

# CONTENTS

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 ORGANIZATION .....	1
1.2 PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION .....	3
1.3 PROPOSED ACTION .....	3
1.3.1 OPERATION OF GLEN CANYON DAM .....	4
1.4 BACKGROUND .....	5
1.5 STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING PROJECT .....	10
1.6 SELECTED LEGAL AUTHORITIES .....	11
1.7 RELATED ACTIONS, PROJECTS, PLANS AND DOCUMENTS .....	15
1.7.1 1995 GLEN CANYON DAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT AND RECORD OF DECISION .....	15
1.7.2 HIGH FLOW EXPERIMENT PROTOCOL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT .....	15
1.7.3 OTHER AGENCY ACTIONS, PROJECTS, PLANS, AND DOCUMENTS .....	16
1.8.1 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR .....	18
1.8.1.1 BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS .....	18
1.8.1.2 BUREAU OF RECLAMATION .....	18
1.8.1.3 NATIONAL PARK SERVICE .....	19
1.8.1.4 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE .....	19
1.8.1.5 U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY .....	19
1.8.2 DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY .....	20
1.8.2.1 WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION .....	20
1.9 PREVIOUS NON-NATIVE FISH CONTROL EFFORTS .....	20
1.10 ROLE OF ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT IN NON-NATIVE FISH CONTROL .....	21
1.11 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT .....	25
1.12 CONSULTATION WITH AMERICAN INDIAN TRIBES .....	26
1.13 RELEVANT RESOURCES AND ISSUES .....	29
1.14 AUTHORIZING ACTIONS, PERMITS OR LICENSES .....	30
1.15 DECISION FRAMEWORK .....	31
1.16 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EAS FOR NON-NATIVE FISH CONTROL AND HIGH-FLOW PROTOCOL .....	31
1.17 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THIS EA AND THE LONG-TERM EXPERIMENTAL AND MANAGEMENT PLAN .....	34
1.18 ISSUES FOR ANALYSIS .....	35
<b>2.0 DESCRIPTION OF ALTERNATIVES .....</b>	<b>45</b>
2.1 NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE .....	45
2.2 PROPOSED ACTION .....	46
2.2.1 OTHER FLOW AND NON-FLOW ACTIONS .....	49
2.3 MITIGATION AND MONITORING .....	50
2.4 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED AND ELIMINATED FROM DETAILED STUDY .....	51
<b>3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES .....</b>	<b>55</b>
3.1 GENERAL SETTING .....	55
3.2 NATURAL RESOURCES .....	55
3.2.1 FISH .....	56
3.2.1.1 HUMPBACK CHUB .....	57
3.2.1.2 RAZORBACK SUCKER .....	58

3.2.1.3	NON-LISTED NATIVE FISHES.....	59
3.2.1.4	TROUT.....	59
3.2.1.5	OTHER NON-NATIVE FISHES.....	61
3.2.1.6	EFFECTS OF HIGH FLOW EXPERIMENTS ON FISHES.....	61
3.2.2	FISH AND FISH HABITAT UNDER NO ACTION.....	63
3.2.3	FISH AND FISH HABITAT UNDER THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	69
3.3	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	73
3.3.1	SACRED SITES UNDER NO ACTION.....	74
3.3.2	SACRED SITES UNDER THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	74
3.3.3	HISTORIC PROPERTIES UNDER NO ACTION.....	74
3.3.4	HISTORIC PROPERTIES UNDER THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	75
3.4	SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES.....	75
3.4.1	RECREATION UNDER NO ACTION.....	75
3.4.1.1	FISHING UNDER NO ACTION.....	75
3.4.1.2	RECREATIONAL BOATING UNDER NO ACTION.....	77
3.4.1.3	NET ECONOMIC USE VALUE OF RECREATION UNDER NO ACTION.....	78
3.4.1.4	NONUSE ECONOMIC VALUE UNDER NO ACTION.....	81
3.4.2	RECREATION UNDER PROPOSED ACTION.....	82
3.4.2.1	FISHING UNDER PROPOSED ACTION.....	82
3.4.2.2	RECREATIONAL BOATING UNDER PROPOSED ACTION.....	83
3.4.2.3	NET ECONOMIC USE VALUE OF RECREATION UNDER PROPOSED ACTION.....	84
3.4.2.4	NONUSE ECONOMIC VALUE UNDER PROPOSED ACTION.....	86
3.5	INDIAN TRUST ASSETS.....	86
3.5.1	INDIAN TRUST ASSETS UNDER NO ACTION.....	86
3.5.2	INDIAN TRUST ASSETS UNDER THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	87
3.6	WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS.....	87
3.7	WILDERNESS.....	87
3.8	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IMPLICATIONS UNDER NO ACTION.....	88
3.9	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	88
<b>4.0</b>	<b>CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION.....</b>	<b>89</b>
4.1	CONSULTATION.....	89
4.2	PUBLIC SCOPING ACTIVITIES.....	89
4.3	AGENCY COOPERATION.....	89
<b>5.0</b>	<b>REFERENCES CITED.....</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>6.0</b>	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>103</b>
	<b>APPENDIX A: NON-NATIVE FISH MANAGEMENT BELOW THE GLEN CANYON DAM REPORT FROM A STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING PROJECT.....</b>	<b>103</b>
	<b>APPENDIX B: SCIENCE PLAN.....</b>	<b>104</b>
	<b>APPENDIX C: BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT.....</b>	<b>105</b>
	<b>APPENDIX D: SUPPLEMENT TO BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT.....</b>	<b>106</b>
	<b>APPENDIX E: BIOLOGICAL OPINION.....</b>	<b>107</b>
	<b>APPENDIX F: LETTER TO STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE WITH CONCURRENCE STAMP.....</b>	<b>108</b>

**Figures**

	Page
1. Geographic scope of the proposed action, showing places referenced in the text.....	2
2. Distribution of juvenile humpback chub ( <i>Gila cypha</i> ). .....	6
3. Annual population estimates of adult humpback chub (age 4+).....	40
4. Estimated adult humpback chub abundance (age 4+) from ASMR .....	58
5. Average annual electrofishing catch rates of rainbow trout in the Lees Ferry 1991–2010. ..	60
6. Expected predation of young humpback chub by trout in the absence of non-native fish removal. ....	65
7. Expected losses of adult humpback chub (age 4+) due to predation by trout in the absence of non-native fish removal. ....	66
8. Fishing user days by month in the Lees Ferry reach .....	76
9. Whitewater boating in the Grand Canyon, annual use by month .....	78
10. Numbers of anglers served by one fishing guide business. ....	86

**Tables**

	Page
1. List of resources and issues evaluated. ....	30
2. Non-native fish species presently found in the Actoin Area. ....	58
3. Mohave and Coconino Counties, Arizona – Baseline Socioeconomic Data. ....	79
4. Navajo Roadside Vending and Employment. ....	80
5. Estimates of Nonuse Value. ....	81
6. List of persons, agencies, and organizations consulted for purposes of this Environmental Assessment.....	90