

Special Attention of:

All Community Planning and Development (CPD) Directors

All Entitlement CDBG grantees

Notice CPD-07-01

Issued: March 21, 2007 Expires: March 21, 2008

Supersedes:

Cross References: 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)

SUBJECT: Transition Policy for Low/Mod Income Summary Data (LMISD) Updates for Fiscal Year (FY) 2007 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program -- Entitlement Grantees

This Notice describes policy guidance for using the updated Low/Mod Income Summary Data (LMISD) resulting from the new income limit areas HUD is now using when preparing median family income estimates and income limits. Income limits have changed because income-limit area definitions have changed. This notice provides relevant information to grantees, as well as an attachment indicating which grantees have been affected by the updated LMISD. Please note that only a small cross-section of CDBG grantees are affected by the updated LMISD.

The LMISD are the data HUD provides to grantees for use in making official determinations of activity compliance with the low- and moderate-income area (LMA) benefit national objective, in accordance with the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and the CDBG regulations. Grantees are required to use the most recently available census information as set forth at 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1)(vi). The regulations require timely use of this data, and any changes to the policies delineated herein will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Therefore, if your office believes that relief from the transition policy is necessary, please consult with the Entitlement Communities Division (ECD) before taking any actions.

A. How LMISD Are Calculated

The LMISD can change each year during the annual update, which re-associates every one of the split block groups to CDBG grantees if there is a change in geography, e.g., a metropolitan city or urban county annexes land or there is a change in the units of government participating as part of an urban county. CPD publishes new LMISD each fiscal year to reflect these changes. This annual update does not change the LMISD values and a CPD Notice regarding those changes is not usually issued.

The LMISD values are calculated using income reported to the Census Bureau as compared to income limits, where the reported income and income limits reflect the same point in time. The current LMISD is calculated using the 2000 Census data, which is the latest available data for reported income. When changes occur in the LMISD values, HUD then issues a CPD Notice to provide information on the changes and to reiterate the transition policy applicable to activities affected by changes in the LMISD.

B. How LMISD Data Changes For 2007

The LMISD values for 2007 have changed because CPD is adopting the revised income limit areas, which HUD implemented for the 2006 income limits. The LMISD for 2007 were calculated using the reported income in the 2000 Census and the revised income limit areas as applied to the 2000-based income limits. The LMISD for 2007 also reflect the annual geographic changes. A description of the LMISD calculation process is available at http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/systems/census/lowmod/calculation.cfm.

In a Federal Register notice published December 16, 2005, HUD proposed changes in the metropolitan area definitions used to calculate HUD median family income limits and estimates. The new definitions, which match FY 2006 fair market rent (FMR) areas, were used in the new HUD income limit estimates that became effective March 8, 2006. The new definitions are based on the current Office of Management and Budget (OMB) metropolitan statistical area (MSA) definitions, but divide OMB areas along the old FMR area lines in cases where significant differences in rents or median incomes exist. OMB revises metropolitan area definitions after each Decennial Census. OMB issued its 2000 Census-based definitions in 2003, and substantial changes resulted in several metropolitan area definitions. These changes were made to better reflect metropolitan area commuting tendencies and patterns of economic integration. The OMB metropolitan area definitions are used on a widespread basis throughout the federal government for both data collection and program administrative purposes. For further explanation on the changes to income limit area geography, please review the December 16, 2005, Federal Register notice which is easily accessed at: http://www.huduser.org/datasets/il/il06/FY06 ProposedMeth.pdf. Another useful source of information on the FY 2006 income limit changes is found at: http://www.huduser.org/datasets/il/il06/faq.html.

The updated LMISD has been posted on HUD's website and can be found at <u>http://www.hud.gov/offices/cpd/systems/census/lowmod/index.cfm</u>. The last two columns in the table identify the block groups having experienced a change. This allows affected parties to identify and assess where changes have occurred and whether the changes impact one or more area benefit activities currently planned or in development for the future.

C. Distribution

Each field office is responsible for distributing this transition guidance to each grantee within its jurisdiction. Distribution of this Notice should be completed within two weeks after Field Office receipt.

D. Effective Date for Updated LMISD

HUD encourages the use of this data as soon as possible, but the effective date for use is as follows: for grantees with program year start dates between January 1 and June 1, the effective date is June 1, 2007. The effective date for all other grantees is July 1, 2007.

