referendum text When proposition text exceeded (+/-) a minute, and the pause was initiated before the minute, the resume was at the point of pause. However if the audio pause was attempted in the playback after a minute, the resume was at the beginning of the proposition text, instead of the point of pause.	v.1: 3.2.2.2.b.iii. The ATI shall allow the voter to pause and resume the audio presentation.	05/09/2008 Carson replied that discrepancy #121 was addressed with test firmware version 9.68	Accepted D Harwood 6/6/2008 Retested in Gentwo regression 8 with Infinity ver 4.0 and verified that the audio pause and resumes as expected even with extended text.
122	6/5/08		Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix U: Election Manageme nt System Poll Worker's Manual v. 1.7	The Initialization Procedures section does not address readiness testing (prior to opening the polls) of the audio device (DoubleTalk confirmation of the activation and volume level status) or the Infinity Voting Panel transition to audio mode.	V.2:2.8.6 Operations Support The vendor shall provide documentation of system operating procedures that meets the following requirements: Defines the procedures required to support system acquisition, installation, and readiness testing. These procedures may be provided by reference, if they are contained either in the system hardware specifications, or in other vendor documentation.	06/06/2008 TWB Updated Pollworker Manual Appendix U to version 1.8 to Test the readiness of the double talk in step 6.3 show ballot.	Accepted D Harwood 6/6/2008 v1.8 of the Pollworkers Manual addresses readiness testing of the audio mode and capabilities.
123	6/5/08	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	RC Systems ECN 1025 and Appendix AA MicroVote System Identificatio n Tool User Manual v.1.2	The COTS verification procedure for validation of the correct Doubletalk model conflicts with the volume control RC Systems ECN 1025.	v.2: 2.12.2 The vendor shall provide a description of its practices for part and materials tests and examinations that meet the requirements of vol.1 subsection 8.5. v.1: 8.5.a In order to ensure that voting system parts and materials function properly, vendors shall: select parts and materials to be used in voting systems and components according to their suitability for the intended application. Suitability may be determined by means of special tests.	06/06/2008 TWB Updated Appendix AA to version 1.3 to update the verification of the double talk device has a piece of tape applied to the volume control as stated in ECN1025.	Accepted D Harwood 6/6/2008 Verified that ver 1.3 coincides with ECN1025 regarding the volume control.

Page 195 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
124	6/5/08	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool User Manualv.1.2	An RC Systems engineering change notice addressing validation of the correct Doubletalk firmware version has not been submitted for the process outlined in the Identification Tool User Manual.	v.2: 2.12.2 The vendor shall provide a description of its practices for part and materials tests and examinations that meet the requirements of vol.1 subsection 8.5. v.1: 8.5.a In order to ensure that voting system parts and materials function properly, vendors shall: select parts and materials to be used in voting systems and components according to their suitability for the intended application. Suitability may be determined by means of special tests.		Accepted D Harwood 6/5/2008 RC Systems ECN 1026 addresses Doubletalk model identification
125	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool Users Manual v1.3	The manual does not address unauthorized software.	v.2: 2.4.6. The vendor shall provide a detailed description of the system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to ensure secure software (including firmware) installation to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsect. 7.4. This information shall address software installation for all system components. v.1: 7.4.6.a Setup validation methods shall verify that no unauthorized software is present on the voting equipment.	9/18/08 bhirsch The manual and verification tool software has been modified to identify unauthorized software.	Accepted K. Wilson 9/22/2008 Appendix AA v1.5, tool addresses all authorized and unauthorized software.
126	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool Users Manual v1.3	listing of all software files installed on the voting systems.	v.2: 2.4.6. The vendor shall provide a detailed description of the system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to ensure secure software (including firmware) installation to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsect. 7.4. This information shall address software installation for all system components. v.1.7.4.6.c: The vendor shall provide a method to comprehensively list all software files that are installed on voting systems.	9/18/08 bhirsch The manual and verficiation tool software has been modified to list all software files installed on the voting system, and to comprehensively list which of these files is verified, modified, or new.	Accepted K. Wilson 9/22/2008 Appendix AA v1.5, tool addresses all installed software files.
127	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool Users Manual v1.3	The manual does not address the verification process in sufficient detail to address the FIPS 140-2 requirement.	v.2: 2.4.6. The vendor shall provide a detailed description of the system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to ensure secure software (including firmware) installation to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsect. 7.4. This information shall address software installation	9/18/08 bhirsch The manual now contains a statement of compliance to the FIPS 140-2 requirement.	Accepted K. Wilson 9/22/2008 Appendix AA v1.5 addresses usage of a FIPS 140-2 certified cryptographic provider.

