U.S. PURCHASERS' QUESTIONNAIRE

PRESTRESSED CONCRETE STEEL WIRE STRAND ("PC STRAND") FROM BRAZIL, INDIA, JAPAN, KOREA, MEXICO, AND THAILAND

This questionnaire must be received by the Commission by no later than July 20, 2009

See page 4 of the Instruction Booklet for filing instructions.

The information called for in this questionnaire is for use by the United States International Trade Commission in connection with its reviews of the countervailing duty order on PC strand from India and antidumping duty orders on PC strand from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-432 and 731-TA-1024-1028 (Review) and AA1921-188 (Third Review)). The information requested in the questionnaire is requested under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This report is mandatory and failure to reply as directed can result in a subpoena or other order to compel the submission of records or information in your possession (19 U.S.C. § 1333(a)).

Name of firm	m	
Address		
City	State Zip Code	
World Wide	e Web address	
-	purchased PC strand (as defined in the instruction booklet) <u>from ANY source</u> (domestic or time since January 1, 2003?	
□NO	(Sign the certification below and promptly return only this page of the questionnaire to the Commission	a)
☐ YES	(Read the instruction booklet carefully, complete all parts of the questionnaire, and return the entire questionnaire to the Commission so as to be received by the date indicated above)	
	CERTIFICATION	
nd belief and understand By means of this certifu Iformation provided in Inducted by the Commis	tion herein supplied in response to this questionnaire is complete and correct to the best of a d that the information submitted is subject to audit and verification by the Commission. cation I also grant consent for the Commission, and its employees and contract personn this questionnaire and throughout these reviews in any other import-injury investigations on the same or similar merchandise. ormation submitted in this questionnaire response and throughout these reviews may be	nel, to use the ons or reviews
Commission, its employe naintaining the records nvestigations relating to	ees, and contract personnel who are acting in the capacity of Commission employees, for of these reviews or related proceedings for which this information is submitted, or in intertouthe programs and operations of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3. I underign non-disclosure agreements.	developing or nal audits and
Name of Authorized Offic	cial Title of Authorized Official Date	
	Phone: ()	
ignature	Fax () E-mail address	

PART I.—GENERAL INFORMATION

The questions in this questionnaire have been reviewed with market participants to ensure that issues of concern are adequately addressed and that data requests are sufficient, meaningful, and as limited as possible. Public reporting burden for this questionnaire is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering the data needed, and completing and reviewing the questionnaire. Send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20436.

		hours	
questionnaire in gene	are interested in any comments your alor the clarity of specific question to the above address.		
questionnaire (see pa	redProvide the name and address ge 3 of the instruction booklet for ree specify the stock exchange and tr	eporting guidelines). If yo	
	firm owned, in whole or in part, by	•	
□ No □ Y	esList the following information.		
Firm name	Address	·	ent of nership
domestic or foreign, v Mexico, and/or Thail	mporters/exportersDoes your five thich are engaged in importing PC and into the United States or which Mexico, and/Thailand to the United	strand from Brazil, India, are engaged in exporting	Korea,
□ No □ Y	esList the following information.		
Firm name	Address	<u>Affiliation</u>	

PART I.--GENERAL INFORMATION--Continued

Firm name and country	<u>Address</u>	Affiliation
are engaged in the production No YesLi Firm name	on of PC strand? st the following information. Address	Affiliation
Rusiness nlanIn Parts II :	and III of this questionnaire we r	request a conv of your comm

PART II.--PURCHASES AND RELATED INFORMATION

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Joshua Levy (202-205-3236, Joshua.Levy@usitc.gov). **Supply all data requested on a <u>calendar-year</u> basis**.

