

## NATIONAL SYNCHROTRON LIGHT SOURCE **BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY**

Report No. 000590 (Obtain from QCC)
Date: 11/07/2008
Prepared By:
M. Buckley

## **GENERAL REVIEW FORM**

ESH&Q reviews where a standard form is not available. Contact the <u>NSLS QR</u> for additional information.						
TYPE OF REVIEW:						
☐ ALARA	☐ Beamline Safety	ES&H Committee				
☐ Experimental Safety	☐ Interlock Working Group	□ Project Review EMS/OHSAS Management Review				
TITLE: FY08 EMS/OHSAS Management Review						
PRESENTED BY: A. Ackerman						
PURPOSE: Annual Review of the NSLS EMS/OHSAS program						
REVIEWERS - ASSOCIATION						
J. Aloi, A. Boerner, B. Chmiel, R. Church, M.A. Corwin, R. D'Alsace, N. Gmur, S. Hoey, P.K.						
Job, C. Johnson, C.C. Kao, B. Kiss, K. Klaus, T. Maugeri, S. Moss, J. Murphy, K. Nasta, C. Nielson, C. Parnell, R. Pindak, J. Remien, H. Robinson, L. Stiegler, F. Terrano, C. Weilandics,						
F. Zafonte						
DISTRIBUTION: To File						
Attachments: Data S	Sheets EMS, FUA, and SAD/	ASE Checklist for NSLS Reviews (if applicable)				
MAJOR COMMENTS & CONCERNS OF REVIEWERS						
Questions and Answers:						
H.R./CP: Are all Experiments 1 year review? AA: Yes – 1 year.						
C.C.K: Are there still last minute experiment review requests? JA:Yes. AA: Routine last minute OK;						
Surprised by a problem that would be troublesome – we do not get many of those.						
CCK: Have PPE been established for PX samples? CP: Cleared with DOE/BNL – Need to change						
SBMS.						

CCK: Have there been any changes to family ATS? AA/CP: None they are aware of for family. Institutional may have changes.

CP: Are people buying the HP? AA: He believes it is getting through to them. CCK: It might be good to ask experimenters how are they looking at human performance down at the beamlines.

CCK: Did NSLS get any money for scrap money? KK: Money goes into general BNL fund.

CP: Battele model will be please with effort of R2A2s for beamline stewards.

CCK: How many people running Nano exp? AA: ~80 experiments – We do not have a count right now, but that data is available from the PASS system.

CCK: Who reviews Nano exp AA. John Aloi and Lori

CCK: Do we need more Nano Hoods? AA: We have a second unit ready to install. We need someone to take ownership before installing and bringing that device into service. The existing unit is meeting our needs for now.

CCK: Turnaround for Tier I findings is going up and down over the years. AA: This year it went down.

NG: Have we been able to go to quarterly badge change? AA: No not yet – plan to speak to new Rad Con management.

CCK: Will we save money going to quarterly monitoring? AA: Not necessarily.

CCK: What happened with the one personnel TLD that showed 6-7 mrem? FZ: Investigation revealed it					
was not a concern.					
HR: Is X5 done (Waste)? AA: X5 is no longer operational; the decommissioning has not contributed					
significantly to the waste stream.					
CCK: We had to reduce support from RCD this coming year as a result of budget issues.					
Safety is still very important and we will need stay vigilant and to work even smarter to manage risks					
during these difficult budget times.					
during those difficult chages times:					
Reviewed Drawings/Specifications (if applicable)					
5 <b>k</b>					
APPROVAL (if required)					
Review Committee Chairman: A. Ackerman Date: 11/07/2008					

Please forward completed original to the Quality Control Coordinator, Bldg. 725C

8/26/2003 Page 2 of 2 Forms QF-044, Rev C.