New York State Workforce Development System

Program Year

20
4

Annual Report

George E. Pataki, Governor

Linda Angello, Commissioner Richard Calo, Chairman

Workforce
NYS Department of Labor
Workforce
New York
Put us to work for you

Commissioner's Message

On behalf of Governor George E. Pataki, the New York State Workforce Investment Board, the New York State Department of Labor and the many dedicated workforce development professionals who work in partnership to deliver the best possible services across the Empire State, I am pleased to submit New York States Workforce Investment Act Title I Annual Report for Program Year 2004.

Our mission is to advocate job creation and economic growth through workforce development. I am pleased that we have teamed up with our partners at the state, regional and local level to spur programs and initiatives that support New Yorks economic resurgence. We also have formulated plans that will help guide our current and future workers into careers that are lucrative and fulfilling.

I am proud that New York States network of locally-operated One-Stop Career Centers delivered top-notch results for WIA program participants. We achieved a pass rating on all 17 core performance measures and exceeded the federally negotiated goals on 13. Our One-Stop Career Centers provide quality core and intensive services to Adult and Dislocated Worker customers.

As we plan for the future, a number of programs come into play, including the State Boards "**Mapping Career Ladders**" initiative. It helps businesses increase retention rates and meet their need for skilled workers through career advancement planning and curriculum design.

New York is at the forefront of a nationwide demonstration of Disability Program Navigators, with more staff dedicated to assisting disabled One-Stop Career Center customers than any other state. We also continued to participate in a multi-state and business intermediary partnership to create a national, portable **work-readiness credential** that will certify that individuals have the knowledge, skills and abilities they need to succeed in entry-level work in the 21st Century workplace.

We are proud to present an Annual Report that details these advances for New Yorks citizens in 2004.



Linda Angello

Commissioner of Labor



Workforce New York Put us to work for you

State and Local Board Partnerships: Strategic Planning and the Human Resources Challenges

Local Workforce Investment Boards continue to report key issues and opportunities for collaboration with community and business partners. These activities emerge from a strategic planning process that was funded through an investment by the State Board and continued during program year 2004. These examples illustrate some of their efforts:

- In the Greater Capital Region, four local workforce boards developed a regional approach to identify and address common workforce issues. Strategic planning efforts have led to regional initiatives such as career mapping, development of industry-specific training programs, and "soft skills" programs for emerging workers to address the needs articulated by businesses in the region.
- In the Chenango/Delaware/Otsego local area, they identified population shifts as a key factor leading to a decline in the available workforce. To address this issue, the local partnerships planning process focused efforts on developing stronger communication links with local colleges, universities, and high schools to raise awareness about local job opportunities for graduates. They created an internship website to match students seeking internships with businesses seeking interns. They plan to increase job exposure by allowing students to go visit or job shadow area businesses to open students' eyes to local opportunities.
- In Chautauqua County, over 425 local business, community and education leaders have participated in their local strategic planning process. Their efforts have led to the development of a WORK 101 model in response to concerns about the educational system and the lack of entry-level employees with work ethics and basic skills. The model is a joint effort with educational partners and local businesses. The program targets older youth, including high school graduates who are not work ready. It incorporates hands-on education with work-based learning. The work-based learning is directed to the three priority industries (manufacturing, health care, and tourism) also identified through the local strategic planning process.
- As part of strategic planning efforts, Oswego County held brainstorming and consensus-building work sessions with members of the local board and other key community and

business leaders. They identified four key target industry clusters critical to the future growth of the Oswego economy and workforce: energy, manufacturing, health care, and hospitality/leisure. Human-resource related challenges critical to developing these industries include: flexible workforce, workforce retention/attraction, skills development (particularly in science and math), an aging workforce, and rapid advances in technology.

- Through its strategic planning efforts, Monroe County identified key challenges including: an overall growth rate that lags behind the rest of the state and nation, significant loss of the key 20-34 year-old labor force component, and a significant number of underemployed residents. Some of their recommendations include: focusing economic development on transition to a more diversified economy, creating a community image of a dynamic technical and innovation center and retaining college graduates. They also want to expand college recruiting, targeted seminars and training programs for dislocated workers (particularly those from larger companies) that emphasize adapting their skills to smaller, more flexible operations.
- Key steps identified by Rockland County and its strategic planning partnership include: align workforce, economic, and educational policies and systems; develop an outreach campaign to young workers; promote entrepreneurship; pursue a sector-based strategy to unite similar businesses with a common interest in growth; diversify the employment base; and put the "customer" back into the "customer service" economy. They also want to increase career awareness and career preparation through discussions with the education and training community, parents and students about the in-demand occupations and the true value of associate degrees, bachelor's degrees, and industry/occupation-specific certificates in today's labor market.
- The strategic planning process in Hempstead/Long Beach has allowed the WIB-led partnership to identify workforce challenges in key areas. These include: worker shortages, skill shortages, mismatches between jobs and population growth, maintaining a competitive education system, and ensuring that businesses are aware of existing solutions. The strategic planning process held meetings with industry clusters, business associations and individual businesses to address their needs. One example is meetings conducted with Health Care Sector businesses, local school superintendents and school board representatives. These meetings foster solutions to local businesses workforce needs in areas such as: recruitment,



retention, mapping career ladders, making supervisors into leaders, developing the workers of tomorrow, and identifying appropriate training needs. In addition, the local area worked with local partners to identify the skill needs in the Aerospace industry. They based their findings on focused surveys and interviews of some 24 businesses, representing approximately 2,400 workers. The career mapping process will help in the development of a web-based product matching needs to solutions.

- New York Citys (NYCs) strategic planning process has led to the development of five major strategies to help strengthen the City's workforce investment system. These include:
 - aligning the City's One-Stop System with identified workforce needs;
 - strengthening the workforce training system;
 - promoting education, life skills development and youth employment and connecting NYC youth to careers in key industries;
 - targeted, sector-based activities that focus on the health care/biotechnology and hospitality and tourism sectors; and,
 - creation of an information clearinghouse for all NYC workforce information.

It is important to emphasize the value of these New York Stateinitiated and locally led strategic planning efforts. Workforce system policy and service design must match the needs identified through private and public sector coordinated activities. New York State understands this. In developing its recent local planning guidelines for WIA, New York seeks to build upon local strategic planning processes that are well underway in many areas across the State and to challenge several local areas to begin this process to strengthen local board policies. State and local WIA planning must be fully integrated into State and local strategic planning. WIA resources, policies and activities must align with strategic planning needs for the public workforce system to truly be effective and worthwhile. New York State and its local workforce areas continue this effort at every level, using state and local data and identified key industry and business needs as the basis for on-going workforce policy development and resource allocation.



Critical Success Factors for WIA Title I Program Participants

Accolades go to New York State's network of locally-operated One-Stop Career Centers, which delivered quality results for WIA program participants during Program Year 2004. The State achieved a pass rating on all 17 core WIA program performance measures and exceeded the federally negotiated goals on 13 of these measures.

In addition, New York's One-Stop Career Centers continue to provide quality core and intensive services to Adult and Dislocated Worker customers. This demonstrated by earnings outcomes for customers who received only core and intensive services that compare to those of customers who received training along with core and intensive services. Adult and Dislocated Worker special populations, including veterans, individuals with disabilities, older individuals and displaced homemakers, also continue to benefit from WIA services, and achieve outcomes that exceed federally negotiated goals for a large number of the performance measures. Furthermore, both the Adult and Dislocated Worker program earnings outcomes exceeded federally negotiated goals. This is particularly noteworthy given a challenging economic picture in many of New York's workforce areas.

During the past year, New York State's local workforce investment areas (LWIAs) worked to fully integrate the benefits and services under the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) program with those of the WIA Dislocated Worker program. Working with their partners, local areas examined service provided during the transition period and determined how best to serve dislocated workers' needs with available resources. Utilizing the One-Stop Career Center as the main point of contact and delivery of benefits and services has ensured coordination and efficient service delivery for trade-affected dislocated workers.

During the report period, USDOL certified a total of 56 petitions for TAA, representing approximately 2,056 trade-affected workers throughout the state. They also certified 35 of the 56 petitions for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance. This meant that a preponderance of the affected workers were over the age of 50, possessed job skills not easily transferable, and that there were adverse competitive conditions within the specific industries. There were a total of 3,913 individuals determined eligible for TAA during the report period.



Services and Outcomes

- We approved 38 individuals for On-the-Job Training (OJT) during the report period. The average OJT wage was \$11.01 per hour and the range was \$6.83 to \$26.44 per hour. A total of \$137,450 in TAA funds were obligated to support OJTs.
- We approved 1,195 Trade affected workers for training that started in the report period. We provided TAA funds of \$2.5 million to 23 local areas to support 707 individual training plans, totaling more than \$5.3 million. We committed \$162,955 in WIA Title I funds to support the plans. Additional funds identified to support these training plans included TAP, Pell, Veterans Benefits, Company Benefits and Training Vendor Scholarships.
- We obligated \$5,770 in TAA funds to support allowances for eight job searches statewide.
- We obligated \$48,613 in TAA funds to support 10 relocation allowances statewide.
- Under the Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance Program, 116 individuals received \$343,846 in payments. This program provides a wage subsidy, equal to 50% of the difference between the worker's former wage and the new wage up to \$10,000 to qualified workers.
- Of the 860 individuals expected to complete occupational training during the report period, 573 individuals had wages in at least one of the four quarters from July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005. A total of 3,107 of the 3,913 individuals determined eligible had wages in at least one of the four quarters from July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2005. Wage information beyond July 1, 2005 is limited at this time.