II-1.	<u>Contact information (Purchases)</u> Who should be contacted regarding the requested trade and related information?									
	Company contact:									
		Name	and title							
		()								
		Phone	number		E-mail a	address				
I-2.	<u>Purchases</u> Report, agent or broker) of Po							or throu	gh a sal	
	Qu	antity (<i>in</i>	1,000 po	<i>unds</i>) an	d value (in \$1,000	9)			
	•								June	
	Item	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2008	2009	
produc	ases of PC strand ced in e United States: Quantity									
	Value									
Bra	zil: Quantity									
	Value									
Ind	ia: Quantity									
	Value									
Jap	oan: Quantity									
	Value									
Kor	rea: Quantity									
	Value									
Me	xico: Quantity									
	Value									
Tha	niland: Quantity									
	Value									
All	other countries: ¹ Quantity									
	Value									
¹ PI	ease identify these co	untries:	•	•						

PART II.—PURCHASES AND RELATED INFORMATION--Continued

II C. I CITCHES SOLUTION COLUMN COLUM	II-3.	Purchases	before and	l after	order
	11-5.	Purchases	neiore and	ı amer	oraer

(a)	Did your firm purchase PC strand from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand before 2003?					
	☐ Noskip to (c) ☐ Yes, from (country):					
(b)	If yes, has your pattern of purchasing PC strand from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand changed since 2003?					
	 No, our pattern of purchasing is essentially unchanged. Yes, we discontinued purchases from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand because of the order. (Circle applicable country.) Yes, we reduced purchases from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand because of the order. (Circle applicable country.) Yes, but we changed the pattern of purchases from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand for reasons other than the order (please explain below). (Circle applicable country.) 					
(c)	Has your pattern of purchasing PC strand from nonsubject foreign sources (i.e., countries other than Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand) changed since 2003. We did not purchase from nonsubject foreign sources before or after the order. No, our pattern of purchasing is essentially unchanged. Yes, we increased purchases from nonsubject countries because of the order. Yes, but we changed our pattern of purchases from nonsubject countries for reasons other than the order (please explain below).					
	Please identify the nonsubject foreign source for which you are reporting.					

PART II.—PURCHASES AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

II-4. Changes in purchasing patterns.--If the relative levels of your firm's purchases of PC strand from different sources (both domestic and foreign) have changed since 2003 (the year the countervailing duty/antidumping duty orders under review became effective), please indicate whether the relative share from that country has increased or decreased, and state the reason.

Source of purchases	Trend	Explanation for trend
The United States	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ Constant ☐ Fluctuated	
Brazil	☐ Decreased☐ Increased☐ Constant☐ Fluctuated☐	
India	☐ Decreased☐ Increased☐ Constant☐ Fluctuated☐	
Japan	☐ Decreased☐ Increased☐ Constant☐ Fluctuated☐	
Korea	☐ Decreased☐ Increased☐ Constant☐ Fluctuated☐	
Mexico	☐ Decreased☐ Increased☐ Constant☐ Fluctuated☐	
Thailand	☐ Decreased ☐ Increased ☐ Constant ☐ Fluctuated	
All other countries	☐ Decreased☐ Increased☐ Constant☐ Fluctuated☐	

PART II.—PURCHASES AND RELATED INFORMATION -- Continued

Supplier identification.--Please identify below the names and addresses of your firm's FIVE II-5. largest suppliers for PC strand since 2003. Please also provide the name and telephone number of a contact person and the share of the quantity of your firm's total shipments of PC strand that each of these customers accounted for in 2008.

No.	Supplier's name	Street address (<u>not</u> P.O. box), city, state, and zip code	Contact person	Telephone number or e-mail address	Share of 2008 purchases (%)
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					

PART III.-- MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Joshua Levy (202-205-3236, Joshua.Levy@usitc.gov)

	chasing practices information?	ntacted regarding the requested market ?			
Company contact:	Name and title				
	()				
	Phone number E	-mail address			
· · · ———	h of the following best describ noting the specific end uses if k	bes your firm as a purchaser of PC strand known)?			
Converter (covers) Construction firm Distributor Other (Describe:	PC strand))			
		plications, post-tensioned applications, or			
Pre-tensioned appl Post-tensioned app					
(a) <u>Competition of sales.</u> If you are a <u>distributor</u> or <u>reseller</u> of PC strand, do you compete for sales to your customers with the manufacturers or importers from which you purchase PC strand					
No	YesPlease describe				
(b) <u>Competition of sa</u> compete for the same		sioned and post-tensioned applications			
□ No	YesPlease describe				
□ No	YesPlease describe				
□ No	YesPlease describe				

PART III.-- MARKET CHARACTERISTICS AND PURCHASING PRACTICES--Continued

III-5. <u>End uses.</u>--If your firm is an end user of PC strand, list in order of quantity of PC strand consumed, the top 3 products for which your firm purchases PC strand as a component part or input. Please indicate what percentage of the <u>total cost</u> is accounted for by PC strand (and NOTE: this percentage should not add to 100 percent).

