GEORGE V. VOINOVICH

524 HART SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
(202) 224-3353
TDD: (202) 224-6997
senator_voinovich@voinovich.senate.gov
http://voinovich.senate.gov

United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3504

ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS

CHAIRMAN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON CLEAN AIR, CLIMATE CHANGE AND NUCLEAR SAFETY

> ETHICS CHAIRMAN

FOREIGN RELATIONS

HOMELAND SECURITY AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

CHAIRMAN, SUBCOMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT OF GOVERNMENT MANAGEMENT, THE FEDERAL WORKFORCE AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

April 4, 2006

The Honorable Samuel W. Bodman Secretary United States Department of Energy 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585

Dear Secretary Bodman:

It is my understanding that the California Energy Commission (CEC) has promulgated energy efficiency standards that would conflict with federal standards on clothes washers. As required by federal law, the CEC must petition the Department of Energy (DOE) to waive federal preemption over these standards before they become effective.

Federal law requires that for California's request to be granted, it would have to show that the regulation is needed to meet unusual and compelling state or local energy or water interests. To grant a waiver, the law also requires that California's interests be "substantially different" from those prevailing in the United States generally. The statute also requires the DOE to weigh the costs, benefits, burdens and reliability of energy or water savings resulting from the State regulation against the costs, benefits, burdens, and reliability of alternative approaches to energy or water savings or production, including reliance on reasonably predictable market-induced improvements in efficiency. Moreover, the CEC must still demonstrate that the state regulation will not significantly burden manufacturing, marketing, distribution, sales or servicing of the product nationally.

The State of Ohio has a number of manufacturing facilities that could be affected by this waiver. Consequently, I urge you to consider the broader implications if the CEC request were to be granted. Specifically, I urge you to evaluate the potential costs to U.S. manufacturers as a result of this waiver request, as well as whether there are less costly alternatives to meet the goals of the CEC.

As the Department begins its review of California's request to waive federal regulations, I hope you will give all due consideration to whether or not the CEC request meets the requisite standards.

Sincerely,

George V. Voinovich United States Senator

STATE OFFICES: 36 EAST SEVENTH STREET ROOM 2615 CINCINNAM, OHID 45202 (513) 684–3265

1240 East Ninth Street Room 2955 CLEVELAND, OHIO 44199 (216) 522–7095 37 WEST BROAD STREET ROOM 300 (CASEWORK) COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215 (614) 469-6774 37 WEST BROAD STREET ROOM 310 COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215 (614) 469-6697 417 SECOND AVENUE P.O. BOX 758 GALLIPOLIS, OHIO 45631 (740) 441–6410 420 Madison Avenue Room 1210 Toledo, Ohio 43604 (419) 259-3895

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER