

# **Peace and Security**

## **Indicators and Definitions**

# Peace & Security

## Indicator List

<b>Program Support/Program Design and Learning Indicators</b> .....	10
ELEMENT: <b>PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b> .....	10
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF SPECIAL STUDIES</b> .....	10
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF BASELINE OR FEASIBILITY STUDIES</b> .....	11
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS</b> .....	12
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF INFORMATION GATHERING OR RESEARCH       ACTIVITIES</b> .....	13
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF MONITORING PLANS</b> .....	14
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN MONITORING AND       EVALUATION</b> .....	14
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF SECTOR ASSESSMENTS</b> .....	15
<b>Program Area: Counter-Terrorism</b> .....	16
ELEMENT: <b>PS 1.1 – DENY TERRORIST SPONSORSHIP, SUPPORT AND SANCTUARY</b> .....	16
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED ON COUNTERTERRORISM       FINANCE BY USG PROGRAMS</b> .....	16
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PORTS OF ENTRY SUPPORTED BY USG       TERRORIST INTERDICTION PROGRAMS</b> .....	16
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG FUNDED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       PROJECTS COMPLETED WITHIN THE TARGET       REGION/UNGOVERNED SPACES</b> .....	17
ELEMENT: <b>PS 1.2 – DE-LEGITIMIZE TERRORIST IDEOLOGY</b> .....	17
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS COMPLETED       BY USG PROGRAMS</b> .....	17
ELEMENT: <b>PS 1.3 – GOVERNMENT’S CAPABILITIES</b> .....	18
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF FACILITIES EVALUATED BY USG PROGRAMS IN       ORDER TO COUNTER BIOTERRORISM AND/OR STRENGTHEN       BIODEFENSE</b> .....	18
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN ANTI-TERRORISM BY USG       PROGRAMS</b> .....	18
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED TO COUNTER BIOTERRORISM       AND/OR STRENGTHEN BIODEFENSE</b> .....	19
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED ASSESSMENTS ON TERRORISM</b> .....	19
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED NATIONS REPORTING       BIOSURVEILLANCE DATA TO INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL       ORGANIZATIONS (BUREAU REPORTED)</b> .....	20
<b>Program Area: Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction</b> .....	21
ELEMENT: <b>PS 2.1 – COUNTER WMD PROLIFERATION AND COMBAT WMD     TERRORISM</b> .....	21

INDICATOR TITLE: COUNTRY HAS DEVELOPED AND INSTITUTED VALID EXPORT CONTROL SYSTEMS MEETING INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AS A RESULT OF USG ACTIVITIES .....	21
<b>Program Area: Stabilization Operations and Security Sector Reform</b> .....	22
ELEMENT: <b>PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b> .....	22
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF CIVILIANS PROTECTED BY USG SPONSORED ARMED SECURITY PERSONNEL .....	22
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE .....	22
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EXERCISES HOST COUNTRY MILITARY PERSONNEL PARTICIPATE IN W/US OR COALITION FORCES AS A RESULT OF USG ASSISTANCE .....	23
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF HOST COUNTRY MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS COMPLETED WITH USG ASSISTANCE .....	24
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF HOST COUNTRY MILITARY PERSONNEL TRAINED IN PEACEKEEPING, HUMANITARIAN, AND/OR MULTINATIONAL OPERATIONS .....	25
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NEWLY ESTABLISHED, FULLTIME, TRAINED PEACEKEEPING STAFF .....	26
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEACEKEEPING/REGIONAL SECURITY ORGANIZATIONS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE .....	26
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF US PERSONNEL DEPLOYED AS ADVISORS TO HOST NATION SECURITY FORCES FOR THE PURPOSE OF RESTORING ORDER .....	27
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-SPONSORED ARMED SECURITY PERSONNEL DEPLOYED TO PROTECT CIVILIANS .....	27
INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENT OF US RECOMMENDED MILITARY TRAINING NEED FOR HOST COUNTRY MET DURING YEAR .....	28
ELEMENT: <b>PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b> .....	28
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COMMUNITIES ASSISTED BY USG FUNDED REINTEGRATION PROGRAMS .....	28
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS WHO COMPLETE USG-ASSISTED TRANSFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS .....	29
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS ENROLLED IN USG-ASSISTED PROGRAMS THAT TRANSFORM THEM FROM MILITARY TO CIVILIAN STATUS .....	30
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS WHO ARE ENCAMPED AND REGISTERED AS PART OF A DEMOBILIZATION PROCESS WITH USG ASSISTANCE .....	31
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS WHO ARE ENCAMPED AS PART OF A DEMOBILIZATION PROCESS WITH USG ASSISTANCE .....	32
INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NON-COMBATANTS ASSISTED BY USG FUNDED REINTEGRATION PROGRAMS .....	33

INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF WEAPONS COLLECTED FROM EX-COMBATANTS AND ARMED BELLIGERENTS WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	33
ELEMENT:	<b>PS 3.3 – DESTRUCTION AND SECURITY OF CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS</b>	34
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF FACILITIES PROVIDED SECURITY UPGRADES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	34
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF MANPADS DESTROYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	34
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF SMALL ARMS AND LIGHT WEAPONS DESTROYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	35
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>TONNAGE OF CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DESTROYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	35
ELEMENT:	<b>PS 3.4 – EXPLOSIVE REMNANTS OF WAR</b> .....	36
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>KILOMETERS OF ROADS AND RAILROADS CLEARED OF EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	36
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF CIVILIANS PROVIDED MINE RISK EDUCATION</b> .....	36
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF ERW CLEARANCE TEAMS TRAINED AND EQUIPPED</b> .....	37
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF HOST NATION MINE ACTION OFFICIALS TRAINED</b>	37
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF HOST NATION MINE RISK EDUCATION TEAMS TRAINED</b> .....	38
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>SQUARE KILOMETERS OF DE-MINED LAND RETURNED TO PRODUCTIVE USE WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	39
ELEMENT:	<b>PS 3.5 – IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS IN CONFLICT</b> .....	40
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF MONITORS DEPLOYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	40
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF NGOs SUPPORTED BY THE USG TO MONITOR AND DOCUMENT CRIMES</b> .....	40
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE PARTICIPATING IN USG-ASSISTED VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROGRAMS</b> .....	41
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF QUICK IMPACT PROJECTS SUPPORTED BY THE USG UNDERTAKEN TO ENHANCE ACCOUNTABILITY</b> .....	42
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED ORGANIZATIONS CREATED WITH COMMUNITY INPUT TO IMPROVE SAFETY WITHIN A COMMUNITY</b> .....	42
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED PROGRAMS CREATED WITH COMMUNITY INPUT TO IMPROVE SAFETY WITHIN A COMMUNITY</b> .....	43
ELEMENT:	<b>PS 3.6 – DEFENSE, MILITARY, AND BORDER SECURITY RESTRUCTURING, REFORM AND OPERATIONS</b> .....	43
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF BORDER SECURITY OFFICERS TRAINED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	43
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF BORDER SECURITY UPGRADES OR SYSTEMS INSTALLED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	44
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF HOST COUNTRY MILITARY PERSONNEL TRAINED TO MAINTAIN TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY</b> .....	45
INDICATOR TITLE:	<b>NUMBER OF JOINT OPERATIONS CONDUCTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	46

INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF US TRAINED PERSONNEL AT NATIONAL LEADERSHIP LEVELS</b> .....	46
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>PERCENT OF US RECOMMENDED MILITARY TRAINING NEED MET DURING THE YEAR</b> .....	47
ELEMENT: <b>PS 3.7 – LAW ENFORCEMENT REFORM, RESTRUCTURING AND OPERATIONS</b> .....	47
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF COMMUNITIES IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS USING COMMUNITY POLICING METHODS</b> .....	47
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT FACILITIES BUILT, REFURBISHED, EQUIPPED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	48
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TRAINED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	48
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PROGRAMS CONDUCTED TO ENHANCE POLICE MANAGEMENT WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	49
<i>Program Area: Counter-Narcotics</i> .....	50
ELEMENT: <b>PS 4.1 – ERADICATION</b> .....	50
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>HECTARES OF DRUG CROPS ERADICATED IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	50
ELEMENT: <b>PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b> .....	50
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>HECTARES OF ALTERNATIVE CROPS TARGETED BY USG PROGRAMS UNDER CULTIVATION</b> .....	50
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>HECTARES OF ILLICIT CROPS ERADICATED MANUALLY OR VOLUNTARILY IN USG-TARGETED AREAS</b> .....	51
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>INCREASED SALES OF LICIT FARM AND NON-FARM PRODUCTS IN USG ASSISTED AREAS OVER PREVIOUS YEAR</b> .....	51
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF FAMILIES BENEFITED BY ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT OR ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	52
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) JOBS CREATED BY USG SPONSORED ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT OR ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES</b> .....	52
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF MUNICIPALITIES STRENGTHENED BY USG PROGRAMS</b> .....	53
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>PERCENT INCREASE IN SALES OF LICIT FARM AND NON-FARM PRODUCTS IN USG ASSISTED AREAS OVER PREVIOUS YEAR</b> .....	54
ELEMENT: <b>PS 4.3 – INTERDICTION</b> .....	54
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>KILOS OF ILLICIT NARCOTICS SEIZED BY HOST GOVERNMENT IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	54
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>KILOS OF PRECURSOR CHEMICALS SEIZED BY HOST GOVERNMENT IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	55
ELEMENT: <b>PS 4.4 – DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION</b> .....	55
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF DRUG DEMAND RESEARCH STUDIES COMPLETED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	55

INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF DRUG PREVENTION PROGRAMS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	56
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF NEW TREATMENT BEDS CREATED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	56
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE REACHED WITH DRUG PREVENTION MESSAGES IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	57
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED AS DRUG TREATMENT COUNSELORS WITH USG-ASSISTANCE</b> .....	57
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF TREATMENT BEDS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	58
<b>Program Area: Transnational Crime</b> .....	59
ELEMENT: <b>PS 5.1 – FINANCIAL CRIMES AND MONEY LAUNDERING</b> .....	59
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>FINANCIAL INTELLIGENCE UNITS SUPPORTED IN COUNTRY WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	59
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON MONEY LAUNDERING OR FINANCIAL CRIMES</b> .....	59
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF SPECIFIC IMPROVEMENTS IN REGULATORY STRUCTURE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	60
ELEMENT: <b>PS 5.2 – INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY THEFT AND CYBER SECURITY</b> ....	60
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY THEFT AND/OR CYBER SECURITY</b> 60	
ELEMENT: <b>PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b> .....	61
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF BILATERAL AGREEMENTS SIGNED OR PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED WITH DESTINATION COUNTRIES ADDRESSING TIP AND/OR MIGRANT SMUGGLING WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> 61	
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON SMUGGLING RELATED ISSUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	61
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON TIP RELATED ISSUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	62
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PUBLIC AWARENESS CAMPAIGNS ABOUT SMUGGLING COMPLETED</b> .....	62
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PUBLIC AWARENESS CAMPAIGNS ABOUT TIP COMPLETED</b> .....	63
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF SHELTERS/SAFE HOUSES ESTABLISHED FOR SMUGGLING VICTIMS THAT ARE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	63
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF SHELTERS/SAFE HOUSES ESTABLISHED FOR TIP VICTIMS THAT ARE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	64
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF SMUGGLING VICTIMS ASSISTED BY USG PROGRAMS</b> .....	64
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF SMUGGLING VICTIMS RESCUED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	65
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF TIP VICTIMS ASSISTED BY USG PROGRAMS</b> .....	65
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF TIP VICTIMS RESCUED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> 65	
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF TRAFFICKERS, SMUGGLERS AND ACCOMPLICES ARRESTED IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	66

ELEMENT: <b>PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b> .....	66
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE ENROLLED IN USG-ASSISTED GANG PREVENTION PROGRAMS</b> .....	66
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE INVOLVED IN GANGS AND ORGANIZED CRIMES WHOSE EXTRADITION WAS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	67
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT/JUSTICE SYSTEM PERSONNEL TRAINED IN ANTI-GANG TECHNIQUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	67
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF ARRESTS RESULTING IN CONVICTION FOR GANG-RELATED CRIME IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	68
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF ARRESTS FOR GANG-RELATED CRIME IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b> .....	68
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE ENROLLED IN GANG-PREVENTION PROGRAMS WHO REMAIN UNINVOLVED IN GANGS</b> .....	69
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF INFORMATION-BASED USG SUPPORTED ACTIVITIES TO IMPROVE COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND SHARING OF GANG-RELATED AND ORGANIZED CRIME DATA</b> .....	69
<b>Program Area: Conflict Mitigation and Reconciliation</b> .....	70
ELEMENT: <b>PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b> .....	70
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN CONFLICT MITIGATION/RESOLUTION SKILLS WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> ...	70
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG PROGRAMS SUPPORTING A CONFLICT AND/OR FRAGILITY EARLY WARNING SYSTEM AND/OR RESPONSE MECHANISM</b> .....	70
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG-SUPPORTED ACTIVITIES THAT DEMONSTRATE THE POSTIVE IMPACT OF A PEACE PROCESS THROUGH THE DEMONSTRATION OF TANGIBLE, PRACTICAL BENEFITS</b> .....	71
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE FROM ‘AT-RISK’ GROUPS REACHED THROUGH USG-SUPPORTED CONFLICT MITIGATION ACTIVITIES</b> .....	72
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL CONSTITUENCIES BUILT OR STRENGTHENED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....	73
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS TO SUPPORT PEACEFUL RESOLUTION OF CONFLICTS</b> .....	73
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE REACHED THROUGH USG-ASSISTED PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS TO SUPPORT PEACEFUL RESOLUTION OF CONFLICTS</b> .....	74
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED FACILITATED EVENTS GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING UNDERSTANDING AND MITIGATING CONFLICT BETWEEN GROUPS</b> .....	74
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE ATTENDING USG-ASSISTED FACILITATED EVENTS THAT ARE GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING</b>	

	UNDERSTANDING AND MITIGATING CONFLICT BETWEEN GROUPS .....	75
ELEMENT: <b>PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b> .....		75
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED RECONCILIATION ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED AND COMPLETED WITH THE PARTICIPATED OF TWO OR MORE CONFLICTING PARTIES</b> .....		75
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE PARTICIPATING IN USG-ASSISTED RECONCILIATION ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED AND COMPLETED WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF TWO OR MORE CONFLICTING PARTIES</b> .....		76
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF COMMUNITY-BASED RECONCILIATION PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....		76
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE REACHED THROUGH COMPLETED USG SUPPORTED COMMUNITY-BASED RECONCILIATION PROJECTS</b> .....		77
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEACE-BUILDING STRUCTURES ESTABLISHED OR STRENGTHENED WITH USG ASSISTANCE THAT ENGAGE CONFLICT-AFFECTED CITIZENS IN PEACE AND/OR RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b> .....		77
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF USG-SUPPORTED FACILITATED EVENTS GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING UNDERSTANDING AMONG CONFLICT-AFFECTED GROUPS</b> .....		78
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF MEDIA STORIES DISSEMINATED WITH USG SUPPORT TO FACILITATE THE ADVANCEMENT OF RECONCILIATION OR PEACE PROCESSES</b> .....		78
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE ATTENDING FACILITATED EVENTS THAT ARE GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING UNDERSTANDING AMONG CONFLICT-AFFECTED GROUPS THAT WERE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....		79
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF GRASS-ROOTS OR CIVIL-SOCIETY ACTIVITIES SUPPORTING HIGH-LEVEL, OFFICIAL PEACE OR RECONCILIATION PROCESS NEGOTIATIONS SUPPORTED BY USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....		80
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PUBLIC FORA/EDUCATIONAL EVENTS TO TEACH PUBLIC ABOUT PEACE PROCESS SUPPORTED BY USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....		80
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF PEOPLE ATTENDING PUBLIC FORA/EDUCATIONAL EVENTS SUPPORTED BY USG ASSISTANCE TO TEACH PUBLIC ABOUT PEACE PROCESS</b> .....		81
ELEMENT: <b>PS 6.3 – PREVENTIVE DIPLOMACY</b> .....		81
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED TO SUPPORT NEGOTIATORS/ FACILITATORS IN TRACK 1 DIALOGUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....		81
INDICATOR TITLE: <b>NUMBER OF NEGOTIATORS/FACILITATORS IN TRACK 1 DIALOGUES SUPPORTED BY PREVENTIVE DIPLOMACY ACTIVITY WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b> .....		82



INDICATOR TITLE: **NUMBER OF MONITORING MECHANISMS ESTABLISHED WITH  
USG ASSISTANCE** ..... 82

### **Program Support/Program Design and Learning Indicators**

Standard Program Design and Learning indicators are associated with each Program Area. These 7 indicators are found in the FACTS system at the end of the indicator list for each Program Area.

The Program Support indicators are standard across all five Objectives. In order to avoid extensive duplicate entries, data reference sheets for these 7 standard indicators are listed here.

<b>ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SPECIAL STUDIES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Special Studies are undertaken to gather information relevant for a particular program or project to improve our knowledge and understanding about the study subject. Different from an assessment or an evaluation, they examine unique circumstances as opposed to an entire activity, project or program.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator captures support provided by operating units for development projects and programs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of special studies	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Both qualitative and quantitative methods of data collection and analysis are used for special studies.	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BASELINE OR FEASIBILITY STUDIES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i>  <p>A “baseline study” is a study conducted to examine and record the context/situation to be addressed by the project or program. Such studies are generally carried before program activities begin or simultaneous to program start-up in order to establish a starting place from which to measure movement resulting from USG-assisted activities.</p> <p>A “feasibility study”: is carried to examine the context in which an anticipated project or program would be implemented as well as the viability and practicality of its implementation.</p>	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> <p>Baseline and feasibility studies reflect the preparation and forethought that go into USG programming.</p>	
<i>UNIT:</i> <p>Number of Studies</p>	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> <p>None</p>
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> <p>Output</p>	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> <p>Higher = Better</p>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> <p>While baseline studies generally collect quantitative data, both qualitative and quantitative data are used for feasibility studies.</p>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EVALUATIONS</b>	
<p><i>DEFINITION:</i> Evaluation involves a systematic collection of information on the performance and impacts of on-going or completed USG-funded projects, programs, or sub-sets of activities. Its purpose is to inform decisions about how to improve the performance to increase the prospect of achieving results and/or to inform decisions about future programming. Evaluation is a formal analytical endeavor and should not be confused with routine site visits or informal discussions about a project or program's performance. Evaluations go beyond collecting information on the extent to which planned outputs, outcomes and impacts have been achieved and focus on the collection of information that can help answer the following types of questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Why have planned results not been achieved?</li> <li>• What are the underlying factors and forces that appear to have impeded and/or supported the achievement of results?</li> <li>• Which programs and/or activities are the most effective or efficient in achieving results?</li> <li>• What types of actions should be taken to improve the performance in achieving results, including whether or not to continue funding some or all of the development activities evaluated and why.</li> <li>• What are the lessons that can be learned?</li> </ul>	
<p><i>RATIONALE:</i>  This indicator captures the efforts made by an operating unit to improve the performance of on-going programs and to draw lessons for future.</p>	
<p><i>UNIT:</i>  Number of Evaluations</p>	<p><i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>  None</p>
<p><i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i>  Outputs</p>	<p><i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i>  Higher = Better</p>
<p><i>DATA SOURCE:</i>  A wide variety of data collections methods can be used. These include statistical data from secondary sources, sample surveys, structured and unstructured interviews, site visits and focus group discussions.</p>	
<p><i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i></p>	

<b>ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF INFORMATION GATHERING OR RESEARCH ACTIVITIES</b>	
<p><i>DEFINITION:</i>          Information gathering or research activities” refer to efforts to gather and analyze information in a systematic fashion on a specific topic. Research falls under three categories -applied, basic and development research.</p> <p>Applied Research – Applied research is defined as systematic study to gain knowledge or understanding necessary to determine the means by which a recognized and specific need may be met.</p> <p>Basic Research – Basic research is defined as the systematic study directed toward fuller knowledge or understanding of a phenomenon or process and of observable facts without specific applications toward processes or products in mind.</p> <p>Development Research – Development Research is defined as the systematic application of knowledge or understanding, directed toward the production of useful materials, devices, and systems or methods including design, development and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet specific requirements.</p>	
<p><i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator indicates the nature of support for program development undertaken by an operating unit</p>	
<p><i>UNIT:</i>          Number of research activities</p>	<p><i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>          None</p>
<p><i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i>          output</p>	<p><i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i>          Higher = Better</p>
<p><i>DATA SOURCE:</i>          Project and program documents</p>	
<p><i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i></p>	

<b>ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MONITORING PLANS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Monitoring plans refer to the plans designed to monitor the performance of a project or program. They track the performance or situation against what was planned or expected according to pre-determined standards.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator is designed to capture the Program Support provided by an operating unit.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of Monitoring Plans	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Report	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Mission/post/bureau records and documents	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN MONITORING AND EVALUATION</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator refers to the number of participants in a classroom or remote training course on any topic related to measuring performance and impacts of a project or program, including indicators, qualitative and quantitative data collection methods, data analysis and nature and purpose of evaluation.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator gives an indication of the efforts made by operating unit to improve its performance	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people trained	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Gender
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Project and program reports	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PROGRAM DESIGN AND LEARNING ELEMENT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SECTOR ASSESSMENTS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Sector assessments are undertaken to provide comprehensive analyses of needs and opportunities in a particular sector so that informed strategic and programmatic decisions can be made. A sector is broadly defined to include gender, environment, agriculture, industry, food security, health, education, and democracy.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Sectors assessments are usually undertaken to identify problems, opportunities and promising areas for interventions.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of sector assessments	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Both primary and secondary sources of data and information are used for sector assessments.	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

**Program Area:  
 Counter-Terrorism**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.1 – DENY TERRORIST SPONSORSHIP, SUPPORT AND SANCTUARY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED ON COUNTERTERRORISM FINANCE BY USG PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator provides the statistics on the numbers of students trained in five core CT finance areas (legal, financial/regulatory, financial intelligence, financial investigative, prosecutorial/judicial)	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These data of students trained are measures of the range, depth and frequency of cooperation with a partner country	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> INL/C	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS-1.1 – DENY TERRORIST SPONSORSHIP, SUPPORT AND SANCTUARY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PORTS OF ENTRY SUPPORTED BY USG TERRORIST INTERDICTION PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This is a measure of the number of ports of entry the Terrorist Interdiction Program (TIP) has helped partner countries to equip to conduct terrorist watch-listing in cooperation with the US	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The efficacy of TIP's computer-based terrorist screening system (PISCES) depends on the number of ports of entry where the system is installed and operational	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of ports of entry	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> TIP/PISCES Program Management Office	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	



<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.1 – DENY TERRORIST SPONSORSHIP, SUPPORT AND SANCTUARY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG FUNDED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS COMPLETED WITHIN THE TARGET REGION/UNGVERNED SPACES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Ungoverned spaces are specific geographic areas that currently are, or have the potential to become, safe havens for terrorist activity. This indicator would measure the local government’s ability to execute/implement community-initiated development projects in what are considered to be ungoverned spaces.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Activities designed to support local authorities with the objective to improve their performance have the effect of creating a government presence in ungoverned spaces.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of projects	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.2 – DE-LEGITIMIZE TERRORIST IDEOLOGY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS COMPLETED BY USG PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Public information campaigns include radio, public service announcements, print media, internet postings, etc. that provide information de-legitimizing terrorist ideology	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A successful public information campaign creates or raises awareness and communicates a clear message about the illegitimacy of terrorist tactics and organizations	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of campaigns	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementers/post	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.3 – GOVERNMENT’S CAPABILITIES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF FACILITIES EVALUATED BY USG PROGRAMS IN ORDER TO COUNTER BIOTERRORISM AND/OR STRENGTHEN BIODEFENSE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator provides the number of facilities evaluated by USG bioterrorism programs that are used to develop and strengthen bioterrorism and bio defense	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A count of the number of facilities that have been evaluated in order to show the broad reach of foreign assistance programs to counter bioterrorism and/or strengthen biodefense	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of facilities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> DOS Facilitators	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.3 – GOVERNMENT’S CAPABILITIES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN ANTI-TERRORISM BY USG PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator provides statistical information on the numbers of students trained, disaggregated by personal data, course(s) taken, length of training, etc.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These data of students trained are measures of the range, depth and frequency of cooperation with a partner country	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> DS/ATA	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.3 – GOVERNMENT’S CAPABILITIES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED TO COUNTER BIOTERRORISM AND/OR STRENGTHEN BIODEFENSE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator provides statistical information on the numbers of students trained, disaggregated by personal data, course(s) taken, length of training, etc.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> By accounting for the number of personnel trained and disaggregating by gender and nationality, this indicator will show the broad reach and enthusiastic reception of DOS training programs to counter bioterrorism and strengthen biodefense.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, type of training
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> DOS Facilitators	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.3 – GOVERNMENT’S CAPABILITIES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED ASSESSMENTS ON TERRORISM</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator provides the number of USG-assisted assessments done that are used to determine the weaknesses and capabilities of each country to address terrorism issues within the host country	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These assessments are the first key part of a program that then can use this information to develop training to address the weaknesses	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of assessments	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> DS/ATA	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 1.3 – GOVERNMENT’S CAPABILITIES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED NATIONS REPORTING BIOSURVEILLANCE DATA TO INTERNATIONAL AND REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS (BUREAU REPORTED)</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> A number of the nations reporting biosurveillance data to international and regional organizations that are receiving USG assistance in this element	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A count of the number of nations reporting biosurveillance data to international and regional organizations will show the broad reach of foreign assistance programs that encourage participation in sharing biosurveillance data to counter bioterrorism and/or strengthen biodefense	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of nations	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> International and regional organizations	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> This indicator is designed for Bureau reporting and should not be targeted by Missions.	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**COMBATING WEAPONS OF  
MASS DESTRUCTION**

**Program Area:  
Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 2.1 – COUNTER WMD PROLIFERATION AND COMBAT WMD TERRORISM</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: COUNTRY HAS DEVELOPED AND INSTITUTED VALID EXPORT CONTROL SYSTEMS MEETING INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AS A RESULT OF USG ACTIVITIES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This is a yes/no indicator regarding whether the country has developed and/or instituted export control systems meet internationally accepted standards this year with USG assistance.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> It measures “counter proliferation” because through licensing, enforcement, government outreach to industry (to inform them of their legal obligations) and international commitment, countries attain the capacity to deter, detect, and interdict illicit trade in WMD materials and technology	
<i>UNIT:</i> Yes/No	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
 SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

**Program Area:  
 Stabilization Operations and Security Sector Reform**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF CIVILIANS PROTECTED BY USG SPONSORED ARMED SECURITY PERSONNEL</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of civilians who are being provided direct armed physical security by security personnel sponsored by USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> In addition to the number of security personnel, this indicator captures the number of people affected by this activity	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of civilians	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age (if possible)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Note: Indicator appropriate for reporting, but targeting may not be required/appropriate	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of correctional facilities where USG either provides personnel, systems support or other direct assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> In post-stabilization and post-conflict environments, assistance to correctional facilities is required to improve the security environment by helping to ensure that dangerous individuals are properly detained	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of correctional facilities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementers and operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
 SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EXERCISES HOST COUNTRY MILITARY PERSONNEL PARTICIPATE IN W/US OR COALITION FORCES AS A RESULT OF USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of times a year a country’s military or security forces engage in a military exercise with U.S. or coalition forces	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> One of our objectives is to enhance foreign security forces to deploy to an operation. This indicator will partially tell the story of a country’s capability, but will not take into account any national or strategic level variables that may influence a country’s decision to deploy, regardless of capability levels. Another outside variable is the number of deployment opportunities during a year.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of exercises	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Size of deployment (individuals, units by size and type, staffs).
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> The Security Assistance Office or Defense Attaché Office	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Countries ability to contribute differs from the quality of the contribution, but desire more capable forces to participate in valuable exercises.	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF HOST COUNTRY MILITARY DEPLOYMENTS COMPLETED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of times a country’s military or security forces deploy to a peacekeeping operation (UN or other), humanitarian crisis, or other coalition operation during a year assisted by the USG.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> One of the objectives of our security assistance is to enhance the capability of foreign military forces to deploy to an operation. This indicator will partially tell the story of a country’s capability, but will not take into account any national or strategic level variables that may influence a country’s decision whether to deploy, regardless of capability levels.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of deployments	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Size of deployment (individuals, units by type and size, staff elements).
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> The Security Assistance Office or Defense Attaché Office	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Focused spending resulting in productive deployments may result in less deployments but better results. Also, another outside variable is that the number of deployment opportunities during a year may fluctuate.	



