

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Response to Fukushima

Commissioner George Apostolakis U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission <u>CmrApostolakis@nrc.gov</u>

Nuclear and Radiation Studies Board The National Academies May 26, 2011



NRC Incident Response

- NRC coordinated its response through its Headquarters Operations Center from March 11 – May 16
- NRC team in Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has assumed responsibility for support & coordination efforts
- Revolving teams of NRC officials with appropriate expertise have been deployed to Japan since the day after the event
- NRC playing a key role in coordinated U.S. response to the event



NRC Inspection Activities

- Temporary Instruction 2515/183, "Follow-up to the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Station Fuel Damage Event"
 - Uses a combination of assessment of licensee actions and independent inspections
 - Data gathering to help evaluate whether future regulatory actions may be necessary
- Temporary Instruction 2515/184, "Availability and Readiness Inspection of Severe Accident Management Guidelines (SAMGs)"
 - To determine that the SAMGs are available and assess how they are being implemented
 - To determine the nature and extent of licensee implementation of SAMG training and exercises



Inspection Results for TI 2515/183

- Inspections completed in April 2011
- The inspection reports and a summary of the findings are available on NRC's web site
- Observations "indicate a potential industry trend of failure to maintain equipment and strategies required to mitigate some design and beyond design basis events"
- However, "no functions were compromised that would have resulted in damage to the fuel elements or containment"



NRC Bulletin 2011-01, "Mitigating Strategies"

- Issued May 11, 2011
- By June 10, respond with information confirming mitigative strategy equipment is in place and available, as well as that the strategies can be carried out with current plant staffing
- By July 11, respond with further information, including:
 - How essential resources are maintained, tested and controlled to ensure availability
 - How strategies are re-evaluated if plant conditions or configurations change
 - How arrangements are reached and maintained with local emergency response organizations



Near-Term Task Force (90 days)

- Commission Direction for Near-Term Review
 - Conduct a methodical and systematic review of relevant NRC regulatory requirements, programs, and processes, and their implementation, to recommend whether the agency should make near-term improvements to our regulatory system
 - Recommendations for the content, structure, and estimated resource impact for the longer-term review
 - Independent from industry efforts
 - > Milestones
 - ✓ 30-day Commission meeting (5/12/11)
 - ✓ 60-day Commission meeting (6/16/11)
 - ✓ 90-day final report and Commission meeting (7/19/11)



Task Force Areas of Focus

- Protection from design basis natural phenomena
- Consideration of beyond design basis natural phenomena
- Mitigation for long-term SBO
 - Including multiple unit events
- Emergency preparedness
- NRC programs



Task Force Current Assessment

- To date the task force has not identified any issues that undermine our confidence in the continued safety and emergency planning of U.S. plants
- Task force review likely to recommend actions to enhance safety and preparedness



Longer-Term Review (9 months)

- Commission Direction for Longer-Term Review
 - Specific information on sequence of events and equipment status
 - Evaluate policy issues
 - Potential interagency issues
 - Lessons learned for facilities other than operating reactors
 - Receive input and interact with all key stakeholders
 - Report within six months after beginning of long-term effort
 - Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards to review final long-term report and provide letter report to the Commission