While these effective dates will govern when the updated LMISD must be used, the updated data has been loaded into IDIS for all grantees. The data for grantees with a program year start date of January 1, February 1, or March 1 also include geography/participation changes. Thereafter, LMISD that reflects changes in geography/participation for other grantees will be loaded monthly based on each grantee's program year start date.

E. Transition Policy

If the new LMISD data shows that an area no longer qualifies under 24 CFR 570.208(a)(1), starting and/or finishing activities in a program year should be governed by the following guidance:

• Defined Service Areas

Area benefit activities for which a specific service area had been identified based on data derived using prior LMISD and for which CDBG funds <u>had already been</u> <u>obligated</u> as of the effective date of the new LMISD may continue to qualify under the previous data, but only to the budgeted amount for the activity as of the effective date. Amounts that need to be expended for such activities beyond the budgeted amount and all area benefit activities initiated after the effective date of the new data must be based on the new LMISD.

Budgeted: For these purposes, "budgeted" means the total amount identified for the activity in the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) or in the applicable Consolidated Plan Action Plan (or amendments, if applicable) as of the effective date of the new LMISD. However, this allowance extends only to those area benefit activities with a clearly defined service area. An amount that was included in a Consolidated Plan Action Plan or IDIS for an activity described as "an economic development loan to a commercial business" would not be considered "budgeted" and, therefore, would not meet this test. But an economic development loan with a specified service area, e.g., " economic development loan to ABC Groceries, Inc., serving the Hilltop neighborhood," would be considered budgeted.

Obligated: As defined in 24 CFR 85.3, obligation means the amount of orders placed, contracts and subgrants awarded, goods and services received, and similar transactions during a given period that will require payment by the grantee during the

same or a future period.

Pre-award Costs

For those area benefit activities involving authorized pre-award costs, CDBG funds may be used to reimburse the costs previously incurred as long as the activity's service area still meets the low- and moderate-income qualifying standard based on the LMISD in effect at the time the costs were incurred.

• Acquisition of Real Property

For area benefit activities using prior LMISD that include the acquisition of property with CDBG funds, the development of the property may be completed using the prior LMISD if CDBG funds were obligated for the acquisition prior to the new data being made effective for the grantee <u>and</u> the use planned for the property at the time of acquisition does <u>not</u> change. If the planned use changes after the new LMISD effective date, compliance for the area served by the new activity (use) with the low/mod area benefit national objective must be based on the new LMISD.

• <u>Cost Overruns</u>

If funds have been obligated for an activity that met the area benefit criteria using the previous LMISD and there is an unexpected increase in the cost of the activity that does not change the scope or purpose of the activity, the grantee may cover the cost overrun, even though it was not included in the amount budgeted. However, enhancements (e.g., improvements that change the scope or purpose of the activity) may only be funded if the activity will meet the low- and moderate-income area benefit national objective using the new LMISD.

• Activities Involving Loan or Grant Programs.

Certain activities may be designed to meet low- and moderate-income area benefit national objective criterion, but involve the provision of direct financial assistance to individuals or businesses in that area. By far, the most common such situation would be an activity making loans or grants to businesses that provide goods or services to residents of a neighborhood, where at least 51 percent of the residents are low- and moderate-income. Application of the new LMISD to such activities will follow the same principal as is required under the low- and moderate-income housing and jobs national objective criteria: each separate provision of assistance must meet the area benefit national objective as of the date assistance is obligated to each business or individual.

• <u>Amendments</u>

Following the effective date for use of the new LMISD, amendments that increase the amount of CDBG funds budgeted for the activity or change the service area of the activity, must qualify under the new data. Also, an activity for which funds were budgeted, but no funds had been obligated by the effective date of the new LMISD, must qualify under the new LMISD.

Examples of activities applying the new and old LMISD:

- 1. \$100,000 is budgeted for construction of a recreation center as a public facility that will serve a low/mod area based on prior LMISD. \$20,000 was obligated prior to the effective date of the new LMISD for the grantee. Because funds had been obligated, the activity may continue to qualify under the prior LMISD and the remaining \$80,000 may be used to complete construction.
- 2. A grantee budgeted \$150,000 for acquisition of property and construction of a neighborhood recreation center (on the property) that, based on prior LMISD, will serve a low/mod area. The acquisition was completed prior to the effective date of the updated LMISD, but the contract for construction had not been let. Because the grantee completed the acquisition (obligated funds) prior to the effective date of the new LMISD, the activity may be completed using the prior LMISD, as long as the property is used for the construction of a neighborhood recreation center as planned.
- 3. An activity is listed in the Consolidated Plan Action Plan as "economic development loans to businesses located in and serving the Hilltop neighborhood," which is a qualified low/mod area based on the prior LMISD, and one loan had been made (obligated) prior to the grantee's effective date of the new LMISD. Because each loan would be considered a separate activity, subsequent loans made under this economic development program could only qualify if the service area is still eligible based on the new LMISD.