The State Board continued its policy to make available Supplemental Dislocated Worker funding, which is tied to local area expenditures and service levels, during PY 2004 to ensure that critical dislocated worker services were available throughout the workforce system. Fourteen local workforce areas benefited from an additional \$4,174,934 in supplemental funding to continue providing quality services where increased demand was clearly demonstrated through program registrations and expenditures. The funding was used to serve over 1,600 customers, with 555 of these customers receiving training services.



Critical Success Factors for Business

Building Skills in New York State (BUSINYS), the State Board's incumbent worker training grant program concluded its third year of availability during the past program year. This state-local partnership provides WIA Statewide Activity funds to meet real-time business training needs. Local and State Board staff evaluated 1,545 applications during the three years the program operated. During this time, we awarded over \$47.5 million to some 865 companies to train 52,108 workers.

All sectors in New York's economy have benefited from these grants, with training across a diverse range of skills. We are doing a full-scale evaluation of the outcomes of this innovative grant program. The Department provides an electronic survey to all project awardees upon completion of their contract. We collect the survey responses in a database. The survey captures vital information on the outcomes of the project, number of employees trained, industry-recognized credentials received, types of skills developed, impact of training on the business, level of satisfaction with training providers utilized, satisfaction with the application process, and overall satisfaction with the BUSINYS program. It includes a comments section to let businesses further describe their experience with the program.

Early results from these surveys indicate a high degree of overall satisfaction with the BUSINYS program and substantial positive outcomes from the training provided for both the individuals trained and the businesses that employ them. We include a few of the numerous positive comments received from businesses about their experience with this program:

"I was pleasantly surprised by the efficiency and responsiveness of the BUSINYS staff at all levels. The mere existence of this program, positioned to benefit even small established businesses was amazing to me." -- Abate Associates Engineers & Surveyors, P.C.

"What we have accomplished with this grant has been very positive to both our sales and overall organizational strengths. We tried doing this without grant money (or the necessary training) and were not able to accomplish it. Thank you." – American Precision Industries Inc.

"This is an excellent program. We would not have been able to afford training staff on Lean Manufacturing Techniques without this funding. Thank you." – American Red Cross Blood Services, NY



"This was an excellent program that enabled our business to provide training to our staff which has resulted in significant improvements in our internal staff work, communications, productivity and quality. In addition, these improvements have resulted in an increase in our overall business market share, profitability and most importantly patient satisfaction with our services. Thank you for this opportunity." – Anderson Medical, P.C. dba Emergency One

"This is an excellent program that really helps keep our business in NYS. Competing with the pharmaceutical industry in terms of human capital is one of our biggest challenges. This program allows us to help differentiate our company by the commitment we have to training and importantly helps us retain people and develop career paths for them." – Cognigen Corporation

"Great opportunity to afford employers the ability to strengthen their workforce and to give the employees the transferable skills necessary to compete in today's labor market." – Crystal Run Healthcare, LLP

Another major new initiative begun during a prior year and continued during the past program year was the Skilled Manufacturing Resource Training (SMART) Grant program, targeting training funds to assist companies across New York's vital manufacturing sector.

Under the Department's SMART 100 Initiative, manufacturing firms that employ 100 or more workers were eligible to apply for training grants of up to \$200,000 to train employees directly engaged in the manufacturing process. Eligible training could take the form of traditional classroom training, distance learning, in-house training, computer- based training and other methods approved by the Department. Process improvement efforts such as instruction in lean manufacturing principles, ISO training, and productivity enhancement training also qualified for consideration under this program. Applicants had to demonstrate: how their proposed program of training fit into the yearly training plan of the business under its strategic business plan; the types of transferable skills, credentials/certifications trainees would acquire; and measurable outcomes for the business (increased productivity, efficiency, sales, profit, customer retention/satisfaction, decreased cost, waste, inventory, production time, employee turnover, etc.) resulting from the training. We awarded a total of \$4,817,813 to 39 businesses providing training for 7,365 workers under this initiative.



Under the Department's SMART initiative, we made WIA state-level funds available to train new and incumbent workers in process improvement and/or productivity techniques in small- and medium-size firms (less than 100 people) in the manufacturing sector. We accepted applications from eligible businesses for review continuously through June 30, 2005. A pre-requisite mandated that applicant businesses have a management-level staff member attend one of the Department-sponsored seminars (solely at the Department's expense) on Lean Manufacturing or Six Sigma to ensure that the business was introduced to the concept and responsibilities needed to become a lean organization. At program year end, 211 applications had been received and 101 awards made totaling \$4.3 million. Some 3,033 workers will receive training through these awards. The Department will utilize an electronic survey process (similar to our BUSINYS program) to obtain key outcome and customer satisfaction information from awardees upon completion of their contracts.

Program Year 2004 saw the continuation of the State Board's "Mapping Career Ladders in Key Industry Sectors" initiative. This career ladder development initiative helps businesses increase retention rates and meet their need for skilled workers through career ladder mapping and curriculum design. During the past year, two new projects were underway, in the Energy and Manufacturing sectors in the Oswego LWIA and in the Health Care sector in the Herkimer/Madison/Oneida LWIA. In addition, we completed five projects begun during a prior year.

One of these completed projects involved the Aerospace/Defense Industry cluster in the Long Island region. Two consultants with considerable knowledge of the industry worked with members of the Long Island Regional Business Service Team and the three local workforce boards to pull together an expansive detailed mapping of the various types of careers available across this industry. Gathering detailed input from some 24 participating aerospace/defense companies, the consultants created a database that allowed for the storage and analysis of information related to many categories. They include: organizational structure, job descriptions, associated skilllevel requirements, educational requirements, wage rates/ranges for each job description, currently required training/certifications for each job description and potential career pathways within this industry. We are sharing the information developed through this project with the education and training community (K-12 school superintendents, universities, community colleges, proprietary training entities). It will become a main focus of local board-led strategic planning efforts to match local workforce systems to key workforce issues in the Long Island region.



Workforce New York Put us to work for you

Critical Success Factors for Local Workforce Systems

We continue to set aside WIA state-level funds for local areas for incentive awards of \$75,000 each for innovative, results-based promising practices in five different categories: Services to Business Customers; Services to Job Seekers; Local Board Development/Practices; One-Stop Design and Management; and Workforce Development Partnership Initiatives. Since its inception, we have made 12 awards totaling \$900,000 to local workforce areas for promising practices. These include: developing career ladders for entry-level health care workers, community partnerships to increase referrals of adults and youth to the One-Stop Career Center System, establishment of a regional warehouse/distribution training center, increasing access to the One-Stop Career System for individuals with disabilities, development of an out-of-school youth internship program, development and implementation of a practice to coordinate and integrate services to TANF customers through the One-Stop, and creating a grant application consulting team to help businesses take advantage of grant opportunities. We post all promising practices receiving these incentive awards on the State's Workforce New York website for the widest possible dissemination. Local workforce areas receiving these awards include: Genesee/ Livingston/Orleans/Wyoming; Herkimer/Madison/Oneida; Tompkins; Broome/Tioga; Town of Hempstead; Columbia/Greene; Monroe; and Orange County.

New York is one of 17 states participating in the national Disability Program Navigator initiative, jointly funded through grants from the U.S. Department of Labor (USDOL) and Social Security Administration (SSA). By using three funding sources – the USDOL/ SSA grant, federal Workforce Incentive Grants (WIGs), plus a twovear \$1 million commitment of WIA State-Activities dollars - New York has amassed over \$2.6 million to support this initiative in its first two years. With these resources, the State is now supporting 44 DPNs, who help individuals with disabilities access appropriate services and supports available through local One-Stop Career Center Systems. The Navigator's job is to enhance each disabled individual's opportunity to enter, reenter and remain in selfsupporting employment. During 2004, the 44 DPNs served 62 One-Stop Career Centers in 25 of the State's 33 LWIAs. New York is in the forefront of this nationwide demonstration, with more DPNs dedicated to assisting disabled One-Stop Career Center customers than any other state in the country.

During the past program year, New York State also continued to participate in a multi-state and business intermediary partnership

to create a national, portable work-readiness credential that will certify that individuals have the knowledge, skills and abilities they need to succeed in entry-level work in the 21st Century workplace. The State Board's support (investment of \$1 million) for this national project was predicated on results from previous State Board initiatives. This showed one of the greatest needs of businesses across New York State is for individuals who are work ready and possess the basic skills and knowledge required to perform entry-level work.

A joint subcommittee of the State Board (comprised of the Skill Standards and Assessment Subcommittee and the Emerging Worker Subcommittee) has taken a lead role in planning and implementing this credential in New York State. This includes: developing a business plan for implementing the credential; forming an inter-agency workgroup and an external partners workgroup to help with implementation, including examining various franchise models; identifying potential pilots and early adopters; developing a marketing strategy to attract businesses, and developing/broadening connections with education and youth programs.

The national contractor and its various subcontractors continue to work on the credential, with input and direction from the state partners on the Policy Oversight Council. They finalized the profile for the credential and conducted a review of existing assessment methods for potential use with the credential. They conducted further development of assessment techniques using subject matter experts drawn from across industries. This was necessary to devise situational judgment test questions and structured interview process. They will field test and validate the assessment tools, and design and develop the delivery system during the next program year. We expect the credential will be available to the general public in the Spring of 2006.