	Product(s) you produce		Share of cost accounted for by PC strand (percent)
1.			
2.			
3.			
<u>Demar</u>	nd for end use products		
(a)	If your firm is an end user of PC strand incorporating PC strand changed since		mand for your firm's final products
	☐ Increased ☐ Decreased	Fluctua	ated No change
(b)	Has this had any effect on your firm's	demand for l	PC strand?
	☐ No ☐ YesPlease descri	be.	
Chang	ges in end usesHave there been any ch	nanges in the	end uses of PC strand since 2003?
☐ No	YesPlease describe.		

III-8.	Anticipated changes in end usesDo you anticipate any changes in terms of the end uses of PC strand in the future?					
	□ No □ Ye		the time period. Provide any underlying elevant portions of business plans or other that address this issue.			
III-9.	Substitutes Are there	re substitutes for PC strand?				
ш-у.		es If yes, please list in order of substituted for PC strand a uses. For each possible su of substitutability and indi-	of importance any products that may be and provide examples of applications and end obstitute product, please describe the degree cate whether changes in the price of the for PC strand, and to what degree, the length effect.			
	Substitute	Description	Have changes in the prices of this substitute affected the price for PC strand?			
1.			☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain.			
2.			☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain.			
3.			☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain.			
4.			☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain.			
5.			☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain.			

III-10.	<u>Changes in substitutes.</u> Have there been any changes in the number or types of products that can be substituted for PC strand since 2003?					
	☐ No	YesI	Please explain.			
III-11.			ubstitutesDo you oducts for PC strand	anticipate any chang I in the future?	ges in terms of the	
	□ No	YesI		business plans or ot	ng assumptions, along with her supporting documentation	
III-12.	<u>Demar</u>	nd trends				
	(a)		and within the Unite cipal factors affect c		d changed since January 1,	
		☐ Increased	Decreased	Fluctuated	☐ No change	
	(b)			ed States (if known) ors affect changes in	for PC strand changed since demand?	
		☐ Increased	Decreased	Fluctuated	☐ No change	

III-13.	Anticipated demand trends								
	(a)	How do you anticipate demand will change within the United States for PC strand in the future? What principal factors that will affect these changes in demand?							
		☐ Increase	Decrease	Fluctuate	☐ No change				
	(b)		icipate demand will crincipal factors that w		Inited States for PC strand in the ges in demand?				
		☐ Increase	Decrease	Fluctuate	☐ No change				
III-14.	that yo produc other n Thailan	u are aware of that tion capacity and najor producing/c	at quantify and/or oth capacity utilization) onsuming countries, orld as a whole. Of pa	erwise discuss PC st and demand in (1) th including Brazil, Inc	request any studies, surveys, etc. trand supply (including ne United States, (2) each of the dia, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or uch data from 2003 to the				
III-15.	Changes in factors affecting supplyHave any changes occurred in any other factors affecting supply (e.g., changes in availability or prices of energy or labor; transportation conditions; production capacity and/or methods of production; technology; export markets; alternative production opportunities; or other foreign suppliers of PC strand to the U.S. market) that affected the availability of U.Sproduced PC strand in the U.S. market since 2003?								
	☐ No	☐ Yes-			ch changes, the factors(s) had on your shipment volumes				

III-16.	•	America(n)"Is buying a product that is produced in the United States an important factor firm's purchases of PC strand (please check ALL that apply)?
	Yes	sPurchases of domestic product are required by law or regulation (for example, government purchases under "Buy America(n)" provisions). This involves percent of all purchases of PC strand. sPurchases of domestic product are not required by law or regulation, but are by your customers. This involves percent of all purchases of PC strand. sPurchases of domestic product are required for other reasons (please specify these reasons below). This involves percent of all purchases of PC strand.
III-17.	Condit	tions of competition
	(a)	Is the PC strand market subject to business cycles or conditions of competition distinctive to PC strand?
		☐ No ☐ YesPlease explain and provide estimates of the duration of any such cycle.
	(b)	Has the emergence of new markets for PC strand since 2003 affected the business cycles or conditions of competition distinctive to PC strand? No YesPlease explain any such changes.