If you have any questions about the guidance provided in this Notice, HUD field staff should contact the Entitlement Communities Division at (202) 708-1577; entitlement grantees should contact their HUD field offices.

Attachment

CDBG Low/Mod First Quartile Under Old And New Income Limits (Based on FY 07 Participations)

01-1-1			First Quartile %		
State	CDBG Name DOTHAN	(Old Limits)	(New Limits)	Change*	Change*
AL CA	ALHAMBRA	50.9 50.8			X X
	BAKERSFIELD	50.d 71.4			x
CA CA	BALDWIN PARK	57.5			x
CA	BELLFLOWER	47.9			x
CA	BURBANK	39.9			x
CA	CARSON	38.4			x
CA	CHICO				x
CA	CLOVIS CITY	52.4			×
CA	COMPTON	52.2 70.9			x
CA	COMPTON COSTA MESA	62.4			^
CA	CUPERTINO CITY	23.1			х
CA CA	DALY CITY	23. 59.1			X
CA					
	DOWNEY	43.4			X
CA	EL CENTRO	71.1			X
CA		72.1			X
CA	FRESNO	72.4			Х
CA	FULLERTON	64.7			X
CA	GARDENA	53.3			X
CA		69.5			X
CA	GLENDALE	56.1			X
CA	GLENDORA CITY	30.2			X
CA	HANFORD	65.9			X
CA	HAWTHORNE	61.7			X
CA	HUNTINGTON PARK	74.7			X
CA	INGLEWOOD	62.7			X
CA		28.9			X
CA	LANCASTER	62.1			X
CA		67.0			X
CA	LOS ANGELES	69.4			X
CA	LYNWOOD	69.9			X
CA	MADERA	76.1			X
CA		76.8			X
CA	MILPITAS CITY	38.1			X
CA	MONTEBELLO	57.3			X
CA		49.4			X
CA		38.2			X
CA		57.4			X
CA	NORWALK	47.7			X
CA		56.7			X
CA		27.4			X
CA	PARADISE	50.8			X
CA	PARAMOUNT CITY	61.5			X
CA		52.2			X
CA	PICO RIVERA	54.2			X
CA	POMONA	67.1			X
CA	PORTERVILLE	70.8	3 70. 8	5	Х

State	CDBG Name	First Quartile % (Old Limits)	First Quartile % (New Limits)	Geographic Change*	Income Limit Change*
CA	REDDING	57.3	• •	-	x
CA	REDONDO BEACH	23.3	3 23. 4	4	Х
CA	REDWOOD CITY	54.8	3 58.	В	Х
CA	ROSEMEAD	64.4	4 64 .	6	Х
CA	SAN BERNARDINO	76.9	76. 9	9 X	
CA	SAN FRANCISCO	59.3			Х
CA	SAN JOSE	52.2			Х
CA	SAN MATEO	48.2			Х
CA	SANTA CLARA	41.3			Х
CA	SANTA CLARITA	29.0			Х
CA	SANTA MONICA	38.			Х
CA	SANTA ROSA	55.9			
CA	SOUTH GATE	64.0			Х
CA	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	56.			X
CA	SUNNYVALE	37.4			X
CA	TORRANCE	29.4			X
CA	TULARE	60.3			X
CA	TURLOCK	63.8			
CA	TUSTIN	57.1			
CA	UPLAND	57. 52.			
CA	VISALIA	55.0			Х
CA	WEST COVINA	37.4			X
CA	WHITTIER	42.0			X
CA	YUBA CITY	42.0 60.9			×
CA	FRESNO COUNTY	57.9			×
CA	KERN COUNTY	67.3			×
CA	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	53.			×
CA	MARIN COUNTY	53. 44.			x
	ORANGE COUNTY	44.			^
CA					
CA	SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SAN MATEO COUNTY	61.1			V
CA		42.0			Х
CA	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY	54.0			X
CA	SANTA CLARA COUNTY	42.			Х
CO	GREELEY	74.2			
CO		51.3			
CO	ADAMS COUNTY	62.1			
CO	ARAPAHOE COUNTY	46.8			
CO	DOUGLAS COUNTY	23.			Ň
CT	GREENWICH	28.			X
CT	NEW LONDON	75.			X
CT	NORWALK	51.8			X
CT	NORWICH	64.4			X
CT	STAMFORD	56.			X
CT	WATERBURY	76.0			X
DE	WILMINGTON	79.			X
DE	NEW CASTLE COUNTY	47.3			Х
FL	BOCA RATON	34.			
FL	DEERFIELD BEACH	61.4			
FL	FT MYERS	74.1			
FL	HIALEAH	60.8	3 67. 4	4	X