To help foster a higher degree of consistency in the oversight efforts of the LWIAs, state-level staff developed an External Monitoring Guide for LWIAs to use as the basis for their own monitoring and oversight procedures. On November 19, 2004, the Workforce Development and Training Division issued Technical Advisory (TA) # 04-19, which further clarified the LWIAs monitoring and oversight responsibilities and included the External Monitoring Guide, which was provided as an attachment to this TA.

Throughout Calendar Year 2005, staff conducted a series of regional roundtable meetings to discuss issues related to the LWIAs monitoring, oversight and accountability responsibilities. A majority of the LWIAs attended these roundtable meetings. Statelevel staff also offered to job shadow the LWIA staff responsible for monitoring or to have the LWIA staff job shadow state-level staff, as



they conducted their monitoring. This would provide as much direct, on-site technical assistance as possible to ensure the LWIAs effectively carried out their accountability responsibilities. Of the 29 LWIAs that have subrecipients which require on-site monitoring, 17 requested and received fiscal job shadowing and 11 LWIAs received program job shadowing technical assistance.

According to staff reports, in virtually all cases the job shadowing was very effective. This format allowed for a great amount of teaching to occur. It encouraged discussion about specific procedures in the External Monitoring Guide; how to apply those procedures and how to develop additional procedures that may be necessary for a particular local area.

Continuous Learning and Improvement

During Program Year 2004, State and local staff continued to focus on WIA program performance management, gaining a better understanding of USDOL/ETA's Common Measures Policy and EMILE reporting format, and on improving data quality.

With regard to WIA program performance management, Local Board staff continued strategic planning meetings with State Board staff on issues of state and national concern. In addition, State Board staff continued to prepare and disseminate quarterly "Local Area Report Cards" during the Program Year, with an open dialog among all Workforce Investment Board (WIB) Directors on using the reports for program improvement as well as policy realignment. These report cards provide snapshots of local area enrollments, expenditures, performance standards, swipe card data and other data analysis relevant to raising the bar for local workforce systems. We focus on program improvements that help achieve the Adult earnings change measure and the Older Youth earnings change measure, which many local areas were persistently failing. In Program Year 2004, 10 local areas were able to remove their sanction status with regard to these measures.

State Board staff also made considerable progress in Program Year 2004 on understanding and implementing the federal guidance on the "Common Measures Policy," including requiring local areas to begin collecting data on the Literacy and Numeracy youth common measure for Program Year 2004. Staff also engaged in numerous activities and tasks to modify the State's One-Stop Operating System (OSOS) to comply with the Common Measures Policy. We expect the system to be fully compliant in early Program Year 2005.

Data quality was also a high priority for State Board staff in Program Year 2004. State and local staff spent considerable time during



Program Year 2004 on data validation and improving data quality. USDOL/ETA has continuously expressed appreciation for the State's efforts with regard to data validation, and the Department was called upon by both the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) and USDOL/ETA to share the State's experience with data element validation and how to improve the process.

In January 2005, the Systems Integration Subcommittee of the NYS Workforce Investment Board launched a project to further the goal of system integration across all partner programs. It began by examining ways to improve service delivery to the disabled population through the One-Stop Career Center System. Through a day-long series of activities to promote dialogue, diagnosis of the situation, examination of the facts, analysis and reflection, we identified six key issues for immediate action. We determined that identifying improvements for two of the six major issues would have the most immediate impact and benefit to the system.

As a result, the Systems Integration Subcommittee created teams to develop action plans that address "Intake, Eligibility and Referral" issues and "Communication" issues that relate to identifying and serving persons with disabilities. Specifically, each team developed the following charter statements:

Intake, Eligibility and Referral: "Recommend strategies designed to streamline the intake and eligibility process for VESID and to create a process for partners to effectively identify functional limits and barriers and to create a referral process that gets customers to the right 'next' place."

Communications: "Recommend strategies designed to improve communication regarding services, processes, and outcomes among all partners."

The two teams, led by an expert content and process facilitator, are collecting customer data through focus groups, gathering One-Stop staff comments through on-line surveys and setting standards for best practices. After synthesizing the data and determining ways to improve, the teams will develop pilot projects to test possible solutions. They will present recommendations to the Subcommittee at the November 2005 meeting for system-wide integration.

We held nine One-Stop Operator Communities of Practice meetings with our state trade organization, NYATEP, during PY 2004 to give One-Stop operators opportunities to raise issues of immediate and long-range operational concern. Working with NYATEP, the Department also hosted four web seminars on topics including: OSOS data entry for Adults, Dislocated Workers and Trade Act; OSOS WIA youth data entry; TAA service delivery; and WIA performance and common measures. There were approximately 100 login registrations per session, which included some registrations with



multiple participants. In addition, NYATEP sponsored six additional training events (via teleconference or web seminar) on a variety of workforce development topics with national workforce experts Greg Newton, Kip Stottlemyer, Rochelle Daniels, and Jodie Sue Kelly.

Finally, New York State plans to bring together workforce professionals from across the state in cooperation with NYATEP, USDOL and the State University of New York (SUNY) to sponsor two major workforce conferences during PY 2004.

Statewide Roundup

The Saratoga-Warren-Washington WIB initiated discussions that resulted in the development of a Business Center of Excellence at Adirondack Community College. The Center's mission is to offer a broad range of workforce training options to businesses and workers. This key collaborative effort envisions a single source for information on local training, an array of incumbent worker training opportunities, research into workforce and business issues, and a proactive approach to developing new solutions to emerging needs. The Center is a collaborative effort of the local WIB, Adirondack Community College, BOCES, the local Chambers of Commerce, One-Stop Career Centers and private sector businesses.

The Jefferson-Lewis WIB completed its State of the Workforce Report in November 2004. Key activities include: creating a task force, in conjunction with the Fort Drum Regional Liaison Organization, to bring more of the Fort Drum population into the local labor market; and building a school-to-business link, which will pilot activities that connect graduating students with local businesses.

In Oswego, the WIB reacted to a local need to expand services to business and job seeker customers. The One-Stop Career Center has hosted on-site business recruitments, helping employers to identify job seekers who match their needs. In addition to recruitment and screening services, Oswego also developed customized training packages with local business, to meet training needs that keep employers competitive in the labor market. As a result of these expanded business services, they screened almost 900 people for one local company, resulting in 200 new hires.

The Tompkins WIB built on its 2003 State of the Workforce Report, updated key area indicators and presented priorities and solutions for action. As the leading authority on workforce issues in the community, the WIB encourages coordinated policies, activities and investments among its local workforce partners. This has fostered the best use of resources and integrated partner services.

Chautauqua received a grant from the New York State Department of Labor (NYSDOL) for strategic planning on workforce issues. They conducted a series of events that convened community, economic



development, education, businesses and One-Stop partners to create a vision for Chautauqua's future workforce. The dialogue on workforce needs began with over 500 participants attending a youth summit. It continued with the development of five Job Fairs. Between 40 and 100 employers and 400 to 1,000 job seekers attended these events.

The Erie County Local Workforce Investment Area received a \$400,000 Youth Build Grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Youth Build Buffalo program provides up to 24 youth with a combination of education, vocational training and hands-on construction training to help construct four new residential housing units in one of Buffalo's east side neighborhoods.

The Finger Lakes WIB identified several industry clusters essential to the growth of the local economy, including New Sciences, Information Technology, Healthcare, Skilled Trades, Retail, Tourism, and Manufacturing. They set key workplace readiness skills for the respective clusters. To meet these skills needs, a committee of One-Stop staff updated the Work Values 101 curriculum using the WORK PLUS model. This training will be offered on-site and at businesses upon request. The training was developed in modules, so it can be customized to meet the specific needs of the customer.

One of the key gaps noted by the Finger Lakes WIB was the connection with education, particularly the K-12 system. To address this gap, the community college president, BOCES superintendent, a Keuka College representative, and the local school superintendent met with WIB Executive Committee members. This was the first in a series of discussions about how the WIB can cooperate with the education system to prepare students for the 21st century economy and encourage them to stay in the area. The WIB Performance and Evaluation Committee added educational members to continue this dialog and develop ways to reach teachers, parents and students.

The Genesee-Livingston-Orleans-Wyoming (GLOW) WIB established a Funding Application Consultant Team (FACT), composed of WIB staff, WIA Title I providers, and staff from the State Labor Department's Division of Employment Services. When there is a business grant opportunity, the Team holds information sessions for employers, explaining the application process and helping them review and submit the application. As a result, the number of submissions and successful grant applications have increased in the local areas. For example, over \$1.6 million in business training grants have been received by GLOW businesses under the Building Skills in New York program. This has allowed 1,563 employees to be retrained in skills that will help their employers remain competitive in the global economy, keeping those jobs in GLOW.

The GLOW WIB also established a Marketing Visitation Team to contact area businesses. The Team developed a marketing packet



and visit process so that they can cover all workforce development business products during a single visit. They document each contact in OSOS, allowing all partners to know which businesses have been visited and eliminating duplication.

Rochester Works! developed a unique marketing effort that provides local businesses with the latest information on services. The Rochester Works! E-newsletter has over 2,300 subscribers and provides busy executives and managers with up-to-date information on labor market issues, training assistance, and other services.

