

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

**CERTAIN LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY
DEVICES, PRODUCTS CONTAINING SAME,
AND METHODS FOR USING THE SAME**

Inv. No. 337-TA-760

NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on January 24, 2011, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, on behalf of Sharp Corporation of Japan. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on February 11 and February 14, 2011. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain liquid crystal display devices, products containing same, and methods for using the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,879,364 ("the '364 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,304,626 ("the '626 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,532,183 ("the '183 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,283,192 ("the '192 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 6,937,300 ("the '300 patent"); U.S. Patent No. 7,057,689 ("the '689 patent"); and U.S. Patent No. 7,838,881 ("the '881 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bryan F. Moore, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2767.

AUTHORITY: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.10 (2010).

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on February 22, 2011, ORDERED THAT –

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain liquid crystal display devices, products containing same, and methods for using the same that infringe one or more of claims 5-7 of the '364 patent; claims 10, 17, and 18 of the '626 patent; claims 1-6 of the '183 patent; claims 1 and 11 of the '192 patent; claim 1 of the '300 patent; claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 16, 18, 21, 22, 24, 27, 31, and 33 of the '689 patent; and claims 1-7 and 10-13 of the '881 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Sharp Corporation
22-22 Nagaike-cho, Abeno-ku
Osaka 545-8522
Japan

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

AU Optronics Corp.
No. 1 Li-Hsin Road 2
Hsinchu Science Park
Hsinchu 300
Taiwan

Au Optronics Corporation America

9720 Cypresswood Drive, Suite 241
Houston, TX 77070

BenQ America Corp.
15375 Barranca Parkway, Suite A-205
Irvine, CA 92618

BenQ Corporation
16 Jihu Road, Neihu
Taipei 114
Taiwan

Haier America Trading LLC
1356 Broadway
New York, NY 10018

Haier Group Company
1 Haier Road, Hi-Tech Zone
Qingdao 266101
China

LG Electronics Inc.
LG Twin Towers 20
Yoido-dong, Youngdungpo-gu
Seoul 150-721
Korea

LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc.
1000 Sylvan Avenue
Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

SANYO Electric Co., Ltd.
5-5, Keihan-Hondori 2-chome
Moriguchi City, Osaka 570-8677
Japan

SANYO North America Corporation
2055 SANYO Avenue
San Diego, CA 92154

TCL Corporation
TCL Industrial Tower

No. 6 South Eling Road
Huizhou, Guangdong Province 516001
China

TTE Technology, Inc.
d/b/a TCL America
5541 West 74th Street
Indianapolis, IN 46268

VIZIO, Inc.
39 Tesla
Irvine, CA 92618

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Bryan F. Moore, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Suite 401, Washington, D.C. 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.13. Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. §§ 201.16(d)-(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

/s/
William R. Bishop
Meetings and Hearings Coordinator

Issued: February 24, 2011