

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Midwest Independent Transmission  
System Operator, Inc., *et al.*

Docket No. EL02-111-004

Ameren Services Company, *et al.*

Docket No. EL02-212-002

REPORT OF THE CHIEF JUDGE AND REQUEST OF PARTIES FOR  
EXPEDITED APPROVAL OF GOING-FORWARD  
PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES

(Issued March 5, 2004)

TO THE COMMISSION:

1. Pursuant to the Commission's order in these proceedings issued on January 27, 2004, 106 FERC ¶ 61,041, the Chief Judge on January 28<sup>th</sup> initiated settlement judge procedures and scheduled settlement conferences. Fourteen full days of formal settlement negotiations were conducted since the Chief Judge's last Report to the Commission. On most days over 100 participants were in attendance at the conferences. In addition, numerous meetings with individuals and with groups of participants were held, many running late into the evening. When it became apparent that by far the majority of the parties could not live with a SECA obligation because of extreme adverse effects, the parties began working on procedures to achieve a permanent long-term solution to the elimination of seams and the current regional through and out rates (RTORS).

2. I am pleased to report that an agreement has been achieved, attached hereto, which if approved by the Commission, will establish going-forward principles and procedures that will shorten the transition period for the elimination of RTORS by 17 months. The agreement will keep transmission owners revenue neutral and eliminates the RTORS on December 1, 2004. The agreement provides for continued settlement procedures under the auspices of the Chief Judge to arrive at a permanent solution or if a single solution cannot be agreed upon, multiple solutions for the Commission to choose from. The proposed long-term permanent solution must be filed with the Commission on or before October 1, 2004, to be effective December 1, 2004. This agreement is supported and joined in by 84 parties. The Chief Judge points out that some of the parties represent numerous utilities. Included in the list of supporters are the Maryland Public Service

Commission, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the Public Service Commission of Ohio, the Michigan Public Service Commission, the Maryland Peoples Counsel, and the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate. In addition, while the Virginia State Corporation Commission does not sign on to the agreement, it does not oppose the agreement. Comments in support of the agreement were made on a public record this date and the transcript of this public record is hereby certified to the Commission. Only Edison Mission Energy, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, and Ameren Services Company, Inc. (Ameren), oppose the agreement. Ameren stated on the record that it was still negotiating and hopes to be able to file a pleading supporting the agreement on or before Wednesday, March 10, 2004. While the three opposing parties were permitted to make a statement on the public record, the Chief Judge gave them permission to make written comments opposing the agreement on or before March 10, 2004.

3. The agreement involved considerable give and take among all of the parties and participants. All parties worked diligently and spent many, many hours in arriving at the agreement on going forward principles and procedures. The negotiations were an enormous task, due not only to the diverse factual situations of the parties, but also by the sheer number of parties involved and participating daily.

4. Due to the fact that SECA compliance filings are currently due on April 1, 2004, it is requested that the Commission make every effort to render its decision on whether it will approve the going forward principles and procedures by March 19, 2004, in order that the parties could have a few days to prepare compliance filings should the Commission not approve the agreement.

5. Further settlement procedures have been suspended pending Commission action. Should the Commission approve the going forward principles and procedures, the Chief Judge will begin negotiations on a permanent solution to the elimination of seams between the Midwest ISO and PJM regions. In this connection, it is noted that the negotiations leading to the agreement involved herein have gone a long way toward getting the parties working together on a permanent long-term solution.

Curtis L. Wagner, Jr.  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

## ATTACHMENT

### **Going-Forward Principles and Procedures Docket Nos. EL02-111-004 and EL03-212-002 March 5, 2004**

The parties listed on Attachment A (“Supporting Parties”) support the following procedures for developing and implementing a long-term transmission pricing structure in lieu of the interim SECA measures adopted by the Commission in the November 17, 2003 Orders in these dockets. This proposal is designed to address the diverse interests expressed during the course of the settlement proceedings by a broad cross-section of stakeholders, including suppliers, transmission owners, transmission customers, end-use customers, and state commissions. The Supporting Parties request that the Commission advise them as to whether it accepts these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures by March 19, 2004.

