NANOBYTE Nanocomposite Coatings for Army Erosion Protection

For The

Defense Logistics Enterprise Services Program (DLESP)

August 4, 2006

Submitted by ManTech Information Systems & Technology Enterprise Integration Center (e-IC) 1000 Technology Drive, Suite 3310 Fairmont, West Virginia 26554 Telephone: (304) 367-1699

> In support of Contract INM0405BP41582 Order Number 0405DO42525



Enterprise Integration Center (e-IC)

Robert S. Kidwell Vice President/Senior Technical Director Enterprise Integration Center (e-IC)

Donald J. Reynolds Executive Director Enterprise Integration Center (e-IC) Dr. Lars Ericson Senior Scientist Enterprise Integration Center (e-IC)

UNCLASSIFIED Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and their contractors. Other requests for this document must be referred to OSD/SCI RM 2C263



NANOBYTE

Nanocomposite Coatings for Army Erosion Protection

Problem:	• Sand from desert operations can inflict significant erosion of critical components in vehicles. The resulting negative impact includes increased maintenance costs, prolonged maintenance periods, decreased vehicle performance, and decreased operational capabilities.
Systems of Interest:	 Helicopter Rotor Blades Turbine Engine Inlet Guide Vanes Ground Vehicle Axles
Coating Solutions:	 Elastomeric Nanocomposite Coating (e.g. Aerocoat KTM) Nanostructured Ceramic Coating (e.g. Alumina-Titania)
Recommendations:	 Nanocomposite coatings offer opportunities for reduced maintenance costs and increased combat readiness associated with erosion. The performance of these coating systems should be independently evaluated by Army or Army-contracted engineers unaffiliated with the service/product providers to insure compatibility with system-specific applications. In addition to the two nanocomposite coatings discussed herein, Army engineers should consider other nanostructured coatings (e.g. tungsten carbide cobalt, titanium dioxide) for possible superior matches to application needs.

Nanobyte: Army Erosion Protection

Aerocoat KTM

Description: Application Suitability: Material Manufacturer: Vendor:	Elastomeric nanocomposite coating consisting of silica nanoparticles dispersed in a polymer matrix. Helicopter Rotor Blades, Turbine Engine Inlet Guide Vanes Analytical Services & Materials, Inc. Vertical Logic, LLC
Manufacturing:	 Commercial spraying; No special equipment necessary. Can be applied in paste form for field repairs 24hr ambient curing
Material Properties:	 Flexible, energy absorbing due to polymer matrix, but tough due to silica nanoparticles Excellent environmental resistance (corrosion, ice) Suitable temperature range = -70 to 400 °F Solid particle erosion protection (low/high angle): 4.2 / 3.4 μg/g 6x vs. Polyurethane Tape 13x / 8x vs. Aluminum 20x / 13x vs. Ti6Al4V 21x / 17x vs. Steel 30x / 23x vs. Nickel Cavitation protection = 1 mg/hour @ 500W, 20 kHz 2x vs. Polyurethane Tape 3x vs. Stainless Steel 10x vs. Nickel Adhesion strength = 20-24 psi vs. 3 psi for Polyurethane Tape
Cost: Notes:	 \$18/sq. ft (without application costs, assuming 0.01" thick) No "Corona Effect" during night operations References Available ^[1,2,3]

Nanostructured Ceramic Coating

Description: Application Suitability: Feedstock Manufacturer: Thermal Spray Services: R&D Consultant:	Nanostructured alumina-titania (87:13) ceramic coating; Modified feedstock includes 6-8wt% cerium oxide and 8-10wt% zinc oxide. Turbine Engine Inlet Guide Vanes, Ground Vehicle Axles Inframat Advanced Materials, LLC A&A Company, Inc.; F.W. Gartner Thermal Spraying, Ltd.; Raymor Industries Perpetual Technologies, Inc.
Manufacturing: Material Properties:	Commercial thermal spray technology • Unmodified nanocoating MIL-STD-1687 certified ("Thermal Spray Coatings for Shipboard Machinery") • Nano adhesion strength = 2x conventional • Unmodified Nano bond strength ~ 10,000 psi • Unmodified Nano hardness = HRC 54 (~ conventional) • Bend test: Conventional = Failure Unmodified Nano = Partial Modified Nano = Pass • Cup test: Conventional = Significant cracking/spallation Nano (Both) = Minimum cracking/spallation Nano (Both) = Minimum cracking/spallation • Abrasion Wear Resistance (normalized): Conventional = 1 Unmodified Nano = 2 Modified Nano = 4 • Slurry emersion testing = 2x improvement for Nano
Cost: Notes:	 \$45/lb. for large quantities (feedstock only) Perpetual Technologies has a proprietary thermal spray process that claims to provide as much as 2x further improvement in properties References Available ^[4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12]



References

- ² R. Sivakumar, Research Scientist, Analytical Services & Materials, Inc., Personal Interview, Jul. 31st 2006.
- ³ Analytical Services & Materials, Inc., Aerocoat K: Erosion, Corrosion and Cavitation Resistant Coating, (2006).
- ⁴ M. Gell, et al., Surface and Coatings Technology 146-147 (2001).
- ⁵ E. H. Jordan, et al., Mat. Sci. & Eng. A **301**, 80-80 (2001).
- ⁶ Y. Wear, et al., Wear 237, 176-185 (2000).
- ⁷ Ken Scandell, Maintenance Technologies Section, Naval Sea Systems Command, Personal Interview, May 16th 2006.
- ⁸ Xinqing Ma, Vice President of Research & Development, Inframat Corporation, Personal Interview, Jul. 12th 2006.
 ⁹ George Kim, President, Perpetual Technologies, Inc., Personal Interview, Jul. 28th 2006.
- ¹⁰ Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division, *Characterization of Nanostructured Alumina/Titania Ceramic Coating on Nickel Aluminum Bronze for MCM Main Propulsion Shaft Life Cycle Extension*, NSWCCD-61-TR-2002/17 (2002).
- ¹¹ George Kim, Perpetual Technologies, Inc., *Past, Present and Future of Thermal Sprayed nanostructured Coatings* (2006).
- ¹² Kenneth Scandell, Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division, Naval Sea Systems Command, *From Science... to Service: What are the issues as a view from the fleet?* (2006).

¹ Vertical Logic, LLC; Sand and Rain Erosion Resistant Protection for Helicopter Rotor Blades in Adverse Environments, (2006).