<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF HOST COUNTRY MILITARY PERSONNEL TRAINED IN PEACEKEEPING, HUMANITARIAN, AND/OR MULTINATIONAL OPERATIONS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of host country military personnel receiving training related to peacekeeping operations at CONUS-based military training facilities and through mobile training teams (MTTs) in the host nation, funded with foreign assistance. Training can be of any length of time.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator measures whether a country is increasing the number of military personnel trained in the United States. In most cases, an increased number of personnel trained shows an enhanced military-to-military relationship, broadened knowledge of western military doctrine and professional skills, or improved interoperability and technical skills, but it is not a direct link. In addition, the data already collected does not distinguish whether individuals took multiple training courses in one year, which may skew the results.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of military personnel	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, type of training
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Foreign Military Training Report; based on data from security assistance office	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Usually good, but higher does not automatically assume better quality of training, that trained individuals end up in positions of influence or higher level of responsibilities, or that they use training	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
 SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NEWLY ESTABLISHED, FULLTIME, TRAINED PEACEKEEPING STAFF</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures all staff specifically trained for peacekeeping operations in existing organizations (e.g. ECOWAS, AU etc.)	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator simply shows whether a peacekeeping organization is increasing the number of staff trained to engage in peacekeeping operations	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementers and/or operating units	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> The data already collected does not distinguish whether individuals took multiple training courses in one year, which may skew the results	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEACEKEEPING/REGIONAL SECURITY ORGANIZATIONS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of peacekeeping/regional security organizations that receive direct USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Supporting existing peacekeeping/regional security organizations reduces the amount of direct U.S. military participation needed for peacekeeping operations	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of organizations	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF US PERSONNEL DEPLOYED AS ADVISORS TO HOST NATION SECURITY FORCES FOR THE PURPOSE OF RESTORING ORDER</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Counts the number of U.S. and foreign personnel deployed to host nation military, paramilitary, gendarme, police, special police units and other forces. Host nation security forces can include: military, paramilitary, gendarme, police, special police units and other forces	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the number of USG and foreign personnel deployed using USG foreign assistance. Tying allocated funds to the measure allows cost comparisons across countries.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of advisors	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Unit of advisor, nationality of advisor
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Collected by implementing partners and reported to program managers. This is the case in INL, but may not be the case for other stability police programs or police advisor programs	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Note: Indicator appropriate for reporting, but targeting not required/appropriate	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-SPONSORED ARMED SECURITY PERSONNEL DEPLOYED TO PROTECT CIVILIANS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of security personnel deployed to provide armed physical security to civilians	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Ensures capturing information regarding how many security personnel are being deployed with USG assistance	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of personnel	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.1 – OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENT OF US RECOMMENDED MILITARY TRAINING NEED FOR HOST COUNTRY MET DURING YEAR</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Each 2-year plan provides an assessment of what the military training needs are, and seeks to capture how compliant the training programs are in achieving the military needs.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator addresses some of the limitations of capturing the number of military personnel trained by ensuring that the training is relevant and important toward achieving the actual military needs.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Percentage	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> N/A
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> outcome	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Foreign Military Training Report; COCOM 2-year plan , data from security assistance office	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> However, quality rather than quantity is critical to determining if needs are being met.	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COMMUNITIES ASSISTED BY USG FUNDED REINTEGRATION PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of communities assisted by programs that work toward reintegrating ex-combatants and assisting the communities where they are returning and/or were impacted by conflict	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Successful reintegration programs must include the community and ensure that ex-combatants are not rewarded while the community gains nothing	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of communities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operational units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Community should be defined (and the definition should be recorded) by each operating unit and used consistently each year.	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
 SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS WHO COMPLETE USG-ASSISTED TRANSFORMATIONAL PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of ex-combatants, armed belligerents, who complete programs that transform them from military to civilian status through programs that support peace and security	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Illustrative reintegration programs might include skills training, education, counseling and other assistance	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, Child Soldier
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementer and/or operating unit	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> A child soldier is any person under 18 years of age who is part of any kind of regular or irregular armed force or armed group in any capacity, including but not limited to cooks, porters, messengers and anyone accompanying such groups, other than family members. The definition includes girls recruited for sexual purposes and for forced marriage. It does not, therefore, only refer to a child who is carrying or has carried arms.	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
 SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS ENROLLED IN USG-ASSISTED PROGRAMS THAT TRANSFORM THEM FROM MILITARY TO CIVILIAN STATUS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of ex-combatants, armed belligerents, who are involved with programs that transform them from military to civilian status through programs that support peace and security. Illustrative reintegration programs might include skills training, education, counseling and other assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This only captures the number of individuals enrolled, which is an important first step in a transformational program. Illustrative reintegration programs might include skills training, education, counseling and other assistance.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of ex-combatants	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, Child Soldier
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> A child soldier is any person under 18 years of age who is part of any kind of regular or irregular armed force or armed group in any capacity, including but not limited to cooks, porters, messengers and anyone accompanying such groups, other than family members. The definition includes girls recruited for sexual purposes and for forced marriage. It does not, therefore, only refer to a child who is carrying or has carried arms.	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS WHO ARE ENCAMPED AND REGISTERED AS PART OF A DEMOBILIZATION PROCESS WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of ex-combatants and armed belligerents who are encamped and registered as part of a demobilization process	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator measures the number of ex-combatants participating in USG funded programs (either directly or through contributions to the UN or the World Bank). It does not measure the success or sustainability of this intervention	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of ex-combatants	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, Child Soldier
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners (through the UN or the World Bank or directly in cases where the USG funds the activity) will provide reports	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> A child soldier is any person under 18 years of age who is part of any kind of regular or irregular armed force or armed group in any capacity, including but not limited to cooks, porters, messengers and anyone accompanying such groups, other than family members. The definition includes girls recruited for sexual purposes and for forced marriage. It does not, therefore, only refer to a child who is carrying or has carried arms.	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
 SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF EX-COMBATANTS, ARMED BELLIGERENTS WHO ARE ENCAMPED AS PART OF A DEMOBILIZATION PROCESS WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of ex-combatants and armed belligerents who are encamped as part of a demobilization process	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator measures the number of ex-combatants participating in USG funded programs (either directly or through contributions to the UN or the World Bank). It does not measure the success or sustainability of this intervention	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of ex-combatants	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, Child Soldier
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners (through the UN or the World Bank or directly in cases where the USG funds the activity) will provide reports	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> A child soldier is any person under 18 years of age who is part of any kind of regular or irregular armed force or armed group in any capacity, including but not limited to cooks, porters, messengers and anyone accompanying such groups, other than family members. The definition includes girls recruited for sexual purposes and for forced marriage. It does not, therefore, only refer to a child who is carrying or has carried arms.	



<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NON-COMBATANTS ASSISTED BY USG FUNDED REINTEGRATION PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of non-combatants who are assisted by programs that work toward reintegrating ex-combatants and assisting the communities where they are returning and/or were impacted by conflict	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Successful reintegration programs must include people in the community and ensure that ex-combatants are not rewarded while the community gains nothing	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of non-combatants	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operational units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> custom indicators can be used regarding other outputs of specific types of re-integration programs	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.2 – DISARMAMENT, DEMOBILIZATION AND REINTEGRATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF WEAPONS COLLECTED FROM EX-COMBATANTS AND ARMED BELLIGERENTS WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of weapons collected by USG funded disarmament programs	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator measures the number weapons collected and/or destroyed. It does not measure quality, sustainability or impact of the program. Nor does it measure which weapons are collected and/or destroyed	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of weapons	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Type of weapons collected
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners (through the UN or the World Bank or directly in cases where the USG funds the activity) will provide reports	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.3 – DESTRUCTION AND SECURITY OF CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF FACILITIES PROVIDED SECURITY UPGRADES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of facilities where USG assistance is provided for security upgrades of weapons storage facilities.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Securing of facilities that contain stockpiles of conventional weapons is key to reducing the threat of them being trafficked—or recirculated—to criminals, terrorists, or insurgent groups.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of facilities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.3 – DESTRUCTION AND SECURITY OF CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MANPADS DESTROYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of man-portable air defense systems (MANPADS) that are destroyed. Destruction means an irreversible process by which the weapons (or their parts) cannot be used as weapons again.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Prevents access to MANPADS by decreasing the amount of systems that are potentially available for the black market or terrorist use. This sub-element is also supported by programs that are either funded from the State Operations Budget (e.g. engagement in multilateral exports) or programs that support wider objectives (e.g. border security initiatives). Export controls, border controls, stockpile security, and destruction are complementary efforts—all necessary to achieving the overall element goal.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of MANPADS	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Missile type
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Organization that conducts the destruction (e.g. contractor or NGO)	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.3 – DESTRUCTION AND SECURITY OF CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SMALL ARMS AND LIGHT WEAPONS DESTROYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of small arms and light weapons that are destroyed. Destruction means an irreversible process by which the weapons (or their parts) cannot be used as weapons again.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Destruction of excess, obsolete, loosely secured or otherwise at-risk weapons removes the threat of them being trafficked—or recirculated—to criminals, terrorists, or insurgent groups.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of small arms and light weapons	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Type of weapon (including modern vs. old, functional vs. non-functional weapons)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Organization that conducts the destruction (e.g. contractor or NGO)	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.3 – DESTRUCTION AND SECURITY OF CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: TONNAGE OF CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DESTROYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The tons of conventional munitions destroyed or demilitarized.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Destruction of excess, obsolete, loosely secured or otherwise at-risk weapons removes the threat of them ending up in the hands of criminals, terrorists, or insurgent groups. In addition old munitions are often volatile, creating a hazard for people in the surrounding area, particularly if moved.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Tons of munitions	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Organization that conducts the destruction (e.g. contractor or NGO)	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.4 – EXPLOSIVE REMNANTS OF WAR</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: KILOMETERS OF ROADS AND RAILROADS CLEARED OF EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Kilometers of roads and railroads cleared of explosive ordnance with USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Clearing roads and railroads is of primary importance for explosive remnants of war programs as this allows safe transportation	
<i>UNIT:</i> Kilometers	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Roads/railroads
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.4 – EXPLOSIVE REMNANTS OF WAR</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF CIVILIANS PROVIDED MINE RISK EDUCATION</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of civilians who receive mine risk education (MRE) whether by seminar or public presentation.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> In areas contaminated by ERW, civilians are at risk until the items are removed by competent authority. MRE provides a temporary solution to help reduce casualties until such a time that the contamination is removed or mitigated.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of civilians trained	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher is Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partner conducting MRE	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.4 – EXPLOSIVE REMNANTS OF WAR</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF ERW CLEARANCE TEAMS TRAINED AND EQUIPPED</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of teams trained and equipped to international standards to handle, survey, mark, clear, and destroy various types of explosive remnants of war	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A key aspect to capacity development in certain country plans is the development of teams to handle the functions of ERW clearance; survey, marking, and destruction	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of teams	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Type of team
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units and/or implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.4 – EXPLOSIVE REMNANTS OF WAR</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF HOST NATION MINE ACTION OFFICIALS TRAINED</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of officials in mine action positions from ministries, national mine action bodies, provincial mine action authorities, and implementing organizations that received management or position-specific training	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Many officials in ERW-affected countries are not properly prepared for their duties. Quality international-standard training can help improve the quality of mine action throughout the country	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of officials trained	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners, UN	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.4 – EXPLOSIVE REMNANTS OF WAR</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF HOST NATION MINE RISK EDUCATION TEAMS TRAINED</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of Mine Risk Education (MRE) teams trained and deployed to conduct MRE to affected populations	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> MRE teams must be trained in accordance with international standards in order to be effective. Competent MRE teams can provide immediate reduction in ERW casualties after training	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of teams	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> These include Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD), mine clearance, mine dog detection, landmine impact survey, quality assurance, and others. Teams should be specific for each individual country program. By “teams” we are referring to accredited, mission-specific teams that meet certification to international standards.	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.4 – EXPLOSIVE REMNANTS OF WAR</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: SQUARE KILOMETERS OF DE-MINED LAND RETURNED TO PRODUCTIVE USE WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Square kilometers of land cleared of mines with USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These programs prioritize those lands that were of productive use over areas that are not used	
<i>UNIT:</i> Square kilometers	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.5 – IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS IN CONFLICT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MONITORS DEPLOYED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This captures the number of fact-finders/investigators/monitors deployed to monitor and document crimes against humanity/war crimes, grave human rights violations, and related abuses	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Monitors help ensure that information is not lost and can be provided to the criminal justice sector and can also create a preventive atmosphere	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.5 – IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS IN CONFLICT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NGOs SUPPORTED BY THE USG TO MONITOR AND DOCUMENT CRIMES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This captures each individual NGO that is supported to provide stop-gap measures to prevent or mitigate ongoing grave human rights violations	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These NGOs may pave the way for the establishment of transitional justice mechanisms and other rule of law activities	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of NGOs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	



OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.5 – IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS IN CONFLICT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE PARTICIPATING IN USG-ASSISTED VIOLENCE PREVENTION PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of people participating in a program on violence prevention	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Participating in violence prevention programs can impact the decline in acts of violence and crime in a community	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementer and/or operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.5 – IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS IN CONFLICT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF QUICK IMPACT PROJECTS SUPPORTED BY THE USG UNDERTAKEN TO ENHANCE ACCOUNTABILITY</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This captures each individual project that is used as a stop-gap measure to prevent or mitigate ongoing grave human rights violations	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These projects may pave the way for the establishment of transitional justice mechanisms and other rule of law activities	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of projects	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units and/or implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Projects should be defined by the operating unit and be used consistently, but illustrative examples include: witness protection, safeguarding of evidence, bolstering complaint mechanisms, and emergency legal aid.	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.5 – IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS IN CONFLICT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED ORGANIZATIONS CREATED WITH COMMUNITY INPUT TO IMPROVE SAFETY WITHIN A COMMUNITY</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This captures organizations assisted by the USG	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The anticipated outcome is for communities to be safer, evidenced by a decrease in crime and fewer incidents/reports of violence against all populations. Community input is essential in order to ensure that the program does not contribute to violence	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of organizations	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners, military groups and civil society will provide reports.	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.5 – IMMEDIATE PROTECTION OF CIVILIANS IN CONFLICT</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED PROGRAMS CREATED WITH COMMUNITY INPUT TO IMPROVE SAFETY WITHIN A COMMUNITY</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This captures both programs assisted by the USG	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The anticipated outcome is for communities to be safer, evidenced by a decrease in crime and fewer incidents/reports of violence against all populations. Community input is essential in order to ensure that the program does not contribute to violence	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of programs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners, military groups and civil society will provide reports.	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.6 – DEFENSE, MILITARY, AND BORDER SECURITY RESTRUCTURING, REFORM AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BORDER SECURITY OFFICERS TRAINED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Counts the number of border security officers trained through USG-funded programs.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator simply shows whether a country is increasing the number of border security personnel trained. In most cases, an increased number of personnel trained will tell the story of enhanced relationships, broadened knowledge of western doctrine and professional skills, or improved interoperability and technical skills, but it is not a direct link.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of border security officers	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age, rank
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners (DOJ, DHS, contractor, post or centrally managed border security program would collect data	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> The data already collected does not distinguish whether individuals took multiple training courses in one year, which may skew the results.	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.6 – DEFENSE, MILITARY, AND BORDER SECURITY RESTRUCTURING, REFORM AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BORDER SECURITY UPGRADES OR SYSTEMS INSTALLED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Measure the number of Border Outposts, Vehicle and Cargo Inspection Systems (VACIS) or Non-Intrusive Inspection Units, etc. that have completed installation within a country.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures whether the investment of Foreign Assistance funds into lengthy border enhancement or construction projects is accomplishing its stated goals.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of upgrades or systems	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Type of systems and area affected
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Post or implementing partner would collect data.	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
 SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.6 – DEFENSE, MILITARY, AND BORDER SECURITY RESTRUCTURING, REFORM AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF HOST COUNTRY MILITARY PERSONNEL TRAINED TO MAINTAIN TERRITORIAL INTEGRITY</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of foreign military personnel trained at CONUS-based military training facilities or through mobile training teams (MTTs), funded with foreign assistance. Training can be of any length of time.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator simply shows whether a country is increasing the number of military personnel trained in the United States or by U.S. trainers; in most cases, an increased number of personnel trained will tell the story of enhanced military-to-military relationship, broadened knowledge of western military doctrine and professional skills, or improved interoperability and technical skills, but it is not a direct link.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of military personnel	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, type of training
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Foreign Military Training Report; based on data from security assistance office.	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Higher numbers does not automatically assume better quality of training, that trained individuals end up in positions of influence or higher level of responsibilities, or that they use training In addition, the data already collected does not distinguish whether individuals took multiple training courses in one year, which may skew the results.	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.6 – DEFENSE, MILITARY, AND BORDER SECURITY RESTRUCTURING, REFORM AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF JOINT OPERATIONS CONDUCTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Counts the number of joint and/or combined operations conducted by security forces (including border and military) with other security forces and agencies.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A key part of success in our programs is to have the host country security forces gain the capacity to engage in joint and combined operations	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of operations	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Size of units/operation (e.g., battalion-sized elements, platoon-sized elements).
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> USAID posts	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Joint includes multiple military services and combined includes multinational, multi-agency operations, which should be noted (where possible)	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.6 – DEFENSE, MILITARY, AND BORDER SECURITY RESTRUCTURING, REFORM AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF US TRAINED PERSONNEL AT NATIONAL LEADERSHIP LEVELS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator measures the number of foreign military personnel who have reached national leadership positions who were trained by the U.S.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> One of the objectives of our military training program is to enhance our bilateral military-to-military relationships. This relationship may be enhanced through the one-to-one relationships and experiences forged during military training in the U.S. (usually IMET). Through the accession of graduates to senior-level leadership positions in a government, the USG may find increasing number of individuals with experience and knowledge of U.S. military doctrine and technical skills. This indicator is a qualitative measure, and will often take years (sometimes decades) to measure.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of military personnel	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, position held (level e.g., Chief of Staff, Department Head, field-grade command)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Positions of Prominence” data in Foreign Military Training Report	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> “national leadership position” as defined in the training report	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.6 – DEFENSE, MILITARY, AND BORDER SECURITY RESTRUCTURING, REFORM AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENT OF US RECOMMENDED MILITARY TRAINING NEED MET DURING THE YEAR</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Each training program initially provides an assessment of what the military training needs are and this will capture how far along the training programs are in achieving the military needs	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator addresses some of the limitations of the indicator that captures the number of military personnel trained by ensuring that the training is relevant and provides an incentive, rather than disincentive, to engage in high-cost training, like pilots that will not result in large numbers, but are important toward achieving the real military needs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Percent of need	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Foreign Military Training Report; based on data from security assistance office.	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.7 – LAW ENFORCEMENT REFORM, RESTRUCTURING AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COMMUNITIES IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS USING COMMUNITY POLICING METHODS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Counts the number of communities using community policing methods as funded by USG.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Community policing methods improve oversight, impact citizen involvement in preventing crime and have a greater impact on reducing crime	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of communities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.7 – LAW ENFORCEMENT REFORM, RESTRUCTURING AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT FACILITIES BUILT, REFURBISHED, EQUIPPED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Counts the number of host nation law enforcement facilities, police stations, jails, community policing offices built, refurbished or equipped by U.S. funded foreign assistance programs.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the output of foreign assistance funds dedicated to equipping law enforcement facilities	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of facilities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Type of facility
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Post and host country reports annually for International Narcotics Control and Strategy Report (INCSR)	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.7 – LAW ENFORCEMENT REFORM, RESTRUCTURING AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TRAINED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Counts the number of host nation law enforcement (gendarme, police, special police units, etc) trained through U.S. funded foreign assistance programs.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the output of foreign assistance funds dedicated to training law enforcement officers.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of law enforcement officers	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, type of unit, rank
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Post and host country reports annually for International Narcotics Control and Strategy Report (INCSR)	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	



OBJECTIVE:  
**PEACE AND SECURITY**

PROGRAM AREA:  
**STABILIZATION OPERATIONS AND  
SECURITY SECTOR REFORM**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 3.7 – LAW ENFORCEMENT REFORM, RESTRUCTURING AND OPERATIONS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PROGRAMS CONDUCTED TO ENHANCE POLICE MANAGEMENT WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator simply captures the number of programs that are conducted to improve the management capacities of host country law enforcement	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This measure begins to help capture the capacity building programs the USG is supporting	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of programs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units and/or implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