Beginning in 2003, it became clear to the Herkimer-Madison-Oneida WIB that residents of the Cornhill area, a low-income community just outside Utica's city limits, were not accessing the One-Stop Career Center. As a result, the WIB established partnerships with the Mohawk Valley Housing Coalition, Oneida County Continuum of Care and a coalition of faith-based and community-based groups to meet the needs of at-risk adults and youth in Cornhill. By coordinating partner resources, the WIB developed outreach services to the homeless by using new access points and referral networks throughout the target area. Through connections with more than 20 community partners, the WIB has been more successful in introducing their customers to the public workforce investment system.

In 2004, the Herkimer-Madison-Oneida WIB began to work with local colleges to increase high-level skills training for the IT sector. This initiative was designed to meet employer needs, while developing a skills pipeline that begins in high school. They placed special emphasis on connecting disadvantaged youth with needed support, so that they could enter this career path. During the year, the WIB also implemented the National Information Technology Apprenticeship System (NITAS), been developed by USDOL and CompTIA, in a way never before envisioned. Using the resources of SUNY institutions, this creative new approach allows small- and mid-size employers to use a system previously designed for large businesses. What began as a pilot with one college has now been embraced by the SUNY system, which is seeking funding to expand the project statewide. The WIB further cooperated with Mohawk Valley Community College to secure State funding for STEP and CTEP programs that help minority young people succeed in math, science and technology courses at both the high school and college levels.

The Rockland Business Assistance Network (RBAN) was created to examine the operating relationships among workforce, economic development and educational organizations in Rockland County. Its membership includes Tomorrow's Workplace, Rockland Economic Development Organization, Rockland Business Association, Rockland BOCES, Rockland County Office of Community



Development, New York State Department of Labor, Rockland Community College, Empire State Development, and Orange & Rockland Utilities. RBAN meets monthly to creatively address the needs of businesses throughout the county.

The Orange County WIB is pioneering a regional approach to economic and workforce development. It created the Mid-Hudson Valley Regional WIB to strengthen its base and take advantage of the resources in the region. The WIB also participates in a six-county regional initiative known as the Hudson Valley Healthcare Consortium. The Consortium has developed a unified approach to increase the capacity of healthcare training providers. Through a \$1 million grant awarded by USDOL, the Hudson Valley Healthcare Consortium has cooperated with regional healthcare providers to address the nursing faculty shortage. Healthcare providers will release staff with master's degrees on a part-time basis to serve as faculty at the region's public and private educational facilities. In exchange, the healthcare providers will receive credits from the education facilities to send entry-level nurses to school to help them advance in their careers.

This grant will help train 50 nurses as instructors. It will also train 100 nursing mentors, 70 adjunct instructors and will offer 1,000 additional students entry to healthcare education and training programs.

The Ulster County WIB is exploring ways to make their One-Stop services more "youth-friendly." This includes youth-specific programming at One-Stop Career Centers, special weekend activities for youth, and expanded outreach programs to area high schools. The WIB also works with area employers to identify the basic skills they require and develop a work-ready credentialing system that meets their needs.

The "HempsteadWorks for Health Care Skills Training Partnership" identifies and addresses worker shortages, skills shortages and worker retention issues within the health care industry. An on-going partnership, it has achieved the following: increased skills for approximately 180 incumbent workers; career advancement for approximately 31 incumbent workers; and a career ladder training model duplicated by other local health care organizations.



Table A - Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	72.0	74.6	3,973	55,979	5,998	66.2
Employers	69.0	71.3	5,544	17,912	7,166	77.4

Table B- Outcomes for Adults

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performa	nce Level
Entered Employment Rate	71.0	73.5	16,558
Entered Employment Nate	71.0	73.3	22,514
Employment Potentian Pate	80.0	85.7	21,653
Employment Retention Rate	80.0	65.7	25,277
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$2,600	¢2 117	\$75,417,097
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$2,000	\$3,117	24,197
Employment and Credential Rate	61.0	73.7	7,228
Employment and Credential Rate	01.0	13.1	9,811



Table C.	Outcomes	for	Δdult	Special	Populations
I able C -	Outcomes	101	Auuit	Special	r ubulations

Reported Information	Receivi	Assistance cipients ng Intensive ing Services	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals	
Entered Employment	72.0	515	80.3	936	60.9	624	65.8	1,423
Rate	72.0	715	00.3	1,165	60.9	1,025	03.6	2,163
Employment Retention	80.2	556	86.2	1,012	80.4	577	84.5	1,519
Rate	00.2	693	00.2	1,174	00.4	718	04.0	1,798
Earnings Change in Six	\$3,611	\$2,285,616	\$2,960	\$3,226,568	\$2,705	\$1,820,721	\$793	\$1,338,636
Months	ψ5,011	633	Ψ2,900	1,090	Ψ2,703	673		1,688
Employment and	56.0	199	75.1	386	52.7	147	69.8	454
Credential Rate	56.9	350	75.1	514	52.1	279	09.0	650

Table D - Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Rec Service	•	Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate	81.8	4,688	70.7	11,870	
Entered Employment Rate	01.0	5,728	70.7	16,786	
Employment Retention Rate	89.2	7,819	83.8	13,834	
Linployment Retention Rate	09.2	8,763	03.0	16,514	
Earnings Change in Six	\$3,211	\$26,771,607	\$3,067	\$48,645,490	
Months	φ3,∠11	8,338	φ3,007	15,859	



Table E - Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level		
Entered Employment Rate	80.0	78.1	14,009	
Littered Employment Nate	00.0	70.1	17,927	
Employment Retention Rate	88.0	87.9	13,218	
Employment Retention Rate	00.0	07.9	15,031	
Earnings Replacement in Six	87.0	89.5	\$181,682,682	
Months	07.0	69.5	\$202,969,235	
Employment and Credential Rate	53.0	64.5	4,071	
Employment and Credential Rate	55.0	04.5	6,314	

Table F - Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals		Displaced Homemakers	
Entered Employment	84.9	1,015	74.9	301	70.2	1,737	85.3	927
Rate	04.9	1,196	74.9	402	70.2	2,474	00.3	1,087
Employment Retention	88.6	854	83.9	208	85.1	1,355	85.8	279
Rate	00.0	964	63.9	248	00.1	1,592	00.0	325
Earnings Replacement	89.6	\$12,432,768	103.0	\$2,643,630	70.1	\$16,709,461	145.4	\$2,295,655
Rate	09.0	\$13,873,470	103.0	\$2,566,517	70.1	\$23,833,151	145.4	\$1,579,081
Employment And	72.0	396	66.1	80	58.5	405	500	57
Credential Rate	72.9	543	66.1	121	56.5	692	58.8	97



Table G - Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program	

Reported Information		Who Received g Services	Individuals Who Received Only Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate	83.1	5,245	75.5	8,764	
Entered Employment Nate	03.1	6,314	75.5	11,613	
Employment Retention	89.2	6,041	86.9	7,177	
Rate		6,771	60.9	8,260	
Earnings Replacement	89.6	\$83,771,898	89.5	\$97,910,784	
Rate	09.0	\$93,513,962	09.5	\$109,455,273	

Table H - Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performa	nce Level
Entered Employment Rate	63.0	63.9	1,319
Entered Employment Nate	03.0	03.9	2,064
Employment Potentian Pate	77.0	74.8	1,077
Employment Retention Rate	77.0	74.0	1,439
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$2,900	\$2,865	\$3,779,439
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$2,900	\$2,000	1,319
Credential Rate	43.0	50.3	1,133
Oreuential Nate	43.0	30.3	2,251



Table I - Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information		ssistance pients	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Entered Employment	54.5	187	0.0	0	59.1	110	63.5	1,227
Rate	54.5	343	0.0	0	39.1	186	03.5	1,931
Employment Retention	68.7	158	100.0	1	74.5	102	74.7	981
Rate	00.7	230	100.0	1	74.5	137		1,313
Earnings Change in	¢2./19	\$527,016	-\$2,429	-\$2,429	\$2,267	\$251,591	\$2,913	\$3,568,000
Six Months	\$2,418	218		1		111		1,225
Credential	41.6	154	0.0	0	48.1	100	50.4	1,052
Rate	41.0	370	0.0	0	4 0. l	208	50.4	2,088

Table J - Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performa	nce Level
Skill Attainment Rate	70.0	74.2	13,974
Skiii Attailillient Nate	70.0	74.2	18,837
Diploma or Equivalent	43.0	48.9	2,052
Attainment Rate	43.0	40.9	4,198
Retention Rate	47.0	49.4	2,500
Neterition Nate	47.0	49.4	5,065



Table K - Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information		ssistance pients		als With oilities	Out-of-Sch	nool Youth
Skill Attainment Rate	69.1	1,459	88.3	2,684	73.4	2,653
		2,110		3,039		3,614
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	45.7	221	63.4	320	43.2	558
		484		505		1,291
Retention	45.7	300	53.1	319	59.1	792
Rate		657		601		1,339

Table L - Other Reported Information

	Empl Rete	Month oyment ention tate	(Adults a 12 M Rep	o. Earnings Change and Older Youth) or o. Earnings blacement cated Workers)	Partic Nont	cements for cipants in raditional bloyment	Wages At Entry Into Employment For Those Individuals Who Entered Employment Unsubsidized Employment		Entry Into Unsubsidized Employment Related to the Training Received of Those Who Completed Training Services		
Adults	80.5	30,968	\$3,382	\$126,944,861	0.9	153	\$4,767	\$75,170,620	84.7	1,055	
Addits	00.0	38,462	ψ0,002	37,531	0.0	16558		ψτ,101	Ψ+,7 Ο 7	15,769	04.7
Dislocated	83.9	13,020	88.8	\$195,052,624	1.3	185	\$6,475	\$86,771,406	83.8	1,265	
Workers	05.9	15,516	00.0	\$219,620,601	1.5	14009	ψ0,473	13,402	03.0	1,510	
Older	63.9	882	\$2,634	\$3,366,292	0.1	1	\$2,240	\$2,788,824			
Youth	03.9	1,380	φ2,034	1,278	0.1	1319	φ2,240	1,245			



Table M - Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	47,653	27,874
Dislocated Workers	31,489	16,993
Older Youth	3,832	1,969
Younger Youth	21,105	9,187

Table N - Cost of Program Activities

		Program Activity	Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	ts		\$57,990,176
Local Dislo	cated W	/orkers	\$47,533,067
Local Yout	h		\$57,774,718
Rapid Resp (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)	onse		\$10,608,164
Statewide Required Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)		\$9,250,039	
Statewide Allowable	Activity iption	Miscellaneous	\$11,507,874
Activities 134 (a) (3)	Program Activ Description	INCUMBENT WORKER TRAINING INITIATIVES (to upgrade the skills of workers to continue to meet business needs)	\$18,253,541
	Tota	al of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$212,917,579



	Table O - Local Program Activ	rities	
Local Area Name		Adults	1,337
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Worker	s 2,103
Capital Region	Total Fartioipante Corroa	Older Youth	66
		Younger Youth	524
		Adults	552
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Worker	s 1,407
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	21
		Younger Youth	295
		Negotiated Performance Leve	Actual Performance Level
	Program Participants	72.0	76.0
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0	69.4
	Adults	82.0	
	Dislocated Workers	80.0	81.7
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	61.0	84.6
	Adults	80.0	89.7
	Dislocated Workers	88.0	89.0
	Older Youth	77.0	68.6
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0	59.6
	Adults	3,223	2,515
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0	98.1
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,442	3,200
	Adults	57.0	53.1
	Dislocated Workers	50.0	46.8
	Older Youth	37.0	60.0
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	42.0	51.3
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0	86.5
Overall Status of Local Performa	nce	Not Met	Met Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actua did not meet or exceeded 80% of N	I Performance Level met,	1	0 16



	able O - Local Program Activ	ities	
Local Area Name		Adults	25,751
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Worker	rs 11,099
New York City	Total Latticipants derved	Older Youth	2,191
		Younger Youth	11,998
		Adults	11,232
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Worker	rs 5,378
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	1,166
		Younger Youth	3,921
		Negotiated Performance Leve	Actual Performance Level
	Program Participants	72.0	67.0
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0	65.4
	Adults	69.0	60.8
	Dislocated Workers	77.0	68.9
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	61.0	57.4
	Adults	80.0	81.9
	Dislocated Workers	88.0	85.5
	Older Youth	77.0	71.3
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	44.0	39.0
	Adults	3,114	3,985
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0	86.0
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	3,109	3,063
	Adults	57.0	52.9
	Dislocated Workers	50.0	50.4
	Older Youth	43.0	48.4
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	40.0	38.1
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	68.0	58.6
Overall Status of Local Performa	nce	Not Met	Met Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actua did not meet or exceeded 80% of N		0	0 17



Table O - Local Program Activities Local Area Name Adults 705 **Dislocated Workers** 454 **Total Participants Served Yonkers Older Youth** 45 **Younger Youth** 136 Adults 214 **Dislocated Workers** 172 **Total Exiters Older Youth** 10 **Younger Youth** 77 Negotiated Actual **Performance Level Performance Level** 72.0 **Program Participants** 67.8 **Customer Satisfaction Employers** 69.0 60.0 71.0 81.7 Adults **Dislocated Workers** 0.08 88.4 **Entered Employment Rate Older Youth** 62.0 66.7 Adults 0.08 81.2 **Dislocated Workers** 0.88 89.5 **Older Youth** 77.0 25.0 **Retention Rate Younger Youth** 47.0 55.6 Adults 2,812 1,805 **Dislocated Workers** 92.0 102.6 **Earnings Change/Earnings Replacement in Six Months** Older Youth 2,900 519 Adults 56.0 42.3 **Dislocated Workers** 32.9 50.0 Older Youth 37.0 33.3 Credential/Diploma Rate **Younger Youth** 39.0 13.8 **Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth** 66.0 58.2

Overall Status of Local Performance

(Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)



Exceeded

11

Not Met

6

Met

0

Table O - Local Program Activities Local Area Name Adults 663 **Dislocated Workers** 739 **Total Participants Served** Chemung/Schuyler/Steuben **Older Youth** 89 **Younger Youth** 150 Adults 516 **Dislocated Workers** 236 **Total Exiters Older Youth** 25 **Younger Youth** 95 Negotiated Actual **Performance Level Performance Level Program Participants** 72.0 79.0 **Customer Satisfaction** 69.0 **Employers** 75.9 71.0 73.9 Adults **Dislocated Workers** 81.0 80.7 **Entered Employment Rate Older Youth** 68.0 83.3 Adults 0.08 85.1 **Dislocated Workers** 0.88 85.9 **Older Youth** 78.0 60.7 Younger Youth 48.0 **Retention Rate** 60.6 Adults 2,817 953 **Dislocated Workers** 92.0 109.1 **Earnings Change/Earnings** Replacement in Six Months **Older Youth** 2,798 2,922 Adults 64.0 85.2 **Dislocated Workers** 57.0 76.0 Older Youth 43.0 63.0 Credential/Diploma Rate **Younger Youth** 58.0 63.2 **Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth** 71.0 76.7 **Not Met** Met Exceeded **Overall Status of Local Performance** (Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level) 2 0 15



	bie O - Local Program Activ	11.00			
Local Area Name		Adults			2,033
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Wo	orkers		1,427
Hempstead/Long Beach	Total Farticipants Serveu	Older Youth		74	
		Younger Yout	h	167	
		Adults			960
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Wo	orkers		716
	Older Youth				22
		h		45	
		Negotiate Performance			Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			72.7
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			62.8
	Adults	71.0			76.8
	Dislocated Workers	80.0		77.4	
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0		75.0	
	Adults	80.0			83.9
	Dislocated Workers	ocated Workers 88.0		83.5	
	Older Youth	74.0		92.3	
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0		67.4	
	Adults	2,885			2,094
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		85.2	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900			1,392
	Adults	61.0			82.0
	Dislocated Workers	53.0			73.2
	Older Youth	43.0			71.4
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	46.0			81.3
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	74.0		•	91.2
Overall Status of Local Performance	-	Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual P did not meet or exceeded 80% of Neg		2	0		15



	able O - Local Program Activ	ities	
Local Area Name		Adults	273
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers	190
Jefferson/Lewis	Total Participants Served	Older Youth	63
		Younger Youth	478
		Adults	216
	Total Fuitana	Dislocated Workers	119
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	26
		Younger Youth	203
		Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level
	Program Participants	72.0	81.6
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0	78.4
	Adults	72.0	90.1
	Dislocated Workers	83.0	96.3
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	81.0	96.2
	Adults	80.0	91.4
	Dislocated Workers	88.0	98.2
	Older Youth	82.0	78.3
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0	76.8
	Adults	3,126	6,190
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0	108.4
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	4,030	3,061
	Adults	65.0	81.2
	Dislocated Workers	59.0	79.7
	Older Youth	61.0	66.7
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0	85.1
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	75.0	89.5
Overall Status of Local Performan	ce	Not Met	Met Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne	Performance Level met,	1	0 16



Local Area Name		Adults			443
		Dislocated Workers		941	
Oyster Bay/North Hempstead/Glen Cove	Total Participants Served	Older Youth			22
		Younger Yout	:h	88	
		Adults			315
	Total Foltons	Dislocated Workers			514
	Total Exiters	Older Youth			14
		Younger Youth			41
		Negotiate Performance	ed	Perfo	Actual rmance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			76.8
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			61.2
	Adults	79.0		69.1	
	Dislocated Workers	84.0		73.2	
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0		66.7	
	Adults	80.0			82.7
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			86.2
	Older Youth	74.0		82.4	
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			61.7
	Adults	2,991			2,745
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		78.8	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	4,030			2,027
	Adults	71.0			85.7
	Dislocated Workers	61.0			65.4
	Older Youth	46.0			50.0
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0		100.0	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	73.0			98.3
Overall Status of Local Performance	9	Not Met	М	et	Exceeded

(Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)



Table O - Local Program Activities

	bie O - Locai Program Activ	11163				
Local Area Name		Adults			337	
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Wo	orkers		250	
Niagara County	Total Faiticipants Serveu	Older Youth		48		
		Younger Yout	h	235		
		Adults Total Exiters Dislocated Workers				
	Total Exitors	Dislocated Wo	orkers		182	
	Total Exiters	Older Youth			25	
		Younger Yout	h		117	
		Negotiate Performance			Actual mance Level	
	Program Participants	72.0			79.7	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		76.5		
	Adults	76.0			86.9	
	Dislocated Workers	85.0		88.3		
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	71.0 80.0		66.7		
	Adults			88.5		
	Dislocated Workers	88.0		92.6		
	Older Youth	73.0			64.0	
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			56.4	
	Adults	2,777			1,745	
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0		88.0		
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,335			1,960	
	Adults	71.0			75.4	
	Dislocated Workers	63.0			76.0	
	Older Youth	53.0			16.7	
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	53.0			60.5	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0			57.0	
Overall Status of Local Performand	ce	Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded	
(Number of Measures where Actual F did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne		2	0)	15	



