



**Privacy Impact Assessment
(PIA)
Subsidiary Systems**

**Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System
(MCPPL)**

Revision: 1.0



Farm Service Agency

Date: June 14, 2010



Document Information

Business Owner Details	
Name	Sandy Bryant, FSA-DAFP-PECD-CPB
Contact Number	(202) 720-4380
E-mail Address	Sandy.Bryant@wdc.usda.gov

Document Revision and History			
Revision	Date	Author	Comments
1.0	June 14, 2010	E. Shaner, ISO C&A	Populated document from Subsidiary PIA.
1.01	June 15, 2010	Judy Sobbing, ECS	Review and update
	June 30, 2010	C. Niffen	Made changes per John Underwood for questions 6, 10

Table of Contents

1 PURPOSE OF DOCUMENT	1
2 SYSTEM INFORMATION	2
3 DATA INFORMATION	3
3.1 Data Collection	3
3.2 Data Use	4
3.3 Data Retention	5
3.4 Data Sharing	6
3.5 Data Access	6
3.6 Customer Protection	7
4 SYSTEM OF RECORD	9
5 TECHNOLOGY	10
6 COMPLETION INSTRUCTIONS	11



1 Purpose of Document

USDA DM 3515-002 states: “Agencies are responsible for initiating the PIA in the early stages of the development of a system and to ensure that the PIA is completed as part of the required System Life Cycle (SLC) reviews. Systems include data from applications housed on mainframes, personal computers, and applications developed for the Web and agency databases. Privacy must be considered when requirements are being analyzed and decisions are being made about data usage and system design. This applies to all of the development methodologies and system life cycles used in USDA.

Both the system owners and system developers must work together to complete the PIA. System owners must address what data are used, how the data are used, and who will use the data. System owners also need to address the privacy implications that result from the use of new technologies (e.g., caller identification). The system developers must address whether the implementation of the owner’s requirements presents any threats to privacy.”

The Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) document contains information on how the Privacy Impact Assessment for Subsidiary Systems FISMA Child **Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System** affects the privacy of its users and the information stored within. This assessment is in accordance with NIST SP 800-37 *Guide for the Security Certification and Accreditation of Federal Information Systems*.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

2 System Information

System Information

System Information	
Agency:	Farm Service Agency.
System Name:	Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System.
System Type:	Major Application
	General Support System
	Non-major Application
System Categorization (per FIPS 199):	High Moderate Low
Description of System:	This System tracks what counties a producer is in for pay limit purposes on the system 36.
Who owns this system? (Name, agency, contact information)	Sharon Lovelace Chief, Farm Records Group USDA/F SA/ADC/PARMO/FRG 6501 Beacon Drive Kansas City MO 64133 (816) 926-2115 Sharon.Lovelace@kcc.usda.gov
Who is the security contact for this system? (Name, agency, contact information)	Brian Davies Information System Security Program Manager (ISSPM) U.S. Department of Agriculture Farm Service Agency 1400 Independence Avenue SW Washington, D.C. 20250 (202) 720-2419 brian.davies@wdc.usda.gov
Who completed this document? (Name, agency, contact information)	P. Siemiatkowski PARMO/FRG 6501 Beacon Drive Kansas City MO 64133 (816) 926-2117 Pamela.Siemiatkowski@kcc.usda.gov

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

3 Data Information

3.1 Data Collection

No.	Question	Response
1	Generally describe the data to be used in the system.	Name, Tax ID and Tax ID Type, Payments Made.
2	Does the system collect Social Security Numbers (SSNs) or Taxpayer Identification Numbers (TINs)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 3.
2.1	State the law or regulation that requires the collection of this information.	The Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act (15 U.S.C. 714 et seq.) and Executive Order 9397.
3	Is the use of the data both relevant and necessary to the purpose for which the system is being designed? In other words, the data is absolutely needed and has significant and demonstrable bearing on the system’s purpose as required by statute or by Executive order of the President.	Yes
		No
4	Sources of the data in the system.	FSA Applications, producers.
4.1	What data is being collected from the customer?	Core Customer ID, Name, Tax ID and Tax ID Type.
4.2	What USDA agencies are providing data for use in the system?	SCIMS – FSA is the source agency. Farm Records – FSA is the source. Combined Producers – FSA source.
4.3	What state and local agencies are providing data for use in the system?	None.
4.4	From what other third party sources is data being collected?	None.
5	Will data be collected from sources outside your agency? For example, customers, USDA sources (i.e., NFC, RD, etc.) or Non-USDA sources.	Yes No – If NO, go to question 6.
5.1	How will the data collected from customers be verified for accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness?	Data collected from customers is required by policy to be reviewed for accuracy, relevancy, timeliness, and completeness by State and County personnel upon initial entry into the system and then again when any required updates are made.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