III-18.	<u>Decisions based on producer.</u> Does your firm, and to the extent that you know, do your customers make purchasing decisions involving PC strand based on the producer of the PC strand you purchase?									
	Your firm:	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never					
	Your customers:	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never					
		If at least sometimes, please discuss how your firm or your customers determine the producer and why this information is important.								
	Your firm:									
	Your customers:									
III-19.		e purchasing decis		, and to the extent that strand based on the co						
	Your firm:	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never					
	Your customers:	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never					
	If at least sometime why this information	•	now your firm or y	your customers determ	nine the source and					
	Your firm:									
	Your customers:									

III-20.	Purchasing frequency								
	(a)	How frequently do you make purchases?							
		□ Daily □ Weekly □ Monthly □ Quarterly □ Annually □ Other (specify)							
	(b)	Do you expect this purchasing pattern to change in the next two years?							
		☐ No ☐ Yes How and why do you expect these changes to occur?							
III-21.	<u>Number of suppliers contacted.</u> How many suppliers do you generally contact before making a purchase?								
III-22.	-22. Supplier negotiations								
	(a)	Do purchases of PC strand usually involve negotiations between supplier and purchaser?							
		No YesPlease describe these negotiations. In your response, please comment on whether purchasers generally quote competing prices as part of the negotiation process.							
	(b)	Does your firm tend to vary its purchases from a given supplier within a specified time period based on the price offered for that period?							
		☐ No ☐ YesSpecify the time period.							

III-23.	<u>Change in suppliers.</u> Have you changed suppliers since 2003?							
	□ No	YesPlease list the supplier or suppliers and indicate whether the firm was added or dropped as a supplier. Also give the reasons for the change and how frequently you change suppliers.						
III-24.	New su	ıppliers						
	(a)	Are you aware of any new suppliers, either foreign or domestic, that have entered the market since 2003?						
		☐ No ☐ YesPlease identify the firms and indicate how you became aware of them.						
	(b)	Do you expect new PC strand suppliers to enter the market in the future?						
		☐ No ☐ YesPlease provide details, noting the specific future time period in your response.						
III-25.	respect	er qualification. Do you require your suppliers to become certified or prequalified with to the quality, chemistry, strength, or other performance characteristic of the PC strand ll to your firm?						
	☐ No	Yes percent of purchases in 2008 Yesall purchases						
	Please require	provide a general description of the certification or qualification process and the time d.						

Factors considered vis-à-vis suppliersBriefly describe the factors that you consider when qualifying a new supplier (e.g., quality of product, reliability of supplier, etc.) and estimate the time it takes to certify or qualify a new supplier.							
<u>Failure to certify.</u> Since 2003, have any domestic or foreign producers failed in their attempts certify or qualify their PC strand with your firm or have any producers lost their approved status							
No YesPlease identify reasons why	•	countries where the countr	-				
Purchasing factorsFor the factors livyour purchase decision for PC strand.	sted below, pleas	e rate each in term	s of its importa				
your purchase decision for the strand.	Very important	Somewhat important	Not important				
Availability							
Delivery terms							
Delivery time							
Discounts offered							
Extension of credit							
Price							
Minimum qty requirements							
Packaging							
Product consistency							
Quality meets industry standards							
Quality exceeds industry standards							
Product range							
Reliability of supply							
Technical support/service							
U.S. transportation costs							
Other (specify):							

nd for any one
ontracts, price, luct line, traditional
uct fille, traditional
determining the
C
source although a
explain your
d both subject and ristics such as
istics such as
the PC strand that
the PC strand that
•

