HANFORD ADVISORY BOARD

A Site Specific Advisory Board, Chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act

Advising:

US Dept of Energy US Environmental Protection Agency

Washington State Dept of Ecology

<u>CHAIR</u>: Susan Leckband

VICE CHAIR: Steve Hudson

BOARD MEMBERS:

Local Business

Harold Heacock

Labor/Work Force

David Davis Thomas Carpenter Jeff Luke Rebecca Holland

Local Environment Gene Van Liew

Local Government
Maynard Plahuta
Pam Larsen

Rick Jansons Rob Davis Jerry Peltier Bob Adler Bob Parks

Tribal Government
Russell Jim
John Stanfill

Public HealthTony Brooks
Howard Putter

University
Doug Mercer

Richard Stout

Public-at-Large

Norma Jean Germond Keith Smith Bob Parazin Bob Suyama

Regional Environment/Citizen Dan Serres

Susan Leckband Paige Knight Gerald Pollet

State of Oregon Lyle Smith Ken Niles

Ex-Officio

Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Washington State Department of Health

Envirolssues Hanford Project Office 713 Jadwin, Suite 3 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: (509) 942-1906 Fax: (509) 942-1926 June 8, 2012

Scott Samuelson, Manager

U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection

P.O. Box 450 (H6-60) Richland, WA 99352

Matt McCormick, Manager

U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations

P.O. Box 550 (A7-50) Richland, WA 99352

Re: Employee Concerns Program

Dear Messrs. Samuelson and McCormick,

Background:

The Employee Concerns Programs (ECP) at Hanford are an integral part of the safety culture. It is imperative that both the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and their contractors have a robust, credible, and trusted employee concerns system that provides an independent avenue for employees to bring forth their issues without fear of reprisal. Without trustworthy and effective internal avenues, employees may choose to remain silent or find outside avenues to raise their issues.

Both the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) and the DOE Office of Health, Safety and Security (DOE-HSS) have issued reports that include findings critical of the Employee Concerns function at both the DOE and contractor levels. These include a finding from the DNFSB that states:

Previous independent reviews, contractor surveys, investigations, and other efforts by DOE and contractors demonstrate repeated, continuing identification of the same safety culture deficiencies without effective resolution. (Recommendation 2011-1 to the Secretary of Energy – *Safety Culture at the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plan*; June 2011).

Similarly, the DOE-HSS Report made the following finding:

Some interviewees indicated a fear of retaliation if they were to use the ECP. They perceive that it is not anonymous and that information is shared without their permission. (*Independent Oversight Assessment of Nuclear Safety Culture Concerns at the Hanford Site Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant*, Office of Enforcement and Oversight, DOE Health, Safety and Security, January 2012)

DOE-HSS also evaluated some elements of the contractor's Employee Concerns Program and had several findings and recommendations, both positive and negative. It is clear from these reports that there is a widespread dissatisfaction with both DOE and the contractor Employee Concerns Programs, and both programs suffer from a lack of trust amongst a significant portion of the workforce.

The Hanford Advisory Board (HAB or Board) recognizes that DOE is beginning to address the Employee Concerns Program issues brought to fore by DOE-HSS and the DNFSB. In particular, the Board appreciates the Near Term Improvement Action Item numbers 7 and 8 and encourages DOE to implement those actions. In addition, the Board notes that DOE has issued a "Continuous Improvement Plan" for the Employee Concerns Program that addresses many of the Board's concerns.

Advice:

- The Board advises DOE and its contractors to consider including non-management personnel (exempt, non-exempt, & Hanford Atomic Metal Trades Council) in developing and promulgating employee concerns procedures, policies, and processes, including those addressing personnel protections when submitting concerns into the system. Involving the users of a planned system in its development and presentation can result in a final product that employees will respond to because it more directly meets their needs.
- The Board advises DOE to reconsider reinstating the Office of River Protection (DOE-ORP) Employee Concerns Program, utilizing best practices to increase employee confidence in the DOE-ORP Employee Concerns Program. The Board believes DOE-ORP is better able to address the particular issues raised by DOE-ORP employees and Tank Farms, WTP, and 222 S-Laboratory contractor employees.
- The Board advises the DOE Employee Concerns Programs at Hanford to rigorously investigate and address any and all allegations of reprisal by employees

for raising a concern, as well as any potential chilling effect that may have resulted (whether alleged or validated) within the workforce. Any finding by the Employee Concerns Program of reprisal or discrimination against an employee for raising an issue should be acted upon by the agency in a manner that provides redress to the employee and accountability to the contractor personnel responsible for the reprisal.

Sincerely,

Susan Leckband, Chair

Hanford Advisory Board

Susan Leckhand

This advice represents Board consensus for this specific topic. It should not be taken out of context to extrapolate Board agreement on other subject matters.

cc: Glenn Podonsky, Chief Health, Safety and Security Office, U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters

Dana Bryson, Deputy Designated Official, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office

Dennis Faulk, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Jane Hedges, Washington State Department of Ecology

Catherine Brennan, U.S. Department of Energy, Headquarters

The Oregon and Washington Delegations

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board