No.	Question	Response
5.2	How will the data collected from USDA sources be verified for accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness?	Data collected from USDA sources is required by policy to be reviewed for accuracy, relevancy, timeliness, and completeness by State and County personnel upon initial entry into the system and then again when any required updates are made.
5.3	How will the data collected from non-USDA sources be verified for accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness?	N/A.

3.2 Data Use

No.	Question	Response
6	Individuals must be informed in writing of the principal purpose of the information being collected from them. What is the principal purpose of the data being collected?	To track the counties a producer operates within for payment limitation purposes
7	Will the data be used for any other purpose?	Yes
		No – If NO, go to question 8.
7.1	What are the other purposes?	N/A.
8	Is the use of the data both relevant and necessary to the purpose for which the system is being designed? In other words, the data is absolutely needed and has significant and demonstrable bearing on the system’s purpose as required by statute or by Executive order of the President	Yes
		No
9	Will the system derive new data or create previously unavailable data about an individual through aggregation from the information collected (i.e., aggregating farm loans by zip codes in which only one farm exists.)?	Yes
		No – If NO, go to question 10.
9.1	Will the new data be placed in the individual’s record (customer or employee)?	Yes
		No
9.2	Can the system make determinations about customers or employees that would not be possible without the new data?	Yes
		No
9.3	How will the new data be verified for relevance and accuracy?	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

No.	Question	Response
10	Individuals must be informed in writing of the routine uses of the information being collected from them. What are the intended routine uses of the data being collected?	To track the counties a producer operates within for payment limitation purposes
11	Will the data be used for any other uses (routine or otherwise)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 12.
11.1	What are the other uses?	N/A.
12	Automation of systems can lead to the consolidation of data – bringing data from multiple sources into one central location/system – and consolidation of administrative controls. When administrative controls are consolidated, they should be evaluated so that all necessary privacy controls remain in place to the degree necessary to continue to control access to and use of the data. Is data being consolidated?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 13.
12.1	What controls are in place to protect the data and prevent unauthorized access?	N/A.
13	Are processes being consolidated?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 14.
13.1	What controls are in place to protect the data and prevent unauthorized access?	N/A.

3.3 Data Retention

No.	Question	Response
14	Is the data periodically purged from the system?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 15.
14.1	How long is the data retained whether it is on paper, electronic, in the system or in a backup?	N/A.
14.2	What are the procedures for purging the data at the end of the retention period?	N/A.
14.3	Where are these procedures documented?	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

No.	Question	Response
15	While the data is retained in the system, what are the requirements for determining if the data is still sufficiently accurate, relevant, timely, and complete to ensure fairness in making determinations?	Fairness in making determinations is assured when customer/producer information including election information is reviewed for accuracy, relevancy, timeliness, and completeness upon initial entry into the system and when any required updates are made.
16	Is the data retained in the system the minimum necessary for the proper performance of a documented agency function?	Yes s No

3.4 Data Sharing

No.	Question	Response
17	Will other agencies share data or have access to data in this system (i.e., international, federal, state, local, other, etc.)?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 18.
17.1	How will the data be used by the other agency?	N/A.
17.2	Who is responsible for assuring the other agency properly uses the data?	N/A.
18	Is the data transmitted to another agency or an independent site?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 19.
18.1	Is there appropriate agreement in place to document the interconnection and ensure the PII and/or Privacy Act data is appropriately protected?	N/A.
19	Is the system operated in more than one site?	Yes No – If NO, go to question 20.
19.1	How will consistent use of the system and data be maintained in all sites?	Administrative controls and procedures are established to maintain consistent system use.