III-33.	market either u signific supplier price ch	since 2003. A price leader is defined as (1) one or more firms that initiate a price change pward or downward, that is followed by other firms, or (2) one or more firms that have a ant impact on prices. A price leader does not necessarily have to be the lowest priced r. For those firms identified as a price leader, please specify the time period in which a nange was communicated, whether the price change was upward or downward, and r it covered a specific geographic region or a specific product type.
III-34.		ency of price changesHow frequently does the price of the PC strand you are sing change?
III-35.	Change	es in U.S. industry
	(a)	Please identify and discuss any improvements/changes in the U.S. PC strand industry since 2003 and explain fully, to the extent possible, the factor(s), including the order(s) under review, that was/(were) responsible for each improvement/change.

III-35.	Changes in	n U.S.	industry.	Continued

	(b)	Please also discuss fully, to the extent possible, any improvements/changes that you anticipate in the future in the U.S. PC strand industry. Identify the specific future time period covered in your response, and discuss the factors that you believe would be responsible for each improvement/change.					
III-36.	counte Japan, revoca your fi	of revocationWhat do you think will be the likely effects of any revocation of the rvailing duty order/antidumping duty orders for imports of PC strand from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand? As appropriate, please discuss any potential effects of tion of the countervailing duty order/antidumping duty orders on (1) the future activities of rm and (2) the U.S. market as a whole. Please note the future time period to which you are ng. Attach additional pages if necessary.					
	(1) Act	tivities of your firm:					
	(2) Ent	ire U.S. market:					

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS

Mexico

Thailand

Further information on this part of the questionnaire can be obtained from Joshua Levy (202-205-3236, Joshua.Levy@usitc.gov)

IV-1.	<u>Contact information (Product Comparisons).</u> Who should be contacted regarding the requested production comparison information?									
	Company conta		Name and ti	itla						
		<u>(</u>) Phone numb	nor.	E mail	address				
		1	none num)C1	L-IIIaII	audiess				
IV-2.	Country know has actual mark				tries of ori	gin for PC	strand for	which your	firm	
	United Stat	es								
	☐ Brazil ☐	☐ Brazil ☐ India ☐ Japan ☐ Korea ☐ Mexico ☐ Thailand								
	Other countries (Please specify									
IV-3.	Interchangeability by country-pairIs PC strand produced in the United States and in other countries interchangeable (<i>i.e.</i> , can they physically be used in the same applications)? Please indicate below, using "A" to indicate that the products from a specified country-pair are <i>always</i> interchangeable, "F" to indicate that the products are <i>frequently</i> interchangeable, "S" to indicate that the products are <i>sometimes</i> interchangeable, "N" to indicate that the products are <i>never</i> interchangeable, and "0" to indicate <i>no familiarity</i> with products from a specified country-pair. 1									
	Country-pair	Brazil	India	Japan	Korea	Mexico	Thailand	Other countries		
	United States									
	Brazil									
	India									
	Japan									

PART IV .-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS -- Continued

IV-4.	Country preferences Do you or your customers ever specifically order PC strand from one country in particular over other possible sources of supply?						
	No YesPlease identify all relevant countries (including the United States and both subject and nonsubject foreign countries) from which you or your customers prefer to order, and indicate why PC strand from these countries is preferred over product from other countries (please note the specific product in your response).						
IV-5.	<u>Availability of merchandise</u> Are certain grades/types/sizes of PC strand available from only a single source (domestic or foreign, including both subject and nonsubject countries)?						
	☐ No ☐ YesPlease identify the source and the grade/type/size.						

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS -- Continued

IV-6. **Factor country comparisons.--**For the factors listed below, please rate how PC strand produced in each country you identified in your response to question IV-2. compares with PC strand produced in each of the other countries you identified (including the United States and both subject and nonsubject foreign countries).

	Product from United States compared to product from Brazil			Product from United States compared to product from India			Product from United States compared to product from Japan		
Quality	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Extension of credit									
Price ¹									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Product consistency									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Product range									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs ¹									
Other (specify):									
	П		П						

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS--Continued

IV-6. *Continued*.