Page 196 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
							for all system components. v.1: 7.4.6.d: The verification process should be able to be performed using COTS software and hardware available from sources other than the voting system vendor. i. If the process uses hashes or digital signatures, then the verification software shall use a FIPS 140-2 level 1 or higher validated cryptographic module. ii. The verification process shall either (a) use reference informa- tion on unalterable storage media received from the repository or (b) verify the digital signature of the reference information on any other media.		
128	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool Users Manual v1.3	The manual does not describe that a password is required to boot to the CD or that the CD is otherwise protected with a tamper evident technique. It does not describe an enabled/disabled indicator or that the CD is disabled during voting.	v.2: 2.4.6. The vendor shall provide a detailed description of the system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to ensure secure software (including firmware) installation to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsect. 7.4. This information shall address software installation for all system components. v.1: 7.4.6.d.i, ii & iii Voting system equipment shall provide a means to ensure that the system software can be verified through a trusted external interface, such as a read-only external interface, or by other means. i. The external interface shall be protected using tamper evident techniques ii. The external interface shall have a physical indicator showing when the interface is enabled and disabled iii. The external interface shall be disabled during voting	9/18/08 bhirsch The manual now describes the process of using the CD, which includes using a password to access the system. It also describes that the CD is tamper resistant because it's read- only (unlike CD-RW media). The manual now indicates that the CD is used on the EMS computer system which is designed to allow the design of ballots, programming of voting panels, retrieval and tally of results. The EMS computer is not directly involved with the casting of votes, which is handled by the Infinity voting panel and absentee paper ballots.	Accepted K. Wilson 9/22/2008 Appendix AA v1.5 describes usage of a password to boot to the CD drive containing the verification tool.
129	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool Users Manual v1.3	The manual does not describe the verification of all registers and variables. Additionally it does not identify the values of the static registers and variables or the initial starting values of all dynamic registers and variables listed, excluding the values set to conduct a specific election.	v.2: 2.4.6. The vendor shall provide a detailed description of the system capabilities and mandatory procedures for purchasing jurisdictions to ensure secure software (including firmware) installation to meet the specific requirements of Vol. I, Subsect. 7.4. This information shall address software installation	9/18/08 bhirsch The manual now describes the method to identify the values of the static registers and variables, as well as the starting values of all dynamic registers.	Accepted K. Wilson 9/22/2008 Appendix AA v1.5 addresses verification of the state of the EMS system (with reference to the EMS User Manual). Appendix AA also addresses verification of the software on the

Page 197 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
							for all system components. v. 1:7.4.6.e Setup validation methods shall verify that registers and variables of the voting system equipment contain the proper static and initial values. i. The vendor should provide a method to query the voting system to determine the values of all static and dynamic registers and variables including the values that jurisdictions are required to modify to conduct a specific election. ii. The vendor shall document the values of all static registers and variables, and the initial starting values of all dynamic registers and variables listed for voting system software, except for the values set to conduct a specific election.		Infinity DRE. Appendix U v1.9 addresses verification of the state of the registers in the Infinity DRE.
130	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appendix Y - Build and Installation Instructions - EMS v.2.1	The procedures for set up and EMS installation on a COTS laptop do not address methods to ensure any modem hardware is disabled.	v.1: 7.3.2 Vendor develops and documents in detail the measures to be taken in a central counting environment. These measures shall include physical and procedural controls related to the:Reporting data.		Accept 9/8/08 CCoggins - v.2.2 verified instructions address disabling the modem on a COTS laptop
131	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Sect 2.6 System Security Spec EMS v.1.4	The EMS system configuration submitted for certification specifies installation of the EMS on a stand alone platform. The following contain conflicting EMS network connection references: Pg 3 describes the possibility that EMS is networked; Pg 4 "whenever possible" does not exclude a LAN connected to jurisdiction wide LAN or subnet; Pg 10: "EMS communicates with the MSDE 2000 data engine employing TCP/IP protocol, even if the EMS application and MSDE 2000 exist on the same physical computer. By default, the listening port is set to "1433", but this can be modified for each installation to provide the jurisdiction the ability to enable firewall security of the EMS TCP/IP traffic. This firewall should prohibit other nodes of the same network or sub-network from accessing EMS network traffic" Pg 10: EMS_Installation_Instructions describe network setup capability to achieve database connectivity on the same box. pg 11: "Data interception is prevented since communication is done via LAN" & "The	v.1: 7.3.2: The vendor shall develop and document in detail the measures to be taken in a central counting environment. These measures shall include physical and procedural controls related to the handling of counting operation and reporting data.		Accept 9/8/08 CCoggins - v.1.5 verified specification updates consistent with a stand alone platform

