

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-580-816]

**Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review for the period August 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 27, 2007.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) has received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea (“CORE Korea”). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), and 19 CFR 351.214, we are initiating an AD new shipper review for Haewon MSC Co., Ltd. (“Haewon”).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher Hargett, or Victoria Cho, at (202) 482-4161 or (202) 482-5075, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On February 28, 2007, the Department received a timely request from Haewon, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of the AD order on CORE Korea, which has a August anniversary month.<sup>1</sup>

As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i) and (iii)(A), Haewon certified that it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (“POI”), and that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which exported subject merchandise during the POI.<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv),

the company submitted documentation establishing the date of the sale of subject merchandise to an unaffiliated customer in the United States, date of shipment, quantity, volume, and price of the shipment, and lack of subsequent shipments.<sup>3</sup>

**Initiation of Review**

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214, and based on information on the record, we are initiating an AD new shipper review for Haewon. The standard period of review in a new shipper review initiated following the semiannual anniversary month is the six months preceding the semiannual anniversary month.<sup>4</sup> We intend to issue the preliminary results of this new shipper review not later than 180 days after initiation of this review and issue final results of this review no later than 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results are issued. See 19 CFR 351.214(i).

New Shipper Review Proceeding	Period to be Reviewed
Haewon .....	08/01/2006 - 01/31/2007

On August 17, 2006, the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (H.R. 4) was signed into law. Section 1632 of H.R. 4 temporarily suspends the authority of the Department to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect a bond or other security in lieu of a cash deposit in new shipper reviews. Therefore, the posting of a bond under section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act in lieu of a cash deposit is not available in this case. Importers of subject merchandise manufactured and exported by Haewon must continue to pay a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on each entry of subject merchandise at the current all-others rate of 17.70 percent.

Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: March 21, 2007.

**Stephen J. Claeys,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E7-5580 Filed 3-26-07; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE**

**Information Collection; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (hereinafter the “Corporation”) has submitted a public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Corporation for National and Community Service, Ms. Angela Roberts, at (202) 606-6822,

(*aroberts@cns.gov*); (TTY/TDD) at (202) 606-5256 between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

**DATES:** Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following two methods listed in the address section, within 30 days from the date of publication in this **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following two methods:

- (1) By fax to: (202) 395-6974, Attention: Ms. Katherine Astrich, OMB Desk Officer for the Corporation for National and Community Service; and
- (2) Electronically by e-mail to: *Katherine.T.Astrich@omb.eop.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Orders on Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea*, 58 FR 44159 (August 19, 1993).

<sup>2</sup> See submission from Haewon MSC Co., Ltd., regarding Request for New Shipper Review, Case A-580-816, dated February 28, 2007.

<sup>3</sup> Id.

<sup>4</sup> See *Certain Pasta From Italy: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 8927 (February 27, 1997).

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

#### Comments

A 60-day public comment Notice, regarding modification of the Project Progress Report was published in the **Federal Register** on January 17, 2007. This comment period ended on March 19, 2007. A total of 10 responses were received, some of which contained multiple comments, representing a total of 31 individuals. The summary of comments received is as follows:

##### In Summary:

(a) Six comments supported the suggested changes and noted that the proposed revisions will make reporting easier and more streamlined.

(b) One comment suggested that the semi-annual "Data Demographic" section be retained, and that the proposed annual Data Supplemental Sheet (known as the Project Profile and Volunteer Activity) be eliminated. The Corporation believes that its proposal will result in more streamlined reporting and greater data accuracy, since all projects would report activities and outputs within the same time period. This is currently not the case, due to the fact that grantees submit Project Progress Reports according to their own grant cycle.

(c) Four comments were beyond the scope of this information collection. They included one observation that overall burden has increased at the local level due to the greater emphasis on outcome-based programming. The emphasis on quantifiable outputs and outcomes transcends the Corporation and applies to all federal agencies under the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and as such, the Corporation lacks discretion to implement changes resulting in lack of outcome performance. Another comment requested that deadline for report submission be increased from the current 30 days after the close of the 6-month period to 45 days after the close of the 6-month period. The due dates of reports after the close of the reporting period is determined by Corporation

policy that applies to all of the agency's programs. The standard is currently 30 days. The Senior Corps will share this request for a 45-day due date with the agency-wide Compliance Working Group for consideration.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Agency:* Corporation for National and Community Service.

*Title:* National Senior Service Corps (Senior Corps) Project Progress Report.

*OMB Number:* 3045-0033.

*Agency Number:* CNCS Form 1020.

*Affected Public:* Sponsors of Senior Corps grants.

*Total Respondents:* 1,350.

*Frequency:* Semi-annual.

*Average Time Per Respondent:* 8 hours annually based on reporting semi-annually without Data Demographics and reporting Data Supplement annually.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 10,800.

*Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):* None.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance):* \$2,000.

*Description:* The Corporation seeks to renew and revise the current OMB approved Progress Report. When revised, the Progress Report will: (a) Eliminate all quarterly submissions of the PPR; (b) change the submission frequency of narrative and work plan sections to 100 percent semi-annual and; (c) eliminate the "Data Demographic" section of the PPR; and (d) change the submission frequency of the "Data Supplemental" section from biennial to annual. The revised PPR will be used in the same manner as the existing PPR to report progress toward accomplishing work plan goals and objectives, reporting volunteer and service outputs; reporting actual outcomes related to self-nominated performance measures meeting challenges encountered, describing significant activities, and requesting technical assistance. These proposed modifications will continue to ensure that the information collection captures appropriate data for the Corporation's required performance measurement and other reporting.

The Project Progress Report (PPR) was designed to assure that Senior Corps grantees address and fulfill legislated program purposes, meet agency program management and grant requirements, and assess progress toward work plan objectives agreed upon in the granting of the award.

Dated: March 21, 2007.

**Tess Scannell,**

*Director, Senior Corps.*

[FR Doc. E7-5585 Filed 3-26-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6050--SS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary of Defense

#### Sunshine Act; Notice of Partially-Closed Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs); DoD.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix as amended), the Sunshine in the Government Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.150, the following meeting notice is announced. In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of Public Law.

**NAME OF COMMITTEE:** Defense Health Board (DHB).

**DATES:** April 10, 2007 (Closed Session). April 11, 2007 (Open Session).

**TIMES:** 8:30 a.m.-12 p.m. (April 10, 2007). 1 p.m.-4 p.m. (April 10, 2007). 9 a.m.-12 p.m. (April 11, 2007). 2 p.m.-4 p.m. (April 11, 2007).

##### LOCATION:

April 10, 2007, Executive Board Room, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, 503 Robert Grant Avenue, Building 503, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-7500.

April 11, 2007, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, 6900 Georgia Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20307.

**PURPOSE OF THE MEETING:** The purpose of the meeting is to address and deliberate pending and new Board issues and provide briefing for Board members on topics to ongoing Board business.

**AGENDA:** The Defense Health Board will receive briefings in classified session relating to biological warfare countermeasures on the April 10, 2007. On April 11, 2007 during the morning session, the Board will receive updates on the activities Defense Health Board subcommittees; The Mental Health Task Force and The Task Force on the Future of the Military Health Care. In the afternoon at 2 p.m., The Board will deliberate in open session the draft findings and recommendations of the Independent Review Group To Report On Rehabilitative Care And Administrative Processes At Walter Reed Army Medical Center And National Naval Medical Center, a Defense Health Board subcommittee. The Board will also receive a briefing on