

<b>Table of Contents</b>		<b>Page</b>
1.0	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Background.....	1
1.2	Relationship between EAs for Non-native Fish Control and High-flow Experimental Protocol.....	6
1.3	Relationship between this EA and the Long-Term Experimental and Management Plan.....	10
1.4	Purpose of and Need for Action.....	11
1.5	Related Actions, Projects, Plans and Documents.....	13
1.6	Agency Roles and Responsibilities.....	16
1.7	Previous High-Flow Experiments.....	18
1.8	Relevant Resources and Issues.....	21
2.0	Description of Alternatives.....	25
2.1	No Action Alternative.....	25
2.2	Proposed Action: Protocol for High-Flow Experimental Releases.....	27
3.0	Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences.....	51
3.1	Physical Resources.....	56
3.2	Biological Resources.....	69
3.3	Cultural Resources.....	113
3.4	Socio-economic Resources.....	116
4.0	Consultation and Coordination.....	147
4.1	Tribal Consultation.....	147
4.2	Public Scoping and Review Activities.....	148
4.3	Cooperating Agencies.....	149
5.0	References Cited.....	150
Appendix A:	<i>Federal Register</i> Notice.....	177
Appendix B:	Science Plan.....	178
Appendix C:	Biological Assessment and Supplement.....	179
Appendix D:	Hydrology Input to Sediment Model.....	180
Appendix E:	Sediment Budget Modeling Methods Using CRSS Hydrology Output.....	181
Appendix F:	Methods for Estimating the Impacts of HFEs on Hydropower at Glen Canyon Dam.....	182
Appendix G:	Letter of Concurrence from Arizona State Historic Preservation Office on Reclamation’s Determination of Eligibility and Effect on Historic Properties Regarding Proposed Adoption of a High-Flow Protocol for Glen Canyon Dam, Coconino and Mohave Counties, Arizona.....	183
Appendix H:	Biological Opinion.....	184

<b>Figures</b>	<b>Page</b>
Figure 1. Geographic scope of the proposed action, showing places referenced in the text. Map courtesy of U.S. Geological Survey. ....	3
Figure 2. Mean daily discharge of the Colorado River at Lees Ferry from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2010, showing the 2008 HFE, the September-October steady flows, and the intervening releases under modified low fluctuating flows (MLFF). ....	27
Figure 3. Planning and budgeting component for the HFE protocol. ....	36
Figure 4. The two sand accounting periods and the two high-release periods with average monthly sand loads for the Paria River and the Little Colorado River (adopted from Scott Wright, U.S. Geological Survey, personal communication). ....	39
Figure 5. Decision and implementation component of HFE protocol. ....	41
Figure 6. Total sandbar volume at 12 sites in Marble Canyon. <i>Source:</i> J. Hazel, preliminary data courtesy of Northern Arizona University. ....	63
Figure 7. Occurrence of described HFEs from model runs for moderate sediment with dry, moderate, and wet hydrology in reaches 1 and 2 (Russell and Huang 2010). ....	65
Figure 8. Distribution of juvenile humpback chub < 100 mm TL during 2002-2006 by 5-mile increments from RM 30 to RM 230. Principal humpback chub aggregations are indicated. ....	81
Figure 9. Estimated adult humpback chub abundance (age 4+) from ASMR, incorporating uncertainty in assignment of age. ....	83
Figure 10. Average annual electrofishing catch rate of rainbow trout in the Lees Ferry reach (Glen Canyon Dam to Lees Ferry) for 1991-2010. ....	87
Figure 11. Total suitable habitat (purple line, right axis) and breakdown by shoreline types (left axis) used by native fish (top; approximated by humpback chub parameters) and non-native fish (bottom). ....	90
Figure 12. Velocity preference criteria for humpback chub in the Colorado River, Grand Canyon. ....	90
Figure 13. Colorado River Storage Project management center service territory. Map courtesy of Western Area Power Administration. ....	117
Figure 14. Annual impacts in millions of dollars of HFEs during three different hydrological conditions. ....	124
Figure 15. An illustration of the variability of impacts of the proposed action on both energy and capacity. ....	124
Figure 16. Fishing user days by month in the Lees Ferry reach for 2006 (top) and 2009 (bottom). ....	126
Figure 17. Boating in the Grand Canyon, anticipated annual use by month. ....	128
Figure 18. Commercial boating recreation use below Diamond Creek (HRR maximum possible). ....	129
Figure 19. Noncommercial user days – Diamond Creek to Lake Mead. ....	129

<b>Tables</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 1. List of resources and issues evaluated. ....	21
Table 2. Glen Canyon powerplant unit outage schedule – March-April and October-November, 2011-2015 (shaded areas indicate unit outages).. ....	23
Table 3. Summary of No Action and Modified Low Fluctuating Flow Preferred Alternative Criteria for the 1996 Record of Decision.....	26
Table 4. Flow magnitude and duration for 13 possible HFEs used with the sediment/hydrology model.....	32
Table 5. Type of HFE by month for each of the nine traces of sediment (Low, Moderate, and High) and hydrology (Dry, Moderate, Wet).. ....	34
Table 6. Projected volume of water (acre-feet) to be reallocated as a result of the selected HFE.....	35
Table 7. Resource indicators for important resources potentially affected by BHBFs (Ralston et al. 1998). ....	38
Table 8. Summary of existing information on key aquatic resources for all HFEs from Glen Canyon Dam.. ....	54
Table 9. Megawatt hours of lost electrical generation and subsequent additions of CO <sub>2</sub> emitted for every MWh produced.....	59
Table 10. Sand budgets for each reach during the 2004 and 2008 CFE sand-budgeting periods.. ....	61
Table 11. Non-native and native fish species presently found in the Colorado River and lower end of tributaries from Glen Canyon Dam to near Pearce Ferry. ....	80
Table 12. Preferred water velocities (m/s) for non-native fish found in the vicinity of the Little Colorado River.....	107
Table 13. 10-year GCD Electrical Energy Cost for the Proposed Action Alternative. ....	121
Table 14. GCD Electrical Capacity Cost for the Proposed Action Alternatives. ....	122
Table 15. GCD Total Cost of the Proposed Action Alternatives.....	123
Table 16. Commercial river rafting user days for the 16-mile reach of the Colorado River below Glen Canyon Dam.....	127
Table 17. Summary of impacts to resources from a single, independent high-flow experiment (HFE).. ....	134
Table 18. Summary of impacts to resources from two or more, consecutive high-flow experiments (HFEs) with a magnitude of 41,000-45,000 cfs. ....	141