Page 198 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
						results database extract can be placed by EMS in a secure location on the juris- diction's local area network (LAN)"			
132	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Sect 2.11 Config Mgmt Plan EMS v.1.3	The EMS system configuration submitted for certification specifies installation of the EMS on a stand alone platform. The following contain conflicting EMS network connection references: pg 7: Userids and Passwords will be created and maintained on the LAN" pg 8 "EMS software shall be scalable so that it will run on systems from a single computer in a small county to a large network of distributed workstations in a large metropolitan county"	v.1: 7.3.2: The vendor shall develop and document in detail the measures to be taken in a central counting environment. These measures shall include physical and procedural controls related to the handling of counting operation and reporting data.	09/05/08 bhirsch Reference used on pg 7 (Userids and Passwords) refers to the development environment (which is networked), not the end user environment. Could not find reference on pg 8 applicable to scalability.	Accept 9/8/08 CCoggins - Tester error. The specific context of the pg. 7LAN reference was not noted. Discrepancy reporting error: pg 8 quote was under the wrong document. See #137
133	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Sect 2.5 SW Design & Spec EMS v.2.4	The EMS system configuration submitted for certification specifies installation of the EMS on a stand alone platform. The following contain conflicting EMS network connection references: pg 15 "The physical location of the MSDE 2000 installation may be on the same computer as the EMS application is installed, or it may be located on another computer/server on the customer's Local Area Network (LAN). EMS requires access to a laser printer a node on the customer's LAN. If the printer is attached via a LAN Although file sharing is not a requirement of EMS, files can be stored on the customer's LAN, "	v.1: 7.3.2: The vendor shall develop and document in detail the measures to be taken in a central counting environment. These measures shall include physical and procedural controls related to the handling of counting operation and reporting data.	09/05/08 bhirsch Removed reference to operation on a LAN	Accept 9/8/08 CCoggins - v.2.5 verified specification updates consistent with a stand alone platform
134	8/15/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Appx V EMS User Manual v.2.4	The EMS system configuration submitted for certification specifies installation of the EMS on a stand alone platform. The following contain conflicting EMS network connection references: pg 160: "EMS supports the option of setting up multiple OMR and Gemplus card readers in a local area network	v.1: 7.3.2: The vendor shall develop and document in detail the measures to be taken in a central counting environment. These measures shall include physical and procedural controls related to the handling of counting operation and reporting data.	9/5/08 bhirsch Removed reference to operation over a LAN	Accept 9/8/08 CCoggins - v.2.5 verified manual identifies this version of EMS does not support networking of multiple OMR & Gemplus readers
135	8/19/08	K Wilson	Func Defect	Closed	Logging a checksum validation	Checksums are generated for vote records by the Infinity. When transferred by serial port, an error in the checksum is not logged or reported to user.	v.1: 7.5.1.a Voting systems that use electrical or optical transmission of data shall ensure the receipt of valid vote records is verified at the receiving station. This should include standard transmission error detection and correction methods such as checksums or message digest hashes. Verification of correct transmission shall occur at the voting system application level and ensure that the correct data is recorded on all relevant components consolidated within the polling place prior to the voter	09/05/08 bhirsch Modified EMS source code to calculate checksum of received vote record data over serial connection and compare it to received checksum value. Checksum mismatch will generate user warning and audit log entry.	Accept 9/11/08 KWilson - By review of v4.0.21 of EMS Source Code. 4 additional lines of code not in the error path added to ICS_Controller.vb and frmVExt.vb to verify checksum. All other additional lines of code (6 lines) are used to report the error, log the error and join with previously existing error path code at that point.

