

PERFORMANCE MEASURE TABLES

MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE PROTECTION

Protect the nation's natural, cultural and heritage resources

End Outcome: Improve health of watersheds, landscapes, and marine resources that are DOI managed or influenced consistent with obligations and state law regarding the allocation and use of water

Percent of DOI stream/shoreline miles that have achieved desired conditions where condition is known and as specified in management plans

DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	87%	91%	94%	92%	93% (E)	93%	
Miles in desired condition	193,147	247,909	494,995	495,533	497,319	497,368	
Miles with known condition	222,830	273,093	524,199	536,124	535,995	535,947	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module NPS - Performance Management Data System						

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	90%	90%	91%	84%	85%	85%	
Miles in desired condition	128,310	128,310	130,146	130,646	131,976	131,976	
Miles with known condition	143,290	143,290	143,290	155,105	154,976	154,976	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - State/Field Office enters data in official case files and final reports. Final data is entered in the Performance Management Data System (PMDS). The Washington Office verifies with states that data is complete and accurate. Official case files are maintained on site and used by the state offices and Washington Office to verify data. Land condition is published in the the Public Land Statistics. Data Source: BLM-State/Field Office case files and final reports. Performance Management Data System (PMDS)						

FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	89%	97%	97%	97%	97%	97%	
Miles in desired condition	59,125	65,168	310,137	310,028	310,066	310,067	
Miles with known condition	66,792	67,348	318,454	318,519	318,519	318,471	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight (+ or - 5%). There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	45%	87%	88%	88%	88% (E)	89%	
Miles in desired condition	5,712	54,431	54,712	54,859	55,277	55,325	
Miles with known condition	12,748	62,455	62,455	62,500	62,500	62,500	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	NPS - Performance Management Data System						

Percent of DOI acres that have achieved desired conditions where condition is known and as specified in management plans

DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	62%	68%	69%	73%	73% (E)	70%	
Acres in desired condition	212,179,054	260,199,936	263,419,255	315,794,919	315,877,213	268,416,198	
Acres w/ known condition	344,308,411	385,005,230	383,166,319	434,431,820	434,431,820	380,879,726	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module NPS - Performance Management Data System						






1
6
1
4



1
4
6
5

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
TOTALS	52%	57%	58%	59%	59%	61%		
Acres in desired condition	135,410,846	145,082,806	147,325,000	149,126,000	149,206,024	150,426,000		
Acres w/ known condition	258,000,000	256,000,000	253,000,000	253,000,000	253,000,000	248,000,000		
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	BLM - State/Field Office enters data in official case files and final reports. Final data is entered in the Performance Management Data System (PMDS). The Washington Office verifies with states that data is complete and accurate. Official case files are maintained on site and used by the state offices and Washington Office to verify data. Land condition is published in the the Public Land Statistics. Data Source: BLM-State/Field Office case files and final reports. Performance Management Data System (PMDS)							
FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
TOTALS	89%	92%	91%	94%	94%	91%		
Acres in desired condition	76,768,208	87,299,000	88,066,834	138,479,026	138,479,026	89,798,035		
Acres w/ known condition	86,308,411	95,228,183	96,389,272	147,612,442	147,612,442	99,084,297		
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module							
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
TOTALS	Baseline Established	82%	83%	83%	83% (E)	83%		
Acres in desired condition	No Value	27,818,130	28,027,421	28,189,893	28,192,163	28,192,163		
Acres w/ known condition	No Value	33,777,047	33,777,047	33,819,378	33,819,378	33,795,429		
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	NPS - Performance Management Data System							
Number of Federal, private and tribal land and surface water acres reclaimed or mitigated from the effects of natural resource degradation from past coal mining								
OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
TOTALS	6,658	9,909	5,838	7,700	16,565	11,000		
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. For FY 2010, the Abandoned Mine Land Inventory System reported 16,565 acres reclaimed for Priority 1, 2 and associated 3 projects. This is 8,865 more than the target of 7,700. Of the 16,565 acres reclaimed, 10,050 were related to 14 projects in two states which affected human consumption of polluted water. This equates to 2,010 households that had polluted water problems resolved. The total number of problem areas addressed in FY 2010 was 413, or 10 percent more than in FY 2009.							
Data Source	OSM - The Abandoned Mine Land Inventory System (AMLIS). Information calculated from projects reported with completion dates of 10/1/09 - 9/30/10 are entered in the Abandoned Mine Land Inventory System (AMLIS). States and tribes select sites from those contained in AMLIS. Sites identified as a Priority 1 or 2 (High Priority) are those hazardous to the public and/or environment.							
Intermediate Outcome: Restore watersheds and landscapes								
Number of DOI riparian (stream/shoreline) miles restored to the condition specified in management plans								
DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
TOTALS	658	827	862	717	1,812 (E)	863		
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module NPS - Performance Data Management System							
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
TOTALS	601	767	779	650	1,734	788		
Performance Explanation	Goal met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	BLM - Performance Management Data System							

1 4 7 1	FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	57	53	72	52	63	58	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. Target Exceeded. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight (+ or - 5%). There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module							
1 4 7 1	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	Baseline Established	7	11	15	15 (E)	17	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	NPS - Performance Data Management System							
1 4 7 5	Number of non-DOI riparian (stream/shoreline) miles restored, including miles restored through partnerships, as specified in management plans, as specified in management plans or agreements that involve DOI							
	FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	1,522	9,796	11,110 (E)	1,252	3,334	614	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module							
1 4 8 0	Percent of acres treated which are moved toward desired condition							
	OFFICE OF WILDLAND FIRE COORDINATION							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	80%	83%	85%	86%	75%	No Target	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. The Department treated more than the total number of acres planned; however, the desired ecological response was not achieved in all cases based on the prescribed treatments.							
Steps to Improve	Future treatment regimens will include adjustment of implementation practices through monitoring activities that will facilitate the achievement of desired conditions.							
Data Source	DOI - National Fire Plan Operations and Reporting System							
1 4 8 1	Percent of acres treated which are maintained in desired condition							
	OFFICE OF WILDLAND FIRE COORDINATION							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	16%	16%	14%	14%	18%	No Target	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	National Fire Plan Operations & Reporting System (NFPORS)							
Intermediate Outcome: Manage and protect watersheds and landscapes								
1 4 8 2	Number of DOI riparian (stream/shoreline) miles managed or protected to maintain desired condition as specified in management plans							
	FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	59,125	65,115	310,032	309,976	310,003	310,009	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight (+ or - 5%). There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.							
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module							

MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE PROTECTION								
<i>Protect the nation's natural, cultural and heritage resources</i>								
End Outcome: Sustain biological communities on DOI managed and influenced lands and waters consistent with obligations and state law regarding the allocation and use of water								
1 4 9 0	Percent of fish species of management concern that are managed to self-sustaining levels, in cooperation with affected States and others, as defined in approved management documents							
	FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	42%	29%	30%	8%	8%	8%	
	Self-sustaining species	63	48	44	17	16	16	
	Total number of species	150	164	146	211	211	213	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Target missed by 1 fish species. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight (+ or - 5%). There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.						
	Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						
	1 4 9 1	Percent of all migratory bird species that are at healthy and sustainable levels						
		FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE						
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS		62%	62%	62%	63%	72%	72%	
Sustainable Species		561	568	568	570	725	726	
Total number of species		912	912	912	912	1,007	1,007	
Performance Explanation		Goal Met or Exceeded. During FY2010, the List of Migratory Birds published in the Code of Federal Regulations (50 FR 10.13) was updated. The change reflects an update of best scientific understanding and taxonomic organization of bird species and is used to determine how many species are defined as migratory birds for this measure. The increase in performance is partially attributable to continued habitat effort providing support to maintain healthy species status. However, a significant portion of the increase in the number of sustainable species is due to the fact that many of the newly classified migratory birds are in fact already at healthy and sustainable levels.						
Data Source		FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						
4 4 4		Percent of baseline acres infested with invasive plant species that are controlled						
		DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE						
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	1.68%	2.04%	1.45%	1.48%	1.50% (E)	1.56%	
	Acres controlled	633,208	792,638	575,691	591,736	598,650	621,352	
	Total baseline acres	37,717,610	38,943,435	39,690,434	39,888,652	39,888,652	39,823,762	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
	Data Source	BLM - State/Field Office case files and final reports. Performance Management Data System (PMDS) NPS - Performance Management Data System (PMDS); FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module BOR - Area/regional office plans and reports						
	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	0.97%	1.25%	1.15%	1.15%	1.21%	1.26%		
Acres controlled	338,585	436,698	411,388	411,388	433,905	450,000		
Total baseline acres	35,000,000	35,000,000	35,762,000	35,762,000	35,762,000	35,762,000		
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	BLM - State/Field Office enters data in official case files and final reports. Invasive species information is also entered in the Invasive Species Information Management System (NISIMs) and the Rangeland Improvement Project System (RIPS). Final data is entered in the Performance Management Data System and other reports. The Washington Office queries the various data bases and the PMDS and verifies with states that data is complete and accurate. Official case files are maintained on site and used by the State and Washington Offices to verify data. Data Sources: BLM - State/Field Office case files and final reports. Performance Management Data System (PMDS).							

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	100.00%	95.53%	95.48%	97.28%	100.91%	95.92%	
Acres controlled	4,457	6,452	5,955	6,224	6,456	6,042	
Total baseline acres	4,457	6,754	6,237	6,398	6,398	6,299	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. At the time targets are established, the estimates for acreage needing invasive species control is highly variable due to conditions evident throughout the various seasons. Such reasonable variability affects plant growth and propagation and therefore the amount of treatment required for control. Most invasive species control efforts are performed through the use of weed control districts. These districts are responsible for treatment of State, county and municipal properties and when contracted to do so, Federal properties.						
Data Source							
FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	13.94%	14.66%	6.35%	6.41%	5.62%	6.06%	
Acres controlled	280,961	341,467	146,938	160,893	140,935	147,957	
Total baseline acres	2,015,840	2,329,450	2,312,632	2,508,387	2,508,387	2,442,235	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. The projected number of acres with invasive plants were not controlled for several unanticipated reasons, including: key personnel were diverted to work on the Gulf oil spill efforts for many months during FY 2010; funding from partner bureaus for joint control efforts were not provided; and some treatments failed to control the invasive plant populations, and those acres must be retreated during the next growing season.						
Steps to Improve	Results that could not be achieved as planned in a given year due to uncontrollable factors are reconsidered in the planning of priorities for the program of work in the following year.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	1.32%	0.50%	0.71%	0.82%	1.08% (E)	1.08%	
Acres controlled	9,205	8,021	11,410	13,231	17,354	17,353	
Total baseline acres	697,313	1,607,231	1,609,565	1,611,867	1,611,867	1,613,228	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	NPS - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)						
Percent of invasive animal species populations that are controlled							
DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	7.5%	7.6%	8.8%	8.8%	8.4% (E)	8.5%	
Populations controlled	399	393	417	416	399	406	
Total infesting populations	5,293	5,173	4,723	4,733	4,733	4,760	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Implementation of control measures was delayed on several projects across the Department due to unanticipated factors arising since the plans were established.						
Steps to Improve	Results that could not be achieved as planned in a given year due to uncontrollable factors are reconsidered in the planning of priorities for the program of work in the following year.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module NPS - Species Database; National Park records						
FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	6.7%	6.5%	7.6%	7.8%	7.4%	7.6%	
Populations controlled	302	283	298	300	285	292	
Total infesting populations	4,493	4,367	3,900	3,844	3,844	3,849	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Unanticipated factors affected the progress of several projects during the year, examples of which include the following. Planned efforts to remove invasive crayfish populations from South and North Indian Spring in Region 8 was delayed for North Indian Spring until 2011 after crayfish were unexpectedly found in a small seep adjacent to the proposed outflow channel. Planned efforts in Region 2 were also not accomplished due to 1) Sequoyah NWR's inability to enact control measures because the station's hog management plan/EA was not completed and approved before the end of the FY and Big Boggy NWR's determination to delay treatment at this time based on field survey data.						
Steps to Improve	While FWS cannot control all external factors, these are considered in the target-setting process to ensure that plans are as close to expected results as possible. Results that could not be achieved as planned in a given year due to uncontrollable factors are reconsidered in the planning of priorities for the program of work in the following year.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
5 4 1	TOTALS	12.1%	13.6%	14.5%	13.0%	12.8% (E)	12.5%	
	Populations controlled	97	110	119	116	114	114	
	Total infesting populations	800	806	823	889	889	911	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. NPS was unable to achieve planned control of two species during FY 2010 due to changes in priorities at several parks that delayed implementation of control measures. Resources were redirected from implementation of invasive species control measures to address urgent priorities in other programs within the affected parks. The Natural Resources Stewardship program is analyzing the specific issues in the individual parks to determine how best to manage this situation for future planning.						
	Steps to Improve	Results that could not be achieved as planned in a given year due to uncontrollable factors are reconsidered in the planning of priorities for the program of work in the following year.						
Data Source	NPS - Species Database; National Park records							
Intermediate Outcome: Manage populations to self-sustaining levels for specific species								
Number of international species of management concern whose status has been improved in cooperation with affected countries								
1 4 9 4	FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	271	271	298	284	284	259	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module							


MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE PROTECTION

Protect the nation's natural, cultural and heritage resources

End Outcome: Protect cultural and natural heritage resources

Percent of archaeological sites on DOI inventory in good condition


DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	69%	57%	59%	60%	62% (E)	60%	
Sites in good condition	72,563	81,643	85,934	89,379	91,305	89,037	
Total archaeological sites	105,546	142,108	145,270	148,306	148,312	147,847	

Performance Explanation: Goal Met or Exceeded.

Data Source: BIA - Regional Staff Reports
BLM - Performance Management Data System
FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module
NPS - Archeological Sites Management Information System

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS


	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	90%	90%	80%	80%	77%	77%	
Sites in good condition	46	46	48	48	51	51	
Total archaeological sites	51	51	60	60	66	66	

Performance Explanation: Goal Not Met. Six additional sites were identified this quarter, raising the total number of archaeological sites to 66. Only three of these sites were in good condition raising the number of sites in good condition to 51, but the overall percentage dropped to 77%.

Steps to Improve: The percentage of archaeological sites in good condition dropped because six additional were recorded and three of these sites were not considered to be in good condition. There is no way to improve the condition of an archaeological site, it can only be stabilized. Numbers will fluctuate as new sites are identified.

Data Source: BIA - BIA field reports completed by professional archeologists.


BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	83%	83%	83%	84%	84%	83%	
Sites in good condition	44,911	47,537	48,980	52,620	52,620	51,123	
Total archaeological sites	54,273	57,273	58,837	62,987	62,987	61,837	

Performance Explanation: Goal Met or Exceeded.

Data Source: BLM - Performance Management Data System


FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	Baseline Established	15%	15%	19%	22%	20%	
Sites in good condition	No Value	2,765	2,796	2,831	3,216	2,900	
Total archaeological sites	No Value	18,524	18,849	14,563	14,563	14,669	

Performance Explanation: Goal Met or Exceeded.

Data Source: FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	54%	47%	51%	48%	50% (E)	49%	
Sites in good condition	27,606	31,295	34,110	33,880	35,418	34,963	
Total archaeological sites	51,222	66,260	67,524	70,696	70,696	71,275	

Performance Explanation: Goal Met or Exceeded.

Data Source: NPS - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)

1
4
9
5

Percent of historic structures on DOI inventory in good condition							
DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	56%	51%	53%	51%	52% (E)	52%	
Good Condition Structures	15,043	15,548	16,390	16,231	16,571	16,652	
Total structures	26,731	30,586	30,948	31,654	31,690	31,863	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BIA - Regional Staff Reports BLM - Performance Management Data System FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module NPS - List of Classified Structures						
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	0%	45%	17%	24%	20%	20%	
Good Condition Structures	0	63	19	27	29	29	
Total structures	115	140	111	111	146	146	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Additional inventories raised the number of historic buildings to 146. The Facility Condition Index (FCI) showed 29 structures to be in good condition. The overall percentage of buildings in good condition rose slightly because the condition assessments of some buildings were not previously available.						
Steps to Improve	Updated FMIS data showed the percentage of buildings in good condition to increase to 20%. This is because the FMIS database was updated and corrected. No funding is provided to improve the condition of historic buildings. Until such funding is available, the condition of historic buildings is not expected to change.						
Data Source	BIA - Indian Affairs Fixed Asset System (FAS) and Indian Affairs Facilities Management System (FMIS)						
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	48%	50%	49%	48%	49%	49%	
Good Condition Structures	158	182	187	185	192	197	
Total structures	326	362	380	389	390	400	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - State/Field Office enters data in official case files. Cultural data is reported to the Washington Office (WO) in the State Offices (SO) Annual Cultural Resource Management report and the Performance Management Data System. WO queries PMDS and verifies with states that data is complete and accurate. Official case files are maintained on site and used by the SO and WO to verify data. Data Sources: BLM - State Offices Annual CRM (Cultural Resource Management) Reports. Performance Management Data System (PMDS).						
FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	19%	6%	4%	5%	5%	6%	
Good Condition Structures	114	127	120	119	119	125	
Total structures	603	2,219	2,759	2,249	2,249	2,254	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	58%	54%	58%	55%	56% (E)	56%	
Good Condition Structures	14,771	15,176	16,064	15,900	16,231	16,301	
Total structures	25,687	27,865	27,698	28,905	28,905	29,063	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	NPS - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)						





