

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2011¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
TOTAL	2,732	2,189	113	3,716	868
FEDERAL	792	367	118	3,648	654
ARIZONA					
MARICOPA	21	21	134	10,489	1,572
PIMA	40	39	54	1,922	408
CALIFORNIA					
BUTTE	4	4	158	13,710	1,860
CONTRA COSTA	1	1	NR	900	30
IMPERIAL	39	39	144	10,243	1,657
KERN	8	8	56	1,210	247
LOS ANGELES	180	170	176	3,246	388
MERCED	16	16	84	10,328	663
ORANGE	26	24	30	1,280	198
PLACER	1	1	224	7,060	1,234
RIVERSIDE	154	147	66	2,159	391
SACRAMENTO	9	9	906	2,678	84
SAN BERNARDINO	76	75	144	3,784	443
SAN DIEGO	43	40	94	5,983	1,204
SAN JOAQUIN	8	8	250	3,595	491
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2	2	16	693	34
SAN MATEO	3	3	4	18,138	1,921
SANTA BARBARA	11	10	38	3,234	375
SANTA CLARA	19	19	102	5,946	871
SONOMA	4	3	133	2,055	883
STANISLAUS	4	4	39	368	105
VENTURA	22	21	104	4,580	376
COLORADO					
1ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (JEFFERSON)	4	4	101	1,283	224
4TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (EL PASO)	35	30	43	1,788	357
10TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (PUEBLO)	15	15	47	1,957	598
17TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ADAMS)	2	2	81	1,496	80
18TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (ARAPAHOE)	1	1	1	NR	NR
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (BOULDER)	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
21ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT (MESA)	3	3	25	4,323	1,321
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	12	12	81	1,829	301
FLORIDA					
2ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LEON)	4	4	166	5,882	260
4TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DUVAL)	49	49	45	4,206	241
5TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (LAKE/MARION)	10	10	105	3,102	150

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2011 (Continued) ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
FLORIDA (CONTINUED)					
7TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (VOLUSIA)	13	13	1,399	1,399	88
8TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ALACHUA)	4	4	153	4,238	252
9TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (ORANGE/OSCEOLA)	1	1	133	3,431	491
11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (DADE)	1	1	167	7,838	854
18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (BREVARD/SEMINOLE)	46	45	55	3,129	228
19TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (SAINT LUCIE)	1	1	1,530	22,421	371
GEORGIA					
AUGUSTA	2	1	NR	153	25
BIBB	3	3	18	1,090	1,000
DECATUR	1	1	1	1	1
DOUGLAS	28	28	52	1,460	209
FLINT	1	1	NR	NR	NR
GWINNETT	5	5	411	9,357	1,214
HOUSTON	5	4	76	4,246	1,323
MACON	4	4	-	1,776	290
ILLINOIS					
COOK	39	36	117	2,189	349
LAKE	2	2	141	7,284	752
WINNEBAGO	15	13	81	2,697	584
KANSAS					
SALINE	3	1	56	1,293	166
MARYLAND					
BALTIMORE	22	22	85	1,554	235
BALTIMORE CITY	12	12	113	2,146	256
FREDERICK	7	7	63	2,675	379
HARFORD	25	24	21	1,867	530
HOWARD	5	5	175	987	585
ST. MARY'S	3	NP	NP	NP	NP
WASHINGTON	2	2	32	589	103
WICOMICO	2	2	68	1,266	288
MASSACHUSETTS					
BRISTOL	1	1	2	4,472	500
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	5	5	41	4,522	1,328
MINNESOTA					
DAKOTA	2	2	36	1,269	155
MISSISSIPPI					
GRENADA	1	1	143	2,365	474
HINDS	2	2	1,530	6,924	1,818
MARSHALL	6	5	141	1,340	208
NEWTON	1	1	125	2,524	151
RANKIN	5	5	182	3,815	658
SCOTT	3	3	212	3,037	251

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2011 (Continued) ¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
MISSOURI					
ST. LOUIS	1	1	13	514	10
NEVADA					
CLARK	91	85	181	1,179	385
NEW JERSEY					
ATLANTIC	1	1	5	8	7
BERGEN	11	11	37	1,058	196
BURLINGTON	1	1	5	5	-
CAMDEN	5	1	-	-	-
CAPE MAY	1	1	2	2	2
CUMBERLAND	9	9	112	1,823	253
ESSEX	6	6	7	902	121
GLOUCESTER	14	14	NR	3,565	120
HUDSON	7	7	51	1,952	266
MERCER	2	NP	NP	NP	NP
MIDDLESEX	4	NP	NP	NP	NP
MONMOUTH	7	6	90	2,345	733
MORRIS	13	4	33	1,014	86
OCEAN	12	12	63	1,657	131
SOMERSET	4	4	29	9,378	1,214
STATE ATTORNEY	23	16	99	3,551	270
GENERAL					
UNION	19	NP	NP	NP	NP
WARREN	1	NP	NP	NP	NP
NEW YORK					
BRONX	30	30	80	1,639	354
CLINTON	1	1	85	2,312	197
KINGS	5	5	22	2,985	487
MONROE	2	2	3	2	2
NASSAU	1	1	69	7,511	7,366
NY ORGANIZED	13	10	236	67,322	7,745
CRIME TASK FORCE					
NYC SPECIAL	76	68	35	2,316	238
NARCOTICS BUREAU					
ONEIDA	14	14	NR	674	96
QUEENS	235	233	54	5,462	3,653
RICHMOND	21	21	25	1,492	64
STATE ATTORNEY	2	2	47	1,156	99
GENERAL					
SUFFOLK	21	21	21	1,967	992
TOMPKINS	2	2	19	6,939	405
WESTCHESTER	18	18	887	3,246	576
NORTH CAROLINA					
HARNETT	1	1	47	35,003	3,210
WAKE	1	1	19	2,632	908
WAYNE	1	1	25	8,516	63
OHIO					
CUYAHOGA	1	1	42	2,823	1,011
HAMILTON	9	7	28	442	156
JEFFERSON	3	3	12	844	278
SENECA	1	1	-	-	-

Table 4
Summary of Interceptions of Wire, Oral, or Electronic Communications
January 1 Through December 31, 2011 (Continued)¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number Authorized	Orders for Which Intercepts Installed	Average Number per Order When Installed ²		
			Persons Intercepted	Intercepts	Incriminating Intercepts
OKLAHOMA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	8	8	73	3,055	339
OREGON					
BENTON	10	10	40	588	90
CLACKAMAS	1	1	12	119	17
PENNSYLVANIA					
BERKS	6	6	34	1,471	495
CHESTER	8	8	152	2,559	146
LEHIGH	2	2	33	1,418	141
MONTGOMERY	9	9	49	740	267
PHILADELPHIA	13	13	67	3,294	554
SCHUYLKILL	5	5	102	5,273	631
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	29	28	50	2,009	688
TENNESSEE					
20TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (DAVIDSON)	23	23	35	2,688	334
30TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (SHELBY)	14	14	57	2,540	286
TEXAS					
TRAVIS	2	2	57	1,233	178
UTAH					
UTAH	1	1	66	2,403	1,561
VIRGINIA					
STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL	1	1	260	3,235	187
WYOMING					
FREMONT	4	4	20	6,796	1,164
LARAMIE	3	3	76	3,653	273
SWEETWATER	5	5	56	2,104	496

¹ NR = Not reported or could not be determined. NP = No prosecutor's report. NI = Never installed.

² Excludes those reports in which the number of persons intercepted, the number of intercepts, or the number of incriminating intercepts was not reported or could not be determined.