Page 199 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

#	Date	Tester	Туре	Status	Location	Issue Description	Standard- Requirement	Vendor Response	Resolution Validation
							completing casting of his or her ballot.		
136	8/25/08	C Coggins	Doc Defect	Closed	Sect 2.11 Config Mgmt Plan EMS v.1.3	The report or method by which the MicroVote tracks to whom they deliver voting system software is not identified.	v.1: 7.4.4. f. The vendors and testing labs shall document to whom they provide voting system software.	9/5/08 bhirsch Added reference to the COTS Atrex accounting program for tracking of voting system software deliveries.	Accepted 9/8/08 C Coggins v.1.4 references the tracking of software deliveries
137	9/8/08	K Wilson	Doc Defect	Closed	Sect 2.5 SW Design & Spec EMS v.2.5	The EMS system configuration submitted for certification specifies installation of the EMS on a stand alone platform. The following contain conflicting EMS network connection references: pg 7 "EMS software shall be scalable so that it will run on systems from a single computer in a small county to a large network of distributed workstations in a large metropolitan county"	v.1: 7.3.2: The vendor shall develop and document in detail the measures to be taken in a central counting environment. These measures shall include physical and procedural controls related to the handling of counting operation and reporting data.	9/17/08 bhirsch Removed reference to scalability involving network connectivity for large metropolitan counties.	Accepted 9/19/08 C Coggins v.2.6 verified removal of reference

Page 200 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.6 Appendix F: Trusted Build & Validation Tools EMS v.4.0 voting system

The MicroVote Election Management Voting System (EMS) v.4.0 voting system is composed of the hardware, software, and documents identified in section 3.

IBeta uses a COTS hash program (Maresware) to obtain File Size, MD5 and SHA1 hashes during witness and trusted builds. Both algorithms have been validated using the test data from the NIST NSRL website (http://www.nsrl.nist.gov/testdata/). This program is widely used in forensic analysis of systems and also used by some states to verify their voting software. The MD5 and SHA1 hashes are taken to be consistent with the currently distributed NSRL data files which contain the hash resulting from each of those two algorithms.

7.6.1 Witness of the Trusted Build EMS 4.0.21

Beta	Voting Witness Trusted Build	Issue Date: 9/23//2008	Version:
Document Title:	iBeta Quality Assurance Witness of the Trusted Build – EMS 4.0.21		Page #:

Trace: NIST Hdbk 150-22: 4.2.3, 4.13.2, 4.13.4, 5.10.4; VSS: vol.1 9.6.2.4; VVSG: vol. 1:7.4.4, vol.2 18.2.4

Listed below are the Source Code Applications reviewed by iBeta for the Final Build and Witness:

Voting System: Election Management System (EMS) v 4.0

Client: MicroVote General Corporation

Application/ Component	Version	Language	File Signature
EMS	4.0.21.x	VB.Net	20080923.ems4021.src.hash.txt
EMS	4.0.21.x	SQL	20080923.ems4021.src.hash.txt

Document Prior to the Build Witness:	
Vendor Name	Microvote General Corporation
Vendor Consultant(s)	Bernie Hirsch
Witness Name	Kevin Wilson (primary)
	Bill Miller (IT OS clean/install) (see 4.0.19)
	Lich Le (Recorder for 4.0.19)
Witness Title	Sr. Security Lead
iBeta COTS used to clean the build environment disk (name and version)	Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3
Verify (by signature) that the build environment is isolated	20080529.mvems.os.hash.txt (see 4.0.19)
and controlled by iBeta	in custody since 4.0.19, 4.0.20
	20080923.mvems4.0.21.preall.hash.txt
Witness attests to verifying that the source code being	Yes
built is the source code provided by iBeta	
Vendor CM Tool and version	N/A
Build tool(s) and version(s)	Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1
	.NET 1.1 SP1 for above (KB867460)
	Visual Studio 2003 SP1
	Franson Serial Tools SDK v2.01g
	ComponentOne Studio Enterprise 2005
Additional file(s) loaded and version(s)	N/A
Record the build environment file signature	1) 20080529.mvems.tls.hash.txt
	2) 20080530.mvems.tls.hash.txt
	3) 20080923.mvems4.0.21.prebuild.hash.txt
	4) 20080923.mvems4.0.21.prebuild.hash.ems.txt
Varify (by signature) the build environment file signature	contains only the ems folder of drive Yes
Verify (by signature) the build environment file signature	Yes
Verify (by signature) that each file signature of the source code loaded matches as documented above	162
Method of Build Witness	Visual Studio .NET 2003 IDE
3 rd Party Libraries and Version	Franson Serial Tools

Page 201 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

	ComponentOne
3 rd Party Source Code (COTS) and Version	
3 rd Party DLLs, Drivers, etc. and Version(s)	C1.C1Pdf.dll v1.1.20053.42 C1.C1PrintDocument.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Command.dll v1.0.20052.128 C1.Win.C1FlexGrid.dll v2.5.20053.220 C1.Win.C1Input.dll v1.0.20052.99 C1.Win.C1List.dll v1.1.20052.78 C1.Win.C1PrintPreview.dll v1.0.20053.287 C1.Win.C1Report.dll v2.5.20053.188 C1CommandConverter.dll v1.0.20023.2 C1.Common.dll v1.0.20052.118 7Adodb.dll v7.0.9466.0 Interop.ACTIVEVOICEPROJECTLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Interop.SpeechLib.dll v1.0.0.0 Microsoft.mshtml.dll v7.0.3300.0 SerialNET.dll v2.0.0.1
Record the combined source code and build environment file signature	1) 20080529.mvems.prebuild.hash.txt 2) 20080530.mvems.prebuild.hash.txt 3) 20080923.mvems4.0.21.prebuild.hash.txt
Record the Final Build Version – Unique Identifier	4.0.21.0
Certification Application Number (if applicable)	MVT0701
Document during the Build Witness:	
Date / Time Build Initiated	9/23/2008 1050 MDT (1650 GMT)
Compiler and Version	Visual Studio .NET 2003 IDE as above
Application Name	Election Management System consisting of EMS.exe, EMSMeasurements.dll with installation utilities EMSInstall (msi Setup) and EMSCustomerInstall (msi Setup)
Application Version Order	4.0.21
Obtain Names and Signatures of all persons present during build (record below)	see Notes
Issue(s) and Resolution(s)	see Notes, no fatal issues
Document at Completion of the Build Witness:	
Record file signature of the final build	20080923.mvems4.0.21.postbuild1.hash.txt – ems folder 20080923.mvems4.0.21.postbuild2.hash.txt – c drive 20080923.mvems4.0.21.built.hash.txt – of built items transferred to USB e: drive
Record the type of unalterable storage media being used (i.e., CD)	CD
Record each piece of media that is part of the build (each must have a unique identifier)	20080923MVEMS Microvote Trusted Build Election Management System
Explanation of any significant differences observed	SEP-23-2008 EMS4.0.21 9/23/2008 Copy 1, Copy 2, Copy 3 Insignificant notes given below.

Notes:

- 1. See DO2.1TDP-Y.doc "Appendix Y Build and Installation Instructions Election Management System v 2.1 12/5/2007" for procedures followed to generate the build.
- 2. Following trusted build of 4.0.19.0, it was discovered that there was a defect in the vb.net code that was not allowing communications between EMS and the Infinity panel with the Infinity panel set to the 4.00 version. This code was fixed after full release of the 4.0.19.0 Trusted Build. The EMS code was removed from the Trusted Build box, a fresh 20080530.mvems.tls.hash.txt was taken and a comparison indicated that the only changes were in the OS and a few crumbs of the Microsoft IDE. So we reloaded the code and did another Trusted Build from there. Because Bernie Hirsch had to catch a plane, we only kept the notes of the hashes in the file 20080530.mvems.notes.txt and Bernie Hirsch and Kevin Wilson signed the printed version of that document. This document was filled out on 6/2/2008 and sent to Mr.