**Program Area:  
 Counter-Narcotics**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.1 – ERADICATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: HECTARES OF DRUG CROPS ERADICATED IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Counts the number of hectares eradicated via aerial, forced manual, and voluntary ground eradication in USG-assisted areas	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The eradication indicator measures the effectiveness of U.S. foreign assistance funding in attacking the source of the narcotics problem.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Hectares	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Voluntary eradication/forced eradication, Type of drug (coca/opium), method of eradication
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Collected by post, INL, and implementing partners and host nation governments	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: HECTARES OF ALTERNATIVE CROPS TARGETED BY USG PROGRAMS UNDER CULTIVATION</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of hectares devoted to licit agricultural and/or forest products that have been developed or expanded in areas receiving USG assistance (includes forest management and forestry plantation)	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Represents how successful U.S. foreign assistance has been in persuading farm families to break their dependence on illicit crops as a major source of family income and begin to produce licit crops.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Hectares	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Type of crop
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Contractor/grantee quarterly reports and interviews with implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: HECTARES OF ILLICIT CROPS ERADICATED MANUALLY OR VOLUNTARILY IN USG-TARGETED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Hectares of illicit crops that individuals participating in an alternative development and/or alternative livelihoods program eradicate	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Eradication of illicit crops is an important indication that farm families are willing to abandon production of drug crops and adopt a licit lifestyle. It also indicates that the program has established good rapport with the community and that the community has confidence in the AD or AL program.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Ones of hectares	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Type of crop (coca/opium poppies)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Contractor/grantee quarterly reports, field observations of areas eradicated, interviews with farmers who have eradicated drug crops.	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Use local custom to determine if this counts as a job, but document this and use consistently	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: INCREASED SALES OF LICIT FARM AND NON-FARM PRODUCTS IN USG ASSISTED AREAS OVER PREVIOUS YEAR</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Sales (or gross market value of licit production) supported by USG alternative development or alternative livelihood programs	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> When sales from licit activities are increasing over time, there is a strong probability that incomes and employment are also going up and that people in drug production areas are devoting their time, energy and resources to licit activities instead of illicit activities.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Dollars	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> N/A
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Contractor/grantee quarterly reports and interviews with project implementers	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Data initially collected in local currency should be converted into dollars using average exchange rate in effect over the fiscal year	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF FAMILIES BENEFITED BY ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT OR ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of families benefited by Alternative Development or Alternative Livelihood activities in USG-assisted areas	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator gives one valuable insights into the scope of the Alternative Development or the Alternative Livelihood program and whether the program is likely to have a significant impact on the target area. It is easy to understand and is usually of interest to policy makers, appropriators and host government agencies	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of families	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Contractor/grantee quarterly reports and interviews with implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE) JOBS CREATED BY USG SPONSORED ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT OR ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOOD ACTIVITIES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of full-time equivalent jobs (FTEs) created by USG sponsored alternative development or alternative livelihood activities.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> When people acquire FTE licit jobs they will not have time to engage in illicit activities and will not need funds from illicit activities to care for their families	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of jobs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, urban/rural
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Contractor/grantee quarterly reports and interviews with project implementers	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Definition of what constitutes full-time work varies from country to country, job to job and region to region. People who report on this indicator are asked to use local definitions for full-time employment in the areas where they work and to be consistent over time in the way they count and report FTE jobs created. Use local custom to determine if this counts as a job, but document this and use consistently	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MUNICIPALITIES STRENGTHENED BY USG PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The indicator is measured by the number of municipalities in targeted regions with social or productive infrastructure projects that have been completed by alternative development or alternative livelihood programs using a community participation methodology.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The completion of these projects is fundamental to building local government capability (and credibility) to plan and implement activities according to the will of their constituents. Completed local infrastructure projects increase community cohesion, confidence, and willingness to support and participate in national or local development initiatives. Strengthening of these local governments is a key indicator of the success of local governance activities.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of municipalities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> urban/rural, type of infrastructure project completed: potable water system, school, electrification, sewer system, road, bridge, market, community center, etc. (number of projects)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Contractor/grantee quarterly reports, field trips, conversations with people in communities that receive alternative development or alternative livelihood assistance.	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i>	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.2 – ALTERNATIVE DEVELOPMENT AND ALTERNATIVE LIVELIHOODS</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: PERCENT INCREASE IN SALES OF LICIT FARM AND NON-FARM PRODUCTS IN USG ASSISTED AREAS OVER PREVIOUS YEAR</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Percent increase in sales of licit farm and non-farm products in USG-assisted areas over the total sales from the previous year	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator complements the numeric increase measured in the previous indicator and shows whether sales are increasing or decreasing relative to sales in the previous year. Increasing sales indicate that production and employment from licit activities are becoming more important and imply that illicit activities are becoming less important in the areas receiving alternative development assistance.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Percent	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> N/A
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME/COUNTRY</i> output	<i>ORGANIZATIONAL LEVEL: BUREAU/MISSION</i>
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Contractor/grantee quarterly reports, interviews with producers and buyers, Host Government transportation, commercial, or export files at weigh stations or shipping points.	
<i>INTERPRETATION:</i> Higher = better	
<i>METHOD FOR AGGREGATING ACROSS COUNTRIES:</i> Convert to dollars, sum dollars and calculate percentage increases or decreases as compared to the sales figure for all countries that report on this indicator at the end of the fourth quarter in the prior year.	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.3 – INTERDICTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: KILOS OF ILLICIT NARCOTICS SEIZED BY HOST GOVERNMENT IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of kilos of illicit narcotics seized by the host government in areas where the USG provides interdiction assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the effectiveness of USG foreign assistance in providing host government with operational support, equipment and training in the interdiction of illicit narcotics	
<i>UNIT:</i> Kilos of narcotics	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Host nation governments. Published annually in the Internationally Narcotics Control Strategy Report (INCSR)	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.3 – INTERDICTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: KILOS OF PRECURSOR CHEMICALS SEIZED BY HOST GOVERNMENT IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of kilos of precursor chemicals that are used for illicit narcotics seized by the host government in areas where the USG provides interdiction assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the effectiveness of USG foreign assistance in providing host government with operational support, equipment and training in the interdiction of precursor chemicals	
<i>UNIT:</i> Kilos of chemicals	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Host nation governments. Published annually in the Internationally Narcotics Control Strategy Report (INCSR)	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.4 – DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF DRUG DEMAND RESEARCH STUDIES COMPLETED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator simply captures the number of studies completed in the year with USG assistance on illicit drugs	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These research studies can be used to help the host country design programs to address the factors that impact the supply and demand of illicit drugs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of studies	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.4 – DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF DRUG PREVENTION PROGRAMS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of programs conveying information that is primarily about preventing people from using illicit drugs or abusing licit drugs	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The programs are key to decreasing the demand for illicit drugs or the misuse of licit drugs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of programs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.4 – DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NEW TREATMENT BEDS CREATED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This captures the number of new beds in an existing treatment center. It also captures the number of beds in a newly built treatment center	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the effectiveness of USG foreign assistance in providing host government with support to equip and operate drug treatment centers	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of beds	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units. Host nation governments	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Where USG funds provide partial support for creation of new treatment beds, the total number of beds reported should be prorated by the portion of that support provided by USG funds. (For instance 100 beds funded 33% by USG would be reported as 33 beds)	



<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.4 – DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE REACHED WITH DRUG PREVENTION MESSAGES IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This will capture the number of people who were provided access to a drug prevention message, but cannot ensure that the message was understood nor accessed	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Information campaigns are key to decreasing the demand for illicit drugs or the misuse of licit drugs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> This may require estimates based upon readership levels, number of materials passed out, audience numbers	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.4 – DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED AS DRUG TREATMENT COUNSELORS WITH USG-ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of people trained	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the effectiveness of USG foreign assistance in providing host country with support to deal with individuals addicted to illicit drugs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units. Host nation governments	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 4.4 – DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF TREATMENT BEDS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This captures the number of existing beds that to use USG assistance to continue their use/availability	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measures the effectiveness of USG foreign assistance in providing host government with support to equip and operate drug treatment centers	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of beds	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, Host nation governments	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Where USG funds provide partial support for treatment beds, the total number of beds reported should be prorated by the portion of that support provided by USG funds. (For instance 100 beds funded 33% by USG would be reported as 33 beds)	