1
4
9
6






Percent of collections in DOI inventory in good condition (i.e., maintained according to DOI museum property management collection standards)							
DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	38%	37%	38%	41%	42% (E)	43%	
Good Condition Collections	925	1,098	1,123	1,054	1,119	1,138	
Total Collections	2,448	2,945	2,942	2,540	2,664	2,667	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Steps to Improve	The Museum Property Program (MPP) has instituted a nationwide initiative to improve the documentation of required management plans and data reporting. The MPP has identified these deficiencies as one of the major reasons for facility condition assessments not receiving a rating of "Good." It is anticipated that this initiative will result in a measurable improvement.						
Data Source	BIA - Cultural Resource lead with verification by the supervisory Environmental Protection specialist BLM - Performance Management Data System BOR - 411 DM Checklists FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module NPS - Automated National Catalog System (ANCS+ database), Collections Management Report						
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	50%	53%	56%	62%	35%	40%	
Good Condition Collections	87	99	104	115	60	69	
Total Collections	173	186	186	186	173	173	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. There have been no museum staff at IA to conduct condition assessments outside the Central Office collection during FY 2010. Q4: There may have been an inadvertent double counting of some condition assessments as well as an incorporation of condition assessments from other agencies which house IA collections. We have removed those redundancies from our numbers, along with seven Federal facilities. This reduces the denominator and at the same time, reduces the numerator. One IA facility was reduced from Good to Fair, and six IA facilities, whose conditions were assessed, were raised from Poor to Good or Fair.						
Steps to Improve	A Museum Program Manager and museum staff curator reported for duty at the end of this reporting period. Condition assessments will resume in Q4. The Museum Property Program (MPP) has instituted a nationwide initiative to improve the documentation of required management plans and data reporting. The MPP has identified these deficiencies as one of the major reasons for facility condition assessments not receiving a rating of "Good." It is anticipated that this initiative will result in a measurable improvement.						
Data Source	BIA - BIA Museum Collection inventory of locations housing BIA Collections, Condition Assessment data (411 DM checklists, self certification of compliance with DOI standards, Federal Agency evaluations of 36 CFR 79 compliance, or museum accreditation).						
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	100%	75%	74%	100%	75%	77%	
Good Condition Collections	3	101	104	3	106	109	
Total Collections	3	135	140	3	141	142	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. In addition to the 3 repositories owned outright by BLM, they are is now including all 141 places where it stores artifacts.						
Steps to Improve	BLM is now counting all collection storage repositories.						
Data Source	BLM - Performance Management Data System						
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	74%	46%	55%	54%	57%	56%	
Good Condition Collections	25	46	47	45	47	45	
Total Collections	34	99	86	83	82	81	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.						
Data Source	BOR - Museum Property Handbook (Department Manual 411) checklist or self-certification process, as appropriate.						


4
6
2

FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	33%	30%	30%	35%	35%	35%	
Good Condition Collections	625	658	669	688	689	690	
Total Collections	1,912	2,199	2,205	1,947	1,947	1,948	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	57%	60%	61%	63%	68% (E)	70%	
Good Condition Collections	185	194	199	203	217	225	
Total Collections	326	326	325	321	321	323	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	NPS - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)						



MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE PROTECTION								
<i>Protect the nation's natural, cultural and heritage resources</i>								
End Outcome: Improve the understanding of national ecosystems and resources through integrated interdisciplinary assessment								
Intermediate Outcome: Ensure availability of long-term environmental and natural resource information, data, and systematic analyses needed by land and resource managers for informed decision making								
Percent of US land surface area with contemporary land cover data available for major environmental monitoring and assessment programs								
US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
TOTALS	95%	99%	46%	95%	95%	100%		
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	USGS - National Land Cover Data completed and available over the internet							





MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE USE								
Improve resource management to assure responsible use and sustain a dynamic economy								
End Outcome: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, responsible development, and economic value : Energy (Fossil Fuels)								
1 5 8 8	Number of offshore lease sales held consistent with the Secretary's 2007-2012 Five Year Program							
	BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	2	5	2	4	1	0	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. In FY 2010, only one of the four planned lease sales was held because the Secretary removed the two planned Alaska sales from the 5-Year Program and cancelled the Western Gulf of Mexico sale after the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) event. In April 2009, the DC Circuit Court remanded the 2007-2012 OCS oil and gas leasing program and required the Interior Department to "conduct a more complete comparative analysis of the environmental sensitivity of different areas. Based on a revised environmental sensitivity analysis, Secretary Salazar announced a Preliminary Revised Program (PRP) in March of 2010 that did not allow for additional lease sales to be held in the Beaufort Sea and Chukchi Sea planning areas under the 2007-2012 OCS Program. This decision resulted in the removal of 2 FY 2010 lease sales from the 5-Year Program. Additionally in May, the Secretary cancelled the Western Gulf of Mexico Sale 215 to determine whether the baseline environmental information utilized in the multi-sale Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) conducted for this lease sale needed to change as a result of the Deepwater Horizon oil spill.						
	Steps to Improve	Not Applicable. BOEMRE has a well-defined and effective lease sale planning process. While there are continuous efforts to look for ways to improve and streamline the process, the lower than target results in FY 2010 were a result of Secretarial changes to the Five Year Program rather than poor bureau performance. (See Performance Explanation above) Once a revised Five Year Program has been finalized by the Secretary and approved by Congress, BOEMRE will take the necessary steps to ensure its successful implementation within statutory requirements.						
Data Source	BOEMRE - Schedule of sales in the final Secretary's Five Year Program. Final Notices of Sale in the Federal Register.							
4 5 5	Percent of active coal mining sites that are free of off-site impacts							
	OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	90%	88%	88%	88%	86%	88%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. The 2010 actual of 86.5% indicates that 6,548 of 7,571 sites were free of offsite impacts. This measure covers the mining activities in 31 States and Tribes. Of these States and Tribes, 22 exceeded the target of 88% in FY 2010 while 9 were below the target. OSM has been very active in working with states to reduce the number of off-site impacts, such as performing studies on blasting, improving the States' guidance and policies on blasting, increasing training to operators, making recommendations when events occur, and performing complete inspections after an off-site impact occurs to look for additional or potential problems.						
	Steps to Improve	OSM will continue to work with States to analyze the cause of each impact and reduce the number of off-site impacts.						
Data Source	OSM - Annual State and Tribal data entered and certified in the Data for States and Tribes (DST) Database							
1 5 2 5	Percent of mined acreage reclaimed							
	OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	53%	83%	89%	75%	98%	75%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The FY 2010 actual of 98% exceeded the target of 75%. This can be attributed to a significant increase in the acreage reclaimed. In 2010, the States and Tribes reported nearly a 20 percent increase in the number of acres reclaimed for all Phases of reclamation from 2009. This is a significant change compared to the 3 percent increase the previous year.						
	Data Source	OSM - Annual State and Tribal data entered and certified in the Data for States and Tribes (DST) Database						
1 6 7 6	Percent of acres reclaimed to appropriate final land condition							
	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	Baseline Established	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	
	Reclaimed acres	No Value	2,580	1,678	1,700	1,713	2,525	
	Total acres	No Value	10,258	6,751	6,785	6,801	10,062	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.							
Data Source	BLM - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)							


Percent of federal and Indian revenues disbursed on a timely basis per statute								
OFFICE OF NATURAL RESOURCES REVENUE								
4 9 3		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	96.3%	99.2%	99.5%	98.0%	99.1%	99.0%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level and the deviation from that level is slight (+ or - 5%).						
	Data Source	ONRR - Numerator and denominator: Minerals Revenue Management Support Systems (MRMSS)						
Percent of federal and Indian revenues disbursed on a timely basis per statute								
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT								
1 5 1 1		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	Baseline Established	595	700	750	750	9,000	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
	Data Source	BLM - LR2000 and Performance Management Data System (PMDS)						
End Outcome: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, responsible development, and economic value : Energy (Renewables)								
Intermediate Outcome: Effectively manage and provide for efficient access and development								
Percent of coal lease applications processed								
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT								
1 5 1 4		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	14%	10%	5%	13%	5%	14%	
	Applications processed	5	4	2	5	2	6	
	Applications received	35	40	38	38	39	42	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. LBAs were not completed due to additional NEPA considerations and appeals based upon the effects of down stream green house gases.						
	Steps to Improve	New Resource Management Plans are considering the effects of green house gases and studying climate change for the High Priority Goals to help better inform BLM decisions in the future.						
Data Source	BLM - PMDS, LR2000, and affected SO Data Calls.							
Intermediate Outcome: Operate and maintain reliable, safe, and secure power facilities								
Hydropower facilities are in fair to good condition as measured by the Facilities Reliability Rating								
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION								
3 6 2		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	98%	96%	100%	91%	100%	84%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The total number of facilities tracked under this measure is 55 so each facility exerts more than a moderate influence in determining the outcome. Reclamation's target represented 5 facilities or 91%. All facilities were found to be in fair to good condition.						
	Data Source	BOR - A database of facility condition ratings, indices, etc., maintained by the regional/area offices. Reclamation uses the Capital Asset and Resource Management Application (CARMA) to store condition assessment data.						
Intermediate Outcome: Improve power generation management to maximize supply								
Percent of time that Bureau of Reclamation hydroelectric generating units are available to the interconnected Western electrical system during daily peak demand periods								
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION								
9 5 6		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	91%	91%	90%	89%	89%	87%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
	Data Source	BOR - Monthly Power Operations and Maintenance (PO&M59) reports.						

Amount (in barrels) of offshore oil spilled per million barrels produced (Lower number is better)							
BUREAU OF OCEAN ENERGY MANAGEMENT, REGULATION AND ENFORCEMENT							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	2.701	0.519	3.808 (est.)	<4.5	7,600 (est.)	<4.5	
Barrels Spilled	1,360	244	2,022		4,590,369		
Barrels Produced (M)	503	470	531		604		
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. The FY 2010 results include all oil spills occurring throughout the year. In FY 2010, the largest recorded oil spill on the OCS occurred following the explosion and sinking of the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) drilling rig off the coast of Louisiana. For the purposes of calculating this metric, BOEMRE used the US Flow Rate Technical Group's (FRTG) high-end estimate of the amount of oil spilled during the DWH event minus the estimated amount recovered through mechanisms such as containment systems, skimmers, and sorbent boom. As investigations are still underway, BOEMRE does not have an official spill volume estimate.						
Steps to Improve	BOEMRE has undertaken a series of far reaching initiatives to improve offshore safety and environmental protection following the Deepwater Horizon event. Several plans and studies have also been completed that outline systemic changes that will be implemented by BOEMRE. In May 2010, Secretary Salazar submitted a report, <i>Increased Safety Measures for Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf</i> , to the President and in June ordered the adoption of its recommendations. In September, the OCS Safety Oversight Board, consisting of the Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management, DOI Acting Inspector General, and Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management, and Budget published a report that was commissioned by the Secretary. The Board was charged with "...providing recommendations to improve and strengthen the Department's overall management, regulation, and oversight of OCS operations." In response to the Board findings, the Director of BOEMRE published an implementation plan that addresses improvements in the permit process, inspections, enforcement, investigations, and environmental stewardship.						
	Changes to offshore oil discharge planning, preparedness, and response are taking several forms. First, a Notice to Lessees (NTL) was published clarifying methods that applicants must use to calculate worst case discharge volumes from exploratory and development wells. Work is ongoing with the U.S. Coast Guard to develop procedures for their review of Oil Spill Response Plans (OSRPs) and input into response planning criterion. A team is also being chartered to validate or revise the existing MOA between the two agencies. BOEMRE staff continues to meet with industry trade groups, oil spill response organizations, and operators to determine ways to improve subsea containment and recovery and offshore skimming, and to evaluate new response capabilities. BOEMRE is also developing a National oil spill response plan NTL that will require all plan holders for facilities seaward of the coastline to revise their OSRPs to enhance response times, provide for night time operations, include subsea containment, address spill abatement options and procedures, and reduce environmental impacts from oil spills.						
Data Source	Oil spill data comes from operator reports to BOEMRE; Pollution, Accident Investigation and Panel Reports; reports to the National Response Center; other U.S. Coast Guard reports; and any outside data that is available. OCS crude oil and condensate production data is provided by the Office of Natural Resources Revenue.						

4
2
5

MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE USE								
<i>Improve resource management to assure responsible use and sustain a dynamic economy</i>								
End Outcome: Deliver water consistent with applicable State and Federal law, in an environmentally responsible and cost-efficient manner								
Intermediate Outcome: Operate and maintain a safe and reliable water infrastructure								
9 0 9	Water infrastructure is in good condition as measured by the Facilities Reliability Rating							
	BUREAU OF RECLAMATION							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	98.80%	98.60%	97.98%	95.10%	98.30%	63.85%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.						
Data Source	BOR - Spreadsheets and tables of FRRs are maintained by the regional/area offices. Data to support scoring of criteria within the FRRs are obtained from the annual dam safety reports; the Dam Safety Information System (DSIS); facility review reports; and, quarterly instrumentation reports. Where necessary, consultation occurs with pertinent area and regional office staff to acquire any data or information related to changes from previous years' data and scoring.							
Intermediate Outcome: Complete construction projects to increase delivery infrastructure and water availability								
4 5 8	Potential acre-feet made available through completion of projects							
	BUREAU OF RECLAMATION							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	37,047	150,597	38,323	12,730	13,024	72,298	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. The performance goal was set at an approximate target level, and the deviation from that level is slight. There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.						
Data Source	BOR - Project studies, agreements, water rights applications, water models, environmental assessments, environmental impact statements, or other pertinent documents.							

MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE USE								
Improve resource management to assure responsible use and sustain a dynamic economy								
End Outcome: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, responsible development, and economic value: Forest Products								
1 5 6 2	Percent of allowable sale quantity timber offered for sale consistent with applicable resource management plans (O&C - Oregon and California only)							
	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	68%	86%	31%	84%	86%	70%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - The Oregon State Office program lead uses the volume of forest products offered for sale reports to stratify information based on whether the volume offered was ASQ volume or not. This stratification is programmed in the Timber Sale Information System (TSIS) as base information. The WO queries the TSIS and works with the SO to make sure that all data has been entered and is accurate. Final data is entered in the Performance Management Data System. Official case files with timber information are maintained on site and used by the SO and WO to verify data. Data Source: BLM - Timber Sale Information System (TSIS) and Stewardship Contracting Information Database (SCID). Performance Management Data System (PMDS)							
Intermediate Outcome: Provide access for grazing								
1 5 1 9	Percent of grazing permits and leases processed as planned consistent with applicable resource management plans							
	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	79%	84%	44%	43%	37%	31%	
	Permits processed	2,058	2,177	2,554	2,206	1,890	1,683	
Total permits	2,600	2,600	5,835	5,106	5,106	5,383		
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. BLM changed emphasis on developing Monument Plans and RMPs following lawsuits in AZ and ID.							
Steps to Improve	BLM continues to develop strategy to address litigation and to develop legislative language to address administrative processes.							
Data Source	BLM - Grazing permit and lease information is entered into official case files, the Range Administration System (RAS), and the Performance Management Data System (PMDS). The WO runs reports from RAS and PMDS and works with States to make sure all data has been entered and is accurate. Field Office case files are maintained on site and are used to verify data. Data Source: BLM-Field Office grazing case files. Range Administration System. Performance Management Data System (PMDS).							
Intermediate Outcome: Enhance responsible use management practices: Forest Products								
4 1 9	Volume of wood products (millions of board feet) offered consistent with applicable management plans							
	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	255	292	270	260	296	215	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - SO/Field Office Case Files, Timber sale Information System (TSIS) and Stewardship Contracting Information Database (SCID)							
End Outcome: Manage or influence resource use to enhance public benefit, responsible development, and economic value: Non-energy Minerals								
Intermediate Outcome: Effectively manage and provide for efficient access and production								
3 6 6	Number of acres reclaimed to appropriate land condition and water quality standards							
	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	3,750	7,375	8,315	4,500	3,556	3,500	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. This is a direct measure of actual activity, which is controlled by public demand and schedules.						
Steps to Improve	This is a direct measure of actual activity, which is controlled by public demand and schedules.							
Data Source	BLM - Automated Fluid Mineral Support System (AFMSS). SO/Field Office case files.							



MISSION GOAL: RESOURCE USE								
<i>Improve resource management to assure responsible use and sustain a dynamic economy</i>								
End Outcome 4: Improve the understanding of energy and mineral resources to promote responsible use and sustain the Nation's dynamic economy								
Percent of targeted non-fuel mineral commodities for which up-to-date deposit models are available to support decision making								
US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY								
1 5 2 8		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	Baseline Established	7%	20%	53%	53%	73%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
	Data Source	USGS - Mineral Resources Program database files.						




MISSION GOAL: RECREATION

Improve recreation opportunities for America

End Outcome: Improve the quality and diversity of recreation experiences and visitor enjoyment on DOI lands


Percent of visitors satisfied with the quality of experience							
DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	91%	91%	92%	92%	92% (E)	91%	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - System-wide Visitor Survey (survey conducted under contract with Univ of Idaho)						
	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						
	NPS - Visitor Survey Card (survey conducted under contract with Univ of Idaho)						
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	93%	92%	94%	93%	94%	92%	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	BLM - System-wide Visitor Survey (survey conducted under contract with Univ of Idaho)						
FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	85%	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	FWS - Enterprise Planning Operations Plan Module						
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	96%	97%	97%	97%	97% (E)	97%	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	NPS - Visitor Survey Card (survey conducted under contract with Univ of Idaho)						
Intermediate Outcome: Provide effective interpretation and education programs							
Percent satisfaction among visitors served by facilitated programs							
DEPARTMENTAL AGGREGATE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	89%	95%	96%	95%	89% (E)	93%	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met.						
Steps to Improve	Survey target will now account for projected seasonal capacity.						
Data Source	BLM - Bureau wide Visitor Survey						
	NPS - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)						
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	81%	94%	96%	94%	81%	90%	
Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. Field offices were working at a reduced capacity, and limited in the number of seasonal and temporary employees they were able to hire during that time, leading to a reduction in visitor satisfaction.						
Steps to Improve	Survey target will now account for projected seasonal capacity.						
Data Source	BLM - Bureau wide Visitor Survey						
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	96%	96%	95%	95%	96% (E)	95%	
Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
Data Source	NPS - Performance Management Data System (PMDS)						