Page 202 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

Hirsch for signature. The CD contains all hashes from both the 4.0.19 and 4.0.20 builds since the 4.0.20 build relies on the installation from the 4.0.19 build.

- 3. As with the previous 4.0.19 trusted build, it was late and the full hash of the box was begun, everyone went home or caught their flight and the file obtained by K. Wilson on 6/2/2008. The created filename was inadvertently left to 20080530.mvems.postbuild1.hash.txt, but this error was discovered on 6/2/2008 and the resulting hash file was renamed 20080530.mvems.postbuild2.hash.txt.
- 4. Trusted Build Hardware configuration:
 - Dell Dimension 4100; Pentium III 930MHz; 512MB RAM; DVD-Rom Model XJ-HD166S; 3.5" Floppy Drive; 75G Hard Drive
 - Windows XP with Service Pack 2 v2002

5. Trusted Build continued following EAC review and changes to code delivered on 9/5/2008. Same machine, hardware and COTS software. When first invited to logon during preparation on 9/22/2008, the OS needed to be registered so K. Wilson registered the XP with Microsoft. 20080922.preall.hash.txt was taken after that, and compared to the final hash of the 4.0.20.0 build, 20080530.postbuild2.hash.txt. No significant differences were observed. 20080923.mvems4.0.21.preall.hash.txt taken after prior version of source code was removed. This build utilized DO2.2TDP-Y.doc "Appendix Y Build and Installation Instructions Election Management System v 2.2 9/5/2008," which had no differences in the build portion of the document from the previous version.

Build witness signatures are on file at iBeta for the 9/23/2008 Trusted Build include:

MicroVote: Bernie Hirsch

iBeta: Kevin Wilson, Bill Miller, Lich Li

Page 203 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.6.2 Witness of the Trusted Build - Infinity v.4.0

*Beta	Voting Witness Trusted Build	Issue Date: 6/12/2008	Version:
GUALITY ASSURANCE	iBeta Quality Assurance		1.0
Document Title:	Witness of the Toursted Duild Infinite 4.0		Page #:
	Witness of the Trusted Build - Infinity 4.0		

Trace: NIST Hdbk 150-22: 4.2.3, 4.13.2, 4.13.4, 5.10.4; VSS: vol.1 9.6.2.4; VVSG: vol. 1:7.4.4, vol.2 18.2.4

Listed below are the Source Code Applications reviewed by iBeta for the Final Build and Witness:

Voting System: Election Management System (EMS) v 4.0

Client: MicroVote General Corporation

Application/ Component	Version	Language	File Signature
Infinity	4.0	С	080529.src.hash.txt
Encrypt.exe – not distributable, used	2.14	С	080529.src2.hash.txt
only for build process			
InfUpdater.exe – used only for loading	1.0.1	VB6	080529.src2.hash.txt
Infinity executable onto Infinity panel			
GetUpdt.exe	2.16	С	080529.src2.hash.txt

Document Prior to the Trusted Build:				
Document Prior to the Build Witness:				
Vendor Name	Microvote General Corporation			
Vendor Consultant(s)	Bernie Hirsch			
Witness Name	Kevin Wilson (primary)			
Witness Title	Sr. Security Lead			
iBeta COTS used to clean the build environment disk (name and version)	Secure Erase (CMRR) HDDErase v3.3 see Note 1,2			
Verify (by signature) that the build environment is isolated and controlled by iBeta	20080529.mvinf.os.hash.txt in custody of iBeta in a locked lab since 5/29/2008 20080612.mvinf.os.hash.txt			
Witness attests to verifying that the source code being built is the source code provided by iBeta.	Initials: KW			
Consultant attests to verifying that the source code being built and provided by iBeta is the source code provided to iBeta for review.	Initials: BH			
Vendor CM Tool and version	N/A			
Build tool(s) and version(s)	Borland C++ Development Suite v. 5.02			
Additional file(s) loaded and version(s)	Lgb1.chr, Lgb2.chr, Lgn1.chr, Lgn2.chr Smb1.chr, Smb2.chr, Smn1.chr, Smn2.chr (Borland COTS font files)			
Record the build environment file signature	20080529.mvinf.tls.hash.txt			
Verify (by signature) the build environment file signature	ok			
Verify (by signature) that each file signature of the source code loaded matches as documented above	ok			
Method of Build Witness	Infinity.exe from the previous trusted build (sha1: 93656F6E1FA5D40AAA054066C6FC927EF33659F9) Borland IDE to build getupdt.exe scripts to create updtxxxx.\$\$\$, manual renaming of updtxxxx.\$\$\$\$ to updt0400B.\$\$\$\$			
3 rd Party Libraries and Version	DataLight Rom-DOS v6.22 PKzip v2.04g			
3 rd Party Source Code (COTS) and Version	N/A			
3 rd Party DLLs, Drivers, etc. and Version(s)	DataLight Rom-DOS v6.22			
Record the combined source code and build environment	see 20080612.postbuild.hash.txt			
200 204 of 240				