3.5 Data Access

No.	Question	Response
20	Who will have access to the data in the system (i.e., users, managers, system administrators, developers, etc.)?	FSA County, State, and National employees will view and update information. FSA IT Administrators and FSA Database Administrators.
21	How will user access to the data be determined?	Access must be requested through FSA- 1 3A security forms with justification and approval.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

No.	Question	Response
21.1	Are criteria, procedures, controls, and responsibilities regarding user access documented?	Yes
		No
22	How will user access to the data be restricted?	Security Identification, Authentication and Authorization mechanisms for example eAuth (Unique IDs and passwords) and EAS (assigned roles) for web applications.
22.1	Are procedures in place to detect or deter browsing or unauthorized user access?	Yes
		No
23	Does the system employ security controls to make information unusable to unauthorized individuals (i.e., encryption, strong authentication procedures, etc.)?	Yes
		No

3.6 Customer Protection

No.	Question	Response
24	Who will be responsible for protecting the privacy rights of the customers and employees affected by the interface (i.e., office, person, departmental position, etc.)?	FSA Privacy Act Officer/FSA PII Officer.
25	How can customers and employees contact the office or person responsible for protecting their privacy rights?	FSA National Help Desk at (800)-255-2434 or the Centralized Help Desk at 800-457-3642 or By contacting John W. Underwood, Privacy Officer, at FSA Privacy Act Officer / FSA PII Officer USDA - Farm Service Agency Beacon Facility - Mail Stop 8388 9240 Troost Avenue Kansas City, Missouri 6413 1-3055 Phone: 816-926-6992 Cell: 816-564-8950 Fax: 816-448-5833 mailto:john.underwood@kcc.usda.gov
26	A “breach” refers to a situation where data and/or information assets are unduly exposed. Is a breach notification policy in place for this system?	Yes – If YES, go to question 27.
		No
26.1	If NO, please enter the Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M) number with the estimated completion date.	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

No.	Question	Response
27	Consider the following: Consolidation and linkage of files and systems Derivation of data Accelerated information processing and decision making Use of new technologies Is there a potential to deprive a customer of due process rights (fundamental rules of fairness)?	Yes
		No – If NO, go to question 28.
27.1	Explain how this will be mitigated?	N/A.
28	How will the system and its use ensure equitable treatment of customers?	By providing a centralized and standardized method of developing program decisions.
29	Is there any possibility of treating customers or employees differently based upon their individual or group characteristics?	Yes
		No – If NO, go to question 30.
29.1	Explain	N/A.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

4 System of Record

No.	Question	Response
30	Can the data be retrieved by a personal identifier? In other words, does the system actually retrieve data by the name of an individual or by some other unique number, symbol, or identifying attribute of the individual?	Yes
		No – If NO. go to question 31.
30.1	How will the data be retrieved? In other words, what is the identifying attribute (i.e., employee number, social security number, etc.)?	Customer ID, Tax ID.
30.2	Under which Systems of Record (SOR) notice does the system operate? Provide number, name and publication date. (SORs can be viewed at www.access.GPO.gov .)	USDA/FSA-2 - Farm Records File (Automated)
30.3	If the system is being modified, will the SOR require amendment or revision?	Yes
		No

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

5 Technology

No.	Question	Response
31	Is the system using technologies in ways not previously employed by the agency (e.g., Caller-ID)?	<p data-bbox="906 342 959 369">Yes</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="906 384 1409 411">No – If NO, the questionnaire is complete.</p>
31.1	How does the use of this technology affect customer privacy?	No affect. New service component based FSA agency architecture is being realized.

Privacy Impact Assessment for
Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System (MCPPL)

6 Completion Instructions

Upon completion of this Privacy Impact Assessment for this system, the answer to OMB A-1 1, Planning, Budgeting, Acquisition and Management of Capital Assets, Part 7, Section E, Question 8c is:

1. Yes.

PLEASE SUBMIT A COPY TO THE OFFICE OF THE ASSOCIATE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICE FOR CYBER SECURITY.



Privacy Impact Assessment Authorization Memorandum

I have carefully assessed the Privacy Impact Assessment for the

Subsidiary Systems FISMA Child: Multiple County Producer Payment Limitation System

This document has been completed in accordance with the requirements of the E-Government Act of 2002.

We fully accept the changes as needed improvements and authorize initiation of work to proceed. Based on our authority and judgment, the continued operation of this system is authorized.


Sharon Lovelace, Information System Owner
6-24-10
Date


John Underwood, Chief Privacy Officer
6/28/10
Date


James Gwinn, FSA CIO
7/8/2010
Date