MISSION GOAL: SERVING COMMUNITIES								
<i>Improve protection of lives, property and assets, advance the use of scientific knowledge, and improve the quality of life for the communities we serve</i>								
End Outcome: Improve protection of lives, resources and property								
Intermediate Outcome: Improve fire management								
Percent of unplanned and unwanted wildland fires on DOI land controlled during initial attack								
OFFICE OF WILDLAND FIRE COORDINATION								
7 8 8		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	96%	97%	99%	95%	98%	95%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded.						
	Data Source	OWFC - Wildland Fire Management Information (WFMI) DI-1202 Fire Report System and the Fish and Wildlife Service Fire Report System						
Percent of acres treated which achieve fire management objectives as identified in applicable management plans								
OFFICE OF WILDLAND FIRE COORDINATION								
1 5 4 0		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
	TOTALS	No Report	73%	98%	97%	94%	94%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. The Department treated more than the total number of acres planned; however, the desired ecological response was not achieved in all cases based on the prescribed treatments						
	Steps to Improve	Future treatment regimens will include adjustment of implementation practices through monitoring activities that will facilitate the achievement of management objectives.						
Data Source	OWFC - National Fire Plan Operations and Reporting System (NFPORS)							

MISSION GOAL: SERVING COMMUNITIES								
<i>Improve protection of lives, property and assets, advance the use of scientific knowledge, and improve the quality of life for the communities we serve</i>								
End Outcome: Fulfill Indian fiduciary trust responsibilities								
Beneficiary Services: Percent timeliness of financial account information provided to Trust beneficiaries								
OFFICE OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE								
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
4 8 8	TOTALS	92.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.50%	100.00%	99.50%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. Final data indicates that the target was exceeded. Performance is at the top of the scale, above 99 percent. In 2010, efforts continued to reduce equipment maintenance cost and supplies.						
	Data Source	OST - Trust Fund Accounting System, manual log kept with number of statements mailed and dates of mailings						
	Intermediate Outcome: Ownership information that is accurate, timely, and reliable							
Land Acquisitions: Number of fractionated interests acquired								
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS								
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
1 5 5 5	TOTALS	99,840	31,873	23,340	8,400	9,550	8,400	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. Utilizing the recoup funds, the ILCP further exceeded the goals established. This quarter was used to further train the staff on the new acquisition process so that they could be fully functional if the Cobell settlement was passed.						
	Data Source	BIA - LCTS, TFAS, Document Library, TAAMS						
Intermediate Outcome: Land and natural resources management that maximizes return								
Ensure Timeliness of Mineral Revenue Payments to American Indians: Percent of revenue recorded in the Trust Funds Accounting System within 24 hours of receipt								
OFFICE OF SPECIAL TRUSTEE								
		FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
9 3 9	TOTALS	100.00%	99.86%	99.89%	99.00%	100.00%	99.13%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. Final data indicates that the target was exceeded. Performance is at the top of the scale. The high sustained performance is expected to continue.						
	Data Source	OST - Facsimile from MMS into TFAS. Process is tracked via Access database.						


MISSION GOAL: SERVING COMMUNITIES
Improve protection of lives, property and assets, advance the use of scientific knowledge, and improve the quality of life for the communities we serve




End Outcome: Advance quality communities for Tribes and Alaska Natives


Percent of BIE funded schools achieving Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)								
BUREAU OF INDIAN EDUCATION								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
1 5 5 6	TOTALS	31%	32%	24%	33%	32%	38%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Not Met. The performance goal was set at an approximate level and the deviation from that level is slight (+ or - 5%). There was no effect on overall program or activity performance.						
	Steps to Improve	BIE will continue implementing intensive enhancement programs to improve reading and math in School Year (SY) 2009-10 and anticipates a significant increase in the number of schools making AYP in FY 2011.						
	Data Source	BIE - At the end of each school year, all BIE funded schools submit an annual evaluation report card to the BIE office in Albuquerque, NM. Such report cards are certified by the school board, school administration and the Education Line Officer. The results from all schools are placed in a system wide data base and numbers are aggregated across the system to arrive at the results for each performance measure.						

Part I violent crime incidents per 100,000 Indian Country inhabitants receiving law enforcement services (Lower number is better)								
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
4 5 7	TOTALS	419	463	479	479	413	432	
	Violent Crimes	5,157	5,698	6,002	6,002	5,178	5,410	
	Total Inhabitants (100,000)	12.30	12.30	12.53	12.53	12.53	12.53	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. There are a total of 5,178 violent crime incidents for the year; when divided by the population served (1,253,287 / 100,000 = 12.53), the result is 413 violent crime incidents per 100,000 Indian country inhabitants receiving law enforcement services. Because there are 413 incidents, which is below the target of 440 incidents, the goal has been met. The goal was achieved by increases to law enforcement personnel.						
Data Source	BIA - Violent crime statistics are a nationally recognized measurement of law enforcement agencies. The FBI's UCR defines violent crime and the FBI collects and compiles uniform violent crime statistics for all law enforcement agencies nationwide, including statistics provided by BIA. The BIA's OJS uses an automated data collection tool in a Lotus Notes database to gather Violent Crime statistics at the lowest level. Data is entered at the field from the individual law enforcement agencies that are responding to calls, performing the investigations, and making arrests. (Those tribal programs without access submit hard copy information to their respective districts for input in to the system.) Information submitted within the system is first entered and verified by the agency and then is reviewed a second time at the District Commander level where it receives final approval before use at the Headquarters Office for quarterly reporting. Bureau certifies that it has appropriate data verification processes per Department data validation and verification policy in place for this measure.							