file signatures			
Record the Final Build Version – Unique Identifier	Infinity 4.00		
Certification Application Number (if applicable)	MVT0701		
Document during the Build Witness:			
Date / Time Build Initiated	11:15 MDT 6/12/2008		
Compiler and Version	as above		
Application Name	Infinity Voting Panel consisting of Infinity.exe, (executable), updt0400B\$\$\$ (distributable container consisting of infinity.exe, go.bat, getupdt.exe, chkdsk.exe, lgb1.chr, lgb2.chr, lgn1.chr, lgn2.chr, smb1.chr, smb2.chr, smn1.chr, smn2.chr)		
Application Version Order	4.00		
Obtain Names and Signatures of all persons present during build (record below)	ok		
Issue(s) and Resolution(s)	see Notes		
Document at Completion of the Build Witness:			
Record file signature of the final build	20080529.mvinf.postbuild.hash.txt, contains up to infinity.exe 20080612.mvinf.postbuild.hash.txt, contains production of updt0400B\$\$\$		
Record the type of unalterable storage media being used (i.e., CD)	CD		

Note:

- 1. We observed on 6/9/2008 that the version of GetUpdt.c used to build GetUpdt.exe was 2.13 not 2.16. This file is distributed during the update process to perform updates. It affects only the contents of the update package, and not the contents of Infinity.exe. Following this observation, we determined that the only changes to the code between the 2.13 version and the 2.16 version are that in the 2.13 version there were 2 lines of obsolete code that deleted obsolete and non-existent files, cardio.exe and status.cfg, but in the 2.16 version those 2 lines were removed. Version 2.13 with those lines of code was used to generate tested Infinity panels using the Witness Build of 8/27/2007 9.61 and EMS 4.0.6.0. Had we ever received these files, they would have been deleted from our functional test panels at that time. So all functional testing was done without these files present.
- 2. Procedure to build the installation files of the Infinity panel
 - The repository CD will consist of
 - i. the GetUpdt.c source code
 - ii. the GetUpdt.exe executable
 - iii. the UPDT0400B.\$\$\$ distributable file
 - iv. the contents of the original trusted build contained in a subfolder 080529INF400
 - Verify the hash of the build system matches the original post build hash within the files not affected by the operation of the OS. That includes the hash and location of Infinity.exe in the C:\My Documents\Infinity 300\Update Prep folder.
 - Remove the files
 - i. GetUpdt.c (2.13)
 - ii. GetUpdt.exe (2.13)
 - iii. UPDATE.\$\$\$, UPDATE.EXE, UPDATE.ZIP
 - iv. C:\WINDOWS\Desktop\updt0400.\$\$\$
 - v. and hash the C:\My Documents, C:\Windows\Desktop subfolders
 - Replace the GetUpdt.c file (2.16) and hash the C:\My Documents subfolders
 - Build GetUpdt.exe (2.16)
 - Run the PrepareUpdate.bat script in C:\My Documents\Infinity 300\Update Prep\ [this is step 6 of Appendix Y]
 - As described in the Appendix Y document, rename C:\WINDOWS\Desktop\updtxxxx.\$\$\$ to be updt0400B.\$\$\$
 - Add the Infinity.exe and Updt0400B.\$\$\$ to the built folder of the repository for the CD
 - Hash the entire box.
- 3. To avoid any confusion, the following files were removed from the 080529INF400 subfolder of the CD: \Built\Infinity\infBuildTools\GetUpdt.exe, \Build\Infinity\Infinity 400\updt0400.\$\$\$

Page 205 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

4. After the compilation and linking of the Infinity.exe and GetUpdt.exe programs, the programs and configuration files are combined into a zipped package. That package is encrypted using encrypt.exe. That program has no other use or capability and utilizes a proprietary encryption algorithm.