1. Through and out rates at issue in this proceeding will remain in effect until December 1, 2004, at which time a transmission pricing structure that eliminates seams throughout the “Combined Region” (as that region is defined in the November 17 Orders) must take effect subject to nominal suspension and refund as described below and subject to the principles and procedures set forth herein. Commission acceptance of these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures will establish December 1, 2004 as the effective date for the long-term transmission pricing structure, subject to refund and nominal suspension, and the simultaneous elimination of the through and out rates. Under these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures, the simultaneous elimination on December 1, 2004 of the through and out rates at issue in this proceeding and the effectiveness of a replacement pricing structure are non-severable events.
2. Paragraph 1 of these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures commits the Commission to implement a pricing structure in the Combined Region as of December 1, 2004 that eliminates seams throughout the Combined Region and that implements a replacement transmission pricing structure as of that date. To cover the unanticipated contingency that the Commission is unable to fulfill this commitment, the Supporting Parties agree that the following backstop process shall be followed: (A) The obligation in the November 17 Order to make SECA compliance filings shall be tolled until November 24, 2004; (B) On October 4, 2004, the PJM and MISO transmission owners and the other transmission providers in the Combined Region shall reconvene discussions to develop SECA filings consistent with the November 17 Order as may be modified; (C) Those discussions will be expanded to include stakeholders on or before October 18, 2004; (D) The November 24, 2004 SECA filings shall propose rates, which rates will become effective subject to nominal suspension and refund on December 1,

2004 if, and only if, the Commission has not made effective a replacement pricing structure as of December 1, 2004, subject to nominal suspension and refund. No such backstop SECA may remain in effect beyond March 31, 2006 or the date that a replacement pricing structure becomes effective, whichever occurs first.

3. The April 1, 2004 SECA compliance filings will not be made and no SECA charges will be imposed for the period May 1, 2004 through November 30, 2004 or after March 31, 2006. Nothing in these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures would prevent a filing proposing a SECA, other than the SECA filing referenced in Paragraph 2, or other lost revenue recovery mechanism to be effective subject to refund and nominal suspension during any period between December 1, 2004 and March 31, 2006 that a long-term transmission pricing structure is not in effect. Such filing shall be considered a new Section 205 or 206 filing and not a filing in compliance with the November 17 Orders.
4. Beginning immediately, the PJM and MISO Transmission Owners that are signatories to the relevant transmission owners agreements,<sup>1</sup> along with the Transmission Owners in the Combined Region that have yet to join either RTO, and are subject to the November 17, 2003 orders in Docket No. EL02-111 or EL03-212, 105 FERC ¶ 61,212 and 105 FERC ¶ 61,216, will begin work on a detailed long-term transmission pricing structure. The Chief Judge or his designee will be available to the Transmission Owners to facilitate their discussions and will report regularly to the Commission on their progress. The PJM and MISO Transmission Owners will periodically report to their RTO and other stakeholders on their progress.
5. The PJM and MISO Transmission Owners will be required to propose to stakeholders long-term transmission pricing structure(s) on or before July 1, 2004. If the PJM and MISO Transmission Owners cannot agree upon a single proposal, each of the two groups of transmission owners must propose one or more long-term transmission pricing structures.

---

<sup>1</sup> Agreement of Transmission Facilities Owners to Organize the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., a Delaware Non-Stock Corporation; Appendix I Agreement by and between the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and International Transmission Company; Supplemental Agreement by and among the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., a Delaware Non-Stock Corporation, International Transmission Company, a Michigan corporation, and the undersigned Owners; Second Amended And Restated Appendix I Independent Transmission Company Agreement By And Between The Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. ("Midwest ISO") And GridAmerica LLC.; Coordination Agreement by and between Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and Manitoba Hydro; West Transmission Owners Agreement Among PJM Interconnection, L.L.C., and Certain Owners of Electric Transmission Facilities; PJM Transmission Owners Agreement.