Intermediate Outcome: Improve education for Indian Tribes

Percent of BIA/BIE school facilities in acceptable condition, as measured by the Facilities Condition Index (lower FCI number is good)								
BUREAU OF INDIAN EDUCATION								
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?	
1 7 1 5	TOTALS	39%	45%	52%	58%	58%	62%	
	Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. Q1: Projects completed Q1 2010 - Beclabito Day School; Chemawa Dormitory; Kayenta Boarding School; Navajo Prep School; Pueblo Pintado School. Q2: Projects completed Q2 2010 - Bread Springs RS and Standing Rock RFC. Q3: Projects completed Q3 2010 - Pine Rige Dormitory and Wingate High School Ph I & II RS. Q4 Projects Completed Q4 2010 - St. Stephens RFC/Fl&R; Crownpoint RS; Ojo Encino;						
	Data Source	BIE - Monthly Report, Certificate of Occupancy, FMIS						

Intermediate Outcome: Enhance public safety										
Percent of BIA-funded Tribal judicial systems receiving an acceptable rating under independent Tribal judicial system reviews										
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS										
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?			
5	7	6	TOTALS	13%	22%	21%	28%	24%	32%	
Performance Explanation			Goal Not Met. There are a total of 183 BIA-funded Tribal judicial systems that are receiving some type of funding from the BIA. There were 44(24%) Tribal judicial systems receiving an acceptable rating under independent reviews in this reporting year. While most of the courts reviewed in FY 2010 were found to be acceptable, the overall courts in acceptable condition continue to fall short of the target of 52 (28%) to meet the goal. The program will continue to work with programs to provide technical assistance and resources to tribal courts to improve their efficiency and thereby increasing achievement under this goal. The program will adjust future targets accordingly.							
Steps to Improve			The program will continue to assess and refine the process for case reviews in an attempt to provide the maximum amount of reviews possible. We will also continue to work with programs to provide technical assistance and resources to improve the efficiency of the tribal courts and thereby increasing achievement under this goal							
Data Source			BIA - Crime statistics are a nationally recognized measurement of law enforcement agencies. The Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Reporting Program defines various types of crimes and collects and compiles uniform crime statistics for nearly 17,000 law enforcement agencies across the United States including Tribal Agencies. The Bureau of Indian Affairs Office of Justice Services uses an automated data collection tool in a Lotus Notes database to gather Law Enforcement, Detention, Courts and Drug statistics at the Field level. Data from the individual law enforcement agencies that are responding to calls, performing investigations and making arrests are verified by the agency and then sent to their respective district office for input into the Lotus Notes database. Those tribal programs without access to a records management system submit a hard copy of their information (verified by the agency) to their respective districts for input into the system. Information submitted to the districts is reviewed a second time at the level of the Special Agent in Charge (SAC), where it receives approval before use at the Headquarters Office. The data is reviewed a final time at the Headquarters Office before it is used for quarterly reporting. The Bureau certifies that it has appropriate data verification processes per Department data validation and verification policy in place for all of its measures.							
Percent of law enforcement facilities that are in acceptable condition as measured by the Facilities Condition Index										
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS										
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?			
1	7	3	TOTALS	64%	69%	73%	80%	80%	84%	
Performance Explanation			Goal Met or Exceeded. Q1: No change in number of facilities in good or fair condition from FY 2009. Q4. Denominator changed from 51 to 50 in FY 2010. Q2: No projects completed in Q2 2010. Q3: 1 project completed in Q3 2010 - Omaha Tribal Police Dept. Q4: 2 projects completed in Q4 2010 - Ft Belknap Detention Ctr & Northern Cheyenne Detention Ctr.							
Data Source			BIA - Monthly report, Certificate of Occupancy, FMIS							
Percent of miles of road in acceptable condition based on the Service Level Index										
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS										
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?			
1	5	5	TOTALS	15%	15%	12%	15%	18%	17%	
Performance Explanation			Goal Met or Exceeded. Q1 - Some regions experienced issues with the current numbers in the DMR. Central Office staff is aware the DMR inventory data needs to be checked again and will adjust the inventory as appropriate to reflect the accurate numbers, as the numbers may not be completely accurate in Q1 but should be fixed in Q2. Q2 - Goal (14%) Not Met. FY 2010 Actual 11.8%; 2% (583.7 miles) of roads did not have LOS may have contributed to the lower %. Q3 - Goal not met; implementation of ARRA funded projects are delayed. Also, it was determined effective in the 3rd quarter that Alaska region has no BIA-owned roads, a decision was made to reduce 595 miles previously identified to Alaska region from the total BIA miles to be 28,041 in the 3rd quarter. Accordingly, the total acceptable miles were reduced from 3,436 miles in to 3,427 miles. Q4 - Actual performance has improved over the 3rd quarter by exceeding the national target by 3%. It is expected to improve significantly in 1st qtr (2011), as more ARRA funded projects are implemented.							
Data Source			BIA - Q2 - 64 out of 147 Title I contract tribes and 17 out of 65 Title IV contract tribes are reporting Q3 - Data received from the regions. Q4 - 80 out of 171 contracted tribes are reporting.							

Percent of bridges in acceptable condition based on the Service Level Index							
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS							
	FY 2007 Actual	FY 2008 Actual	FY 2009 Actual	FY 2010 Plan	FY 2010 Actual	FY 2011 Plan	Goal Met?
TOTALS	81%	59%	60%	61%	63%	60%	
1560 Performance Explanation	Goal Met or Exceeded. Q1 - Some regions experienced issues with the current numbers in the DMR. Central Office staff is aware the DMR inventory data needs to be checked again and will adjust the inventory as appropriate to reflect the accurate numbers, as the numbers may not be completely accurate in Q1 but should be fixed in Q2. Q2 - Goal (44%) Met. FY2010 Actual 62.5%. Q3 - Goal Met at 63%; it was determined effective in the 3rd quarter that Alaska region has no BIA-owned bridges, 11 bridges previously identified to Alaska region were deducted from the total BIA number of bridges (931) reported in the 2nd quarter, resulting in a reduced 920 bridges in the 3rd quarter. Accordingly, the total acceptable number of bridges was revised by 1 from 583 to 582 bridges. Q4 - Goal Exceeded. Although the actual is slightly lower than the 3rd quarter performance due to a bridge inspection report performed prior to introduction of ARRA funds, it still exceeds the target.						
Data Source	BIA - Q2 - LOS update by regions. Q3 - Data received from regions Q4 - Data based on biennial bridge inspection reports, bridge rehabilitations and reconstruction since the last inspection cycle. Updates for the next Bridge Inspection cycle will take place sometime in FY2011, and therefore, new LOS values will be implemented in FY 2012 PMS reports.						