State	CDBG Name	First Quartile % (Old Limits)	First Quartile % (New Limits)	Geographic Change*	Income Limit Change*
FL	MIAMI	76.2	. ,	-	X
FL	MIAMI BEACH	64.4			X
FL	MIAMI GARDENS CITY	51.9			X
FL	NORTH MIAMI	64.3			X
FL	POMPANO BEACH	62.4			
FL	MIAMI-DADE COUNTY	50.9			Х
GA	WARNER ROBINS	58.3			X
GA	FULTON COUNTY	58.8			
ID	MERIDIAN	45.0			
ID	POCATELLO	57.3			Х
IL	AURORA	66.0			X
IL	BLOOMINGTON	64.0			
IL	HOFFMAN ESTATES	30.9			
IL	JOLIET	66.0			Х
IL	NAPERVILLE	24.0			
IL	ROCKFORD	64.0			
IL	LAKE COUNTY	35.0			
IL	MCHENRY COUNTY	37.8			
IL	ST CLAIR COUNTY	61.5			
IL	WILL COUNTY	42.2			
IN	BLOOMINGTON	79.8			
IN	FORT WAYNE	67.4			х
IN	INDIANAPOLIS	71.4			X
IN	LAFAYETTE	58.4			Λ
IN	HAMILTON COUNTY	31.8			х
IA	AMES	64.0			X
IA	CEDAR FALLS	41.			X
IA	SIOUX CITY	52.8			X
IA	WATERLOO	64.8			X
KY	ASHLAND	46.2			X
KY	LEXINGTON-FAYETTE	61.3			X
LA	BOSSIER CITY	62.5			X
LA LA	LAFAYETTE	46.1			X
LA	SHREVEPORT	70.8			X
ME	AUBURN	57.2			X
ME	LEWISTON	61.3			X
ME	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	40.0			~
MD	CUMBERLAND	66.0			х
MD	HAGERSTOWN	72.4			X
MD	SALISBURY	71.2			X
MA	BARNSTABLE	55.0			X
MA	CHICOPEE	59.			X
MA	FITCHBURG	61.9			X
MA	HOLYOKE	80.1			×
MA	LEOMINSTER	57.2			×
MA	NORTHAMPTON	51.			×
MA	PITTSFIELD	68. ⁻			×
MA	SPRINGFIELD	78.2			×
MA	WESTFIELD	54.5			×
MA	YARMOUTH	54.3			×
IVI/~\		51.	5 51.0		<u></u>

State	CDBG Name	First Quartile % (Old Limits)	First Quartile % (New Limits)	Geographic Change*	Income Limit Change*
MI	CANTON TWP	38.0	38.	-	X
MI	CLINTON TWP	45.2	2 45.	7	Х
MI	DEARBORN	65.0) 65.	6	Х
MI	DEARBORN HEIGHTS	49.1			Х
MI	DETROIT	79.1			Х
MI	FARMINGTON HILLS	30.0			Х
MI	HOLLAND	54.2			X
MI	KALAMAZOO	72.2			X
MI	LINCOLN PARK	55.5			X
MI	LIVONIA	34.0			X
MI	MIDLAND	54.0			X
MI	MONROE	57.5			X
MI	PONTIAC	74.4			X
MI	PORTAGE	35.7			X
MI	PORT HURON	76.2			X
MI	REDFORD	42.7			X
MI	ROSEVILLE	42.			x
	ROYAL OAK				
MI		37.9			X
MI	ST CLAIR SHORES	44.4			X
MI	SOUTHFIELD	43.0			X
MI	STERLING HEIGHTS	38.3			X
MI	TAYLOR	55.8			X
MI	WARREN	54.8			X
MI	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP	42.0			X
MI	WESTLAND	49.3			Х
MI	GENESEE COUNTY	44.9			Х
MI	MACOMB COUNTY	45.1			Х
MI	OAKLAND COUNTY	36.1			Х
MI	WAYNE COUNTY	54.4			Х
MN	DULUTH	58.2			Х
MN	ANOKA COUNTY	44.5			
MN	ST LOUIS COUNTY	47.5			Х
MN	WASHINGTON COUNTY	38.8	3 38 .	B X	
MS	MOSS POINT	53.8			Х
MS	PASCAGOULA	58.8			Х
MO	JEFFERSON CITY	57.0			Х
MO	ST LOUIS COUNTY	52.8	3 52. 3		
NE	OMAHA	62.4	4 62. 4	4 X	
NV	LAS VEGAS	53.9	53.9	9 X	
NH	MANCHESTER	66.0) 69.	0	Х
NJ	BAYONNE	42.1	1 42. 2	2	Х
NJ	CAMDEN	86.9	9 87. 2	2	Х
NJ	CHERRY HILL	36.4	4 36.4	4	Х
NJ	GLOUCESTER TWP	46.	7 47 .	D	Х
NJ	JERSEY CITY	55.	1 55.8	8	Х
NJ	NORTH BERGEN TOWNSHIP	45.	5 46 .	5	Х
NJ	UNION CITY	64.0	6 6. 2	2	Х
NJ	BURLINGTON COUNTY	38.0		4	Х
NJ	CAMDEN COUNTY	47.4			Х
NJ	GLOUCESTER COUNTY	45.2			X