6. The details of each long-term transmission pricing proposal will be considered by Combined Region stakeholders in a manner that satisfies their existing stakeholder processes during July and August 2004.
7. The PJM and MISO Transmission Owners will be required to file a long-term transmission pricing structure proposal on or before October 1, 2004 under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act (“FPA”). If they have not reached agreement on a single proposal, each of the two groups of transmission owners must file a long-term transmission pricing structure proposal under Section 205 of the FPA. Such proposals may provide for some cost responsibility to be borne by payers outside of the proposing RTO, but may not otherwise revise the rate design or internal distribution of transmission revenue of the other RTO without the agreement of the transmission owner members of the other RTO as required within that RTO. Each Supporting Party reserves its rights to object to such filings. Nothing in this paragraph shall prevent any transmission owner in the Combined Region, including any transmission owning member of either PJM or MISO, from exercising its existing FPA Section 206 rights with respect to a long-term transmission pricing structure. If a transmission owner in the Combined Region becomes a member of PJM or MISO on a date after it has filed a long-term transmission pricing structure proposal, its procedural rights with respect to such filing are not affected by its RTO membership. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to relieve PJM and MISO or any other transmission provider in the Combined Region from collecting revenues designed to pay any obligations assigned to it under a transmission pricing structure accepted for filing and placed into effect by the Commission.
8. Any transmission customer that has a confirmed reservation sinking in the Combined Region requested between November 17, 2003 and the date that the Commission accepts these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures (“Acceptance Date”) for service beginning on or after April 1, 2004 may cancel that reservation or reduce the capacity for the term of the reservation within 10 business days of such Acceptance Date and avoid the obligation to pay the corresponding portion of the transmission reservation charge, if such reservation would not have been subject to through and out rates as a result of the November 17 Orders.
9. The Transitional Market Expansion Charge in Schedule 11 of the PJM Tariff will not be charged within the pricing zones of Commonwealth Edison, AEP, or DP&L at any time following their integration into PJM. Provided Commonwealth Edison is integrated into PJM prior to December 1, 2004, a new charge will be added to the PJM Tariff based on administrative savings resulting from integration of Commonwealth Edison into PJM will be charged in the existing PJM pricing zones and in the Commonwealth Edison pricing zone, effective upon the date of

Commonwealth Edison's integration. Provided AEP and DP&L are integrated into PJM prior to December 1, 2004, this charge will be revised to reflect the additional administrative savings associated with their integration and charged in the AEP and DP&L pricing zones as well. This charge will terminate on November 30, 2004. For the period from the date of Commonwealth Edison's integration into PJM until the earlier of the date of AEP's and DP&L's integration into PJM or December 1, 2004, revenues from the PJM Border Rate in Schedules 7 and 8, of the PJM Tariff ("PJM Border Rate") exclusive of the "TRNC" component of those revenues, and the charge referenced in this paragraph will be distributed in the following proportions:

Existing PJM Transmission Owners	48.4%
Commonwealth Edison	51.6%

For the period from the date of AEP's and DP&L's integration into PJM until December 1, 2004, revenues from the PJM Border Rate, exclusive of the "TRNC" component of those revenues, and the charge referenced in this paragraph will be distributed in the following proportions:

Existing PJM Transmission Owners	19.4%
Commonwealth Edison	20.7%
AEP	54.2%
DP&L	5.7%

Nothing herein is intended to affect the distribution of revenues as among the PJM Transmission Owners under the PJM Transmission Owners Agreement and the PJM West Transmission Owners Agreement. If these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures are accepted by the Commission, the PJM Transmission Owners, Commonwealth Edison, AEP and DP&L shall have five business days thereafter to make technical corrections to the percentages set forth in this paragraph, without amending the principles upon which the percentages have been determined. Any such technical corrections will be filed with the Commission as an Addendum to these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures. Beginning on the date of Commonwealth Edison's integration into PJM through November 30, 2004, the PJM Border Rate shall be \$1.57 per kW-Month exclusive of the "TRNC".

10. While the through and out rates remain in effect, the existing transactions listed and defined in Attachment B shall each receive a credit against the total applicable PJM through and out rate charges such that the effective rate under those transactions is \$1.00 per kW-Month.

11. Where transmission owners have turned over operational or functional control over their facilities to PJM or MISO, it would be unfair if that decision caused unreasonable economic harm to such transmission owners or the load serving entities and end-use customers within or to be included within PJM or MISO. Economic harm would include undue reductions in transmission revenue and/or cost shifts. This principle should be recognized as part of a long-term transmission pricing structure. A long-term transmission pricing structure that would result in material reductions in transmission revenues or costs shifts could allow for the possibility of a reasonable phase-in of such pricing structure. When fully implemented, the long-term transmission pricing structure will apply throughout the Combined Region. The long-term transmission pricing structure must meet the “just and reasonable” standard, allowing transmission owners an opportunity to recover their costs, including a fair return on investment, and the other standards of the FPA. An important factor in determining whether these standards have been met in any long-term transmission pricing structure is the degree to which cost responsibility for facilities is assigned to those who use or benefit from such facilities, regardless of whether those users or beneficiaries are located inside or outside the transmission owner’s footprint. If the Commission requires a long-term pricing structure to be implemented or phased-in throughout the Combined Region, the implementing rate design within an RTO may not preclude achieving the economic objectives of such long-term transmission pricing structure within the Combined Region, as well as within the RTO.
12. In its July 31, 2002 Order in Docket No. EL02-65, 100 FERC ¶ 61,137, conditionally accepting the RTO choices of various former Alliance Companies, the Commission conditioned the RTO choices of the various Alliance Companies on, *inter alia*, that “a solution addressing the ‘through and out’ rates between Midwest ISO and PJM must be developed.” The Commission’s acceptance of these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures is sufficient to address the above quoted condition so that such condition shall not preclude the integration of Commonwealth Edison into PJM on May 1, 2004, the integration AEP and DP&L into PJM on October 1, 2004 (or such other dates as the Commission directs) and the integration of IP into MISO, and will, if implemented according to its terms, satisfy the quoted condition of the July 31, 2002 Order. These Going-Forward Principles and Procedures will be docketed in EL02-65, as well as in this docket. These Going-Forward Principles and Procedures do not address any other conditions from the July 31, 2002 Order.
13. The Supporting Parties expressly reserve their rights on rehearing and appeal of the November 17 Orders. Nothing herein shall be viewed as waiving any argument or issue with respect to any filing to impose a SECA.

14. The Supporting Parties agree that a long-term transmission pricing structure(s) filed on October 1 by the PJM Transmission Owners pursuant to these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures may utilize and be based upon the transmission revenue requirements of the current PJM Transmission Owners that are then in effect under Attachment H of the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff. Neither the Commission nor any Supporting Party shall use the filing of a long-term pricing structure pursuant to these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures as a basis for initiating an investigation into the then effective level of those revenue requirements. Nothing in this settlement shall limit or expand the rights or obligations of any Supporting Party with respect to the filing that the PJM Transmission Owners are required to make on December 31, 2004, pursuant to prior Commission orders, except that such filing shall be consistent with any long-term pricing structure filed pursuant to these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures and approved by the Commission. Nothing herein shall affect the otherwise applicable Section 205 or 206 rights of any party in a proceeding other than those proceedings referred to in this paragraph.
15. IP may, at its discretion, seek recovery of costs that it or its corporate affiliates actually incurred, including but not limited to any loans or promissory notes that have not been repaid, in connection with the development of the former Alliance RTO. If IP is integrated into MISO on or before October 1, 2004: (1) except for MPPA, MSCPA, and SWEC, the Supporting Parties shall not before FERC or in any forum, directly or indirectly, protest or otherwise take a position against any request or proposal to recover the costs that IP or its corporate affiliates actually incurred in connection with the development of the former Alliance RTO; provided that such costs are ultimately recovered by MISO via Schedule 10 of the MISO Tariff and provided such costs do not exceed \$10 million; (2) consistent with the Commission's Order in Docket No. ER02-2233, such request shall not include the following categories of costs: (a) legal costs associated with IP's exit from the MISO in 2001; and (b) costs of employees "loaned" to BridgeCo for the development of the former Alliance RTO, to the extent such costs are already being recovered in IP's rates; and (3) IP shall request that MISO amortize the collection of the amount reimbursed to IP over a period of time similar to the amortization period for GridAmerica. This paragraph is not intended to affect claims for or opposition to recovery of Alliance-related costs of other former Alliance Companies.
16. If these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures are not approved in their entirety without modification or condition they shall be deemed withdrawn and shall be null and void and of no force and effect. These Going-Forward Principles and Procedures are submitted on the condition that in the event they are not accepted by the Commission, they shall not constitute any part of the record in this proceeding or be used for any other purpose.



17. PJM, MISO, the PJM and MISO Transmission Owners, AEP, DP&L, Commonwealth Edison, Ameren, and IP will make compliance filings to implement these Going-Forward Principles and Procedures within 15 days of their acceptance by Commission.

## **Attachment A Supporting Parties**

### ***Existing and New PJM Transmission Owners***

1. The Allegheny Power System Operating Companies :  
    Monongahela Power Company  
    The Potomac Edison Company  
    West Penn Power Company
2. Baltimore Gas and Electric Company
3. Jersey Central Power & Light Company
4. Metropolitan Edison Company
5. Pennsylvania Electric Company
6. PECO Energy Company
7. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation
8. Public Service Electric and Gas Company
9. The PHI Operating Companies :  
    Potomac Electric Power Company  
    Delmarva Power & Light Company  
    Atlantic City Electric Company
10. Rockland Electric Company
11. UGI Utilities, Inc.
12. Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.
13. Old Dominion Electric Cooperative
14. Commonwealth Edison and Commonwealth Edison Company of  
    Indiana, Inc.
15. The Dayton Power and Light Company
16. American Electric Power Service Corporation, on behalf of  
    Appalachian Power Company, Columbus Southern Power  
    Company, Indiana Michigan Power Company, Kentucky Power  
    Company, Kingsport Power Company, Ohio Power Company, and  
    Wheeling Power Company

### ***MISO Transmission Owners***

17. Alliant Energy Corporate Services, Inc. on behalf of its operating company  
    affiliate Interstate Power and Light Company (f/k/a IES Utilities Inc. and  
    Interstate Power Company)
18. American Transmission Company LLC

19. Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks (f/k/a Utilicorp United, Inc.)
20. Cinergy Services, Inc. (for Cincinnati Gas & Electric Co., PSI Energy, Inc., and Union Light Heat & Power Co.)
21. City Water, Light & Power (Springfield, IL)
22. First Energy Service Company on behalf of American Transmission System, Inc. (“ATSI”)
23. Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.
24. Indiana Municipal Power Agency:  
Advance, Anderson, Bainbridge, Bargersville, Bremen, Brookston, Centerville, Chalmers, Columbia City, Covington, Crawfordsville, Darlington, Edinburgh, Etna Green, Flora, Frankfort, Frankton, Greendale, Greenfield, Jamestown, Kingsford Heights, Ladoga, Lawrenceburg, Lebanon, Linton, Middletown, Paoli, Pendleton, Peru, Pittsboro, Rensselaer, Richmond, Rising Sun, Scottsburg, Tell City, Tipton, Walkerton, Washington, Waynetown, Winamac
25. Indianapolis Power & Light Company
26. International Transmission Company
27. Manitoba Hydro
28. Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC
29. Michigan Public Power Agency:  
Bay City, Charlevoix, Chelsey, Grand Haven, Harbor Springs, Hart, Holland, Lansing, Lowell, Petosky, Portland, Traverse City, Zeeland
30. Minnesota Power (and its subsidiary Superior Water, L&P)
31. Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission (Motion to intervene pending)
32. Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.
33. Northern Indiana Public Service Company
34. Northern States Power Company and Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin), subsidiaries of Xcel Energy, Inc.
35. Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Company
36. Otter Tail Corporation d/b/a Otter Tail Power Company
37. Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Company (d/b/a Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana)
38. Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc.

***Other Transmission Owners***

- 39. Illinois Power Company (“IP”)
- 40. Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative Inc.:
  - Cherryland Electric Cooperative
  - Great Lakes Energy Cooperative
  - Presque Isle Electric & Gas Co-op
  - HomeWorks Tri-County Electric Cooperative
  - Wolverine Power Marketing Cooperative, Inc.

***Transmission Customers, Load Serving Entities and End-Users***

- 41. American Municipal Power - Ohio, Inc. and the following boroughs, cities and towns:
  - Amherst, Arcanum, Beach City, Bowling Green, Bradner, Brewster, Columbiana, Custar, Cuyahoga Falls, Dover, Edgerton, Eldorado, Ellwood City, Elmore, Galion, Genoa, Glouster, Grafton, Grove City, Haskins, Holiday City, Hubbard, Hudson, Jackson, Jackson Center, Lakeview, Lodi, Lucas, Mendon, Milan, Minster, Monroeville, Montpelier, Napoleon, New Bremen, New Knoxville, New Wilmington, Newton Falls, Niles, Oak Harbor, Oberlin, Orrville, Painesville, Pemberville, Pioneer, Prospect, Seville, Shelby, South Vienna, St Marys, Tipp City, Versailles, Wadsworth, Waynesfield, Wellington, Westerville, Woodsfield, Woodville, Yellow Springs
- 42. Blue Ridge Power Agency:
  - The City of Bedford, The City of Bristol, The City of Danville, The City of Martinsville, The City of Radford, The Town of Richlands, The City of Salem, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Central Virginia Electric Cooperative
- 43. Borough of Chambersburg, Pa.
- 44. Central Virginia Electric Cooperative
- 45. City of Croswell, Mi.
- 46. City of Dowagiac, Mi.
- 47. City of Hagerstown, Md.
- 48. City of Sebewaing, Mi.
- 49. City of Sturgis, Mi.
- 50. Coalition of Midwest Transmission Customers
- 51. Constellation Power Source, Inc.
- 52. Consumers Energy Company

53. Craig-Botetourt Electric Cooperative
54. Delaware Municipal Electric Corporation, Inc.:  
Newark, New Castle, Dover, Lewes, Seaford, Smyrna, Clayton,  
Middletown, Milford
55. Duke Energy North America LLC and Duke Energy Trading & Marketing,  
LLC
56. Electricities of North Carolina, Inc.:  
*North Carolina:*, Albemarle, Apex, Ayden, Belhaven, Benson, Black  
Creek, Bostic, Cherryville, Clayton, Concord, Cornelius, Dallas,  
Drexel, Edenton, Elizabeth City, Elizabeth City State University,  
Enfield, Farmville, Fayetteville, Forest City, Fountain, Fremont,  
Gastonia Granite Falls, Greenville, Hamilton, Hertford, High Point,  
Highlands, Hobgood, Hookerton, Huntersville, Kings Mountain,  
Kinston, La Grange, Landis, Laurinburg Lexington, Lincolnton,  
Louisburg, Lucama, Lumberton, Macclesfield, Maiden, Monroe  
Morganton, Murphy, New Bern, New River Power & Light Company,  
Newton, Pikeville , Pinetops, Pineville, Red Springs, Robersonville,  
Rocky Mount, Scotland Neck, Selma , Sharpsburg, Shelby, Smithfield,  
Southport, Stantonsburg, Statesville, Tarboro, UNC - Chapel Hill, UNC  
– Greensboro, Wake Forest, Walstonburg, Washington, Waynesville ,  
Western Carolina University, Wilson, Windsor, Winterville  
*South Carolina:* Abbeville, Bamberg, Bennettsville, Camden, Clinton,  
Easley Combined Utilities , Gaffney, Greer, Laurens, Newberry, Rock  
Hill, Union, Westminster  
*Virginia:* Bedford, Blackstone, Culpeper, Danville, Elkton, Franklin,  
Harrisonburg , Manassas, Martinsville, Richlands, Wakefield
57. Illinois Cities of Batavia and St. Charles
58. Illinois Municipal Electric Agency
59. Madison Gas & Electric Company
60. Michigan South Central Power Agency:  
Clinton, Coldwater, Marshall, Hillsdale, Union City
61. MidAmerican Energy Company
62. Municipal Electric Power Association of Virginia:  
Bedford, Blackstone, Bristol, Culpeper, Danville, Elkton, Franklin, Front  
Royal, Harrisonburg, Manassas, Martinsville, Radford, Richlands, Salem,  
VPI & SU, and Wakefield
63. Nordic Marketing, L.L.C.
64. Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation
65. PJM Industrial Customer Coalition

66. PPL EnergyPlus, LLC
67. Quest Energy, L.L.C.
68. Southwestern Electric Cooperative, Inc.
69. Soyland Power Cooperative
70. Steel Dynamics, Inc.
71. The Detroit Edison Company
72. Town of Front Royal, Va.
73. Town of Thurmont, Md.
74. Town of Williamsport, Md.
75. Upper Peninsula Power Company
76. Virginia Municipal Electric Association No. 1
77. Wisconsin Public Power, Inc. Member Utilities:
  - Algoma, Black River Falls, Boscobel, Brodhead, Cedarburg, Columbus, Cuba City, Eagle River, Florence, Hartford, Hustisford, Jefferson, Kaukauna, Lake Mills, Lodi, Menasha, Muscoda, New Holstein, New London, New Richmond, Oconomowoc, Oconto Falls, Plymouth, Prairie du Sac, Reedsburg, Richland Center, River Falls, Slinger, Stoughton, Sturgeon Bay, Sun Prairie, Two Rivers, Waterloo, Waunakee, Waupun, Westby, Whitehall
78. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

***State Commissions and Consumer Advocates***

79. Maryland People's Counsel
80. Maryland Public Service Commission
81. Michigan Public Service Commission
82. Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate
83. Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
84. Public Utility Commission of Ohio

## Attachment B

Pursuant to Paragraph 10 the Going-Forward Principles and Procedures, the following transactions and associated rollovers or redirects shall qualify for a credit equal to the difference between the PJM out and through rate and \$1.00/kW/Month beginning on the date that Commonwealth Edison is integrated into PJM.

ASSIGNMENT REF	MW
818950	50
1128836	150
1146790	149
1236147	65
1261153	100
961342	50
961343	50
961344	50
961345	50
1211990	100
1249763	11
1261785	50
1261789	50
1119886	15
1139439	140
1020955	6
1156374	6
1254349	1
535209	50
556806	50
1228312	15
1247072	65
427002	500
427011	80
467587	500
467589	80
1221479	50
1270882	100
1127993	30
880340	50
880351	50
880357	50