


# Climate Impacts of Short-lived Pollutants

---





**Drew Shindell**

# Climate response to Short-lived forcers


- How do we think about climate impacts when short-lived species are involved?
- Forcing is a useful metric to characterize the multiple responses to forcing from long-lived greenhouse gases
- Climate response to short-lived species is far more sensitive to where and when pollutants are emitted

# Climate response to Short-lived forcers

Continued




# Climate response to Short-lived forcers



Shindell et al., Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A, 2007

# Ozone Forcing:


*Not localized to emission region; robust!*



- +1 TgN/yr NO<sub>x</sub> from Asia
- Ozone forcing using different CTMs (columns) & GCMs (rows)
- Berntsen et al., Tellus, 2005



# Ozone Forcing:

*Not localized to emission region; robust!*



Shindell et al, JGR, 2006

# Aerosol Forcing



- Ramanathan & Carmichael (Nature Geoscience, 2008) give  $0.9 \text{ W/m}^2$  TOA forcing, based on satellite & ground-based obs driven calculation

- Chung & Seinfeld give  $0.60 \text{ W/m}^2$  for internally mixed ( $0.33$  for externally)

Mean clear-sky forcing  $-0.68$  (range:  $-0.29$  to  $-0.94$ )


Mean cloudy-sky forcing  $-0.02$  (range:  $-0.16$  to  $0.34$ )

Mean clear-sky RF over land and ocean:  $-0.59$  and  $-1.14$


Satellite clear-sky RF over land and ocean:  $-1.10$  and  $-1.80$

# Aerosol Forcing


Continued




North America, Industrial/Power, GISS -0.09




Developing Asia, Domestic, GISS -0.15



North America, Industrial/Power, CAM -0.12




Developing Asia, Domestic, CAM -0.12






# Model results



## Forcing



## Surface temperature response




Chung & Seinfeld, JGR, 2005




Roberts & Jones, JGR, 2004

# *Forcing & Response length scales*


Emissions




Surface concentrations



Mid-troposphere concentrations




# Forcing & Response length scales



Shindell, Schulz, Takemura, Ming et al, in review


# Forcing & Response length scales




Shindell, Schulz, Takemura, Ming et al, in review

# Forcing & Response length scales

Surface temperature vs RF







Mid-tropospheric layer (~500 hPa) vs emissions




# Forcing & Response length scales

## 30S-60N Land Area






# *Response to regional forcing*



- Climate forcing from methane changes mostly clear
- Climate forcing from ozone precursor changes fairly clear
- Climate forcing from aerosol precursor changes less clear
- Effect of regional forcing on temperature getting clearer; namely, localized out to ~30 degrees in latitude (tropics/extratropics), impact can extend far beyond highly polluted areas in longitude
- Also know that GLOBAL climate response enhanced ~40-50% for NH extratropical forcing (feedbacks)

# Using Observed Patterns




- Black Line: Observed temperatures
- Red Line: CMIP3 mean and range of model responses to greenhouse gas, natural, and ozone forcing (no aerosols)



Shindell & Faluvegi,  
Nature Geoscience, 2009



# Using Observed Patterns




This and other attribution studies have used the pattern of response to 'detect' the influence of sulphate and BC/OC on 20th Century climate, typically together as patterns are degenerate




Red. Observed mean and range of model responses to greenhouse gas, natural, and ozone forcing (no aerosols)

# Effect of aerosols on precipitation




All aerosols, Annual avg



BC aerosols, Jun-Aug


# Effect of BC on monsoon precipitation



Combined Absorbing Scattering Observed  
~1950-2000

# Effect of BC on monsoon precipitation


Continued



Wang et al, GRL, 2009


# *Effect of BC on monsoon precipitation*

*Continued*



Menon et al., Science, 2002



Wang et al, GRL, 2009





# *Dealing with uncertainty*

- Although substantial uncertainties remain in current understanding, we can provide reasonable estimates of temperature change at large scales for a given forcing.
- Precipitation is harder (evaluation against observations), but also the impacts of any changes are more generally negative.
- We can estimate the climate impacts of realistic actions in the fact of uncertainty

# Historical Forcing by Emitted Species





# Forcing by sector (100-yr)






# Forcing by sector (100-yr)



Fuglestvedt et al.,  
PNAS, 2008


# Net forcing by coal plants



Forcing from current Chinese & Indian coal plants


# Net forcing by coal plants

Continued




# Net forcing by coal plants

Continued




Forcing ( $\text{W/m}^2$ ) at 2046 for high growth, late pollution controls

# Net forcing by coal plants



Continued




**Impacts of US/Eur coal consistent with historical trends:**  
decreases in NH mid-latitude T vs SH 1930-1970, increase 1975-2005





Forcing ( $\text{W}/\text{m}^2$ ) at 2046 for high growth, late pollution controls

# Regional response




# Regional response

Continued



# *Transport sector*



## *Regional differences*


- Due to relatively 'clean' emissions, projected changes cause positive forcing in W. Europe (near-neutral in US)
- With 'dirty' emissions, changes in China, India, and FSU lead to cooling. Tighter standards have little effect in US/EU, weaken cooling elsewhere except N Africa/ME




# Transport sector *Continued*



Baseline 2030 vs 2000



Effect of tighter standards



97.44 -18 -14 -10 -6 -2 2 6 10 14 18 207.47

## *Sub-sectors*

- Diesel has weaker warming mitigation potential in China & India than gas, but greater potential in other regions (BC vs SO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>3</sub>-precursors)

# Summary



- Incomplete combustion yields short- and long-lived climate forcers - response cannot be characterized solely by a global mean value at a particular time
- *Response to inhomogeneous forcing extends very far zonally, ~30 degrees meridionally*
- Extratropical zones are sensitive to location of forcing, responding 3-10x more strongly to local than remote forcing (global response also enhanced ~40-50%); enhancement for BC near snow/ice


# Summary

## Continued




- *Knowledge of regional response to inhomogeneous forcing and to homogeneous forcing (e.g. via ENSO, NAM, monsoon, etc) both necessary to improve regional projections and validate regional impacts (precipitation, glaciers, etc.) - detection/attribution not yet successful for regional temperature/precip/glaciers/etc.*
- Knowledge about forcing/response relationships plus regional/sector impacts on regional forcing can hopefully lead to better AQ/climate policies, but need for additional detail is great

# Surface temperature: BC on snow



$dT=0.2$  C


Koch et al, J Clim, 2009




$dT=0.15-0.10$

Flanner et al, JGR, 2007

# Observed trends in Asian glaciers



# Observed trends in Asian glaciers *Cont.*



**Retreating** vs Advancing glaciers  
(UNEP/World Glacier Monitoring Service)

Earlier melt & 33–38% increase in glacier melt runoff past few decades (Singh & Kumar, J. Hydrol, 1997), extremely similar to stream-flow changes in Western US (Barnett et al, Nature, 2005)