**Program Area:  
 Transnational Crime**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.1 – FINANCIAL CRIMES AND MONEY LAUNDERING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: FINANCIAL INTELLIGENCE UNITS SUPPORTED IN COUNTRY WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of FIUs supported (new or continuing) in the host country.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> FIUs receive, analyze and disseminate suspicious transaction reports	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of FIUs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.1 – FINANCIAL CRIMES AND MONEY LAUNDERING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON MONEY LAUNDERING OR FINANCIAL CRIMES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of host country officials trained in money laundering and/or financial crimes (specialized or advanced training)	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Used to track how many individuals were trained using foreign assistance funds	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, type of training
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Posts, INL, S/CT centrally managed programs. Possibly Dept. of Treasury	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.1 – FINANCIAL CRIMES AND MONEY LAUNDERING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SPECIFIC IMPROVEMENTS IN REGULATORY STRUCTURE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures specific improvements supported, including laws, policies, enforcement, etc. that strengthen the regulatory structure in the host country with direct USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measure captures improvements to the regulatory structure that will impede financial crimes and money laundering	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of improvements	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partner and/or operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Improvements supported with USG assistance captured direct technical assistance, but does not include changes made indirectly, through pressure or advice	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.2 – INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY THEFT AND CYBER SECURITY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY THEFT AND/OR CYBER SECURITY</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The measure tracks the number of individuals in a host country that receive specialized or advanced training on intellectual property theft and/or cyber security	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Used to track how many individuals were trained using foreign assistance funds	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, type of training
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Posts, INL, centrally managed programs. Possibly other agencies	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF BILATERAL AGREEMENTS SIGNED OR PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED WITH DESTINATION COUNTRIES ADDRESSING TIP AND/OR MIGRANT SMUGGLING WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of agreements reached or programs implemented with destination countries where the USG has a program supporting the agreement and/or program	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Enforcement is the next key area, but reaching agreement and/or working with a destination country to implement a program is a necessary first step	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of agreements and programs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating unit and G/TIP and PRM	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON SMUGGLING RELATED ISSUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The measure tracks the number of people in a host country that receive specialized or advanced training on smuggling and other related issues	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The measure tracks the number of individuals in a host country that were trained using foreign assistance funds	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units and PRM	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOST COUNTRY TRAINED ON TIP RELATED ISSUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The measure tracks the number of people in a host country that receive specialized or advanced training on trafficking-in-persons and other related issues	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> The measure tracks the number of individuals in a host country that were trained using foreign assistance funds	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Posts and G/TIP	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PUBLIC AWARENESS CAMPAIGNS ABOUT SMUGGLING COMPLETED</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of public information campaigns, including radio, public service announcements, print media, internet postings, etc., that provide information about TIP or migrant smuggling.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A successful public information campaign creates or raises awareness and communicates a clear message about the issues	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of campaigns	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> If necessary, proxy indicators may be employed to provide the most accurate estimates possible of the number of people reached (e.g., number of print publications sold or delivered, number of “hits” achieved on a specific Web site)	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PUBLIC AWARENESS CAMPAIGNS ABOUT TIP COMPLETED</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of public information campaigns, including radio, public service announcements, print media, internet postings, etc., that provide information about TIP.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A successful public information campaign creates or raises awareness and communicates a clear message about the issues	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of campaigns	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> If necessary, proxy indicators may be employed to provide the most accurate estimates possible of the number of people reached (e.g., number of print publications sold or delivered, number of “hits” achieved on a specific Web site)	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SHELTERS/SAFE HOUSES ESTABLISHED FOR SMUGGLING VICTIMS THAT ARE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of shelters and safe houses that receive USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Shelters and safe houses are key to protecting and housing victims of smuggling	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of shelters/safe houses	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SHELTERS/SAFE HOUSES ESTABLISHED FOR TIP VICTIMS THAT ARE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of shelters and safe houses that receive USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Shelters and safe houses are key to protecting and housing victims of trafficking in persons	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of shelters/safe houses	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SMUGGLING VICTIMS ASSISTED BY USG PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of victims of smuggling who were assisted	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measure captures the direct number of people rescued from being smuggled	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of victims	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Note: Indicator appropriate for reporting, but targeting not required/appropriate	



<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF SMUGGLING VICTIMS RESCUED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of victims of smuggling who were rescued	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measure captures the direct number of people rescued from being smuggled	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of victims	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Note: Indicator appropriate for reporting, but targeting not required/appropriate	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF TIP VICTIMS ASSISTED BY USG PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of victims of TIP who were assisted	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measure captures the direct number of people rescued from TIP	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of victims	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Note: Indicator appropriate for reporting, but targeting not required/appropriate	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF TIP VICTIMS RESCUED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of victims of trafficking in persons who were rescued from being trafficked	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measure captures the direct number of people rescued from being trafficked	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of victims	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Note: Indicator appropriate for reporting, but targeting not required/appropriate	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.3 – TRAFFICKING-IN-PERSONS AND MIGRANT SMUGGLING</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF TRAFFICKERS, SMUGGLERS AND ACCOMPLICES ARRESTED IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator only captures those arrested in areas where the USG is providing assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Assistance should often result in increased arrests	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Trafficker vs. accomplice
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating Units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Note: Indicator appropriate for reporting, but targeting not required/appropriate	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE ENROLLED IN USG-ASSISTED GANG PREVENTION PROGRAMS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of people enrolled in the program	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These programs can prevent individuals from joining or help individuals to leave gangs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE INVOLVED IN GANGS AND ORGANIZED CRIMES WHOSE EXTRADITION WAS SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of people who were extradited with the support of USG assistance	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Assisting with extradition where adequate trials cannot be assured will prevent members of gangs and organized crime from pressuring a weak justice system and escaping justice	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT/JUSTICE SYSTEM PERSONNEL TRAINED IN ANTI-GANG TECHNIQUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of people trained	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A key part of addressing gang issues is providing training to law enforcement and other justice system personnel (judges, prosecutors, etc.)	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of personnel	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partner and/or operating unit	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF ARRESTS RESULTING IN CONVICTION FOR GANG-RELATED CRIME IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures only those arrests that have resulted in a conviction	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Assistance addressing gangs ultimately should result in increased arrests and a greater percentage of convictions when someone is arrested for gang-related crimes	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of convictions	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF ARRESTS FOR GANG-RELATED CRIME IN USG-ASSISTED AREAS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures arrests that have occurred in areas where USG assistance is focused on gang-related crime	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Assistance addressing gangs ultimately should result in an increased number arrests	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of arrests	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE ENROLLED IN GANG-PREVENTION PROGRAMS WHO REMAIN UNINVOLVED IN GANGS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of people enrolled in the program who either remain uninvolved or leave gangs	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Measure goes beyond enrollment to capture the number of youth that are enrolled that are not involved in gangs	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 5.4 – ORGANIZED AND GANG-RELATED CRIME</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF INFORMATION-BASED USG SUPPORTED ACTIVITIES TO IMPROVE COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND SHARING OF GANG-RELATED AND ORGANIZED CRIME DATA</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This indicator captures the number of activities focused on improving the collection, analysis and sharing of data within the host country and between countries	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This measure captures the importance of coordination and information-sharing as part of the task to address gangs and organized crime	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of activities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners and/or operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

**Program Area:  
 Conflict Mitigation and Reconciliation**

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE TRAINED IN CONFLICT MITIGATION/RESOLUTION SKILLS WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of men and women who participated in USG-supported conflict resolution/mitigation skills training programs	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Such training increases a population’s abilities to resolve/mitigate conflict on its own. Improving capacities of key stakeholders to negotiate successfully is key to empowering those individuals to manage conflict both within their group and between groups in conflict, and to be able to effectively implement and manage the peace process once an agreement is signed	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG PROGRAMS SUPPORTING A CONFLICT AND/OR FRAGILITY EARLY WARNING SYSTEM AND/OR RESPONSE MECHANISM</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Early warning mechanisms are established systems for long-term monitoring of potential or ongoing conflict situations that recognize the structural sources of conflict as well as short-term windows of opportunity for promoting peace.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Supporting early warning systems can help countries/regions more effectively understand structural sources of conflict and enable timelier, pre-emptive action that can reduce the likelihood of conflict. Supporting the development of early response systems could enable policymakers and implementers to act during such “windows of opportunity,” thus increasing the potential effectiveness of conflict/fragility interventions.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of programs	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-SUPPORTED ACTIVITIES THAT DEMONSTRATE THE POSITIVE IMPACT OF A PEACE PROCESS THROUGH THE DEMONSTRATION OF TANGIBLE, PRACTICAL BENEFITS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Results-based activities, including quick-impact initiatives that can demonstrate the positive impact of a peace process, operation or other event	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> This indicator shows how the foreign assistance is used to mitigate violent conflict by demonstrating to communities – in particular to potential spoilers – that there are positive tangible benefits to peace	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of activities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE FROM ‘AT-RISK’ GROUPS REACHED THROUGH USG-SUPPORTED CONFLICT MITIGATION ACTIVITIES</b>	
<p><i>DEFINITION:</i>          Number of people from ‘at-risk’ groups who have participated in USAID-sponsored civic and/or social activities specifically conducted to benefit members of such groups</p> <p>Activities can include but are not limited to, conferences, dialogues, training courses, workshops, and/or seminars; as well as post-conflict support services, such as counseling, targeted health services, vocational or other jobs-based training opportunities, etc.). This could also include quick-impact activities, including cash-for-work, food-for-work and other works programs; income generation and micro-enterprise initiatives; provision of productive input provisions (seeds, tools, livestock); training, equipping and education.</p>	
<p><i>RATIONALE:</i>          At-risk groups are those especially susceptible to being manipulated or motivated to participate in conflict (e.g., youth, especially young men, groups who view themselves as economically or politically disenfranchised), or those who are especially vulnerable to the impact of violent conflict (e.g., women, young children, ethnic or religious minorities)</p>	
<p><i>UNIT:</i>          Number of people</p>	<p><i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>          Sex, age, ethnic/religious background, risk category</p>
<p><i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i>          Output</p>	<p><i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i>          Higher = Better</p>
<p><i>DATA SOURCE:</i>          Implementing partners</p>	
<p><i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i></p>	