	Product from United States compared to product from Korea			Product from United States compared to product from Mexico			Product from United States compared to product from Thailand		
Quality	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Extension of credit									
Price ¹									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Product consistency									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Product range									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs ¹									
Other (specify):									
		П	П		П	П		П	

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS--Continued

IV-6. *Continued.*

	compared to product from			compared to product from			compared to product from		
Quality	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior	Superior	Comparable	Inferior
Availability									
Delivery terms									
Delivery time									
Discounts offered									
Extension of credit									
Price ¹									
Minimum quantity requirements									
Packaging									
Product consistency									
Quality meets industry standards									
Quality exceeds industry standards									
Product range									
Reliability of supply									
Technical support/service									
U.S. transportation costs ¹									
Other (specify):									
	_	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$		$\overline{}$	_

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS--Continued

IV-7.	<u>Minii</u>	mum quality									
	(a)	How often does domestically produced PC strand meet minimum quality specifications for your uses or your customers' uses?									
		Always	Usually		Sometimes	Rarely	or never				
	(b)		n does imported subject PC strand meet minimum quality specifications for or your customers' uses?								
		Sou	rce	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely or never				
		Brazil									
		India									
		Japan									
		Korea									
		Mexico									
		Thailand									
	(c)	How often does imported nonsubject PC strand (<i>i.e.</i> , PC strand from countries other Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, or Thailand) meet minimum quality specification for your uses or your customers' uses? Rarel									
		Sou	rce	Always	Usually	Sometimes	never				
		China									
IV-8.	Chan	ge in price									
	(a)	Since 2003, has there been a change in the price of PC strand? If so, has the price of U.Sproduced PC strand changed more or less than the price of imported PC strand from Brazil? No change in price Prices have changed by the same amount Price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Brazil									
	(b)	_	Sproduced PC s price of U.Sprod		-	_	C strand				
		Higher		Lower							

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS--Continued

IV-9.	Chang	ge in price			
	(a)	Since 2003, has there been a change in the price of PC strand? If so, has the price of U.Sproduced PC strand changed more or less than the price of imported PC strand from India ?			
		 No change in price □ Prices have changed by the same amount □ Price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from India 			
	(b)	If the price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from India, the price of U.Sproduced PC strand is now relatively			
		☐ Higher ☐ Lower			
IV-10.	Chang	ge in price			
	(a)	Since 2003, has there been a change in the price of PC strand? If so, has the price of U.Sproduced PC strand changed more or less than the price of imported PC strand from Japan ?			
		 No change in price □ Prices have changed by the same amount □ Price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Japan 			
	(b)	If the price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Japan, the price of U.Sproduced PC strand is now relatively			
		☐ Higher ☐ Lower			
IV-11.	Chang	ge in price			
	(a)	Since 2003, has there been a change in the price of PC strand? If so, has the price of U.Sproduced PC strand changed more or less than the price of imported PC strand from Korea ?			
		 No change in price Prices have changed by the same amount Price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Korea 			
	(b)	If the price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Korea, the price of U.Sproduced PC strand is now relatively			
		Higher Lower			

PART IV.-- PRODUCT COMPARISIONS--Continued

IV-12.	Chang	e in price
	(a)	Since 2003, has there been a change in the price of PC strand? If so, has the price of U.Sproduced PC strand changed more or less than the price of imported PC strand from Mexico ?
		 ☐ No change in price ☐ Prices have changed by the same amount ☐ Price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Mexico
	(b)	If the price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Mexico, the price of U.Sproduced PC strand is now relatively
		☐ Higher ☐ Lower
IV-13.	Chang	e in price
	(a)	Since 2003, has there been a change in the price of PC strand? If so, has the price of U.Sproduced PC strand changed more or less than the price of imported PC strand from Thailand ?
		 ☐ No change in price ☐ Prices have changed by the same amount ☐ Price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Thailand
	(b)	If the price of U.Sproduced PC strand has changed relative to the price of PC strand from Thailand, the price of U.Sproduced PC strand is now relatively
		☐ Higher ☐ Lower