Build witness signatures are on file at iBeta for the 5/30/2008 Trusted Build with install file GetUpdt.c v.2.13:

MicroVote: Bernie Hirsch

iBeta: Kevin Wilson, Bill Miller, Lich Li

Build witness signatures are on file at iBeta for the 6/12/2008 Trusted Build with install file GetUpdt.c v.2.16:

MicroVote: Bernie Hirsch

iBeta: Kevin Wilson, Bill Miller, Lich Li

7.6.3 MicroVote System Identification Tools

As identified in Section 5.8 and 5.9 of the *US Election Assistance Commission Test and Certification Program Manual* delivery of the System Identification Tools to the EAC is the responsibility of MicroVote. Review of the System Identification Tools is the responsibility of the EAC. iBeta reviewed the installation documentation to the requirements of v.2: 2.6.4 (See Appendix C) and confirms that MicroVote provided the following validations tools at the end of the certification test effort:

- Appendix AA MicroVote System Identification Tool User Manual v.1.5; and
- VerificationTools400CD.iso.

Page 206 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.7 Appendix G: EAC Certification Number & Voting System Configuration

This report was submitted to the Election Assistance Commission on 9/26/2008. It is pending their acceptance. No certification number has been issued. When iBeta receives notification that the report is accepted, a revised version of the report will be issued. The Certification number will appear on the Title page and in the report page header. Any other revisions will be noted in the version history

This Certification is for the Voting System Hardware and Software configuration(s) listed in section 3.1.

Page 207 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.8 Appendix H: Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility



Warrant of Accepting Change Control Responsibility

The MicroVote EMS V4.0 voting system has been meticulously tested to the EAC 2005 Voluntary Voting System Guidelines. During testing, it was determined that the following engineering changes were required:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Manufacturer</u>
Engineering Change Notice 1330 Engineering Change Notice 1408 Engineering Change Notice 1413 Engineering Change Notice 1420 Engineering Change Notice 1424 Engineering Change Notice 1437 Engineering Change Notice 1438 Engineering Change Notice 1449 Engineering Change Notice 1450 Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1023 Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1024 Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1025 Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1025 Engineering Change Notice (ECN) # 1026 RC Systems DoubleTalk RC8650 Voice Synthesizer ECR/ECN 101 Part Number 0431164951	4/16/2001 6/7/2005 10/10/2005 3/14/2006 8/22/2007 9/19/2007 4/13/2008 5/15/2008 5/28/2008 5/28/2008 6/5/2008 4/18/2007 4/21/2008	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc. RC Systems
Infinity Revision C Engineering Change Notice Declaration	1/23/2008	Carson Manufacturing Co. Inc.

I hereby warrant that these engineering changes will be included in the final certified product that is delivered to customers.

Attested by: MICROVOTE GENERAL CORPORATION

Signed: Area 27 Date: 9-36-28

Printed: Aamos M Ries Title: President

6366 Guilford Avenue 🔳 Indianapolis, IN 46220-1750 🔳 317/257-4900 🔳 Fax 317/254-3269

(FRI)SEP 26 2008 10:32/ST.10:31/NO.6320371956 P 1

FROM

7.9 Appendix J: MicroVote EMS Voting System v.4.0 Implementation Statement

A copy of the MicroVote Implementation statement is submitted as a separate document, *Implementation Statement Election Management System v.1.1.*

Page 209 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)

7.10 Appendix I: Amended Test Plan

The <u>MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) Voting System v. 4.0.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan v.3.0</u> and the <u>EAC Approval Letter</u> are found on the EAC website.

This test plan was amended during test execution. This amended test plan is submitted as a separate document, *MicroVote General Corporation Election Management System (EMS) Voting System v. 4.0.0 VSTL Certification Test Plan v.4.0.* Changes are provided in red text for easy identification.

Page 210 of 210 (V)2008-25JUN-001(B)