<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL CONSTITUENCIES BUILT OR STRENGTHENED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of Non-governmental constituencies working to mitigate conflict and/or support peace. NGO constituencies encompass a wide range of non-state groups, including, but not limited to non-governmental organizations, private-voluntary organizations, community based organizations, media, the business community, academia, and religious organizations.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Because a central goal of U.S. foreign assistance is to build the capacity of local organizations to carry out peace promotion activities over the long term, this indicator suggests whether such activities can be sustained over a period of time	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of constituencies	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS TO SUPPORT PEACEFUL RESOLUTION OF CONFLICTS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Public information campaigns include radio, public service announcements, print media, internet postings, etc. that provide information about how to peacefully resolve conflicts	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A successful public information campaign creates or raises awareness and communicates a clear message about the benefits to peaceful resolution of conflicts	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of campaigns	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE REACHED THROUGH USG-ASSISTED PUBLIC INFORMATION CAMPAIGNS TO SUPPORT PEACEFUL RESOLUTION OF CONFLICTS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of people reached through public information campaigns, including radio, public service announcements, print media, internet postings, etc., that provide information about how to peacefully resolve conflicts.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> A successful public information campaign creates or raises awareness and communicates a clear message about the benefits to peaceful resolution of conflicts	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age (if possible)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> If actual numbers of people reached is difficult for Mission to determine, proxy indicators could also be used (e.g., tracking the number of print publications sold or delivered, recording the number of “hits” on a Web site)	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED FACILITATED EVENTS GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING UNDERSTANDING AND MITIGATING CONFLICT BETWEEN GROUPS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Facilitated events generally include workshops, seminars, town meetings, and peace dialogues, and can be geared toward any number of societal groups (e.g., affected ethnic/religious minorities or communities, local, regional or national government officials, journalists, professionals, students reintegrating former combatants, etc.).	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These events can serve to strengthen a reconciliation process, but can also be part of a pre-emptive, prevention process to build tolerance for or understanding of traditional rivals or adversaries before conflict has broken out. Such activities may involve one or more conflicting parties.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of events	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.1 – CONFLICT MITIGATION</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE ATTENDING USG-ASSISTED FACILITATED EVENTS THAT ARE GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING UNDERSTANDING AND MITIGATING CONFLICT BETWEEN GROUPS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Facilitated events generally include workshops, seminars, town meetings, and peace dialogues, and can be geared toward any number of societal groups (e.g., affected ethnic/religious minorities or communities, local, regional or national government officials, journalists, professionals, students reintegrating former combatants, etc.).	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These events can serve to strengthen a reconciliation process, but can also be part of a pre-emptive, prevention process to build tolerance for or understanding of traditional rivals or adversaries before conflict has broken out. Such activities may involve one or more conflicting parties.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-ASSISTED RECONCILIATION ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED AND COMPLETED WITH THE PARTICIPATED OF TWO OR MORE CONFLICTING PARTIES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of reconciliation activities conducted and completed with the participation of two or more conflicting parties.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Represents how successful U.S. foreign assistance has been in bringing together opposing parties in conflict situations	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of activities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> There may be cases where USG foreign assistance can only work with one party in a conflict or where there is justification to work only with one side. In those cases, this indicator should be used and an explanation is required.	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE PARTICIPATING IN USG-ASSISTED RECONCILIATION ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED AND COMPLETED WITH THE PARTICIPATION OF TWO OR MORE CONFLICTING PARTIES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of people participating in those reconciliation activities conducted and completed with the participation of two or more conflicting parties.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Represents how successful U.S. foreign assistance has been in bringing together opposing parties in conflict situations	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> There may be cases where USG foreign assistance can only work with one party in a conflict or where there is justification to work only with one side. In those cases, this indicator should be used and an explanation is required.	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF COMMUNITY-BASED RECONCILIATION PROJECTS COMPLETED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This is the number of reconciliation projects that were initiated within the community and completed the task determined by the community	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Indicates how successful U.S. foreign assistance has been in engaging local communities and government institutions in the process of reconciliation, thus improving the chances of project sustainability and broader buy-in by other key parties	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of projects	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE REACHED THROUGH COMPLETED USG SUPPORTED COMMUNITY-BASED RECONCILIATION PROJECTS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This is the number of people who were impacted/reached by reconciliation projects that were initiated within the community and completed the task determined by the community	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Indicates how successful U.S. foreign assistance has been in engaging local communities and government institutions in the process of reconciliation, thus improving the chances of project sustainability and broader buy-in by other key parties.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Age, sex (If possible)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEACE-BUILDING STRUCTURES ESTABLISHED OR STRENGTHENED WITH USG ASSISTANCE THAT ENGAGE CONFLICT-AFFECTED CITIZENS IN PEACE AND/OR RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Peace-building structures are mechanisms that either substitute for more formal bodies in the absence of formal peace negotiations, or support the work of such bodies in the midst of peace processes at the grassroots or civil-society levels, in order to meet the needs of people affected by conflict.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Peace-building structures can engage local communities in the peace process, serving as both a catalyst and link between the national process and people. Additionally, they provide a constructive, inclusive forum for informal multi-stakeholder dialogues that stimulate the exchange of ideas between a nation’s political stakeholder groups, including its civil society groups and key community actors.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of structures	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Outcome	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF USG-SUPPORTED FACILITATED EVENTS GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING UNDERSTANDING AMONG CONFLICT-AFFECTED GROUPS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Facilitated events generally include workshops, seminars, town meetings, and peace dialogues, and can be geared toward any number of societal groups (e.g., affected ethnic/religious minorities or communities, local, regional or national government officials, journalists, professionals, students reintegrating former combatants, etc.).	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These events can serve to strengthen a reconciliation process, but can also be part of a pre-emptive, prevention process to build tolerance for or understanding of traditional rivals or adversaries before conflict has broken out. Such activities may involve one or more conflicting parties.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of events	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MEDIA STORIES DISSEMINATED WITH USG SUPPORT TO FACILITATE THE ADVANCEMENT OF RECONCILIATION OR PEACE PROCESSES</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of articles, reports, stories, broadcast shows and other public media that facilitate the advancement of reconciliation or peace processes through USAID support to the media. Media stories include internet postings, public appearances, news articles, radio broadcasts.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Such Agency initiatives may work to build balance, transparency, and accountability in the media, but also include support for more short-term, innovative media programs that inform and prepare people to accept the outcome of credible negotiations; such programs may also educate the public about the process and issues involved in the negotiations; and facilitate important attitude and behavioral changes towards a more just and peaceable society.	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of media stories	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE ATTENDING FACILITATED EVENTS THAT ARE GEARED TOWARD STRENGTHENING UNDERSTANDING AMONG CONFLICT-AFFECTED GROUPS THAT WERE SUPPORTED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<p><i>DEFINITION:</i>  Facilitated events generally include workshops, seminars, town meetings, and peace dialogues, and can be geared toward any number of societal groups (e.g., affected ethnic/religious minorities or communities, local, regional or national government officials, journalists, professionals, students, reintegrating former combatants, etc.). These events can serve to strengthen a reconciliation process, but can also be part of a pre-emptive, prevention process to build tolerance for or understanding of traditional rivals or adversaries before conflict has broken out. Such activities may involve one or more conflicting parties.</p>	
<p><i>RATIONALE:</i>  These are key processes that advance a reconciliation process through increasing public support and knowledge</p>	
<p><i>UNIT:</i>  Number of people</p>	<p><i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i>  Gender, Age, other “at-risk” group demographics</p>
<p><i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i>  Output</p>	<p><i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i>  Higher = Better</p>
<p><i>DATA SOURCE:</i>  Implementing partners</p>	
<p><i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i></p>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF GRASS-ROOTS OR CIVIL-SOCIETY ACTIVITIES SUPPORTING HIGH-LEVEL, OFFICIAL PEACE OR RECONCILIATION PROCESS NEGOTIATIONS SUPPORTED BY USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> The number of grass-roots or civil-society activities (i.e., tracks two and three) supporting high-level (i.e., track one) official negotiations to advance peace- or reconciliation-processes, including the implementation of peace accords and ceasefire agreements. Illustrative activities include: negotiation training; public opinion polling; facilitation activities; elder statesmen engagement; provision of international expert advice and knowledge; financial support to parties; multi-stakeholder dialogues; assistance with the design of peace-building training programs; support and maintenance of agreed-verification and complaints-tracking mechanisms; and work with local and national government representatives to bring them closer to their constituencies.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> These are key processes that advance a peace process through increasing public support, knowledge and skills	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of activities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PUBLIC FORA/EDUCATIONAL EVENTS TO TEACH PUBLIC ABOUT PEACE PROCESS SUPPORTED BY USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This includes any public event or activity as part of a public event that communicates information that is supportive and about the peace process	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Successful public fora/ educational events create or raise awareness and communicate a clear message about the benefits of a peace process	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of fora/ events	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners, operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> Multiple activities could be part of one single event, but should not be captured separately	



<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.2 – PEACE AND RECONCILIATION PROCESSES</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF PEOPLE ATTENDING PUBLIC FORA/EDUCATIONAL EVENTS SUPPORTED BY USG ASSISTANCE TO TEACH PUBLIC ABOUT PEACE PROCESS</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> Number of people attending public events or activities that communicates information that is supportive of and/or about the peace process.	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Successful public fora/educational events create or raise awareness and communicate a clear message about the benefits of a peace process	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of people	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex, age (if possible)
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = Better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Implementing partners, operating units	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i> People attending multiple activities could be part of one single event, but should not be captured separately	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.3 – PREVENTIVE DIPLOMACY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED TO SUPPORT NEGOTIATORS/FACILITATORS IN TRACK 1 DIALOGUES WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This includes all activities that provide technical and logistical support to a pre-existing or new official negotiation process	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Negotiators/facilitators are key to the success of the process of negotiations and all support to these individuals can improve the likelihood of a positive, long-term agreement	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of activities	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.3 – PREVENTIVE DIPLOMACY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF NEGOTIATORS/FACILITATORS IN TRACK 1 DIALOGUES SUPPORTED BY PREVENTIVE DIPLOMACY ACTIVITY WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This includes all negotiators/facilitators that are provided with technical and logistical support as part of a pre-existing or new official negotiation process	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Negotiators/facilitators are key to the success of the process of negotiations and all support to these individuals can improve the likelihood of a positive, long-term agreement	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of negotiators/facilitators	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> Sex
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating units and/or implementers	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	

<b>ELEMENT: PS 6.3 – PREVENTIVE DIPLOMACY</b>	
<b>INDICATOR TITLE: NUMBER OF MONITORING MECHANISMS ESTABLISHED WITH USG ASSISTANCE</b>	
<i>DEFINITION:</i> This includes all mechanisms used in the process of continual gathering of information about a particular peace process (negotiations, ceasefire, peace accord, etc.)	
<i>RATIONALE:</i> Monitoring mechanisms are the key to gathering information about compliance with a particular peace process	
<i>UNIT:</i> Number of mechanisms	<i>DISAGGREGATE BY:</i> None
<i>TYPE: OUTPUT/OUTCOME</i> Output	<i>DIRECTION OF CHANGE:</i> Higher = better
<i>DATA SOURCE:</i> Operating Unit, implementing partners	
<i>MEASUREMENT NOTES:</i>	