Table O - Local Program Activities

•	abie O - Locai Program Activ	11.00			
Local Area Name		Adults		1,043	
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated W	orkers	1,280	
Oneida/Herkimer/Madison	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		54	
		Younger You	h	859	
		Adults		721	
	Total Exiters	Dislocated W	orkers	474	
	Total Exiters	Older Youth		25	
		Younger You	th	213	
		Negotiate Performance	ed Level Po	Actual erformance Level	
	Program Participants	72.0		69.4	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		75.8	
	Adults	80.0		86.6	
	Dislocated Workers	86.0		91.6	
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0		51.4	
	Adults	80.0		89.8	
	Dislocated Workers	89.0		92.5	
	Older Youth	74.0		60.0	
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	47.0		74.4	
	Adults	3,086		4,296	
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		110.7	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900		458	
	Adults	74.0		77.7	
	Dislocated Workers	61.0		74.8	
	Older Youth	45.0		24.4	
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	49.0		77.6	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	69.0		91.4	
Overall Status of Local Performan	ce	Not Met	Met	Exceeded	
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne		2	0	15	



Та	ble O - Local Program Activ	ities				
Local Area Name		Adults		727		
	Total Dantisinanta Santad	Dislocated Workers		621		
Orange County	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		34		
		Younger Youth		72		
	Total Exiters	Adults		843		
		Dislocated Workers		430		
		Older Youth		22		
		Younger Youth		31		
		Negotiated Performance Level		Actual Performance Level		
	Program Participants	72.0		75.2		
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		65.1		
	Adults	76.0		91.6		
	Dislocated Workers	80.0		72.1		
Entered Employment Rate	pyment Rate Older Youth 71.0			77.		
	Adults	80.0		92.8		
	Dislocated Workers	88.0		89.1		
	Older Youth	75.0		61.9		
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0		67.8		
	Adults	2,839		2,192		
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		89.1		
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,340		1,542		
	Adults	61.0 53.0 43.0		79.5		
	Dislocated Workers			77.1		
	Older Youth			35.7		
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	43.0		25.0		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	73.0	73.0		77.8	
Overall Status of Local Performance (Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met,		Not Met	M	et	Exceeded	
did not meet or exceeded 80% of Neg	3	()	14		



Local Area Name		ities Adults		297	
Oswego County		Dislocated Workers		252	
	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		27	
		Younger Youth		178	
	Total Exiters	Adults		274	
		Dislocated Workers		157	
		Older Youth		10	
		Younger Youth		32	
		Negotiated		Actual	
		Performance	Level	Perfor	mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0		77.2	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		76.1	
	Adults	84.0		87.8	
	Dislocated Workers	86.0		93.4	
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	81.0		100.0	
	Adults	80.0		86.4	
	Dislocated Workers	88.0		97.0	
	Older Youth	79.0		91.7	
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0		64.1	
	Adults	3,461		505	
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		91.2	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900		5,493	
	Adults	77.0 61.0 56.0		89.3	
	Dislocated Workers			86.0	
	Older Youth			60.0	
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	53.0		77.8	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0		92.0	
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)		1	0		16



Т	able O - Local Program Activ	ities		
Local Area Name		Adults		278
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Worke	ers	519
Rockland County	Total Farticipants Served	Older Youth		13
		Younger Youth		161
		Adults		224
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Worke	ers	350
	Total Exiters	Older Youth		10
		Younger Youth		279
		Negotiated Performance Lev	vel Perf	Actual formance Level
	Program Participants	72.0		79.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		63.9
	Adults	74.0		88.9
	Dislocated Workers	76.0		90.2
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0		70.0
	Adults	80.0		83.1
	Dislocated Workers	88.0		91.6
	Older Youth	74.0		100.0
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	47.0		26.4
	Adults	3,036		4,195
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		112.3
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,997		295
	Adults	61.0		100.0
	Dislocated Workers	51.0		60.0
	Older Youth	51.0		0.0
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	44.0		1.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	70.0		97.4
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne		4	0	13



Local Area Name		Adults			401
	T. 15 "	Dislocated Workers		s 150	
St. Lawrence County	Total Participants Served	Older Youth			49
		Younger Yout	:h		150
		Adults			347
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Wo	orkers		147
	l Otal Exiters	Older Youth			29
		Younger Yout	:h		100
		Negotiate Performance	ed	Perfo	Actual mance Leve
	Program Participants	72.0			80.4
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			75.5
	Adults	71.0			92.7
	Dislocated Workers	81.0			95.8
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0	84.4		84.4
	Adults	80.0			93.9
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			95.0
	Older Youth	77.0			88.9
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			55.1
	Adults	2,675			2,827
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	85.0			94.6
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900			2,961
	Adults	61.0			80.1
	Dislocated Workers	57.0			77.5
	Older Youth	51.0			74.0
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	58.0		73.1	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	74.0			92.1
Overall Status of Local Performa	nce	Not Met	М	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)		0	()	17



Tai	ble O - Local Program Activ	ities			
Local Area Name		Adults			325
	Total Davisinanta Santad	Dislocated Workers		219	
Saratoga/Warren/Washington	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		33	
		Younger Yout	:h		153
		Adults			280
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Wo	orkers		78
	i otal Exiters	Older Youth			19
		Younger Yout	:h		82
		Negotiate Performance	ed	Perfo	Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			76.2
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			67.3
	Adults	76.0			83.1
	Dislocated Workers	83.0			81.8
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	73.0			75.0
	Adults	80.0			90.2
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			90.7
	Older Youth	77.0			88.2
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			51.5
	Adults	2,600			2,611
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0		76.9	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900			1,799
	Adults	66.0			69.4
	Dislocated Workers	57.0			56.0
	Older Youth	46.0			36.4
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	43.0	43.0		85.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	70.0			80.6
Overall Status of Local Performance	-	Not Met	М	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual P did not meet or exceeded 80% of Neg		2	()	15



Local Area Name		Adults			1,403
		Dislocated Workers		<u>'</u>	
Suffolk County	Total Participants Served	Older Youth			60
		Younger Youth	า		392
		Adults			1,482
	Tatal Faitana	Dislocated Wo	rkers		1,835
	Total Exiters	Older Youth			36
		Younger Youth	า		209
		Negotiate Performance I	d		Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			78.0
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			65.4
	Adults	71.0			75.3
	Dislocated Workers	80.0			72.7
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0			62.5
	Adults	80.0			81.9
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			86.6
	Older Youth	77.0			70.0
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	47.0			57.5
	Adults	2,600			1,021
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0			80.7
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900			1,403
	Adults	61.0			75.3
	Dislocated Workers	53.0			79.1
	Older Youth	43.0			31.3
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	43.0			82.8
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	70.0			85.9
Overall Status of Local Performa	nce	Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actua did not meet or exceeded 80% of N	l Performance Level met,	3	0		14



Local Area Name	Гable O - Local Program Activ	Adults			92
		Dislocated Workers			
Ulster County	Total Participants Served	Older Youth	, incis		11
•		Younger Yout	h		73
		Adults			79
		Dislocated Wo	rkers		121
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	7111010		5
		Younger Yout	h		42
		Negotiate Performance	d	-	Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			83.0
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			70.3
	Adults	79.0			85.7
	Dislocated Workers	82.0			90.6
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0			40.0
	Adults	80.0			86.8
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			94.0
	Older Youth	72.0			100.0
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	43.0			89.7
	Adults	2,600		3,523	
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0			109.5
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,910			4,415
	Adults	70.0			81.0
	Dislocated Workers	57.0			84.4
	Older Youth	47.0			33.3
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	43.0			30.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	72.0			75.9
Overall Status of Local Performa	nce	Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actua did not meet or exceeded 80% of N	l Performance Level met,	3	0)	14



	Table O - Local Program Activ		<u> </u>		
Local Area Name		Adults			206
0 1 11 10	Total Participants Served	Dislocated We	orkers	101	
Columbia/Greene	•	Older Youth			26
		Younger Yout	:h		173
		Adults			141
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Wo	orkers		66
	Total Exitore	Older Youth			12
		Younger Yout	:h		95
		Negotiate Performance			Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			73.6
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			71.0
	Adults	74.0			75.0
	Dislocated Workers	80.0			90.3
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	79.0			100.0
	Adults	84.0			82.9
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			90.0
	Older Youth	76.0			81.3
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			75.0
	Adults	2,777			4,422
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0			94.2
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900			3,613
	Adults	67.0			78.8
	Dislocated Workers	53.0			83.3
	Older Youth	61.0			75.0
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0			90.7
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	74.0			87.0
Overall Status of Local Performa		Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actua did not meet or exceeded 80% of N		0	0)	17



Table O - Local Program Activities Local Area Name Adults 104 **Dislocated Workers** 50 **Total Participants Served Sullivan County Older Youth** 12 Younger Youth 29 64 Adults **Dislocated Workers** 39 **Total Exiters Older Youth** 10 Younger Youth 22 Negotiated Actual **Performance Level Performance Level Program Participants** 72.0 87.8 **Customer Satisfaction Employers** 69.0 69.3 Adults 81.0 82.3 **Dislocated Workers** 80.0 81.4 **Entered Employment Rate** Older Youth 100.0 77.0 Adults 80.0 86.9 **Dislocated Workers** 88.0 93.8 **Older Youth** 70.0 83.3 **Retention Rate** Younger Youth 48.0 0.08 Adults 2,798 3,287 **Dislocated Workers** 92.0 98.3 **Earnings Change/Earnings** Replacement in Six Months 2,846 Older Youth 2,900 Adults 73.0 83.0 **Dislocated Workers** 53.0 73.0 **Older Youth** 52.0 72.7 Credential/Diploma Rate **Younger Youth** 57.0 64.3 Skill Attainment Rate **Younger Youth** 76.0 90.9 **Not Met** Met Exceeded **Overall Status of Local Performance** (Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level) 0 0 17



Local Area Name		Adults			334
	T. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Dislocated Wo	orkers		211
Fulton/Montgomery/Schoharie	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		32	
		Younger Yout	h		124
		Adults			158
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Wo	orkers		143
	i otai Exiters	Older Youth			26
		Younger Yout	h		114
		Negotiate Performance	ed		Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			77.2
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			74.0
	Adults	82.0			95.3
	Dislocated Workers	80.0			91.6
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	81.0			100.0
	Adults	80.0			93.3
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			92.6
	Older Youth	77.0			87.5
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			67.4
	Adults	2,600			3,240
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0			117.8
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900			2,210
	Adults	72.0			89.9
	Dislocated Workers	54.0			80.8
	Older Youth	58.0			80.8
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0			84.4
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0			89.7
Overall Status of Local Performand	ce	Not Met	M	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual F did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne		1	()	16



	able O - Local Program Activ	rities		
Local Area Name		Adults		344
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Wo	rkers	159
North Country	Total Latterparts octved	Older Youth		34
		Younger Youth	า	217
		Adults		327
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Wo	rkers	85
	Total Exiters	Older Youth		10
		Younger Youth	1	170
		Negotiate Performance I	d Level F	Actual Performance Level
·	Program Participants	72.0		79.6
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		77.3
	Adults	71.0		93.2
	Dislocated Workers	83.0		93.5
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	67.0		87.5
	Adults	80.0		93.9
	Dislocated Workers	88.0		85.2
	Older Youth	77.0		91.7
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0		79.2
	Adults	2,802		3,892
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		100.7
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900		3,145
	Adults	60.0		64.4
	Dislocated Workers	57.0		85.0
	Older Youth	43.0		66.7
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0		89.5
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	73.0		82.1
Overall Status of Local Performan		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of N		0	0	17



Local Area Name		Adults			503
	T. (15 (1) (1)	Dislocated We	orkers		382
Chenango/Delaware/Otsego	Total Participants Served	Older Youth			58
		Younger Yout	h		224
		Adults			437
	Total Exiters	Dislocated We	orkers		232
		Older Youth			40
		Younger Yout	th		68
		Negotiate Performance	ed	Perfo	Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			76.3
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			71.1
	Adults	83.0			90.4
	Dislocated Workers	85.0			94.4
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	69.0			75.0
	Adults	80.0			94.7
	Dislocated Workers	90.0			96.3
	Older Youth	77.0			85.7
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			66.0
	Adults	2,632			3,979
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0			97.4
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,569			3,554
	Adults	56.0			89.3
	Dislocated Workers	53.0			75.3
	Older Youth	47.0			50.0
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0			57.8
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0			79.6
Overall Status of Local Performan	ce	Not Met	M	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne		0	C)	17



Та	ble O - Local Program Activ	ities			
Local Area Name		Adults			786
	Total Bartisinanta Samual	Dislocated Workers		612	
Finger Lakes	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		80	
		Younger Youth	n		285
		Adults			557
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Wo	rkers		364
	i otal Exiters	Older Youth			35
		Younger Youth	n		174
		Negotiate Performance			Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			74.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			72.8
	Adults	79.0			92.2
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			94.4
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	70.0			91.7
	Adults	80.0		89.2	
	Dislocated Workers	90.0			93.1
	Older Youth	71.0		76.5	
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			64.9
	Adults	3,080			2,749
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0			88.4
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	3,016			3,804
	Adults	75.0			77.9
	Dislocated Workers	62.0			80.0
	Older Youth	48.0			69.2
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0			65.0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	74.0			84.4
	Overall Status of Local Performance		M	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual P did not meet or exceeded 80% of Neg		0	C)	17



Table O - Local Program Activities

	able O - Local Program Activ	ities			
Local Area Name		Adults			614
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Wo	orkers	295	
GLOW	Total Participants Serveu	Older Youth		43	
		Younger Yout	h		306
		Adults			687
	Total Fritage	Dislocated Wo	orkers		226
	Total Exiters	Older Youth			36
		Younger Yout	h		177
		Negotiate Performance	ed		Actual mance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			71.0
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			74.8
	Adults	75.0			84.9
	Dislocated Workers	86.0			88.8
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	81.0		93.5	
	Adults	80.0			93.5
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			92.8
	Older Youth	76.0			91.9
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			59.1
	Adults	2,102			1,540
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	89.0		81.3	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,500			4,087
	Adults	68.0			71.0
	Dislocated Workers	62.0			75.9
	Older Youth	58.0			74.4
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0			79.5
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	73.0			88.2
Overall Status of Local Performan	ce	Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne		1	0		16



T:	able O - Local Program Activ	ities	
Local Area Name		Adults	1,754
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers	1,200
Erie County	Total Farticipants Served	Older Youth	127
		Younger Youth	1,006
		Adults	1,169
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	698
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	83
		Younger Youth	683
		Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level
	Program Participants	72.0	72.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0	70.8
	Adults	77.0	88.8
	Dislocated Workers	85.0	91.1
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	76.0	72.7
	Adults	80.0	92.0
	Dislocated Workers	88.0	87.0
	Older Youth	74.0	81.1
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0	56.4
	Adults	2,468	2,002
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	90.0	100.3
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900	1,725
	Adults	68.0	77.3
	Dislocated Workers	58.0	81.2
	Older Youth	48.0	54.8
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	50.0	42.9
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0	79.2
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met I	Met Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne		1	0 16



	Table O - Local Program Activ			
Local Area Name		Adults		763
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Worke	rs	1,701
Onondaga County	•	Older Youth		76
		Younger Youth		606
		Adults		1,279
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Worke	rs	431
		Older Youth		61
		Younger Youth		618
		Negotiated Performance Lev	el Perf	Actual ormance Level
	Program Participants	72.0		71.6
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		72.1
	Adults	70.0		92.8
	Dislocated Workers	87.0		84.9
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	66.0		55.1
	Adults	80.0		85.9
	Dislocated Workers	88.0		89.8
	Older Youth	74.0		92.0
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0		55.7
	Adults	2,827		1,812
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	90.0		91.0
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	3,053		3,363
	Adults	63.0		92.0
	Dislocated Workers	53.0		74.0
	Older Youth	46.0		43.5
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	39.0		61.3
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	72.0		92.0
Overall Status of Local Performa	nce	Not Met	Met	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actua did not meet or exceeded 80% of N	Performance Level met,	1	0	16



Table O - Local Program Activities Local Area Name 115 **Dislocated Workers** 251 **Total Participants Served** Cayuga/Cortland Older Youth 30 **Younger Youth** 162 **Adults** 103 **Dislocated Workers** 165 **Total Exiters** Older Youth 13 Younger Youth 27 Negotiated Actual **Performance Level Performance Level** 72.0 76.7 **Program Participants Customer Satisfaction Employers** 69.0 74.8 Adults 73.0 98.3 **Dislocated Workers** 97.2 83.0 **Entered Employment Rate** Older Youth 78.0 100.0 Adults 0.08 94.3 **Dislocated Workers** 0.88 94.4 Older Youth 76.0 90.0 **Retention Rate** Younger Youth 44.0 65.8 Adults 2,674 2,303 **Dislocated Workers** 87.0 94.6 **Earnings Change/Earnings** Replacement in Six Months 1,427 Older Youth 3,053 Adults 68.0 84.8 **Dislocated Workers** 84.2 58.0 Older Youth 51.0 77.8 Credential/Diploma Rate 85.7 Younger Youth 53.0 **Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth** 71.0 75.2 **Not Met** Met Exceeded **Overall Status of Local Performance** (Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level) 0 1 16



Local Area Name		Adults	424
		Dislocated Workers	190
Allegany/Cattaraugus	Total Participants Served	Older Youth	42
		Younger Youth	146
		Adults	406
		Dislocated Workers	200
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	19
		Younger Youth	69
		Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level
	Program Participants	72.0	72.3
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0	74.4
	Adults	72.0	92.7
	Dislocated Workers	82.0	89.4
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	73.0	89.5
	Adults	80.0	90.5
	Dislocated Workers	88.0	94.8
	Older Youth	77.0	80.0
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	47.0	64.7
	Adults	3,029	3,370
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0	106.9
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,756	2,978
	Adults	64.0	69.0
	Dislocated Workers	57.0	73.9
	Older Youth	50.0	61.9
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	43.0	63.6
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	74.0	79.7

Overall Status of Local Performance

(Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)



Exceeded

17

Not Met

0

Met

0

Table O - Local Program Activities Local Area Name **Adults** 382 **Dislocated Workers** 530 **Total Participants Served Chautauqua County Older Youth** 55 **Younger Youth** 142 449 **Adults Dislocated Workers** 289 **Total Exiters Older Youth** 18 Younger Youth 127 Negotiated Actual **Performance Level Performance Level** 72.0 75.6 **Program Participants Customer Satisfaction** 69.0 74.2 **Employers** Adults 73.0 92.8 80.0 92.4 **Dislocated Workers Entered Employment Rate** Older Youth 74.0 100.0 80.0 92.1 Adults **Dislocated Workers** 0.88 95.8 Older Youth 77.0 0.08 **Retention Rate Younger Youth** 47.0 57.9 2,600 3,388 Adults **Dislocated Workers** 92.0 96.1 **Earnings Change/Earnings** Replacement in Six Months **Older Youth** 2,900 1,824 **Adults** 65.0 87.4 **Dislocated Workers** 56.0 64.8 **Older Youth** 47.0 52.4 Credential/Diploma Rate 48.0 **Younger Youth** 77.3 **Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth** 70.0 83.5 **Not Met** Met **Exceeded Overall Status of Local Performance** (Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level) 0 16 1



	Гаble О - Local Program Activ	rities			
Local Area Name		Adults			625
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers		652	
Broome/Tioga	Total Full-oparite Colvea	Older Youth			108
		Younger Yout	:h	223	
		Adults			413
	Total Exiters	Dislocated We	orkers		348
	Total Exiters	Older Youth			40
		Younger Yout	:h		164
		Negotiate Performance		_	Actual nance Level
	Program Participants	72.0			71.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			79.6
	Adults	73.0			92.8
	Dislocated Workers	80.0			96.0
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	71.0			58.5
	Adults	80.0			80.1
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			90.6
	Older Youth	77.0			63.3
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0			55.0
	Adults	2,322		;	3,711
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0		107.0	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900	2,900		2,429
	Adults	65.0			71.1
	Dislocated Workers	56.0			77.0
	Older Youth	56.0			57.4
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	53.0		36.7	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	73.0	73.0 76.8		76.8
Overall Status of Local Performa (Number of Measures where Actua		Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
did not meet or exceeded 80% of N	1	0)	16	



Total Participants Served		Table O - Local Program Ac	tivities			
Tompkins County	Local Area Name		Adults			245
Total Exiters		Total Barticinants Sarved	Dislocated Workers		245	
Total Exiters	Tompkins County	Total Faiticipants Serveu	Older Youth		22	
Total Exiters			Younger Youth		81	
Total Exiters			Adults		160	
Older Youth 2		Total Evitara	Dislocated Wo	rkers		165
Negotiated Performance Level Performance Level		Total Exiters	Older Youth		2	
Negotiated Performance Level Customer Satisfaction Program Participants 72.0 71.4			Younger Youth	1		0
Customer Satisfaction Employers 69.0 70.4					Pe	rformance
Adults		Program Participants	72.0			71.4
Dislocated Workers 80.0 89.4	Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		70.4	
Not Met Net Met Exceede Net Met Exceede Net Met Net Met Met Net Met Net Met Met Met Met Met Net Met Met Met Net Met Met Met Met Met Met Met Met Met M		Adults	71.0			83.3
Adults 80.0 87.6		Dislocated Workers	80.0		89.4	
Dislocated Workers 88.0 90.9	Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	73.0		60.0	
Older Youth 71.0 76.5		Adults	80.0			87.6
Retention Rate Younger Youth 48.0 75.0 Adults 2,600 4,111 Earnings Change/Earnings Replacement in Six Months Dislocated Workers 92.0 118.4 Older Youth 2,457 4,451 Adults 61.0 85.7 Dislocated Workers 59.0 85.2 Older Youth 48.0 61.5 Zero Individuals the Performance Tohort Credential/Diploma Rate Younger Youth 57.0 Cohort Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth 72.0 81.8 Overall Status of Local Performance Not Met Met Exceeded		Dislocated Workers	88.0			90.9
Adults		Older Youth	71.0		76.5	
Earnings Change/Earnings Replacement in Six Months Dislocated Workers 92.0 118.4 Adults 2,457 4,451 Adults 61.0 85.7 Dislocated Workers 59.0 85.2 Older Youth 48.0 61.5 Zero Individuals the Performance The Performance Cohort Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth 72.0 81.8 Overall Status of Local Performance Not Met Met Exceede	Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0		75.0	
Credential/Diploma Rate Younger Youth Yo		Adults	2,600			4,111
Adults 61.0 85.7	Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		118.4	
Dislocated Workers 59.0 85.2 Older Youth 48.0 61.5 Credential/Diploma Rate Younger Youth 57.0 Cohort Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth 72.0 81.8 Overall Status of Local Performance Not Met Met Exceeded	Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,457		4,451	
Older Youth 48.0 61.5 Zero Individuals the Performance Credential/Diploma Rate Younger Youth 57.0 Cohort 72.0 81.8 Overall Status of Local Performance		Adults	61.0			85.7
Credential/Diploma Rate Younger Youth 57.0 Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth 72.0 Not Met Met Exceede		Dislocated Workers	59.0			85.2
Credential/Diploma Rate Younger Youth 57.0 the Performance Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth 72.0 81.8 Overall Status of Local Performance Not Met Met Exceede		Older Youth	48.0			
Overall Status of Local Performance Not Met Exceede	Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	57.0		the Performance	
Overall Status of Local Performance	Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	72.0		81.8	
did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level) 1 0 16	(Number of Measures where Actual	Performance Level met,			t	Exceeded



Table O - Local Program Activities Local Area Name Adults 426 **Dislocated Workers** 152 **Total Participants Served Dutchess County Older Youth** 17 **Younger Youth** 144 Adults 408 **Dislocated Workers** 125 **Total Exiters Older Youth** 15 **Younger Youth** 100 Negotiated Actual **Performance Level Performance Level Program Participants** 72.0 73.4 **Customer Satisfaction Employers** 69.0 71.1 77.0 90.2 Adults **Dislocated Workers** 0.08 86.5 **Entered Employment Rate Older Youth** 63.0 66.7 Adults 80.0 86.1 **Dislocated Workers** 0.88 90.5 **Older Youth** 69.0 77.8 **Retention Rate Younger Youth** 47.0 52.1 Adults 2,827 894 **Dislocated Workers** 87.0 99.2 **Earnings Change/Earnings** Replacement in Six Months **Older Youth** 2,340 2,847 Adults 56.0 71.0 **Dislocated Workers** 53.0 64.1 Older Youth 43.0 50.0 Credential/Diploma Rate **Younger Youth** 45.0 67.4 **Skill Attainment Rate Younger Youth** 71.0 92.1

Overall Status of Local Performance

(Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)



Exceeded

16

Not Met

1

Met

0

T	able O - Local Program Activ	ities		
Local Area Name		Adults		426
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Work	ers	152
Dutchess County	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		17
		Younger Youth		144
		Adults		408
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Work	ers	125
	Total Exiters	Older Youth		15
		Younger Youth		100
		Negotiated Performance Lev	vel Perf	Actual formance Level
	Program Participants	72.0		73.4
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0		71.1
	Adults	77.0		90.2
	Dislocated Workers	80.0		86.5
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0		66.7
	Adults	80.0		86.1
	Dislocated Workers	88.0		90.5
	Older Youth	69.0		77.8
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	47.0		52.1
	Adults	2,827		894
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	87.0		99.2
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,340		2,847
	Adults	56.0		71.0
	Dislocated Workers	53.0		64.1
	Older Youth	43.0		50.0
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	45.0		67.4
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0		92.1
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual did not meet or exceeded 80% of Ne	1	0	16	



Local Area Name		Adults			1,435
Westchester County Balance/Putnam		Dislocated Workers		·	
	Total Participants Served	Older Youth Younger Youth		56 307	
		Adults			738
		Dislocated Workers		471	
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	OI KCI 3		27
		Younger Yout	th		304
		Negotiate Performance	ed	Perfo	Actual mance Leve
	Program Participants	72.0			65.3
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0			65.4
	Adults	71.0			80.2
	Dislocated Workers	80.0		83.6	
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0		100.0	
	Adults	80.0			88.2
	Dislocated Workers	88.0			91.3
	Older Youth	77.0		100.0	
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	43.0			52.4
	Adults	2,813			3,206
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	92.0		99.5	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900		6,799	
	Adults	61.0			38.3
	Dislocated Workers	53.0			48.4
	Older Youth	43.0			95.5
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	43.0		56.7	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	68.0	1		91.4
Overall Status of Local Performa		Not Met	M	et	Exceeded
(Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met, did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)		1	()	16



Table O - Local Program Activities

	<u> pie O - Locai Program Activ</u>	itics	
Local Area Name	Adults		2,516
	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers	1,384
Monroe County		Older Youth	135
		Younger Youth	1,121
		Adults	1,756
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	639
	Total Exiters	Older Youth	57
		Younger Youth	494
		Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level
	Program Participants	72.0	70.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	69.0	68.6
	Adults	76.0	76.7
	Dislocated Workers	86.0	86.4
Entered Employment Rate	Older Youth	63.0	64.9
	Adults	80.0	90.5
	Dislocated Workers	88.0	92.6
	Older Youth	77.0	86.1
Retention Rate	Younger Youth	48.0	51.6
	Adults	2,827	2,113
Earnings Change/Earnings	Dislocated Workers	85.0	89.0
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth	2,900	1,049
	Adults	68.0	89.4
	Dislocated Workers	53.0	58.4
	Older Youth	46.0	30.8
Credential/Diploma Rate	Younger Youth	53.0	41.1
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0	70.2
Overall Status of Local Performance (Number of Measures where Actual Performance Level met,		Not Met	Met Exceeded
did not meet or exceeded 80% of Negotiated Performance Level)		4	0 13



Workforce
NYS Department of Labor
Workforce
New York
Put us to work for you