

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20436

In the Matter of

**ELECTRONIC PAPER TOWEL DISPENSING
DEVICES AND COMPONENTS
THEREOF**

Investigation No. 337-TA-718

**NOTICE OF COMMISSION DETERMINATION NOT TO REVIEW AN
INITIAL DETERMINATION FINDING CERTAIN RESPONDENTS IN DEFAULT**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 28) finding certain respondents in default.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James A. Worth, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on May 21, 2010, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Georgia Pacific Consumer Products LP of Atlanta, Georgia (“Georgia Pacific”) on September 23, 2009, and supplemented on October 7, 2009. 74 *Fed. Reg.* 55856 (Oct. 29, 2009). The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic paper towel dispensing devices and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,871,815; 7,017,856; 7,182,289; and 7,387,274. 75 *Fed. Reg.* 28651-2 (May 21, 2010). The complainant named as respondents Kruger Products LP of Mississauga, Canada; KTG USA LP of Memphis, Tennessee (“KTG USA”); StefcO Industries, Inc. of Haines City, Florida (“Stefco”); Cellynne Corporation of Haines City, Florida (“Cellynne”); Draco Hygienic Products Inc. of Ontario, California; NetPak Electronic Plastic and Cosmetic, Inc., d/b/a/ Open for Business of Chicago, Illinois (“NetPak Chicago”); NetPak Electronik Plastik ve

Kozmetik Sanayi, Ve Ticaret Ltd of Izmir, Turkey (“NetPak Turkey”); Paradigm Marketing Consortium, Inc. of Syosset, New York; United Sourcing Network Corp. of Syosset, New York; New Choice (H.K.) Ltd. of Shatin, Hong Kong; and Vida International Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan.

On August 16, 2010, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID amending the complaint and notice of investigation: (1) to correct the corporate name of NetPak Chicago; (2) to redefine “Kruger” to “Kruger Products and/or KTG USA”; (3) to indicate that Georgia-Pacific no longer alleges that NetPak Turkey is the source of Stefcó’s and Cellynne’s accused product; (4) to add new respondents Jet Power International Limited; Winco Industries Co.; DWL Industries Co.; Ko-Am Corporation Inc. d/b/a Janitor’s World ; Natury, S.A. De C.V. (“Natury”); Franklin Financial Management, Inc. d/b/a Update International; and Alliance in Manufacturing LLC.

On August 16, 2010, Georgia Pacific filed a motion requesting an order to show cause why Respondents Stefcó and Cellyne should not be held in default. The administrative law judge ordered Stefcó and Cellyne to respond by way of written submissions no later than October 12, 2010, to show cause why they should not be held in default. No responses were received. On November 21, 2010, Georgia Pacific filed a motion requesting that Stefcó and Cellyne be held in default. On December 2, 2010, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed a response in support of Georgia Pacific’s motion. On December 30, 2010, the administrative law judge issued Order No. 28, granting the motion. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 1337), and of section 210.42(h) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 210.42(h)).

By order of the Commission.

/s/
Marilyn R. Abbott
Secretary to the Commission

Issued: January 26, 2011