State	CDBG Name	First Quartile % (Old Limits)	First Quartile % (New Limits)	Geographic Change*	Income Limit Change*
NJ	HUDSON COUNTY	46.	• •	-	X
NJ	MORRIS COUNTY	27.3	7 27 .3	7 X	
NY	ALBANY	74.5			Х
NY	COLONIE TOWN	42.1			Х
NY	GREECE	47.0			Х
NY	IRONDEQUOIT	45.1			Х
NY	MIDDLETOWN	72.8			Х
NY	NEWBURGH	82.3	3 85. 3	3	Х
NY	NEW YORK	67.3	3 72.	7	Х
NY	POUGHKEEPSIE	81.	7 80.4	4	Х
NY	ROCHESTER	80.9	9 81. ′	1	Х
NY	SARATOGA SPRINGS	49.0	6 50.	D	Х
NY	SCHENECTADY	76.1	76.	5	Х
NY	SYRACUSE	78.	7 79.	6	Х
NY	TROY	69.1	1 69. 2	2	Х
NY	DUTCHESS COUNTY	48.	7 48. ′	1 X	Х
NY	MONROE COUNTY	35.7	7 36.0	D	Х
NY	ONONDAGA COUNTY	39.4	4 39.9	9	Х
NY	ORANGE COUNTY	38.	7 41.0	D	Х
NC	BURLINGTON	61.0	6 1. 0	6 X	
NC	CARY	28.	5 30.	3 X	Х
NC	CHARLOTTE	63.2	2 64.	5 X	Х
NC	CONCORD	63.0) 65.	D	Х
NC	FAYETTEVILLE	59.5	5 59.	5 X	
NC	GASTONIA	71.9	72.7	7	Х
NC	HIGH POINT	70.2	2 70. 2	2	Х
NC	JACKSONVILLE	58.2	2 59. 4	4	Х
NC	KANNAPOLIS	63.3	3 64. 4	4	Х
NC	RALEIGH	58.0	6 59.	D	Х
NC	WINSTON-SALEM	64.	5 65.	D X	Х
NC	WAKE COUNTY	48.0) 49.	9 X	Х
OH	CLEVELAND	79.2	2 79. 4	4	Х
OH	CLEVELAND HEIGHTS	45.0	6 45.	6	Х
OH	DAYTON	75.0	6 75.	6	Х
OH	EAST CLEVELAND	83.3	3 83.	3	Х
OH	ELYRIA	58.0	6 59. ′	1	Х
OH	EUCLID	54.8	3 55. 3	3	Х
OH	FAIRBORN	55.8	3 56.	D	Х
OH	HAMILTON CITY	75.0) 73.	В	Х
OH	KETTERING	40.8	3 40. 9	9	Х
OH	LAKEWOOD	47.0) 47.0	D	Х
OH	LORAIN	65.4	4 65. 4	4	Х
OH	MANSFIELD	74.9	75.	1	Х
OH	MENTOR	33.3			Х
OH	MIDDLETOWN	69.4			Х
OH	PARMA	42.8			Х
OH	BUTLER COUNTY	50.8			Х
OH	CUYAHOGA COUNTY	40.0			Х
OH	FRANKLIN COUNTY	48.2			Х
OH	HAMILTON COUNTY	49.1	1 49 . ⁻	1	X

State	CDBG Name	First Quartile % (Old Limits)	First Quartile % (New Limits)	Geographic Change*	Income Limit Change*
OH	LAKE COUNTY	42.2	· /	-	X
OH	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	52.0			X
OK	BROKEN ARROW	34.0			Λ
OK	SHAWNEE	65.2			
OR	BEND	53.8			Х
PA	ABINGTON	32.9			X
PA	ALLENTOWN	68.0			X
PA	BENSALEM TOWNSHIP	47.5			×
PA	BETHLEHEM	63.0			×
PA	BRISTOL TOWNSHIP	45.7			×
PA PA	CARLISLE	45. 58.0			×
					X
PA	CHESTER	81.			
PA	EASTON	63.4			X
PA	HARRISBURG	72.			X
PA	HAVERFORD	33.			X
PA	HAZLETON	60.3			X
PA	LOWER MERION	28.4			Х
PA	NORRISTOWN	70.4			Х
PA	PHILADELPHIA	80.5			Х
PA	SCRANTON	55.3			Х
PA	SHARON	58.0			Х
PA	UPPER DARBY	57.5			Х
PA	WILKES-BARRE	63.4	4 63. 4	4	Х
PA	BUCKS COUNTY	38.9	39.	1	Х
PA	CHESTER COUNTY	40.1	1 40. 2	2	Х
PA	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	44.2	2 44. 7	7	Х
PA	DAUPHIN COUNTY	46.7	7 46.9	Ð	Х
PA	DELAWARE COUNTY	46.9	9 47.1	1	Х
PA	LANCASTER COUNTY	43.	5 43.	5 X	
PA	LEHIGH COUNTY	40.4	4 42. 4	4 X	Х
PA	LUZERNE COUNTY	44.8	3 44. 9	Ð	Х
PA	MONTGOMERY COUNTY	38.	1 38. :	3	Х
PA	NORTHAMPTON COUNTY	41.2	2 42. 9	э х	Х
SC	GREENVILLE	72.4	4 72. 4	4	Х
SC	ROCK HILL	67.9	9 67.9	Ð	Х
SC	GREENVILLE COUNTY	53.8			Х
SC	LEXINGTON COUNTY	53.8		в х	
SD	SIOUX FALLS	55.0			
ΤN	BRISTOL	53.			Х
ΤN	FRANKLIN CITY	38.			
ΤN	JOHNSON CITY	56.0			Х
TN	KINGSPORT	50.8			X
TN	KNOXVILLE	71.0			X
TN	MEMPHIS	72.9			
TN	MORRISTOWN	59.1			Х
TN	OAK RIDGE	50. ⁻			X
TN	KNOX COUNTY	40.0			X
TX	ABILENE	59.0			X
TX	BROWNSVILLE	75.2			~
TX	GALVESTON	73.			х
17		11.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	

State	CDBG Name	First Quartile % (Old Limits)	First Quartile % (New Limits)	Geographic Change*	Income Limit Change*
TX	LEAGUE CITY	37.6	· /	•	X
ТХ	MIDLAND	54.7			X
ΤХ	ODESSA	61.8			Х
ТΧ	PEARLAND	45.6	6 45. 0	6 X	
ТΧ	PLANO	26.2	2 26. 2	2 X	
ТΧ	ROUND ROCK	47.4	47.4	4 X	
ТΧ	SAN ANGELO	60.5	5 60. 9	9	Х
ТΧ	TEXAS CITY	68.2	2 68. ′	1	Х
ТΧ	WACO	71.8	3 71. 8	B X	
ТΧ	BEXAR COUNTY	46.1	1 46. ′	1 X	
ТΧ	WILLIAMSON COUNTY	47.0) 47.0	D X	
VA	BRISTOL	58.8	3 59. (D	Х
WA	KENNEWICK	61.7	7 61.7	7 X	
WA	KING COUNTY	45.0) 45. (D X	
WV	HUNTINGTON	64.8	5 64.8	B	Х
WV	MARTINSBURG	64.2	2 70. 7	7	Х
WI	APPLETON	45.5	5 46. 7	7	Х
WI	SUPERIOR	62.3	3 62. 3	3	Х
WY	CASPER	53.4	4 53.4	4	Х
PR	ISABELA MUNICIPIO	55.8	3 59. ′	1	Х
PR	SAN SEBASTIAN MUNICIPIO	56.6	5 58.	6	Х

* Indicates that one or more split block groups within the grantee's jurisdiction changed.